

Landau-Zener-Stückelberg interference in edge state pumping

Y. Liu,^{1,2,*} Xiaoshui Lin,^{3,4} and Ming Gong^{3,4,5,†}

¹*Department of Physics, School of Physics, Hubei University, Wuhan 430062, China*

²*Department of Optics & Optical Engineering, School of Physical Sciences,
University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China*

³*CAS Key Laboratory of Quantum Information, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China*

⁴*Synergetic Innovation Center of Quantum Information and Quantum Physics,
University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China*

⁵*Hefei National Laboratory, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230088, China*

The adiabatic edge state pumping (ESP) in one dimensional model, which has important applications in topological phase transition and the associated implementation of edge states in quantum simulation, has been widely performed in both theories and experiments. This phenomenon has been verified in some small physical models, yet some fundamental issues about this process have not been clarified. In this paper, we revisit this problem of ESP and pinpoint a pair of non-adiabatic points in the band levels, at which the adiabatic condition breaks down. We determine the two points using the criteria of non-adiabaticity. As a result, the oscillation of ESP as evolution time varies can be resolved in terms of Landau-Zener-Stückelberg (LZS) interference. Furthermore, in the presence of disorder, we show that the ESP may break down for the anticrossing between the edge and the bulk levels, where the non-adiabaticity diverges. Thus in a relatively long chain with weak disorder, we demonstrate the failure of the ESP. This new type of ESP unveiled in this work is readily accessible in experiment, and shall therefore lead to a down-to-earth platform for the intriguing LZS dynamics.

Introduction: The discovery of topological matters have stimulated widespread investigations of their edge states ensured by bulk-edge correspondence in quantum simulation [1–5]. In one dimensional (1D) topological models, the edge states are localized at the open ends, which can gradually transfer from one end to the other by adiabatically tuning the parameters, termed as Thouless (or adiabatic) pumping [6–12]. It has been believed that when the pumping process is slow enough, this kind of adiabatic process can always be achieved. Following this tenet, the adiabatic pumping in the 1D chains with quasiperiodic potentials were experimentally investigated using coupled single-mode waveguides [10, 13–16]. This idea was also generalized to higher dimensions in terms of synthetic dimensions [17–19]. Since the edge states are protected by topology, this adiabatic pumping withstands weak disorders [20, 21] and nonlinear interactions [10, 11, 22–24]. In recent years, this adiabatic pumping has been widely examined using electromechanical patches [25–27], acoustic waveguides [27–31], photonic crystals [32–36], and even in the Floquet-Bloch band [35, 37, 38]. The chain sizes for all systems above range about 9 - 100. The related adiabatic transport of matter in terms of Thouless pumping even with many-body interaction was also explored in experiments [30, 39, 40] for applications in quantum matter transport, state transfer and information processing.

This edge state pumping (ESP) is fundamentally different from the adiabatic transition in the two-level system [41, 42], in which the adiabaticity is guaranteed by

the finite gap between the two levels. In the continuum models, the number of energy levels is determined by the lattice size L , in which the large L is the smaller the level spacing Δ will be. Thus there are two competitive mechanisms to influence the adiabaticity during the pumping process: the overlap between the edge state and its nearest neighboring bulk state, and the energy gap between them, both of which decrease with the increasing of L . Therefore when the former term decreases faster than the latter, the adiabatic condition can be fulfilled; otherwise, it becomes possible that the adiabatic condition may break down, yielding non-adiabatic evolution. Therefore, while the ESP has been verified in experiment [10–15, 18–23, 25–35, 43–48], the stringent validity of adiabatic condition, which is essential for ESP, remains yet to be verified. Furthermore, the disorder effect in ESP also needs to be clarified [12, 22, 47, 49]. These two issues will affect ESP severely in the large L limit with vanished coupling between the edge states [29].

We then revisit this problem and present a new picture for the ESP in these models. (I) We show that there are two non-adiabatic points (NAPs) during the ESP process, at which the adiabaticity breaks down even in the slowly-varying limit. This is when the ESP process reduces to the Landau-Zener-Stückelberg (LZS) interference [29, 50–54], resulting in transient oscillation of ESP as evolution time T changes. (II) In the presence of weak disorder when the localization length ξ is larger than the system size L , the anticrossing of the bulk states and edge state breaks adiabaticity when the edge state is immersed into the (continuum) bulk states [13]. In this specific case, the ESP will cease to happen. These new mechanisms can be meticulously examined in simulating platforms with ultracold atoms [20, 55, 56], optical and

* yangjie@hubei.edu.cn

† gongm@ustc.edu.cn

acoustic waveguides [25, 26] and electric circuits [57, 58], etc..




