

ANALYTIC PROPERTIES OF SPEYER'S g -POLYNOMIAL OF UNIFORM MATROIDS

RONG ZHANG AND JAMES JING YU ZHAO

ABSTRACT. Let $U_{n,d}$ denote the uniform matroid of rank d on n elements. We obtain some recurrence relations satisfied by Speyer's g -polynomials $g_{U_{n,d}}(t)$ of $U_{n,d}$. Based on these recurrence relations, we prove that the polynomial $g_{U_{n,d}}(t)$ has only real zeros for any $n - 1 \geq d \geq 1$. Furthermore, we show that the coefficient of $g_{U_{n,\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}}(t)$ is asymptotically normal by local and central limit theorems.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the study of the f -vector conjecture of tropical linear spaces, Speyer [19] discovered an invariant, denoted by $g_M(t)$, for a (loopless and coloopless) \mathbb{C} -realizable matroid M . This invariant has been used by Speyer to prove bounds on the complexity of Kapranov's Lie complexes [14], Hacking, Keel and Tevelev's very stable pairs [11], and tropical linear spaces [18]. It turns out that this invariant is of independent combinatorial interest. In a subsequent work of Fink and Speyer [10], the invariant $g_M(t)$ was defined for an arbitrary (loopless and coloopless) matroid M . López de Medrano, Rincón, and Shaw [17] conjectured a Chow-theoretic formula for Speyer's g -polynomial, which was later proved by Berget, Eur, Spink and Tseng [2]. Ferroni [8] provided a combinatorial way of computing $g_M(t)$ for an arbitrary Schubert matroid M . Ferroni and Schröter [9] proved that the coefficients of $g_M(t)$ are nonnegative for any sparse paving matroid M .

It is worth mentioning that the g -polynomial of uniform matroids has been computed by Speyer [19], and this polynomial plays an important role in his proof of the f -vector conjecture in the case of a tropical linear space realizable in characteristic 0. Let $U_{n,d}$ denote the uniform matroid of rank d on n elements. Speyer [19] obtained the following result.

Proposition 1.1 ([19, Proposition 10.1]). *For any $n \geq 2$ and $1 \leq d \leq n - 1$, the g -polynomial of the uniform matroid $U_{n,d}$ is given by*

$$(1.1) \quad g_{U_{n,d}}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\min(d, n-d)} \frac{(n-i-1)!}{(d-i)!(n-d-i)!(i-1)!} t^i.$$

The main objective of this paper is to study the analytic properties of $g_{U_{n,d}}(t)$. For notational convenience, let $g_{n,d}(t) = g_{U_{n,d}}(t)$ and $S_i(n, d) = [t^i]g_{n,d}(t)$, the coefficient of t^i

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 05B35, 26C10; Secondary 60F05, 62E20.

Key words and phrases. Speyer's g -polynomial, uniform matroid, real zeros, interlacing property, asymptotic normality.

in $g_{n,d}(t)$. From (1.1) it immediately follows that $g_{n,d}(t) = g_{n,n-d}(t)$. Thus, from now on, we may assume that $1 \leq d \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, in which case we have $\deg g_{n,d}(t) = d$.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we first obtain a series of recurrence relations satisfied by Speyer's g -polynomials $g_{n,d}(t)$ by using the extended Zeilberger algorithm developed by Chen, Hou and Mu [4]. In Section 3 we prove that $g_{n,d}(t)$ has only real zeros for any $n \geq 2$ and $1 \leq d \leq n-1$ by using a result due to Liu and Wang [16]. Finally, in Section 4, we show that the coefficient of Speyer's g -polynomial $g_{n,\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}(t)$ is asymptotically normal by local and central limit theorems based on a criterion given by Bender [1] and Harper [12].

2. RECURRENCE RELATIONS

The aim of this section is to show some recurrence relations for Speyer's g -polynomial $g_{n,d}(t)$. These recurrences will play a key role in the proofs of the interlacing properties and the asymptotic normality presented in Sections 3 and 4 respectively. On one hand, we fix $d \geq 1$ and consider the recurrence relation of the sequence $\{g_{n,d}(t)\}_{n \geq d+1}$. We have the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *For $d \geq 1$ and $n \geq d+2$, we have*

$$(2.1) \quad g_{n,d}(t) = \frac{(2d-n+1)t+n-2}{n-d-1}g_{n-1,d}(t) + \frac{t(n-d-2)}{n-d-1}g_{n-2,d}(t),$$

$$(2.2) \quad g_{n,d}(t) = \frac{dt+n-1}{n-d-1}g_{n-1,d}(t) - \frac{t(t+1)}{n-d-1}g'_{n-1,d}(t),$$

with initial values $g_{d,d}(t) = 0$, $g_{d+1,d}(t) = t$ and $g_{d+2,d}(t) = dt + (d-1)t^2$.

On the other hand, we fix $n \geq 3$ and consider the recurrence relation of the sequence $\{g_{n,d}(t)\}_{1 \leq d \leq n-1}$. We have the following result.

