

Extreme magnetic fields around black holes

Koushik Chatterjee^[0000-0002-2825-3590],
Matthew Liska^[0000-0003-4475-9345],
Alexander Tchekhovskoy^[0000-0002-9182-2047],
Sera Markoff^[0000-0001-9564-0876], and
Ramesh Narayan^[0000-0002-1919-2730]

Abstract Recent results of the event horizon-scale images of M87* and Sagittarius A* from the Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration show that strong magnetic fields are likely present around the central black holes (BHs) in these sources. Magnetically arrested disks (MADs), the end stage of magnetic flux saturation around BHs, are especially rich in horizon-scale physics due to the presence of powerful jets and magnetic flux eruptions that provide significant feedback on the accretion mechanism. Here, we present an overview of our current knowledge about the magnetic field evolution in numerical simulations of accreting BHs, focusing on jet launching, black hole-interstellar medium feedback, and black hole imaging of MADs. We find that misaligned MAD accretion flows seemingly exhibit jet ejection cycles that could produce flaring states in radio-quiet active galactic nuclei. Further, we show that advances in horizon-scale interferometric telescopes could identify disk misalignment by imaging the disk-jet connection region.

Koushik Chatterjee
Institute for Research in Electronics and Applied Physics, University of Maryland, 8279 Paint Branch Drive, College Park, MD 20742, USA, e-mail: kchatt@umd.edu

Matthew Liska
Center for Relativistic Astrophysics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Howey Physics Bldg, 837 State St NW, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA, e-mail: mliska3@gatech.edu

Alexander Tchekhovskoy
Center for Interdisciplinary Exploration & Research in Astrophysics (CIERA), Physics & Astronomy, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60202, USA, e-mail: atckkho@northwestern.edu

Sera Markoff
Anton Pannekoek Institute for Astronomy, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands, e-mail: S.B.Markoff@uva.nl

Ramesh Narayan
Black Hole Initiative at Harvard University, 20 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA, e-mail: rnarayan@cfa.harvard.edu




Fig. 1 (*Left*) Magnetised gas turbulence triggers particle acceleration that leads to simultaneous moderate nIR/X-ray flares, but cannot explain the most energetic flares [7]. We show a multi-wavelength SED (solid and dashed lines) of Sagittarius A* from a GRMHD simulation of a weakly magnetised accretion flow around a spinning BH assuming synchrotron emission from both thermal and non-thermal (i.e. accelerated) electron populations. Sgr A* data is shown in black (see [7] for more details). Note that we ignore synchrotron self-Compton calculations in this SED. (*Right*) Magnetically arrested disks (MADs) exhibit magnetic explosions that heat up gas (red) in the disk (green/blue), a mechanism favourable for producing extreme high-energy flares.

1 Introduction

While the idea of magnetically dominated accretion flows has been around for at least 50 years [2], this mode of accretion, dubbed magnetically arrested disks (MADs), truly became a subject of interest over the past 2 decades or so [25, 37]. Part of the interest stemmed from advances in computational development of 3D general relativistic magnetohydrodynamic (GRMHD) codes that enabled self-consistent simulations of black hole (BH) accretion. On the observational front, the multitude of Sagittarius A* (or Sgr A*) X-ray flares captured by the Chandra observatory [1, 42, 23] provided indications of particle acceleration, possibly driven by reconnection of magnetic fieldlines close to the BH [35, 56]. Figure 1 shows that our standard picture of magnetorotational instability (MRI)-driven accretion produces X-ray and near-infrared (nIR) flares too weak to explain some of Sgr A*'s brightest flares [15, 23], suggesting the need for stronger magnetic fields. More recently, the polarised horizon-scale EHT images of M87 and Sgr A* also favour strong vertical magnetic fields [17, 19]. Indeed, the MAD state could be a natural outcome of starved BHs (i.e., accreting at highly sub-Eddington rates), which is the case for both Sgr A* and M87. There is seemingly an abundant supply of poloidal magnetic field either by advecting μ Gauss-level magnetic fields from the interstellar medium (ISM) [37, 24] or from magnetised stellar winds (as thought to occur in Sgr A*; [45]), or by in-situ generation of poloidal flux via dynamo processes in the disk [30], favouring the emergence of the MAD state. Motivated by these advances in theory, numerics and observations, we discuss the many features of MAD accretion

flows and their outflows, with a focus on recent results of misaligned MAD flows from Chatterjee et al. [11].

