

\mathcal{I} -CONVERGENCE OF SEQUENCES IN METRIC-LIKE SPACES

PRASANTA MALIK* AND SAIKAT DAS*

* Department of Mathematics, The University of Burdwan, Golapbag, Burdwan-713104, West Bengal, India. Email: pmjupm@yahoo.co.in, das-saikatsayhi@gmail.com

AMS subject classification : Primary 40A35; Secondary 54A20.

keywords: Ideal, filter, \mathcal{I} -convergence, partial metric space, metric-like space.

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce and study the notion of \mathcal{I} -convergence of sequences in a metric-like space, where \mathcal{I} is an ideal of subsets of the set \mathbb{N} of all natural numbers. Further introducing the notion of \mathcal{I}^* -convergence of sequences in a metric-like space we study its relationship with \mathcal{I} -convergence.

1. Introduction and background

The notion of convergence of sequences of real numbers was extended to the notion of statistical convergence by Fast [6] (and also independently by Schoenberg [19]) with the concept of natural density. A lot of work have been done in this direction, after the work of Salat [20] and Fridy [7]. For more primary works in this line one can see [8, 11] etc.

The notion of statistical convergence of sequences of real numbers further extended to the notion of \mathcal{I} -convergence by Kostyrko et al. [12], with the notion of an ideal \mathcal{I} of subsets of the set of all natural numbers \mathbb{N} . For more works in this direction one can see [3, 5, 13, 14].

On the other hand the concept of partial metric space was first introduced by Matthews [16], as a generalization of the usual notion of metric space. In [2], A. A. Harandi introduced the concept of metric-like space which is a generalization of the concepts of metric space as well as partial metric space and studied the notions of convergence and Cauchyness of

sequences in a metric-like space. For more works on partial metric spaces and metric-like spaces one can see [1, 4, 10, 18].

In [17] F. Nuray introduced and studied the notion of statistical convergence in a partial metric space and in [9] E. Gülle et al. extended this notion to \mathcal{I} -convergence in partial metric setting. Recently in [15] Malik et al. has introduced and studied the notion of statistical convergence of sequences in a metric-like space. It seems therefore reasonable to introduce and study the notion of \mathcal{I} -convergence of sequences in a metric-like space. In this paper we do the same and studied some basic properties of \mathcal{I} -convergence of sequences in a metric-like space. Further introducing the notions of \mathcal{I}^* -convergence in a metric-like space we have examined its relationship with \mathcal{I} -convergence.

2. Basic Definitions and Notations

In this section we recall some basic definitions and notations from the literature, which is required throughout our study. Throughout this paper \mathbb{R} denotes the set of all real numbers, $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ denotes the set of all non-negative real numbers.

Definition 2.1. [16] Let \mathcal{X} be a non-empty set and a mapping $p : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is said to be a partial metric on \mathcal{X} if for any $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- (p1) $x = y$ if and only if $p(x, x) = p(x, y) = p(y, y)$;
- (p2) $0 \leq p(x, x) \leq p(x, y)$;
- (p3) $p(x, y) = p(y, x)$;
- (p4) $p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y) - p(z, z)$.

The pair (\mathcal{X}, p) is then called a partial metric space.

Definition 2.2. [2] Let \mathcal{X} be a non-empty set. A mapping $\delta : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is said to be a metric-like on \mathcal{X} if for any $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- (δ 1) $\delta(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$;
- (δ 2) $\delta(x, y) = \delta(y, x)$;
- (δ 3) $\delta(x, y) \leq \delta(x, z) + \delta(z, y)$.

The pair (\mathcal{X}, δ) is then called a metric-like space. Note that in a metric-like space (\mathcal{X}, δ) , $\delta(x, x)$ may be positive for some $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

Remark 2.1. We see from Definition 2.1 and Definition 2.2 that every metric space is a partial metric space and every partial metric space is a metric-like space, but the converses are not true.