FIG. 1. (a) The 1D tight-binding model considered in this work. (b) Energy levels of the edge state (in solid blue lines) and bulk state (in solid gray lines). (c) The two-level LZS model with relative phase ϕ accumulated during evolution, being the phase between the two levels. (d) Occupation probability ρ of the first state $|\psi_1\rangle$ in the LZS interference model (c). Parameters for the LZS model are $A = 4$, $g = 0.4$ and $T = 20$ (black solid line) and 21 (red dashed line).

Physical model and LZS interference: We adopt the off-diagonal Andre-Aubry-Harper (AAH) model [59–62] as a testbed to present these new mechanisms

$$\hat{H} = \sum_n V_n \hat{c}_n^\dagger \hat{c}_{n+1} + \text{h.c.} + W \xi_n \hat{c}_n^\dagger \hat{c}_n, \quad (1)$$

with incommensurate potential $V_n = V[1 + \lambda \cos(\pi\alpha n + \theta)]$, where V is the hopping strength between neighboring sites, α is the irrational constant and \hat{c}_n (\hat{c}_n^\dagger) is the annihilation (creation) operator at site n [see Fig. 1 (a)]. Hereafter, we use $V = 8/15$, $\lambda = 0.6$, $\alpha = (\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$ (parameters from Ref. [14]), with uniform disorder $\xi_n \in [-1/2, 1/2]$. The parameter θ is used as the adiabatic parameter, by which the edge state transfers from the left end to the right within a finite pump time T . The energy levels in this model as a function of the phase θ are presented in Fig. 1 (b), in which the two thick solid blue lines represent the edge modes, respectively, with opposite energies. We focus on the pumping of edge state with $E > 0$ in this paper. To this end, we expand the wave function using $|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_n c_n(t)|n\rangle$, where $|n\rangle = \hat{c}_n^\dagger|0\rangle$, and the coefficient c_n is determined by Schrodinger equation $i\partial_t|\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H}(t)|\psi(t)\rangle$, with $\theta = \theta(t)$ to be the time-dependent tuning parameter.




FIG. 2. Distribution of wave function density $|\psi(x_i, t)|^2$ in spacetime and its mean trajectories $\bar{X}(t) = \sum_i x_i |\psi(x_i, t)|^2$ (green lines) for three pump times: (a) $T = 1300$, (b) $T = 1750$ and (c) $T = 9500$, with $L = 42$ and without disorder. (d) Occupation probability ρ_n versus pump time T for edge state $|\psi_{26}\rangle$ and bulk state $|\psi_{27}\rangle$. Note that the three pump times are chosen from three extrema on ρ_{26} . Inset shows the optimum pump time T^* for the first maximum [in blue asterisk in panel (d)] versus the chain length L .

Our central idea is that during the ESP, there are two points which are most vulnerable to break the adiabatic condition. This can be understood as follows. When the edge state is fully localized at the end, the overlap between the edge states and the bulk state induced by variation of Hamiltonian δH , which may be defined as $O \sim |\langle \psi_e(\theta) | \delta H | \psi_b(\theta) \rangle|$ with $|\psi_e\rangle$ for the edge state and $|\psi_b\rangle$ the bulk state respectively, will decay in a way of $1/L$. Meanwhile, the energy difference δE between the bulk and edge states will approach a constant; see regime A in Fig. 1 (b). Furthermore, for θ in regime B in Fig. 1 (b) when the edge states become extended, the overlap between them $O \sim 1/L$, while the energy gap between these two states also scales as $\delta E \sim 1/L$. Under these two conditions the the adiabatic condition can be easily fulfilled by controlling the sweeping rate even in the large L limit [63]. The adiabaticity may break down between the regimes A and B with the increasing of system size L , which are marked by arrows as NAPs.

Thus when the wave functions is restricted to occur between the edge state and the nearest neighboring bulk state and by projecting the wave function to these two states, the dynamics will be reduced to the LZS interference process. To gain some insight into this process, let us first consider the following two-level model [Fig. 1 (c)]

$$\hat{H} = g\hat{\sigma}_x + A\hat{\sigma}_z \cos\left(\frac{2\pi t}{T}\right), \quad (2)$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_x$ and $\hat{\sigma}_z$ are Pauli matrices, g , A are tunneling amplitude and bias amplitude respectively, and T is

the total evolution time. The Landau-Zener tunneling happens at $t = T/4$ and $T = 3T/4$. In Fig. 1 (d) the dynamics of wave functions is presented, showing that by slightly changing T , the final state may be significantly different. The interference depends strongly on the relative phase ϕ between the two levels [Fig. 1 (c)], which has been widely verified in experiments [64–67].