Theorem 2.2. *For any $n \geq 3$ and $1 \leq d \leq n-1$, we have*

$$(2.3) \quad g_{n,d}(t) = \frac{c_d(n+1)(c_d(n)c_d(n+2)t + (n-d)^2 + (d-2)^2 + n-2)}{(d-1)(n-d)c_d(n+2)}g_{n,d-1}(t) \\ - \frac{(d-2)(n+1-d)c_d(n)}{(d-1)(n-d)c_d(n+2)}g_{n,d-2}(t), \quad \text{for } 2 \leq d \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1 \text{ and } n \geq 3,$$

$$(2.4) \quad g_{n,d}(t) = \frac{(n+1-2d)(n+1-d)t + (n-d)^2 + n-2d+1}{(d-1)(n-d)}g_{n,d-1}(t) \\ - \frac{t(t+1)(n+1-2d)}{(d-1)(n-d)}g'_{n,d-1}(t), \quad \text{for } 2 \leq d \leq n-1 \text{ and } n \geq 3,$$

where $c_d(n) = n+1-2d$, $g_{n,0}(t) = 0$, $g_{n,1}(t) = t$ and $g_{n,2}(t) = (n-2)t + (n-3)t^2$ for $n \geq 3$.

We shall prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 by using the extended Zeilberger algorithm developed by Chen, Hou and Mu [4] on the basis of Zeilberger algorithm [21, 20]. For a nice survey on the method of creative telescoping and related open problems, see Chen and Kauers [3]. Before proving Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, let us have a brief overview of the extended Zeilberger algorithm. Suppose that $f_1(k, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s), \dots, f_m(k, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s)$ are m hypergeometric terms of k with parameters p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s such that both

$$\frac{f_i(k, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s)}{f_j(k, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{f_i(k+1, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s)}{f_i(k, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s)}$$

are all rational functions of k and p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s for any $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. This algorithm is devised to find a hypergeometric term $h(k, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s)$ and polynomial coefficients $a_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ which are independent of k such that

$$(2.5) \quad a_1 f_1(k) + a_2 f_2(k) + \dots + a_m f_m(k) = u(k+1) - u(k),$$

where we omit the parameters p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s for brevity. If we let $F_i = \sum_k f_i(k)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and sum (2.5) over k , then we get a homogeneous relation

$$(2.6) \quad a_1 F_1 + a_2 F_2 + \dots + a_m F_m = 0.$$

Hou [13] implemented the extended Zeilberger algorithm as the function `Ext_Zeil` in the Maple package `APCI`. The calling sequence of this function is of the form `Ext_Zeil([f1, f2, ..., fm], k)`, and the output is of the form $[C, Ca_2/a_1, Ca_3/a_1, \dots, Ca_m/a_1]$, where C is a k -free non-zero constant, provided that the algorithm is applicable.

We are now in a position to give a symbolic proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2.

Proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. Let us first show the recursion (2.1). To employ the package `APCI`, we first import it in Maple as follows.

[> `with(APCI);`

`[AbelZ, Ext_Zeil, Gosper, MZeil, Zeil, hyper_simp, hyperterm, poch, qExt_Zeil,`
`qGosper, qZeil, qbino, qhyper_simp, qhyperterm, qpoch]`

Observe that (2.1) is of the form (2.6) with

$$f_1 = S_k(n, d)t^k, \quad f_2 = S_k(n-1, d)t^k, \quad f_3 = S_k(n-2, d)t^k,$$

where $S_k(n, d)$ is as defined in Section 1. In order to prove (2.1), we continue the following set of f_i :

$$[> f_1 := \frac{(n-k-1)!}{(d-k)!(n-d-k)!(k-1)!} t^k;$$

$$[> f_2 := \frac{(n-k-2)!}{(d-k)!(n-d-k-1)!(k-1)!} t^k;$$

$$[> f_3 := \frac{(n-k-3)!}{(d-k)!(n-d-k-2)!(k-1)!} t^k;$$

Then we run the command of the main function:

[> **Ext_Zeil**($[f_1, f_2, f_3], k$);

$$\left[k_free_1, \frac{k_free_1(2dt - nt + n + t - 2)}{d - n + 1}, -\frac{t(d - n + 2)k_free_1}{d - n + 1} \right].$$

The above output implies that there is some nonzero constant C free of k such that

$$C \cdot g_{n,d}(t) + C \cdot \frac{2dt - nt + n + t - 2}{d - n + 1} g_{n-1,d}(t) + C \cdot \frac{-t(d - n + 2)}{d - n + 1} g_{n-2,d}(t) = 0,$$

which leads to the recursion (2.1). By (1.1), it is easy to check that $g_{d+1,d}(t) = t$ and $g_{d+2,d}(t) = dt + (d - 1)t^2$ for $d \geq 1$. Thus, (2.1) is proved.

The detailed proofs of recurrence relations (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) are similar to that of (2.1), and hence are omitted here. \square

We further obtain the following two triangular recurrence relations.

Theorem 2.3. *For $n \geq 3$ and $2 \leq d \leq n - 1$, we have*

$$(2.7) \quad g_{n,d}(t) = \frac{n-d}{d-1} g_{n,d-1}(t) + \frac{t(n+1-2d)}{d-1} g_{n-1,d-1}(t),$$

$$(2.8) \quad g_{n,d}(t) = \frac{(d-1)(n-d)}{(n-2d)(n+1-2d)t + (d-1)^2} g_{n,d-1}(t) \\ + \frac{(n+1-2d)(n-1-d)t}{(n-2d)(n+1-2d)t + (d-1)^2} g_{n-1,d}(t).$$

Proof. By applying the extended Zeilberger algorithm, one can obtain the recursions (2.7) and (2.8) in a similar argument stated in the proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. \square

We also find the following interesting recurrence relations for Speyer's g -polynomials, and omit the proofs. As will be seen, the recursions provided by Theorem 2.4 will lead to some new interlacing properties for Speyer's g -polynomials showed in Theorem 3.5.

