

On the choice of the two tuning parameters for nonparametric estimation of an elliptical distribution generator

Victor Ryan* and Alexis Derumigny*[†]

September 2, 2024

Abstract

Elliptical distributions are a simple and flexible class of distributions that depend on a one-dimensional function, called the density generator. In this article, we study the non-parametric estimator of this generator that was introduced by Liebscher [9]. This estimator depends on two tuning parameters: a bandwidth h – as usual in kernel smoothing – and an additional parameter a that control the behavior near the center of the distribution. We give an explicit expression for the asymptotic MSE at a point x , and derive explicit expressions for the optimal tuning parameters h and a . Estimation of the derivatives of the generator is also discussed. A simulation study shows the performance of the new methods.

Keywords: elliptical distribution, kernel smoothing, optimal bandwidth.

MSC: 62H12, 62G05.

1 Introduction

Elliptical distributions are a well-known family of distributions, generalizing spherically symmetric distributions (which are invariant by rotations). In many situations, elliptical distributions allow easy computations such as the Value-at-Risk in finance, see e.g. [11]. They also facilitate the inference and, in some sense, avoid the curse of dimensionality. Indeed, a continuous d -dimensional elliptical distribution is parametrized by its mean $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$, its matrix Σ assumed to be positive definite of dimension $d \times d$ and its density generator $g: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. We say that a random vector \mathbf{X} follows the elliptical distribution $\mathcal{E}(\mu, \Sigma, g)$ if its density is

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = |\Sigma|^{-1/2} g((\mathbf{x} - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mu)), \text{ for any } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

This construction reduces the complexity of the problem by reducing the estimation of a d -dimensional density function $f_{\mathbf{X}}$ to the estimation of the generator g , a 1-dimensional function.

Estimation of the generator g has already been studied, first by [12] who proposed a kernel-based estimator. This estimator was then improved by [9]. An alternative estimator based on sieves was proposed by [1]. Estimators of g based on nonparametric maximum likelihood estimation via isotonic regression or splines were proposed by [2] under the assumption that g is monotone. On a related area, elliptical distributions

*Department of Applied Mathematics, Delft University of Technology, Mekelweg 4, 2628 CD, Delft, Netherlands.

[†]Corresponding author, email: a.f.f.derumigny@tudelft.nl.

are the cornerstone of elliptical copula models, which also relies on a generator that needs to be estimated. Several properties of this model, including identifiability conditions, and an estimation algorithm have been studied in [4]. In a Bayesian framework, [8] proposes to estimate the generator of an elliptical copula using B-splines.

In this article, we are interested by the nonparametric estimation of g in a general context, assuming only some smoothness conditions on g . For this, we will rely on Liebscher’s kernel-based estimator [9] defined for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$\widehat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi) := \frac{\xi^{-\frac{d+2}{2}} \psi'_a(\xi)}{n h s_d} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[K \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h} \right) + K \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h} \right) \right], \quad (1)$$

where

- $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$ is an i.i.d. sample from the law $\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, g)$,
- $\xi_i := (\mathbf{X}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})$,
- $h = h(n) > 0$ is the bandwidth and K is a one-dimensional kernel such that $K(0) = 1$, K is symmetric and $\int K = 1$.
- $a > 0$ is a second tuning parameter and $\psi_a(\xi) := -a + (a^{d/2} + \xi^{d/2})^{2/d}$.
- $s_d := \pi^{d/2} / \Gamma(d/2)$, where Γ is the Gamma function.

The density $f_{\mathbf{X}}$ can then be estimated by $\widehat{f}_{n,h,a}(\mathbf{x}) := |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-1/2} \widehat{g}_{n,h,a}((\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}))$. The estimator defined in (1) depends on two tuning parameters: the bandwidth h , which is a classical tuning parameter for kernel smoothing, and the “extra” tuning parameter a , which helps to reduce the bias at 0. In the case $a = 0$, i.e. $\psi_a(\xi) = \xi$, this estimator reduces to the estimator introduced in [12].

In order to use the estimator $\widehat{g}_{n,h,a}$, both tuning parameters h and a need to be chosen. [9] shows that the estimator $\widehat{g}_{n,h,a}$ is uniformly convergent and asymptotically normal for any choice of a . However, the finite-distance behavior strongly depends on the choice of a , and also on the choice of h , as usual in kernel smoothing.

Therefore, in this article, we study the impact of the choice of h and a on the performance of $\widehat{g}_{n,h,a}$. In Section 2, we compute the MSE and discuss the optimal choice of h . Section 3 present a new method for the estimation of the derivatives of the generator. New methods for choosing a are presented in Section 4. Finite distance properties of the estimator are presented in a simulation study in Section 5. The proposed methodology has been implemented in the package `ElliptCopulas` [5]: functions `ElldistrEst.adapt` for the adaptive choice of a and h , and `ElldistrDerivEst` for the estimation of the derivatives.

Notations. For a given generator g , and a given $a > 0$, we define the functions \widetilde{g} , z_a , ρ_a by

$$\widetilde{g}(\xi) := \xi^{(d-2)/2} g(\xi), \quad z_a(\xi) := \widetilde{g}(\xi) / \psi'_a(\xi), \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_a := z_a \circ \psi_a^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

for any $\xi > 0$. These functions will be useful because the factor $\xi^{(d-2)/2}$ arises naturally when doing the change of variable to spherical coordinates (see Lemma D.2 and the discussion therein).

2 MSE and optimal choice of h

We start by giving a first-order expansion for the both the bias and the variance of the estimator $\widehat{g}_{n,h,a}$. This theorem is proved in Section A.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $h, a > 0$. Assume that ρ_a is three times continuously differentiable in a neighborhood of $\psi_a(\xi)$ for some $\xi > 0$. Then*

$$\text{Bias}_{n,h,a}(\xi) := \mathbb{E}[\widehat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi)] - g(\xi) = h^2 \times \frac{\psi'_a(\xi) \rho''_a(\psi_a(\xi))}{2 \xi^{(d-2)/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(w) w^2 dw + O(h^3),$$

and

$$\text{Var}[\widehat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi)] = \frac{\psi'_a(\xi) g(\xi) \|K\|_2^2}{n h s_d \xi^{(d-2)/2}} + o((nh)^{-1}) + O(n^{-1}),$$

as $h \rightarrow 0$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We remark that the rates involved in the latter theorem do not depend on the dimension d . This confirms that such an elliptical assumption allows to avoid the curse of dimensionality. This theorem is similar to classical results on univariate kernel smoothing, featuring $\|K\|_2^2$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} K(w) w^2 dw$, as well as the rates h^2 for the bias and $1/(nh)$ for the variance, see e.g. [13, Section 1.2].

The mean-square error is then

$$\text{MSE}_{n,h,a}(\xi) := \mathbb{E}[(\widehat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi) - g(\xi))^2] = \text{AMSE}_{n,h,a} + o(h^4) + o((nh)^{-1}) + O(n^{-1}),$$

where the asymptotic MSE is defined by

$$\text{AMSE}_{n,h,a} := \frac{C_1}{nh} \psi'_a(\xi) + C_2 \frac{h^4}{4} [\psi'_a(\xi) \cdot \rho''_a(\psi_a(\xi))]^2,$$

for two positive constants C_1, C_2 defined by

$$C_1 := \frac{\|K\|_2^2}{s_d} \frac{g(\xi)}{\xi^{(d-2)/2}}, \quad C_2 := \frac{1}{\xi^{d-2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} K(w) w^2 dw \right)^2.$$

This asymptotic mean square error depends on the two tuning parameters h and a . Since this expression has a simpler dependence on h , we start by computing the optimal h that minimizes the AMSE for any given a .

Proposition 2.2. *Let $n > 0$, g be a generator and $a > 0$. Let $A := a^{d/2}$. Then $h \mapsto \text{AMSE}_{n,h,a}$ is minimized for the choice h_{opt} defined by*

$$h_{\text{opt}}(n, a) := n^{-1/5} \left(\frac{C_1}{C_2} \right)^{1/5} \frac{\psi'_a(\xi)}{\left| \widetilde{\text{AMSE}}_A \right|^{2/5}}, \quad (3)$$

and

$$\text{AMSE}_{n,h_{\text{opt}},a} = n^{-4/5} \left((C_1 C_2)^{4/5} + C_1^{4/5} C_2^{1/5} \right) \left| \widetilde{\text{AMSE}}_A \right|^{2/5}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\widetilde{\text{AMSE}}_A := \frac{\rho''_a(\psi_a(\xi))}{\psi'_a(\xi)} = \widetilde{g}''(\xi) + \frac{\widetilde{C}_3 A^2 + \widetilde{C}_4 A + \widetilde{C}_5}{(\xi^{d/2} + A)^2}, \quad (5)$$

and the constants are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{C}_3 &:= \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} (3(d-2)\tilde{g}(\xi) - 6\xi\tilde{g}'(\xi)), & \tilde{C}_4 &:= \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} (\tilde{g}(\xi)(d-4) - 6\tilde{g}'(\xi)\xi^{(d+2)/2}), \\ \tilde{C}_5 &:= \frac{d^2-4}{4\xi^2} \tilde{g}(\xi).\end{aligned}$$

This proposition is proved in Section B. Note that, for the optimal choice of h , the AMSE decouples the role of n and a . Indeed, Equation (4) decomposes the AMSE with the bandwidth h_{opt} as a product of three terms: the classical rate of convergence $n^{-4/5}$, a constant term that only depends on $g(\xi)$, and the term $\widehat{\text{AMSE}}_A$ that only depends on a and on the generator g . This means that any asymptotically optimal a_{opt} only depends on the normalized generator $\tilde{g}(\xi)$, and not on the sample size n , unlike the asymptotically optimal bandwidth h_{opt} . Furthermore, note that the asymptotically optimal bandwidth h_{opt} can also be decomposed into three terms, in the same way as the AMSE itself.

3 Estimation of the derivatives of the generator

Followed by Proposition 2.2, it appears that the AMSE depends on the derivatives of ρ . This means that we need to know the derivatives of the generator, since ρ depends on g . In practice, we will not know the function g , and so its derivatives as well. Therefore, we decided to estimate the derivatives of g .

The construction of the estimators for the derivatives follow a similar method as [7], but adjusted for elliptical distributions. First, we fix two integers k and m such that $m \geq k + 2$ and $m - k$ is even. We introduce the estimator

$$\widehat{\eta}_{k,n,h,a}(\xi) = \frac{1}{nh^{k+1}s_d} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[K_k \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h} \right) + K_k \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h} \right) \right],$$

where K_k is a kernel function of order (k, m) , i.e. K_k is assumed to satisfy

$$\int K_k(x)x^j dx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, m-1, \\ (-1)^k k! & \text{if } j = k, \\ \mu_m(K_k) \neq 0 & \text{if } j = m. \end{cases}$$

As noted by [7], we can construct kernel K_k of order (k, m) by differentiating k times a kernel function K of order $m - k$.

Surprisingly, for $k > 0$ the estimator $\widehat{\eta}_{k,n,h,a}(\xi)$ does not converge to a function of $g^{(k)}(\xi)$. Instead, it consistently estimates the unknown quantity $\rho_a^{(k)}(\psi_a(\xi))$. This claim is stated in the following proposition and it is proved in Section E.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that the kernel K_k has a compact support and ρ_a is k -times continuously differentiable in a neighborhood of $\psi_a(\xi)$ for some $\xi > 0$. Then we have*

$$\mathbb{E} [\widehat{\eta}_{k,n,h,a}(\xi)] = \rho_a^{(k)}(\psi_a(\xi)) + \mu_m(K_k) \frac{h^{m-k}}{m!} \rho_a^{(m)}(\psi_a(\xi)) + O(h^{m-k+1}). \quad (6)$$

$$\text{Var} [\widehat{\eta}_{k,n,h,a}(\xi)] \sim \frac{g(\xi) \|K_k\|_2^2}{nh^{2k+1}s_d}. \quad (7)$$

However, it is possible to consistently estimate $g^{(k)}$ using $\widehat{\eta}_{0,n,h,a}, \dots, \widehat{\eta}_{k,n,h,a}$. This is a consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *For every $k \geq 0$, there exists $k + 1$ functions $\alpha_{0,k}(\xi), \dots, \alpha_{k,k}(\xi)$ such that*

$$\eta_{k,a}(\xi) := \rho_a^{(k)}(\psi_a(\xi)) = \sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_{i,k}(\xi) g^{(i)}(\xi),$$

and the functions $\alpha_{i,k}$ do not depend on g , but only on a and d . Furthermore, $\alpha_{k,k}(\xi) \neq 0$.

