
Farewell to Length Extrapolation, a Training-Free Infinite Context with Finite Attention Scope*

Xiaoran Liu¹†, Qipeng Guo³‡, Yuerong Song¹, Zhigeng Liu¹,
Kai Lv¹, Hang Yan³, Linlin Li², Qun Liu², Xipeng Qiu^{1,3}

¹School of Computer Science, Fudan University,

²Huawei Noah's Ark Lab, ³Shanghai AI Laboratory

liuxr22@m.fudan.edu.cn, xpqiu@fudan.edu.cn

Abstract

The maximum supported context length is a critical bottleneck limiting the practical application of the Large Language Model (LLM). Although existing length extrapolation methods can extend the context of LLMs to millions of tokens, these methods all have an explicit upper bound. In this work, we propose LongCache, a training-free approach that enables LLM to support an infinite context with finite context scope, through full-context cache selection and training-free integration. This effectively frees LLMs from the length extrapolation issue. We validate LongCache on the LongBench and L-Eval and demonstrate its performance is on par with traditional full-attention mechanisms. Furthermore, we have applied LongCache on mainstream LLMs, including LLaMA3 and Mistral-v0.3, enabling them to support context lengths of at least 400K in Needle-In-A-Haystack tests. We will improve the efficiency of LongCache by GPU-aware optimization soon.

1 Introduction

Large Language Model (LLM) based on Transformers[1, 2, 3] has succeeded in the applications of Natural Language Processing (NLP). However, LLMs are still limited by the maximum supported context length. Therefore, research on length extrapolation has emerged, enabling LLM to maintain strong performance on context lengths beyond its training length[4, 5]. Traditional methods are based on full attention[5, 6, 7, 8], and mainly focus on adjusting the position embedding[9] to make LLM adapt to the position information it has not been trained on[5, 6, 10]. However, some studies have shown that even if the position information is not out-of-distribution (OOD), as the length of attention scope increases, the explosion of self-attention entropy still leads to performance decline[11, 12]. Additionally, the enormous memory and computational overhead introduced by full attention in a long context has become a major challenge for length extrapolation researches[13, 14, 15].

For human beings, although we have very long-term memories, we only need a small amount of information for thinking. Similarly, while LLM requires the full context to complete the entire inference process, it only needs limited context information at each inference step. This fact has inspired research on length extrapolation with sparse attention. Fixed sparse methods like StreamingLLM[14] and LM-Infinite[11] can maintain stable outputs when the input length increases by only retaining the starting and ending parts of the input. Comparatively, dynamic sparse methods like MInference[16] have achieved efficient inference on long contexts, even surpassing the performance of full attention. However, the fixed methods drop the contextual information directly, still leading to a performance decline in long-context benchmark[15, 17], while the dynamic methods, though able to process long contexts, neglect that sparse attention itself is also a strategy for extending context length[18, 19].

* Work in progress.

† Equal contribution.

In this work, we introduce LongCache, a training-free method to extend LLM with a finite context length to process an infinite context, allowing LLMs to bid farewell to the length extrapolation problems. At each reasoning step, LongCache selects the most critical finite segments from the KV cache without position information, concatenates them, applies positional embedding, and performs self-attention. By controlling the length of the selected KV cache segments, LongCache can achieve infinite context length with a finite attention scope, while ensuring the position information and attention entropy are not out-of-distribution[11]. Compared to the length extrapolation based on full attention, LongCache also provides significant space for efficiency improvements[16]. Our contributions can be summarized as follows.

- We find that the attention score without position embedding is effective enough to identify the key information in a long context.
- Based on this observation, we propose a training-free method, LongCache, which can extrapolate the context length of LLMs to infinity with a finite attention scope, making LLMs completely free from the issue of length extrapolation.
- We show that through LongCache, the context of existing mainstream LLMs, including LLaMA3-8B-8K[20] and Mistral-v0.3-7B-32K[21], can be expanded to at least 400K tokens. After hardware acceleration, it is expected to reach at least 1M tokens.

2 Method

LongCache builds upon streaming input and makes a very simple modification to the original self-attention operation in LLMs, as shown in Figure 1. This modification includes two steps: a full-context cache selection and the training-free integration.




Figure 1: Visualization of the working principle of LongCache. In this example, we set the global length to 1, the local length to 2, the number of top- k selected segments $k = 2$, and the selected segment length $m = 1$. In Figure 1a, the top- k selection is performed on the query vector q_t and the middle part of K Cache, to find the critical K and their corresponding V. In Figure 1b, the extracted KV entries are concatenated with the global and local preservation, with position embedding applied in sequence order before performing normal self-attention calculation.

