

# Finite temperature excitations of a trapped Bose gas

D. A. W. Hutchinson and E. Zaremba

*Department of Physics, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L 3N6*

A. Griffin

*Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 1A7*

(January 22, 2018)

We present a detailed study of the temperature dependence of the condensate and noncondensate density profiles of a Bose-condensed gas in a parabolic trap. These quantities are calculated self-consistently using the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov equations within the Popov approximation. Below the Bose-Einstein transition the excitation frequencies have a relatively weak temperature dependence even though the condensate is strongly depleted. As the condensate density goes to zero through the transition, the excitation frequencies are strongly affected and approach the frequencies of a noninteracting gas in the high temperature limit.

PACS Numbers: 03.75.Fi, 05.30.Jp, 67.40.Db

Since the recent discovery of Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) in ultracold trapped atomic gases [1,2], there have been a number of calculations of the collective excitations in such systems. These calculations [3–6] have mainly concentrated on the excitations at  $T = 0$  using the standard Bogoliubov equations, which assume that all the atoms are in the Bose condensate. Recent experimental results for rubidium [7] and sodium [8] are in good agreement with these  $T = 0$  calculations, which give the frequencies as a function of the number of atoms. However, at finite temperatures where the condensate is strongly depleted, a generalization of these theories is needed. The simplest theory which includes the effect of the noncondensate atoms in a self-consistent manner is the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (HFB) approximation [9].

In this Letter, we use a simplified version of the HFB approximation originally introduced by Popov [10] in the study of uniform Bose gases close to the transition and discussed more recently [11,9] as a useful collisionless approximation over a wide range of temperatures. The Popov approximation ignores the anomalous (or off-diagonal) correlations present in the full HFB theory. Within this approximation, we calculate *self-consistently* the condensate  $n_c(\mathbf{r})$  and noncondensate  $\tilde{n}(\mathbf{r})$  density profiles at  $T = 0$  as well as at finite temperatures. Our present results are the first self-consistent calculation of these quantities within the HFB-Popov theory which avoids the semiclassical approximation for the quasiparticle excitations used previously [12], or various other simplifications [13]. Our calculations also provide the HFB-Popov excitation frequencies, which at low temperatures are the collective modes of the condensate. We find that these modes are weakly temperature-dependent in a range of temperatures below  $T_c$ , even when the condensate is strongly depleted. At temperatures above  $T_c$ , the lowest excitation frequencies approach the excitation energies of noninteracting particles in a parabolic well.

Starting with the equation of motion for the Bose field operator  $\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{r})$ , and its decomposition  $\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \Phi(\mathbf{r}) + \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r})$  in terms of condensate and noncondensate parts [3,9],

the condensate wavefunction  $\Phi(\mathbf{r})$  is defined within the Popov approximation by the generalized Gross-Pitaevskii equation [9]

$$\left[ -\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) + g[n_c(\mathbf{r}) + 2\tilde{n}(\mathbf{r})] \right] \Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \mu\Phi(\mathbf{r}). \quad (1)$$

Here,  $n_c(\mathbf{r}) \equiv |\Phi(\mathbf{r})|^2$  and  $\tilde{n}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \langle \tilde{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r})\tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$  are the condensate and noncondensate densities, respectively. The Popov approximation [9–11] consists of omitting the anomalous correlation  $\langle \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r})\tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$ , but keeping  $\tilde{n}(\mathbf{r})$ . The condensate wavefunction in Eq.(1) is normalized to  $N_c$ , the total number of particles in the condensate.  $V_{ext}(\mathbf{r})$  is the external confining potential and  $g = 4\pi\hbar^2 a/m$  is the interaction strength determined by the  $s$ -wave scattering length  $a$ . The condensate eigenvalue is given by the chemical potential  $\mu$  [14].

The usual Bogoliubov transformation,  $\tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i [u_i(\mathbf{r})\hat{\alpha}_i - v_i^*(\mathbf{r})\hat{\alpha}_i^\dagger]$ , to the new Bose operators  $\hat{\alpha}_i$  and  $\hat{\alpha}_i^\dagger$  leads to the coupled HFB-Popov equations [9]

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{L}}u_i(\mathbf{r}) - gn_c(\mathbf{r})v_i(\mathbf{r}) &= E_i u_i(\mathbf{r}) \\ \hat{\mathcal{L}}v_i(\mathbf{r}) - gn_c(\mathbf{r})u_i(\mathbf{r}) &= -E_i v_i(\mathbf{r}), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

with  $\hat{\mathcal{L}} \equiv -\nabla^2/2m + V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) + 2gn(\mathbf{r}) - \mu \equiv \hat{h}_0 + gn_c(\mathbf{r})$ . These equations define the quasiparticle excitation energies  $E_i$  and the quasiparticle amplitudes  $u_i$  and  $v_i$ . Once these quantities have been determined, the noncondensate density is obtained from the expression [3,9]