Definition 2.3. [2]: Let x_o be a point in a metric-like space (\mathcal{X}, δ) and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then the open δ -ball with centered at x_o and radius $\epsilon > 0$ in (\mathcal{X}, δ) is denoted by $\mathcal{B}_\delta(x_o; \epsilon)$ and is defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_\delta(x_o; \epsilon) = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : |\delta(x, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| < \epsilon\}.$$

Definition 2.4. [2]: A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in a metric-like space (\mathcal{X}, δ) is said to be convergent to a point $x_o(\in \mathcal{X})$, if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $k_o \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta(x_n, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| < \epsilon, & \quad \forall n \geq k_o \\ \text{i.e. } x_n \in \mathcal{B}_\delta(x_o; \epsilon), & \quad \forall n \geq k_o. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_o$.

We now recall the concept of statistical convergence and \mathcal{I} -convergence of real sequences.

Definition 2.5. [7]: Let \mathcal{P} be a subset of \mathbb{N} . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{P}(n)$ denote the cardinality of the set $\{k \leq n : k \in \mathcal{P}\}$. We say that the set \mathcal{P} has natural density $d(\mathcal{P})$ if the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}(n)/n$ exists finitely and

$$d(\mathcal{P}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{P}(n)}{n}.$$

Definition 2.6. [7]: Let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of real numbers. Then $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be statistically convergent to $x_o(\in \mathbb{R})$, if for any $\epsilon > 0$, $d(\mathcal{A}(\epsilon)) = 0$, where

$$\mathcal{A}(\epsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |x_n - x_o| \geq \epsilon\}.$$

In this case we write $st - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_o$.

Definition 2.7. [12]: Let \mathcal{D} be a non-empty set. A non-empty class \mathcal{I} of subsets of \mathcal{D} is said to be an ideal in \mathcal{D} , provided \mathcal{I} satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\phi \in \mathcal{I}$,
- (ii) if $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{I}$ then $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{I}$, and
- (iii) if $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ then $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{I}$.

An ideal \mathcal{I} in \mathcal{D} ($\neq \phi$) is called non-trivial if $\mathcal{D} \notin \mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I} \neq \{\phi\}$.

A non-trivial ideal \mathcal{I} in \mathcal{D} ($\neq \phi$) is called an admissible ideal if $\{z\} \in \mathcal{I}$, $\forall z \in \mathcal{D}$.

Throughout the paper we take \mathcal{I} as an admissible ideal of \mathbb{N} , unless otherwise mentioned.

Definition 2.8. [12]: A non-empty class \mathcal{F} of subsets of \mathcal{D} ($\neq \phi$) is said to be a filter in \mathcal{D} , provided

- (i) $\phi \notin \mathcal{F}$,
- (ii) if $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{F}$ then $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{F}$, and
- (iii) if $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{F}$ and \mathcal{B} is a subset of \mathcal{D} such that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ then $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Let \mathcal{I} be a non-trivial ideal in \mathcal{D} . Then $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \{\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{I}\}$ forms a filter on \mathcal{D} , called the filter associated with the ideal \mathcal{I} .

Definition 2.9. [12] Let \mathcal{I} be an admissible ideal in \mathbb{N} . Then \mathcal{I} is said to satisfy the condition (AP) if for every countable collection of mutually disjoint sets $\{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ belonging to \mathcal{I} , there exists a countable family of sets $\{B_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $A_j \Delta B_j$ is a finite set for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $B = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j \in \mathcal{I}$.

Definition 2.10. [12]: A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of real numbers is said to be \mathcal{I} -convergent to $x \in \mathbb{R}$ if $\forall \epsilon > 0$, the set $\mathcal{A}(\epsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |x_n - x| \geq \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$ or in other words for each $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists \mathcal{B}(\epsilon) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$ such that

$$|x_n - x| < \epsilon, \forall n \in \mathcal{B}(\epsilon).$$

In this case we write $\mathcal{I} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

Following [15] we now recall the notion of statistical convergence of a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in a metric-like space (\mathcal{X}, δ) .