2.1 Full-Context Cache Selection

As discussed above, while LLM requires a complete long context to perform the entire inference process, a limited context segment is needed in each inference step[14, 18, 19]. Considering that the beginning and ending parts of the input context correspond to the globally important prompts and local information for inference[14], LongCache, similar to StreamingLLM[14], retains the global and local parts of the KV cache to be included in the self-attention transform.

$$\mathcal{K}_{\text{cache}} = [\mathcal{K}_{\text{global}}, \mathcal{K}_{\text{middle}}, \mathcal{K}_{\text{local}}], \quad \mathcal{V}_{\text{cache}} = [\mathcal{V}_{\text{global}}, \mathcal{V}_{\text{middle}}, \mathcal{V}_{\text{local}}]. \quad (1)$$

However, unlike StreamingLLM which completely discards the middle part of the KV cache, LongCache uses the query vector of the current step to perform a top- k selection on the middle part of the

KV cache[22], to identify the most important cache segments for current step as shown in Figure 1a.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Indices} &= \text{top-}k \left(q_t \mathcal{K}_{\text{middle}}^T \right), \\ \mathcal{K}_{\text{select}} &= \mathcal{K}_{\text{middle}}[\text{Indices}], \quad \mathcal{V}_{\text{select}} = \mathcal{V}_{\text{middle}}[\text{Indices}]. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

LongCache performs a full-context selection on the KV cache in each layer, allowing different layers to choose different KV caches for the calculation, realizing a dynamic sparse attention mechanism. Furthermore, since each attention layer has multiple attention heads, and LongCache adopts chunked streaming input in the prefilling stage, there may be multiple query vectors at the same time. In this case, LongCache will vote based on the top- k selection results from different heads and different query vectors, to obtain the top- k' most selected KV caches. Additionally, to maintain semantic coherence, LongCache not only retains the top- k' elements themselves but also extracts the m neighboring entries as a whole. For the overlapping parts, deduplication is performed. Unlike chunk-based selection in previous works[18, 19], LongCache exhibits stronger adaptability and avoids the semantic fragmentation caused by fixed chunking[23].

2.2 Training-Free Integration

LongCache concatenates the selected KV cache segments between the global and local parts, and then performs positional embedding sequentially, ignoring the absolute distance between the selected segments, while only preserving the relative order, as shown in Figure1b. Self-attention can then be applied to the concatenated KV cache.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{\text{cache}} &= [\mathcal{K}_{\text{global}}, \mathcal{K}_{\text{select}}, \mathcal{K}_{\text{local}}], \quad \mathcal{V}_{\text{cache}} = [\mathcal{V}_{\text{global}}, \mathcal{V}_{\text{select}}, \mathcal{V}_{\text{local}}], \\ \tilde{q}_t, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\text{cache}} &= \text{PE}(q_t, \mathcal{K}_{\text{cache}}), \quad o_t = \text{SelfAttn}(\tilde{q}_t, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\text{cache}}, \mathcal{V}_{\text{cache}}). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

It’s important to note that, unlike the conventional implementation in Huggingface Transformers[24], that positional embedding is applied before KV caching, in LongCache, the positional embedding is separated from KV cache and performed after the KV cache selection. That is to say, the cached KV does not include the positional information. The benefit is multifold. On one hand, as mentioned in Section4, the attention score without positional embedding is more conducive to locating the key information in the context.

On the other hand, since the length of the concatenated cache is controllable, as long as it is controlled within the pre-training context length or the extrapolation upper bound (if other extrapolation methods are used concurrently), the positional encoding will not be OOD[11]. Furthermore, the selected KV cache segments are not important in the current inference step, as their self-attention scores are very small[13]. Therefore, this modification will not harm the self-attention output and can also exclude the interference of irrelevant information[13, 25]. Thus, LongCache can disregard the position information, achieve an unlimited attention context with a limited attention score without any training, and keep compatible with the existing attention acceleration methods[26, 27].

3 Experiment

3.1 Setup

We conduct experiments on LLaMA2-7B-4K[28], LLaMA3-8B-8K[20], InternLM2-7B-200K[29], InternLM2-1B-32K[29], InternLM2.5-7B-1M[30], Qwen2-7B-128K[31], Qwen2-1B-32K[31], Mistral-v0.3-7B-32K[21]. For all models, the hyper-parameters of LongCache are summarized as shown in Table1. The main reason for this setting is that it allows the attention window size close to the pre-training context length, thus adapting LLM to the length distribution learned in the pre-training stage. We use the OpenCompass[32] to conduct the following validation. All experiments are performed with FP16 precision and accelerated with FlashAttention2[27].