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{n}(\mathbf{r}) &= \sum_i \{ |v_i(\mathbf{r})|^2 + [|u_i(\mathbf{r})|^2 + |v_i(\mathbf{r})|^2] N_0(E_i) \} \\ &\equiv \tilde{n}_1(\mathbf{r}) + \tilde{n}_2(\mathbf{r}), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $\tilde{n}_1(\mathbf{r})$  is that part of the density which reduces to the ground-state noncondensate density as  $T \rightarrow 0$ . The component  $\tilde{n}_2(\mathbf{r})$  depends upon the Bose distribution  $N_0(E_i) = (e^{\beta E_i} - 1)^{-1}$  and vanishes in the  $T \rightarrow 0$  limit.

Rather than solving the coupled equations in Eq.(2) directly, we introduce a new method based on the auxiliary functions  $\psi_i^{(\pm)}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv u_i(\mathbf{r}) \pm v_i(\mathbf{r})$ . From Eq.(2), these are found to be solutions of the uncoupled equations

$$\hat{h}_0^2 \psi_i^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}) + 2gn_c(\mathbf{r})\hat{h}_0 \psi_i^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}) = E_i^2 \psi_i^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (4)$$

$$\hat{h}_0^2 \psi_i^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}) + 2g\hat{h}_0 n_c(\mathbf{r})\psi_i^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}) = E_i^2 \psi_i^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}). \quad (5)$$

The two functions are related to each other by  $\hat{h}_0 \psi_i^{(+)} = E_i \psi_i^{(-)}$ . We note that the collective modes of the condensate can be shown to have an associated density fluctuation given by  $\delta n_i(\mathbf{r}) \propto \Phi(\mathbf{r})\psi_i^{(-)}(\mathbf{r})$ .

To solve Eq.(4) we introduce the normalized eigenfunction basis defined as the solutions of  $\hat{h}_0 \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_\alpha \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ . The lowest energy solution gives the condensate wavefunction  $\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{N_0} \phi_0(\mathbf{r})$  with eigenvalue  $\varepsilon_0 = 0$ . Using the expansion  $\psi_i^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_\alpha c_\alpha^{(i)} \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{r})$  in Eq.(4), we obtain the eigenvalue equation [15]

$$\sum_\beta \{M_{\alpha\beta} + \varepsilon_\alpha \delta_{\alpha\beta}\} \varepsilon_\beta c_\beta^{(i)} = E_i^2 c_\alpha^{(i)}, \quad (6)$$

where the matrix  $M_{\alpha\beta} \equiv 2g \int d\mathbf{r} \phi_\alpha^*(\mathbf{r}) n_c(\mathbf{r}) \phi_\beta(\mathbf{r})$ . The orthonormality of the  $u$  and  $v$  functions [3] in Eq.(2) requires that the expansion coefficients  $c_\alpha^{(i)}$  be normalised as  $\sum_\alpha \varepsilon_\alpha c_\alpha^{(i)*} c_\alpha^{(j)} = E_i \delta_{ij}$ . The two parts of the noncondensate density  $\tilde{n}(\mathbf{r})$  as defined in Eq.(3) are given in terms of the  $c_\alpha^{(i)}$  through the expressions

$$\tilde{n}_1(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_i \left| \sum_\alpha \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon_\alpha}{E_i}\right) c_\alpha^{(i)} \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \right|^2 \quad (7)$$

and

$$\tilde{n}_2(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \left\{ \left| \sum_\alpha c_\alpha^{(i)} \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \right|^2 + \left| \sum_\alpha \frac{\varepsilon_\alpha}{E_i} c_\alpha^{(i)} \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \right|^2 \right\} \times N_0(E_i). \quad (8)$$

The calculational procedure can be summarized for an arbitrary confining potential as follows: Eq.(1) is first solved self-consistently for  $\Phi(\mathbf{r})$ , with  $\tilde{n}(\mathbf{r})$  set to zero. Once  $\Phi(\mathbf{r})$  is known, the eigenfunctions of  $\hat{h}_0$  required in the expansion of the excited state amplitudes are generated numerically. The matrix problem in Eq.(6) is then set up to obtain the eigenvalues  $E_i$ , and the corresponding eigenvectors  $c_\alpha^{(i)}$  are used to evaluate the noncondensate density. This result is inserted into Eq.(1) and the process is iterated to convergence. At each step, the normalization of the condensate wave function is defined by  $\int d\mathbf{r} |\Phi(\mathbf{r})|^2 = N - \tilde{N}$ , where  $\tilde{N}$  is the total number of noncondensate particles.