Definition 2.11. [15] Let (\mathcal{X}, δ) be a metric-like space and let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{X} . Then $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be statistically convergent to a $x_o \in \mathcal{X}$ if $st - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_n, x_o) = \delta(x_o, x_o)$ i.e. for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$d(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : |\delta(x_n, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| \geq \epsilon\}) = 0$$

i.e. $d(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \notin \mathcal{B}_\delta(x_o; \epsilon)\}) = 0$.

3. \mathcal{I} -Convergence

In this section we introduce and study the notion of \mathcal{I} -convergence of sequences in a metric-like space.

Definition 3.1. Let (\mathcal{X}, δ) be a metric-like space and $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{X} . Then $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be \mathcal{I} -convergent to $x_o \in \mathcal{X}$, if $\mathcal{I} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_n, x_o) = \delta(x_o, x_o)$ i.e. for every $\epsilon > 0$, the set

$$\mathcal{A}(\epsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |\delta(x_n, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| \geq \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

In other words, for every $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists \mathcal{B}(\epsilon) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$ such that

$$|\delta(x_n, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| < \epsilon, \quad \forall n \in \mathcal{B}(\epsilon).$$

In this case we write $\mathcal{I} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_o$ and x_o is called an \mathcal{I} -limit of $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

We now cite an example, to show that \mathcal{I} -limit of a sequence in a metric-like space may not be unique.

Example 3.1. Let us consider a decomposition of \mathbb{N} as $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}_j$, where every \mathcal{D}_j is an infinite subset of \mathbb{N} and $\mathcal{D}_i \cap \mathcal{D}_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Let $\mathcal{I} = \{A \subset \mathbb{N} : A \text{ intersects only finitely many } \mathcal{D}_j \text{ 's}\}$. Then \mathcal{I} is an admissible ideal of \mathbb{N} .

Let \mathcal{X} denotes the set of all non-negative real numbers and $\delta : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be defined as follows:

$$\delta(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = y \text{ and } x \text{ is irrational in } \mathcal{X} \\ 2, & \text{if } x, y \text{ are both integers in } \mathcal{X} \\ 3, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then (\mathcal{X}, δ) is a metric-like space but neither a partial metric space nor a metric space. We now define a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{X} as follows:

$$x_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } n \in \mathcal{D}_2 \\ j, & \text{if } n \in \mathcal{D}_j \text{ (} j \in \mathbb{N} - \{2\} \text{)}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$|\delta(x_n, 1) - \delta(1, 1)| = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \in \mathcal{D}_2 \\ 0, & \text{if } n \in \mathcal{D}_j \text{ (} j \in \mathbb{N} - \{2\} \text{)}. \end{cases}$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : |\delta(x_n, 1) - \delta(1, 1)| \geq \epsilon\} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_2, & \text{if } 0 < \epsilon \leq 1 \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } \epsilon > 1. \end{cases}$$

This implies $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : |\delta(x_n, 1) - \delta(1, 1)| \geq \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$ and hence $\mathcal{I} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_n, 1) = \delta(1, 1)$. So we have $\mathcal{I} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 1$.

Again we have,

$$|\delta(x_n, 2) - \delta(2, 2)| = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \in \mathcal{D}_2 \\ 0, & \text{if } n \in \mathcal{D}_j \text{ (} j \in \mathbb{N} - \{2\}\text{)}. \end{cases}$$

So, in a similar way as above,

$$\begin{aligned} & \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |\delta(x_n, 2) - \delta(2, 2)| \geq \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{I} \\ \Rightarrow & \mathcal{I} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_n, 2) = \delta(2, 2) \\ \Rightarrow & \mathcal{I} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that \mathcal{I} -limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in (\mathcal{X}, δ) is not unique in a metric-like space which is different from the result in a metric space (see Proposition 3.1 [12]).

Remark 3.1. (i). If $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_f = \{A \subset \mathbb{N} : A \text{ is finite}\}$, then \mathcal{I}_f is an admissible ideal of \mathbb{N} and \mathcal{I}_f -convergence of a sequence in a metric-like space coincides with the usual convergence as introduced by A. A. Harandi [2].