2 Revisiting magnetically arrested accretion flows

In this section, we introduce some salient features of the MAD state. We divide our discussion into two parts: time-averaged and time-dependent disk/jet properties. The former category of analysis allows us to understand broad features of MADs and cross-compare between multiple simulations, while the latter involves tracking the gas and magnetic field dynamics over time with the aim of studying the evolution of magnetic explosions often seen in MADs, and their effect on jet morphology. For our discussion, we make use of results from MAD simulations, performed using the GRMHD code H-AMR [31], from a number of published papers [6, 8, 11]. These results are largely consistent with simulations from other codes.

We focus on three quantities: the accretion rate $\dot{M} = -\iint \rho u^r dA_{\theta\varphi}$, the poloidal magnetic flux $\Phi_{\text{BH}} = (1/2) \iint |B^r| dA_{\theta\varphi}$ calculated at the event horizon, and the total outflow power $P = \dot{M}c^2 - \dot{E}$. For these quantities, we require the gas density ρ , the radial component of the gas 4-velocity u^r , the radial component of the 3-magnetic field vector B^r and the total energy flux in the radial direction $\dot{E} = \iint T_t^r dA_{\theta\varphi}$, defined using the stress-energy tensor T_ν^μ and the area element $dA_{\theta\varphi} = \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} d\theta d\varphi$. We assume natural units $G = c = 1$, which implies that our characteristic length-scale, the gravitational radius $r_g = GM/c^2$, and the corresponding light-crossing time-scale $t_g = r_g/c$, are normalised to the BH mass M . For our simulations, we can set $M = 1$. Further, we can normalise the magnetic flux and the outflow power with the time-averaged accretion rate $\langle \dot{M} \rangle$, giving us the dimensionless magnetic flux $\phi_{\text{BH}} = \Phi_{\text{BH}}/\sqrt{\langle \dot{M} \rangle}$ and the outflow efficiency $\eta = P/\langle \dot{M} \rangle$ (note that r_g and the speed of light c are unity according to our units). We refer the reader to Porth et al. [43] for more details about these quantities.

2.1 Time-averaged properties of MADs: magnetic flux saturation and relativistic jets

MADs deal with magnetically-saturated BH environments where forces due to magnetic fields are roughly in radial balance with the ram pressure from the accreting gas. It thus follows that such a system should exhibit the maximum horizon-scale poloidal magnetic flux possible given sufficiently large supply from the disk. Any amount of extra magnetic flux accumulated near the horizon results in expulsion of magnetic fluxtubes, or “magnetic flux eruptions”, creating temporal oscillations of the (largely radial) magnetic flux ϕ_{BH} at the BH horizon. We will discuss these eruptions in the next subsection. Figure 2 shows the time-averaged




Fig. 2 (*Left*) We show the accretion-rate normalised magnetic flux at the BH horizon for GRMHD simulations of MADs around a variety of BH spins [8]. We also show the 1σ standard deviation for each model to indicate the amount of variability in ϕ_{BH} . The dashed line shows a cubic fit to the numerical data, using the `scipy` function `curvefit`. (*Right*) We show the corresponding outflow efficiency η along with the 1σ standard deviation for each model. The dashed line shows the expected Blandford-Znajek (BZ) jet efficiency $\eta_{\text{BZ}} \propto \phi_{\text{BH,fit}}^2 \Omega_{\text{H}}^2$ [4, 53, 10], where $\Omega_{\text{H}} = a/2r_{\text{H}}$ is the horizon angular frequency and $r_{\text{H}} = (1 + \sqrt{1 - a^2})r_{\text{g}}$ is the event horizon radius. We find that for BHs with a large prograde spin, (1) the BZ power matches the simulation results as jets dominate the total outflow power, and (2) both ϕ_{BH} and η exhibit the largest variability among the models, presumably due to powerful and frequent magnetic flux eruptions.