3.2 Long Context Benchmark Evaluation

We first evaluate all 8 LLMs on the commonly used long-context benchmark LongBench[33], with the default context length set to 32K and middle truncation. For LLaMA2-7B-4K and LLaMA3-8B-8K,

	Global Length	Local Length	Top- k	Top- k'	Segment Length	Chunk Length
LLaMA2-7B-4K	32	2048	4	48	32	512
LLaMA3-8B-8K	32	4096	4	96	32	512
others	32	8192	4	256	32	512

Table 1: The hyper-parameters used by different LLMs when applying LongCache in this paper, including the length of the global and local parts to be retained, the value of k for top- k selection for each head and each query vector, the value of k' for top- k' voting across multiple heads and query vectors, the length of the extracted segments and the length of chunk in chunk-wise streaming input. Importantly, for each LLM, the length of the attention scope in each step is within the maximum attention window. For example, for the LLaMA3-8B-8K with LongCache, the maximum attention scope size is $32 + 4096 + 96 \times 32$, which is less than the maximum supported attention window, 8192.

which have context lengths less than 32K, we report their performance at their pre-trained context lengths of 4K and 8K, respectively. We also compare the performance of LLaMA2-7B-4K and LLaMA3-8B-8K with Dynamic NTK[6] to extrapolate to the 32K context length. For the Dynamic NTK implementation, we used the default settings from the Huggingface Transformers[24], setting the scaling factor to 2 and 4, respectively. Additionally, we compared the performance of all 8 LLMs with StreamingLLM[14] as an ablation study, where the lengths of the global and local parts are the same as the LongCache settings.

	Single-Doc QA	Multi-Doc QA	Sum	Few-shot Learning	Synthetic	Code	Avg.
<i>LLaMA2-7B-4K</i>	18.59	11.54	15.19	52.35	4.84	64.21	30.38
+ Dyanmic NTK	16.14	7.89	11.61	50.58	3.68	63.34	28.15
+ StreamingLLM	15.25	8.01	11.35	48.58	5.01	60.11	27.14
+ LongCache	17.90	11.15	16.04	52.36	3.11	61.76	29.62
<i>LLaMA3-8B-8K</i>	19.33	12.79	21.03	61.51	11.26	69.82	35.23
+ Dyanmic NTK	18.49	12.78	21.62	64.85	9.97	70.22	35.68
+ StreamingLLM	15.17	8.88	18.34	58.19	7.09	70.25	32.47
+ LongCache	19.24	11.34	20.62	63.53	9.05	70.31	35.07
<i>Mistral-v0.3-7B-128K</i>	19.93	11.22	22.33	62.05	20.97	65.13	35.61
+ StreamingLLM	17.14	9.79	20.60	59.32	19.21	64.85	33.89
+ LongCache	20.20	10.69	22.42	61.57	20.58	65.32	35.49
<i>InternLM2-7B-200K</i>	43.40	37.12	23.86	60.11	39.67	63.01	45.52
+ StreamingLLM	23.28	19.68	22.79	57.98	18.88	63.84	36.42
+ LongCache	43.43	36.28	23.80	59.62	38.67	63.42	45.25
<i>InternLM2-1B-32K</i>	29.57	25.64	20.30	51.27	3.63	55.31	33.14
+ StreamingLLM	20.92	17.63	19.59	49.39	3.01	55.42	29.93
+ LongCache	30.86	26.67	20.69	51.34	3.34	55.32	33.56
<i>InternLM2.5-7B-1M</i>	44.28	41.06	23.16	61.88	63.17	52.70	47.30
+ StreamingLLM	15.99	10.08	21.41	41.11	35.95	51.84	30.21
+ LongCache	44.21	41.19	23.19	62.14	63.33	52.61	47.37
<i>Qwen2-7B-128K</i>	43.29	16.35	26.28	63.94	21.50	68.44	41.91
+ StreamingLLM	11.40	11.38	23.29	40.88	13.64	68.01	30.57
+ LongCache	42.89	16.22	26.11	64.16	21.00	68.45	41.77
<i>Qwen2-1B-32K</i>	24.06	12.95	23.43	58.17	10.17	58.07	33.23
+ StreamingLLM	13.94	8.47	22.37	38.04	8.59	58.31	27.16
+ LongCache	24.47	13.41	23.44	58.26	10.33	58.53	33.51

Table 2: Results of existing mainstream LLMs, including LLaMA3-8B-8K[20] and Mistral-v0.3-7B-32K[21] on LongBench[33]. LongCache achieves a consistent superiority over StreamingLLM[14]. It also shows comparable performance with full attention for long-context LLMs or short-context LLMs with Dynamic NTK[6].

