

THE DEGENERACY LOCI FOR SMOOTH MODULI OF SHEAVES

YU ZHAO

ABSTRACT. Let S be a smooth projective surface over \mathbb{C} . We prove that, under certain technical assumptions, the degeneracy locus of the universal sheaf over the moduli space of stable sheaves is either empty or an irreducible Cohen-Macaulay variety of the expected dimension. We also provide a criterion for when the degeneracy locus is non-empty. This result generalizes the work of Bayer, Chen, and Jiang [5] for the Hilbert scheme of points on surfaces.

The above result is a special case of a general phenomenon: for a perfect complex of Tor-amplitude $[0, 1]$, the geometry of the degeneracy locus is closely related to the geometry of the derived Grassmannian. We analyze their birational geometry and relate it to the incidence varieties studied in [14]. As a corollary, we prove a statement that was previously claimed by the author in [37].

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The Degeneracy Loci for Smooth Moduli of Sheaves. Given a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} over a variety X , the degeneracy locus $\bar{D}_{\mathcal{F},l}$ is defined as the closed subvariety

$$\bar{D}_{\mathcal{F},l} := \{p \in X \mid \text{rank}(\mathcal{F}|_{k(p)}) \geq l\}, \quad l \geq 0,$$

where $k(p)$ is the residue field of p . The degeneracy locus plays a central role in Brill-Noether theory, enumerative geometry, and algebraic combinatorics, as discussed in [2, 10].

For the universal ideal sheaf over the Hilbert scheme of n points on a projective surface S over \mathbb{C} , Chen, Jiang, and Bayer [5] proved that its degeneracy locus $\bar{D}_{\mathcal{F},l}$ is either empty or an irreducible Cohen-Macaulay variety of dimension

$$\text{vdim} := 2n + 2 + l(1 - l).$$

Moreover, they showed that $\bar{D}_{\mathcal{F},l}$ is non-empty if and only if vdim is positive.

In this paper, we generalize [5] to the rank $r > 0$ moduli space of stable coherent sheaves. We fix $c_1 \in \text{NS}(S)$ and an ample line bundle H . For any $n \in H^4(S, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathcal{M}_H^s(r, c_1, n)$ be the moduli space of Gieseker H -stable coherent sheaves with the total Chern class

$$r + c_1 + n[pts],$$

where $[pts]$ is the cycle of a point in S . Let \mathcal{U}_n be the universal coherent sheaf over $\mathcal{M}_H^s(r, c_1, n) \times S$. For $l \geq 0$, the expected dimension of the degeneracy locus $\bar{D}_{\mathcal{U}_n, l}$ is defined as

$$\text{vdim}_{n,l} := \dim(\mathcal{M}_H^s(r, c_1, n)) + \min\{0, l(r - l)\}.$$

We prove that

Theorem 1.1. *Assuming Assumption 3.8, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $l \geq 0$, the degeneracy locus $\bar{D}_{\mathcal{U}_n, l}$ is either empty or an irreducible Cohen-Macaulay variety of dimension $\text{vdim}_{n, l}$.*

Determining whether $\bar{D}_{\mathcal{U}_n, l}$ is empty is more challenging than in the case of Hilbert schemes of points, due to the increased complexity of the moduli space and the involvement of the remainder of l divided by r . We prove that

Theorem 1.2. *There exists an integer $\theta \geq 0$ that depends on S , H , r , and c_1 , such that $\bar{D}_{\mathcal{U}_n, l} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathcal{M}_H^s(r, c_1, n) \neq \emptyset$ and*

$$\text{vdim}_{n, l} \geq \theta + t(r - t),$$

where t is the remainder of l divided by r .

Remark 1.3. The precise value of θ is known in many cases. When the rank $r = 1$, we have $\theta = 2$, as shown in [5]. According to Yoshioka [33, 34], $\theta = 2$ for K3 surfaces (for a generic ample divisor H) and $\theta = 4$ for abelian surfaces. When $S = \mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, the value of θ depends on r and c_1 , as computed by Drezet and Le Potier [9].

Remark 1.4. Similar arguments as in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 also apply to $\mathcal{M}_{f_r}(r, n)$, the moduli space of framed sheaves on \mathbb{P}^2 , where we have $\theta = 2$. Since $\mathcal{M}_{f_r}(r, n)$ is also the quiver variety of the Jordan quiver, we expect similar results for more quiver varieties, which will be studied in future work.

Remark 1.5. Besides its importance in algebraic geometry, the enumerative and birational geometry properties of \mathcal{U}_n play a central role in the representations of quantum toroidal algebras [27, 28], deformed W -algebras [22], and categorification problems [36, 35]. These have further implications in the AGT correspondence [30] and the $P = W$ conjecture [12].

1.2. The Degeneracy Locus and the Grassmannian. One of the main ingredients in the proof of Theorem 1.1 follows from a duality relation between the degeneracy locus and the Grassmannian. Let X be an irreducible, locally complete intersection variety over an arbitrary field \mathbb{F} , and let

$$V \xrightarrow{\sigma} W$$

be a morphism of locally free sheaves over X . Given any $l \geq 0$, we consider the l -th degeneracy locus $\bar{D}_{\sigma, l} := \bar{D}_{\text{coker}(\sigma), l}$, i.e., the closed subvariety consisting of points where $\dim(\text{coker}(\sigma)) \leq l$.

By a classical theorem in commutative algebra (e.g., Theorem 14.4 of [10]), for any $l \geq 0$, we have

$$(1.1) \quad \text{codim}(\bar{D}_{\sigma, l}) \leq \max\{0, l(l - e)\},$$

where $e := \text{rank}(W) - \text{rank}(V)$. We say that $\bar{D}_{\sigma, l}$ is of expected dimension if equality holds in (1.1); in this situation, $\bar{D}_{\sigma, l}$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

On the other hand, for any integer $l \geq 0$, we consider the Grassmannian (in Grothendieck's notation) $Gr_X(\text{coker}(\sigma), l)$, which parametrizes the quotients of σ by locally free sheaves of rank l . By Krull's height theorem,

$$(1.2) \quad \dim(Gr_X(\text{coker}(\sigma), l)) \geq \dim(X) - l(l - e),$$

and we say that $Gr_X(\text{coker}(\sigma), l)$ is of expected dimension if equality holds in (1.2) or if $Gr_X(\text{coker}(\sigma), l) = \emptyset$. Theorem 1.1 follows from Nakajima-Yoshioka's theory on perverse coherent sheaves of blow-ups [25, 24, 26] and the following proposition.

