

---

# ChatQA 2: Bridging the Gap to Proprietary LLMs in Long Context and RAG Capabilities

---

Peng Xu\*, Wei Ping\*, Xianchao Wu, Zihan Liu, Mohammad Shoeybi, Bryan Catanzaro

NVIDIA

\*{pengx, wping}@nvidia.com

## Abstract

In this work, we introduce ChatQA 2, a Llama3-based model designed to bridge the gap between open-access LLMs and leading proprietary models (e.g., GPT-4-Turbo) in long-context understanding and retrieval-augmented generation (RAG) capabilities. These two capabilities are essential for LLMs to process large volumes of information that cannot fit into a single prompt and are complementary to each other, depending on the downstream tasks and computational budgets. We present a detailed continued training recipe to extend the context window of Llama3-70B-base from 8K to 128K tokens, along with a three-stage instruction tuning process to enhance the model’s instruction-following, RAG performance, and long-context understanding capabilities. Our results demonstrate that the Llama3-ChatQA-2-70B model achieves accuracy comparable to GPT-4-Turbo-2024-0409 on many long-context understanding tasks and surpasses it on the RAG benchmark. Interestingly, we find that the long-context retriever can alleviate the top- $k$  context fragmentation issue in RAG, further improving RAG-based results for long-context understanding tasks. We also provide extensive comparisons between RAG and long-context solutions using state-of-the-art long-context LLMs.

## 1 Introduction

The open LLM community has made significant progress in advancing the capabilities of open-access large language models (LLMs), including Llama-3-70B-Instruct (Meta-AI, 2024), QWen2-72B-Instruct (Alibaba-QWen, 2024), Nemotron-4-340B-Instruct (Nvidia et al., 2024), and Mixtral-8x22B-Instruct-v0.1 (Mistral, 2024). However, performance gaps compared to frontier proprietary models, e.g., GPT-4-Turbo (OpenAI, 2023), still exist in many domains. Additionally, open-access models focused on key domains have been developed, such as DeepSeek-Coder-V2 (Zhu et al., 2024) for coding and math, ChatQA 1.5 (Liu et al., 2024) for conversational QA and retrieval-augmented generation (RAG), and InternVL 1.5 (Chen et al., 2024) for vision-language tasks, which can be on par with GPT-4-Turbo-2024-04-09 (OpenAI, 2023) in the certain domains.

In recent developments, the trend of extending the context window length in LLMs has gained remarkable traction within both the industrial and research communities. All leading proprietary LLMs support very large context window, allowing them to accommodate several hundred pages of text in a single prompt. For example, GPT-4 Turbo (OpenAI, 2023) and Claude 3.5 Sonnet offer a 128K and 200K context window, respectively. Meanwhile, Gemini 1.5 Pro (Gemini-Team, 2024) impressively supports up to a 10M context. Open-access LLMs have also made significant strides to keep up (01.AI et al., 2024; Alibaba-QWen, 2024). For instance, QWen2-72B-Instruct (Alibaba-QWen, 2024) and Yi-34B (01.AI et al., 2024) support 128K and 200K context windows, respectively. However, the training data and technical details for these models are missing, making reproduction challenging. In addition, these models have mostly been evaluated on synthetic tasks, like Needle in a Haystack (Kamradt, 2023) test, which does not accurately represent real-world downstream task performance. For example, previous studies shows a noticeable gap between open-access LLMs

and leading proprietary models on real-world long context understanding tasks (Zhang et al., 2024a; Hsieh et al., 2024). In this work, we focus on bridging the gap between the open-access Llama-3 and proprietary GPT-4 Turbo on real-world long context understanding tasks.

The long-context capability of LLMs is sometimes considered a rival technique to retrieval-augmented generation (RAG). However, from a pragmatic perspective, these techniques complement each other. An LLM with a long context window can either process large volumes of text as a prompt or utilize retrieval methods to efficiently extract relevant information from the extensive text, depending on the downstream tasks and accuracy vs. efficiency trade-offs. RAG has efficiency advantages and can easily retrieve relevant contexts for query-based tasks (e.g. QA) from billions of tokens, a feat that long context models cannot achieve. Meanwhile, long context models are good at tasks such as summarizing entire documents, where RAG may not perform as well. As a result, the state-of-the-art LLM needs to excel at both capabilities, providing options for different downstream tasks based on accuracy and efficiency requirements. In a previous study, the open-source ChatQA 1.5 (Liu et al., 2024) model can surpass GPT-4-Turbo on RAG tasks. In this work, we present ChatQA 2, which possesses both GPT-4-Turbo level long context understanding capability and RAG performance.