As an illustration of this procedure, we consider an isotropic harmonic potential  $V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} m \omega_0^2 r^2$ , for which the order parameter  $\Phi(\mathbf{r})$  is a spherically symmetric function. In addition, we use the following parameters

[1,7]:  $m(^{87}\text{Rb}) = 1.44 \times 10^{-25}$  kg,  $\nu_0 = \omega_0/2\pi = 200$  Hz and an  $s$ -wave scattering length of  $a \simeq 110a_0 = 5.82 \times 10^{-9}$  m. Throughout we express lengths and energies in terms of the characteristic oscillator length  $d = (\hbar/m\omega_0)^{1/2} = 7.62 \times 10^{-7}$  m and the characteristic trap energy  $\hbar\omega_0 = 1.32 \times 10^{-31}$  J, respectively. A convenient dimensionless parameter [4–6] describing the effective strength of the interactions is  $\gamma \equiv Na/d$ , which is proportional to the ratio of the average interaction energy  $g\bar{n}$  (where  $\bar{n} = N/d^3$ ) to the characteristic energy level spacing  $\hbar\omega_0$ . In the absence of  $\tilde{n}(\mathbf{r})$  in Eqs.(1) and (2), the various properties of the condensate scale [4,5] with this single parameter  $\gamma$ .

For a spherical trap, the eigenfunctions of  $\hat{h}_0$  and the quasiparticle excitations can be classified according to the angular momentum  $l$ . The number of excitations generated for a given  $l$  is determined by the dimension of the eigenfunction expansion and is chosen sufficiently large to ensure convergence of the noncondensate density as determined by the sum over all modes in Eqs.(7) and (8). The noncondensate density profile is shown as a sum of contributions from each angular momentum  $l$  in Fig. 1(a) for  $N = 2000$  atoms. The central core of the noncondensate is made up from the set of excitations with  $l = 0$ .

The fraction of atoms in the noncondensate at  $T = 0$  is shown in Fig. 1(b) as a function of the number of atoms  $N$  in the droplet. This fraction does not exceed 0.5% of the total at  $T = 0$  for the range of  $N$  considered and the parameters given above. Having most of the atoms in the condensate is in sharp contrast with the situation in superfluid  $\text{He}^4$  where 90% of the atoms are outside the condensate at  $T = 0$ . The monotonic increase of  $\tilde{N}$  with  $N$  is due to the dependence of the coupling between the  $u$  and  $v$  functions in the HFB-Popov equations on the effective interaction strength  $\gamma$ . The latter increases with  $N$  and therefore leads to an increasing number of excitations out of the condensate. Since  $\tilde{N}$  is a small fraction of the total at  $T = 0$ , it is to a very good approximation a function of the single parameter  $\gamma$ . The value of  $\tilde{N}$  for other interaction strengths and harmonic potentials can therefore be obtained from the results in Fig. 1(b) by a simple scaling with  $\gamma$ .

With increasing temperature, the noncondensate density increases by virtue of the Bose distribution term in Eq.(3). We note that  $\tilde{n}_1(\mathbf{r})$  depends weakly on temperature and is rapidly dominated by  $\tilde{n}_2(\mathbf{r})$  at elevated temperatures. In Fig. 2(a), we show our self-consistent results for  $\tilde{n}(\mathbf{r})$  for  $N = 2000$  atoms for a range of temperatures below the transition temperature of approximately 100 nK (see below). The insert to Fig. 2 compares  $\tilde{n}(\mathbf{r})$  and  $n_c(\mathbf{r})$  at  $T = 75\text{nK}$ . The trapped atomic gas has a two-component structure [1,13] at elevated temperatures, with a dense core of condensed atoms sitting on top of a diffuse gaseous cloud of excited atoms with a long tail [16]. Although the noncondensate density in Fig.2(b) looks small in comparison to the condensate,

both have an approximately equal number of atoms at this temperature because of the  $r^2$  weighting of the integrated density. We also see that  $\tilde{n}(\mathbf{r})$  develops a peak at the edge of the condensate with increasing temperature. This is the analogue of the sharp peak found in the semiclassical Thomas-Fermi approximation [13] in which the condensate has a sharp cutoff at some radius  $R_0$ .