ESP without disorder: We first consider the case without disorder ($W = 0$). Initially, we assume the wave function to be the edge state $|\psi_n(\theta)\rangle$ and define

$$\rho_n = |\langle\psi(t)|\psi_n(\theta)\rangle|^2, \quad (3)$$

where $|\psi_n(\theta)\rangle$ is the n -th instantaneous eigenstate with phase $\theta(t)$ and $|\psi(t)\rangle$ is the time-dependent wave function. The results for different T are presented in Fig. 2 (a) - (c) for size $L = 42$, and $n = 26$ as the index of the edge state. For $T = 1300$ and 9500 , the left end edge state can be transferred to the right end. However, when $T = 1750$, the state will not evolve to the right end. This result is in stark contrast to adiabatic theory in which the larger T is the better the adiabaticity will be [42]. In Fig. 2 (d), the oscillation of ρ_{26} and ρ_{27} versus pumping time T , is a signature of strong nonadiabaticity before entering the adiabatic limit. Furthermore, $\rho_{26} + \rho_{27} \simeq 1$, indicating that only these two states are important during evolution, justifying that the dynamics can be reduced to the two-level model for LZS process. This oscillation was found numerically in Ref. [68], but with its fundamental origin not revealed. The back and forth of the mean wave function position can be readily measured in the current experiment. In the inset of (d), we plot the time T^* for the first maximum ESP (in blue asterisk) as a function of system length L , showing that $T^* \propto L$, indicating that the longer the chain is, the longer the required time T^* for ESP will be.

To clarify the LZS dynamics, we plot the evolution of ρ_n ($n = 26 - 29$) for $L = 42$ as a function of time for three typical T in Fig. 3 (a) - (c). In (a) at the first NAP, ρ_{26} drops suddenly to about 0.5, indicating that the two states are almost equally occupied. However at the second NAP, the probability will be transferred back to unit, indicating that the bulk states of $|\psi_{27}\rangle$ will be transferred to the edge state $|\psi_{26}\rangle$. This is a surprising example to realise a perfect ESP yet with non-adiabatic process. In (b) with even more pumping time $T = 1750$, we find that after the two NAPs, the edge state will finally be transferred to the bulk state, with $\rho_{26} \sim 0$. In (c), the adiabatic ESP can be achieved when T is large enough. These behaviors are qualitatively the same as the LZS process in Fig. 1 (d) by varying of T .

To determine the precise position of the NAPs for the breaking of adiabaticity, we consider the evolution of quantum state as [42]

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_m c_m(t) \exp\left(-i \int_0^t dt' E_m(t')\right) |\psi_m(t)\rangle, \quad (4)$$

where E_m is the eigenenergy for instantaneous eigenvector $|\psi_m(t)\rangle$. Substituting Eq. 4 into Schrödinger equa-




FIG. 3. Evolution of $\rho(t)$ for the edge state $|\psi_{26}\rangle$ and the three nearest bulk states $|\psi_{27}\rangle$, $|\psi_{28}\rangle$ and $|\psi_{29}\rangle$ for pump time (a) $T = 1300$, (b) $T = 1750$ and (c) $T = 9500$ (Here, $L = 42$). (d) non-adiabaticity $N_{26,27}$ (solid line), overlapping $O_{26,27}$ (dashed line) and reciprocal energy gap $|\Delta_{26,27}|^{-1}$ (dotted line) as a function of the phase θ .

tion yields

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t c_n(t) &= -c_n(t) \langle\psi_n|\partial_t|\psi_n\rangle - \sum_{m \neq n} c_m \langle\psi_n|\partial_t|\psi_m\rangle \cdot \\ &\exp\left(-i \int_0^t dt' [E_n(t') - E_m(t')]\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Then the adiabatic condition requires that for $\forall m \neq n$, $|\langle\psi_n|\partial_t|\psi_m\rangle| \ll |\langle\psi_n|\partial_t|\psi_n\rangle|$, and from Schrödinger equation a sufficient condition for adiabaticity can be [69–72]

$$|\langle\psi_n|\partial_t|\psi_m\rangle| = \frac{d\theta}{dt} |\langle\psi_n|\partial_\theta|\psi_m\rangle| \ll |E_n - E_m|. \quad (6)$$

With this we define the non-adiabaticity \mathcal{N}_n for level n : $|\psi_n(\theta)\rangle$, irrespective of the sweeping rate $d\theta/dt$, as

$$\mathcal{N}_n(\theta) = \sum_{m \neq n} N_{n,m} \quad (7)$$

where $N_{n,m} = O_{n,m}/\Delta_{n,m}$, $O_{n,m} = |\langle\psi_n(\theta)|\partial_\theta|\psi_m(\theta)\rangle|$ and $\Delta_{n,m} = |E_n - E_m|$. The larger this value is, the more likely the system will break the adiabaticity, yielding excitation to the bulk state in the pumping process. One can check immediately that for the model in Fig. 1 (c) and Eq. 2, the peaks in \mathcal{N}_n happen at $t = T/4$ and $3T/4$.