Xu et al. (2024) extended the context window of Llama2 (Touvron et al., 2023b) to 16K and 32K tokens, and studied the interplay between RAG and long-context LLMs. It demonstrates that the RAG method can improve the generation accuracy and inference efficiency of a GPT-3.5-turbo-16k level long context model for QA and query-based summarization tasks. In this work, we extend this study by pushing the long context LLM to GPT-4-Turbo level with 128K context window and combining it with a state-of-the-art long-context retriever for RAG.

Specifically, we make the following contributions:

1. We present a two-step approach to establish the long context capability of Llama3-70B. First, we extend Llama3-70B base’s context window from 8K to 128K by continually pretraining it on a mix of SlimPajama (Soboleva et al., 2023) with upsampled long sequences (Fu et al., 2024). Then, we apply a three-stage instruction tuning process on curated datasets to enhance the instruction-following, RAG, and long context understanding capabilities at each respective stage. We find that stage-wise instruction-tuning, by incorporating previous datasets, simplifies experimentation and hyperparameter tuning. This approach enhances long context capabilities while maintaining RAG performance.
2. We demonstrate that the resulting Llama3-ChatQA-2-70B-128K can be on par or slightly worse than GPT-4-Turbo-2024-04-09 on many real-world long context understanding tasks. In addition, it outperforms GPT-4-Turbo-2024-04-09 on RAG and conversational QA tasks.
3. The current RAG pipeline has limitations that can undermine downstream task accuracy: *i*) top- $k$  chunk-wise retrieval introduces fragmentation of context; and *ii*) a small top- $k$  leads to low recall, while a larger  $k$  introduces too much irrelevant context to the LLM (e.g., see the analysis in Figure 1 of Yu et al., 2024). We find that the state-of-the-art long-context retriever (Wang et al., 2023c; Lee et al., 2024) can largely alleviate these issues and further improve the RAG-based results for long-context understanding tasks.
4. In the comparison between RAG and long-context results, we find that the GPT-4-Turbo level long-context model (including our Llama3-ChatQA-2-70B) outperforms the RAG on 32K benchmarks but still underperforms compared to RAG methods on real-world 128K tasks.

We organize the rest of the paper as follows. We discuss related work in § 2. We introduce the continued pretraining for context window extension in § 3 and the three-stage instruction tuning in § 4. We report results in § 7 and conclude the paper in § 8.

## 2 Related Work

### 2.1 Long Context LLM

The trend of extending the context window in LLM starts by Claude with 100K token context (Anthropic, 2023). Although the underlying long context techniques behind proprietary models are unclear, the open LLM and research community has developed many methods to extend the context window of LLMs through continued training or fine-tuning (Kaiokendev, 2023; Nijkamp et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2023a; Tworowski et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2023b; Peng et al., 2023; Xiong et al., 2023;

Fu et al., 2024), especially for open-access LLMs (Touvron et al., 2023a,b) based on rotary position embedding (RoPE) (Su et al., 2024).

There are two popular approaches to adapt RoPE for long-context inputs: position interpolation (Chen et al., 2023a) and increasing the base frequency  $\theta$  of RoPE (Xiong et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2023b). Recently, Yi-34B (01.AI et al., 2024) was pretrained with a sequence length of 4K, and its context window was extended to 200K by increasing the RoPE  $\theta$  from 10,000 to 5M during continued pretraining. Qwen2-72B-Instruct (Alibaba-QWen, 2024) was trained on 32K-length contexts and extrapolated to a 128K context length using YaRN (Peng et al., 2023). Instead of extending the context window of the base model then applying instruction tuning, GradientAI (2024) directly fine-tunes the Llama-3-Instruct, which uses NTK-aware interpolation (Peng et al., 2023) and the formula from Liu et al. (2023b) to scale up  $\theta$ .

## 2.2 Retrieval-augmented Generation (RAG)

Retrieval with a standalone retriever (e.g., Karpukhin et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2022; Lin et al., 2023; Lee et al., 2024) is a long-standing solution for handling long texts that cannot fit into the context window of language models. In previous work, various retrieval-augmented language models have been proposed (Nakano et al., 2021; Borgeaud et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2023b,a; Guu et al., 2020; Izacard & Grave, 2021; Izacard et al., 2022; Lewis et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2023; Khandelwal et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2024).