This lemma is proved in Section D.2.1, where a general formula for α is given in Equation (32). By Lemma 3.2, we know that $[\eta_{0,a}, \dots, \eta_{k,a}]^\top = [\alpha_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} \times [g, \dots, g^{(k)}]^\top$, where $\alpha_{i,j} := 0$ for $i > j$. In this sense, estimating the derivatives of g can be seen as a linear inverse problem with a triangular matrix. This allows for a straightforward estimation of $g^{(k)}$ by inverting the matrix $[\alpha_{i,j}]$. Indeed, one can write:

$$g^{(k)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{k,k}} \eta_{k,a} - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{\alpha_{i,k}}{\alpha_{k,k}} g^{(i)},$$

from which a consistent estimator can be derived as

$$\widehat{g^{(k)}} := \frac{1}{\alpha_{k,k}} \widehat{\eta}_{k,n,h,a} - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{\alpha_{i,k}}{\alpha_{k,k}} \widehat{g^{(i)}}.$$

As a particular case, for $k = 0$, $\rho_a^{(0)}(\psi_a(\xi)) = \xi^{(d-2)/2} g(\xi) / \psi'_a(\xi)$, hence $\alpha_{0,0}(\xi) = \xi^{(d-2)/2} / \psi'_a(\xi)$. We can recognize that the estimator $\widehat{g^{(0)}}$ is exactly Liebscher's estimator, which was indeed introduced for the estimation of $g = g^{(0)}$. For $k = 1$, we obtain

$$\rho'_a(\psi_a(\xi)) = (\widehat{g}(\xi) / \psi'_a(\xi))' \times \frac{1}{\psi'_a(\xi)} = \frac{(d-2)\xi^{(d-4)/2} \psi'_a(\xi) - 2\xi^{(d-2)/2} \psi''_a(\xi)}{2\psi'_a(\xi)^3} \times g(\xi) + \frac{\xi^{(d-2)/2}}{\psi'_a(\xi)^2} \times g'(\xi).$$

This means that a consistent estimator of $g'(\xi)$ can be computed using the following estimator

$$\widehat{g}'_{n,h,a}(\xi) := \left(\widehat{\eta}_{1,n,h,a}(\xi) - \frac{(d-2)\xi^{(d-4)/2} \psi'_a(\xi) - 2\xi^{(d-2)/2} \psi''_a(\xi)}{\psi'_a(\xi)^3} \times \widehat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi) \right) \times \frac{\psi'_a(\xi)^2}{\xi^{(d-2)/2}}.$$

For $k = 2$, we can use the formula above, or equivalently, Lemma D.5, which suggests to define the following consistent estimator of $g''(\xi)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{g}''_{n,h,a}(\xi) &:= \frac{\widehat{\eta}_{2,n,h,a}(\xi) [\psi'_a(\xi)]^3}{\xi^{(d-2)/2}} + \frac{\psi''_a(\xi) \times [\xi \widehat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi) + 2\xi \widehat{g}'_{n,h,a}(\xi) + (d-2)\widehat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi)] + \widehat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi) \psi'''_a(\xi)}{\xi \psi'_a(\xi)} \\ &+ \left(\frac{\psi''_a(\xi)}{\psi'_a(\xi)} \right)^2 \left(\widehat{g}'_{n,h,a}(\xi) - 2\widehat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi) + \frac{d-2}{2} \frac{\widehat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi)}{\xi} \right) - (d-2) \frac{\widehat{g}'_{n,h,a}(\xi)}{\xi} - \frac{(d-2)(d-4)}{4} \frac{\widehat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi)}{\xi^2}. \end{aligned}$$

4 Data-driven choice of the tuning parameters

4.1 Estimation of $\widetilde{\text{AMSE}}$

By Proposition 2.2, we know that $\widetilde{\text{AMSE}}_A := \rho''_a(\psi_a(\xi)) / \psi'_a(\xi)$. Note that $\psi'_a(\xi)$ is a known function, and that $\rho''_a(\psi_a(\xi))$ can be consistently estimated by $\widehat{\eta}_{k,n,h,a}(\xi)$. This suggests to introduce an estimator of $\widetilde{\text{AMSE}}$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\text{AMSE}}_{n,h,a} &:= \frac{\widehat{\eta}_{2,n,h,a}(\xi)}{\psi'_a(\xi)} \\ &= \frac{1}{nh s_d \psi'_a(\xi)} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[K_2 \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h} \right) + K_2 \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h} \right) \right]\end{aligned}$$

where K_2 is a kernel of order $(2, 2)$. As a consequence of Proposition 3.1, we obtain the asymptotic expansions for the bias and the variance of $\widehat{\text{AMSE}}_{n,h,a}$.

Corollary 4.1. *As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{\text{AMSE}}] = \widetilde{\text{AMSE}}_A + \mu_m(K_2) \frac{h^2 \rho_a^{(4)}(\psi_a(\xi))}{4! \psi'_a(\xi)} + O(h^3),$$

and

$$\text{Var} \left[\widehat{\text{AMSE}} \right] \sim \frac{g(\xi) \|K_k\|_2^2}{nh^{2k+1} s_d (\psi'_a(\xi))^2}.$$

Remember that our goal is to find the value of a (or equivalently, of A) that minimizes $\widetilde{\text{AMSE}}$. Since we have a consistent estimator $\widehat{\text{AMSE}}$ of $\widetilde{\text{AMSE}}$, we can use it as a proxy for the true quantity $\widetilde{\text{AMSE}}$. Therefore, a value of a that minimizes the approximated criteria $\widehat{\text{AMSE}}$ will be close to the true minimizer a_{opt} of $\widetilde{\text{AMSE}}$. From this approximate minimizer, we can then compute the (approximate) optimal bandwidth using Equation (3). This equation requires the knowledge of $\widetilde{\text{AMSE}}$ (that we already estimated) and of C_1 . C_1 can be estimated replacing $g(\xi)$ by the kernel-based estimator $\widehat{g}_{n,h_2,\widehat{a}}(\xi)$ for another first-step bandwidth h_2 . Note that we could use the same first-step bandwidth as for the estimation of $\widetilde{\text{AMSE}}$, i.e. $h_1 = h_2$. Nevertheless, this may not be a good idea in practice because estimation of $\widetilde{\text{AMSE}}$ depends on the properties of $\widehat{\eta}_{2,n,h,a}$. On the contrary, estimation of $g(\xi)$ depends on the properties of $\widehat{\eta}_{0,n,h,a}$ whose convergence rates (and therefore, optimal bandwidth) are different (see Proposition 3.1). From an estimator

$$\widehat{C}_1 := \frac{\|K\|_2^2 \widehat{g}_{n,h_2,\widehat{a}}}{s_d \xi^{(d-2)/2}} \quad (8)$$

of C_1 and $\widehat{\text{AMSE}}_{n,h_1,\widehat{a}}$, we can compute an approximate optimal bandwidth by

$$\widehat{h}(n, \widehat{a}) := n^{-1/5} \left(\frac{\widehat{C}_1}{C_2} \right)^{1/5} \frac{\psi'_a(\xi)}{\left| \widehat{\text{AMSE}}_{n,h_1,\widehat{a}} \right|^{2/5}}. \quad (9)$$

Finally, we can compute Liebscher's estimator (1) of $g(\xi)$ using \widehat{h} and \widehat{a} . To sum up, we propose the following procedure detailed in Algorithm 1.

4.2 Direct optimization of $\widetilde{\text{AMSE}}$

Since $\partial A / \partial a = (d/2)a^{d/2-1} > 0$, we only need to investigate the critical point of $\widetilde{\text{AMSE}}_A$ to obtain a critical point of $\text{AMSE}(\widehat{g}_{n,h_{\text{opt}},a}(\mathbf{x}))$. Note that it can also be minimized at $\widetilde{\text{AMSE}}_A = 0$. The following result is proved in Section C.

Algorithm 1: Choice of optimal tuning parameters and estimation of $g(\xi)$

Input: Dataset, first-step bandwidths h_1, h_2

For every value of a **do**

 | Compute the approximate criteria $\widehat{\text{AMSE}}_{n, h_1, a}$;

end

Compute $\widehat{a} := \arg \min_a |\widehat{\text{AMSE}}_{n, h_1, a}|$;

Compute $\widehat{g}_{n, h_2, \widehat{a}}(\xi)$;

Compute \widehat{C}_1 from $\widehat{g}_{n, h_2, \widehat{a}}(\xi)$ by Equation (8) ;

Compute $\widehat{h}(n, \widehat{a})$ by Equation (9) ;

Output: An estimator $\widehat{g}_{n, \widehat{h}, \widehat{a}}(\xi)$ using the (approximate) optimal tuning parameters

Proposition 4.2. Let $\Delta(\xi) := \widetilde{g}(\xi)/\widetilde{g}'(\xi)$ and

$$A^* = \frac{2(d+2)\Delta - \Delta(d-4)\xi^{(d-2)/2} + 6\xi^d}{6\xi^{d/2}(d-2)\Delta - \Delta(d-4) - 6\xi^{(d+2)/2}}. \quad (10)$$

There exists a computable value $A_{\text{opt}}(g) \in \{0, +\infty, A^*\}$ depending only on $g(\xi)$, $g'(\xi)$ and d such that $A \mapsto \widehat{\text{AMSE}}_A$ is minimized for A tending to $A_{\text{opt}}(g)$.

Interestingly, the computation of the optimal tuning parameter a_{opt} (or equivalently, A_{opt}) only requires the knowledge of $g(\xi)$ and $g'(\xi)$, while the computation of the optimal value h_{opt} of the bandwidth also requires the knowledge of the second derivative $g''(\xi)$.

When we have chosen our value a , there remains to choose the tuning parameter h . For this, we can rely on the optimal h given by Equation (3) which is

$$h_{\text{opt}}(n, a) := n^{-1/5} \left(\frac{C_1}{C_2} \right)^{1/5} \frac{\psi'_a(\xi)}{\widehat{\text{AMSE}}_A^{2/5}},$$

However, this still does depend on the knowledge of C_1 , which will not be available in practice. Since $C_1 := \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{\pi^{d/2}} \xi^{(-d+2)/2} g(\xi) \|K\|_2^2$, it can be estimated by using \widehat{g} with a first-step bandwidth in order to get an estimate of $g(\xi)$. This problem does not exist for C_2 which only depends on the choice of the kernel K .

5 Simulation study

In the previous sections, we have studied asymptotic properties of the estimators. As a complement to these theoretical results, we now empirically study the properties of the estimators for a finite sample size. For this, we use a Monte-Carlo approach with 200 replications. As a default family, we choose the Gaussian generator. These simulations were run on a few nodes on the DelftBlue supercomputer [3].

In the first simulation, we use a sample size $n = 5000$. On this sample, we apply Liebscher's estimator (1) with different values of the tuning parameters a and h . We study how these tuning parameters affect the mean integrated square error (MISE) defined by

$$\text{MISE} := \mathbb{E} \left[\int (\widehat{g}(x) - g(x))^2 dx \right],$$

for a given estimator \hat{g} of g . We approximate the integral by a discrete sum over the points $\{i/10, i = 1, \dots, 50\}$. The corresponding results are displayed in Figure 1. There seems to be an optimal combination of a and h that minimizes the MISE.

As expected, there exist values of h and a that minimizes the MISE. Note that, for each h there is a best value a_{opt} of a that minimizes the MISE. This optimal value of a depends on h and also on the sample size n (in general). To see the effect of the sample size n , we display the MISE as a function of n and h , where a is chosen as the optimal parameter. Figure 2 shows that the optimal tuning parameter h decreases as a function of n ; as expected the best MISE is also a decreasing function of the sample size.