This quantity of non-adiabaticity \mathcal{N}_n explains our central idea in a much clearer way. In regime A, $\mathcal{N}_n(\theta) \sim 1/\sqrt{L}$; and in regime B, $\mathcal{N}_n(\theta) \sim \text{constant}$. This is because in regime B, $1/\Delta \sim L$ because both wave functions are delocalized with amplitude $1/\sqrt{L}$, and $O \sim 1/L$, and

hence the adiabatic condition is fulfilled by controlling $d\theta/dt$, which is essential for the equivalent dynamics between Eq. 1 and the LZS model. However at the NAPs, this value of non-adiabaticity may become very large. This picture is confirmed in Fig. 3(d), in which the peaks of $\mathcal{N}_n(\theta)$ are used to determine the position of NAPs.




FIG. 4. Distribution of wave function in spacetime and its mean trajectories (green s) for three pump times: (a) $T = 1050$, (b) $T = 1400$ and (c) $T = 9800$ with disorder strength $W = 0.08$ and $L = 42$. (d) Occupation probability ρ versus pump time T for edge state $|\psi_{26}\rangle$ and bulk state $|\psi_{27}\rangle$. Note that the three pump times are chosen from three extrema of ρ_{26} .

ESP with disorder: The LZS interference and the non-adiabaticity at the NAPs provide a unique way for us to understand the ESP with disorder, which is inevitably present in experiments [63]. In this case the wave function may become localized with localization length ξ [73, 74]

$$\xi^{-1} = \frac{W^2}{24(4V^2 - E^2)}, \quad (8)$$

where V is defined in Eq. 1, E is the eigenvalue and W is the disorder strength. When $\xi \ll L$, where L is the chain length, the state with eigenvalues E should be regarded as localized. In this case, the edge state, if existed, is impossible to be transferred from the left end to the right end, since the overlap between the wave functions, $O_{n,m}$, will become exponentially small. In the following, we focus on the case of $\xi \gg L$, where the bulk states are not fully localized. This condition will lead to intriguing physics not observed in the previous experiments. We first present the dynamics for a short chain $L = 42$ in Fig. 4, in which the behaviors of these remarkable results are similar to that of a clean chain. Surprisingly, with the increased size $L = 105$, the dynamics are totally different. We find that even for a long pumping time $T = 10000$, the left edge state cannot be transferred to the right end.




FIG. 5. (a) Distribution of wave function in spacetime and its mean trajectories (solid lines) for pump time $T = 10000$ with disorder strength $W = 0.08$ and $L = 105$. (b) Energy bands versus tuning phase θ where the occupation for edge state $|\psi_{65}\rangle$. (c-d) Occupation evolution $\rho(t)$ for edge state $|\psi_{65}\rangle$ and the three nearest bulk states above $|\psi_{66}\rangle$, $|\psi_{67}\rangle$ and $|\psi_{68}\rangle$ for (c) $T = 10000$, and (d) $T = 5000$ respectively. (e) non-adiabaticity $N_{65,66}$ (solid line), overlapping $O_{65,66}$ (dashed line) and reciprocal energy gap $|\Delta_{65,66}|^{-1}$ (dotted line), all the three versus tuning phase θ . (f) non-adiabaticity $N_{65,67}$ (solid line), overlapping $O_{65,67}$ (dashed line) and reciprocal energy gap $|\Delta_{65,67}|^{-1}$ (dotted line), also versus θ .

The reason is presented in Fig. 5 (b), showing of anticrossing between the edge state and the bulk state at some θ . We find that the edge state will submerge into the bulk states, thus for $T = 10^4$ and $T = 5 \times 10^3$ [see Fig. 5 (c-d)], the ESP fails to happen. We calculate the non-adiabaticity for the edge state $|\psi_{65}\rangle$ with respect to its nearby excited states $|\psi_{66}\rangle$ and $|\psi_{67}\rangle$ in (e, f) respectively, showing of dramatic enhanced non-adiabaticity at the second NAP due to the anticrossing effect. The presence of anticrossing between the edge state and the bulk states implies a new mechanism for the non-adiabaticity in ESP.

Conclusion: In this work we interpret the ESP in terms of LZS interference, breaking the adiabatic condition at the two NAPs. This LZS mechanism naturally explains the oscillation of ESP as a function of evolution time T .

