

Λ_b Decays into Λ -Vector

Z.J. Ajaltouni^{1*}, E. Conte^{2†}, O. Leitner^{3‡}

^{1,2} Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire de Clermont-Ferrand
IN2P3/CNRS Université Blaise Pascal
F-63177 Aubière Cedex France

³ ECT*, Strada delle Tabarelle, 286, 38050 Villazzano (Trento), Italy

Abstract

A complete study of the angular distributions of the processes, $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda V(1^-)$, with $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$ and $V(J/\Psi) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ or $V(\rho^0, \omega) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, is performed. Emphasis is put on the initial Λ_b polarization produced in the proton-proton collisions. The polarization density-matrices as well as angular distributions are derived and help to construct T-odd observables which allow us to perform tests of both Time-Reversal and CP violation.

PACS Numbers: 11.30.Er, 12.39.-x, 13.30.-a, 14.20.-c, 14.20.Mr
Keywords: Helicity, baryon decay, polarization

*ziad@clermont.in2p3.fr

†conte@clermont.in2p3.fr

‡leitner@ect.it

1 Introduction

With the advent of B -factories at the proton-proton colliders, huge statistics of beauty hadrons are expected to be produced. This will allow a thorough study of CP violation processes with B mesons. Moreover, some specific phenomena related to either b -quark physics or CP violation can be performed to put limits on the validity of the Standard Model (SM). One of these processes concerns the validity of the Time Reversal (TR) symmetry. A promising method to look for TR violation is the three body Λ_b decay [1, 2] as it was initiated with the hyperons long time ago by R. Gatto [3].

T-odd operator is derived from Time Reversal and it keeps the initial and final states unchanged. It is well known that the time reversing state of a decay like $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$ or β nucleon decay cannot be realized in the physical world, thus we must be contented with the following transformations which are the main ingredients of TR operator:

$$\vec{r} \xrightarrow{T} \vec{r}, \quad \vec{p} \xrightarrow{T} -\vec{p}, \quad \vec{\ell} \xrightarrow{T} -\vec{\ell}, \quad \vec{s} \xrightarrow{T} -\vec{s},$$

where $\vec{\ell}$ and \vec{s} are respectively the angular momentum and the spin of any particle with momentum \vec{p} . Consequently the helicity of the particle defined by $\lambda = \vec{s} \cdot \vec{p}/p$ remains unchanged by TR transformations.

In the past, it was pointed out by many authors [4] the importance to look for T-odd effects in the hyperon decays like Λ , Σ and Ξ , as being a consequence of both CPT theorem and CP violation in weak $|\Delta S| = 1$ decays. As far as beauty hadrons Λ_b , Σ_b and Ξ_b are concerned, because of their numerous decay channels and the strength of CP violation in the b -quark sector, opportunities to find T-odd observables will increase and interesting tests of both the SM and models beyond the SM can be performed successfully. Due to the initial polarization of the Λ_b baryon, T-odd observables can be constructed from the decay products such as $\vec{v}_1 \cdot (\vec{v}_2 \times \vec{v}_3)$ where \vec{v}_i is either the spin or the momentum of the particle i . These observables change sign under TR transformations and a non-vanishing mean value of their distribution could be a sign of TR violation.

This paper is devoted to a study and simulations of Λ_b decays into $\Lambda\ell^+\ell^-$ and Λh^+h^- . Final leptons, $\ell = e, \mu$, or final hadron, $h = \pi$, can originate from intermediate resonances which quantum numbers are those of a vector meson 1^- like $J/\psi, \rho^0$ and ω . The reminder of this paper is organized as it follows. In section 2, we present an analysis of both the intermediate states and the final particles in some appropriate frames, the helicity frames. By stressing on the importance of the polarizations of the initial Λ_b as well as the intermediate resonances, calculations based on the helicity formalism are performed and take into account the spin properties of the final decay products. Dynamical assumption is made through the factorization framework applied in baryon decays in section 3. The following section is devoted to results and discussions for angular distributions and polarization density matrices. Finally, in the last section, we draw some conclusions.