To find more about the behavior of a_{opt} , we have displayed its value as a function of h and n in Figure 3. We overlay the curve of $(n, h_{\text{opt}}(n))$ to give insight about which values of h are relevant. Coherently with our theoretical findings, the value of $a_{\text{opt}}(n, h_{\text{opt}}(n))$ seems roughly constant. This means that there is a “universal” values of the tuning parameter a which allows to reach the best MISE.

The optimal value of h is given by the balance between the bias term and the variance term (Figure 4). This is the classical bias-variance trade-off in nonparametric statistics [6].

Similar phenomena can be observed for higher dimensions. We did the same simulations, but using dimensions $d \in \{4, 5, 8, 10\}$. The MISE as a function of the tuning parameters a and h is displayed for all four dimensions in Figure 5. For all of them, a value of the parameter a around 1 is close to optimal.

In general, the optimal values of the tuning parameters h_{opt} and a_{opt} depend on the true function g . In Algorithm 1, we propose a procedure to determine the best tuning parameters. However, this procedure necessitates two first-step bandwidths h_1 and h_2 . In our simulation study and for simplicity, we choose these first-step bandwidths to be equal. We compare the MSE of the first-step estimator and the MSE of the final estimator for different choices of h , and for different points x .

These results are displayed in Figure 6. We can see that the performance of the final estimator is quite insensitive to the choice of h , and allows to reach near-optimal performance without having to fine-tune the choice of h .

References

- [1] H. Battey and O. Linton. Nonparametric estimation of multivariate elliptic densities via finite mixture sieves. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 123:43–67, 2014.
- [2] S. Bhattacharyya and P. J. Bickel. Adaptive estimation in elliptical distributions with extensions to high dimensions, 2012.
- [3] Delft High Performance Computing Centre (DHPC). *DelftBlue Supercomputer (Phase 2)*, 2024. <https://www.tudelft.nl/dhpc/ark:/44463/DelftBluePhase2>.
- [4] A. Derumigny and J.-D. Fermanian. Identifiability and estimation of meta-elliptical copula generators. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 190:104962, 2022.




Figure 1: MISE as a function of the tuning parameters a and h , for a sample size of 5000 using the Gaussian generator. All axes are in log scale; labels are given in the form $1.0e+01$ with the meaning 1.0×10^{01} .




Figure 2: MISE as a function of the sample size n and the tuning parameter h . a is chosen as the best tuning parameter for each pair (n, h) . All axes are in log scale; labels are given in the form $1.0e+01$ with the meaning 1.0×10^{01} .




Figure 3: Heatmap of the optimal tuning parameter a_{opt} as a function of the sample size n and the tuning parameter h . The red curve represents the best h as a function of n . All axes are in log scale; labels are given in the form $1.0e+01$ with the meaning 1.0×10^{01} .




Figure 4: Decomposition of the MISE in terms of bias and variance, as a function of the tuning parameter h for $n = 5000$ and $a = 1$.




Figure 5: MISE as a function of the tuning parameters a and h , for a sample size of 5000 using the Gaussian generator and for different dimensions.




Figure 6: Comparison of the MSE of the first-step estimator and of the estimator given by Algorithm 1 as a function of the tuning parameter h , for a sample size of 1000 using the Gaussian generator.

- [5] A. Derumigny, J.-D. Fermanian, and V. Ryan. *ElliptCopulas: Inference of Elliptical Distributions and Copulas*, 2024. R package version 0.1.4. <https://cran.r-project.org/package=ElliptCopulas>, <https://github.com/AlexisDerumigny/ElliptCopulas>.
- [6] A. Derumigny and J. Schmidt-Hieber. On lower bounds for the bias-variance trade-off. *The Annals of Statistics*, 51(4):1510–1533, 2023.
- [7] J. Engel, E. Herrmann, and T. Gasser. An iterative bandwidth selector for kernel estimation of densities and their derivatives. *Journaltitle of Nonparametric Statistics*, 4(1):21–34, 1994.
- [8] P. Liang. *Nonparametric Estimation of Elliptical Copulas*. PhD thesis, University of Texas at El Paso, 2023.
- [9] E. Liescher. A semiparametric density estimator based on elliptical distributions. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 92(1):205–225, 2005.
- [10] E. D. Nardo and G. Guarino. *kStatistics: Unbiased Estimators for Cumulant Products and Faa Di Bruno’s Formula*, 2022. R package version 2.1.1.
- [11] J. Sadefo Kamdem. Value-at-risk and expected shortfall for linear portfolios with elliptically distributed risk factors. *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance*, 08:537–551, 2005.
- [12] W. Stute and U. Werner. Nonparametric estimation of elliptically contoured densities. *Nonparametric Functional Estimation and Related Topics*, pages 173–190, 1991.
- [13] A. B. Tsybakov. Nonparametric estimators. *Introduction to Nonparametric Estimation*, 2009.

A Proof of Theorem 2.1

A.1 Expression for the bias

Step 1: Rewriting the bias in a simpler form. Because the random vectors $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$ are identically distributed, the expectation of $\hat{g}_{n,h,a}$ can be written as

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi)] = \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{\pi^{d/2}} \frac{\xi^{(-d+2)/2} \psi'_a(\xi)}{h} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[K \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_1)}{h} \right) \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[K \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(\xi_1)}{h} \right) \right] \right)$$

First, note that for h small enough,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[K \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(\xi_1)}{h} \right) \right] = 0$$

by Lemma D.1. Now, to compute the expectation of the other term, we apply Lemma D.2, so that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[K \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_1)}{h} \right) \right] = \frac{\pi^{d/2} h}{\Gamma(d/2)} \int_{-\psi_a(\xi)/h}^{\infty} K(w) \frac{g(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh)) \cdot \psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh)^{(d-2)/2}}{\psi'_a(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh))} dw,$$

By defining now the function

$$z(\xi) := \frac{g(\xi)}{\psi'_a(\xi)} \xi^{(d-2)/2}, \tag{11}$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Bias}_{h,a}(\xi) &= \xi^{(-d+2)/2} \psi'_a(\xi) \int_{-\psi_a(\xi)/h}^{\infty} K(w) z(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh)) dw - g(\xi) \\ &= \xi^{(-d+2)/2} \psi'_a(\xi) I_1 - g(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= \int_{-\psi_a(\xi)/h}^{\infty} K(w) z(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh)) dw \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(w) \cdot z(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh)) dw - \int_{-\infty}^{-\psi_a(\xi)/h} K(w) \cdot z(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh)) dw \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We have $-\psi_a(\xi)/h \rightarrow -\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Since we assume that K has compact support, we deduce that the second term in (12) is zero for n large enough by Lemma D.1. Note that

$$g(\xi) = \frac{z(\xi) \psi'_a(\xi)}{\xi^{(d-2)/2}} = \frac{\psi'_a(\xi)}{\xi^{(d-2)/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(w) z(\xi) dw,$$

where the last equality comes from the assumption that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} K(w) dw = 1$. Therefore, we can rewrite the bias using the first term of (12) and replacing $g(\xi)$ as above,

$$\text{Bias}_{h,a}(\xi) = \xi^{(-d+2)/2} \psi'_a(\xi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(w) [z(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh)) - z(\xi)] dw.$$

Remark that $z(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh)) = (z \circ \psi_a^{-1})(\psi_a(\xi) + wh)$ and $z(\xi) = (z \circ \psi_a^{-1})(\psi_a(\xi))$. Therefore, since $\rho_a = z \circ \psi_a^{-1}$ by Equation (2), we obtain

$$\text{Bias}_{h,a}(\xi) = \xi^{(-d+2)/2} \psi'_a(\xi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(w) [\rho_a(\psi_a(\xi) + wh) - \rho_a(\psi_a(\xi))] dw. \quad (13)$$

Step 2: Application of Taylor expansion. By applying Taylor-Lagrange formula to the function ρ_a at the point $\psi_a(\xi)$, we get

$$\rho_a(\psi_a(\xi) + wh) - \rho_a(\psi_a(\xi)) = \rho'_a(\psi_a(\xi)) wh + \frac{\rho''_a(\psi_a(\xi))}{2} (wh)^2 + \frac{\rho'''_a(c_T)}{6} (wh)^3$$

where c_T lies between $\psi_a(\xi) + wh$ and $\psi_a(\xi)$. So, (13) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Bias}_{h,a}(\xi) &= \xi^{(-d+2)/2} \psi'_a(\xi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(w) \left[\rho'_a(\psi_a(\xi)) wh + \frac{\rho''_a(\psi_a(\xi))}{2} (wh)^2 + \frac{\rho'''_a(c_T)}{2} (wh)^3 \right] dw \\ &= \frac{\psi'_a(\xi)}{\xi^{(d-2)/2}} \left(\rho'_a(\psi_a(\xi)) h \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(w) w dw + h^2 \frac{\rho''_a(\psi_a(\xi))}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(w) w^2 dw + h^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(w) \frac{\rho'''_a(c_T)}{6} w^3 dw \right) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} K(w) w dw = 0$ since $K(w)$ is even and w is odd; their product is odd and therefore the integral on \mathbb{R} is zero. As for

$$h^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(w) \frac{\rho'''_a(c_T)}{6} w^3 dw,$$

the integral tends to a finite constant by Bochner's lemma. Indeed, using the assumption that ρ'''_a is continuous, we obtain that ρ'''_a is bounded on a neighborhood of $\psi_a(\|\mathbf{x}\|^2)$. We have now shown that

$$\text{Bias}_{h,a}(\xi) = h^2 \times \frac{\psi'_a(\xi) \rho''_a(\psi_a(\xi))}{2 \xi^{(d-2)/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(w) w^2 dw + O(h^3).$$

Note that we can replace ρ''_a by its expression using z and we get

$$\text{Bias}_{h,a}(\xi) = h^2 \times \frac{z''_a(\xi) \cdot \psi'_a(\xi) - \psi''_a(\xi) \cdot z'_a(\xi)}{2 \xi^{(d-2)/2} [\psi'_a(\xi)]^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(w) w^2 dw + O(h^3).$$

A.2 Expression for the variance

We know study the variance term. By definition,

$$\text{Var}(\widehat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi)) = \mathbb{E}[\widehat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi)^2] - \mathbb{E}[\widehat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi)]^2.$$

The term $\mathbb{E}[\widehat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi)^2]$ is already known, so we focus on $\mathbb{E}[\widehat{g}_{n,h,a}(\mathbf{x})^2]$. Let

$$c(\xi) := \frac{\Gamma(d/2)\xi^{-d/2+1}\psi'_a(\xi)}{\pi^{d/2}}.$$

We have

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi)^2] = \frac{c^2(\xi)}{n^2h(n)^2} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left[K \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h(n)} \right) + K \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h(n)} \right) \right] \right)^2 \right]$$

Expanding the sum, we find that:

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi)^2] = \frac{c^2(\xi)}{n^2h(n)^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (T_{1,i} + T_{2,i} + 2T_{3,i}) + \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} (T_{4,i,j} + T_{5,i,j} + T_{6,i,j} + T_{7,i,j}) \right),$$

where

$$T_{1,i} := \mathbb{E} \left[K^2 \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h(n)} \right) \right] \quad (14)$$

$$T_{2,i} := \mathbb{E} \left[K^2 \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h(n)} \right) \right] \quad (15)$$

$$T_{3,i} := \mathbb{E} \left[K \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h(n)} \right) K \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h(n)} \right) \right] \quad (16)$$

$$T_{4,i,j} := \mathbb{E} \left[K \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h(n)} \right) K \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_j)}{h(n)} \right) \right] \quad (17)$$

$$T_{5,i,j} := \mathbb{E} \left[K \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h(n)} \right) K \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(\xi_j)}{h(n)} \right) \right] \quad (18)$$

$$T_{6,i,j} := \mathbb{E} \left[K \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h(n)} \right) K \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_j)}{h(n)} \right) \right] \quad (19)$$

$$T_{7,i,j} := \mathbb{E} \left[K \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h(n)} \right) K \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(\xi_j)}{h(n)} \right) \right] \quad (20)$$

Therefore, because the random variables are identically distributed, we get

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi)^2] = \frac{c^2(\xi)}{nh(n)^2} (T_{1,1} + T_{2,1} + 2T_{3,1} + (n-1)T_{4,1,2} + (n-1)T_{5,1,2} + (n-1)T_{6,1,2} + (n-1)T_{7,1,2}),$$

Step 1. Removing terms that disappear. We start by applying Lemma D.1. This means that for all n large enough,

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi)^2] = \frac{c^2(\xi)}{nh(n)^2} (T_{1,1} + (n-1)T_{4,1,2}).$$

Step 2. Computation of an equivalent of $T_{1,1}$. We apply Lemma D.2, with K^2 instead of K , and obtain

$$T_{1,1} = \frac{\pi^{d/2}h(n)}{\Gamma(d/2)} \int_{-\psi_a(\xi)/h}^{\infty} K^2(w) \frac{g(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh(n))) \cdot \psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh)^{(d-2)/2}}{\psi'_a(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh(n)))} dw$$

and so,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c^2(\xi)}{nh(n)^2} T_{1,1} &= \frac{1}{nh(n)} \frac{\Gamma(d/2) \xi^{-d+2} \psi'_a(\xi)^2}{\pi^{d/2}} \\ &\quad \times \int_{w(0)}^{\infty} K^2(w) \frac{g(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh(n))) \cdot \psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh)^{(d-2)/2}}{\psi'_a(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh(n)))} dw \\ &\sim \frac{1}{nh(n)} \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{\pi^{d/2}} \xi^{(-d+2)/2} \psi'_a(\xi) g(\xi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K^2(w) dw, \end{aligned}$$

by Bochner's lemma.

