

Four τ s at the Tevatron

P. W. Graham¹, A. Pierce² and J.G. Wacker¹

¹ Institute for Theoretical Physics ² Jefferson Laboratory
Stanford University Harvard University
Stanford, CA 94305 Cambridge, MA 02138

Abstract

We study extensions of the Standard Model where the Higgs boson dominantly decays via a cascade to four τ leptons, and discuss whether this decay is visible at the Tevatron. We find that with an integrated luminosity of 6 fb^{-1} , there can be excesses in multi-lepton events in several channels for a Higgs boson of a mass $\approx 110 \text{ GeV}$.

1 Introduction

The Higgs sector is the one unexplored piece of the Standard Model. While it is possible that a single physical Higgs boson represents the entire picture at the TeV scale, considerations of naturalness suggest that it does not. Rather, it is likely there is new physics, and that new physics may well affect the phenomenology of the Higgs sector.

The Supersymmetric Standard Model (SSM) is the leading candidate for new physics beyond the Standard Model, and the minimal model, the MSSM, has a pair of Higgs doublets with a very constrained set of interactions[1]. The Higgs quartic couplings, related to gauge couplings via supersymmetry, predict a Standard Model-like Higgs with a mass not far above M_{Z^0} if the Higgs vacuum expectation value (vev) is not fine-tuned. To get above the LEP2 limits on the Higgs mass[2], even in the MSSM, the vev must be fine-tuned to be a few percent of its ‘natural’ value. This tension has motivated extensions to the MSSM’s Higgs sector to push the Higgs boson above the LEP2 bounds. Many such extensions do not alter the search strategy for the lightest Higgs boson, but simply increase the Higgs mass. However, it has recently been emphasized that some models

can change the signals of the Higgs decays. One class of models does so by having new light states that can be the dominant decay mode for the Higgs boson and can give rise to the Higgs decaying into invisible particles, four bottom quarks, or even more bizarre possibilities[3, 4]. Here, we will attempt to further motivate and explore a related possibility.

If the mass of the Higgs boson is significantly beneath the WW threshold, the smallness of the b quark Yukawa coupling makes the Standard Model Higgs width very small. The existence of any new light particle with $\mathcal{O}(1)$ couplings to the Higgs will completely swamp the Standard Model decays of a light Higgs. If these decays have sufficiently unusual topologies, then they may not be triggered on and this potential discovery signal may go unrecorded. It is important to identify these topologies to optimize searches for them.

In this note we focus on a single possibility for a non-standard Higgs decay. We consider a Higgs boson that dominantly decays to a pair of light pseudo-scalars (axions), kinematically limited to decay into a pair of tau leptons each. Thus, each Higgs boson decays to four tau leptons, where each pair of tau leptons is collimated. We focus on this channel because it can arise in models of new physics in a

straightforward way. We will frame our discussion in terms of the NMSSM (Next-to-Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model) [5, 6], though the analysis is not limited to this model. Similar axions could be present in many models of new physics with extended Higgs sectors, including Little Higgs theories[7]. This channel is also of immediate interest because it might be possible for the Tevatron to find some hint of such Higgs decays through its production via glue-gluon fusion, or in association with a weak gauge boson. In addition, this decay chain seems like a challenging channel for the LHC, and studies beyond those extant are warranted [8]. Finally, it is a channel that might motivate new experimental triggers.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we explain a particular model where a light axion might arise and how it may dominate the decay width of the Higgs. We also briefly review the existing limits on similar axions from colliders. We then discuss possible potentials for observing the Higgs at the Tevatron, paying particular attention to the case where the Higgs is produced through gluon-gluon fusion with a subsequent decay to four τ leptons. By an integrated luminosity of 6 fb^{-1} , there will likely be an excess of leptonic events in several different channels at the Tevatron.

2 A Light Electroweak Axion

In theories with extended Higgs sectors, the masses of the Higgs boson fields are expected to be comparable, of order the weak scale. However, if there are approximate $U(1)$ symmetries in the Higgs potential, there can be light pseudo-scalar states after electroweak symmetry breaking. We call these states axions after the best known example of this phenomenon, the original Peccei-Quinn axion. This is merely an example of a more general phenomenon, and it is quite possible that the Higgs sector may have an approximate $U(1)$ symmetry that gives rise to an axion.