In Fig. 3(a) we show the total number of atoms  $N_c$  in the condensate as a function of temperature for a 2000 atom droplet. It can be seen that  $N_c$  is falling to zero at approximately 100 nK, which is close to the BEC transition temperature  $T_c$  predicted by the semiclassical approximation [17,18]. Although there is no sharp transition for a finite system, there is still a characteristic temperature above which  $N_c$  is small. One way of defining this temperature is to fit the temperature variation of  $N_c$  to the functional form  $N_c(T) = N_c(0)[1 - (T/T_c)^\alpha]$ , treating  $T_c$  and  $\alpha$  as fitting parameters. This functional form provides a reasonable parameterization over a wide intermediate range of temperatures below  $T_c$ . The values of  $T_c$  extracted in this way are found to scale approximately as  $N^{1/3}$ , which is the  $N$ -dependence found for a trapped, noninteracting Bose gas. As can be seen from Fig. 3(b), the numerical values of  $T_c$  found here are slightly smaller than the ideal gas values, in agreement with recent theoretical [12] and experimental [19] results. The value of  $\alpha$  extracted from the fits is 2.3, as compared to the ideal Bose gas value [18] of 3.

The size of the noncondensate  $\tilde{n}(\mathbf{r})$  is, of course, determined by the quasiparticle excitations which deplete the condensate. The mode frequencies for the lowest modes of angular momentum  $l = 0, 1$  and  $2$  are shown in Fig. 4(a) for  $T = 0$ . The  $l = 0$  and  $l = 2$  mode frequencies split apart from the degenerate harmonic potential eigenvalue as the effective interaction strength  $\gamma$  (or  $N$ , for fixed  $a/d$ ) is increased. The  $l = 0$  mode is a ‘‘breathing-type’’ mode which is influenced by the compressibility of the condensate, and increases in frequency as a result of the repulsive interactions between the atoms. On the other hand, the  $l = 2$  quadrupole mode is a shape resonance controlled by the surface tension of the drop and is seen to decrease in frequency with increasing  $N$ . Due to the small magnitude of the noncondensate, these frequencies are very similar to the recent results at  $T = 0$  of Singh and Rokhsar [5], Stringari [6] and others [4] in which the noncondensate was ignored.

The lowest lying  $l = 1$  mode is the center-of-mass mode of the condensate. It lies very close to, but not exactly at,  $\omega_0$ . According to the generalized Kohn theorem for parabolic confinement [20], one would expect a  $l = 1$  mode at precisely  $\omega_0$  corresponding to a rigid oscillation of the total droplet density. This property is satisfied in the Bogoliubov approximation [5,6] in which all particles are in the condensate. However, in the HFB-Popov approximation, the condensate is effectively moving in the presence of the ‘external’ potential  $V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) + 2g\tilde{n}(\mathbf{r})$ , which deviates from the ideal parabolic form. It is only because  $2g\tilde{n}(\mathbf{r})$  is a small perturbation in the present case

that the generalized Kohn theorem is so nearly satisfied. An improved approximation in which the dynamics of the noncondensate is treated on an equal footing with that of the condensate is needed to recover the true center-of-mass mode in which both components of the density oscillate together.

We have also examined the quasiparticle excitations as a function of temperature. Due to convergence difficulties at the higher temperatures [16], we show in Fig. 4(b) results for a smaller droplet containing  $N = 200$  atoms, which has a transition in the middle of the accessible temperature range. The behaviour for larger drops is qualitatively similar [23]. For temperatures below the BEC transition, the Bose broken symmetry leads quite generally to the equivalence of the single-particle and density fluctuation spectra [21]. However, in the normal phase, this equivalence no longer holds and the HFB single-particle excitations shown in Fig.4(b) above  $T_c$  cannot be identified with the density fluctuation spectrum. We observe that the splitting between the  $l = 0$  and  $l = 2$  modes decreases as  $n_c(\mathbf{r})$  goes to zero through the transition temperature, and both modes tend to the harmonic excitation frequency of  $2\omega_0$ . The  $l = 1$  dipole excitation remains close to  $\omega_0$  for all temperatures. The explanation of the high temperature behaviour is straightforward. Once  $n_c(\mathbf{r})$  goes to zero, the single-particle excitations reduce to the normal HF excitations for the self-consistent potential  $V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) + 2gn(\mathbf{r})$ . Since the total density  $n(\mathbf{r})$  becomes spread out at temperatures above  $T_c$ , the HF interaction potential provides a fairly constant potential energy shift to the harmonic confining potential and the lowest excitation energies reduce to those of a noninteracting gas in a harmonic well.