	Closed-Ended Task	Open-Ended Tasks		Avg.
		QA	Summary	
<i>Mistral-v0.3-7B-32K</i>	41.92	3.94	4.98	16.95
+ StreamingLLM	36.44	4.27	5.06	15.26
+ LongCache	41.90	3.68	4.68	16.75
<i>InternLM2-7B-200K</i>	50.62	4.71	3.54	19.62
+ StreamingLLM	47.70	4.27	3.52	18.50
+ LongCache	50.15	4.56	3.80	19.50
<i>InternLM2-1B-32K</i>	32.35	6.36	6.66	15.12
+ StreamingLLM	29.95	5.28	7.03	14.09
+ LongCache	32.06	5.59	6.89	14.85
<i>InternLM2.5-7B-1M</i>	58.08	16.40	16.91	30.47
+ StreamingLLM	52.76	15.63	16.32	28.23
+ LongCache	58.74	16.09	16.74	30.52
<i>Qwen2-7B-128K</i>	55.84	16.81	17.50	30.05
+ StreamingLLM	52.19	15.74	16.99	28.31
+ LongCache	55.62	16.72	17.58	29.97
<i>Qwen2-1B-32K</i>	40.22	14.35	14.32	22.96
+ StreamingLLM	36.45	13.88	13.80	21.38
+ LongCache	39.99	14.64	14.31	22.98

Table 3: Results of LLMs with context length no less than 32K on L-Eval[34]. LongCache also achieves a consistent superiority over StreamingLLM and comparable performance with full attention.

As shown in Table2, LongCache outperforms StreamingLLM across all 8 models, indicating that the full-context selection acquires useful information for long-context inference. Furthermore, for models with long-context capabilities, LongCache achieves results on par with full attention and even surpasses full attention in some cases. This validates that sparse attention can achieve performance close to full attention on downstream tasks[16]. Additionally, for the models without long-context capabilities, LongCache also achieves results comparable to Dynamic NTK. We also evaluate the 6 long-context LLMs on a more challenging long-context benchmark, L-Eval[34], and the results are shown in Table3. The effectiveness of LongCache is double-checked.

3.3 Needle-In-A-Haystack Evaluation

Building upon the long-context benchmark evaluation, we use models with strong retrieval capabilities within their training context lengths and conduct the Needle-In-A-Haystack evaluation[32, 35]. We perform experiments on 4 A100 GPUs and allow LLMs with LongCache to extend their context lengths until the memory explosions or runtime errors. As shown in Figure2, LLMs with LongCache maintain remarkably high retrieval accuracy across the entire range of context lengths they could support, regardless of their original attention window sizes. Notably, we also extend the context of mainstream LLMs like LLaMA3-8B-8K[20] and Mistral-v0.3-7B-32K[21] to at least 400K, providing the community with an embarrassingly easy yet effective solution for deploying long-context LLMs.

We also compare the performance of LongCache with the mainstream extrapolation approach, Dynamic NTK[6], on the Multi-Needle-In-A-Haystack evaluation[3, 32]. Since this task requires stronger instruction-following capabilities, we performed the comparison on the LLaMA3-Instruct-8B-8K[20] as shown in Figure3. While LongCache shows some fluctuations in different context lengths, it still maintains a clear advantage over Dynamic NTK, which shows a rapid decline beyond a certain context length. This demonstrates that LongCache can extend the context length disregarding the position information and utilize a finite attention window to achieve an infinite attention context.

4 Discussion

In the computation process of LongCache, the full-context cache selection is based on the dot product between the query and key vectors without position embedding. This can create a gap between the




Figure 2: Results of LongCache-enhanced existing mainstream LLMs, including LLaMA3-8B-8K and Mistral-v0.3-7B-32K, on Needle-In-A-Haystack[35] implemented in OpenCompass[32].





Figure 3: Results of LLaMA3-Instruct-8B-8K[20] enhanced by LongCache and Dynamic NTK on Multi-Needle-In-A-Haystack[3] implemented in OpenCompass[32].

selection of the KV cache and the self-attention distribution. Therefore, here raises the question of whether using semantic vectors without position information can effectively locate the critical information in the context. To analyze this, we select a correct case and a wrong case from the results of Needle-In-A-Haystack evaluation[32, 35] on InternLM2-7B-200K[29] with full attention in 32K context length. For each case, we calculate both the attention distribution with position embedding, i.e., the real self-attention distribution, and the attention distribution without position embedding.



(a) Attention distribution of a correct case with position embedding. (b) Attention distribution of a correct case without position embedding. (c) Attention distribution of a wrong case with position embedding. (d) Attention distribution of a wrong case without position embedding.

Figure 4: Visualization of the attention distributions for InternLM2-7B-200K[29] evaluated on Needle-In-A-Haystack[32, 35] in 32K context length. In each sub-figure, each heatmap represents the attention distribution of a single layer, with the y-axis corresponding to the 32 attention heads and the x-axis corresponding to the 32K context length. The first 32 tokens, the instruction part, and the last 6 tokens, the generated part, are not excluded. The values in the heatmaps represent the cumulative self-attention distributions for each token generation. The brighter the color, the higher the cumulative attention. A pooling operation with a kernel size of 100 is applied sequentially to show the pattern more clearly. The red box represents the position of the "needle" in the context.