Previous dense-embedding-based retrievers only supported limited context windows (e.g., 512 tokens) (e.g., Karpukhin et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2022; Lin et al., 2023). In top- $k$  chunk-wise retrieval, the short chunk size increases context fragmentation. As a result, extending the context window of retrievers has become popular. For example, Jina Embeddings 2 (Günther et al., 2023) and Nomic Embed (Nussbaum et al., 2024) support 8K tokens, while E5-mistral-7B (Wang et al., 2023c) and NV-Embed Lee et al. (2024) support 32K tokens.

## 3 Extending Context Window to 128K

In this section, we present the method to extend the context window from 8K to 128K for Llama3. We prepare our long context pretraining corpus from the Slimpajama (Soboleva et al., 2023) following Fu et al. (2024). We upsample long-context documents with the hyperparameter set as 0.1 to produce 10 billion tokens with sequence length of 128k. Since Llama3 is pretrained with a much higher RoPE base frequency of 500,000 compared to Llama2, we increased the RoPE base frequency to 150M accordingly. We set the batch size to 32 to fit 4 million tokens in a batch and use a learning rate of  $3e-5$  to train 2000 steps (8B tokens in total).

Interestingly, we found it more effective to separate different documents using special characters, such as "<s>", rather than the reserved beginning and ending tokens <BOS> and <EOS>. We hypothesize that the <BOS> and <EOS> tokens in Llama3 signal the model to ignore previous chunks of text after pretraining, which is not helpful for the LLMs to adapt for longer context inputs.

## 4 Instruction-Tuning with Long Context Data

In this section, we present the instruction tuning method designed to enhance both long context understanding capability and RAG performance.

Specifically, we implement three stages of instruction-tuning. For the first two stages, we follow ChatQA 1.5 (Liu et al., 2024), where the model is initially trained on 128k high-quality instruction-following datasets, and then trained on a blend of conversational QA data with provided context. However, these two stages involve relatively short contexts, with a maximum sequence length of only 4K tokens. To enhance our model’s capability to handle very long context sequences up to 128k tokens, we collect a long SFT dataset.

This dataset is collected through two categories: 1) For SFT data sequences less than 32k: We leverage existing long-context datasets from LongAlpaca12k, GPT-4 samples from Open Orca<sup>1</sup>, and

<sup>1</sup><https://huggingface.co/datasets/Open-Orca/OpenOrca>

chunk-size	300	300	300	600	1200	1200
top- $k$	10	20	40	10	5	10
total tokens	3000	6000	12000	6000	6000	12000
Avg.	46.31	46.28	46.96	46.88	<b>47.08</b>	<b>47.13</b>

Table 1: Ablation of Llama3-ChatQA-2-70B with RAG given different top- $k = \{5, 10, 20, 40\}$  retrieval, and chunk-size =  $\{300, 600, 1200\}$  on medium-long context benchmarks within 32K tokens (see Section 6.2 for more details). Given the same budget of total tokens in the context window (e.g., 6000), larger chunk-size (e.g., 1200) gives better results than small chunk-size (e.g., 300 and 600). The accuracy can also improve with larger total tokens in the context window.

Long Data Collections <sup>2</sup>. 2) For sequence lengths between 32k and 128k: Since it is challenging to collect such SFT samples, we rely on synthetic datasets. We utilize NarrativeQA, which contains both the ground truth summary and semantically related paragraphs. We assemble all the related paragraphs and randomly insert the ground truth summary to simulate a real long document for its QA pairs. Both the full long SFT dataset and the short SFT dataset from the first two stages are then blended for training. We set the learning rate at  $3e-5$  and the batch size at 32.

## 5 Long Context Retriever meets Long Context LLM

As we mentioned in previous section, the current RAG pipeline for LLM has the following issues: *i)* The top- $k$  chunk-wise retrieval introduces non-negligible fragmentation of context for generating accurate answers. For example, previous state-of-the-art dense-embedding based retrievers (e.g., Li et al., 2023; Lin et al., 2023) only support 512 tokens. *ii)* Small top- $k$  (e.g., 5 or 10) usually leads to relatively low recall, while much larger  $k$  (e.g., 100) can lead to worse generation (see Table 5 in Xu et al. (2024)) as the previous LLMs could not utilize too many chunked context very well (Liu et al., 2023a). To address the issue, we propose to use the most recent long-context retriever (Wang et al., 2023c; Lee et al., 2024), which can support thousands of tokens. In our setting, we use the E5-mistral embedding model (Wang et al., 2023c) as the retriever.