ϕ_{BH} as a function of BH spin, calculated over $5000t_{\text{g}}$ using H-AMR simulations [18, 8]. We see that ϕ_{BH} has a cubic dependence of the BH spin parameter a : $\phi_{\text{BH,fit}} = 41.84 + 26.06a - 12.68a^2 - 14.23a^3$, which is roughly consistent with previous work using GRMHD simulations [53, 40, 11]. While this cubic dependence on spin has not yet been worked out from first principles, it is reasonable to assume that the BH spin should strongly affect the MAD magnetic flux since (1) the magnitude of ϕ_{BH} depends on the horizon area (which, in turn, is spin-dependent), and (2) the accretion disk close to the BH is subject to BH frame-dragging. For example, Narayan et al. [40] showed that the disk scale-height appears to be closely tied to the BH spin. Additionally, for BHs accreting at or close to their Eddington rate, radiation physics would also strongly affect disk structure [47, 32, 33].

In MADs, the strong magnetic fields near the BH not only modulate disk dynamics, but also power highly efficient relativistic jets. GRMHD simulations show that, in most cases, there is always an outflow of energy (and sometimes mass) in the form of jets and disk winds, provided that the BH environment is sparse enough to allow jet propagation. Figure 2 shows one of the most interesting properties of MADs: rapidly spinning prograde BHs can launch jets with efficiencies η exceeding 100% in the MAD state, first shown by Tchekhovskoy et al. [52], which provides direct evidence of spin energy extraction from BHs, a direct consequence of the Blandford-Znajek (BZ) mechanism [4]. Indeed, it has been shown that jets from MADs spindown their BHs, with the equilibrium BH spin close to zero [53, 8, 40, 47, 33], which could




Fig. 3 (Left) We show the x-y and x-z planes of two representative snapshots of a MAD flow around a Schwarzschild BH from Chatterjee et al. [8], tracking the movement of a magnetic fluxtube as it propagates into the disk. From the figures, we see that a bundle of fieldlines get separated from the jet and form a fluxtube. The accretion flow gradually shears out the fluxtube over time, giving rise to Rayleigh-Taylor-like (RT) and Kelvin-Helmholtz (KH) instabilities at the fluxtube boundary that could explain nIR flares seen in Sgr A* [57]. These fluxtubes push out disk material, powering short-term winds, thus influencing angular momentum transport [8]. (Right) Time evolution of ϕ_{BH} and η for the $a = 0.94$ simulation from Fig. 2. We also indicate the time-averaged values from Fig. 2 with dashed lines. Flux eruptions repeatedly take away magnetic flux from the BH magnetosphere when magnetic forces overcome the accreting gas.

have exciting implications for spindown of BHs accreting at super-Eddington rates, e.g., in gamma-ray bursts and ultra-luminous X-ray binaries [39].

2.2 How MADs evolve over time: the role of magnetic flux eruptions

Numerical simulations show that the BH magnetosphere can continue accumulating magnetic flux well past the time-averaged ϕ_{BH} shown in Fig. 2, slowly forming a current sheet layer, typically in the equatorial plane. At the point of magnetic flux over-saturation, magnetic reconnection occurs close to the BH, and nearly-cylindrical fluxtubes filled with vertical magnetic fields are ejected out into the disk. Vital questions about the ejection mechanism remain due to the complex 3D evolution of the reconnection event, such as:

- (1) Is the formation of the current sheet only due to reconnection of the azimuthal magnetic field?
- (2) Does reconnection of the radial magnetic field trigger the eruption event, or does the azimuthal field also play a role?
- (3) What determines the limited azimuthal range covered by the expelled fluxtubes?
- (4) When are fluxtubes ejected and at what frequency in time?
- (5) Does the disk

structure and dynamics also affect the ejection mechanism apart from shearing out the fluxtube once ejected into the disk?