Step 3. Computation of $T_{4,1,2}$. Since the $X_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ are independent and identically distributed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{4,1,2} &= \mathbb{E} \left[K \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_1)}{h(n)} \right) \right] \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[K \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_2)}{h(n)} \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[K \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_1)}{h(n)} \right) \right]^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[K \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_1)}{h(n)} \right) \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{h(n)^2}{c^2(\xi)} \mathbb{E} [\hat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi)]^2, \end{aligned}$$

for n large enough by Lemma D.1.

Step 4. Combining all previous results.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\hat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi)) &= \mathbb{E} [\hat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi)^2] - \mathbb{E} [\hat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi)]^2 \\ &= \frac{c^2(\xi)}{nh(n)^2} (T_{1,1} + (n-1)T_{4,1,2}) - \mathbb{E} [\hat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi)]^2 \\ &= \frac{c^2(\xi)}{nh(n)^2} (T_{1,1} + (n-1)T_{4,1,2}) - (g(\xi) - \text{Bias}_{h,a}(\xi))^2 \\ &= \frac{n-1}{n} (g(\xi) - \text{Bias}_{h,a}(\xi))^2 + \frac{c^2(\xi)}{nh(n)^2} T_{1,1} - (g(\xi) - \text{Bias}_{h,a}(\xi))^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{n} (g(\xi) - \text{Bias}_{h,a}(\xi))^2 + \frac{c^2(\xi)}{nh(n)^2} T_{1,1} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{Bias}_{h,a}(\xi) = o(1)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\hat{g}_{n,h,a}(\xi)) &= \frac{c^2(\xi)}{nh(n)^2} T_{1,1} + O(1/n) \\ &= \frac{1}{nh(n)} \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{\pi^{d/2}} \xi^{(-d+2)/2} \psi'_a(\xi) g(\xi) \|K\|_2^2 + o(1/(nh(n))) + O(1/n) \end{aligned}$$

since $h(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

B Proof of Proposition 2.2

We start from the expression of the asymptotic mean square error

$$\text{AMSE}_{n,h,a} := \frac{C_1}{nh} \psi'_a(\xi) + C_2 \frac{h^4}{4} \psi'_a(\xi)^2 \rho_a''^2(\psi_a(\xi)).$$

The partial derivative of $\text{AMSE}_{n,h,a}$ with respect to h is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial h} \text{AMSE}_{n,h,a} = -\frac{C_1}{nh^2} \psi'_a(\xi) + C_2 h^3 \psi'_a(\xi)^2 \rho_a''^2(\psi_a(\xi)).$$

Solving $\frac{\partial}{\partial h} \text{AMSE}_{n,h,a} = 0$ for h gives the optimal bandwidth

$$h_{\text{opt}}(n) = \varphi_a(\xi) n^{-1/5}, \quad (21)$$

where

$$\varphi_a(\xi) := \left(\frac{C_1}{C_2 \psi'_a(\xi) \rho_a''^2(\psi_a(\xi))} \right)^{1/5} = C_3 \frac{\psi'_a}{(z_a'' \psi'_a - \psi_a'' z_a')^{2/5}}, \quad (22)$$

and $C_3 := (C_1/C_2)^{1/5}$. The second equality in Equation (22) is a consequence of Lemma D.3, where the function z_a is defined by $z_a(\xi) := \frac{g(\xi)}{\psi'_a(\xi)} \xi^{(d-2)/2}$. Substituting h_{opt} by its expression (21) in the AMSE gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{AMSE}_{n,h_{\text{opt}},a} &= \frac{C_1}{\varphi_a(\xi) n^{4/5}} \psi'_a(\xi) + C_2 \varphi_a(\xi)^4 n^{-4/5} \psi'_a(\xi)^2 \rho_a''^2(\psi_a(\xi)) \\ &= n^{-4/5} \left(C_1 \frac{\psi'_a}{\varphi_a} + C_2 \varphi_a^4 \psi_a'^2(\rho_a''^2 \circ \psi_a) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Equation (22), we get that $\psi'_a/\varphi_a = C_3^{-1} (z_a'' \psi'_a - \psi_a'' z_a')^{2/5}$. By Lemma D.3, we also obtain

$$\varphi_a^4 \psi_a'^2(\rho_a''^2 \circ \psi_a) = C_3^4 \frac{\psi_a'^4}{(z_a'' \psi'_a - \psi_a'' z_a')^{8/5}} \psi_a'^2 \left(\frac{z_a'' \psi'_a - \psi_a'' z_a'}{\psi_a'^3} \right)^2 = C_3^4 (z_a'' \psi'_a - \psi_a'' z_a')^{2/5}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{AMSE}_{n,h_{\text{opt}},a} &= n^{-4/5} \left(\frac{C_1}{C_3} + C_2 C_3^4 \right) \frac{\rho_a''(\psi_a(\xi))^{2/5}}{\psi_a'(\xi)^{2/5}} \\ &= n^{-4/5} \left(\frac{C_1}{C_3} + C_2 C_3^4 \right) (z_a'' \psi'_a - \psi_a'' z_a')^{2/5} \\ &= n^{-4/5} \left((C_1 C_2)^{4/5} + C_1^{4/5} C_2^{1/5} \right) (z_a'' \psi'_a - \psi_a'' z_a')^{2/5} \\ &= n^{-4/5} \left((C_1 C_2)^{4/5} + C_1^{4/5} C_2^{1/5} \right) \left(\tilde{g}''(\xi) + \frac{\tilde{C}_3 A^2 + \tilde{C}_4 A + \tilde{C}_5}{(\xi^{d/2} + A)^2} \right)^{2/5}, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma D.6. The expression of h_{opt} is obtained by combining Equations (21), (22) and Lemma D.6.

C Proof of Proposition 4.2

In Proposition 2.2, we show that the asymptotic MSE with optimal bandwidth h has the following expression

$$\text{AMSE}_{n,h_{\text{opt}},a} = n^{-4/5} \left((C_1 C_2)^{4/5} + C_1^{4/5} C_2^{1/5} \right) \left(\tilde{g}''(\|\mathbf{x}\|^2) + \frac{\tilde{C}_3 A^2 + \tilde{C}_4 A + \tilde{C}_5}{(\xi^{d/2} + A)^2} \right)^{2/5},$$

where $A = a^{d/2}$. Therefore, we can minimize the function $a \mapsto \text{AMSE}_{n,h_{\text{opt}},a}$ by finding

$$A_{\text{opt}} := \operatorname{argmin}_{A>0} \left\{ \frac{\tilde{C}_3 A^2 + \tilde{C}_4 A + \tilde{C}_5}{(\xi^{d/2} + A)^2} \right\},$$

and so $a_{\text{opt}} := A_{\text{opt}}^{2/d}$ minimizes $a \mapsto \text{AMSE}_{n, h_{\text{opt}}, a}$. In this section, we analyze in which cases the minimum exists.

Followed from the definition of A_{opt} , we define the following function.

$$\kappa(A) := \frac{\tilde{C}_3 A^2 + \tilde{C}_4 A + \tilde{C}_5}{(\xi^{d/2} + A)^2} \quad (23)$$

Note that κ is a non-constant ratio of polynomials, and therefore it is an analytic function. Therefore, there exists a non-zero higher-order derivative of κ . The first derivative of κ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa'(A) &= \frac{(2\tilde{C}_3 A + \tilde{C}_4)(\xi^{d/2} + A)^2 - (2\xi^{d/2} + 2A)(\tilde{C}_3 A^2 + \tilde{C}_4 A + \tilde{C}_5)}{(\xi^{d/2} + A)^4} \\ &= \frac{(2\tilde{C}_3 A + \tilde{C}_4)(\xi^{d/2} + A) - 2(\tilde{C}_3 A^2 + \tilde{C}_4 A + \tilde{C}_5)}{(\xi^{d/2} + A)^3} \\ &= \frac{\tilde{C}_4 \xi^{d/2} + \tilde{C}_4 A + 2\tilde{C}_3 \xi^{d/2} A - 2\tilde{C}_4 A - 2\tilde{C}_5}{(\xi^{d/2} + A)^3} \\ &= \frac{A(2\tilde{C}_3 \xi^{d/2} - \tilde{C}_4) + \tilde{C}_4 \xi^{d/2} - 2\tilde{C}_5}{(\xi^{d/2} + A)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

We again differentiate κ w.r.t A to get

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa''(A) &= \frac{(2\tilde{C}_3 \xi^{d/2} - \tilde{C}_4)(\xi^{d/2} + A)^3 - 3(\xi^{d/2} + A)^2 (A(2\tilde{C}_3 \xi^{d/2} - \tilde{C}_4) + \tilde{C}_4 \xi^{d/2} - 2\tilde{C}_5)}{(\xi^{d/2} + A)^6} \\ &= \frac{(2\tilde{C}_3 \xi^{d/2} - \tilde{C}_4)(\xi^{d/2} + A) - 3(A(2\tilde{C}_3 \xi^{d/2} - \tilde{C}_4) + \tilde{C}_4 \xi^{d/2} - 2\tilde{C}_5)}{(\xi^{d/2} + A)^4} \\ &= \frac{-2A(2\tilde{C}_3 \xi^{d/2} - \tilde{C}_4) + 2\tilde{C}_3 \xi^d - 4\tilde{C}_4 \xi^{d/2} + 6\tilde{C}_5}{(\xi^{d/2} + A)^4}. \end{aligned}$$

From κ' , we determine which for which A is κ has maximum or minimum. We have the following cases:

Case 1. If $2\tilde{C}_3 \xi^{d/2} - \tilde{C}_4 = 0$, then we consider the following three sub-cases.

Sub-case 1a. If $\tilde{C}_4 \xi^{d/2} - 2\tilde{C}_5 < 0$, then $\kappa' < 0$. The function κ decreases and its minimum is attained when $A \rightarrow +\infty$.

Sub-case 1b. If $\tilde{C}_4 \xi^{d/2} - 2\tilde{C}_5 = 0$, then $\kappa' \equiv 0$. This means that κ is a constant function.

Sub-case 1c. If $\tilde{C}_4 \xi^{d/2} - 2\tilde{C}_5 > 0$, then $\kappa > 0$. Hence κ reaches its minimum as $A \downarrow 0$, since κ is an increasing function in this sub-case.