For the correct case, the attention distributions, both with and without position embedding, can locate the position of the "needle" in the "haystack". However, the attention distribution with position embedding appears more dispersed, and this effect becomes more pronounced in the higher layers of the model. For the wrong case, the attention distribution with position embedding is also very dispersed and highly influenced by a particular attention head misled by irrelevant information from the lower layers. This ultimately results in the model's failure.

Interestingly, when observing the attention distribution without position embedding, we find that the model can identify the position of the "needle" using the inner product of semantic vectors and effectively filter out a large amount of irrelevant noise. Practically, the LongCache-enhanced InternLM2-7B-200K can successfully locate the "needle" in this case. Therefore, the inner product of semantic vectors in the cache selection is reasonable, and it proves to be more effective in finding the relevant context compared with position-aware inner products. Additionally, due to the absence of position embedding in the KV cache, LongCache can achieve an effective context extension.

5 Related Work

Length extrapolation is an important issue for LLMs. ALiBi[4] first proposes the concept of length extrapolation, training on short context, and maintaining good performance in longer context. The mainstream extrapolation research mainly focuses on adjusting the Rotary Position Embedding (RoPE)[9]. For example, Linear PI[5] first achieves the length extrapolation in LLMs by scaling the position indices to the pre-training range with little fine-tuning. The NTK method[6, 8, 36] then adjusts the rotary base in RoPE[9] to achieve plug-and-play length extrapolation. Subsequently, amplifying the rotary base and training on longer lengths has become the dominant approach for length extrapolation[7, 37, 38, 39], but these methods all have an explicit extrapolation upper bound. In addition, ReRoPE[10], Self-Extend[40], and ChunkLLaMA[41] also achieve plug-and-play extrapolation by adjusting the position indices. However, all of the above methods are based on full

attention, facing the problem of attention entropy soaring with input length, thus still not achieving unlimited context length[11, 12].

In contrast, another line of research has tried to extend the context length of models through sparse attention. This line of work can be traced back to the sliding window method proposed concurrently with ALiBi[4] and xPos[42]. Subsequently, the Parallel Context Window emerged for specific scenarios such as in-context learning[43, 44]. Considering the self-attention distribution tends to focus on the global and local context, StreamingLLM and LM-Infinite proposed the Λ -shaped attention window to achieve almost unlimited input length[11, 14]. However, since the input is accompanied by discarding the previous context, it still fails to extend the context length[17]. Based on StreamingLLM, InfLLM[19] and LongHeads[18] attempt to extend context through chunk-wise retrieval from the middle cache, but the semantic fragmentation and block representation issues also affect downstream task performance[23]. Recently, MInference[16] proposes a dynamic sparse method to achieve long-context performance close to full attention. While greatly improving efficiency, MInference overlooks sparse attention as a method to extend attention context. In contrast, we propose LongCache, which also uses sparse attention but achieves an infinite context with a finite attention scope, making LLMs free from the challenge of length extrapolation. We will subsequently perform the same GPU optimization as MInference.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we introduce LongCache, an embarrassingly easy but highly effective method to extend the context length of LLMs. LongCache employs full-context cache selection and training-free integration, using a finite attention window to realize an infinite context length in each inference step. We evaluate the performance of 8 popular models on LongBench and LEval to validate the long-context capabilities of LongCache. For LLMs without long context, LongCache can achieve the same extrapolation effect as the dominant Dynamic NTK method. For long-context LLMs, LongCache is on par with full attention in performance. LongCache has been shown to successfully extend the context of mainstream LLMs, including LLaMA3-8B-8K and Mistral-v0.3-7B-32K, to up to 400K tokens. We plan to optimize the efficiency of LongCache in a GPU-aware manner.

References

- [1] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. In Isabelle Guyon, Ulrike von Luxburg, Samy Bengio, Hanna M. Wallach, Rob Fergus, S. V. N. Vishwanathan, and Roman Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2017, December 4-9, 2017, Long Beach, CA, USA*, pages 5998–6008, 2017. URL <https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2017/hash/3f5ee243547dee91fbd053c1c4a845aa-Abstract.html>.
- [2] OpenAI. Gpt-4 technical report. Technical report, 2023.
- [3] Machel Reid, Nikolay Savinov, Denis Teplyashin, Dmitry Lepikhin, Timothy Lillicrap, Jean-baptiste Alayrac, Radu Soricut, Angeliki Lazaridou, Orhan Firat, Julian Schrittwieser, et al. Gemini 1.5: Unlocking multimodal understanding across millions of tokens of context. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.05530*, 2024.
- [4] Ofir Press, Noah A. Smith, and Mike Lewis. Train short, test long: Attention with linear biases enables input length extrapolation. In *The Tenth International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2022, Virtual Event, April 25-29, 2022*. OpenReview.net, 2022. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=R8sQPpGCv0>.
- [5] Shouyuan Chen, Sherman Wong, Liangjian Chen, and Yuandong Tian. Extending context window of large language models via positional interpolation. *CoRR*, abs/2306.15595, 2023. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2306.15595. URL <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2306.15595>.
- [6] bloc97. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, July 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/14mrgpr/dynamically_scaled_rope_further_increases/.