Figure 3 shows an example of how an ejected fluxtube propagates through the accretion disk in a GRMHD simulation. Additionally we show the time-evolution of the magnetic flux ϕ_{BH} and the outflow efficiency η . We clearly see temporal oscillations in the time-series plots where there is a slow rise as magnetic flux is advected along with the gas towards the BH, thereby strengthening the outflow, and a fast decay due to fluxtube ejections. High resolution ideal and resistive GRMHD as well as GR-Particle-in-Cell simulations are actively investigating the ejection mechanism with a particular focus on the decay rate of the magnetic flux and its relation to the local reconnection rate [3, 48, 21]. Further, once ejected, magnetic flux eruptions push against gas in the disk and can power disk winds, effectively regulating the disk angular momentum [8, 34], possibly alongside Maxwell stresses due to large-scale poloidal fields [38]. In summary, recent advances in simulations present an exciting opportunity to study magnetic flux eruptions in great detail, which could lead to new insights in the triggering, frequency and energetics of flares in accreting BHs (e.g., see Fig. 1).

3 Jet - ISM collisions in MAD accretion flows

Jets travelling through dense ISM environments, such as that found in quasi-spherical accretion flows, could be subject to large-scale kink instabilities, resulting in jet collapse. Gas infall in the polar regions of the BH could also inhibit jet production. Thus jet propagation is intimately connected to both the intrinsic jet power via the BZ process as well as environment properties. Powerful jets can burrow through the environment, creating a cocoon of magnetised gas that forms backflows and helps in jet collimation [50]. For jets with smaller ϕ_{BH} , the jets can quickly lose stability and collapse, at times creating shocked cavities. Recent advances in computational resources have enabled GRMHD simulators to expand to larger length-scales and experiment with different types of accretion flows in order to self-consistently capture the complex dynamics of jet-ISM interactions [45, 29, 12, 28, 46, 50].

Figure 4 shows an interesting case when the incoming gas and magnetic fields are so misaligned from the BH spin vector such that the jet in the MAD state collides directly with the disk bulk, turns almost 90° degrees and eventually falls apart [11]. In this scenario, the jets actively regulate the advection of magnetic flux onto the BH horizon in a manner similar to flux eruptions (but with a lot more power!), creating a feedback loop as a result. The figure shows a plethora of interesting features such as jet remnants, magnetised filaments, cavities during the “quenched jet phase” and a twisted or bent jet during the “jetted MAD phase”. The gas temperature (T) plot in Fig. 4 shows that such systems could exhibit relativistically-hot gas that can produce X-ray emission alongside small-scale radio jets. We require more in-depth analysis




Fig. 4 (*Top*) Chatterjee et al. [11] finds that a small-scale BH feedback loop can form for highly misaligned MAD accretion flows. As the newly formed jet strengthens over time due to the accumulation of magnetic flux at the horizon. When the jet begins to align with the BH spin, it collides with the accreting gas, disrupting the supply of magnetic flux to the horizon, leading to jet quenching. Once the jet subsides, new magnetic flux can be advected and brought to the BH, thereby restarting jet launching, and completing the feedback loop. In this particular simulation, the timescale for the feedback loop is around $5 \times 10^4 t_g$, or around 3 days to 8 years for a $10^{6-9} M_\odot$ BH, which presents an interesting possibility for flaring states in radio-quiet AGN and quiescent X-ray Binaries. (*Bottom left*) Vertical cross-section plot of gas density plot of the same simulation as above showing an extremely warped jet (blue) launched out of a spinning BH which is accreting from a 90° misaligned disk (red). (*Bottom right*) The distorted jet inflates shocked cavities in the ISM. The shocked gas shows relativistic temperatures ($T > 1$ in relativistic units) suggesting significant non-thermal X-ray flaring. The jet also shows limb-brightened features as magnetic fields become squeezed between the jet and the ISM, which triggers reconnection and heats the gas. See this link for a movie of this simulation: <https://youtu.be/mTLbZgd5CtY>.

of the radiative emission of these systems to see if such feedback loops can explain radio and X-ray flaring in radio-quiet AGN as an alternative mechanism to binary BH-disk interactions. See [11] for details on the simulations and jet/disk evolution for such systems.