2 Λ_b decay analysis

In the collisions, $pp \rightarrow \Lambda_b + X$, the Λ_b is produced with a transverse polarization in a similar way than the ordinary hyperons. Its longitudinal polarization is suppressed because of parity conservation in strong interactions. Let us define, \vec{N}_P , the vector normal to the

production plane by:

$$\vec{N}_P = \frac{\vec{p}_1 \times \vec{p}_b}{|\vec{p}_1 \times \vec{p}_b|}, \quad (1)$$

where \vec{p}_1 and \vec{p}_b are the vector-momenta of one incident proton beam and Λ_b , respectively. The mean value of the Λ_b spin along \vec{N}_P is the Λ_b transverse polarization usually greater than 20% [5].

Let $(\Lambda_b xyz)$ be the rest frame (see Fig. 1) of the Λ_b particle. The quantization axis $(\Lambda_b z)$ is chosen to be parallel to \vec{N}_P . The other orthogonal axis $(\Lambda_b x)$ and $(\Lambda_b y)$ are chosen arbitrarily in the production plane. In our analysis, the $(\Lambda_b x)$ axis is taken parallel to the momentum \vec{p}_1 . The spin projection, M_i , of the Λ_b along the transverse axis $(\Lambda_b z)$ takes the values $\pm 1/2$. The polarization density matrix⁴, ρ^{Λ_b} , of the Λ_b is a (2×2) hermitian matrix. Its elements⁵, $\rho_{ii}^{\Lambda_b}$, are real and $\sum_{i=1}^2 \rho_{ii}^{\Lambda_b} = 1$. The probability of having Λ_b produced with $M_i = \pm 1/2$ is given by $\rho_{11}^{\Lambda_b}$ and $\rho_{22}^{\Lambda_b}$, respectively. Finally, the initial Λ_b polarization, \mathcal{P}_{Λ_b} , is given by $\langle \vec{S}_{\Lambda_b} \cdot \vec{N}_P \rangle = \mathcal{P}_{\Lambda_b} = \rho_{11}^{\Lambda_b} - \rho_{22}^{\Lambda_b}$.

The decay amplitude, $A_0(M_i)$, for $\Lambda_b(M_i) \rightarrow \Lambda(\lambda_1)V(\lambda_2)$ is obtained by applying the Wigner-Eckart theorem to the S -matrix element in the framework of the Jacob-Wick helicity formalism [6]:

$$A_0(M_i) = \langle 1/2, M_i | S^{(0)} | p, \theta, \phi; \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle = \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) D_{M_i M_f}^{1/2*}(\phi, \theta, 0), \quad (2)$$

where $\vec{p} = (p, \theta, \phi)$ is the vector-momentum of the hyperon Λ in the Λ_b frame (Fig. 1). λ_1 and λ_2 are the respective helicities of Λ and V with the possible values $\lambda_1 = \pm 1/2$ and $\lambda_2 = -1, 0, +1$. The momentum projection along the (Δ) axis (parallel to \vec{p}) is given by $M_f = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = \pm 1/2$. The M_f values constrain those of λ_1 and λ_2 since, among six combinations, only four are physical. If $M_f = +1/2$ then $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (1/2, 0)$ or $(-1/2, -1)$. If $M_f = -1/2$ then $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (1/2, 1)$ or $(-1/2, 0)$. The hadronic matrix element, $\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, contains all the decay dynamics. Finally, the Wigner matrix element,

$$D_{M_i M_f}^j(\phi, \theta, 0) = d_{M_i M_f}^j(\theta) \exp(-i M_i \phi), \quad (3)$$

is expressed according to the Jackson convention [6].

In case of two intermediate resonances such as those described in the next section, the Λ_b -decay plane is defined by the momenta of the Λ and leptons (or hadrons). This decay plane does not coincide with that one defined by the momenta of the J/Ψ , proton and pion.