Case 2. Suppose $2\tilde{C}_3 \xi^{d/2} - \tilde{C}_4 \neq 0$. Let $A^* = (2\tilde{C}_5 - \tilde{C}_4 \xi^{d/2}) / (2\tilde{C}_3 \xi^{d/2} - \tilde{C}_4)$, then $\kappa'(A^*) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa''(A^*) &= \frac{-2(2\tilde{C}_5 - \tilde{C}_4 \xi^{d/2}) + 2\tilde{C}_3 \xi^d - 4\tilde{C}_4 \xi^{d/2} + 6\tilde{C}_5}{(C_6 + A^*)^4} \\ &= \frac{2\tilde{C}_5 + 2\tilde{C}_3 \xi^d - 6\tilde{C}_4 \xi^{d/2}}{(\xi^{d/2} + A^*)^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we determine whether the (local) extrema of κ is attained at A^* , we also have three sub-cases to consider.

Sub-case 2a. If $2\tilde{C}_5 + 2\tilde{C}_3 \xi^d - 6\tilde{C}_4 \xi^{d/2} > 0$, then $\kappa''(A^*) > 0$. There is only one extremum so the function κ has a global minimum at A^* .

Sub-case 2b. If $2\tilde{C}_5 + 2\tilde{C}_3\xi^d - 6\tilde{C}_4\xi^{d/2} < 0$, then $\kappa''(A^*) > 0$. This means that A^* is a local maximum.

However, there is only one extremum and so A^* is a global maximum. The minimum is attained at either as $A \downarrow 0$ or $A \rightarrow \infty$.

Sub-case 2c. If $2\tilde{C}_5 + 2\tilde{C}_3\xi^d - 6\tilde{C}_4\xi^{d/2} = 0$, then the minimum could be attained at $0, +\infty$ or A^* .

The expressions for $2\tilde{C}_3\xi^{d/2} - \tilde{C}_4$, $\tilde{C}_4\xi^{d/2} - 2\tilde{C}_5$ and $2\tilde{C}_5 + 2\tilde{C}_3\xi^d - 6\tilde{C}_4\xi^{d/2}$ are in Lemma D.7.

From these cases, we conclude that $a \mapsto \text{AMSE}_{n, h_{\text{opt}}, a}$ is minimized when $a \downarrow 0$, $a \rightarrow \infty$ or at $a = (A^*)^{2/d}$. The only case where we can compute a_{opt} explicitly is in the sub-case 2a. Recall that

$$A^* = \frac{2\tilde{C}_5 - \tilde{C}_4\xi^{d/2}}{2\tilde{C}_3\xi^{d/2} - \tilde{C}_4}.$$

Using the definitions of the constants given in Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^* &= \frac{2 \left[\frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} (d+2) \tilde{g}(\xi) \right] - \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} [\tilde{g}(\xi)(d-4) - 6\tilde{g}'(\xi)\xi^{(d+2)/2}] \xi^{d/2}}{2 \left[\frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} (3(d-2)\tilde{g}(\xi) - 6\tilde{g}'(\xi)\xi) \right] \xi^{d/2} - \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} [\tilde{g}(\xi)(d-4) - 6\tilde{g}'(\xi)\xi^{(d+2)/2}] \xi^{d/2}} \\ &= \frac{2 [(d+2)\tilde{g}(\xi)] - [\tilde{g}(\xi)(d-4) - 6\tilde{g}'(\xi)\xi^{(d+2)/2}] \xi^{d/2}}{2 [(3(d-2)\tilde{g}(\xi) - 6\tilde{g}'(\xi)\xi) \xi^{d/2} - [\tilde{g}(\xi)(d-4) - 6\tilde{g}'(\xi)\xi^{(d+2)/2}]]} \\ &= \frac{[2(d+2) - (d-4)\xi^{d/2}] \tilde{g}(\xi) + 6\xi^{d+1}\tilde{g}'(\xi)}{[6(d-2)\xi^{d/2} - d + 4] \tilde{g}(\xi) - 6\xi^{(d+2)/2}g'(\xi)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Delta(\xi) := \tilde{g}(\xi)/\tilde{g}'(\xi)$, then

$$A^* = \frac{\Delta(\xi) [2d + 4 - (d-4)\xi^{d/2}] + 6\xi^{d+1}}{\Delta(\xi) [6\xi^{d/2}(d-2) - d + 4] - 6\xi^{(d+2)/2}},$$

which finishes the proof of Proposition 4.2.

D Technical results

D.1 Computation of integrals

Lemma D.1. *Let ψ be a measurable function from \mathbb{R}^+ to \mathbb{R}^+ and $\xi > 0$ such that $\psi(\xi) > 0$. Assume that the kernel K has compact support and $h(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let Z be a bounded random variable. Let I_n be defined by*

$$I_n := \mathbb{E} \left[Z \cdot K \left(\frac{\psi(\xi) + \psi((\mathbf{X}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}))}{h(n)} \right) \right].$$

Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I_n = 0$ for all $n \geq N$.

Proof: Let $[a, b]$ be the support of K , and remember $\xi_i := (\mathbf{X}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})$. We have

$$I_n := \mathbb{E} \left[Z \cdot K \left(\frac{\psi(\xi) + \psi(\xi_i)}{h(n)} \right) \right] = \int z \cdot K \left(\frac{\psi(\xi) + \psi(\xi_i)}{h(n)} \right) d\mathbb{P}(\xi_i, z).$$

For any $\xi_i > 0$,

$$\frac{\psi(\xi) + \psi(\xi_i)}{h(n)} \geq \frac{\psi(\xi)}{h(n)} \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Therefore, for all n large enough, we have

$$\text{for all } \xi_1 > 0, \frac{\psi(\xi) + \psi(\xi_i)}{h(n)} > b,$$

and therefore

$$\text{for all } \xi_1 > 0, K\left(\frac{\psi(\xi) + \psi(\xi_i)}{h(n)}\right) = 0.$$

Finally, we get $I_n = 0$ for n large enough, as claimed. \square

In many computations involving elliptical distributions, one can use a well-chosen change of variable to transform an expectation over the elliptically distributed variable \mathbf{X}_1 (i.e. a d -dimensional integral) into a one-dimensional integral. This is formalized in the following Lemma, which is a consequence of the results given in [12]. They show that by using d -dimensional spherical coordinates, we can simplify these integrals (see pages 174–175 and Lemma 4.3 of [12]).

Lemma D.2. *For any $\xi > 0$, for any $a, h > 0$, for any function $K : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[K\left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_1)}{h}\right)\right] = \frac{\pi^{d/2}h}{\Gamma(d/2)} \int_{-\psi_a(\xi)/h}^{\infty} K(-w) \frac{g(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\|\mathbf{x}\|^2) + wh)) \cdot \psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh)^{(d-2)/2}}{\psi'_a(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\|\mathbf{x}\|^2) + wh))} dw.$$

Proof. We begin by doing the change of variables $\mathbf{z}_i := \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1/2}(\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})$ and then we apply Stute and Werner's [12] change of variable using the d -dimensional spherical coordinates, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[K\left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_1)}{h}\right)\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[K\left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a((\mathbf{X}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{X}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}))}{h}\right)\right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K\left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a((\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}))}{h}\right) |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-1/2} g((\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})) d\mathbf{x}_i \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K\left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\|\mathbf{z}_i\|^2)}{h}\right) |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-1/2} g(\|\mathbf{z}_i\|^2) |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{1/2} d\mathbf{z}_i \\ &= \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)} \int_0^\infty K\left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(v_1)}{h}\right) g(v_1) v_1^{(d-2)/2} dv_1. \end{aligned}$$

We now do a third change of variables

$$w := w(v_1) = \frac{-\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(v_1)}{h} \iff v_1(w) = \psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh),$$

which gives

$$\mathbb{E}\left[K\left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_1)}{h}\right)\right] = \frac{\pi^{d/2}h}{\Gamma(d/2)} \int_{w(0)}^\infty K(-w) \frac{g(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh)) \cdot v_1(w)^{(d-2)/2}}{\psi'_a(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh))} dw.$$

Noting that $w(0) = -\psi_a(\xi)/h$ finishes the proof. \square

D.2 Technical computations

We remind that z_a is the function defined by $z_a(\xi) := \frac{g(\xi)}{\psi'_a(\xi)} \xi^{(d-2)/2}$.

Lemma D.3. For any integer $d > 0$, and any $\xi, a > 0$, we have

$$\rho_a''(\psi_a(\xi)) = \frac{z_a''(\xi) \cdot \psi_a'(\xi) - \psi_a''(\xi) \cdot z_a'(\xi)}{[\psi_a'(\xi)]^3}.$$

Proof. Let $\Psi_a(t)$ be the inverse function of ψ_a , and let Ψ_a' be the derivative of Ψ_a w.r.t. t . Recall that $\rho_a = z_a \circ \Psi_a$. By differentiating this expression, we obtain $\rho_a' = (z_a' \circ \Psi_a) \cdot \Psi_a'$ and

$$\rho_a'' = (z_a' \circ \Psi_a)' \cdot \Psi_a' + (z_a' \circ \Psi_a) \cdot \Psi_a'' = (z_a'' \circ \Psi_a) \cdot (\Psi_a')^2 + (z_a' \circ \Psi_a) \cdot \Psi_a''.$$

So,

$$\rho_a''(\psi_a(\xi)) = z_a''(\xi) \cdot (\Psi_a')^2(\psi_a(\xi)) + z_a'(\xi) \cdot \Psi_a''(\psi_a(\xi)). \quad (24)$$

Since,

$$\Psi_a'(t) = \frac{1}{\psi_a'(\Psi_a(t))} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_a''(t) = -\frac{\psi_a''(\Psi_a(t))}{(\psi_a'(\Psi_a(t)))^3},$$

we get

$$(\Psi_a')^2(\psi_a(\xi)) = \frac{1}{[\psi_a'(\xi)]^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_a''(\psi_a(\xi)) = -\frac{\psi_a''(\xi)}{[\psi_a'(\xi)]^3}. \quad (25)$$

Therefore, combining Equations (24) and (25), we get

$$\rho_a''(\psi_a(\xi)) = \frac{z_a''(\xi)}{[\psi_a'(\xi)]^2} - \frac{\psi_a''(\xi) \cdot z_a'(\xi)}{[\psi_a'(\xi)]^3} = \frac{z_a''(\xi) \cdot \psi_a'(\xi) - \psi_a''(\xi) \cdot z_a'(\xi)}{[\psi_a'(\xi)]^3},$$

as claimed. □

Lemma D.4. For any integer $d > 0$, and any $\xi, a > 0$, we have

$$z_a'(\xi) = \frac{\tilde{g}'(\xi)\psi_a''(\xi) + \tilde{g}(\xi)\psi_a'(\xi)}{[\psi_a'(\xi)]^2}, \quad (26)$$

$$z_a''(\xi) = \frac{2[\psi_a''(\xi)]^2 \tilde{g}(\xi) - \psi_a'(\xi)\psi_a'''(\xi)\tilde{g}(\xi) - 2\tilde{g}'(\xi)\psi_a'(\xi)\psi_a''(\xi) + \tilde{g}''(\xi)[\psi_a'(\xi)]^2}{[\psi_a'(\xi)]^3}. \quad (27)$$

where

$$\tilde{g}(\xi) := \xi^{(d-2)/2}g(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{g}'(\xi) := \xi^{(d-2)/2}g'(\xi) + \frac{d-2}{2}\xi^{(d-4)/2}g(\xi),$$

Besides, we have that $\tilde{g}''(\xi) := (d-2)g'(\xi)\xi^{(d-4)/2} + g''(\xi)\xi^{(d-2)/2} + \frac{(d-2)(d-4)}{4}g(\xi)\xi^{(d-6)/2}$.