4 Outlook

Magnetically arrested disks present a uniquely rich laboratory for exploring magnetised plasmas in extreme conditions, from the micro-scale physics of reconnection, hotspots and shocks, to the meso-scales of BH accretion, disk warping in misaligned




Fig. 5 Misaligned accretion onto spinning BHs result in bent jets that do not follow the BH spin direction. Misalignment significantly affects the evolution of the horizon-scale structure in these systems, altering the BH shadow size and shape as well as the extended jet structure. We show a snapshot of raytraced images for disks with misalignment angles of 0° , 30° and 60° [6], measured at large distances, and their corresponding synthetic reconstructions at 230GHz (using the `eht-imaging` toolkit [5]) with the 2017 EHT array [16] and the future ngEHT array [44, 27], assuming the M87 BH mass and distance. The 2017 EHT array fails to properly capture the differences between these disks, demonstrating the need to resolve the disk-jet connection region by including more short-baseline arrays.

inflows and magnetic flux eruptions, and all the way to the large-scales of twisted and kink-unstable jets, magnetised backflows and cocoons. Figure 5 shows one such example. We show raytraced horizon-scale images of 3 misaligned near-MAD models at sub-millimetre wavelengths (using the GR-radiative transfer code `BHOSS` [55]) and their reconstructions assuming the telescope array properties of the 2017 EHT array [16], and a future large interferometric array (the next-generation EHT, or ngEHT [44, 27]), including only thermal noise for simplicity. We find that even after accounting for the systematic errors in imaging, it is possible to capture 3 primary features with the ngEHT array that indicate misaligned accretion: (1) the shape of the BH shadow region (i.e., the brightness depression in the center), given our knowledge of the source inclination, (2) the ring asymmetry, and (3) the warped disk-jet just outside the ring. We note that while these reconstructions are quite simplistic and more careful work needs to be done (much like that seen in the ngEHT Analysis Challenge papers; [49, 9]), it is encouraging that observing the horizon-scale acce-

tion flow with such high resolution is possible either with ground-based arrays and potentially future space missions.

Capturing the tell-tale signs of magnetic flux eruptions would be crucial to identify the MAD state. The equatorial plane reconnection event that triggers the eruption as well as the disk-fluxtube shearing/RT/KH instabilities could produce high-energy flares [48], while the expulsion of accretion material from the BH magnetosphere could produce a dip in the submillimetre flux [22] in AGNs like M87 and Sgr A* in addition to affecting polarimetric features in the horizon-scale image [26] as well as the jet boundary [14]. Further, the development of the MAD state in misaligned accretion often forces the inner part of the disk to “magneto-spin align” with the BH spin [36, 11], which have crucial consequences for interpreting the hard state of X-ray Binaries [20], where some sources show quasi-periodic oscillations in the X-ray lightcurve. It is possible that flux eruptions may explain some quasi-periodic activity such as in AGNs [13], but power-spectral-density calculations of the MAD \dot{M} time-series have not yet revealed promising results, primarily showing red noise. Evidently further investigations of the MAD state in standard torii need to be done, such as the dependence of accretion properties on simulation grid resolutions [54, 51], similar to analysis done for weakly-magnetised, MRI-dominated accretion flows [43]. Similarly, understanding the ejection mechanism of fluxtubes holds important consequences for the interpretation of high-energy flares. Guided by the plethora of multi-wavelength observations of accreting BHs and jets, the future looks bright for numerical simulations dealing with accreting BHs with plenty of exciting scientific achievements to look forward to.

Acknowledgements KC thanks Alex Raymond and Daniel Palumbo for their help with scripts to generate and reconstruct synthetic data from GRMHD simulations using `eht-imaging`. KC and RN were supported in part by grants from the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation and the John Templeton Foundation to the Black Hole Initiative at Harvard University, and by NSF award OISE-1743747. ML was supported by the John Harvard, ITC and NASA Hubble Fellowship Program fellowships. AT acknowledges support from the NSF AST-2009884 and NASA 80NSSC21K1746 grants. AT was supported by BSF grant 2020747 and NSF grants AST-2107839, AST-1815304, AST-1911080, AST-2206471, OAC-2031997. This research was enabled by support provided by an INCITE program award PHY129, using resources from the Oak Ridge Leadership Computing Facility, Summit, which is a US Department of Energy office of Science User Facility supported under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725, as well as Calcul Quebec (<http://www.calculquebec.ca>) and Compute Canada (<http://www.computecanada.ca>). This work has made use of NASA’s Astrophysics Data System (ADS).