The axions we will discuss here have their symmetries broken more strongly than the QCD axion. The dominant contribution to the symmetry breaking comes not from QCD, but from explicit breaking

terms in the Higgs potential. This large breaking gives the axion a mass in the few GeV range. If the mass of the a^0 is greater than $2m_B \sim 10 \text{ GeV}$, then it will primarily decay into B mesons. This possibility has been investigated experimentally at LEP[9, 10], and has recently been revisited by [3] in the context of the NMSSM, and [4] in more general supersymmetric theories. Because of the plethora of bottom jets at hadron colliders, this mode is difficult to study without detailed Monte Carlo studies, a full exploration of jet clustering algorithms, and an understanding of the intricacies of real-world b-tagging. We therefore limit our discussion to the lighter mass range for the axion where it is just beneath the bottom quark threshold:

$$2m_\tau < m_{a^0} < 2m_B. \quad (1)$$

In this case the axions will dominantly decay to τ pairs. This mass range provides a distinctive signal to analyze, especially when some of the taus decay to leptons. The presence of multiple neutrinos in the final state from tau decays makes reconstruction of a mass peak challenging at best. Nonetheless, an excess of distinctive events coming from Higgs decays could be the first hint of beyond the Standard Model physics at colliders.

The presence of an a^0 allows for Higgs decays of the form $h^0 \rightarrow a^0 a^0$, with the a^0 subsequently decaying to fermions. One might worry that the presence of a coupling of the axion to the Higgs might spoil the symmetry keeping the axion light; however, the Higgs coupling to the axion is derivative in nature, and does not give a large mass to the axion. The coupling is given by

$$\frac{c_{haa}}{s} h^0 \partial_\mu a^0 \partial^\mu a^0 \quad (2)$$

where c_{haa} is an $\mathcal{O}(1)$ parameter dependent number, and the dimensionful quantity s can be interpreted as the axion decay constant. If the axion comes from an extended Higgs sector, a typical value is $s \sim \mathcal{O}(100 \text{ GeV})$. This coupling is not suppressed by any small parameters and can overwhelm the Standard Model $h^0 \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ fraction. If this is a significant branching fraction, then it is possible to look

for Higgs production through gluon–gluon fusion:

$$gg \rightarrow h^0 \rightarrow a^0 a^0 \rightarrow 4\tau. \quad (3)$$

If the Higgs boson dominantly decays in this fashion, it is unclear what the lower bound on its mass is. While there is no reason to believe that the model-independent limit is substantially less than the Standard Model Higgs bound of 114.4 GeV, the highest published bound appears to be 86 GeV [11]. Recently, there has been some attention to this possibility, emphasizing the fact that alternative decay modes may allow the Higgs boson to be much lighter than the 114.4 GeV bound on a Standard Model-like Higgs boson [3], and speculation on whether this might alleviate fine-tuning problems with supersymmetry (see however [12]).

2.1 NMSSM Couplings

The search for a Higgs boson decaying into four taus is not strongly dependent on many details of TeV-scale physics. For concreteness, we will detail what is arguably the best motivated of such models, but this signal is essentially model-independent.

The supersymmetric Standard Model is the leading candidate for new physics, and while the MSSM does not allow the Higgs decaying into four taus as a channel, the simplest extension of the MSSM, the NMSSM, does. The NMSSM extends the MSSM by adding a gauge-singlet chiral superfield, S , to the TeV-scale spectrum. There are several different motivations for this extension. First, it can offer a dynamical explanation for the size of the μ -term. Finally, it gives an additional contribution to the quartic coupling of the Higgs boson that can increase its mass without having to rely on supersymmetry violating radiative corrections. This gives some hope that the fine-tuning present in the MSSM could be ameliorated.

The superpotential for the NMSSM Higgs sector is given by

$$W = \lambda H_u H_d S + \frac{\kappa}{3} S^3 \quad (4)$$

There are soft terms as well

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{soft}} = & m_u^2 |h_u|^2 + m_d^2 |h_d|^2 + m_s^2 |s|^2 \\ & + (a_\lambda h_u h_d s + \frac{a_\kappa}{3} s^3 + \text{h.c.}). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

There are two different limits where a pseudo-scalar becomes light due to an accidental symmetry of the theory. The first is the R-symmetric limit [13] where the soft supersymmetry breaking tri-linear couplings vanish

$$a_\lambda, a_\kappa \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{R-axion.} \quad (6)$$

An R-symmetry does not commute with supersymmetry; therefore, the R-charges depend on which component of a supermultiplet the field comes from. For the lowest component of the supermultiplets, the R charges for the matter fields (Q, U^c, D^c, L, E^c) and the Higgs fields (H_u, H_d, S) can all be taken to be $R=2/3$. The R charge of gauginos is $+1$. The vevs of the Higgs fields spontaneously break this symmetry, and if the symmetry were exact, this would lead to a massless Goldstone boson. Gaugino masses and scalar tri-linear A -terms violate this symmetry, and give the axion a small mass.