Proof. By Equation (11), $z_a(\xi) := g(\xi)\xi^{(d-2)/2}/\psi_a'(\xi) = \tilde{g}(\xi)/\psi_a'(\xi)$ for $\xi > 0$. Differentiating this equality gives

$$z_a'(\xi) = \frac{\tilde{g}'(\xi)\psi_a'(\xi) - \tilde{g}(\xi)\psi_a''(\xi)}{[\psi_a'(\xi)]^2}.$$

We differentiate this expression a second time to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
z''_a(\xi) &= \frac{(\tilde{g}'(\xi)\psi'_a(\xi) - \tilde{g}(\xi)\psi''_a(\xi))' [\psi'_a(\xi)]^2 - 2\psi'_a(\xi)\psi''_a(\xi)(\tilde{g}'(\xi)\psi'_a(\xi) - \tilde{g}(\xi)\psi''_a(\xi))}{[\psi'_a(\xi)]^4} \\
&= \frac{(\tilde{g}'(\xi)\psi'_a(\xi) - \tilde{g}(\xi)\psi''_a(\xi))' \psi'_a(\xi) - 2\psi''_a(\xi)(\tilde{g}'(\xi)\psi'_a(\xi) - \tilde{g}(\xi)\psi''_a(\xi))}{[\psi'_a(\xi)]^3} \\
&= \frac{(\tilde{g}'(\xi)\psi''_a(\xi) + \tilde{g}''(\xi)\psi'_a(\xi) - \tilde{g}'(\xi)\psi''_a(\xi) - \tilde{g}(\xi)\psi'''_a(\xi))\psi'_a(\xi) - 2\psi''_a(\xi)(\tilde{g}'(\xi)\psi'_a(\xi) - \tilde{g}(\xi)\psi''_a(\xi))}{[\psi'_a(\xi)]^3} \\
&= \frac{(\tilde{g}''(\xi)\psi'_a(\xi) - \tilde{g}(\xi)\psi'''_a(\xi))\psi'_a(\xi) - 2\psi''_a(\xi)(\tilde{g}'(\xi)\psi'_a(\xi) - \tilde{g}(\xi)\psi''_a(\xi))}{[\psi'_a(\xi)]^3} \\
&= \frac{\tilde{g}''(\xi)[\psi'_a(\xi)]^2 - \tilde{g}(\xi)\psi'''_a(\xi)\psi'_a(\xi) - 2\tilde{g}'(\xi)\psi''_a(\xi)\psi'_a(\xi) + 2\tilde{g}(\xi)[\psi''_a(\xi)]^2}{[\psi'_a(\xi)]^3},
\end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

Lemma D.5. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
g''(\xi) &= \frac{\rho''_a(\psi_a(\xi))[\psi'_a(\xi)]^3}{\xi^{(d-2)/2}} + \frac{\psi''_a(\xi) \times [\xi g(\xi) + 2\xi g'(\xi) + (d-2)g(\xi)] + g(\xi)\psi'''_a(\xi)}{\xi\psi'_a(\xi)} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\psi''_a(\xi)}{\psi'_a(\xi)} \right)^2 \left(g'(\xi) - 2g(\xi) + \frac{d-2}{2} \frac{g(\xi)}{\xi} \right) - (d-2) \frac{g'(\xi)}{\xi} - \frac{(d-2)(d-4)}{4} \frac{g(\xi)}{\xi^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Lemma D.3 and Lemma D.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho''_a(\psi_a(\xi)) &= \frac{2\tilde{g}(\xi)[\psi''_a(\xi)]^2 - \tilde{g}(\xi)\psi'_a(\xi)\psi'''_a(\xi) - 2\tilde{g}'(\xi)\psi'_a(\xi)\psi''_a(\xi) + \tilde{g}''(\xi)[\psi'_a(\xi)]^2}{[\psi'_a(\xi)]^5} \\
&\quad - \frac{\tilde{g}'(\xi)[\psi''_a(\xi)]^2 + \tilde{g}(\xi)\psi'_a(\xi)\psi''_a(\xi)}{[\psi'_a(\xi)]^5},
\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{g}''(\xi) &= \frac{\rho''_a(\psi_a(\xi))[\psi'_a(\xi)]^5 + \tilde{g}'(\xi)[\psi''_a(\xi)]^2 + \tilde{g}(\xi)\psi'_a(\xi)\psi''_a(\xi) + \tilde{g}(\xi)\psi'_a(\xi)\psi'''_a(\xi) + 2\tilde{g}'(\xi)\psi'_a(\xi)\psi''_a(\xi)}{[\psi'_a(\xi)]^2} \\
&\quad - \frac{2\tilde{g}(\xi)[\psi''_a(\xi)]^2}{[\psi'_a(\xi)]^2} \\
&= \rho''_a(\psi_a(\xi))[\psi'_a(\xi)]^3 + \frac{\tilde{g}'(\xi)[\psi''_a(\xi)]^2}{[\psi'_a(\xi)]^2} + \frac{\tilde{g}(\xi)\psi''_a(\xi)}{\psi'_a(\xi)} + \frac{\tilde{g}(\xi)\psi'''_a(\xi)}{\psi'_a(\xi)} + \frac{2\tilde{g}'(\xi)\psi''_a(\xi)}{\psi'_a(\xi)} - \frac{2\tilde{g}(\xi)[\psi''_a(\xi)]^2}{[\psi'_a(\xi)]^2} \\
&= \rho''_a(\psi_a(\xi))[\psi'_a(\xi)]^3 + \frac{\tilde{g}(\xi)\psi''_a(\xi) + \tilde{g}(\xi)\psi'''_a(\xi) + 2\tilde{g}'(\xi)\psi''_a(\xi)}{\psi'_a(\xi)} + \frac{\tilde{g}'(\xi)[\psi''_a(\xi)]^2 - 2\tilde{g}(\xi)[\psi''_a(\xi)]^2}{[\psi'_a(\xi)]^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of $\tilde{g}''(\xi)$ given in Lemma D.4, we express the above expression in terms of $g''(\xi)$. It

yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
g''(\xi) &= \rho_a''(\psi_a(\xi))[\psi_a'(\xi)]^3 \\
&\quad + \frac{\xi^{(d-2)/2}g(\xi)\psi_a''(\xi) + \xi^{(d-2)/2}g(\xi)\psi_a'''(\xi) + 2\xi^{(d-2)/2}g'(\xi)\psi_a''(\xi) + (d-2)\xi^{(d-4)/2}g(\xi)\psi_a''(\xi)}{\psi_a'(\xi)} \\
&\quad + \frac{[\xi^{(d-2)/2}g'(\xi) + \frac{d-2}{2}\xi^{(d-4)/2}g(\xi)][\psi_a''(\xi)]^2 - 2\xi^{(d-2)/2}g(\xi)[\psi_a''(\xi)]^2}{[\psi_a'(\xi)]^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{\xi^{(d-2)/2}} \times \left(\rho_a''(\psi_a(\xi))[\psi_a'(\xi)]^3 \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{\xi^{(d-4)/2}\psi_a''(\xi) \times [\xi g(\xi) + 2\xi g'(\xi) + (d-2)g(\xi)] + \xi^{(d-2)/2}g(\xi)\psi_a'''(\xi)}{\psi_a'(\xi)} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\psi_a''(\xi)}{\psi_a'(\xi)} \right)^2 \left(\xi^{(d-2)/2}[g'(\xi) - 2g(\xi)] + \frac{d-2}{2}\xi^{(d-4)/2}g(\xi) \right) \\
&\quad \left. - (d-2)g'(\xi)\xi^{(d-4)/2} - \frac{(d-2)(d-4)}{4}g(\xi)\xi^{(d-6)/2} \right) \\
&= \frac{\rho_a''(\psi_a(\xi))[\psi_a'(\xi)]^3}{\xi^{(d-2)/2}} + \frac{\psi_a''(\xi) \times [\xi g(\xi) + 2\xi g'(\xi) + (d-2)g(\xi)] + g(\xi)\psi_a'''(\xi)}{\xi\psi_a'(\xi)} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\psi_a''(\xi)}{\psi_a'(\xi)} \right)^2 \left(g'(\xi) - 2g(\xi) + \frac{d-2}{2}\frac{g(\xi)}{\xi} \right) - (d-2)\frac{g'(\xi)}{\xi} - \frac{(d-2)(d-4)}{4}\frac{g(\xi)}{\xi^2}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma D.6. For any $\xi > 0$, we have

$$(z_a''\psi_a' - \psi_a''z_a')(\xi) = \tilde{g}''(\xi) + \frac{\tilde{C}_3 A^2 + \tilde{C}_4 A + \tilde{C}_5}{(\xi^{d/2} + A)^2},$$

where $A = A(a) := a^{d/2}$ and

$$\tilde{C}_3 := \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} (3(d-2)\tilde{g}(\xi) - 6\tilde{g}'(\xi)\xi), \quad (28)$$

$$\tilde{C}_4 := \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} (\tilde{g}(\xi)(d-4) - 6\tilde{g}'(\xi)\xi^{(d+2)/2}), \quad (29)$$

$$\tilde{C}_5 := \frac{d^2-4}{4\xi^2} \tilde{g}(\xi), \quad (30)$$

Proof. We can express $z_a''\psi_a' - \psi_a''z_a'$ in terms of derivatives of ψ_a , by using (26) and (27). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
z_a''\psi_a' - \psi_a''z_a' &= \frac{2[\psi_a''(\xi)]^2 \tilde{g}(\xi) - \psi_a'(\xi)\psi_a'''(\xi) \tilde{g}(\xi) - 2\tilde{g}'(\xi)\psi_a'(\xi)\psi_a''(\xi) + \tilde{g}''(\xi)[\psi_a'(\xi)]^2}{[\psi_a'(\xi)]^3} \psi_a' \\
&\quad - \psi_a'' \frac{\tilde{g}'(\xi)\psi_a'(\xi) - \tilde{g}(\xi)\psi_a''(\xi)}{[\psi_a'(\xi)]^2} \\
&= \frac{3\tilde{g}(\xi)[\psi_a'']^2 - \tilde{g}(\xi)\psi_a'\psi_a''' - 3\tilde{g}'(\xi)\psi_a'\psi_a'' + \tilde{g}''(\xi)[\psi_a']^2}{[\psi_a']^2}. \quad (31)
\end{aligned}$$

We now compute explicit expressions for the derivatives of ψ_a . Remember that $\psi_a(\xi) = -a + (A + \xi^{d/2})^{2/d}$

and $\psi'_a(\xi) = \xi^{\frac{d}{2}-1}(A + \xi^{d/2})^{\frac{2}{d}-1}$. Then, differentiating $\psi'_a(\xi)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\psi''_a(\xi) &= \left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)\xi^{\frac{d}{2}-2}\left(\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}+A\right)^{\frac{2}{d}-1} + \left(\frac{2}{d}-1\right)\xi^{\frac{d}{2}-1}\left(\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}+A\right)^{\frac{2}{d}-2}\frac{d}{2}\xi^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \\ &= \left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)\xi^{\frac{d}{2}-2}\left(\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}+A\right)^{\frac{2}{d}-1} + \left(1-\frac{d}{2}\right)\xi^{d-2}\left(\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}+A\right)^{\frac{2}{d}-2} \\ &= \frac{d-2}{2}\xi^{\frac{d}{2}-2}\left(\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}+A\right)^{\frac{2}{d}-2}\left(\left(\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}+A\right)-\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}\right) \\ &= \frac{d-2}{2}\xi^{\frac{d}{2}-2}A\left(\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}+A\right)^{\frac{2}{d}-2}.\end{aligned}$$

This can be recognized as

$$\psi''_a(\xi) = \psi'_a(\xi) \times \frac{d-2}{2}\xi^{-1}A\left(\xi^{d/2}+A\right)^{-1}.$$

Differentiating again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\psi'''_a(\xi) &= \left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)\left(\frac{d}{2}-2\right)\xi^{\frac{d}{2}-3}A\left(\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}+A\right)^{\frac{2}{d}-2} + \left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)\left(\frac{2}{d}-2\right)\xi^{\frac{d}{2}-2}A\left(\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}+A\right)^{\frac{2}{d}-3}\frac{d}{2}\xi^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \\ &= \frac{(d-2)(d-4)}{4}\xi^{\frac{d}{2}-3}A\left(\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}+A\right)^{\frac{2}{d}-2} + \frac{(d-2)(1-d)}{2}\xi^{d-3}A\left(\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}+A\right)^{\frac{2}{d}-3} \\ &= \frac{d-2}{4}A\xi^{\frac{d}{2}-3}\left(\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}+A\right)^{\frac{2}{d}-3}\left((d-4)\left(\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}+A\right)+2(1-d)\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}\right) \\ &= \frac{d-2}{4}A\xi^{\frac{d}{2}-3}\left(\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}+A\right)^{\frac{2}{d}-3}\left(d\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}+dA-4\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}-4A+2\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}-2d\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}\right) \\ &= \frac{d-2}{4}A\xi^{\frac{d}{2}-3}\left(\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}+A\right)^{\frac{2}{d}-3}\left(-d\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}-2\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}+dA-4A\right) \\ &= \frac{d-2}{4}A\xi^{\frac{d}{2}-3}\left(\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}+A\right)^{\frac{2}{d}-3}\left((d-4)A-(d+2)\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