References

1. Baganoff, F. K. et al. 2001. Rapid X-ray flaring from the direction of the supermassive black hole at the Galactic Centre. *Nature* 413, 45–48. doi:10.1038/35092510
2. Bisnovatyi-Kogan, G. S., Ruzmaikin, A. A. 1974. The Accretion of Matter by a Collapsing Star in the Presence of a Magnetic Field. *Astrophysics and Space Science* 28, 45–59. doi:10.1007/BF00642237

3. Bransgrove, A., Ripperda, B., Philippov, A. 2021. Magnetic Hair and Reconnection in Black Hole Magnetospheres. *Physical Review Letters* 127. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.055101
4. Blandford, R. D., Znajek, R. L. 1977. Electromagnetic extraction of energy from Kerr black holes. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 179, 433–456. doi:10.1093/mnras/179.3.433
5. Chael, A. A. et al. 2019. ehtim: Imaging, analysis, and simulation software for radio interferometry. *Astrophysics Source Code Library*. ascl:1904.004
6. Chatterjee, K. et al. 2020. Observational signatures of disc and jet misalignment in images of accreting black holes. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 499, 362–378. doi:10.1093/mnras/staa2718
7. Chatterjee, K. et al. 2021. General relativistic MHD simulations of non-thermal flaring in Sagittarius A*. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 507, 5281–5302. doi:10.1093/mnras/stab2466
8. Chatterjee, K., Narayan, R. 2022. Flux Eruption Events Drive Angular Momentum Transport in Magnetically Arrested Accretion Flows. *The Astrophysical Journal* 941. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ac9d97
9. Chatterjee, K. et al. 2023. Accretion Flow Morphology in Numerical Simulations of Black Holes from the ngEHT Model Library: The Impact of Radiation Physics. *Galaxies* 11. doi:10.3390/galaxies11020038
10. Chatterjee, K., Younsi, Z., Kocherlakota, P., Narayan, R. 2023. On the Universality of Energy Extraction from Black Hole Spacetimes. arXiv e-prints. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2310.20043
11. Chatterjee, K., Liska, M., Tchekhovskoy, A., Markoff, S. 2023. Misaligned magnetized accretion flows onto spinning black holes: magneto-spin alignment, outflow power and intermittent jets. arXiv e-prints. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2311.00432
12. Cho, H. et al. 2023. Bridging Scales in Black Hole Accretion and Feedback: Magnetized Bondi Accretion in 3D GRMHD. *The Astrophysical Journal* 959. doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ad1048
13. Cui, Y. et al. 2023. Precessing jet nozzle connecting to a spinning black hole in M87. *Nature* 621, 711–715. doi:10.1038/s41586-023-06479-6
14. Davelaar, J. et al. 2023. Synchrotron Polarization Signatures of Surface Waves in Supermassive Black Hole Jets. *The Astrophysical Journal* 959. doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ad0b79
15. Do, T. et al. 2019. Unprecedented Near-infrared Brightness and Variability of Sgr A*. *The Astrophysical Journal* 882. doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ab38c3
16. Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. 2019. First M87 Event Horizon Telescope Results. I. The Shadow of the Supermassive Black Hole. *The Astrophysical Journal* 875. doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ab0ec7
17. Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. 2021. First M87 Event Horizon Telescope Results. VIII. Magnetic Field Structure near The Event Horizon. *The Astrophysical Journal* 910. doi:10.3847/2041-8213/abe4de
18. Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. 2022. First Sagittarius A* Event Horizon Telescope Results. V. Testing Astrophysical Models of the Galactic Center Black Hole. *The Astrophysical Journal* 930. doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ac6672
19. Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration and 286 colleagues 2024. First Sagittarius A* Event Horizon Telescope Results. VIII. Physical Interpretation of the Polarized Ring. *The Astrophysical Journal* 964. doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ad2df1
20. Fragile, P. C., Chatterjee, K., Ingram, A., Middleton, M. 2023. The luminous, hard state can't be MAD. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 525, L82–L86. doi:10.1093/mnras/slاد099
21. Galishnikova, A. et al. 2023. Collisionless Accretion onto Black Holes: Dynamics and Flares. *Physical Review Letters* 130. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.115201
22. Gelles, Z., Chatterjee, K., Johnson, M., Ripperda, B., Liska, M. 2022. Relativistic Signatures of Flux Eruption Events near Black Holes. *Galaxies* 10. doi:10.3390/galaxies10060107
23. Haggard, D. et al. 2019. Chandra Spectral and Timing Analysis of Sgr A*'s Brightest X-Ray Flares. *The Astrophysical Journal* 886. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab4a7f
24. Haverkorn, M., Spangler, S. R. 2013. Plasma Diagnostics of the Interstellar Medium with Radio Astronomy. *Space Science Reviews* 178, 483–511. doi:10.1007/s11214-013-0014-6