2.1 Decay of the intermediate resonances

By performing appropriate rotations and Lorentz boosts, we can study the decay of each resonance in its own helicity frame (see Fig. 1) such that the quantization axis is parallel to the resonance momentum in the Λ_b frame i.e. $\overrightarrow{O_1 z_1} || \vec{p}_\Lambda$ and $\overrightarrow{O_2 z_2} || \vec{p}_V = -\vec{p}_\Lambda$. For the decays $\Lambda(\lambda_1) \rightarrow P(\lambda_3)\pi^-(\lambda_4)$ and $V(\lambda_2) \rightarrow \ell^-(\lambda_5)\ell^+(\lambda_6)$ or $V(\lambda_2) \rightarrow h^-(\lambda_5)h^+(\lambda_6)$,

⁴The polarization density matrix elements (PDM), $\rho_{ij}^{\Lambda_b}$, do not need to be exactly known since the initial, Λ_b , ($\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda_b} = \rho_{11}^{\Lambda_b} - \rho_{22}^{\Lambda_b}$) polarization [5] is only required in our analysis.

⁵Note as well that $\rho_{ij}^{\Lambda_b} = (\rho_{ji}^{\Lambda_b})^*$.

the respective helicities of the final particles are $(\lambda_3, \lambda_4) = (\pm 1/2, 0)$ and $(\lambda_5, \lambda_6) = (\pm 1/2, \pm 1/2)$ in case of leptons or $(\lambda_5, \lambda_6) = (0, 0)$ in case of 0^- mesons.

In the Λ helicity frame, the projection of the total angular momentum, m_i , along the proton momentum, \vec{p}_P , is given by $m_1 = \lambda_3 - \lambda_4 = \pm 1/2$. In the vector meson helicity frame, this projection is equal to $m_2 = \lambda_5 - \lambda_6 = -1, 0, +1$ if leptons and $m_2 = 0$ if π . The decay amplitude, $A_i(\lambda_i)$, of each resonance can be written similarly as in Eq. (2), requiring only that the kinematics of its decay products are fixed. We obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(\lambda_1) &= \langle \lambda_1, m_1 | S^{(1)} | p_1, \theta_1, \phi_1; \lambda_3, \lambda_4 \rangle = \mathcal{M}_\Lambda(\lambda_3, \lambda_4) D_{\lambda_1 m_1}^{1/2*}(\phi_1, \theta_1, 0) , \\ A_2(\lambda_2) &= \langle \lambda_2, m_2 | S^{(2)} | p_2, \theta_2, \phi_2; \lambda_5, \lambda_6 \rangle = \mathcal{M}_V(\lambda_5, \lambda_6) D_{\lambda_2 m_2}^{1*}(\phi_2, \theta_2, 0) , \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where θ_1 and ϕ_1 are respectively the polar and azimuthal angles of the proton momentum in the Λ rest frame while θ_2 and ϕ_2 are those of $\ell^-(h^-)$ in the V rest frame.

2.2 Analytical form of the decay probability

The general decay amplitude⁶, \mathcal{A}_I , for the process⁷, $\Lambda_b(M_i) \rightarrow \Lambda(\lambda_1)V(\lambda_2) \Rightarrow P\pi^- \ell^+\ell^-$, must include all the possible intermediate states so that a sum over the helicity states (λ_1, λ_2) is performed:

$$\mathcal{A}_I = \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} A_0(M_i) A_1(\lambda_1) A_2(\lambda_2) . \quad (5)$$

The decay probability, $d\sigma$, depending on the amplitude, \mathcal{A}_I , takes the form,

$$d\sigma \propto \sum_{M_i, M_i'} \rho_{M_i M_i'}^{\Lambda_b} \mathcal{A}_I \mathcal{A}_I^* , \quad (6)$$

where the polarization density matrix, $\rho_{M_i M_i'}^{\Lambda_b}$, is used to take into account the unknown Λ_b spin component, M_i . Since the helicities of the final particles are not measured, a summation over the helicity values $\lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5$ and λ_6 is performed as well. Finally, the decay probability, $d\sigma$, written in a such way that only the intermediate resonance helicities appear, reads as,