- [7] Xiaoran Liu, Hang Yan, Shuo Zhang, Chenxin An, Xipeng Qiu, and Dahua Lin. Scaling laws of rope-based extrapolation. *CoRR*, abs/2310.05209, 2023. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2310.05209. URL <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2310.05209>.
- [8] Bowen Peng, Jeffrey Quesnelle, Honglu Fan, and Enrico Shippole. Yarn: Efficient context window extension of large language models. *CoRR*, abs/2309.00071, 2023. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2309.00071. URL <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2309.00071>.
- [9] Jianlin Su, Yu Lu, Shengfeng Pan, Bo Wen, and Yunfeng Liu. Roformer: Enhanced transformer with rotary position embedding. *CoRR*, abs/2104.09864, 2021. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.09864>.
- [10] Jianlin Su. Rerope: Rectified rotary position embeddings, July 2023. URL <https://github.com/bojone/rerope>.
- [11] Chi Han, Qifan Wang, Wenhan Xiong, Yu Chen, Heng Ji, and Sinong Wang. Lm-infinite: Simple on-the-fly length generalization for large language models. *CoRR*, abs/2308.16137, 2023. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2308.16137. URL <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2308.16137>.
- [12] Jie Wang, Tao Ji, Yuanbin Wu, Hang Yan, Tao Gui, Qi Zhang, Xuanjing Huang, and Xiaoling Wang. Length generalization of causal transformers without position encoding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.12224*, 2024.
- [13] Zhenyu Zhang, Ying Sheng, Tianyi Zhou, Tianlong Chen, Lianmin Zheng, Ruisi Cai, Zhao Song, Yuandong Tian, Christopher Ré, Clark Barrett, et al. H2o: Heavy-hitter oracle for efficient generative inference of large language models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- [14] Guangxuan Xiao, Yuandong Tian, Beidi Chen, Song Han, and Mike Lewis. Efficient streaming language models with attention sinks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.17453*, 2023.
- [15] Peitian Zhang, Zheng Liu, Shitao Xiao, Ninglu Shao, Qiwei Ye, and Zhicheng Dou. Soaring from 4k to 400k: Extending llm’s context with activation beacon. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.03462*, 2024.
- [16] Huiqiang Jiang, Yucheng Li, Chengruidong Zhang, Qianhui Wu, Xufang Luo, Surin Ahn, Zhenhua Han, Amir H Abdi, Dongsheng Li, Chin-Yew Lin, et al. Minference 1.0: Accelerating pre-filling for long-context llms via dynamic sparse attention. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.02490*, 2024.
- [17] Harry Dong, Xinyu Yang, Zhenyu Zhang, Zhangyang Wang, Yuejie Chi, and Beidi Chen. Get more with less: Synthesizing recurrence with kv cache compression for efficient llm inference. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.09398*, 2024.
- [18] Yi Lu, Xin Zhou, Wei He, Jun Zhao, Tao Ji, Tao Gui, Qi Zhang, and Xuanjing Huang. Longheads: Multi-head attention is secretly a long context processor. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.10685*, 2024.
- [19] Chaojun Xiao, Pengle Zhang, Xu Han, Guangxuan Xiao, Yankai Lin, Zhengyan Zhang, Zhiyuan Liu, Song Han, and Maosong Sun. Inllm: Unveiling the intrinsic capacity of llms for understanding extremely long sequences with training-free memory. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.04617*, 2024.
- [20] AI Meta. Introducing meta llama 3: The most capable openly available llm to date. *Meta AI*, 2024.
- [21] mistralai. Mistral-7b-v0.3, May 2024. URL <https://huggingface.co/mistralai/mistral-7b-v0.3>.
- [22] Luka Ribar, Ivan Chelombiev, Luke Hudlass-Galley, Charlie Blake, Carlo Luschi, and Douglas Orr. Sparq attention: Bandwidth-efficient llm inference. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.04985*, 2023.