(ii). If $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_d = \{A \subset \mathbb{N} : d(A) = 0\}$, then \mathcal{I}_d is an admissible ideal of \mathbb{N} and \mathcal{I}_d -convergence of a sequence in a metric-like space coincides with the statistical convergence as introduced by Malik et al. [15].

Theorem 3.1. Let (\mathcal{X}, δ) be a metric-like space and $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{X} . If $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x_o in \mathcal{X} then it is \mathcal{I} -convergent to the same limit.

Proof. Proof is straightforward so is omitted. \square

Note 3.1. The converse of the Theorem 3.1 is not true.

Theorem 3.2. Let (\mathcal{X}, δ) be a metric-like space and $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{X} . If $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is \mathcal{I} -convergent to $x_o \in \mathcal{X}$, then there exists a subsequence of $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, which converges to x_o in usual sense.

Proof. For every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we let $A_j = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |\delta(x_n, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| < \frac{1}{j}\}$. As $\mathcal{I} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_n, x_o) = \delta(x_o, x_o)$ and \mathcal{I} is an admissible ideal of \mathbb{N} , so A_j 's are infinite subset of \mathbb{N} and $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset A_3 \dots$

Let n_1 be the least element of A_1 . As A_2 is an infinite set, so there exists $n_2 \in A_2$ with $n_1 < n_2$. Similarly there exists $n_3 \in A_3$ such that $n_1 < n_2 < n_3$. Proceeding in this way we will obtained a strictly increasing sequence $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of natural numbers such that $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a subsequence

of $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and

$$|\delta(x_{n_k}, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| < \frac{1}{k}, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.1)$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists $k_o \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{k_o} < \epsilon$. So from (3.1) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta(x_{n_k}, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| &< \frac{1}{k} \leq \frac{1}{k_o} < \epsilon, \forall k \geq k_o \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_{n_k}, x_o) &= \delta(x_o, x_o) \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} &= x_o. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.2. The converse of the Theorem 3.2 is not true.

Now a natural question arises, under which condition the converse of the Theorem 3.2 holds. The following theorem gives an affirmative answer of this question.

Theorem 3.3. *Let (\mathcal{X}, δ) be a metric-like space and $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{X} . If each subsequence of $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a subsequence, which is \mathcal{I} -converges to $x_o \in \mathcal{X}$, then the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is \mathcal{I} -converges to x_o .*

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{X} such that each subsequence of $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is \mathcal{I} -convergent to $x_o \in \mathcal{X}$. If possible, let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be not \mathcal{I} -convergent to x_o . Then there exists $\epsilon_o > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}(\epsilon_o) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |\delta(x_n, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| \geq \epsilon_o\} \notin \mathcal{I}.$$

As \mathcal{I} is an admissible ideal of \mathbb{N} , so $\mathcal{A}(\epsilon_o)$ must be an infinite subset of \mathbb{N} . We write $\mathcal{A}(\epsilon)$ as $\mathcal{A}(\epsilon_o) = \{n_1 < n_2 < \dots\}$. Then $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a subsequence of $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and

$$|\delta(x_{n_k}, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| \geq \epsilon_o, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.2)$$

Let $y_p = x_{n_{k_p}}, \forall p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{y_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a subsequence of $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and so from (3.2) we have,

$$\{p \in \mathbb{N} : |\delta(y_p, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| \geq \epsilon_o\} = \mathbb{N}.$$

So, $\{p \in \mathbb{N} : |\delta(y_p, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| \geq \epsilon_o\} \notin \mathcal{I}$, as \mathcal{I} is an admissible ideal. This implies that $\{y_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ is not \mathcal{I} -convergent to x_o , which contradicts our hypothesis. Therefore $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ must be \mathcal{I} -convergent to x_o . □

Remark 3.3. Converse of the Theorem 3.3 is not true.

4. \mathcal{I}^* -convergence

In this section we introduce and study the notion of \mathcal{I}^* -convergence in a metric-like space. For this study we need some basic topological properties of a metric-like space as introduced below.