Table 1 compares different chunk sizes for top- $k$  retrieval and the total number of tokens in the context window. Comparing total tokens from 3000 to 12000, we found that more tokens consistently yield better results, confirming the strong long-context capability of our model. We also found that 6000 total tokens offer a good trade-off between cost and performance. With the total number of tokens set to 6000, we discovered that larger chunk sizes give better results. Therefore, we use a chunk size of 1200 and top-5 chunks as the default in our experiments.

## 6 Evaluation Benchmarks

We compare our model against SOTA long context models: 1) GPT-4-Turbo-2024-04-09 (128K context window) (OpenAI, 2023), 2) Qwen2-72B-Instruct (128K context window) (Alibaba-Qwen, 2024), and 3) Llama-3-70B-Instruct-Gradient-262k (GradientAI, 2024).

To give a comprehensive study of different context lengths, our evaluation benchmarks covers three categories, 1) long context benchmarks beyond 100K tokens, 2) medium-long context benchmarks within 32K tokens, and 3) short context benchmarks within 4K tokens. We also apply RAG when it is applicable to the downstream tasks.

### 6.1 Long Context Benchmarks Beyond 100K Tokens

InfiniteBench (Zhang et al., 2024b) is proposed to evaluate the long context capability of LLMs over 100K sequence length. As we focus on real-world english tasks, we only take the four related tasks from the InfiniteBench, i.e. longbook summarization (En.Sum), longbook qa (En.QA), longbook multiple choice (En.MC), and longbook dialogue (En.Dia). En.Sum is a task that requires models to generate a concise summary of the given novel and is evaluated using the ROUGE-L-Sum metric (Lin,

<sup>2</sup><https://huggingface.co/datasets/togethercomputer/Long-Data-Collections>

2004). En.QA is annotated by a pipeline that ensures the questions’ necessitating of long-range dependencies and reasoning, beyond simple short passage retrieval. Aggregation reasoning and filtering reasoning are the two primary reasoning categories. F1 score is used to evaluate the quality of the answer. En.MC is annotated with the same pipeline of En.QA except that four answer choices are provided and exact matching scores are reported. En.Dia leverages movie and drama scripts from a designated online database <sup>3</sup> with long, multi-role dialogues. In this task, random instances of character names within a script are masked and the objective is to correctly identify these masked names. Exact matching score is used again to evaluate the prediction accuracy.

## 6.2 Medium-Long Context Benchmarks within 32K Tokens

We use the long context datasets (except NarrativeQA as it is included in our training) from [Xu et al. \(2024\)](#) as our benchmark for medium-long datasets within 32K. There are six datasets in total, where QMSum (QM), Qasper (QASP), QuALITY (QLTY) are token from SCROLLS and HotpotQA (HQA) MuSiQue (MSQ), MultiFieldQA-en (MFQA) are token from LongBench. Following the official metrics, we report the geometric mean of ROUGE scores (i.e., ROUGE1/2/L) ([Lin, 2004](#)) for QM, the exact matching (EM) score for QLTY, and F1 scores for the remaining four datasets QASP, MSQ, HQA and MFQA.

## 6.3 Short Context within 4K Tokens

We use ChatRAG Bench ([Liu et al., 2024](#)) as our benchmark for short context within 4k. ChatRAG bench consists of 10 datasets and we exclude HDial as it is vasincluded in our training. Following the setup of [Liu et al. \(2024\)](#), for Doc2Dial (D2D), QuAC, and QReCC task with long documents, each document is divided into segments of roughly 300 words. The top 5 relevant chunks are then retrieved as context for each user question. For TopiOCQA and INSCIT, top-20 chunks were retrieved to obtain similar context length to the first three datasets. The other four datasets are CoQA, DoQA, ConvFinQA (CFQA), and SQA, which cover a wide range of domains like finance, children’s stories, literature, mid/high school exams, news, Wikipedia and etc. We use F1 score as the metric to evaluate the generations and report the average score without HDial as it is a fair zero-shot comparisons over different models.

# 7 Results

In this section, we present the results and comparisons from extensive benchmark evaluations. We begin with the synthetic Needle in a Haystack test, then focus on real-world long context understanding and RAG tasks.