In fact, in the R-symmetric limit, it is difficult to make the axion mass smaller than a few GeV. This is precisely because the R-symmetry that protects the pseudo-scalar mass is broken by the gaugino masses. A -terms are generated radiatively off of the gaugino masses, and while they can be smaller than other soft supersymmetry breaking terms, they should not vanish. The axion mass is proportional to the generated A -terms, and cannot naturally be more than a loop factor lighter than the gauginos. Limits on charginos from LEP2 then imply: $m_{a^0} \gtrsim 1$ GeV. This limit might well be realized, contingent on the specific mechanism of supersymmetry breaking. For example, in gauge mediated and gaugino mediated models the A -terms are generated radiatively from gaugino masses and therefore are smaller than other soft supersymmetry breaking terms. It should be noted that there are potential issues with the cosmology of the electroweak phase transition in this case [12], and the NMSSM has difficulties achieving electroweak symmetry breaking in the simplest models of gauge mediation, see e.g. [14].

The other limit with a naturally light axion is called the Peccei-Quinn limit[15], $\kappa, a_{\kappa} \rightarrow 0$. The PQ limit can arise in E_6 or $SU(3)^3$ inspired models which have $\mathcal{O}(1) SH_u H_d$ couplings but have small S^3 couplings.

The couplings of the a^0 to the Standard Model fermions arise through the mixing of the singlet scalars with the MSSM Higgs with a mixing angle θ_{aA} . The a^0 couples to Standard Model fermions as:

$$g_{aff} \bar{f} \gamma_5 f a^0 \quad (7)$$

with g_{aff} given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-m_f}{v} \cot \beta \cos \theta_{aA} & \quad (\text{up-type}) \\ \frac{-m_f}{v} \tan \beta \cos \theta_{aA} & \quad (\text{down-type/leptons}) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

with $v = 246$ GeV. The axion couples like the Higgs, favoring decays to heavy fermions. The $\tan \beta$ present in the coupling to down-type fermions favors decays to down-type fermions.

The $\tan \beta$ enhancement of Eqn. (8) naively points towards a large rate for a^0 production in association with b quarks. However, for many regions of the parameter space, the mixing $\cos \theta_{aA}$ goes like $1/\tan \beta$, spoiling the naive enhancement. This fact precludes the possibility of getting a large enhancement for the $b\bar{b}a$ coupling in the most theoretically motivated regions of the NMSSM. To see this, note that the usual μ -term of the MSSM can be identified with

$$\lambda \langle s \rangle \equiv \mu, \quad (9)$$

where $\langle s \rangle$ represents the vacuum expectation value of the scalar component of the S superfield. Bounds from chargino searches from LEP favor $\mu \gtrsim 100$ GeV. Avoiding a Landau pole limits $\lambda \lesssim 1$, which means s cannot be too small, and in the limit where $\langle s \rangle \tan \beta \gg 2v$, the mixing angle is given by

$$\cos \theta_{aA} \approx \frac{2v}{s \tan \beta} \quad \text{R-axion} \quad (10)$$

with $v = 246$ GeV. Then, the coupling to b quarks is given by roughly $\frac{2m_b}{s}$.

2.2 Existing limits

One might worry that such a light a^0 , $m_a < 2m_B$, would have already been excluded or discovered by existing experiments. This is not the case.

B-physics experiments at b factories looked for (strong CP) axions via the process $\Upsilon \rightarrow \gamma + \text{invisible}$. However, the axion considered here will decay, so these limits do not directly apply. An applicable but weaker limit arises from searches for monochromatic photons, with the axion decaying to any charged Standard Model particles [17]. However, these bounds become very weak as m_a approaches $2m_b$. Limits from LEP on the processes of Eqn. (3) and Eqn. (18) exist, but do not exclude this scenario for all couplings.

The strongest limit on this process appears to come from two OPAL papers. In [18], the process ($e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}a \rightarrow b\bar{b}\tau\tau$) was searched for. In the region $4 \text{ GeV} < m_a < 10 \text{ GeV}$, the $a^0 b\bar{b}$ coupling y_{abb} is constrained,

$$y_{abb} \lesssim 10 \frac{m_b}{v}. \quad (11)$$

In [11], limits are placed via the process ($e^+e^- \rightarrow Z^* \rightarrow Zh \rightarrow Zaa \rightarrow Z4\tau$). Strong limits exist in the region $m_h < 86$ GeV. In principle, existing data might be able to extend them (as stressed in [4]). The analysis only cuts off because the region is “theoretically disfavored in the MSSM.”

3 Leptonic Excesses at the Tevatron

The relatively weak limits on the Higgs boson decaying to four taus from LEP2 present an opportunity for the Tevatron to extend the search. The signal is distinctive enough that cuts can be implemented to get away from the backgrounds present at a hadron collider. The kinematics of the events are important in designing these cuts. We are going to consider two different production mechanisms for the Higgs: gluon-gluon fusion and associated production with a weak vector boson.

3.1 Gluon Fusion

Gluon fusion is the dominant production mechanism of the Standard Model Higgs boson at the Tevatron. With a production cross section of nearly 1 pb (see, e.g., [19]), there may be thousands of Higgs produced at the Tevatron. We wish to investigate whether this scenario might be usefully probed at the Tevatron before the LHC even turns on.