This can also be recognized as

$$\psi'''_a(\xi) = \psi'_a(\xi) \times \frac{d-2}{4}\xi^{-2}A\left(\xi^{d/2}+A\right)^{-2}\left((d-4)A-(d+2)\xi^{\frac{d}{2}}\right)$$

We have therefore shown that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi''_a(\xi) &= \psi'_a(\xi) \times \frac{d-2}{2}\xi^{-1}A\left(\xi^{d/2}+A\right)^{-1}, \\ \psi'''_a(\xi) &= \psi'_a(\xi) \times \frac{d-2}{4}\xi^{-2}A\left(\xi^{d/2}+A\right)^{-2}\left((d-4)A-(d+2)\xi^{d/2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, all terms in the numerator and the denominator of Equation (31) are proportional to $[\psi'_a]^2$, which can then be simplified, so that we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}z''_a\psi'_a - \psi''_az'_a &= 3\tilde{g}(\xi)\frac{[\psi'''_a]^2}{[\psi'_a]^2} - \tilde{g}(\xi)\frac{\psi'_a\psi'''_a}{[\psi'_a]^2} - 3\tilde{g}'(\xi)\frac{\psi'_a\psi''_a}{[\psi'_a]^2} + \tilde{g}''(\xi) \\ &= \tilde{g}''(\xi) + \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2(\xi^{d/2}+A)^2}\left(3(d-2)\tilde{g}(\xi)A^2 - \tilde{g}(\xi)((d-4)A-(d+2)\xi^{d/2}) - 6\tilde{g}'(\xi)\xi A(\xi^{d/2}+A)\right) \\ &= \tilde{g}''(\xi) + \frac{\tilde{C}_3A^2 + \tilde{C}_4A + \tilde{C}_5}{(\xi^{d/2}+A)^2},\end{aligned}$$

finishing the proof. □

In the following lemma, we give an expression of $2\tilde{C}_3\xi^{d/2} - \tilde{C}_4$, $\tilde{C}_4\xi^{d/2} - 2\tilde{C}_5$ and $2\tilde{C}_5 + 2\tilde{C}_3\xi^d - 6\tilde{C}_4\xi^{d/2}$. These are conditions for the locations of the extrema of (23) in Section C.

Lemma D.7. *Let $\xi > 0$ and $d > 2$. Let \tilde{C}_3, \tilde{C}_4 and \tilde{C}_5 be defined as in Proposition 2.2. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} 2\tilde{C}_3\xi^{d/2} - \tilde{C}_4 &= \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} \left(3\xi^{d-1}(d-2)g(\xi) - g(\xi)(d-4)\xi^{(d-2)/2} - 6g'(\xi)\xi^d \right) \\ 2\tilde{C}_5 - \tilde{C}_4\xi^{d/2} &= \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} \left(2(d+2)\xi^{(d-2)/2}g(\xi) - \xi^{(d-2)/2}g(\xi)(d-4)\xi^{(d-2)/2} + 3\xi^{(d-2)/2}(2g'(\xi) + (d-2)\xi^{-1}g(\xi))\xi^d \right) \end{aligned}$$

Lastly,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\tilde{C}_5 + 2\tilde{C}_3\xi^d - 6\tilde{C}_4\xi^{d/2} &= \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} \left(2(d+2)\xi^{(d-2)/2}g(\xi) + 6(d-2)\xi^{(3d-2)/2}g(\xi) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 3(2g'(\xi) + (d-2)\xi^{-1}g(\xi))(\xi^{(2d-2)/2}(d-4) - 4\xi^{3d/2}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} 2C_3C_6 - C_4 &= 2\xi^{d/2}\frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} (3(d-2)\tilde{g}(\xi) - 6\tilde{g}'(\xi)\xi) - \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} (\tilde{g}(\xi)(d-4) - 6\tilde{g}'(\xi)\xi^{(d+2)/2}) \\ &= \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} \left(2\xi^{d/2} (3(d-2)\tilde{g}(\xi) - 6\tilde{g}'(\xi)\xi) - (\tilde{g}(\xi)(d-4) - 6\tilde{g}'(\xi)\xi^{(d+2)/2}) \right) \\ &= \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} (6\xi^{d/2}(d-2)\tilde{g}(\xi) - \tilde{g}(\xi)(d-4) - 6\tilde{g}'(\xi)\xi^{(d+2)/2}) \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma D.3, we get the expressions $\tilde{g}(\xi) := \xi^{(d-2)/2}g(\xi)$, and $2\tilde{g}'(\xi) = \xi^{(d-2)/2}(2g'(\xi) + (d-2)\xi^{-1}g(\xi))$.

$$\begin{aligned} 2C_3C_6 - C_4 &= \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} \xi^{(d-2)/2} \left(6\xi^{d/2}(d-2)g(\xi) - g(\xi)(d-4) - 3(2g'(\xi) + (d-2)\xi^{-1}g(\xi))\xi^{(d+2)/2} \right) \\ &= \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} \xi^{(d-2)/2} \left(3\xi^{d/2}(d-2)g(\xi) - g(\xi)(d-4) - 6g'(\xi)\xi^{(d+2)/2} \right) \\ &= \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} \left(3\xi^{d-1}(d-2)g(\xi) - g(\xi)(d-4)\xi^{(d-2)/2} - 6g'(\xi)\xi^d \right). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} 2C_5 - C_4C_6 &= 2\frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} (d+2)\tilde{g}(\xi) - \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} (\tilde{g}(\xi)(d-4) - 6\tilde{g}'(\xi)\xi^{(d+2)/2})\xi^{(d-2)/2} \\ &= \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} \left(2(d+2)\tilde{g}(\xi) - (\tilde{g}(\xi)(d-4) - 6\tilde{g}'(\xi)\xi^{(d+2)/2})\xi^{(d-2)/2} \right) \\ &= \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} \left(2(d+2)\tilde{g}(\xi) - \tilde{g}(\xi)(d-4)\xi^{(d-2)/2} + 6\tilde{g}'(\xi)\xi^d \right). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma D.3, we get the expressions $\tilde{g}(\xi) := \xi^{(d-2)/2}g(\xi)$, and $2\tilde{g}'(\xi) = \xi^{(d-2)/2}(2g'(\xi) + (d-2)\xi^{-1}g(\xi))$.

$$2C_5 - C_4C_6 = \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} \left(2(d+2)\xi^{(d-2)/2}g(\xi) - \xi^{(d-2)/2}g(\xi)(d-4)\xi^{(d-2)/2} + 3\xi^{(d-2)/2}(2g'(\xi) + (d-2)\xi^{-1}g(\xi))\xi^d \right)$$

Using the fact that $C_6 = \xi^{d/2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
2C_5 + 2C_3C_6^2 - 6C_4C_6 &= 2C_5 + 2C_3\xi^d - 6C_4\xi^{d/2} \\
&= \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} \left(2(d+2)\tilde{g}(\xi) + 2\xi^d(3(d-2)\tilde{g}(\xi) - 6\tilde{g}'(\xi)\xi) - 6\xi^{d/2}(\tilde{g}(\xi)(d-4) - 6\tilde{g}'(\xi)\xi^{(d+2)/2}) \right) \\
&= \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} \left(2(d+2)\tilde{g}(\xi) + 6\xi^d(d-2)\tilde{g}(\xi) - 12\tilde{g}'(\xi)\xi^{d+1} - 6\xi^{d/2}\tilde{g}(\xi)(d-4) + 36\tilde{g}'(\xi)\xi^{(2d+2)/2} \right) \\
&= \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} \left(2(d+2)\xi^{(d-2)/2}g(\xi) + 6(d-2)\xi^{(3d-2)/2}g(\xi) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 6\xi^{(d-2)/2}(2g'(\xi) + (d-2)\xi^{-1}g(\xi))\xi^{d+1} - 3\xi^{d/2}\xi^{(d-2)/2}(2g'(\xi) + (d-2)\xi^{-1}g(\xi))(d-4) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 18\xi^{(d-2)/2}(2g'(\xi) + (d-2)\xi^{-1}g(\xi))\xi^{(2d+2)/2} \right) \\
&= \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} \left(2(d+2)\xi^{(d-2)/2}g(\xi) + 6(d-2)\xi^{(3d-2)/2}g(\xi) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 6\xi^{3d/2}(2g'(\xi) + (d-2)\xi^{-1}g(\xi)) - 3\xi^{(2d-2)/2}(2g'(\xi) + (d-2)\xi^{-1}g(\xi))(d-4) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 18\xi^{3d/2}(2g'(\xi) + (d-2)\xi^{-1}g(\xi)) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
2C_5 + 2C_3C_6^2 - 6C_4C_6 &= \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} \left(2(d+2)\xi^{(d-2)/2}g(\xi) + 6(d-2)\xi^{(3d-2)/2}g(\xi) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 6\xi^{3d/2}(2g'(\xi) + (d-2)\xi^{-1}g(\xi)) - 3\xi^{(2d-2)/2}(2g'(\xi) + (d-2)\xi^{-1}g(\xi))(d-4) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 18\xi^{3d/2}(2g'(\xi) + (d-2)\xi^{-1}g(\xi)) \right) \\
&= \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} \left(2(d+2)\xi^{(d-2)/2}g(\xi) + 6(d-2)\xi^{(3d-2)/2}g(\xi) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 3(2g'(\xi) + (d-2)\xi^{-1}g(\xi))(2\xi^{3d/2} + \xi^{(2d-2)/2}(d-4) - 6\xi^{3d/2}) \right) \\
&= \frac{d-2}{4\xi^2} \left(2(d+2)\xi^{(d-2)/2}g(\xi) + 6(d-2)\xi^{(3d-2)/2}g(\xi) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 3(2g'(\xi) + (d-2)\xi^{-1}g(\xi))(\xi^{(2d-2)/2}(d-4) - 4\xi^{3d/2}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

□

D.2.1 Proof of Lemma 3.2

Proof. Let $\Psi = \psi^{-1}$, i.e. $\Psi(x) = ((x+a)^{d/2} - a^{d/2})^{2/d}$. By Faà di Bruno's formula, we have

$$\rho^{(k)} = (z \circ \Psi)^{(k)} = \sum_{m=1}^k (z^{(m)} \circ \Psi) \times B_{k,m}(\Psi', \Psi'', \dots, \Psi^{(k-m+1)}),$$

where $B_{m,k}$ are the partial exponential Bell polynomials (see e.g. [10] and references therein). Therefore,

$$\rho^{(k)} \circ \psi = \sum_{m=1}^k z^{(m)} \times \tilde{B}_{k,m},$$

where

$$\tilde{B}_{k,m} := B_{k,m}(\Psi', \Psi'', \dots, \Psi^{(k-m+1)}) \circ \psi.$$

Remark that $z(\xi) = g(\xi) \times \tau(\xi)$ where $\tau(\xi) := \xi^{(d-2)/2} / \psi'(\xi)$. Therefore, applying the general Leibniz rule, we obtain

$$\rho^{(k)} \circ \psi = \sum_{m=1}^k (g \times \tau)^{(m)} \times \tilde{B}_{k,m} = \sum_{m=1}^k \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} g^{(i)} \tau^{(m-i)} \tilde{B}_{k,m} = \sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_{i,k} g^{(i)},$$

where

$$\alpha_{0,k} := \sum_{m=1}^k \tau^{(m)} \tilde{B}_{k,m}, \quad \text{and for } i \geq 1, \alpha_{i,k} := \sum_{m=i}^k \binom{m}{i} \tau^{(m-i)} \tilde{B}_{k,m}. \quad (32)$$

□

Applying again Faà di Bruno's formula, it is possible to compute higher-order derivatives of Ψ and τ . Indeed, $\Psi(x) = ((x+a)^{d/2} - a^{d/2})^{2/d} = f_1 \circ f_2$, where $f_1(x) = x^{2/d}$ and $f_2(x) = (x+a)^{d/2} - a^{d/2}$. Therefore,

$$\Psi^{(k)} = \sum_{m=1}^k (f_1^{(m)} \circ f_2) \times B_{k,m}(f_2', f_2'', \dots, f_2^{(k-m+1)}).$$

Note that for $m \geq 1$,

$$f_1^{(m)}(x) = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (2 - i \times d)}{d^m} x^{(2/d)-m}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$f_2^{(m)}(x) = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (d - 2i)}{2^m} (x+a)^{(d-2m)/2}.$$

We have $\tau(\xi) := \xi^{(d-2)/2} / \psi'(\xi)$. Remember that $\psi'_a(\xi) = \xi^{\frac{d}{2}-1} (A + \xi^{d/2})^{\frac{2}{d}-1}$. Therefore, $\tau(\xi) = (A + \xi^{d/2})^{(d-2)/d} = f_3 \circ f_4$, where $f_3(x) = A + x^{d/2}$ and $f_4(x) = x^{(d-2)/d}$. The computation of the derivatives of τ can be done in the same way as for Ψ .