Theorem 2.4. *For $d \geq 1$, Speyer's g -polynomial satisfies the following recurrence relations.*

$$(2.9) \quad g_{2d+2,d+1}(t) = \frac{(t+2)(2d-1)}{d} g_{2d,d}(t) - \frac{(d-1)t^2}{d} g_{2d-2,d-1}(t),$$

$$(2.10) \quad g_{2d+3,d+1}(t) = \frac{2(2d^2t + 4d^2 - 1)}{(d+1)(2d-1)} g_{2d+1,d}(t) - \frac{(2d+1)(d-1)t^2}{(d+1)(2d-1)} g_{2d-1,d-1}(t),$$

$$(2.11) \quad g_{2d+1,d}(t) = \frac{2d-1}{d} g_{2d,d}(t) + \frac{(d-1)t}{d} g_{2d-1,d-1}(t),$$

$$(2.12) \quad g_{2d+2,d+1}(t) = 2 g_{2d+1,d}(t) + t g_{2d,d}(t),$$

with the convention that $g_{0,0}(t) = g_{1,0}(t) = 0$.

To end this section, we show four more recurrence relations for Speyer's g -polynomial. The recursions given by Theorem 2.5 together with (2.11) and (2.12) will be employed in the proof of the asymptotic normality stated in Section 4.

Theorem 2.5. *For any given integer $d \geq 2$, we have*

$$(2.13) \quad g_{2d,d}(t) = \frac{(d-1)t}{dt+1} g_{2d-1,d-1}(t) + \frac{t(t+1)}{dt+1} g'_{2d,d}(t),$$

$$(2.14) \quad g_{2d,d}(t) = \frac{(2d-1)t+2d}{dt+d+1} g_{2d-1,d-1}(t) + \frac{t(t+1)^2}{(d-1)(dt+d+1)} g''_{2d,d}(t),$$

and for any given integer $d \geq 1$, we have

$$(2.15) \quad g_{2d+1,d}(t) = \frac{dt}{(d+1)t+1} g_{2d,d}(t) + \frac{t(t+1)}{(d+1)t+1} g'_{2d+1,d}(t),$$

$$(2.16) \quad g_{2d+1,d}(t) = \frac{2dt+2d+1}{(d+1)t+d+2} g_{2d,d}(t) + \frac{t(t+1)^2}{d(dt+t+d+2)} g''_{2d+1,d}(t).$$

3. REAL ZEROS AND INTERLACING PROPERTIES

The objective of this section is to show the real-rootedness and some interlacing properties of Speyer's g -polynomial $g_{n,d}(t)$. Consequently, we obtain the log-concavity and unimodality of this polynomial. The main result of this section is as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *For any given integers $n \geq 2$ and $1 \leq d \leq n-1$, the Speyer's g -polynomial $g_{n,d}(t)$ has only real zeros.*

Before proving Theorem 3.1, let us first recall some definitions and results on Sturm sequences. Let PF be the set of real-rooted polynomials with nonnegative coefficients, including any nonnegative constant for convenience. Given two polynomials $f(t), g(t) \in \text{PF}$, assume $f(\alpha_i) = 0$ and $g(\beta_j) = 0$. We say that $g(t)$ interlaces $f(t)$, denoted $g(t) \preceq f(t)$, if either $\deg f(t) = \deg g(t) = n$ and

$$(3.1) \quad \beta_n \leq \alpha_n \leq \cdots \leq \beta_2 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \beta_1 \leq \alpha_1,$$

or $\deg f(t) = \deg g(t) + 1 = n$ and

$$(3.2) \quad \alpha_n \leq \beta_{n-1} \leq \alpha_{n-1} \leq \cdots \leq \beta_2 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \beta_1 \leq \alpha_1.$$

We say that $g(t)$ interlaces $f(t)$ strictly, denoted $g(t) \prec f(t)$, if the inequalities in (3.1) or (3.2) hold strictly. Following Liu and Wang [16], we also let $a \preceq bt+c$ for any nonnegative a, b, c , and let $0 \preceq f$ and $f \preceq 0$ for any $f \in \text{PF}$. A polynomial sequence $\{f_n(t)\}_{n \geq 0}$ with each $f_n(t) \in \text{PF}$ is said to be a *generalized Sturm sequence* if

$$f_0(t) \preceq f_1(t) \preceq \cdots \preceq f_{n-1}(t) \preceq f_n(t) \preceq \cdots.$$

Liu and Wang [16] established the following sufficient condition for determining whether two polynomials have interlacing zeros.

Theorem 3.2. ([16, Theorem 2.3]) *Let $F(t), f(t), h_1(t), \dots, h_k(t)$ be real polynomials satisfying the following conditions.*

(i) *There exist some real polynomials $\phi(t), \psi_1(t), \dots, \psi_k(t)$ such that*

$$(3.3) \quad F(t) = \phi(t)f(t) + \psi_1(t)h_1(t) + \cdots + \psi_k(t)h_k(t),$$

and $\deg F(t) = \deg f(t)$ or $\deg F(t) = \deg f(t) + 1$.