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma \propto \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_1', \lambda_2'} D_{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \lambda_1' - \lambda_2'}(\theta, \phi, 0) \rho_{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \lambda_1' - \lambda_2'}^{\Lambda_b} \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \\ \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}^*(\lambda_1', \lambda_2') F_{\lambda_1 \lambda_1'}^\Lambda(\theta_1, \phi_1) G_{\lambda_2 \lambda_2'}^V(\theta_2, \phi_2) , \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $F_{\lambda_1 \lambda_1'}^\Lambda(\theta_1, \phi_1)$ and $G_{\lambda_2 \lambda_2'}^V(\theta_2, \phi_2)$ describing the decay dynamics of the intermediate resonances $\Lambda \rightarrow P\pi^-$ and $V \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$, respectively, are given in Appendix. Because of parity violation in weak hadronic decays, it is assumed that $\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is not equal to $\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(-\lambda_1, -\lambda_2)$.

⁶We assume that the three decay amplitudes, $A_0(M_i)$, $A_1(\lambda_1)$ and $A_2(\lambda_2)$ are independent so that the general amplitude, \mathcal{A}_I , is given by the product of the three amplitudes, $A_i(\lambda_i)$.

⁷In a similar way for the process $\Lambda_b(M_i) \rightarrow \Lambda(\lambda_1)V(\lambda_2) \Rightarrow P\pi^- h^+h^-$.

3 Factorization procedure

In tree approximation, the effective interaction⁸, \mathcal{H}^{eff} , written as,

$$\mathcal{H}^{eff} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{qb} V_{qs}^* \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i(m_b) O_i(m_b) , \quad (8)$$

gives the weak following amplitude factorized into,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda V) = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{qb} V_{qs}^* f_V E_V \left(c_1 + \frac{c_2}{N_c^{eff}} \right) \langle \Lambda | \bar{s} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b | \Lambda_b \rangle . \quad (9)$$

The CKM matrix elements, $V_{qb} V_{qs}^*$, read as $V_{ub} V_{us}^*$ and $V_{cb} V_{cs}^*$, in case of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \rho$ and $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda J/\Psi$, respectively. The Wilson Coefficients, c_i , are equal to $c_1 = -0.3$ and $c_2 = +1.15$. The hadronic matrix element, $\langle \Lambda | \bar{s} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b | \Lambda_b \rangle$, can be derived respecting Lorentz decomposition. Working in HQET, it is more convenient to use [7],

$$\langle \Lambda | \bar{s} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b | \Lambda_b \rangle = \bar{u}_\Lambda \left[\{ F_1(q^2) + \not{v} F_2(q^2) \} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \right] u_{\Lambda_b} , \quad (10)$$

where the four-velocity of Λ_b is $v = P_{\Lambda_b}/M_{\Lambda_b}$. The momentum transfer is denoted by $q = P_{\Lambda_b} - P_\Lambda$ and $F_i(q^2)$ are the form factors⁹ involved in the transition $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda$. The final amplitude¹⁰, $\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda V)$, depending on the helicity state, $(\lambda_\Lambda, \lambda_V)$, reads as,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda V) = \begin{cases} -\frac{P_V}{E_V} \left(\frac{m_{\Lambda_b} + m_\Lambda}{E_\Lambda + m_\Lambda} F^-(q^2) + 2F_2(q^2) \right); & (\lambda_\Lambda, \lambda_V) = (\frac{1}{2}, 0) , \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{P_V}{E_\Lambda + m_\Lambda} F^-(q^2) + F^+(q^2) \right); & (\lambda_\Lambda, \lambda_V) = (-\frac{1}{2}, -1) , \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{P_V}{E_\Lambda + m_\Lambda} F^-(q^2) - F^+(q^2) \right); & (\lambda_\Lambda, \lambda_V) = (\frac{1}{2}, 1) , \\ \left(F^+(q^2) + \frac{P_V^2}{E_V(E_V + m_\Lambda)} F^-(q^2) \right); & (\lambda_\Lambda, \lambda_V) = (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) . \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