For a Standard Model-like Higgs, this channel is hopeless, as it is impossible to reconstruct the $b\bar{b}$ mass peak above the enormous QCD background. If Higgs decays dominantly end in a four tau final state, it is possible to harness this large cross section.

The size of the gluon fusion cross section is controlled by the coupling of the Higgs to top quarks. In the R-axion limit of the NMSSM, the Higgs production cross section is within a few percent of the Standard Model's, and may be large enough to allow discovery with sufficient luminosity. As usual, the associated vector boson production is smaller. It appears unlikely that an initial discovery would be made in this channel. After the branching ratios of the four taus are folded into the small production cross section, there will not be many events. Unlike the Standard Model case, gluon fusion is the most promising channel; this is entirely due to the distinctive nature of the signal.

Basic Kinematics

We have in mind a Higgs boson with mass $m_h \sim 100$ GeV, and $m_a \sim 8$ GeV. At the Tevatron, Higgs bosons are produced via gluon-gluon fusion centrally. The Higgs boson decay will give rise to two back-to-back a^0 's each with a large boost factor. As a result, the decay products of the a^0 will be collimated. To gain a basic understanding of the efficiencies of the signals discussed in this section, we used a modified version of Pythia[20] to generate signal events, which we then piped through Tauola[21] and then through PGS, a simple detector simulator[22], using its standard implementation of the CDF detector.

Efficiencies for leptons will be crucial to the observability of the signal. We imposed the standard PGS isolation cuts for the electrons with one minor modifi-

cation. The isolation cuts limit the amount of nearby energy in the calorimeter and the number of tracks within an isolation cone of $R=0.4$. We slightly relaxed this cut to allow the presence of a muon within that cone. The boost of the a_0 often leads signal events to have this topology. For muons, the only isolation cut imposed was that it not lie within a $\Delta R = 0.7$ cone of a jet. The detector simulation has electron and muon coverage out to an $\eta = 2.0$.

There are several factors that make observing this signature challenging. First, the Higgs bosons are predominantly produced with small p_T . Their decay particles will be isotropically distributed throughout the detector, and with four-body decays the probability that some number of particles are lost is non-negligible. Second, in leptonic decays of the τ s, a large fraction of the energy is carried away by the neutrinos, resulting in soft leptons.

The spectra of the three hardest leptons are plotted in Fig. 1. As alluded to earlier, the softness of the lepton spectra should be accounted for when optimising cuts.

Tri-Leptons

One channel with very little Standard Model background is the case where at least three of the final state τ 's decay leptonically. Then we have a tri-lepton signature, a final state well known from supersymmetry searches at the Tevatron[24]. As shown in that context, the Standard Model background can be made tiny (< 1 event/fb $^{-1}$) with an appropriate set of cuts. There are two relevant caveats. First, the three leptons will be somewhat softer than those expected from the traditional supersymmetry signature. Since the Higgs boson mass is shared between the observed leptons and several neutrinos, the leptons will have energies of roughly 10 GeV. Because of this, care must be taken to set hardness cuts appropriately, while avoiding the background from soft leptons coming from off-shell photons.

Since these events contain a substantial number of neutrinos, it is impossible to reconstruct the Higgs boson mass. In fact, they should be marked by the presence of a rather substantial amount of missing energy. However, this is unlikely to be of much as-




Figure 1: The p_T distribution of the leptons in tri-lepton events coming from $h^0 \rightarrow a^0 a^0 \rightarrow 4\tau$. We have imposed cuts of $\eta_\ell < 2.0$, and $p_T^\ell > 3.0$ GeV, as well as rudimentary isolation cuts as described in the text.

sistance in decreasing the background. One significant Standard Model background comes from di-boson production ($Z^0 W^\pm$ or γW^\pm), which also has large missing energy from the neutrino in the W^\pm decay.

The tri-lepton Higgs decays make up 12.7% of the total branching fraction of four τ events. There are two challenges in observing this signal: the third lepton typically is soft, and all three leptons must

lie within the geometric acceptance of the detector. The p_T distribution of the three leptons in tri-lepton events are shown in the Fig. 1. Using PGS, we estimated the efficiency, ϵ times branching fraction B for tri-lepton events coming from Higgs bosons decaying to four taus. We find $\epsilon B \sim 0.5\%$, corresponding to

$$\epsilon B \sigma(gg \rightarrow h \rightarrow 3\ell) = 4 - 6.5 \text{ fb} \quad (120 > m_h > 100 \text{ GeV}) \quad (12)$$

This leaves very little room for additional cuts. These channels fortunately have very few Standard Model backgrounds. The main physics background should be dominated by events with two weak vector bosons: $\gamma^* \gamma^*$, $Z^0 \gamma^*$, $Z^0 W^\pm$ production.