Proposition 1.6. *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *For all $l \geq 0$, the l -th degeneracy locus $\bar{D}_{\sigma, l}$ is of expected dimension.*
- (2) *For all $l \geq 0$, the l -th degeneracy locus $\bar{D}_{\sigma^\vee, l}$ is of expected dimension.*
- (3) *For all $l \geq 0$, the rank l Grassmannians $Gr_X(\text{coker}(\sigma), l)$ are of expected dimension.*
- (4) *For all $l \geq 0$, the rank l Grassmannians $Gr_X(\text{coker}(\sigma^\vee), l)$ are of expected dimension.*

Moreover, if one of these four families of varieties consists entirely of irreducible varieties, then the other three families are also irreducible.

Remark 1.7. Under the assumptions of Proposition 1.6, more homological and birational properties of the degeneracy loci and Grassmannians can be studied. For more details, we refer to [1, Appendix A].

Remark 1.8. While preparing this paper, the author was reminded by Qingyuan Jiang that a similar argument to Proposition 1.6 was given in his note [17].

Following Proposition 1.6, we give the following definition:

Definition 1.9. We say that σ has *good* degeneracy loci if the assumptions in Proposition 1.6 are satisfied. Moreover, we say σ has *perfect* degeneracy loci if all the varieties in Proposition 1.6 are irreducible.

1.3. Incidence Varieties, Birational Geometry, and Derived Algebraic Geometry. To prove Theorem 1.2, we need to consider incidence varieties and study their birational geometry, adopting a higher viewpoint from derived algebraic geometry.

First, we observe that the morphism σ between two locally free sheaves can be naturally generalized to a Tor-amplitude $[0, 1]$ perfect complex. That is, we assume σ is a two-term complex of locally free sheaves, locally. Let e denote the rank of σ . Then, for any point $p \in X$, we have the Fredholm relation

$$(1.3) \quad \dim h^0(\sigma|_{k(p)}) - \dim h^1(\sigma|_{k(p)}) = e,$$

where $k(p)$ is the residue field at p .

For any $l \geq 0$, Jiang [14] constructed a derived Grassmannian $Gr_X(\sigma, l)$, which is a derived enhancement of the Grassmannian

$$Gr_X(h^0(\sigma), l).$$

In particular, Jiang [14] proved that $Gr_X(\sigma, l)$ is quasi-smooth, which generalizes the notion of locally complete intersection varieties in derived algebraic geometry. If σ is a Tor-amplitude $[0, 1]$ perfect complex, then so is the shifted derived dual $\sigma^\vee[1]$. When $e := \text{rank}(\sigma) \geq 0$, for any two integers $d_+, d_- \geq 0$, Jiang [14] defined an incidence variety

$$\text{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-),$$

which is a fiber product of $Gr_X(\sigma, d_+)$ and $Gr_X(\sigma^\vee[1], d_-)$ over X , albeit with different derived structures. Specifically, we have

$$\text{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, 0) \cong Gr_X(\sigma, d_+), \quad \text{Inc}_X(\sigma, 0, d_-) \cong Gr_X(\sigma^\vee[1], d_-).$$

Given a quasi-smooth derived scheme Y over \mathbb{F} , we say that Y is classical if it has a trivial derived structure, i.e., $\pi_0(Y) \cong Y$, where $\pi_0(Y)$ is the underlying scheme.

By Corollary 2.11 of [3], Y is classical if and only if it has the expected dimension, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{vdim}(Y) = \dim(\pi_0(Y)),$$

where $\mathrm{vdim}(Y)$ is the virtual dimension. Moreover, Y is always a locally complete intersection variety if Y is classical. Hence, Proposition 1.6 can be derived from the following generalization:

Theorem 1.10. *Assuming that $e := \mathrm{rank}(\sigma) \geq 0$, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) σ has good (resp. perfect) degeneracy loci;
- (2) For any integers $d_+, d_- \geq 0$, the incidence variety

$$\mathrm{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-)$$

is classical (resp. and irreducible);

- (3) For any integer $d_+ \geq 0$, the derived Grassmannian

$$\mathrm{Gr}_X(\sigma, d_+)$$

is classical (resp. and irreducible);

- (4) For any integer $d_- \geq 0$, the derived Grassmannian

$$\mathrm{Gr}_X(\sigma^\vee[1], d_-)$$

is classical (resp. and irreducible).

When the assumptions of Theorem 1.10 are satisfied, the derived Grassmannians and incidence varieties exhibit the following birational property:

Proposition 1.11 (Proposition 2.17). *Let σ be a Tor-amplitude $[0, 1]$ complex of rank $e \geq 0$ with perfect degeneracy loci. Then for $d_+, d_- \geq 0$ such that $d_+ = d_- + e$, the morphisms*

$$r^+ : \mathrm{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_X(\sigma, d_+), \quad r^- : \mathrm{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_X(\sigma^\vee[1], d_-),$$

$$\mathrm{pr}_{\sigma, d_+} : \mathrm{Gr}_X(\sigma, d_+) \rightarrow \bar{D}_{\sigma, d_+}, \quad \mathrm{pr}_{\sigma, d_-} : \mathrm{Gr}_X(\sigma^\vee[1], d_-) \rightarrow \bar{D}_{\sigma, d_-}$$

are birational. Moreover, all the above morphisms are isomorphisms if

$$d_+ d_- \leq \dim(X) < (d_+ + 1)(d_- + 1).$$

By Proposition 1.11, when all $\mathrm{Gr}_X(\sigma, d_+)$ and $\mathrm{Gr}_X(\sigma^\vee[1], d_-)$ are smooth varieties of expected dimensions, the main theorem of [32, 16] provides new examples of the DK conjecture of Bondal-Orlov [7] and Kawamata [18].