- (ii) $f(t), h_j(t)$ are polynomials with only real zeros, and $h_j(t) \preceq f(t)$ for all j .
- (iii) The leading coefficients of $F(t), h_1(t), \dots, h_k(t)$ have the same sign.

Suppose that $\psi_j(r) \leq 0$ for each j and each zero r of $f(t)$. Then $F(t)$ has only real zeros and $f(t) \preceq F(t)$. In particular, if for each zero r of $f(t)$, there exists an index j such that $h_j(t) \prec f(t)$ and $\psi_j(r) < 0$, then $f(t) \prec F(t)$.

Now we are able to prove the following result, which is stronger than Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.3. *For any given integer $d \geq 3$, the sequence $\{g_{n,d}(t)\}_{n \geq d+1}$ is a generalized Sturm sequence.*

Proof. Use induction on n . By (1.1) we have $g_{d+1,d}(t) = t$ and $g_{d+2,d}(t) = (d-1)t^2 + dt$. Clearly, both $g_{d+1,d}(t)$ and $g_{d+2,d}(t)$ are real-rooted polynomials, and

$$(3.4) \quad g_{d+1,d}(t) \preceq g_{d+2,d}(t).$$

Assume that $g_{n,d}(t)$ and $g_{n+1,d}(t)$ are real-rooted polynomials and $g_{n,d}(t) \preceq g_{n+1,d}(t)$. We proceed to show that

$$(3.5) \quad g_{n+1,d}(t) \preceq g_{n+2,d}(t).$$

For this purpose, we shall employ Theorem 3.2. First, by recursion (2.1), we have

$$(3.6) \quad g_{n+2,d}(t) = \frac{(2d-n-1)t+n}{n-d+1}g_{n+1,d}(t) + \frac{t(n-d)}{n-d+1}g_{n,d}(t), \quad n \geq d+1.$$

Observe that (3.6) is of the form of (3.3) with $k=1$, where

$$F(t) = g_{n+2,d}(t), \quad f(t) = g_{n+1,d}(t), \quad h_1(t) = g_{n,d}(t),$$

and

$$\phi(t) = \frac{(2d-n-1)t+n}{n-d+1}, \quad \psi_1(t) = \frac{t(n-d)}{n-d+1}.$$

By (1.1), we have that $\deg F(t) = \deg f(t) + 1 = n-d+2$ for $1 \leq n-d \leq d-2$ and $\deg F(t) = \deg f(t) = d$ for $n-d \geq d-1$. Thus, condition (i) of Theorem 3.2 is satisfied. By the inductive hypothesis that $g_{n,d}(t) \preceq g_{n+1,d}(t)$, condition (ii) of Theorem 3.2 also holds. Moreover, the leading coefficients of $F(t)$ and $h_1(t)$ are all positive by (1.1). Therefore, condition (iii) of Theorem 3.2 is also satisfied. It remains to show that $\psi_1(r) \leq 0$ for each zero r of $f(t)$. Note that $f(t)$ has only non-positive zeros since its coefficients are all non-negative. Clearly, for $t \leq 0$ and $n \geq d+1$, we have $\psi_1(t) \leq 0$. From Theorem 3.2 it follows that (3.5) holds and $g_{n+2,d}(t)$ has only real zeros. This completes the proof. \square

It is interesting that the polynomials $g_{n,d}(t)$ also enjoy some interlacing property for fixed n . Precisely we have the following result, which is also stronger than Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.4. *For any given integer $n \geq 2$, the sequence $\{g_{n,d}(t)\}_{d=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ is a generalized Sturm sequence.*

Proof. For $2 \leq n \leq 5$, one can directly use (1.1) to verify the assertion. We may assume that $n \geq 6$. It remains to show that for $1 \leq d \leq [n/2] - 1$,

$$(3.7) \quad g_{n,d}(t) \preceq g_{n,d+1}(t).$$

We apply induction on d to prove (3.7). First, by (1.1), we get that $g_{n,1}(t) = t$ and $g_{n,2}(t) = (n-3)t^2 + (n-2)t$. It is clear that (3.7) holds for $d = 1$. Assuming (3.7) for some $d \leq [n/2] - 2$, we proceed to show that

$$(3.8) \quad g_{n,d+1}(t) \preceq g_{n,d+2}(t).$$

By the recurrence relation (2.3), we have

$$(3.9) \quad g_{n,d+2}(t) = C_1 \cdot g_{n,d+1}(t) + C_2 \cdot g_{n,d}(t)$$

for $1 \leq d \leq [n/2] - 1$, where

$$C_1 = \frac{(n-2-2d)((n-3-2d)(n-1-2d)t + (n-d-2)^2 + d^2 + n-2)}{(d+1)(n-2-d)(n-1-2d)},$$

$$C_2 = -\frac{d(n-1-d)(n-3-2d)}{(d+1)(n-2-d)(n-1-2d)}.$$

Notice that (3.9) is of the form of (3.3) with $k = 1$, where $F(t) = g_{n,d+2}(t)$, $f(t) = g_{n,d+1}(t)$, $h_1(t) = g_{n,d}(t)$, $\phi(t) = C_1$, and $\psi_1(t) = C_2$. The conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) of Theorem 3.2 are easily checked to be true for $1 \leq d \leq [n/2] - 2$. In order to prove (3.8), it remains to show $C_2 < 0$ for $1 \leq d \leq [n/2] - 2$, which is evident. This completes the proof. \square

By applying Theorem 2.4, we obtain three new interlacing properties for Speyer's g -polynomials.