Opposite Flavor Di-lepton Signal

A promising channel for finding four τ events is two τ s decaying to opposite flavor di-leptons. Requiring opposite flavors requires the presence of either two leptonically decaying taus, or a double weak process, so this already substantially reduces the potential background. In the case where both leptons arise from the decay of the same a_0 , the two leptons will be well-collimated. Since there are many Standard Model backgrounds that produce back-to-back τ 's, we restrict ourselves to looking for same-side di-leptons. While the invariant mass of the di-leptons is small (less than 10 GeV, due to the light a^0), the summed p_T need not be nearly as small. In Figure 2 we show the distribution of $\Delta R \equiv \sqrt{\Delta\phi^2 + \Delta\eta^2}$ between the same sign leptons. This distribution shows that care must be taken in the implementation of the electron isolation cut to avoid cutting away the signal.

One Standard Model background arises from neutral currents to τ pairs, with the low invariant mass making this a large background. For the vast majority of this background, however, the resulting $e\mu$ pair will always be on opposite sides of the detector, i.e. $\Delta\phi_{e\mu} > \frac{\pi}{2}$ because the neutral current resonance will not have a significant p_T . Meanwhile, the signal will have a peaked distribution of $\Delta R_{e\mu}$ with many having a small opening angle $\Delta R < 0.4$. Folding in the branching ratios for the tau decays, and noting that an $e - \mu$ pair coming from the same a^0 will have




Figure 2: The $\Delta R \equiv \sqrt{\Delta\phi^2 + \Delta\eta^2}$ of the $e\text{-}\mu$ pair. Note that a substantial portion of the signal lies in the region $\Delta R < 0.4$, which might be eliminated by a naive isolation cut. The opening angle is controlled by the ratio m_a/m_h . Here, $m_h = 100$ GeV and $m_{a^0} = 8$ GeV.

a small $\Delta\phi$, roughly 11.8% of all $h^0 \rightarrow 4\tau$ will be opposite flavor same side events. This leads to a signal cross section of ~ 100 fb before any efficiencies are applied.

While requiring the OFDL to be on the same side reduces many Standard Model backgrounds considerably, the cross section for jet plus neutral current is not negligibly small, and the p_T of the jet can balance the p_T of the neutral current resonance, leading to a small opening angle for the lepton pair, just like the signal. Since we are requiring opposite flavor dileptons, to mimic the signal the neutral current must decay into a pair of taus and subsequently to leptons. The invariant masses of $a^0 \rightarrow e\mu E_T$ will be in the 4 to 10 GeV range. The most important resonance in this range is $\Upsilon(1S)$. The p_T of the $e\mu$ pairs in the $h^0 \rightarrow e\mu + X$ will be $\gtrsim 20$ GeV, which corresponds to a p_T of the a^0 or Υ of $\gtrsim 40$ GeV (due to the missing neutrinos). The p_T distribution of the same-sign dilepton pairs is shown in Figure 3. The cross section for $\Upsilon + X$ is a steeply falling function of p_T . Extrapolating the measurement of the CDF cross-section [25] for upsilon production to higher $p_T \sim 40$ GeV, we estimate the cross-section for Υ production with such a large p_T to be $\sigma \sim 10$ fb, which could in principle compete with the signal. Extending the




Figure 3: The p_T distribution of the close $e\text{-}\mu$ pair. Events are selected where there is a $e\text{-}\mu$ pair with a $\Delta R < 1.0$.

measurement of Υ production out to larger p_T will be an important part of extracting this signal. A flavor subtraction using the $\Upsilon \rightarrow \mu\mu$ channel might also be possible.

There is another important distinction between Υ production, and the signal. A high p_T Υ most often recoils against a high p_T gluon jet, while the leptons from the signal are recoiling against a pair of τ s. A high p_T jet looks quite different from a pair of (overlapping) taus and thus can be used to discriminate signal from background. The high p_T jet will typically have many tracks. This is in contrast to the four τ events that will typically only have a few additional tracks (arising from the τ decays on the opposite side) in addition to the $e\mu$. So, if we also require that these same-side, opposite flavor events are “quiet”, i.e. do not have a large number of high p_T tracks, then this forms another useful discriminant. The events that have same-side opposite flavor leptons can either have two hadronic taus on the opposite side, with typically 6 tracks, a hadronic and leptonic tau with typically 4 tracks or occasionally a doubly leptonic event with only a few tracks.