E Proof of Proposition 3.1: MSE for Estimating Derivatives

E.1 Computation of the bias

Proof. To simplify the notations, we write only $\hat{\eta}$ instead of $\hat{\eta}_{k,n,h,a}(\xi)$. By linearity, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\eta}] = \frac{1}{s_d h^{k+1}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[K_k \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_1)}{h} \right) \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[K_k \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(\xi_1)}{h} \right) \right] \right)$$

By assumption, the kernel K_k has a compact support; we can then apply Lemma D.1, and obtain

$$K_k \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(\xi_1)}{h} \right) = 0,$$

for n large enough. Therefore, by applying Stute and Werner's [12] change of variable

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\widehat{\eta}] &= \frac{1}{s_d h^{k+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K_k \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_1)}{h} \right) g(\xi_1) |\Sigma|^{-1/2} d\xi_1 \\ &= \frac{s_d}{s_d h^{k+1}} \int_0^\infty K_k \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(v_1)}{h} \right) g(v_1) v_1^{(d-2)/2} dv_1.\end{aligned}$$

We now do a second change of variables

$$w := w(v_1) = \frac{-\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(v_1)}{h} \iff v_1(w) = \psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh),$$

which gives

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{\eta}] = \frac{1}{h^{k+1}} h \int_{-\psi_a(\xi)/h}^\infty K_k(-w) \frac{g(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh)) \cdot v_1(w)^{(d-2)/2}}{\psi_a'(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh))} dw,$$

We get that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\widehat{\eta}] &= \frac{1}{h^k} \int_{-\psi_a(\xi)/h}^\infty K_k(-w) z(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh)) dw \\ &= \frac{1}{h^k} \int_{-\infty}^\infty K_k(-w) \cdot z(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh)) dw - \frac{1}{h^k} \int_{-\infty}^{-\psi_a(\xi)/h} K_k(-w) \cdot z(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh)) dw\end{aligned}$$

We have $(-\psi_a(\xi))/h \rightarrow -\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Since K_k has compact support, we deduce that the second term in the above equation is zero for n large enough by Lemma D.1. We now then have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\widehat{\eta}] &= \frac{1}{h^k} \int_{-\infty}^\infty K_k(-w) \cdot z(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh)) dw \\ &= \frac{1}{h^k} \int_{-\infty}^\infty K_k(-w) \cdot \rho_a(\psi_a(\xi) + wh) dw,\end{aligned}$$

We now apply Taylor-Lagrange expansion at the order $m+1$ to the function ρ_a at the point $\psi_a(\xi)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\widehat{\eta}] &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty K_k(-w) \cdot z(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh)) dw \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m \int_{-\infty}^\infty K_k(-w) \cdot w^i \frac{h^i}{i!} \rho_a^{(i)}(\psi_a(\xi)) dw + \int_{-\infty}^\infty K_k(-w) \cdot w^{m+1} \frac{h^{m+1}}{(m+1)!} \rho_a^{(m+1)}(c_T) dw,\end{aligned}$$

where c_T lies between $\psi_a(\xi) + wh$ and $\psi_a(\xi)$. All the terms in the sum are zero except for $i=k$ and $i=m$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\widehat{\eta}] &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty K_k(-w) \cdot w^k \frac{h^k}{k!} \rho_a^{(k)}(\psi_a(\xi)) dw + \int_{-\infty}^\infty K_k(-w) \cdot w^m \frac{h^m}{m!} \rho_a^{(m)}(\psi_a(\xi)) dw \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^\infty K_k(-w) \cdot w^{m+1} \frac{h^{m+1}}{(m+1)!} \rho_a^{(m+1)}(c_T) dw,\end{aligned}$$

By the assumption on the kernel, we get

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{\eta}] = \rho_a^{(k)}(\psi_a(\xi)) + \mu_m(K_k) \frac{h^{m-k}}{m!} \rho_a^{(m)}(\psi_a(\xi)) + \frac{h^{m-k+1}}{(m+1)!} \int_{-\infty}^\infty K_k(-w) \cdot w^{m+1} \rho_a^{(m+1)}(c_T) dw.$$

If $\rho_a^{(m+1)}$ is continuous, then $\rho_a^{(m+1)}$ is bounded around $\psi_a(\xi)$. Therefore, the last integral will converge to a finite constant by Bochner's lemma. So,

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{\eta}] = \rho_a^{(k)}(\psi_a(\xi)) + \mu_m(K_k) \frac{h^{m-k}}{m!} \rho_a^{(m)}(\psi_a(\xi)) + O(h^{m-k+1}).$$

The bias of $\widehat{\eta}$ is

$$\text{Bias}_{\widehat{\eta}}(\xi) = \mu_m(K_k) \frac{h^{m-k}}{m!} \rho_a^{(m)}(\psi_a(\xi)) + O(h^{m-k+1}).$$

□

E.2 Variance for estimating derivatives and the corresponding MSE

We now study the variance term. From the definition of the variance, we have

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\eta}) = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\eta}^2] - \mathbb{E}[\hat{\eta}]^2.$$

Since that the term $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\eta}]^2$ is already known, we only need to study the term $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\eta}^2]$. We have

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\eta}^2] = \frac{\Gamma(d/2)^2}{\pi^d n^2 h^{2(k+1)}} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left[K_k \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h} \right) + K_k \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h} \right) \right] \right)^2 \right]$$

Expanding the sum, we find that

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\eta}^2] = \frac{\Gamma(d/2)^2}{\pi^d n^2 h^{2(k+1)}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (T_{1,i} + T_{2,i} + 2T_{3,i}) + \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} (T_{4,i,j} + T_{5,i,j} + T_{6,i,j} + T_{7,i,j}) \right),$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{1,i} &:= \mathbb{E} \left[K_k^2 \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h} \right) \right] \\ T_{2,i} &:= \mathbb{E} \left[K_k^2 \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h} \right) \right] \\ T_{3,i} &:= \mathbb{E} \left[K_k \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h} \right) K_k \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h} \right) \right] \\ T_{4,i,j} &:= \mathbb{E} \left[K_k \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h} \right) K_k \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_j)}{h} \right) \right] \\ T_{5,i,j} &:= \mathbb{E} \left[K_k \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h} \right) K_k \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(\xi_j)}{h} \right) \right] \\ T_{6,i,j} &:= \mathbb{E} \left[K_k \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h} \right) K_k \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_j)}{h} \right) \right] \\ T_{7,i,j} &:= \mathbb{E} \left[K_k \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(\xi_i)}{h} \right) K_k \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) + \psi_a(\xi_j)}{h} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, because the random variables $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$ are identically distributed, we get

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\eta}^2] = \frac{\Gamma(d/2)^2}{\pi^d n h^{2(k+1)}} (T_{1,1} + T_{2,1} + 2T_{3,1} + (n-1)T_{4,1,2} + (n-1)T_{5,1,2} + (n-1)T_{6,1,2} + (n-1)T_{7,1,2}),$$

Step 1. Removing terms that disappear. We start by applying Lemma D.1. This means that for all n large enough,

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\eta}^2] = \frac{\Gamma(d/2)^2}{\pi^d n h^{2(k+1)}} (T_{1,1} + (n-1)T_{4,1,2}).$$

Step 2. Computation of an equivalent of $T_{1,1}$. We apply Lemma D.2, with K^2 instead of K , and obtain

$$T_{1,1} = \frac{\pi^{d/2} h}{\Gamma(d/2)} \int_{-\psi_a(\xi)/h}^{\infty} K_k^2(-w) \frac{g(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh)) \cdot v_1(w)^{(d-2)/2}}{\psi'_a(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh))} dw$$

and so,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(d/2)^2}{\pi^d n h^{2(k+1)}} T_{1,1} &= \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{\pi^{d/2}} \frac{1}{n h^{2k+1}} \int_{-\psi_a(\xi)/h}^{\infty} K_k^2(-w) \frac{g(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh)) \cdot v_1(w)^{(d-2)/2}}{\psi'_a(\psi_a^{-1}(\psi_a(\xi) + wh))} dw \\ &\sim \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{\pi^{d/2}} \frac{1}{n h^{2k+1}} g(\xi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_k^2(w) dw, \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by Bochner's lemma and remarking that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_k^2(w)dw = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_k^2(-w)dw$.

Step 3. Computation of $T_{4,1,2}$. Since $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$ are independent and identically distributed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{4,1,2} &= \mathbb{E} \left[K_k \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_1)}{h} \right) \right] \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[K_k \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_2)}{h} \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[K_k \left(\frac{\psi_a(\xi) - \psi_a(\xi_1)}{h} \right) \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{\pi^d h^{2(k+1)}}{\Gamma(d/2)^2} \mathbb{E} [\hat{\eta}]^2, \end{aligned}$$

for n large enough by Lemma D.1.

Step 4. Combining all previous results.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\hat{\eta}) &= \mathbb{E} [\hat{\eta}^2] - \mathbb{E} [\hat{\eta}]^2 \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(d/2)^2}{\pi^d n h^{2(k+1)}} (T_{1,1} + (n-1)T_{4,1,2}) - \mathbb{E} [\hat{g}_{n,h,a}(\mathbf{x})]^2 \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(d/2)^2}{\pi^d n h^{2(k+1)}} (T_{1,1} + (n-1)T_{4,1,2}) - \left(\rho_a^{(k)}(\psi_a(\xi)) - \text{Bias}_{\hat{\eta}}(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\rho_a^{(k)}(\psi_a(\xi)) - \text{Bias}_{\hat{\eta}}(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 + \frac{\Gamma(d/2)^2}{\pi^d n h^{2(k+1)}} T_{1,1} - \left(\rho_a^{(k)}(\psi_a(\xi)) - \text{Bias}_{\hat{\eta}}(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{n} \left(\rho_a^{(k)}(\psi_a(\xi)) - \text{Bias}_{\hat{\eta}}(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 + \frac{\Gamma(d/2)^2}{\pi^d n h^{2(k+1)}} T_{1,1} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{Bias}_{\hat{\eta}}(\mathbf{x}) = o(1)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\hat{\eta}) &= \frac{\Gamma(d/2)^2}{\pi^d n h^{2(k+1)}} T_{1,1} + O(1/n) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{\pi^{d/2}} \frac{1}{n h^{2k+1}} g(\xi) \|K_k\|_2^2 + o(n^{-1} h^{-(2k+1)}) + O(n^{-1}) \\ &\sim \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{\pi^{d/2}} \frac{1}{n h^{2k+1}} g(\xi) \|K_k\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

since $h(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MSE}[\hat{\eta}] &= \left(\mu_m(K_k) \frac{h^{m-k}}{m!} \rho_a^{(m)}(\psi_a(\xi)) \right)^2 + \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{\pi^{d/2}} \frac{1}{n h^{2k+1}} g(\xi) \|K_k\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + O\left(h^{2(m-k+1)}\right) + o\left(n^{-1} h^{-(2k+1)}\right) + O(n^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$