Theorem 3.5. *The sequences $\{g_{2d,d}(t)\}_{d \geq 1}$, $\{g_{2d+1,d}(t)\}_{d \geq 1}$, and $\{g_{n,[n/2]}(t)\}_{n \geq 2}$ are generalized Sturm sequences. That is,*

$$(3.10) \quad g_{2d,d}(t) \preceq g_{2d+2,d+1}(t), \quad d \geq 1,$$

$$(3.11) \quad g_{2d+1,d}(t) \preceq g_{2d+3,d+1}(t), \quad d \geq 1,$$

$$(3.12) \quad g_{n,[n/2]}(t) \preceq g_{n+1,[(n+1)/2]}(t), \quad n \geq 2.$$

Proof. We first prove the interlacing property (3.10). For $d = 1$, it is easily checked that $g_{2,1}(t) = t$ and $g_{4,2}(t) = t^2 + 2t$ by (1.1). Clearly, $g_{2,1}(t) \preceq g_{4,2}(t)$. Hence, we have (3.10) holds for $d = 1$. Assume that (3.10) is true for $d \geq 1$. It suffices to show that

$$(3.13) \quad g_{2d+2,d+1}(t) \preceq g_{2d+4,d+2}(t), \quad d \geq 1.$$

By the recurrence relation (2.9) given in Theorem 2.4 and the sufficient condition showed in Theorem 3.2, we can derive the interlacing relation (3.13) for $d \geq 1$ in a similar argument of the proof of Theorem 3.3, and the detailed proof is omitted here.

To prove the interlacing property (3.11), observe by (1.1) that $g_{3,1}(t) = t$, $g_{5,2}(t) = 2t^2 + 3t$, and $g_{3,1}(t) \preceq g_{5,2}(t)$. Then in a similar argument as described above, one can obtain (3.11) by employing Theorem 3.2 and the recurrence relation (2.10).

We proceed to prove the interlacing relation (3.12). It suffices to show that for $d \geq 1$,

$$(3.14) \quad g_{2d,d}(t) \preceq g_{2d+1,d}(t) \preceq g_{2d+2,d+1}(t).$$

It is routine to check that $g_{2,1}(t) \preceq g_{3,1}(t) \preceq g_{4,2}(t) \preceq g_{5,2}(t)$ by using the explicit expressions of these four polynomials as shown above. Thus, (3.14) holds for $d = 1$. Assume that (3.14) holds for $d \geq 1$. We aim to show that

$$(3.15) \quad g_{2d+2,d+1}(t) \preceq g_{2d+3,d+1}(t) \preceq g_{2d+4,d+2}(t),$$

for $d \geq 1$. By (2.11) we have for $d \geq 1$,

$$g_{2d+3,d+1}(t) = \frac{2d+1}{d+1}g_{2d+2,d+1}(t) + t\frac{d}{d+1}g_{2d+1,d}(t),$$

which is of the form of (3.3) with $k = 1$. It is easy to verify that the conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied, and hence

$$(3.16) \quad g_{2d+2,d+1}(t) \preceq g_{2d+3,d+1}(t).$$

Moreover, by (2.12), we have for $d \geq 1$,

$$g_{2d+4,d+2}(t) = 2g_{2d+3,d+1}(t) + tg_{2d+2,d+1}(t),$$

which is of the form of (3.3) with $k = 1$. In the same manner we get that

$$(3.17) \quad g_{2d+3,d+1}(t) \preceq g_{2d+4,d+2}(t).$$

Combining (3.16) and (3.17) yields (3.15). This completes the proof. \square

4. ASYMPTOTIC NORMALITY

This section is devoted to the study of asymptotic normality of the coefficients of Speyer's g -polynomial $g_{n,d}(t)$. The coefficients of many real-rooted polynomials appear to be asymptotically normal, see [1, 5, 6, 7, 15] for examples. Since each g -polynomial $g_{n,d}(t)$ has only real zeros, it is natural to investigate that whether Speyer's g -polynomials possess this asymptotic property.

Before showing the main result of this section, we first recall some concepts and results. Let $\{f_n(t)\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a polynomial sequence with nonnegative coefficients, say

$$(4.1) \quad f_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n a(n, k)t^k.$$

The coefficient $a(n, k)$ is said to be asymptotically normal with mean μ_n and variance σ_n^2 by a central limit theorem if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{k \leq \mu_n + t\sigma_n} p(n, k) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^t \exp(-x^2/2) dx \right| = 0,$$

where $p(n, k) = \frac{a(n, k)}{\sum_{j=0}^n a(n, j)}$. The coefficient $a(n, k)$ is said to be asymptotically normal with mean μ_n and variance σ_n^2 by a local limit theorem on the real set \mathbb{R} if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sigma_n p(n, \lfloor \mu_n + t\sigma_n \rfloor) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-t^2/2) \right| = 0.$$

The main result of this section is stated as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *The coefficients of the Speyer's g -polynomial $g_{n, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}(t)$ is asymptotically normal by local and central limit theorems.*

In order to prove Theorem 4.1, we shall employ the following criterion provided by Bender [1]. See also Harper [12].