Definition 4.1. Let (\mathcal{X}, δ) be a metric-like space and A be a non-empty subset of \mathcal{X} . A point $x_o \in \mathcal{X}$ is said to be a limit point of A , if for each $r > 0$,

$$\mathcal{B}_\delta(x_o; r) \cap (A - \{x_o\}) \neq \phi.$$

Definition 4.2. A point x_o in a metric-like space (\mathcal{X}, δ) is said to be an isolated point of \mathcal{X} , if there exists $r_o > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{B}_\delta(x_o; r_o) = \{x_o\}.$$

Definition 4.3. A metric-like space (\mathcal{X}, δ) is said to be a T_0 metric-like space or is said to satisfy the T_0 axiom, if for every pair of distinct points in \mathcal{X} , there exists an open δ -ball, which contains one of the points but not the other.

Now we introduce the notion of \mathcal{I}^* -convergence in a metric-like space.

Definition 4.4. Let \mathcal{I} be an admissible ideal of subsets of \mathbb{N} . A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of points in a metric-like space (\mathcal{X}, δ) is said to be \mathcal{I}^* -convergent to $x_o \in \mathcal{X}$ if there exists $M = \{n_1 < n_2 < \dots\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_{n_k}, x_o) = \delta(x_o, x_o).$$

In this case we write $\mathcal{I}^* - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_o$ and x_o is called an \mathcal{I}^* -limit of $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Theorem 4.1. Let \mathcal{I} be an admissible ideal in \mathbb{N} , $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in a metric-like space (\mathcal{X}, δ) and $x_o \in \mathcal{X}$. If $\mathcal{I}^* - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_o$, then $\mathcal{I} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_o$.

Proof. Proof is straightforward, so is omitted □

Remark 4.1. The converse of the above theorem is not true in general, it depends on the structure of the metric-like space as seen in the subsequent results.

Theorem 4.2. Let (\mathcal{X}, δ) be a T_0 metric-like space and x_o be a limit point of \mathcal{X} . Then there exists an admissible ideal \mathcal{I} and a sequence in \mathcal{X} so that the sequence is \mathcal{I} -convergent to x_o but is not \mathcal{I}^* -convergent to x_o .

Proof. Since x_o is a limit point of \mathcal{X} , so for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{B}_\delta(x_o; \frac{1}{j})$ contains infinitely many points other than x_o . For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we choose $x_j \in \mathcal{B}_\delta(x_o; \frac{1}{j}) - \{x_o\}$. Then

$$|\delta(x_j, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| < \frac{1}{j}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.1)$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists $j_o \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{j_o} < \epsilon$. So from (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta(x_j, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| &< \frac{1}{j} \leq \frac{1}{j_o} < \epsilon, \quad \forall j \geq j_o \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_j, x_o) &= \delta(x_o, x_o) \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Now we consider the decomposition of \mathbb{N} and the ideal \mathcal{I} as described in Example 3.1.

Now we define a sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{X} as follows:

$$y_n = x_j, \text{ if } n \in \mathcal{D}_j, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then from (4.2) we have, $\forall n \in \mathcal{D}_j$

$$|\delta(y_n, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| < \epsilon, \quad \forall j \geq j_o.$$

This implies $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : |\delta(y_n, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| \geq \epsilon\} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{j_o-1} \mathcal{D}_j$ and so $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : |\delta(y_n, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| \geq \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$. Therefore $\mathcal{I} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(y_n, x_o) = \delta(x_o, x_o)$ and hence $\mathcal{I} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = x_o$.

If possible, let $\mathcal{I}^* - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_o$. Then $\mathcal{I}^* - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_n, x_o) = \delta(x_o, x_o)$. So there exists $H \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{N} - H = \{n_1 < n_2 < \dots\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(y_{n_k}, x_o) = \delta(x_o, x_o). \quad (4.3)$$

Now $H \in \mathcal{I}$, so there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $H \cap \mathcal{D}_p = \emptyset$. This implies $\mathcal{D}_p \subset \mathcal{M}$.