## 7.1 Needle In A Haystack

We evaluate our Llama3-ChatQA-2-70B model on the Needle In A Haystack test ([Kamradt, 2023](#)). This synthetic task is popular for testing the long-context capability of LLMs, and can be considered as a threshold level evaluation. Figure 1 demonstrates the performance of our model with up to 128K tokens, showing that our model achieves 100% accuracy. This test confirms our model’s perfect long-context retrieval capability.

## 7.2 Long Context Evaluation Beyond 100K Tokens

In this subsection, we evaluate the long context capability beyond 100K tokens on the real-world tasks from InfiniteBench ([Zhang et al., 2024a](#)). Table 2 shows that our model (34.11) outperforms many existing state-of-the-art models, such as GPT4-Turbo-2024-04-09 (33.16), GPT4-1106 preview (28.23), Llama-3-70B-Instruct-Gradient-262k (32.57) and Claude 2 (33.96). Additionally, our model is very close to the highest score of 34.88 achieved by Qwen2-72B-Instruct, confirming the competitive long-context capability of our model.

---

<sup>3</sup><https://imsdb.com>




Figure 1: Needle in A HayStack test for Llama3-ChatQA-2-70B up to 128K context window.

Model	Avg.	En.Sum	En.QA	En.MC	En.Dia
GPT-4-1106 preview	28.23	14.73	22.44	67.25	8.50
Claude 2	33.96	14.50	11.97	62.88	46.50
Kimi-Chat	29.62	17.96	16.52	72.49	11.50
Yi-34B-200K	< 15.15	< 5	12.17	38.43	< 5
Llama-3-70B-Instruct-Gradient-262k	32.57	14.27	29.52	69.00	17.50
GPT-4-Turbo-2024-04-09	33.16	17.62	19.29	77.73	18.00
w/ RAG	N/A	N/A	17.69	77.29	N/A
Qwen2-72B-Instruct	<b>34.88</b>	14.84	21.50	81.66	21.50
w/ RAG	N/A	N/A	16.48	76.86	N/A
Llama3-ChatQA-2-70B	<b>34.11</b>	16.10	44.22	64.63	11.50
w/ RAG	N/A	N/A	41.00	70.74	N/A

Table 2: Evaluation results on InfiniteBench includes real-world long-context understanding tasks beyond a 100K context window. For RAG, we use top-5 retrieved chunks, each with 1200 tokens from E5-mistral retriever (Wang et al., 2023c).

### 7.3 Medium-Long Context Evaluation within 32K Tokens

In this subsection, we evaluate the medium-long context capability within 32K tokens. Table 3 shows that GPT-4-Turbo-2024-04-09 achieves the highest score of 51.93 among all models. Our model scores 47.37, which is higher than Llama-3-70B-Instruct-Gradient-262k but is lower than Qwen2-72B-Instruct. This difference can be attributed to the extensive 32K pretraining implemented by Qwen2-72B-Instruct, while we used a much smaller continued pretraining corpus. Additionally, we found that all the RAG solutions perform worse than the long context solution, which suggest all these SOTA long context LLMs can really handle 32K tokens within their context window.

### 7.4 CHATRAG BENCH: Short Context Evaluation within 4K Tokens

In this subsection, we evaluate the models on the short context tasks within 4K tokens from CHATRAG BENCH (Liu et al., 2024). Our model achieves the average score of 54.81. Even though it is worse than Llama3-ChatQA-1.5-70B, it still outperforms GPT-4-Turbo-2024-04-09 and Qwen2-72B-Instruct. This confirms that extending short context models to long context is not a free lunch. How to effectively extend the context window to even larger scale (e.g., million tokens in Gemini 1.5 Pro (Gemini-Team, 2024)) without any degradation on regular short context tasks is an exciting research direction.

Model	Avg.	QM	QASP	QLTY	MSQ	HQA	MFQA
GPT-4-Turbo-2024-04-09	<b>51.93</b>	16.37	38.96	88.45	44.88	70.65	52.26
w/ RAG	49.84	16.07	36.18	85.85	42.17	67.85	50.94
Qwen2-72B-Instruct	49.94	17.06	34.84	84.85	46.80	65.98	50.12
w/ RAG	48.08	17.63	35.19	83.05	39.92	64.58	48.10
Llama3-ChatQA-2-70B	47.37	15.20	33.77	81.45	37.27	62.69	53.84
w/ RAG	47.08	14.71	33.25	80.45	39.45	61.04	53.58
Llama-3-70B-Instruct-Gradient-262k	40.51	20.72	30.64	74.35	20.20	45.82	51.33
w/ RAG	40.57	20.04	30.68	72.35	22.19	46.85	51.31

Table 3: Evaluation results on the medium-long context benchmarks within 32K tokens. For RAG, we use top-5 retrieved chunks, each with 1200 tokens from E5-mistral retriever (Wang et al., 2023c).