1.4. The Virtual Fundamental Class of Incidence Varieties. Let σ be a Tor-amplitude $[0, 1]$ perfect complex over a proper smooth \mathbb{C} -variety X such that $\mathrm{rank}(\sigma) \geq 0$. There are two natural projection morphisms (given by the fiber diagram):

$$r_+ : \mathrm{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_X(\sigma, d_+), \quad r_- : \mathrm{Inc}_X(\sigma^\vee[1], d_-) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_X(\sigma^\vee[1], d_-).$$

According to [14], the morphisms r_+ and r_- are quasi-smooth, and $\mathrm{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-)$ is also quasi-smooth. Following [8], this quasi-smooth structure induces a perfect obstruction theory in the sense of Li-Tian [21] or Behrend-Fantechi [6], thereby inducing a virtual fundamental class

$$[\mathrm{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-)]^{\mathrm{vir}} \in CH_{d_0}(\mathrm{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-)),$$

where

$$d_0 = \dim(X) + (1 - d_+)d_+ - (d_- + 1)d_- + d_- d_+.$$

In particular, if all $Gr_X(\sigma, d_+)$ and $Gr_X(\sigma^\vee[1], d_-)$ are smooth varieties of expected dimensions, the virtual fundamental class $[\text{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-)]^{\text{vir}}$ can be viewed as a correspondence in

$$CH^*(Gr_X(\sigma, d_+) \times Gr_X(\sigma^\vee[1], d_-)).$$

This correspondence plays a crucial role in the author's earlier paper [37], which studies the Clifford algebra action on the cohomology of the moduli space of rank 1 perverse coherent sheaves.

Now, by Theorem 1.10, all the incidence varieties $\text{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-)$ are locally complete intersection varieties of expected dimensions, thereby proving an earlier argument of the author in [37].

Proposition 1.12 (Proposition 4.6 of [37]). *We have*

$$[\text{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-)]^{\text{vir}} = [\text{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-)] \in CH_{d_0}(\text{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-)).$$

1.5. Perverse Coherent Sheaves on Blow-ups and Derived Grassmannians. For the tautological bundles/sheaves over moduli spaces, the geometry of derived Grassmannians can often be understood more easily than that of degeneracy loci, as the derived Grassmannians themselves can be described as moduli spaces. A notable example stems from the ADHM construction, which classifies anti-self-dual instantons over \mathbb{R}^4 . Given integers $r > 0$ and $n \geq 0$, we consider two vector spaces V and W with dimensions n and r , respectively. The ADHM data is defined as

$$M^s(V, W) := \{(X, Y, i, j) \in \text{Hom}(V, V) \oplus \text{Hom}(V, V) \oplus \text{Hom}(W, V) \oplus \text{Hom}(V, W)\},$$

subject to the equation

$$[X, Y] + ij = 0,$$

and the stability condition that for any subspace $V' \subset V$, closed under X and Y and contained in the kernel of j , we must have $V' = 0$. The moduli space of anti-self-dual instantons is given as the geometric quotient

$$\mathcal{M}_{fr}(r, n) := M^s(V, W)/\text{GL}(V),$$

which is a smooth variety of dimension $2rn$. The variety $\mathcal{M}_{fr}(r, n)$ also coincides with the moduli space of framed sheaves over \mathbb{P}^2 and the quiver variety for the Jordan quiver (i.e., a point with one loop).

The universal sheaf \mathcal{U}_0 on $\mathcal{M}_{fr}(r, n)$ is represented by the complex

$$\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{X \oplus Y \oplus j} \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{Y \oplus -X \oplus i} \mathcal{V},$$

which is a two-term complex, as $X \oplus Y \oplus j$ is injective at any closed point by the stability condition. The derived Grassmannians of \mathcal{U}_0 and $\mathcal{U}_0^\vee[1]$ were described by Nakajima and Yoshioka [25] via a quiver description. They further generalized this to the moduli space of stable sheaves over a surface, which are known as perverse coherent sheaves on blow-ups [24]. This framework forms another essential component of our proof for Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

Recently, the geometric representation theory of the moduli space of perverse coherent sheaves has gained attention, particularly because their cohomology groups form a representation of the affine super-Yangian of $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)$. For further details, we refer to [29, 11, 37].

1.6. Some Notations. The results in Section 2 hold for an arbitrary field \mathbb{F} . In Section 3, we will focus specifically on the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} .

1.7. Acknowledgement. I would like to thank Qingyuan Jiang for many helpful discussions.

2. DEGENERACY THEORY FOR TOR-AMPLITUDE $[0, 1]$ -COMPLEXES

In this section, we assume that X is a locally complete intersection variety over a field \mathbb{F} , and σ is a rank e Tor-amplitude $[0, 1]$ -complex.

2.1. Degeneracy Loci of a Tor-Amplitude $[0, 1]$ -Complex.

Definition 2.1 (Section 14.4 of [10]). For any integer l , we define the l -th degeneracy locus as

$$\bar{D}_{\sigma, l} := \{x \mid \dim h^0(\sigma|_{k(x)}) \geq l\},$$

which is a closed subvariety of X , where $k(x)$ denotes the residue field of x . We also define the locally closed subvariety

$$D_{\sigma, l} := \bar{D}_{\sigma, l} - \bar{D}_{\sigma, l+1} = \{x \mid \dim h^0(\sigma|_{k(x)}) = l\}.$$

By the definition of degeneracy loci, we have:

$$\bar{D}_{\sigma, k} \cong X \quad \text{if } k \leq \min\{0, e\}, \quad D_{\sigma, k} \cong \emptyset \quad \text{if } k < \min\{0, e\},$$

and the duality relation:

$$\bar{D}_{\sigma, k} = \bar{D}_{\sigma^\vee[1], k-e}.$$

Example 2.2. Given two integers $m, n > 0$, consider the affine variety

$$\mathbb{V}_{m, n} := |\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}^m, \mathbb{F}^n)|.$$

There is a universal map of locally free sheaves over $\mathbb{V}_{m, n}$:

$$v_{m, n} : \mathcal{O}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^n,$$

which can be regarded as a Tor-amplitude $[0, 1]$ -complex. The degeneracy loci are given by:

$$\bar{D}_{v_{m, n}, k} = \{E \mid \mathrm{rank}(E) \leq n - k\}, \quad D_{v_{m, n}, k} = \{E \mid \mathrm{rank}(E) = n - k\},$$

which are Cohen-Macaulay and locally complete intersection varieties of codimension

$$\max\{0, k(k + m - n)\}.$$

In general, we have the following property for the Cohen-Macaulay loci:

Proposition 2.3 (Theorem 14.4 (b)(c) of [10]). *For any integer k , the codimension of the degeneracy loci satisfies the inequalities:*

$$\mathrm{codim}(\bar{D}_{\sigma, k}) \leq \max\{0, k(k - e)\}, \quad \mathrm{codim}(D_{\sigma, k}) \leq \max\{0, k(k - e)\}.$$

In particular, if equality holds, then $\bar{D}_{\sigma, k}$ (resp. $D_{\sigma, k}$) is Cohen-Macaulay (resp. a locally complete intersection variety).