A simple way to quantify the differences in the events between signal (4τ) and background ($\text{NC} + j$) is by defining a “quiet” event. In order to balance the p_T of opposite flavor jets on the same side of the detector, there needs to be a high p_T jet. These jets will typically have large multiplicities in contrast to

four τ events that will only have at most two hadronic taus to balance the p_T against. A criterion that distinguishes the particle multiplicity on the opposite side of the event should differentiate a portion of the background events from the signal. An example of such a discriminant is given by

$$M \equiv \frac{N_p \sum E_T}{p_{T\ell_1} + p_{T\ell_2}}. \quad (13)$$

where N_p is the number of particles with $E_T > 0.5$ GeV (in order to avoid counting too many particles from underlying events) and $|\eta| < 3.0$ excluding the opposite flavor leptons, $\sum p_T$ is the sum of the momentum of those N_p particles and $p_{T\ell}$ is the p_T of each of the leptons. The neutral current plus jet background will have large M , while the signal will have a smaller M . We simulated jet plus neutral current and four tau events in Pythia and found that it was possible to get a rejection rate of greater than 10^3 while losing only one third of the signal. This is shown in Fig. 4. The use of this variable gives hope that one might bring the neutral current plus jet background under control. Simple Monte Carlo simulations are not entirely reliable in this type of study, but this simple example makes it seem plausible that such a discriminant could be designed.

The most serious background arises from heavy flavor jets that undergo double semi-leptonic decays where the jet is lost. This background has to be measured in order to get a handle on its size. Fortunately this is possible. By requiring a good b-tagged jet on one side of the event and looking for di-leptons with no jet on the other side, one can estimate this background.

Since heavy flavor events are also strongly produced, it will again be possible to place a quiet cut on the balancing jet of the event in order to reduce the background. We did not model the quiet cut in this case, but if the cut is as efficient as for the neutral current plus jet events, then a b-jet can fake a pair of leptons at the rate of 10^{-5} without completely swamping the signal.




Figure 4: One possible discriminant between opposite flavor, opposite charge di-lepton events and $j\tau\tau$ events. The discriminant, M , described in the text versus $\Delta\phi_{e\mu}$. Notice that the four tau opposite flavor events are clustered at small M close to $\Delta\phi_{e\mu} = 0, \pi$ whereas the jet di-tau events have much higher M 's, thus providing a clean discriminant between the two types of events.

Other Channels

Since they make up the dominant decay, it would be ideal if hadronic decays of the tau could be used. There are two important caveats. The first is that two taus often overlap in the signal. If a hadronic tau decay overlaps with a leptonic decay, the semi-leptonic decays of heavy jets could again be a serious background. In the case where two hadronic taus overlap, the standard tau identification cuts would clearly fail, if for no other reason than that two “one-prong” decays would now appear as a jet with two tracks. In this case, the dominant backgrounds again appear to come from jet fluctuations. While there might potentially be thousands of signal events in hadronic tau channels at the Tevatron, it is difficult to estimate how many might survive the draconian cuts that would have to be applied to get away from the jet backgrounds. Finally, it might also be possible in principle to search for same-sign leptons, but these two leptons would be coming from opposite sides of the event, and unless a third tau decayed leptoni-

cally, each lepton would be close to hadronic activity, and one would again worry about heavy flavor backgrounds.

3.2 Associated Production

Higgs bosons are also produced at the Tevatron in association with gauge bosons. The cross sections for these processes are substantially smaller than the gluon fusion production cross section, and as a result, are less likely to be the first source of anomalous events. Nevertheless, it is possible that these events can still contribute to the interesting final states discussed above. The first possibility is that a combination of leptons from the gauge boson decays and the Higgs boson decay can lead to tri-lepton (or four lepton) final states. Unless there is a lepton coming from the gauge boson decay, this contribution is expected to be subdominant to the tri-lepton signature coming from $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow 4\tau$. The reason is simply that the diminished cross-section[19]

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow WH) &\approx 200 - 400 \text{ fb} \\ &\quad (120 > m_h > 100 \text{ GeV}) \\ \sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow ZH) &\approx 100 - 200 \text{ fb} \\ &\quad (120 > m_h > 100 \text{ GeV}),\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

is not overcome by a boost in acceptance. The sole difference in acceptance from the gluon fusion case results from the boost that the Higgs gets from recoiling against the gauge boson. While this can lead to harder leptons, it does not overcome the small cross section. Using PGS, we estimated the efficiency for tri-lepton events where the gauge bosons decayed hadronically. Taking into account the branching ratio, B , of $\tau \rightarrow$ leptons, we found an efficiency times B of roughly $\epsilon B = 0.6\%$, leading to a contribution to a tri-lepton signal of:

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon B\sigma(\text{trilepton from Higgs, } V \rightarrow \text{hadrons}) &= 1 - 2 \text{ fb} \\ &\quad 120 > m_{h^0} > 100 \text{ GeV}\end{aligned}\quad (15)$$

In the case where the W decays leptonically, the hard lepton from that decay might give rise to an additional contribution to the tri-lepton signal. Again,

using PGS, and taking into account the tau branching fractions, we estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon B\sigma(2 \text{ lepton from Higgs, } V \rightarrow \text{lepton(s)}) &= 3 - 5 \text{ fb} \\ &\quad 120 > m_{h^0} > 100 \text{ GeV}\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$

The leptonic decays of the Z are unlikely to be helpful in generating a distinctive tri-lepton signature, as WZ backgrounds from the Standard Model may be an issue. On the other hand, these decays might occasionally lead to rather spectacular four-lepton events, but the rate of such events is quite small ($\lesssim 0.5$ event / fb⁻¹).