Models	Avg. w/o HDial	D2D	QuAC	QReCC	CoQA	DoQA	CFQA	SQA	TCQA	INSCIT
Llama2-Chat-70B	44.64	36.87	32.47	49.40	80.41	38.97	46.85	37.62	44.31	34.88
Llama3-Instruct-70B	52.95	37.88	36.96	51.34	76.98	41.24	76.60	69.61	49.72	36.23
Command R+	51.40	33.51	34.16	49.77	69.71	40.67	71.21	74.07	53.77	35.76
GPT-3.5-Turbo-0613	50.69	34.83	37.17	50.46	79.33	41.11	73.15	60.63	44.30	35.27
GPT-4-0613	54.35	34.16	40.29	52.01	77.42	43.39	81.28	79.21	45.09	36.34
Llama3-ChatQA-1.5-70B	<b>57.14</b>	41.26	38.82	51.40	78.44	50.76	81.88	83.82	55.63	32.31
GPT-4-Turbo-2024-04-09	<b>54.72</b>	35.35	40.10	51.46	77.73	41.60	84.16	79.98	48.32	33.75
Llama-3-70B-Instruct-Gradient-262k	45.20	34.30	24.01	49.60	73.45	25.76	54.70	63.80	46.30	34.89
Qwen2-72B-Instruct	54.06	35.76	38.48	51.21	85.04	33.89	77.52	77.06	51.64	35.90
Llama3-ChatQA-2-70B	<b>54.81</b>	40.76	38.99	47.12	72.44	51.21	78.52	78.15	55.75	30.35

Table 4: Evaluation results on CHATRAG BENCH with 9 datasets. Following (Liu et al., 2024), we exclude HDial as it is included in the instruction tuning datasets. The maximum context lengths are 4K tokens.

Top- $k$	5	10	20	30	Long Context
Llama3-ChatQA-2-70B	47.08	47.13	47.18	47.19	<b>47.37</b>

Table 5: We compare our Llama3-ChatQA-2-70B using RAG vs. direct long context evaluation on benchmarks with maximum 32K tokens inputs. RAG can be slightly worse than direct long context solution even when we increase top- $k$  chunks to 30.

	RAG (top- $k$ )	Long Context
Llama3-ChatQA-2-70B	<b>56.36</b> (5)	54.43
Qwen2-72B-Instruct	<b>52.95</b> (20)	51.58

Table 6: We compare RAG vs. long context evaluation using our Llama3-ChatQA-2-70B on tasks beyond 100k. Here we use average accuracy of En.QA and En.MC tasks that can apply RAG. The RAG-based result is still better than long context evaluation.

## 7.5 RAG vs. Long Context

In Table 5 and Table 6, we compare RAG vs. long context solutions under different context lengths. For sequence length beyond 100k, we only report the average score of En.QA and En.MC as the RAG setting is not directly applicable for En.Sum and En.Dia. We found that for downstream tasks within 32k sequence length, our long context solution is better than RAG. This means using RAG can save the cost but the accuracy will drop a bit. On the other hand, we found that for context lengths beyond 100K, RAG (using top-5 for our Llama3-ChatQA-2-70B, and top-20 for Qwen2-72B-Instruct) outperforms the full long-context solution. This indicates that even state-of-the-art long-context LLMs may struggle to effectively understand and reason over 128K tokens. In such scenarios, RAG is recommended for better accuracy and lower inference cost, provided it is applicable to the downstream tasks.

## 8 Conclusion

We introduce Llama3-ChatQA-2-70B, a long context model that possess GPT-4 Turbo-level capabilities for both understanding up to 128K long contexts and utilizing retrieved contexts for generation. This provides the flexible options for different downstream tasks with specific accuracy and efficiency requirements. We present a detailed and reproducible technical recipe for building and evaluating the model, including the methods, training data, and evaluation benchmarks. In particular, we evaluate ChatQA 2 on RAG and short-context benchmark (ChatRAG) (within 4K tokens), medium-context tasks from SCROLLS and LongBench (within 32K tokens), and long-context tasks from InfiniteBench (beyond 100K tokens). We demonstrate that the Llama3-ChatQA-2-70B can achieve GPT-4-Turbo-2024-0409 level accuracy on these benchmarks.