Let $r_p = |\delta(x_p, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)|$. As (\mathcal{X}, δ) is T_0 , so there must exists $\epsilon_o > 0$ such that $x_p \notin \mathcal{B}_\delta(x_o; \epsilon_o)$ and hence $r_p > 0$. Again from (4.3) we have, there exists $k_o \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|\delta(y_{n_k}, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| < r_p, \quad \forall k \geq k_o. \quad (4.4)$$

As \mathcal{D}_p is an infinite subset of \mathbb{N} , there must exist $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k_1 > k_o$ and $n_{k_1} \in \mathcal{D}_p \cap \mathcal{M}$. So from (4.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \delta(y_{n_{k_1}}, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o) \right| < r_p \\ \Rightarrow & \left| \delta(x_p, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o) \right| < r_p \\ \Rightarrow & r_p < r_p, \text{ a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

So the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is not \mathcal{I}^* -convergence to x_o . \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let (\mathcal{X}, δ) be a metric-like space and x_o be an isolated point of \mathcal{X} . If for a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{X} , $\mathcal{I} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_o$, then $\mathcal{I}^* - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_o$.*

Proof. Since x_o be an isolated point of \mathcal{X} , so there exists $\epsilon_o > 0$ such that $\mathcal{B}_\delta(x_o; \epsilon_o) = \{x_o\}$. Let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{X} such that $\mathcal{I} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_o$. Then

$$\mathcal{A}(\epsilon_o) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |\delta(x_n, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| \geq \epsilon_o\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

As \mathcal{I} is an admissible ideal in \mathbb{N} , so

$$\mathcal{M} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |\delta(x_n, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| < \epsilon_o\} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n = x_o\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}).$$

Let $\mathcal{M} = \{n_1 < n_2 < \dots\}$. Then $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = \{x_o, x_o, \dots\}$ is a subsequence of $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_{n_k}, x_o) &= \delta(x_o, x_o) \\ \text{i.e. } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} &= x_o. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\mathcal{I}^* - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_o$. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let (\mathcal{X}, δ) be a metric-like space and \mathcal{I} be an admissible ideal satisfying (AP) condition. Then for a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{X} , if $\mathcal{I} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_o$, then $\mathcal{I}^* - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_o$.*

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{X} and $x_o \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{I} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_o$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_n, x_o) = \delta(x_o, x_o)$. So for every $\eta > 0$, the set $\mathcal{A}(\eta) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |\delta(x_n, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| \geq \eta\} \in \mathcal{I}$.

Let $A_1 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |\delta(x_n, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| \geq 1\}$ and for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $j \geq 2$, $A_j = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{j} \leq |\delta(x_n, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| < \frac{1}{j-1}\}$. Then $\{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a countable family of mutually disjoint sets belonging to \mathcal{I} . As \mathcal{I} satisfies (AP) condition, there exists a countable collection of sets

$\{B_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $|A_j \Delta B_j| < \infty$, $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $B = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j \in \mathcal{I}$. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{N} - B$. As \mathcal{I} is an admissible ideal, so we have $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$ and \mathcal{M} is an infinite subset of \mathbb{N} , say $\mathcal{M} = \{n_1 < n_2 < \dots\}$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists $j_o \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{j_o} < \epsilon$. So for all $j \geq (j_o + 1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta(x_n, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| &< \frac{1}{j-1} \leq \frac{1}{j_o} < \epsilon, \forall n \in A_j \\ \Rightarrow \bigcup_{j=j_o+1}^{\infty} A_j &\subset \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |\delta(x_n, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| < \epsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

So, $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : |\delta(x_n, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| \geq \epsilon\} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{j_o} A_j$.