Proof. As the argument is local, we can assume that X is affine and

$$\sigma \cong \{\mathcal{O}^m \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{O}^n\}.$$

Then the argument follows directly from Theorem 14.4 (b)(c) of [10]. □

Definition 2.4. We say that σ has *good* degeneracy loci if for any $k \geq 0$,

$$\text{codim}(\bar{D}_{\sigma,k}) = \max\{0, k(k-e)\}.$$

If σ has good degeneracy loci, we call the degeneracy loci *perfect* if $\bar{D}_{\sigma,k}$ is irreducible for all $k \geq 0$.

The following properties of the degeneracy loci follow from Proposition 2.3 and the Fredholm relation (1.3).

Lemma 2.5. *The complex σ has good (resp. perfect) degeneracy loci if and only if $\sigma^\vee[1]$ has good (resp. perfect) degeneracy loci.*

Lemma 2.6. *If σ has good degeneracy loci, then any degeneracy locus $\bar{D}_{\sigma,k}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, and $D_{\sigma,k}$ is a locally complete intersection variety.*

Lemma 2.7. *The complex σ has good (resp. perfect) degeneracy loci if and only if for any $k \geq 0$,*

$$\text{codim}(D_{\sigma,k}) = \max\{0, k(k-e)\},$$

(and $D_{\sigma,k}$ is irreducible).

2.2. Derived Grassmannians of a Tor-Amplitude $[0, 1]$ -Complex.

Definition 2.8 (Definition 4.3 of [14]). Given an integer $d \geq 0$, the derived Grassmannian $Gr_X(\sigma, d)$ is defined as the moduli space of quotients

$$\{\sigma \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{V}\},$$

where \mathcal{V} is a rank d locally free sheaf. We define

$$pr_{\sigma,d} : Gr_X(\sigma, d) \rightarrow X$$

as the projection morphism.

Remark 2.9. It is notable that we always have $Gr_X(\sigma, 0) \cong X$.

The notion of quasi-smooth morphisms and schemes generalizes locally complete intersection morphisms and schemes in derived algebraic geometry:

Definition 2.10. A morphism of derived schemes $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined to be quasi-smooth if the cotangent complex $L_f := L_{X/Y}$ has Tor-amplitude $[0, 1]$. A derived scheme X is defined to be quasi-smooth if the morphism from X to $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ is quasi-smooth. For a quasi-smooth morphism f (resp. scheme X), we define its virtual dimension, denoted

$$vdim(f), \quad (\text{resp. } vdim(X)),$$

as the rank of the cotangent complex L_f (resp. L_X). The relative canonical bundle K_f (resp. K_X) is defined as the determinant of L_f (resp. L_X). (We refer to [31] or [15] for details on the determinant of a Tor-amplitude $[0, 1]$ complex.)

Theorem 2.11 ([14]). *The derived Grassmannian $Gr_X(\sigma, d)$ is a proper derived scheme over X , and the projection map*

$$pr_{\sigma,d} : Gr_X(\sigma, d) \rightarrow X$$

is quasi-smooth, with relative virtual dimension $d(e-d)$.

We observe that if σ has Tor-amplitude $[0, 1]$, then the shifted dual $\sigma^\vee[1]$ also has Tor-amplitude $[0, 1]$.

Definition 2.12 (Incidence Correspondence, Definition 2.7 of [16]). Given $(d_+, d_-) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$, the incidence scheme $\text{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-)$ can be defined in two ways, which were proven equivalent in [14]:

- (1) Over the projection morphism $pr_+ : Gr_X(\sigma, d_+) \rightarrow X$, let σ_+ be the fiber of the universal quotient:

$$\theta_+ : pr_+^* \sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^+.$$

We define

$$\text{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-) := Gr_{Gr_X(\sigma, d_+)}(\sigma_+^\vee[1], d_-).$$

- (2) Over the projection morphism $pr_- : Gr_X(\sigma^\vee[1], d_-) \rightarrow X$, let σ_- be the fiber of the universal quotient:

$$\theta_- : pr_-^* \sigma^\vee[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{-\vee}.$$

We define

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-) := Gr_{Gr_X(\sigma^\vee[1], d_-)}(\sigma_-^\vee[1], d_+).$$

The above definitions induce canonical projection morphisms:

$$r_{d_+, d_-}^+ : \text{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-) \rightarrow Gr_X(\sigma, d_+), \quad r_{d_+, d_-}^- : \text{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-) \rightarrow Gr_X(\sigma^\vee[-1], d_-),$$

which we will abbreviate as r^+ and r^- respectively when context allows.

2.3. Degeneracy Loci and the Classicality of Incidence Varieties. In this subsection, we show the relation between the classicality of incidence varieties and the goodness of the degeneracy loci:

Theorem 2.13. *Assuming that $e := \text{rank}(\sigma) \geq 0$, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) σ has a good (resp. perfect) degeneracy loci.
(2) For any integer $d_+, d_- \geq 0$, the incidence variety

$$\text{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-)$$

is classical (resp. and irreducible).

- (3) For any integer $d_+ \geq 0$, the derived Grassmannian

$$Gr_X(\sigma, d_+)$$

is classical (resp. and irreducible).

- (4) For any integer $d_- \geq 0$, the derived Grassmannian

$$Gr_X(\sigma^\vee[1], d_-)$$

is classical (resp. and irreducible).

Theorem 2.13 follows from Lemma 2.5 and the following two propositions:

Proposition 2.14. *Assuming $e := \text{rank}(\sigma) \geq 0$, if σ has a good (resp. perfect) degeneracy loci, the incidence variety*

$$\text{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-)$$

is classical (resp. and irreducible).

Proposition 2.15. *If for any $d \geq 0$, the derived Grassmannian*

$$Gr_X(\sigma, d)$$

is classical (resp. and irreducible), then σ has good (resp. perfect) degeneracy loci.