In the disordered case, we show that the possible anti-crossing between the edge state and the bulk state may also lead to failure of ESP. Our results show that the ESP realized in the current experiments need to be examined in much longer chains under more stringent conditions [10, 15, 29, 75]. This work puts forward an open question how one preserves the adiabatic condition during ESP by engineering of the driven protocol to achieve perfect adiabatic transport in a much shorter time [7, 68, 76], which is essential for the manipulation of topological edge states in large, realistic systems. These results can be simulated using ultracold atoms [20, 55, 56], optical and

acoustic waveguides [25, 26] and electric circuits [57, 58], in which the nonlinearity and non-Hermitian [10, 77, 78] can be naturally included. The new platform for realization of LZS in topological models with different types of symmetries [1–3] will also broaden the family of this intriguing dynamics and unfold the associated nonadiabatic physics.

Acknowledgments: Y. L. is supported by Young Scientist Fund [NSFC11804087], Natural National Science Foundation [NSFC12047501, NSFC12074107]; and Science and Technology Department of Hubei Province [2022CFB553, 2022CFA012, 2024AFA038].

-
- [1] Xiao-Liang Qi and Shou-Cheng Zhang, “Topological insulators and superconductors,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 1057 (2011).
- [2] M Zahid Hasan and Charles L Kane, “Colloquium: topological insulators,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 3045 (2010).
- [3] Andreas P. Schnyder, Shinsei Ryu, Akira Furusaki, and Andreas W. W. Ludwig, “Classification of topological insulators and superconductors in three spatial dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 195125 (2008).
- [4] Tomoki Ozawa, Hannah M Price, Alberto Amo, Nathan Goldman, Mohammad Hafezi, Ling Lu, Mikael C Rechtsman, David Schuster, Jonathan Simon, Oded Zilberberg, *et al.*, “Topological photonics,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91**, 015006 (2019).
- [5] I. M. Georgescu, S. Ashhab, and F. Nori, “Quantum simulation,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **86**, 153–185 (2014).
- [6] D. J. Thouless, “Quantization of particle transport,” *Phys. Rev. B* **27**, 6083–6087 (1983).
- [7] Roberta Citro and Monika Aidelsburger, “Thouless pumping and topology,” *Nature Reviews Physics* **5**, 87–101 (2023).
- [8] I. L. Aleiner and A. V. Andreev, “Adiabatic charge pumping in almost open dots,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 1286–1289 (1998).
- [9] Yi-Ke Sun, Xu-Lin Zhang, Feng Yu, Zhen-Nan Tian, Qi-Dai Chen, and Hong-Bo Sun, “Non-abelian thouless pumping in photonic waveguides,” *Nature Physics* **18**, 1080–1085 (2022).
- [10] M. Jürgensen, S. Mukherjee, and M. C. Rechtsman, “Quantized nonlinear Thouless pumping,” *Nature* **596**, 63–67 (2021).
- [11] M. Jürgensen, S. Mukherjee, C. Jörg, and M. C. Rechtsman, “Quantized fractional Thouless pumping of solitons,” *Nature Physics* **19**, 420–426 (2023).
- [12] A. Cerjan, M. Wang, S. Huang, K. P. Chen, and M. C. Rechtsman, “Thouless pumping in disordered photonic systems,” *Light Sci Appl* **9**, 178 (2020).
- [13] Y. Lahini, R. Pugatch, F. Pozzi, M. Sorel, R. Morandotti, N. Davidson, and Y. Silberberg, “Observation of a localization transition in quasiperiodic photonic lattices,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 013901 (2009).
- [14] Y. E. Kraus, Y. Lahini, Z. Ringel, M. Verbin, and O. Zilberberg, “Topological states and adiabatic pumping in quasicrystals,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 106402 (2012).
- [15] M. C. Rechtsman, J. M. Zeuner, Y. Plotnik, Y. Lumer, D. Podolsky, F. Dreisow, S. Nolte, M. Segev, and A. Szameit, “Photonic Floquet topological insulators,” *Nature* **496**, 196–200 (2013).
- [16] Yao Wang, Xiao-Ling Pang, Yong-Heng Lu, Jun Gao, Yi-Jun Chang, Lu-Feng Qiao, Zhi-Qiang Jiao, Hao Tang, and Xian-Min Jin, “Topological protection of two-photon quantum correlation on a photonic chip,” *Optica* **6** (2019), 10.1364/optica.6.000955.
- [17] Luqi Yuan, Qian Lin, Meng Xiao, and Shanhui Fan, “Synthetic dimension in photonics,” *Optica* **5** (2018), 10.1364/optica.5.001396.
- [18] O. Zilberberg, S. Huang, J. Guglielmon, M. Wang, K. P. Chen, Y. E. Kraus, and M. C. Rechtsman, “Photonic topological boundary pumping as a probe of 4d quantum hall physics,” *Nature* **553**, 59–62 (2018).
- [19] Zhaoju Yang, Eran Lustig, Gal Harari, Yonatan Plotnik, Yaakov Lumer, Miguel A. Bandres, and Mordechai Segev, “Mode-locked topological insulator laser utilizing synthetic dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. X* **10**, 011059 (2020).
- [20] Shuta Nakajima, Nobuyuki Takei, Keita Sakuma, Yoshihito Kuno, Pasquale Marra, and Yoshiro Takahashi, “Competition and interplay between topology and quasiperiodic disorder in thouless pumping of ultracold atoms,” *Nature Physics* **17**, 844–849 (2021).
- [21] M. H. Kolodrubetz, F. Nathan, S. Gazit, T. Morimoto, and J. E. Moore, “Topological floquet-thouless energy pump,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 150601 (2018).
- [22] J. Tangpanitanon, V. M. Bastidas, S. Al-Assam, P. Roushan, D. Jaksch, and D. G. Angelakis, “Topological pumping of photons in nonlinear resonator arrays,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 213603 (2016).
- [23] N. Mostaan, F. Grusdt, and N. Goldman, “Quantized topological pumping of solitons in nonlinear photonics and ultracold atomic mixtures,” *Nat Commun* **13**, 5997 (2022).
- [24] Yinyin Qian, Ming Gong, and Chuanwei Zhang, “Quantum transport of bosonic cold atoms in double-well optical lattices,” *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 013608 (2011).
- [25] Y. Xia, E. Riva, M. I. N. Rosa, G. Cazzulani, A. Erturk, F. Braghin, and M. Ruzzene, “Experimental observation of temporal pumping in electromechanical waveguides,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 095501 (2021).
- [26] S. Wang, Z. Hu, Q. Wu, H. Chen, E. Prodan, R. Zhu, and G. Huang, “Smart patterning for topological pumping of elastic surface waves,” *Science Advances* **9** (2023).
- [27] M. I. N. Rosa, R. K. Pal, J. R. F. Arruda, and M. Ruzzene, “Edge states and topological pumping in