Theorem 4.2 ([1, Theorem 2]). *Let $\{f_n(t)\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a real-rooted polynomial sequence with nonnegative coefficients as in (4.1). Let*

$$(4.2) \quad \mu_n = \frac{f'_n(1)}{f_n(1)},$$

$$(4.3) \quad \sigma_n^2 = \frac{f''_n(1)}{f_n(1)} + \mu_n - \mu_n^2.$$

If $\sigma_n^2 \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, then the coefficient of $f_n(t)$ is asymptotically normal with mean μ_n and variance σ_n^2 by local and central limit theorems.

Before proving Theorem 4.1, let us first note several lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. *For $n \geq 3$ let $f_n(t) := g_{n, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}(t)$ and*

$$(4.4) \quad r_n(t) = \frac{f_{n-1}(t)}{f_n(t)}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} r_n(1) = -1 + \sqrt{2}.$$

Proof. By (2.11) and (2.12) we obtain that, for $d \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{2d+1}(1) &= \frac{2d-1}{d} f_{2d}(1) + \frac{d-1}{d} f_{2d-1}(1), \\ f_{2d+2}(1) &= 2 f_{2d+1}(1) + f_{2d}(1), \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$(4.5) \quad f_{n+1}(1) = \frac{n-1}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} f_n(1) + \frac{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} f_{n-1}(1), \quad n \geq 2,$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the greatest integer not exceeding x and $\lceil x \rceil$ is the least integer not less than x . Suppose that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} r_n(1) = A,$$

where A is a finite real number. It follows from (4.5) that

$$A^{-1} = 2 + A,$$

whose zeros are

$$A_1 = -1 - \sqrt{2}, \quad A_2 = -1 + \sqrt{2}.$$

Since $r_n(1) > 0$ for all $n \geq 3$, we have $A = -1 + \sqrt{2}$, as desired. \square

The following lemma gives an explicit formula to compute the mean of the coefficients of $g_{n, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}(t)$.

Lemma 4.4. *For $n \geq 3$ let $f_n(t) := g_{n, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}(t)$ and $\mu_n = \frac{f'_n(1)}{f_n(1)}$. Then*

$$(4.6) \quad \mu_n = \left(1 - \frac{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1} \cdot \frac{f_{n-1}(1)}{f_n(1)} \right) \frac{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1}{2}.$$

Proof. By (2.13) and (2.15), we find that

$$(4.7) \quad f_{2d}(1) = \frac{d-1}{d+1} f_{2d-1}(1) + \frac{2}{d+1} f'_{2d}(1), \quad d \geq 2, \text{ and}$$

$$(4.8) \quad f_{2d+1}(1) = \frac{d}{d+2} f_{2d}(1) + \frac{2}{d+2} f'_{2d+1}(1), \quad d \geq 1.$$

It follows from (4.7) and (4.8) that for $n \geq 3$,

$$(4.9) \quad f_n(1) = \frac{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1} f_{n-1}(1) + \frac{2}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1} f'_n(1).$$

By using the above formula, we immediately get (4.6). \square

With the above lemma, we are able to give an explicit formula to compute the variance of the coefficients of $g_{n, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}(t)$.

Lemma 4.5. *For $n \geq 3$ let $f_n(t) := g_{n, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}(t)$, $\mu_n = \frac{f'_n(1)}{f_n(1)}$ and $\sigma_n^2 = \frac{f''_n(1)}{f_n(1)} + \mu_n - \mu_n^2$. Then*

$$(4.10) \quad \sigma_n^2 = \frac{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor (\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1)}{4} - \frac{(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1)(2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1)}{4} \frac{f_{n-1}(1)}{f_n(1)} - \frac{(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1)^2}{4} \left(\frac{f_{n-1}(1)}{f_n(1)} \right)^2.$$

Proof. By (2.14) and (2.16), we have

$$f_{2d}(1) = \frac{4d-1}{2d+1} f_{2d-1}(1) + \frac{4}{(d-1)(2d+1)} f''_{2d}(1), \quad d \geq 2,$$

$$f_{2d+1}(1) = \frac{4d+1}{2d+3} f_{2d}(1) + \frac{4}{d(2d+3)} f''_{2d+1}(1), \quad d \geq 1.$$

It follows that

$$(4.11) \quad f_n(1) = \frac{2n-1}{2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1} f_{n-1}(1) + \frac{4}{(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1)(2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1)} f''_n(1), \quad n \geq 3,$$

which can be rewritten as

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{f_n''(1)}{f_n(1)} = \left(1 - \frac{2n-1}{2\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1} \frac{f_{n-1}(1)}{f_n(1)}\right) \frac{(\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1)(2\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1)}{4}, \quad n \geq 3.$$

By (4.6) and (4.12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n^2 &= \left(1 - \frac{2n-1}{2\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1} \frac{f_{n-1}(1)}{f_n(1)}\right) \frac{(\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1)(2\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1)}{4} \\ &\quad + \left(1 - \frac{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1}{\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1} \cdot \frac{f_{n-1}(1)}{f_n(1)}\right) \frac{\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1}{2} \\ &\quad - \left(1 - \frac{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1}{\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1} \cdot \frac{f_{n-1}(1)}{f_n(1)}\right)^2 \frac{(\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1)^2}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

By further simplifying, we obtain the desired result. \square

In order to study the limit of the variance of the coefficients of $g_{n,\lceil n/2 \rceil}(t)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we also need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.6. *For $m \geq 2$, we have*

$$(4.13) \quad m - (2m-1)r_{2m}(1) - (m-1)r_{2m}(1)^2 > 2 - \sqrt{2},$$

where $r_{2m}(1) = f_{2m-1}(1)/f_{2m}(1)$ and $f_n(t) := g_{n,\lceil n/2 \rceil}(t)$.