The q^2 dependence of the transition form factors, $F_i(q^2)$, or $(F^\pm(q^2))$, resulting from QCD sum rules and HQET [8] takes the form as it follows,

$$F_i(q^2) = \frac{F(0)}{1 - a \frac{q^2}{m_{\Lambda_b}^2} + b \frac{q^4}{m_{\Lambda_b}^4}} , \quad (12)$$

where the following values $(0.462, -0.0182, -1.76 \times 10^{-4})$ and $(-0.077, -0.0685, 1.46 \times 10^{-3})$ correspond to $(F(0), a, b)$ in case of $F_1(q^2)$ and $F_2(q^2)$, respectively. We refer to the PDG [9] for all the numerical values used in our analysis.

⁸All the terms of the effective interaction are extensively defined in literature.

⁹We define $F^\pm(q^2) = F_1(q^2) \pm F_2(q^2)$, for convenience.

¹⁰In Eq. (11), the factor, $\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{qb} V_{qs}^* f_V E_V \left(c_1 + \frac{c_2}{N_c^{eff}} \right)$, is not written only for simplicity.

4 Results

Departing from the previous relations, physical observables like the helicity asymmetry parameter, $\alpha_{AS}^{\Lambda_b}$, the polarization density matrices, $\rho^{V,\Lambda}$, and the branching ratios, $\mathcal{BR}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda\rho^0)$ and $\mathcal{BR}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda J/\Psi)$, can be evaluated.

Owing to the spin 1/2 of the Λ_b , the angular momentum projection along the helicity axis (which direction is given by the Λ vector-momentum) has only two values, $M_i = \pm 1/2$, with respective weights generally different. The helicity asymmetry parameter, $\alpha_{AS}^{\Lambda_b}$, defined in Eq. (14), takes the following values:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{AS}^{\Lambda_b} &= 98.8\% \text{ for } \Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda\rho^0 , \\ \alpha_{AS}^{\Lambda_b} &= 77.7\% \text{ for } \Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda J/\Psi .\end{aligned}$$

From these results, the angular momentum projection, $M_i = 1/2$, appears to be largely dominant in the analyzed decays.

The Λ -polarization, $\mathcal{P}_\Lambda = \rho_{ii}^\Lambda - \rho_{jj}^\Lambda$, with ρ_{ii}^Λ defined in Eq. (17), can be computed in both decay cases. After normalization of \mathcal{P}_Λ , we obtain the values, $\mathcal{P}_\Lambda = +31\%$, and $\mathcal{P}_\Lambda = -9\%$, for $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda\rho^0$ and $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda J/\psi$, respectively. The other important parameter concerning the spin state of the intermediate resonances is the density matrix element, ρ_{ij}^V , defined in Eq. (20). Let us focus on the matrix element, ρ_{00}^V , which is related to the longitudinal polarization of the vector meson V. After calculation, 65.5% and 55.5% are the results for the density matrix element, ρ_{00}^V , in case of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda\rho^0$ and $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda J/\psi$, respectively. It is important to notice that these parameters, $\alpha_{AS}^{\Lambda_b}$ and ρ_{ij}^V , (as well as ρ_{ij}^Λ) govern entirely the angular distributions, $W_i(\theta_i, \phi_i)$, of the final particles in each resonance frame.

In Fig. 2, are shown the polar angular distributions (which do not depend on Λ_b initial polarization) for proton and $l(h)$ coming respectively from Λ and V decays. In the same figure, the transverse momentum distributions, P_\perp^P and P_\perp^π (Λ daughters) given in the Λ_b rest frame, are plotted. These distributions look to be discriminant in the investigation of Λ_b decay observables.