- spatially modulated elastic lattices,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 034301 (2019).
- [28] David J. Apigo, Wenting Cheng, Kyle F. Dobiszewski, Emil Prodan, and Camelia Prodan, “Observation of topological edge modes in a quasiperiodic acoustic waveguide,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 095501 (2019).
- [29] Z.-G. Chen, W. Tang, R.-Y. Zhang, Z. Chen, and G. Ma, “Landau-Zener transition in the dynamic transfer of acoustic topological states,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126** (2021), 10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.054301.
- [30] Oubo You, Shanjun Liang, Biye Xie, Wenlong Gao, Weimin Ye, Jie Zhu, and Shuang Zhang, “Observation of Non-Abelian Thouless pump,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 244302 (2022).
- [31] P. Hu, H.-W. Wu, P.-X. Xie, Y. Zhuo, W. Sun, Z. Sheng, and Y. Pan, “Hearing the dynamical floquet-thouless pump of a sound pulse,” *Phys. Rev. B in print*, 12 (2024).
- [32] Y. Ke, X. Qin, F. Mei, H. Zhong, Y. S. Kivshar, and C. Lee, “Topological phase transitions and Thouless pumping of light in photonic waveguide arrays,” *Laser & Photonics Reviews* **10**, 995–1001 (2016).
- [33] E. J. Meier, F. A. An, and B. Gadway, “Observation of the topological soliton state in the Su–Schrieffer–Heeger model,” *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 13986 (2016).
- [34] Q. Cheng, H. Wang, Y. Ke, T. Chen, Y. Yu, Y. S. Kivshar, C. Lee, and Y. Pan, “Asymmetric topological pumping in nonparaxial photonics,” *Nat Commun* **13**, 249 (2022).
- [35] Albert F. Adiyatullin, Lavi K. Upreti, Corentin Lechevalier, Clement Evain, Francois Copie, Pierre Suret, Stephane Randoux, Pierre Delplace, and Alberto Amo, “Topological properties of floquet winding bands in a photonic lattice,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 056901 (2023).
- [36] A. V. Poshakinskiy, A. N. Poddubny, L. Pilozzi, and E. L. Ivchenko, “Radiative topological states in resonant photonic crystals,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 107403 (2014).
- [37] L. K. Upreti, C. Evain, S. Randoux, P. Suret, A. Amo, and P. Delplace, “Topological swing of Bloch oscillations in quantum walks,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 186804 (2020).
- [38] Joaquin Minguzzi, Zijie Zhu, Kilian Sandholzer, Anne-Sophie Walter, Konrad Viebahn, and Tilman Esslinger, “Topological pumping in a Floquet-Bloch band,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 053201 (2022).
- [39] C. Schweizer, M. Lohse, R. Citro, and I. Bloch, “Spin pumping and measurement of spin currents in optical superlattices,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** (2016), 10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.170405.
- [40] K. Viebahn, A.-S. Walter, E. Bertok, Z. Zhu, M. Gächter, A. A. Aligia, F. Heidrich-Meisner, and T. Esslinger, “Interactions enable Thouless pumping in a non-sliding lattice,” *Phys. Rev. X* **14**, 021049 (2024).
- [41] E. Cohen, H. Larocque, F. Bouchard, F. Nejadsattari, Y. Gefen, and E. Karimi, “Geometric phase from Aharonov–Bohm to Pancharatnam–Berry and beyond,” *Nat. Rev. Phys.* **1**, 437 – 449 (2019).
- [42] M. V. Berry, “Quantal phase factors accompanying adiabatic changes,” *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **392**, 45–57 (1984).
- [43] M. Switkes, C. M. Marcus, K. Campman, and A. C. Gossard, “An adiabatic quantum electron pump,” *Science* **283**, 1905–1908 (1999).