25. Igumenshchev, I. V., Narayan, R., Abramowicz, M. A. 2003. Three-dimensional Magnetohydrodynamic Simulations of Radiatively Inefficient Accretion Flows. *The Astrophysical Journal* 592, 1042–1059. doi:10.1086/375769
26. Jia, H. et al. 2023. Millimeter observational signatures of flares in magnetically arrested black hole accretion models. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 526, 2924–2941. doi:10.1093/mnras/stad2935
27. Johnson, M. D. et al. 2023. Key Science Goals for the Next-Generation Event Horizon Telescope. *Galaxies* 11. doi:10.3390/galaxies11030061
28. Kaaz, N., Murguia-Berthier, A., Chatterjee, K., Liska, M. T. P., Tchekhovskoy, A. 2023. Jet Formation in 3D GRMHD Simulations of Bondi-Hoyle-Lyttleton Accretion. *The Astrophysical Journal* 950. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/acc7a1
29. Lalakos, A. et al. 2022. Bridging the Bondi and Event Horizon Scales: 3D GRMHD Simulations Reveal X-shaped Radio Galaxy Morphology. *The Astrophysical Journal* 936. doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ac7bed
30. Liska, M., Tchekhovskoy, A., Quataert, E. 2020. Large-scale poloidal magnetic field dynamo leads to powerful jets in GRMHD simulations of black hole accretion with toroidal field. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 494, 3656–3662. doi:10.1093/mnras/staa955
31. Liska, M. T. P. et al. 2022. H-AMR: A New GPU-accelerated GRMHD Code for Exascale Computing with 3D Adaptive Mesh Refinement and Local Adaptive Time Stepping. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* 263. doi:10.3847/1538-4365/ac9966
32. Liska, M. T. P., Kaaz, N., Chatterjee, K., Emami, R., Musoke, G. 2024. Magnetic Flux Plays an Important Role during a Black Hole X-Ray Binary Outburst in Radiative Two-temperature General Relativistic Magnetohydrodynamic Simulations. *The Astrophysical Journal* 966. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ad344a
33. Lowell, B., Jacquemin-Ide, J., Tchekhovskoy, A., Duncan, A. 2024. Rapid Black Hole Spin-down by Thick Magnetically Arrested Disks. *The Astrophysical Journal* 960. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ad09af
34. Manikantan, V. et al. 2024. Winds and Disk Turbulence Exert Equal Torques on Thick Magnetically Arrested Disks. *The Astrophysical Journal* 965. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ad323d
35. Markoff, S., Falcke, H., Yuan, F., Biermann, P. L. 2001. The Nature of the 10 kilosecond X-ray flare in Sgr A*. *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 379, L13–L16. doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20011346
36. McKinney, J. C., Tchekhovskoy, A., Blandford, R. D. 2013. Alignment of Magnetized Accretion Disks and Relativistic Jets with Spinning Black Holes. *Science* 339, 49. doi:10.1126/science.1230811
37. Narayan, R., Igumenshchev, I. V., Abramowicz, M. A. 2003. Magnetically Arrested Disk: an Energetically Efficient Accretion Flow. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of Japan* 55, L69–L72. doi:10.1093/pasj/55.6.L69
38. Narayan, R., Sadowski, A., Penna, R. F., Kulkarni, A. K. 2012. GRMHD simulations of magnetized advection-dominated accretion on a non-spinning black hole: role of outflows. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 426, 3241–3259. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.22002.x
39. Narayan, R., Sadowski, A., Soria, R. 2017. Spectra of black hole accretion models of ultraluminous X-ray sources. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 469, 2997–3014. doi:10.1093/mnras/stx1027
40. Narayan, R., Chael, A., Chatterjee, K., Ricarte, A., Curd, B. 2022. Jets in magnetically arrested hot accretion flows: geometry, power, and black hole spin-down. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 511, 3795–3813. doi:10.1093/mnras/stac285
41. Neilsen, J. et al. 2013. A Chandra/HETGS Census of X-Ray Variability from Sgr A* during 2012. *The Astrophysical Journal* 774. doi:10.1088/0004-637X/774/1/42
42. Nowak, M. A. et al. 2012. Chandra/HETGS Observations of the Brightest Flare Seen from Sgr A*. *The Astrophysical Journal* 759. doi:10.1088/0004-637X/759/2/95