Finally, there is a question of whether one might look for events with the distinctive close $e\mu$ pair in associated production events. The largest number of such events comes in the case where the gauge boson decays hadronically. Taking into account the branching ratio for the τ 's to decay to the appropriate leptons, a PGS simulation yielded an efficiency for these events of roughly 2%. However, to avoid the backgrounds arising from $\Upsilon + X$, it would be desirable to impose that the jets reconstruct the gauge boson mass. This further reduces the efficiency by approximately a factor of two. The result is a rate of

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon B\sigma(V + (e\mu)) &= 3 - 7 \text{ fb} \\ &\quad 120 > m_{h^0} > 100 \text{ GeV}\end{aligned}\quad (17)$$

This is unlikely to be observable above the $\Upsilon + j$ background, as it seems difficult to impose a “quiet cut” on jet activity in this case.

The small rates for the associated production processes make observation difficult. We conclude that these processes may give a boost to the tri-lepton signature, but are unlikely to be otherwise observable.

4 Conclusion

Because the dominant backgrounds to the signals discussed here are likely to come from heavy quark decays faking leptons, it is not possible to calculate whether the signal will be visible above background. However, given the prospect of thousands of Higgses decaying in this way at the Tevatron, it is worthwhile

to measure the backgrounds, and look for these distinctive decays.

If an excess of events are seen in one of the channels that have been described here, it will be difficult to definitively determine that this decay chain is the underlying cause. The best hope is to observe multiple excesses. An observation of the distinctive $e - \mu$ topology described here, along with an excess of multi-lepton events, would go a long way towards indicating the presence of a low-mass state that couples to τ leptons. If information from the hadronic tau decays can be harnessed, the case for new physics in taus will be even more compelling. Another hint might come from searching for the existence of the light tau-philic final state:

$$p\bar{p} \rightarrow b\bar{b}a^0 \rightarrow b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^- \quad (18)$$

If at least one τ decays leptonically and there is at least one b -tagged jet, then this might be visible above background, though backgrounds from leptonically decaying b -jets seem particularly dangerous. Nevertheless, at a minimum it seems the Tevatron might well improve the bounds on this process from LEP[18].

At the LHC, the number of Higgs events will be much larger, and so it is worthwhile attempting to reconstruct the mass peak, perhaps through subdominant decays (see, e.g. [8]). This model might also have a distinctive signature in events where the a^0 is radiated off of a top quark ($t\bar{t}a^0$). While the coupling to the top is model-dependent, and may be suppressed by $\tan\beta$, this class of events could lead to a very striking decay chain if the tops decay leptonically: $t\bar{t}a^0 \rightarrow b\bar{b}WW\tau^+\tau^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}\mu^+\mu^+e^-e^-$. However, ensuring all leptons are sufficiently isolated to be identified may be a challenge.

The inability to reconstruct a mass peak for the Higgs boson in this channel may make it difficult to unambiguously identify this decay chain as the source of leptonic excesses. On the other hand, the distinctive nature of the kinematics gives hope. For example, if back to back $e\text{-}\mu$ pairs were seen, each with tiny opening angle and a p_T of ~ 30 GeV, it would appear to point strongly to this scenario.

One lesson learned from this scenario is that even high p_T physics such as Higgs decay can potentially

lead to signals with relatively soft leptons whose isolation is imperfect. This is not a peculiar feature of this model. While here it is $m_h \gg m_a$ that leads to the unisolated leptons, a similar effect should occur in any situation where there is a hierarchy of new physics scales. If the new particles with small mass have small direct couplings to light Standard Model fields, such models might be missed unless care is taken to search for these event topologies.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge Amit Lath, Andy Haas, Henry Frisch, Michelangelo Mangano, Matt Strassler and Scott Thomas for useful conversations, and John Conway and Jesse Thaler for assistance with PGS. We would like to thank the Theory Group of Rutgers for their hospitality during the initial stages of the work. JW would like to thank the Theory Group of the University of Texas at Austin for their hospitality during the completion of this work. The work of PG and JW is supported by National Science Foundation grant PHY-9870115 and the Stanford Institute for Theoretical Physics. The work of AP is supported by the DOE under contract DE-FG02-91ER40654.