## References

- 01.AI, Alex Young, Bei Chen, Chao Li, Chengen Huang, Ge Zhang, Guanwei Zhang, Heng Li, Jiangcheng Zhu, Jianqun Chen, Jing Chang, et al. Yi: Open foundation models by 01. ai. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.04652*, 2024.
- Alibaba-QWen. Qwen2 technical report. 2024. URL <https://qwenlm.github.io/blog/qwen2/>.
- Anthropic. Introducing 100k context windows. <https://www.anthropic.com/index/100k-context-windows>, 2023.
- Sebastian Borgeaud, Arthur Mensch, Jordan Hoffmann, Trevor Cai, Eliza Rutherford, Katie Millican, George Bm Van Den Driessche, Jean-Baptiste Lespiau, Bogdan Damoc, Aidan Clark, et al. Improving language models by retrieving from trillions of tokens. In *ICML*, 2022.
- Shouyuan Chen, Sherman Wong, Liangjian Chen, and Yuandong Tian. Extending context window of large language models via positional interpolation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.15595*, 2023a.
- Yukang Chen, Shengju Qian, Haotian Tang, Xin Lai, Zhijian Liu, Song Han, and Jiaya Jia. Longlora: Efficient fine-tuning of long-context large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.12307*, 2023b.
- Zhe Chen, Weiyun Wang, Hao Tian, Shenglong Ye, Zhangwei Gao, Erfei Cui, Wenwen Tong, Kongzhi Hu, Jiapeng Luo, Zheng Ma, et al. How far are we to gpt-4v? closing the gap to commercial multimodal models with open-source suites. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.16821*, 2024.
- Yao Fu, Rameswar Panda, Xinyao Niu, Xiang Yue, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, Yoon Kim, and Hao Peng. Data engineering for scaling language models to 128k context. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.10171*, 2024.
- Gemini-Team. Gemini 1.5: Unlocking multimodal understanding across millions of tokens of context. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.07713*, 2024.
- GradientAI. Scaling rotational embeddings for long-context language models. <https://gradient.ai/blog/scaling-rotational-embeddings-for-long-context-language-models>, 2024.
- Michael Günther, Jackmin Ong, Isabelle Mohr, Alaeddine Abdessalem, Tanguy Abel, Mohammad Kalim Akram, Susana Guzman, Georgios Mastrapas, Saba Sturua, Bo Wang, et al. Jina embeddings 2: 8192-token general-purpose text embeddings for long documents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.19923*, 2023.
- Kelvin Guu, Kenton Lee, Zora Tung, Panupong Pasupat, and Mingwei Chang. REALM: Retrieval augmented language model pre-training. In *ICML*, 2020.
- Cheng-Ping Hsieh, Simeng Sun, Samuel Kriman, Shantanu Acharya, Dima Rekish, Fei Jia, and Boris Ginsburg. Ruler: What’s the real context size of your long-context language models? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.06654*, 2024.