Proof. Let us first show that

$$(4.14) \quad r_{2m}(1) < -1 + \sqrt{2}$$

holds for $m \geq 2$ by induction.

By (1.1), we have $r_4(1) = f_3(1)/f_4(1) = 1/3 < -1 + \sqrt{2}$. So, (4.14) holds for $m = 2$. Assume $r_{2m}(1) < -1 + \sqrt{2}$. We proceed to show that $r_{2m+2}(1) < -1 + \sqrt{2}$. By (4.5), we have for $m \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{2m+1}(1) &= \frac{2m-1}{m} f_{2m}(1) + \frac{m-1}{m} f_{2m-1}(1), \\ f_{2m+2}(1) &= 2 f_{2m+1}(1) + f_{2m}(1), \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r_{2m+1}(1)} &= 2 - \frac{1}{m} + \frac{m-1}{m} r_{2m}(1), \\ \frac{1}{r_{2m+2}(1)} &= 2 + r_{2m+1}(1). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above two relations yields

$$(4.15) \quad r_{2m+2}(1) = \frac{1}{2 + r_{2m+1}(1)} = \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{2 - \frac{1}{m} + \frac{m-1}{m} r_{2m}(1)}}.$$

By the inductive hypothesis and (4.15), we see for $m \geq 2$,

$$r_{2m+2}(1) < \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{2 - \frac{1}{m} + \frac{m-1}{m}(-1 + \sqrt{2})}} = \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} + 1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{m}}} < \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} + 1}} = -1 + \sqrt{2}.$$

Thus, (4.14) is proved. Hence for $m \geq 2$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & m - (2m - 1)r_{2m}(1) - (m - 1)r_{2m}(1)^2 \\ & > m - (2m - 1)(-1 + \sqrt{2}) - (m - 1)(-1 + \sqrt{2})^2 \\ & = 2 - \sqrt{2}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.7. *For $m \geq 3$, we have*

$$(4.16) \quad m + 1 - 2m r_{2m+1}(1) - m r_{2m+1}(1)^2 > 0.$$

where $r_{2m+1}(1) = f_{2m}(1)/f_{2m+1}(1)$ and $f_n(t) := g_{n, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}(t)$.

Proof. Note that, for $m \geq 3$, the inequality (4.16) holds if and only if

$$(4.17) \quad -1 - \frac{\sqrt{2m^2 + m}}{m} < r_{2m+1}(1) < -1 + \frac{\sqrt{2m^2 + m}}{m}.$$

Clearly, the first inequality in (4.17) holds, since $r_{2m+1}(1) > 0$ for $m \geq 1$. It remains to prove that

$$(4.18) \quad r_{2m+1}(1) < -1 + \frac{\sqrt{2m^2 + m}}{m}$$

for $m \geq 3$. First, for $m = 3$, one can use (4.4) and (1.1) to verify that

$$r_7(1) - \left(-1 + \frac{\sqrt{21}}{3}\right) = \frac{38}{25} - \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{21} < 0.$$

Assuming (4.18) for m , we continue to show that it also holds for $m + 1$, namely

$$r_{2m+3}(1) < -1 + \frac{\sqrt{2(m+1)^2 + m + 1}}{m + 1}.$$

By the recurrence relation (4.5), we have for $m \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r_{2m+3}(1)} &= \frac{2m + 1}{m + 1} + \frac{m}{m + 1} r_{2m+2}(1), \\ \frac{1}{r_{2m+2}(1)} &= 2 + r_{2m+1}(1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$r_{2m+3}(1) = \frac{1}{\frac{2m + 1}{m + 1} + \frac{m}{m + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{2 + r_{2m+1}(1)}}.$$

By the inductive hypothesis, we see that for $m \geq 1$,

$$r_{2m+3}(1) < \frac{1}{\frac{2m+1}{m+1} + \frac{m}{m+1} \cdot \frac{m}{m + \sqrt{2m^2 + m}}}.$$

It suffices to prove for $m \geq 3$,

$$(4.19) \quad \frac{1}{\frac{2m+1}{m+1} + \frac{m}{m+1} \cdot \frac{m}{m + \sqrt{2m^2 + m}}} < -1 + \frac{\sqrt{2(m+1)^2 + m + 1}}{m+1}.$$

The inequality (4.19) can be verified by the following Maple command:

$$[> \text{evalf} \left(\text{solve} \left(\left\{ \frac{1}{\frac{2m+1}{m+1} + \frac{m}{m+1} \cdot \frac{m}{m + \sqrt{2m^2 + m}}} + 1 - \frac{\sqrt{2(m+1)^2 + m + 1}}{m+1} < 0, m > 0 \right\}, m \right) \right)$$

It outputs

$$\{1.393714057 < m\}$$

which implies that (4.19) holds for $m \geq 3$. This completes the proof. \square

We are now in a position to show a proof of Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. For $n \geq 3$ let $f_n(t) := g_{n, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}(t)$, $\mu_n = \frac{f'_n(1)}{f_n(1)}$ and $\sigma_n^2 = \frac{f''_n(1)}{f_n(1)} + \mu_n - \mu_n^2$. By Theorem 4.2 it suffices to show that $\sigma_n^2 \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. To this end, we only need to show that $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_{2m}^2 = +\infty$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_{2m+1}^2 = +\infty$.

By Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6 we see that for $m \geq 2$,

$$(4.20) \quad \sigma_{2m}^2 = \frac{m-1}{4} (m - (2m-1)r_{2m}(1) - (m-1)r_{2m}(1)^2) > (2 - \sqrt{2}) \frac{m-1}{4},$$

where $r_n(x)$ is defined by (4.4). It follows from (4.20) that $\sigma_{2m}^2 \rightarrow +\infty$ as $m \rightarrow +\infty$.

By Lemmas 4.5 and 4.7 we have

$$\sigma_{2m+1}^2 = \frac{m}{4} (m+1 - (2m-1)r_{2m+1}(1) - m r_{2m+1}(1)^2) > \frac{m}{4} r_{2m+1}(1),$$

for $m \geq 3$. Since $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} r_{2m+1}(1) = -1 + \sqrt{2} > 0$ by Lemma 4.3, it follows that $\sigma_{2m+1}^2 \rightarrow +\infty$ as $m \rightarrow +\infty$. This completes the proof. \square

We propose a conjecture to conclude this paper.

Conjecture 4.8. *If $d = d(n)$ is a real function of n such that $d(n) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, then the coefficient of Speyer's g -polynomial $g_{n, d(n)}(t)$, namely $S_i(n, d(n))$, is asymptotically normal by local and central limit theorems.*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Matthew H. Y. Xie for helpful comments and informing them about [9].

REFERENCES

- [1] E.A. Bender, Central and local limit theorems applied to asymptotic enumeration, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 15 (1973), 91–111.
- [2] A. Berget, C. Eur, H. Spink, D. Tseng, Tautological classes of matroids, *Invent. Math.* 233 (2023), 951–1039.
- [3] S. Chen, M. Kauers, Some open problems related to creative telescoping, *J. Syst. Sci. Complex*, 30 (2017), 154–172.
- [4] W.Y.C. Chen, Q.-H. Hou, Y.-P. Mu, The extended Zeilberger algorithm with parameters, *J. Symbolic Comput.* 47(6) (2012), 643–654.
- [5] X. Chen, J. Mao, Y. Wang, Asymptotic normality in t -stack sortable permutations, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* (2), 63(4) (2020), 1062–1070.
- [6] X. Chen, A.L.B. Yang, J.J.Y. Zhao, Recurrences for Callan’s generalization of Narayana polynomials, *J. Syst. Sci. Complex.* 35 (2022), 1573–1585.
- [7] X. Chen, Y. Wang, S.-N. Zheng, Analytic properties of combinatorial triangles related to Motzkin numbers, *Discrete Math.* 343 (2020), 112133.
- [8] L. Ferroni, Schubert matroids, Delannoy paths, and Speyer’s invariant, *Combinatorial Theory*, 3(3) (2023), No. 13, 22 pp.
- [9] L. Ferroni, B. Schröter, Valuative invariants for large classes of matroids, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [10] A. Fink, D.E. Speyer, K -classes for matroids and equivariant localization, *Duke Math. J.* 161(14) (2012), 2699–2723.
- [11] P. Hacking, S. Keel, E. Tevelev, Compactification of the moduli space of hyperplane arrangements, *J. Algebraic Geom.* 15 (2006), 657–680.
- [12] L.H. Harper, Stirling behavior is asymptotically normal, *Ann. Math. Statist.* 38 (1967), 410–414.
- [13] Q.-H. Hou, Maple package APCI, <http://faculty.tju.edu.cn/HouQinghu/en/lwcg/4184/content/23455.htm#lwcg>.
- [14] M. Kapranov, Chow quotients of Grassmannians I, *Adv. Soviet Math.* 16(2) (1993), 29–110.
- [15] H. Liang, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, Analytic aspects of generalized central trinomial coefficients, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 527(1) (2023), 127424.
- [16] L.L. Liu, and Y. Wang, A unified approach to polynomial sequences with only real zeros, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 38 (2007), 542–560.
- [17] L. López de Medrano, F. Rincón, K. Shaw, Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson cycles of matroids, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) 120(1) (2020), 1–27.
- [18] D.E. Speyer, Tropical linear spaces, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 22(4) (2008), 1527–1558.
- [19] D.E. Speyer, A matroid invariant via the K -theory of the Grassmannian, *Adv. Math.* 221(3) (2009) 882–913.
- [20] H.S. Wilf, D. Zeilberger, An algorithmic proof theory for hypergeometric (ordinary and “ q ”) multiset/integral identities, *Invent. Math.* 108 (1992), 575–633.
- [21] D. Zeilberger, The method of creative telescoping, *J. Symbolic Comput.* 11 (1991), 195–204.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, LUOYANG INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, LUOYANG 471023, P. R. CHINA.

Email address: zhr168@lit.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF ACCOUNTING, GUANGZHOU COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY AND BUSINESS, FOSHAN 528138, P. R. CHINA.

Email address: zhao@gzgs.edu.cn