The key point of Propositions 2.14 and 2.15 is the following lemma:

Lemma 2.16. *Over $D_{\sigma,l}$, the underlying map of the projection from $\text{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-)$ to X is a $\text{Gr}(l, d_+) \times \text{Gr}(l - e, d_-)$ bundle.*

Proof. This directly follows from the definition of $D_{\sigma,l}$. □

Proof of Proposition 2.14. Let σ be a good degeneracy loci of rank $e \geq 0$ and t be the projection from the incidence variety to X . Then the underlying scheme of the preimage over $D_{\sigma,l}$ is empty if $l < \max\{d_+, e + d_-\}$ and has dimension

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim(X) - \text{codim}(D_{\sigma,l}) + d_+(l - d_+) + d_-(l - e - d_-) \\ &= \dim(X) - l(l - e) + d_+(l - d_+) + d_-(l - e - d_-) \\ &= \text{vdim}(\text{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-)) - (l - d_+)(l - d_- - e) \leq \text{vdim}(\text{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-)) \end{aligned}$$

if $l \geq \max\{d_+, e + d_-\}$. Moreover, equality holds if and only if $l = \max\{d_+, e + d_-\}$. Hence, if σ has good degeneracy loci, we have

$$\dim(\pi_0(\text{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-))) = \text{vdim}(\text{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-)),$$

and thus it is classical. Moreover, if σ has perfect degeneracy loci, $\text{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-)$ has only one irreducible component of maximal dimension and is therefore also irreducible. □

Proof of Proposition 2.15. For any $d \geq \max\{0, e\}$, the underlying scheme map of $pr_{\sigma,d}$ over $D_{\sigma,d}$ is an isomorphism. Thus, if the underlying scheme of the derived Grassmannian

$$\text{Gr}_X(\sigma, d)$$

is irreducible, so is $D_{\sigma,d}$. Moreover, if the derived Grassmannian is classical, then

$$\dim(X) - \text{codim}(D_{\sigma,d}) = \dim(D_{\sigma,d}) \leq \text{vdim}(\text{Gr}_X(\sigma, d)) = \dim(X) - d(d - e),$$

and hence $\text{codim}(D_{\sigma,d}) = d(d - e)$ by Proposition 2.3. Thus, by Lemma 2.5, σ has a good degeneracy loci and a perfect degeneracy loci if all the derived Grassmannians are irreducible. □

2.4. Birational Geometry of Incidence Varieties for Perfect Degeneracy Loci. In this subsection, we consider the birational geometry of incidence varieties. By Lemma 2.16, we have the following result:

Proposition 2.17 (Proposition 1.11). *Let σ be a tor-amplitude $[0, 1]$ complex of rank $e \geq 0$ with perfect degeneracy loci. Then, for integers $d_+, d_- \geq 0$ such that $d_+ = d_- + e$, the morphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} r^+ &: \text{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_X(\sigma, d_+), \\ r^- &: \text{Inc}_X(\sigma, d_+, d_-) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_X(\sigma^\vee[1], d_-), \\ pr_{\sigma,d_+} &: \text{Gr}_X(\sigma, d_+) \rightarrow \bar{D}_{\sigma,d_+}, \\ pr_{\sigma,d_-} &: \text{Gr}_X(\sigma^\vee[1], d_-) \rightarrow \bar{D}_{\sigma,d_+} \end{aligned}$$

are birational. Furthermore, all of the above morphisms are isomorphisms if

$$d_+d_- \leq \dim(X) < (d_+ + 1)(d_- + 1).$$

Proof. We only need to observe that the projections

$$pr_{\sigma, d_+}, \quad pr_{\sigma^\vee[1], d_-}$$

are isomorphisms over D_{σ, d_+} . Moreover, when

$$d_+ d_- \leq \dim(X) < (d_+ + 1)(d_- + 1),$$

the degeneracy locus $\bar{D}_{\sigma, d_+ + 1}$ is empty, since its dimension is negative. \square

3. DEGENERACY LOCI ON THE SMOOTH MODULI SURFACE OF COHERENT SHEAVES OVER A SURFACE

Let S be a smooth projective surface over \mathbb{C} . Fix an ample line bundle H , an integer $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and a class $c_1 \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$. Given $n \in H^4(S, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by

$$\mathcal{M}_H^{ss}(r, c_1, n) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}_H^s(r, c_1, n)$$

the moduli spaces of Gieseker H -semistable (resp. stable) sheaves on S with Chern classes (r, c_1, n) . Let

$$\mathcal{U} \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{M}_H^s(r, c_1, n) \times S)$$

be the universal coherent sheaf.

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

3.1. A General Proposition. We first state two general propositions:

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\{X_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a family of irreducible smooth varieties over \mathbb{C} with constant integers const and $r > 0$ such that for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, X_m is either empty or an irreducible smooth variety of dimension*

$$\text{const} + 2rm.$$

Let $\mathcal{V} := \{\mathcal{V}_m \in \text{Perf}(X_m)\}$ be a family of tor-amplitude $[0, 1]$ and fixed rank r complexes such that for all m, l ,

$$\text{Gr}_{X_{m+l}}(\mathcal{V}_{m+l}^\vee[1], l) \cong \text{Gr}_{X_m}(\mathcal{V}_m, l)$$

are classical irreducible varieties. Then the complex \mathcal{V} has perfect degeneracy loci for any X_m .

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.13. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Assuming the setting of Proposition 3.1, if there exists an integer θ_0 such that X_m is empty if and only if*

$$\text{const} + 2rm \geq \theta_0,$$

then the degeneracy locus

$$\bar{D}_{\mathcal{V}_m, l} \neq \emptyset$$

if and only if the expected dimension

$$\text{const} + 2rm + l(r - l) - t(r - t) \geq \theta_0,$$

where t is the remainder of l divided by r .

Proof. We make induction on l . When $l < r$, the left side of the inequality is the dimension of X_m , and $\bar{D}_{\mathcal{V}_m, l}$ is not empty if and only if X_m is not empty. Thus, the statement holds in this case.

When $l \geq r$, we have

$$\bar{D}_{\mathcal{V}_m, l} = \bar{D}_{\mathcal{V}_m^{\vee}[1], l-r},$$

which is birational to $Gr_{X_{m-t+r}}(\mathcal{V}, l-r)$. Therefore, $\bar{D}_{\mathcal{V}_m, l}$ is not empty if and only if $\bar{D}_{\mathcal{V}_{m-t+r}, l-r}$ is not empty. By induction, this holds if and only if

$$\text{const} + 2r(m-l+r) + (l-r)(2r-l) - t(r-t) \geq \theta_0.$$

Simplifying the right-hand side, we get

$$\text{const} + 2rm + l(r-l) - t(r-t) \geq \theta_0.$$

□

Corollary 3.3. *Let*

$$vdim_{m, l} := \dim(X_m) + \max\{0, l(r-l)\}.$$

Then the degeneracy locus

$$\bar{D}_{\mathcal{V}_m, l} \neq \emptyset$$

if and only if $X_m \neq \emptyset$ and

$$vdim_{m, l} \geq \theta_0 + t(r-t),$$

where t is the remainder of l divided by r .