Finally, the last step is the computation of the branching ratios, $\mathcal{BR}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda\rho^0)$ and $\mathcal{BR}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda J/\psi)$, which requires the calculation of their corresponding widths. The standard expression of a decay width, $\Gamma(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda V)$, is given by,

$$\Gamma(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda V) = \frac{E_\Lambda + M_\Lambda}{M_{\Lambda_b}} \frac{P_V}{16\pi^2} \int_\Omega |A_0(M_i)|^2 d\Omega ,$$

where E_Λ and P_V are respectively the energy and momentum of the Λ baryon and vector meson in the Λ_b rest frame. Ω corresponds to the decay solid angle. Performing all the calculations and keeping the number of color, N_c^{eff} , to vary between the values 2 and 3 as it is suggested by the factorization hypothesis, we obtain the following branching ratio results:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{BR}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda\rho^0) &= (34.0 , 11.4 , 3.1) \times 10^{-8} , \\ \mathcal{BR}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda J/\psi) &= (12.5 , 4.4 , 1.2) \times 10^{-4} ,\end{aligned}$$

respectively for $N_c^{eff} = 2, 2.5$ and 3. These interesting results suggest that the effective number of color might be taken greater than 2.5 in the framework of the factorization

hypothesis in case of Λ_b decay. It is worth comparing the theoretical branching ratio, $\mathcal{BR}^{th}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda J/\psi)$, with the experimental one [9], $\mathcal{BR}^{exp}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda J/\psi) = (4.7 \pm 2.1 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-4}$.

5 Conclusion

Calculations of the angular distributions as well as branching ratios of the process $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda V$ with $\Lambda \rightarrow P\pi^-$ and $V \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ or $V \rightarrow h^+h^-$ have been performed by using the helicity formalism and stressing on the correlations which arise among the final decay products. In all these calculations, particular role of the Λ_b polarization has been put into evidence. The initial polarization, \mathcal{P}_{Λ_b} , appears explicitly in the polar angle distribution of the Λ hyperon in the Λ_b rest-frame. Similarly, the azimuthal angle distributions of both proton and ℓ^- in the Λ and V frames, respectively, depend directly on the Λ_b polarization. Furthermore, a first computation of the asymmetry parameter, α_{As} , in Λ_b decays into $\Lambda V(1^-)$ has been performed as well as the longitudinal polarization of the vector meson, ρ_{00}^V , which is shown to be dominant ($\geq 56\%$).

On the other hand, it is well known that the violation of CP symmetry via the CKM mechanism is one of the corner-stone of the Standard Model of particle physics. Looking for TR violation effects in baryon decays provides us a new field of research: firstly as a complementary test of CP violation by assuming the correctness of the CPT theorem and, secondly, as a possibility to search for processes beyond the Standard Model. In particular, triple product correlations, which are T -odd under time reversal, can be extensively investigated in Λ_b decays. However, this latter aim requires both experimental and theoretical improvements in order to increase our knowledge of b -physics.

Acknowledgments

The authors are indebted to their colleagues of the LHCb Clermont-Ferrand team for internal discussions regarding this promising research subject of Time Reversal.

Appendix

A Angular distributions

A.1 $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda V$ decay

Writing the hadronic matrix element, $\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, into two parameters according to the final helicity value such as,

$$|\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(\pm 1/2)|^2 = |\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(\pm 1/2, 0)|^2 + |\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(\mp 1/2, \mp 1)|^2, \quad (13)$$

and by introducing the helicity asymmetry parameter, $\alpha_{As}^{\Lambda_b}$, defined by,

$$\alpha_{As}^{\Lambda_b} = \frac{|\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(+1/2)|^2 - |\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(-1/2)|^2}{|\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(+1/2)|^2 + |\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(-1/2)|^2}, \quad (14)$$

the final angular distribution, $W(\theta, \phi)$, deduced¹¹ from Eq. (7) and expressed as

$$W(\theta, \phi) \propto 1 + \alpha_{AS}^{\Lambda_b} \mathcal{P}_{\Lambda_b} \cos \theta + 2\alpha_{AS}^{\Lambda_b} \Re \left[\rho_{ij}^{\Lambda_b} \exp(-i\phi) \right] \sin \theta , \quad (15)$$

puts into evidence the parity violation.