- [44] Mor Verbin, Oded Zilberberg, Yoav Lahini, Yaacov E. Kraus, and Yaron Silberberg, “Topological pumping over a photonic Fibonacci quasicrystal,” *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 064201 (2015).
- [45] M. Verbin, O. Zilberberg, Y. E. Kraus, Y. Lahini, and Y. Silberberg, “Observation of topological phase transitions in photonic quasicrystals,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 076403 (2013).
- [46] Z. C. Xiang, K. Huang, Y. R. Zhang, T. Liu, Y. H. Shi, C. L. Deng, T. Liu, H. Li, G. H. Liang, Z. Y. Mei, H. Yu, G. Xue, Y. Tian, X. Song, Z. B. Liu, K. Xu, D. Zheng, F. Nori, and H. Fan, “Simulating Chern insulators on a superconducting quantum processor,” *Nat Commun* **14**, 5433 (2023).
- [47] S. V. Rajagopal, T. Shimasaki, P. Dotti, M. Raciunas, R. Senaratne, E. Anisimovas, A. Eckardt, and D. M. Weld, “Phasonic spectroscopy of a quantum gas in a quasicrystalline lattice,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 223201 (2019).
- [48] Emanuele Riva, Matheus I. N. Rosa, and Massimo Ruzzene, “Edge states and topological pumping in stiffness-modulated elastic plates,” *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 094307 (2020).
- [49] Sunkyu Yu, Cheng-Wei Qiu, Yidong Chong, Salvatore Torquato, and Namkyoo Park, “Engineered disorder in photonics,” *Nature Reviews Materials* **6**, 226–243 (2020).
- [50] M. V. Berry, “Transitionless quantum driving,” *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **42**, 365303 (2009).
- [51] S. N. Shevchenko, S. Ashhab, and F. Nori, “Landau-Zener–Stückelberg interferometry,” *Physics Reports* **492**, 1–30 (2010).
- [52] L. Wang, H. Zhang, L. Zhang, G. Raithel, J. Zhao, and S. Jia, “Atom-interferometric measurement of Stark level splittings,” *Phys. Rev. A* **92**, 033619 (2015).
- [53] E. Boyers, P. J. D. Crowley, A. Chandran, and A. O. Sushkov, “Exploring 2d synthetic quantum hall physics with a quasiperiodically driven qubit,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 160505 (2020).
- [54] X. Qiao, X. B. Zhang, Y. Jian, Y. E. Ma, R. Gao, A. X. Zhang, and J. K. Xue, “Nonlinear Landau-Zener–Stückelberg–Majorana tunneling and interferometry of extended Bose-Hubbard flux ladders,” *Phys. Rev. E* **108**, 034214 (2023).
- [55] H. Schomerus, “Topologically protected midgap states in complex photonic lattices,” *Opt Lett* **38**, 1912–4 (2013).
- [56] K. Viebahn, M. Sbroscia, E. Carter, Jr-Chiun Yu, and U. Schneider, “Matter-wave diffraction from a quasicrystalline optical lattice,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 110404 (2019).
- [57] Hao Li, Yong-Yi Wang, Yun-Hao Shi, Kaixuan Huang, Xiaohui Song, Gui-Han Liang, Zheng-Yang Mei, Bozhen Zhou, He Zhang, Jia-Chi Zhang, Shu Chen, S. P. Zhao, Ye Tian, Zhan-Ying Yang, Zhongcheng Xiang, Kai Xu, Dongning Zheng, and Heng Fan, “Observation of critical phase transition in a generalized Aubry–André–Harper model with superconducting circuits,” *NPJ Quantum Inf.* **9**, 40 (2023).
- [58] Feng Mei, Gang Chen, Lin Tian, Shi-Liang Zhu, and Suotang Jia, “Robust quantum state transfer via topological edge states in superconducting qubit chains,” *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 012331 (2018).
- [59] Serge Aubry and Gilles André, “Analyticity breaking and Anderson localization in incommensurate lattices,” *Annals of the Israel Physical Society* **3** (1980).