3.2. Moduli Space of Framed Coherent Sheaves on the Plane and ADHM Construction. Given integers $(r, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we denote by

$$\mathcal{M}_{fr}(r, n)$$

the moduli space of framed sheaves on the projective plane. This moduli space can also be interpreted as the quiver variety of the Jordan quiver. Specifically, we consider two vector spaces V and W of dimensions n and r , respectively. The ADHM datum for this setup is given by

$$M^s(V, W) := \{(X, Y, i, j) \in \text{Hom}(V, V) \oplus \text{Hom}(V, V) \oplus \text{Hom}(W, V) \oplus \text{Hom}(V, W)\}$$

subject to the equation

$$[X, Y] + ij = 0,$$

along with the stability condition that for any subspace $V' \subset V$ closed under X and Y and in the kernel of j , we must have $V' = 0$.

Theorem 3.4 (Chapter 2 of [23]).

$$\mathcal{M}_{fr}(r, n) \cong M^s(V, W)/GL(V),$$

where the general linear group $GL(V)$ acts by conjugation. Moreover, $\mathcal{M}_{fr}(r, n)$ is a non-empty smooth variety of dimension $2rn$.

The vector spaces V and W induce tautological locally free sheaves, denoted by \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} . The universal sheaf \mathcal{U} on $\mathcal{M}_{fr}(r, n) \times \mathbb{A}^2$ is represented by the two-term complex

$$\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{(X-x \cdot \text{id}) \oplus (Y-y \cdot \text{id}) \oplus j} \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{(Y-y \cdot \text{id}) \oplus -(X-x \cdot \text{id}) \oplus i} \mathcal{V},$$

for $(x, y) \in \mathbb{A}^2$. This is a two-term complex because the map

$$(X - x \cdot \text{id}) \oplus (Y - y \cdot \text{id}) \oplus j$$

is injective at any closed point by the stability condition. We denote by \mathcal{U}_0 the restriction of \mathcal{U} to $\mathcal{M}_{fr}(r, n) \times \{(0, 0)\}$, i.e., setting $x = y = 0$.

The derived Grassmannian of \mathcal{U} is described by the perverse coherent sheaves of Nakajima-Yoshioka [25], which also admit a quiver-like description. Let V_1, V_2 , and W be vector spaces of dimensions n_1, n_2 , and r , respectively. The datum $M^s(V_1, V_2, W)$ includes elements (B_1, B_2, d, i, j) in

$$\mathrm{Hom}(V_1, V_2) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(V_2, V_1) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(W, V_1) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(V_2, W),$$

satisfying the equation

$$B_1 dB_2 - B_2 dB_1 = 0,$$

and the stability condition that for any subspaces

$$V'_1 \subset V_1, \quad V'_2 \subset V_2, \quad 0 \subset W$$

which are closed under the quiver representation, we must have $V'_1 = 0$. By the stability condition, d is injective, implying $n_1 \geq n_2$.

Theorem 3.5 (Nakajima-Yoshioka [25]). *The moduli space*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{perv}}(n_1, n_2, r) := M^s(V_1, V_2, W)/(GL(V_1) \times GL(V_2))$$

is either an irreducible smooth variety of dimension $2n_1n_2 - n_1^2 - n_2^2 + (n_1 + n_2)r$ or an empty set.

We define the morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta : \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{perv}}(n_1, n_2, r) &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}(n_1, r), \\ (B_1, B_2, d, i, j) &\mapsto (dB_1, dB_2, i, dj), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \eta : \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{perv}}(n_1, n_2, r) &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}(n_2, r), \\ (B_1, B_2, d, i, j) &\mapsto (B_1 d, B_2 d, id, j), \end{aligned}$$

which are well-defined by [25].

Theorem 3.6 ([25]). *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{perv}}(n_1, n_2, r) &\cong \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{M}_{fr}(n_1, r)}(\mathcal{U}_0^\vee[1], n_1 - n_2), \\ \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{perv}}(n_1, n_2, r) &\cong \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{M}_{fr}(n_2, r)}(\mathcal{U}_0, n_1 - n_2), \end{aligned}$$

where ζ and η are the projection morphisms.

Applying Theorem 3.6, we conclude:

Corollary 3.7. *Fixing $r > 0$, the sheaf $\mathcal{U}_0 \in \coprod_n \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{M}_{fr}(r, n))$ satisfies the assumptions in Proposition 3.1, with*

$$\mathrm{const} = \theta_0 = 0.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{U}_0 \in \coprod_n \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{M}_{fr}(r, n))$ and $\mathcal{U} \in \coprod_n \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{M}_{fr}(r, n)) \times \mathbb{A}^2$ both have perfect degeneracy loci. The degeneracy locus

$$\bar{D}_{\mathcal{U}_0, l} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{D}_{\mathcal{U}, l}$$

is non-empty if and only if

$$2rn + l(r - l) - t(r - t) \geq 0,$$

where t is the remainder when l is divided by r .

3.3. Moduli Space of Gieseker Stable Sheaves. The geometry of the moduli space of Gieseker stable coherent sheaves can be complex. To simplify computations, we assume the following conditions:

Assumption 3.8. *We make the following assumptions:*

(1) *The total Chern class is primitive, i.e.,*

$$\gcd(r, c_1 \cdot H) = 1.$$

(2) *Either $r = 1$, or $K_S \cong \mathcal{O}_S$, or $c_1(K_S) \cdot H < 0$.*

(3) *There exists a semistable coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} of rank r with first Chern character c_1 , i.e.,*

$$\coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{M}_H^{ss}(r, c_1, n) \neq \emptyset.$$

Remark 3.9. Assumption 3.8 holds for generic ample divisors when the surface S is a Del Pezzo, K3, or abelian surface.