A.2 $\Lambda \rightarrow P\pi^-$ decay

From Eq. (7), integrating over the angles θ, ϕ, θ_2 and ϕ_2 and summing over vector helicity states, the general formula for proton angular distributions, $W_1(\theta_1, \phi_1)$, in the Λ frame reads as,

$$W_1(\theta_1, \phi_1) \propto \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\rho_{ii}^{\Lambda} + \rho_{jj}^{\Lambda}) + (\rho_{ii}^{\Lambda} - \rho_{jj}^{\Lambda}) \alpha_{AS}^{\Lambda} \cos \theta_1 - \frac{\pi}{2} \mathcal{P}_{\Lambda_b} \alpha_{AS}^{\Lambda} \Re \left[\rho_{ij}^{\Lambda} \exp(i\phi_1) \right] \sin \theta_1 \right\} , \quad (16)$$

where the PDM elements, ρ_{ij}^{Λ} , of the baryon Λ are (to a normalization factor):

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{ii}^{\Lambda} &= \int_{\theta_2, \phi_2} G_{00}^V(\theta_2, \phi_2) |\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(\pm 1/2, 0)|^2 + \int_{\theta_2, \phi_2} G_{\pm 1 \pm 1}^V(\theta_2, \phi_2) |\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(\pm 1/2, \pm 1)|^2 , \\ \rho_{ij}^{\Lambda} &= \int_{\theta_2, \phi_2} G_{00}^V(\theta_2, \phi_2) \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(-1/2, 0) \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}^*(1/2, 0) . \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The hermitian matrix, $G_{\lambda_2 \lambda_2'}^V(\theta_2, \phi_2)$, describing the process, $V \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$ or $V \rightarrow h^+ h^-$, has the following form:

$$G_{\lambda_2 \lambda_2'}^V(\theta_2, \phi_2) = \sum_{\lambda_5, \lambda_6} |\mathcal{M}_V(\lambda_5, \lambda_6)|^2 d_{\lambda_2 m_2}^1(\theta_2) d_{\lambda_2' m_2}^1(\theta_2) \exp i(\lambda_2 - \lambda_2') \phi_2 , \quad (18)$$

with $m_2 = \lambda_5 - \lambda_6$. In case of lepton pair in the final state, because of parity conservation, two hadronic matrix elements, $\mathcal{M}_V(\frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2})$, are necessary whereas only one, $\mathcal{M}_V(0, 0)$, is required in case of pseudo-scalar mesons.

A.3 $V \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- (h^+ h^-)$

Vector meson, V , decaying into a lepton pair or a hadronic one is described by the (3×3) hermitian matrix $G_{\lambda_i \lambda_i'}^V(\theta_i, \phi_i)$. The angular distributions, $W_2(\theta_2, \phi_2)$, in the V rest-frame, are obtained by integrating Eq. (7) over the angles $\theta, \phi, \theta_1, \phi_1$ and summing over the two Λ helicity states:

$$W_2(\theta_2, \phi_2) \propto (\rho_{ii}^V + \rho_{jj}^V) (G_{00}^V(\theta_2, \phi_2) + G_{\pm 1 \pm 1}^V(\theta_2, \phi_2)) - \frac{\pi}{4} \mathcal{P}_{\Lambda_b} \Re \left[\rho_{ij}^V \exp(i\phi_2) \right] \sin 2\theta_2 , \quad (19)$$

¹¹Integrating Eq. (7) over the angles $\theta_1, \phi_1, \theta_2$ and ϕ_2 , and summing over the helicities λ_3, λ_5 and λ_6 .

where the PDM elements, ρ_{ij}^V , of the meson V are (to a normalization factor):