- [60] S. Ganeshan, K. Sun, and S. Das Sarma, “Topological zero-energy modes in gapless commensurate Aubry-André-Harper models,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 180403 (2013).
- [61] M. Yahyavi, B. Hetényi, and B. Tanatar, “Generalized Aubry-André-Harper model with modulated hopping and p -wave pairing,” *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 064202 (2019).
- [62] Tong Liu and Hao Guo, “Mobility edges in off-diagonal disordered tight-binding models,” *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 104201 (2018).
- [63] A. Grabarits, A. Takács, I. C. Fulga, and J. K. Asbóth, “Floquet-Anderson localization in the Thouless pump and how to avoid it,” *Phys. Rev. B* **109** (2024), 10.1103/PhysRevB.109.L180202.
- [64] Pu Huang, Jingwei Zhou, Fang Fang, Xi Kong, Xiangkun Xu, Chenyong Ju, and Jiangfeng Du, “Landau-Zener-Stückelberg interferometry of a single electronic spin in a noisy environment,” *Phys. Rev. X* **1**, 011003 (2011).
- [65] C. M. Quintana, K. D. Petersson, L. W. McFaul, S. J. Srinivasan, A. A. Houck, and J. R. Petta, “Cavity-mediated entanglement generation via Landau-Zener interferometry,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 173603 (2013).
- [66] M. Korkusinski, S. A. Studenikin, G. Aers, G. Granger, A. Kam, and A. S. Sachrajda, “Landau-Zener-Stückelberg interferometry in quantum dots with fast rise times: Evidence for coherent phonon driving,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 067701 (2017).
- [67] Shulin Wang, Chengzhi Qin, Lange Zhao, Han Ye, Stefano Longhi, Peixiang Lu, and Bing Wang, “Photonic Floquet Landau-Zener tunneling and temporal beam splitters,” *Science Advances* **9**, eadh0415 (2023).
- [68] S. Longhi, “Topological pumping of edge states via adiabatic passage,” *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 155150 (2019).
- [69] Y. Aharonov and J. Anandan, “Phase change during a cyclic quantum evolution,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58**, 1593–1596 (1987).
- [70] M. H. Amin, “Consistency of the adiabatic theorem,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 220401 (2009).
- [71] K. P. Marzlin and B. C. Sanders, “Inconsistency in the application of the adiabatic theorem,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 160408 (2004).
- [72] Emanuele Riva, Gianmaria Castaldini, and Francesco Braghin, “Adiabatic edge-to-edge transformations in time-modulated elastic lattices and non-Hermitian shortcuts,” *New J. Phys.* **23** (2021), 10.1088/1367-2630/ac1ed4.
- [73] D. J. Thouless and S. Kirkpatrick, “Conductivity of the disordered linear chain,” *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics* **14**, 235 (1981).
- [74] Xiaoshui Lin and Ming Gong, “Fate of localization in a coupled free chain and a disordered chain,” *Phys. Rev. A* **109**, 033310 (2024).
- [75] Yiqi Zhang, Yaroslav V. Kartashov, Yanpeng Zhang, Lluís Torner, and Dmitry V. Skryabin, “Resonant edge-state switching in polariton topological insulators,” *Laser & Photonics Reviews* **12**, 1700348 (2018).
- [76] Lorenzo Privitera, Angelo Russomanno, Roberta Citro, and Giuseppe E. Santoro, “Nonadiabatic breaking of topological pumping,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 106601 (2018).
- [77] Quan Lin, Tianyu Li, Lei Xiao, Kunkun Wang, Wei Yi, and Peng Xue, “Topological phase transitions and mobility edges in non-Hermitian quasicrystals,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 113601 (2022).
- [78] R. Wang, X. Z. Zhang, and Z. Song, “Dynamical topological invariant for the non-Hermitian Rice-Mele model,” *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 042120 (2018).