We state the following general results concerning the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_H^s(r, c_1, n)$:

Proposition 3.10 ([13]; see also Proposition 2.10 of [28] and Lemma 3.3 of [19]). *If Assumption 3.8 holds, then*

$$\mathcal{M}_H^{ss}(r, c_1, n) = \mathcal{M}_H^s(r, c_1, n),$$

which is either empty or a smooth projective variety of dimension

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_H^s(r, c_1, n) = \text{const} + 2rn,$$

where

$$\text{const} := (1 - r)c_1^2 - (r^2 - 1)\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) + h^1(\mathcal{O}_S).$$

Proposition 3.11 (Proposition 2.14 of [28]). *Assuming Assumption 3.8, the universal sheaf \mathcal{U} , regarded as an object in $\text{Perf}(\mathcal{M}_H^s(r, c_1, n) \times S)$, has tor-amplitude in $[0, 1]$.*

Lemma 3.12 (Proposition 5.5 of [27]). *Under Assumption 3.8, for any non-splitting short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}' \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_x \rightarrow 0,$$

where $x \in S$ and both \mathcal{U}' and \mathcal{U} have rank r and first Chern character c_1 , \mathcal{U} is stable if and only if \mathcal{U}' is stable.

Fixing r and c_1 , the Bogomolov inequality implies:

$$\mathcal{M}_H^{ss}(r, c_1, N) = \emptyset \quad \text{for } N \ll 0.$$

Thus, there exists a smallest integer N_0 such that:

$$\mathcal{M}_H^{ss}(r, c_1, N_0) \neq \emptyset.$$

By Lemma 3.12, we have:

Lemma 3.13. *For every $n \geq N_0$,*

$$\mathcal{M}_H^{ss}(r, c_1, n) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let $\theta_0 := \text{const} + 2rN_0$. Yoshioka has shown the following results:

Theorem 3.14 (Theorem 0.1 of [33]; Theorem 0.1 of [34]). *When S is a K3 surface and H is a generic ample divisor, $\theta_0 = 0$. When S is an abelian surface, $\theta_0 = 2$.*

Remark 3.15. When S is \mathbb{P}^2 or $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, the constant θ_0 was computed by Drezet-Le Potier in [9].

3.4. Perverse coherent sheaves on blow-ups. Now recall the moduli space of perverse coherent sheaves of Nakajima-Yoshioka [25, 24, 26]. Let $o \in S$ be a closed point and $\hat{S} := Bl_o S$. We denote $p : \hat{S} \rightarrow S$ as the projection map and $C := p^{-1}(o) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ as the exceptional divisor. We denote

$$\mathcal{O}_C(m) := \mathcal{O}(-mC)|_C$$

which is the unique degree m line bundle on the rational curve C for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 3.16. A stable perverse coherent sheaf E on \hat{S} with respect to H is a coherent sheaf such that

$$\text{Hom}(E, \mathcal{O}_C(-1)) = 0, \quad \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_C, E) = 0$$

and p_*E is Gieseker stable with respect to H .

Given the decomposition of cohomology groups:

$$H^*(\hat{S}, \mathbb{Q}) = p^*(H^*(S, \mathbb{Q})) \oplus \mathbb{Q}[C]$$

where $[C] \in H^{1,1}(\hat{S})$ and $[C]^2 = -1$, we define a morphism

$$p_! : H^*(\hat{S}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(S, \mathbb{Q}), \quad p_!(c) := p_*(c \, td(\hat{S}))td(S)^{-1}.$$

The morphism $p_!$ is compatible with the algebraic K -theory, i.e. we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(\hat{S}) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & K_0(S) \\ \downarrow ch & & \downarrow ch \\ H^*(\hat{S}, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{p_!} & H^*(S, \mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

where ch is the Chern character morphism. Moreover, we will have

$$p_!([\hat{S}]) = [S], \quad p_!([C]) = \frac{1}{2}[pt], \quad p_![pt] = pt.$$

and

$$p_!p^* = id : H^*(S, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(S, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Fixing a total Chern class $c = (r, c_1, n)$ we denote

$$ch(c) := r + c_1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1^2 - n$$

which is the Chern character of c .

Definition 3.17. For any total Chern class c on S and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$M^0(c, l)$$

as the moduli space of perverse coherent sheaves with the total Chern character

$$v_d := p^*ch(c) - d(ch(\mathcal{O}_C(-1))) = p^*ch(c) - d([C] - \frac{1}{2}[pts]).$$

Proposition 3.18 (Lemma 3.22 of [24] and Lemma 3.3 of [19]). *Assuming Assumption 3.8 and Assumption 3.8, $M^0(c, l)$ is either empty or a smooth variety of expected dimension*

$$const + 2rn - r(l + r).$$

Proposition 3.19 (Proposition 3.1 of [20] and Lemma 3.4 of [24]). *For a stable coherent sheaf E on \hat{S} , we have*

$$R^i p_* E(aC) = 0, \quad a = 0, 1, \quad i \geq 1,$$

and moreover,

$$Rp_* E(aC) = p_* E(aC), \quad a = 0, 1,$$

are all stable.

By Proposition 3.19, there exist canonical morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta : M^0(c, l) &\rightarrow M_H^s(c), & E &\rightarrow p_* E, \\ \eta : M^0(c, l) &\rightarrow M_H^s(c - l[\text{pts}]), & E &\rightarrow p_* E(C). \end{aligned}$$

We denote

$$\mathcal{U}_o \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{M}_H^s(c)) := \mathcal{U}|_{\mathcal{M}_H^s(c) \times o}.$$

Theorem 3.20 (Theorem 4.1 of [24], also see Theorem 4.1 of [19]). *We have*

$$M^0(c, l) \cong \text{Gr}_{\mathcal{M}_H^s(c)}(\mathcal{U}_o^\vee[1], l), \quad M^0(c, l) \cong \text{Gr}_{\mathcal{M}_H^s(c-l[\text{pts}])}(\mathcal{U}_o, l)$$

where the projection morphisms are just ζ and η respectively.