Now $|A_j \Delta B_j| < \infty$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, j_o$. So there exists $n_o \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > n_o$, we have $n \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{j_o} (A_j \Delta B_j)$. Therefore if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n > n_o$, then

$$n \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{j_o} B_j \Leftrightarrow n \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{j_o} A_j. \quad (4.5)$$

Let $k_o = k_o(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n_k > n_o$ if $k \geq k_o$. Then for all $k \geq k_o$ we have $n_k \notin B$ and so $n_k \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{j_o} B_j$. Then from (4.5) we have $n_k \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{j_o} A_j$. So we must have

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta(x_{n_k}, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| &< \frac{1}{j_o} < \epsilon \\ \text{i.e. } |\delta(x_{n_k}, x_o) - \delta(x_o, x_o)| &< \epsilon, \forall k \geq k_o. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\mathcal{I}^* - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_o$. □

Theorem 4.5. *If x_o is a limit point of a T_0 metric-like space (\mathcal{X}, δ) and if for any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{X} , $\mathcal{I} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_o$ implies $\mathcal{I}^* - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_o$, then \mathcal{I} satisfies the property (AP).*

Proof. Proof is straightforward, so is omitted □

Acknowledgement : The second author is grateful to the University Grants Commission, India for financial support under UGC-JRF scheme during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Altun, I., Sola, F. and Simsek, H. (2010). Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces, *Topology and its Applications*, Vol. 157, No. 18, pp. 2778-2785.
- [2] Amini-Harandi, A. (2012). Metric-like spaces, partial metric spaces and fixed points, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Vol. 2012, pp. 1-10.
- [3] Das, P., Kostyrko, P., Wilczyński, W. and Malik, P. (2008). I and I*-convergence of double sequences, *Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 58, No. 5, pp. 605-620.
- [4] Bukatin, M., Kopperman, R., Matthews, S. and Pajoohesh, H. (2009). Partial metric spaces, *The American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 116, No. 8, pp. 708-718.
- [5] Demirci, K. (2001). \mathcal{I} -limit superior and limit inferior, *Mathematical communications*, Vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 165-172.
- [6] Fast, H. (1951). Sur la convergence statistique, *Colloquium Mathematicum*, Vol. 2, No. 3-4, pp. 241-244.
- [7] Fridy, J. A. (1985). On statistical convergence, *Analysis*, Vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 301-314.
- [8] Fridy, J. A. (1993). Statistical limit points. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 118 No. 4, pp. 1187-1192.
- [9] Güllü, E., Dündar, E. and Ulusu, U. (2023). Ideal convergence in partial metric spaces, *Soft Computing*, Vol. 27, No. 19, pp. 13789-13795.
- [10] Hosseini, A. and Fošner, On the structure of metric-like spaces, *Sahand commun. math. anal.*, 14 (2019), pp. 159 - 171.
- [11] Kostyrko, P., Mačaj, M., Šalát, T. and Strauch, O. (2001). On statistical limit points. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 129, No. 9, pp. 2647-2654.
- [12] Kostyrko, P., Wilczyński, W. and Šalát, T. (2000/2001). \mathcal{I} -Convergence, *Real Analysis Exchange*, Vol. 26, No. 2, pp. 669-686.
- [13] Lahiri, B. K. and Das, P. (2003). Further results on \mathcal{I} -limit superior and limit inferior, *Mathematical Communications*, Vol. 8, No. 2, pp. 151-156.
- [14] Lahiri, B. K. and Das, P. (2005). \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{I}^* -convergence in topological spaces, *Mathematica Bohemica*, Vol. 130, No. 2, pp. 153-160.
- [15] Malik, P. and Das, S. (2024). Statistical convergence in metric-like spaces, arXiv, <https://arxiv.org/html/2407.07117v1>.
- [16] Matthews, S. G. (1994). Partial metric topology, *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, Vol. 728, No. 1, pp. 183-197.
- [17] Nuray, F. (2022). Statistical convergence in Partial metric spaces, *Korean J. Math.*, Vol. 30, No. 1, pp. 155-160.
- [18] Oltra, S., and Valero, O. (2004). Banach's fixed point theorem for partial metric spaces, *Rendiconti dell'Istituto di Matematica dell'Universita di Trieste*, Vol. 36, pp. 17-26.
- [19] Schoenberg, I. J. (1959). The Integrability of certain functions and related summability methods, *The American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 66, No. 5, pp. 361-375.
- [20] Šalát, T. (1980). On Statistical Convergent Sequences of Real Numbers, *Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 30, No.2, pp. 139-150.