43. Porth, O. et al. 2019. The Event Horizon General Relativistic Magnetohydrodynamic Code Comparison Project. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* 243. doi:10.3847/1538-4365/ab29fd
44. Raymond, A. W. et al. 2021. Evaluation of New Submillimeter VLBI Sites for the Event Horizon Telescope. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* 253. doi:10.3847/1538-3881/abc3c3
45. Ressler, S. M., White, C. J., Quataert, E., Stone, J. M. 2020. Ab Initio Horizon-scale Simulations of Magnetically Arrested Accretion in Sagittarius A* Fed by Stellar Winds. *The Astrophysical Journal* 896. doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ab9532
46. Ressler, S. M., White, C. J., Quataert, E. 2023. Wind-fed GRMHD simulations of Sagittarius A*: tilt and alignment of jets and accretion discs, electron thermodynamics, and multiscale modelling of the rotation measure. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 521, 4277–4298. doi:10.1093/mnras/stad837
47. Ricarte, A., Narayan, R., Curd, B. 2023. Recipes for Jet Feedback and Spin Evolution of Black Holes with Strongly Magnetized Super-Eddington Accretion Disks. *The Astrophysical Journal* 954. doi:10.3847/2041-8213/aceda5
48. Ripperda, B. et al. 2022. Black Hole Flares: Ejection of Accreted Magnetic Flux through 3D Plasmoid-mediated Reconnection. *The Astrophysical Journal* 924. doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ac46a1
49. Roelofs, F. et al. 2023. The ngEHT Analysis Challenges. *Galaxies* 11. doi:10.3390/galaxies11010012
50. Rohoza, V. et al. 2024. How to Turn Jets into Cylinders near Supermassive Black Holes in 3D General Relativistic Magnetohydrodynamic Simulations. *The Astrophysical Journal* 963. doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ad24fc
51. Sosapanta Salas, L. et al. 2024. Resolution analysis of magnetically arrested disk simulations. arXiv e-prints. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2405.00564
52. Tchekhovskoy, A., Narayan, R., McKinney, J. C. 2011. Efficient generation of jets from magnetically arrested accretion on a rapidly spinning black hole. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 418, L79–L83. doi:10.1111/j.1745-3933.2011.01147.x
53. Tchekhovskoy, A., McKinney, J. C., Narayan, R. 2012. General Relativistic Modeling of Magnetized Jets from Accreting Black Holes. *Journal of Physics Conference Series* 372. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/372/1/012040
54. White, C. J., Stone, J. M., Quataert, E. 2019. A Resolution Study of Magnetically Arrested Disks. *The Astrophysical Journal* 874. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab0c0c
55. Younsi, Z. et al. 2020. Modelling the polarised emission from black holes on event horizon-scales. *Perseus in Sicily: From Black Hole to Cluster Outskirts* 342, 9–12. doi:10.1017/S1743921318007263
56. Yuan, F., Quataert, E., Narayan, R. 2003. Nonthermal Electrons in Radiatively Inefficient Accretion Flow Models of Sagittarius A*. *The Astrophysical Journal* 598, 301–312. doi:10.1086/378716
57. Zhdankin, V., Ripperda, B., Philippov, A. A. 2023. Particle acceleration by magnetic Rayleigh-Taylor instability: Mechanism for flares in black hole accretion flows. *Physical Review Research* 5. doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.5.043023