- [23] Kun Luo, Zheng Liu, Shitao Xiao, and Kang Liu. Bge landmark embedding: A chunking-free embedding method for retrieval augmented long-context large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.11573*, 2024.
- [24] Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Rémi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, Joe Davison, Sam Shleifer, Patrick von Platen, Clara Ma, Yacine Jernite, Julien Plu, Canwen Xu, Teven Le Scao, Sylvain Gugger, Mariama Drame, Quentin Lhoest, and Alexander M. Rush. Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*, pages 38–45, Online, October 2020. Association for Computational Linguistics. URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/2020.emnlp-demos.6>.
- [25] Suyu Ge, Yunan Zhang, Liyuan Liu, Minjia Zhang, Jiawei Han, and Jianfeng Gao. Model tells you what to discard: Adaptive kv cache compression for llms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.01801*, 2023.
- [26] Tri Dao, Daniel Y. Fu, Stefano Ermon, Atri Rudra, and Christopher Ré. Flashattention: Fast and memory-efficient exact attention with io-awareness. In Sanmi Koyejo, S. Mohamed, A. Agarwal, Danielle Belgrave, K. Cho, and A. Oh, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2022, NeurIPS 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, November 28 - December 9, 2022*, 2022. URL http://papers.nips.cc/paper_files/paper/2022/hash/67d57c32e20fd0a7a302cb81d36e40d5-Abstract-Conference.html.
- [27] Tri Dao. Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. *CoRR*, abs/2307.08691, 2023. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2307.08691. URL <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2307.08691>.
- [28] Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shruti Bhosale, Dan Bikel, Lukas Blecher, Cristian Canton-Ferrer, Moya Chen, Guillem Cucurull, David Esiobu, Jude Fernandes, Jeremy Fu, Wenyin Fu, Brian Fuller, Cynthia Gao, Vedanuj Goswami, Naman Goyal, Anthony Hartshorn, Saghar Hosseini, Rui Hou, Hakan Inan, Marcin Kardas, Viktor Kerkez, Madian Khabsa, Isabel Kloumann, Artem Korenev, Punit Singh Koura, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Thibaut Lavril, Jenya Lee, Diana Liskovich, Yinghai Lu, Yuning Mao, Xavier Martinet, Todor Mihaylov, Pushkar Mishra, Igor Molybog, Yixin Nie, Andrew Poulton, Jeremy Reizenstein, Rashi Rungta, Kalyan Saladi, Alan Schelten, Ruan Silva, Eric Michael Smith, Ranjan Subramanian, Xiaoqing Ellen Tan, Binh Tang, Ross Taylor, Adina Williams, Jian Xiang Kuan, Puxin Xu, Zheng Yan, Iliyan Zarov, Yuchen Zhang, Angela Fan, Melanie Kambadur, Sharan Narang, Aurélien Rodriguez, Robert Stojnic, Sergey Edunov, and Thomas Scialom. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *CoRR*, abs/2307.09288, 2023. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2307.09288. URL <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2307.09288>.
- [29] Zheng Cai, Maosong Cao, Haojiong Chen, Kai Chen, Keyu Chen, Xin Chen, Xun Chen, Zehui Chen, Zhi Chen, Pei Chu, Xiaoyi Dong, Haodong Duan, Qi Fan, Zhaoye Fei, Yang Gao, Jiaye Ge, Chenya Gu, Yuzhe Gu, Tao Gui, Aijia Guo, Qipeng Guo, Conghui He, Yingfan Hu, Ting Huang, Tao Jiang, Penglong Jiao, Zhenjiang Jin, Zhikai Lei, Jiaying Li, Jingwen Li, Linyang Li, Shuaibin Li, Wei Li, Yining Li, Hongwei Liu, Jiangning Liu, Jiawei Hong, Kaiwen Liu, Kuikun Liu, Xiaoran Liu, Chengqi Lv, Haijun Lv, Kai Lv, Li Ma, Runyuan Ma, Zerun Ma, Wenchang Ning, Linke Ouyang, Jiantao Qiu, Yuan Qu, Fukai Shang, Yunfan Shao, Demin Song, Zifan Song, Zhihao Sui, Peng Sun, Yu Sun, Huanze Tang, Bin Wang, Guoteng Wang, Jiaqi Wang, Jiayu Wang, Rui Wang, Yudong Wang, Ziyi Wang, Xingjian Wei, Qizhen Weng, Fan Wu, Yingtong Xiong, Chao Xu, Ruiliang Xu, Hang Yan, Yirong Yan, Xiaogui Yang, Haochen Ye, Huaiyuan Ying, Jia Yu, Jing Yu, Yuhang Zang, Chuyu Zhang, Li Zhang, Pan Zhang, Peng Zhang, Ruijie Zhang, Shuo Zhang, Songyang Zhang, Wenjian Zhang, Wenwei Zhang, Xingcheng Zhang, Xinyue Zhang, Hui Zhao, Qian Zhao, Xiaomeng Zhao, Fengzhe Zhou, Zaida Zhou, Jingming Zhuo, Yicheng Zou, Xipeng Qiu, Yu Qiao, and Dahua Lin. Internlm2 technical report, 2024.
- [30] InternLM. Internlm2.5-7b, July 2024. URL https://huggingface.co/internlm/internlm2_5-7b.