By Proposition 3.10, Lemma 3.13 and Theorem 3.20, for any $o \in S$, the family $X_m := \mathcal{M}_H^s(r, c_1, m)$ and the coherent sheaf \mathcal{U}_o satisfy the conditions in Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 3.3, with the given *const* and θ_0 . Hence by Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 3.3 we have

Corollary 3.21 (Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2). *Let $\theta := \theta_0 + 2$, and define*

$$vdim_{n,l} := \dim(\mathcal{M}_H^s(r, c_1, n)) + 2 + \max\{0, l(r-l)\},$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $l \geq 0$. Then, the degeneracy locus

$$\bar{D}_{\mathcal{U}_o, l}$$

is either an empty set or an irreducible Cohen-Macaulay variety of dimension $vdim_{n,l}$. Moreover, $\bar{D}_{\mathcal{U}_o, l} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathcal{M}_H^s(r, c_1, n) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$vdim_{n,l} \geq \theta + t(r-t),$$

where t is the remainder of l divided by r .

REFERENCES

- [1] Nicolas Addington and Ryan Takahashi. A categorical sl_2 action on some moduli spaces of sheaves. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 375(12):8969–9005, 2022.
- [2] Enrico Arbarello, Maurizio Cornalba, Phillip A Griffiths, et al. *Geometry of algebraic curves: volume II with a contribution by Joseph Daniel Harris*. Springer, 2011.
- [3] D. Arinkin and D. Gaiitgory. Singular support of coherent sheaves and the geometric langlands conjecture. *Selecta Mathematica*, 21(1):1–199, Jan 2015.
- [4] Vladimir Baranovsky. Moduli of sheaves on surfaces and action of the oscillator algebra. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 55(2):193–227, 2000.
- [5] Arend Bayer, Huachen Chen, and Qingyuan Jiang. Brill-Noether theory of Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2024(10):8403–8416, 2024.
- [6] K. Behrend and B. Fantechi. The intrinsic normal cone. *Invent. Math.*, 128(1):45–88, 1997.
- [7] A. Bondal and D. Orlov. Derived categories of coherent sheaves. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Beijing, 2002)*, pages 47–56. Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002.
- [8] Ionuț Ciocan-Fontanine and Mikhail Kapranov. Virtual fundamental classes via dg-manifolds. *Geometry & Topology*, 13(3):1779–1804, 2009.

- [9] J.-M. Drezet and J. Le Potier. Fibrés stables et fibrés exceptionnels sur \mathbb{P}_2 . *Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, 4e série, 18(2):193–243, 1985.
- [10] William Fulton. *Intersection theory*, volume 2 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [11] Dmitry Galakhov, Alexei Morozov, and Nikita Tselousov. Super-Schur polynomials for affine super Yangian $\{Y(\widehat{gl(1|1)})\}$. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2023(8):49, Aug 2023.
- [12] Tamás Hausel, Anton Mellit, Alexandre Minets, and Olivier Schiffmann. $P = W$ via H_2 . *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.05429*, 2022.
- [13] Daniel Huybrechts and Manfred Lehn. *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, second edition, 2010.
- [14] Qingyuan Jiang. Derived Grassmannians and derived Schur functors. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.10488*, 2022.
- [15] Qingyuan Jiang. Derived projectivizations of complexes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.11636*, 2022.
- [16] Qingyuan Jiang. Derived categories of derived Grassmannians. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.02456*, 2023.
- [17] Qingyuan Jiang. Notes on Brill-Noether for Hilbert schemes of points, 2024. https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Y843D5xIzSdXwhZ7CGQkB5UwvL1WJ_Gm/view.
- [18] Yujiro Kawamata. D-equivalence and K-equivalence. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 61(1):147–171, 2002.
- [19] Naoki Koseki. Categorical blow-up formula for Hilbert schemes of points. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.08315*, 2021.
- [20] Nikolas Kuhn and Yuuji Tanaka. A blowup formula for virtual enumerative invariants on projective surfaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.08155*, 2021.
- [21] Jun Li and Gang Tian. Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov-Witten invariants of algebraic varieties. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 11(1):119–174, 1998.
- [22] Anton Mellit, Alexandre Minets, Olivier Schiffmann, and Eric Vasserot. Coherent sheaves on surfaces, COHAs and deformed $W_{1+\infty}$ -algebras. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.13415*, 2023.
- [23] Hiraku Nakajima. *Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, volume 18 of *University Lecture Series*. 1999.
- [24] Hiraku Nakajima and Kota Yoshioka. Perverse coherent sheaves on blow-up. II. wall-crossing and Betti numbers formula. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 20(1):47–100, 03 2010.
- [25] Hiraku Nakajima and Kota Yoshioka. Perverse coherent sheaves on blow-up. I. a quiver description. *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics*, 61:349, 2011.
- [26] Hiraku Nakajima and Kota Yoshioka. Perverse coherent sheaves on blowup, III: Blow-up formula from wall-crossing. *Kyoto Journal of Mathematics*, 51(2):263–335, 04 2011.
- [27] Andrei Neguț. Shuffle algebras associated to surfaces. *Selecta Mathematica. New Series*, 25(3):57, 2019.
- [28] Andrei Neguț. Hecke correspondences for smooth moduli spaces of sheaves. *Publications mathématiques de l'IHÉS*, 135(1):337–418, Jun 2022.
- [29] Miroslav Rapcak, Yan Soibelman, Yaping Yang, and Gufang Zhao. Cohomological Hall algebras and perverse coherent sheaves on toric Calabi-Yau 3-folds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.13365*, 2020.
- [30] Olivier Schiffmann and Eric Vasserot. Cherednik algebras, W-algebras and the equivariant cohomology of the moduli space of instantons on \mathbb{A}^2 . *Publications Mathématiques. Institut de Hautes Études Scientifiques*, 118:213–342, 2013.
- [31] Timo Schürg, Bertrand Toën, and Gabriele Vezzosi. Derived algebraic geometry, determinants of perfect complexes, and applications to obstruction theories for maps and complexes. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2015(702):1–40, 2015.
- [32] Yukinobu Toda. Derived categories of Quot schemes of locally free quotients via categorified Hall products. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 30(1):239–265, 2023.
- [33] Kota Yoshioka. Irreducibility of moduli spaces of vector bundles on K3 surfaces. *arXiv preprint math/9907001*, 1999.
- [34] Kota Yoshioka. Moduli spaces of stable sheaves on abelian surfaces. *Mathematische Annalen*, 321:817–884, 2001.
- [35] Yu Zhao. Moduli space of sheaves and categorified commutator of functors. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.12434*, 2021.

- [36] Yu Zhao. A categorical quantum toroidal action on the hilbert schemes. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*, page 1–44, 2023.
- [37] Yu Zhao. Hilbert schemes on blowing ups and the free Boson, 2024.

BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
Email address: zy199402@live.com