In conclusion, we have presented a detailed study of the behaviour of the condensate and noncondensate density profiles as a function of temperature within the Popov approximation to the full HFB equations of motion [9]. Although we have only considered isotropic parabolic wells, our method based on Eqs.(4)-(6) can also be used for anisotropic, as well as anharmonic, traps. Previous theoretical studies [13,22,12] have made use of simplified semiclassical approximations, whereas our results are based on a fully self-consistent numerical treatment of the HFB-Popov equations. We have also determined the temperature dependence of the excitations through the BEC transition and find an interesting evolution from collective to single-particle-like behaviour. Our work emphasizes the need for more experimental studies in this temperature range. A study of the full HFB equations including the off-diagonal correlations neglected in the Popov approximation will be reported elsewhere.

This work was supported by grants from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

- [1] M. H. Anderson et al., *Science* **269**, 198 (1995).
- [2] K. B. Davies et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 3969 (1995).
- [3] A. L. Fetter, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **70**, 67 (1972).
- [4] M. Edwards et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 1671 (1996).
- [5] K. G. Singh and D. S. Rokhsar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 1667 (1996).
- [6] S. Stringari, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 2360 (1996).
- [7] D. S. Jin et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 420 (1996).
- [8] M.-O. Mewes et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 992 (1996).
- [9] A. Griffin, *Phys. Rev. B* **53**, 9341 (1996) and references therein.
- [10] V. N. Popov, *Functional Integrals and Collective Modes* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1987), Ch. 6.
- [11] H. Shi, G. Verachaka and A. Griffin, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 1119 (1994).
- [12] S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, preprint (cond-mat/9607117); and private communication.
- [13] V. V. Goldman, I. F. Silvera and A. J. Leggett, *Phys. Rev. B* **24**, 2870 (1981).
- [14] This is strictly true only when the number of noncondensate particles is a small fraction of the total. Near and above  $T_c$ , the chemical potential is fixed as in Ref. [13].
- [15] An analogous formalism has been developed in the study of electronic magnetoplasmons: E. Zaremba and H. C. Tso, *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 8147 (1994) and E. Zaremba, *Phys. Rev. B* **53**, 10512 (1996).
- [16] The tail is made up of higher angular momentum states and its accurate calculation is limited at higher temperatures by the necessary truncation of the numerical basis set. For  $N = 2000$ , the error in the total noncondensate fraction begins to exceed a few percent at  $0.8T_c$ .
- [17] S. R. de Groot, G. J. Hooyman and C. A. Ten Seldam, *Proc. R. Soc. (London), series A* **203**, 266 (1950).
- [18] V. Bagnato, D. E. Pritchard and D. Kleppner, *Phys. Rev. A* **35**, 4354 (1987).
- [19] M.-O. Mewes et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 416 (1996).
- [20] See J. F. Dobson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73**, 2244 (1994) and references therein.
- [21] A. Griffin, *Excitations in a Bose-Condensed Liquid* (Cambridge, New York, 1993), Ch. 5 and references therein.
- [22] T. T. Chou, C. N. Yang and L. H. Yu, *Phys. Rev. A* **53**, 4257 (1996).
- [23] Variations in the quasiparticle excitation energies in Eq.(2) are mainly determined by variations in  $n_c(\mathbf{r})$ , which depends on both  $N$  and  $T$ . The weaker  $N$ -dependence of the frequencies with increasing  $N$  (see Fig.4(a)) implies a similar weakening of the temperature dependence below  $T_c$  for larger  $N$ .

FIG. 1. (a) Noncondensate density at  $T = 0$  for 2000 rubidium atoms in an isotropic parabolic trap. The innermost curve corresponds to the  $l = 0$  excitations, and each successive curve includes the contribution of the next angular momentum. The insert (b) shows the variation of the noncondensate fraction (in percent) at  $T = 0$  as a function of  $N$ .

FIG. 2. (a) Noncondensate density for 2000 rubidium atoms at various temperatures. (b) The noncondensate (solid) and condensate (dashed) densities at  $T = 75$  nK.

FIG. 3. (a) The number of atoms within the condensate for  $N = 2000$ , as a function of temperature. (b) The critical temperature vs  $N$  as calculated self-consistently using the HFB-Popov equations (solid line) compared to the ideal Bose gas result  $T_c = (\hbar\omega_0/k_B)(N/\zeta(3))^{1/3}$  (dashed line).

FIG. 4. (a) Lowest mode frequencies (in units of the trap frequency  $\omega_0$ ) for angular momenta  $l = 0, 1$  and  $2$ , as a function of the number of atoms in the trap at  $T = 0$ . (b) Temperature variation of the frequencies for  $N = 200$ . The lower part of the panel shows  $N_c$  vs  $T$ .