- [31] An Yang, Baosong Yang, Binyuan Hui, Bo Zheng, Bowen Yu, Chang Zhou, Chengpeng Li, Chengyuan Li, Dayiheng Liu, Fei Huang, Guanting Dong, Haoran Wei, Huan Lin, Jialong Tang, Jialin Wang, Jian Yang, Jianhong Tu, Jianwei Zhang, Jianxin Ma, Jin Xu, Jingren Zhou, Jinze Bai, Jinzheng He, Junyang Lin, Kai Dang, Keming Lu, Keqin Chen, Kexin Yang, Mei Li, Mingfeng Xue, Na Ni, Pei Zhang, Peng Wang, Ru Peng, Rui Men, Ruize Gao, Runji Lin, Shijie Wang, Shuai Bai, Sinan Tan, Tianhang Zhu, Tianhao Li, Tianyu Liu, Wenbin Ge, Xiaodong Deng, Xiaohuan Zhou, Xingzhang Ren, Xinyu Zhang, Xipin Wei, Xuancheng Ren, Yang Fan, Yang Yao, Yichang Zhang, Yu Wan, Yunfei Chu, Yuqiong Liu, Zeyu Cui, Zhenru Zhang, and Zhihao Fan. Qwen2 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.10671*, 2024.
- [32] OpenCompass Contributors. Opencompass: A universal evaluation platform for foundation models. <https://github.com/open-compass/opencompass>, 2023.
- [33] Yushi Bai, Xin Lv, Jiajie Zhang, Hongchang Lyu, Jiankai Tang, Zhidian Huang, Zhengxiao Du, Xiao Liu, Aohan Zeng, Lei Hou, et al. Longbench: A bilingual, multitask benchmark for long context understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.14508*, 2023.
- [34] Chenxin An, Shansan Gong, Ming Zhong, Mukai Li, Jun Zhang, Lingpeng Kong, and Xipeng Qiu. L-eval: Instituting standardized evaluation for long context language models. *CoRR*, abs/2307.11088, 2023. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2307.11088. URL <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2307.11088>.
- [35] Contributors. Needle in a haystack - pressure testing llms. https://github.com/gkamradt/LLMTest_NeedleInAHaystack, 2023.
- [36] bloc97. Ntk-aware scaled rope allows llama models to have extended (8k+) context size without any fine-tuning and minimal perplexity degradation., June 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/14lz7j5/ntkaware_scaled_rope_allows_llama_models_to_have/.
- [37] Baptiste Rozière, Jonas Gehring, Fabian Gloeckle, Sten Sootla, Itai Gat, Xiaoqing Ellen Tan, Yossi Adi, Jingyu Liu, Tal Remez, Jérémy Rapin, Artyom Kozhevnikov, Ivan Evtimov, Joanna Bitton, Manish Bhatt, Cristian Canton-Ferrer, Aaron Grattafiori, Wenhan Xiong, Alexandre Défossez, Jade Copet, Faisal Azhar, Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Nicolas Usunier, Thomas Scialom, and Gabriel Synnaeve. Code llama: Open foundation models for code. *CoRR*, abs/2308.12950, 2023. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2308.12950. URL <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2308.12950>.
- [38] Wenhan Xiong, Jingyu Liu, Igor Molybog, Hejia Zhang, Prajjwal Bhargava, Rui Hou, Louis Martin, Rashi Rungta, Karthik Abinav Sankararaman, Barlas Oguz, et al. Effective long-context scaling of foundation models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.16039*, 2023.
- [39] Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. Longrope: Extending llm context window beyond 2 million tokens. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.13753*, 2024.
- [40] Hongye Jin, Xiaotian Han, Jingfeng Yang, Zhimeng Jiang, Zirui Liu, Chia-Yuan Chang, Huiyuan Chen, and Xia Hu. Llm maybe longlm: Self-extend llm context window without tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.01325*, 2024.
- [41] Chenxin An, Fei Huang, Jun Zhang, Shansan Gong, Xipeng Qiu, Chang Zhou, and Lingpeng Kong. Training-free long-context scaling of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.17463*, 2024.
- [42] Yutao Sun, Li Dong, Barun Patra, Shuming Ma, Shaohan Huang, Alon Benhaim, Vishrav Chaudhary, Xia Song, and Furu Wei. A length-extrapolatable transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.10554*, 2022.
- [43] Nir Ratner, Yoav Levine, Yonatan Belinkov, Ori Ram, Omri Abend, Ehud Karpas, Amnon Shashua, Kevin Leyton-Brown, and Yoav Shoham. Parallel context windows improve in-context learning of large language models. *CoRR*, abs/2212.10947, 2022. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2212.10947. URL <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2212.10947>.
- [44] Jianlin Su. Nbcce: Naive bayes-based context extension, May 2023. URL <https://kexue.fm/archives/9617>.