References

- [1] S. Dimopoulos and H. Georgi, ‘‘Softly Broken Supersymmetry And SU(5),’’ Nucl. Phys. B **193**, 150 (1981).
- [2] R. Barate *et al.* [LEP Working Group for Higgs boson searches], ‘‘Search for the standard model Higgs boson at LEP,’’ Phys. Lett. B **565**, 61 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ex/0306033].
- [3] R. Dermisek and J. F. Gunion, ‘‘Escaping the large fine tuning and little hierarchy problems in the next to minimal supersymmetric model and $h \rightarrow a a$ decays,’’ Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 041801 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0502105].
- [4] S. Chang, P. J. Fox and N. Weiner, ‘‘Naturalness and Higgs decays in the MSSM with a singlet,’’ arXiv:hep-ph/0511250.

- [5] H. P. Nilles, M. Srednicki and D. Wyler, “Weak Interaction Breakdown Induced By Supergravity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **120**, 346 (1983). J. M. Frere, D. R. T. Jones and S. Raby, “Fermion Masses And Induction Of The Weak Scale By Supergravity,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **222**, 11 (1983). J. P. Derendinger and C. A. Savoy, “Quantum Effects And SU(2) X U(1) Breaking In Supergravity Gauge Theories,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **237**, 307 (1984).
- [6] J. R. Ellis, J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber, L. Roszkowski and F. Zwirner, “Higgs Bosons In A Nonminimal Supersymmetric Model,” *Phys. Rev. D* **39**, 844 (1989).
- [7] W. Kilian, J. Reuter and D. Rainwater, “Pseudo-axions in little Higgs models,” arXiv:hep-ph/0507081.
- [8] U. Ellwanger, J. F. Gunion and C. Hugonie, “Difficult scenarios for NMSSM Higgs discovery at the LHC,” *JHEP* **0507**, 041 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0503203].
- [9] G. Abbiendi *et al.* [OPAL Collaboration], “Search for neutral Higgs boson in CP-conserving and CP-violating MSSM scenarios,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **37**, 49 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ex/0406057].
- [10] J. Abdallah *et al.* [DELPHI Collaboration], “Searches for neutral Higgs bosons in extended models,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **38**, 1 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ex/0410017].
- [11] G. Abbiendi *et al.* [OPAL Collaboration], “Search for a low mass CP-odd Higgs boson in e+ e- collisions with the OPAL detector at LEP2,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **27**, 483 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ex/0209068].
- [12] P. C. Schuster and N. Toro, “Persistent Fine-Tuning in Supersymmetry and the NMSSM,” arXiv:hep-ph/0512189.
- [13] B. A. Dobrescu and K. T. Matchev, “Light axion within the next-to-minimal supersymmetric standard model,” *JHEP* **0009**, 031 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/0008192].
- [14] A. de Gouvea, A. Friedland and H. Murayama, “Next-to-minimal supersymmetric standard model with the gauge mediation of supersymmetry breaking,” *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 5676 (1998) [arXiv:hep-ph/9711264].
- [15] D. J. Miller, S. Moretti and R. Nevzorov, “Higgs bosons in the NMSSM with exact and slightly broken PQ-symmetry,” arXiv:hep-ph/0501139; D. J. Miller and R. Nevzorov, “The Peccei-Quinn axion in the next-to-minimal supersymmetric standard model,” arXiv:hep-ph/0309143.
- [16] L. J. Hall and T. Watari, “Electroweak supersymmetry with an approximate U(1)(PQ),” *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 115001 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0405109].
- [17] D. Besson *et al.* [CLEO Collaboration], “Search For Monoenergetic Photons From Upsilon (1s) \rightarrow Gamma X,” *Phys. Rev. D* **33**, 300 (1986). S. Youssef *et al.*, “Search For Higgs Scalars In Upsilon Decays,” *Phys. Lett. B* **139**, 332 (1984).
- [18] OPAL Physics Note PN 483, “Search for Yukawa Production of a Light Neutral Higgs Boson at LEP.” Available at <http://opal.web.cern.ch/Opal/phys/higgs/higgs.html>
- [19] M. Spira, “Higgs boson production and decay at the Tevatron,” arXiv:hep-ph/9810289.
- [20] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna and P. Skands, arXiv:hep-ph/0603175.
- [21] S. Jadach, Z. Was, R. Decker and J. H. Kuhn, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **76**, 361 (1993).
- [22] Pretty Good Simulator available from John Conway’s website at: <http://www.physics.ucdavis.edu/~conway/research/software/pgs/pgs.html>.
- [23] H. Baer, M. Drees, F. Paige, P. Quintana and X. Tata, “Trilepton signal for supersymmetry at the Fermilab Tevatron revisited,” *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 095007 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/9906233].

- [24] S. Abel *et al.* [SUGRA Working Group Collaboration], “Report of the SUGRA working group for run II of the Tevatron,” arXiv:hep-ph/0003154.
- [25] R. Cropp [CDF collaboration], “Recent results on J/ψ , $\psi(2S)$ and Upsilon production at CDF,” arXiv:hep-ex/9910003.