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{ii}^V &= \int_{\theta_1, \phi_1} F_{\lambda_1 \lambda'_1}^\Lambda(\theta_1, \phi_1) \left[\delta_{\lambda_2 \lambda'_2} |\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(\pm 1/2, 0)|^2 + \delta_{\lambda_2 \pm \lambda'_2} |\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(\pm 1/2, \pm 1)|^2 \right], \\ \rho_{ij}^V &= \int_{\theta_1, \phi_1} F_{\lambda_1 \lambda'_1}^\Lambda(\theta_1, \phi_1) \left[\left\{ \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(1/2, 0) \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}^*(1/2, 1) + h.c. \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left\{ \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}(-1/2, 0) \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_b}^*(-1/2, -1) + h.c. \right\} \right] \mathcal{M}_{V \rightarrow hh(l)}, \quad (20)\end{aligned}$$

where, $\mathcal{M}_{V \rightarrow hh(l)}$, takes the following form according to the given decay:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{V \rightarrow hh(l)} &= |\mathcal{M}_V(0, 0)|^2, \quad \text{for } V \rightarrow h^+ h^-, \\ \mathcal{M}_{V \rightarrow hh(l)} &= |\mathcal{M}_V(1/2, -1/2)|^2 - 2|\mathcal{M}_V(+1/2, +1/2)|^2, \quad \text{for } V \rightarrow l^+ l^-. \end{aligned}$$

The function, $F_{\lambda_1 \lambda'_1}^\Lambda(\theta_1, \phi_1)$, containing the decay dynamical part of $\Lambda \rightarrow P\pi$ has the form,

$$\begin{aligned}F_{\lambda_1 \lambda'_1}^\Lambda(\theta_1, \phi_1) &= \exp i(\lambda_1 - \lambda'_1)\phi_1 \\ &\left(|\mathcal{M}_\Lambda(+1/2, 0)|^2 d_{\lambda_1 1/2}^{1/2}(\theta_1) d_{\lambda'_1 1/2}^{1/2}(\theta_1) + |\mathcal{M}_\Lambda(-1/2, 0)|^2 d_{\lambda_1 -1/2}^{1/2}(\theta_1) d_{\lambda'_1 -1/2}^{1/2}(\theta_1) \right), \quad (21)\end{aligned}$$

where two hadronic matrix elements, $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda(\pm 1/2, 0)$, are necessary to fully describe the intermediate resonance.

References

- [1] W. Bensalem, A. Datta and D. London, Phys. Lett. B **538**, 309 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0205009].
- [2] C. H. Chen, C. Q. Geng and J. N. Ng, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **115**, 263 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ph/0210067].
- [3] R. Gatto, Nucl. Phys. **5**, 183 (1958).
- [4] G. Valencia, arXiv:hep-ph/9411441.
- [5] E. Leader, ‘‘Spin in Particle Physics,’’ Cambridge University Press (2001).
- [6] J. Jackson, ‘‘Resonance Decays,’’ High Energy Physics, 1965, Les Houches lectures, edited by C. DeWitt and M. Jacob (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1966).
- [7] T. Mannel, W. Roberts and Z. Ryzak, Nucl. Phys. B **355**, 38 (1991).
- [8] C. S. Huang and H. G. Yan, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 114022 (1999) [Erratum-ibid. D **61**, 039901 (2000)] [arXiv:hep-ph/9811303].
- [9] S. Eidelman *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **592** (2004) 1.




Figure 1: Left-handed: Λ_b decay in its transversity frame. Right-handed: helicity frames for the Λ and vector meson V decays, respectively.




Figure 2: First two columns: polar angular distributions in the intermediate resonance rest-frames for the following values of \mathcal{P}_Λ and ρ_{00}^V parameters: (31%, 65.5%) in the case of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda\rho^0$ (upper histograms: $\cos\theta_P$ (left side) and $\cos\theta_{\pi^-}$ (right side)), and (-9%, 55.5%) in the case of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda J/\psi$ (lower histograms: $\cos\theta_P$ (left side) and $\cos\theta_{\mu^-}$ (right side)). Third column: proton and pion (Λ daughters) transverse momentum, P_\perp , in the Λ_b rest-frame, in the case of $\Lambda\rho^0$ channel (dashed line) and $\Lambda J/\psi$ channel (full line), respectively. Upper histogram for proton P_\perp -spectra and lower histogram for pion P_\perp -spectra.