- Jie Huang, Wei Ping, Peng Xu, Mohammad Shoeybi, Kevin Chen-Chuan Chang, and Bryan Catanzaro. Raven: In-context learning with retrieval augmented encoder-decoder language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.07922*, 2023.
- Gautier Izacard and Édouard Grave. Leveraging passage retrieval with generative models for open domain question answering. In *EACL*, 2021.
- Gautier Izacard, Patrick Lewis, Maria Lomeli, Lucas Hosseini, Fabio Petroni, Timo Schick, Jane Dwivedi-Yu, Armand Joulin, Sebastian Riedel, and Edouard Grave. Few-shot learning with retrieval augmented language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.03299*, 2022.
- Kaiokendev. Things I’m learning while training SuperHOT. <https://kaiokendev.github.io/til#extending-context-to-8k>, 2023.
- Gregory Kamradt. Needle in a haystack - pressure testing llms. [https://github.com/gkamradt/LLMTest\\_NeedleInAHaystack](https://github.com/gkamradt/LLMTest_NeedleInAHaystack), 2023.
- Vladimir Karpukhin, Barlas Oguz, Sewon Min, Patrick Lewis, Ledell Wu, Sergey Edunov, Danqi Chen, and Wen-tau Yih. Dense passage retrieval for open-domain question answering. In *EMNLP*, 2020.
- Urvashi Khandelwal, Omer Levy, Dan Jurafsky, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Mike Lewis. Generalization through memorization: Nearest neighbor language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.00172*, 2019.
- Chankyu Lee, Rajarshi Roy, Mengyao Xu, Jonathan Raiman, Mohammad Shoeybi, Bryan Catanzaro, and Wei Ping. Nv-embed: Improved techniques for training llms as generalist embedding models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.17428*, 2024.
- Patrick Lewis, Ethan Perez, Aleksandra Piktus, Fabio Petroni, Vladimir Karpukhin, Naman Goyal, Heinrich Küttler, Mike Lewis, Wen-tau Yih, Tim Rocktäschel, et al. Retrieval-augmented generation for knowledge-intensive nlp tasks. *NeurIPS*, 2020.
- Zehan Li, Xin Zhang, Yanzhao Zhang, Dingkun Long, Pengjun Xie, and Meishan Zhang. Towards general text embeddings with multi-stage contrastive learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.03281*, 2023.
- Chin-Yew Lin. ROUGE: A package for automatic evaluation of summaries. In *Text Summarization Branches Out*, pp. 74–81, Barcelona, Spain, July 2004. Association for Computational Linguistics. URL <https://aclanthology.org/W04-1013>.
- Sheng-Chieh Lin, Akari Asai, Minghan Li, Barlas Oguz, Jimmy Lin, Yashar Mehdad, Wen-tau Yih, and Xilun Chen. How to train your dragon: Diverse augmentation towards generalizable dense retrieval. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.07452*, 2023.
- Nelson F Liu, Kevin Lin, John Hewitt, Ashwin Paranjape, Michele Bevilacqua, Fabio Petroni, and Percy Liang. Lost in the middle: How language models use long contexts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.03172*, 2023a.
- Xiaoran Liu, Hang Yan, Shuo Zhang, Chenxin An, Xipeng Qiu, and Dahua Lin. Scaling laws of rope-based extrapolation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.05209*, 2023b.
- Zihan Liu, Wei Ping, Rajarshi Roy, Peng Xu, Chankyu Lee, Mohammad Shoeybi, and Bryan Catanzaro. ChatQA: Surpassing GPT-4 on conversational QA and RAG. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.10225*, 2024.
- Meta-AI. Llama 3 model card. 2024. URL [https://github.com/meta-llama/llama3/blob/main/MODEL\\_CARD.md](https://github.com/meta-llama/llama3/blob/main/MODEL_CARD.md).
- Mistral. Mixtral 8x22b. 2024. URL <https://mistral.ai/news/mixtral-8x22b/>.
- Reiichiro Nakano, Jacob Hilton, Suchir Balaji, Jeff Wu, Long Ouyang, Christina Kim, Christopher Hesse, Shantanu Jain, Vineet Kosaraju, William Saunders, et al. WebGPT: Browser-assisted question-answering with human feedback. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.09332*, 2021.

- Erik Nijkamp, Hiroaki Hayashi, Tian Xie, Congying Xia, Bo Pang, Congying Xia, and et al. Long sequence modeling with XGen: A 7b LLM trained on 8k input sequence length. <https://blog.salesforceairesearch.com/xgen/>, 2023.
- Zach Nussbaum, John X Morris, Brandon Duderstadt, and Andriy Mulyar. Nomic embed: Training a reproducible long context text embedder. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.01613*, 2024.
- Nvidia, Bo Adler, Niket Agarwal, Ashwath Aithal, Dong H Anh, Pallab Bhattacharya, Annika Brundyn, Jared Casper, Bryan Catanzaro, Sharon Clay, Jonathan Cohen, et al. Nemotron-4 340b technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.11704*, 2024.
- OpenAI. GPT-4 turbo with 128k context. <https://openai.com/blog/new-models-and-developer-products-announced-at-devday>, 2023.
- Bowen Peng, Jeffrey Quesnelle, Honglu Fan, and Enrico Shippole. Yarn: Efficient context window extension of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.00071*, 2023.
- Daria Soboleva, Faisal Al-Khateeb, Robert Myers, Jacob R Steeves, Joel Hestness, and Nolan Dey. SlimPajama: A 627B token cleaned and deduplicated version of RedPajama. <https://www.cerebras.net/blog/slimpajama-a-627b-token-cleaned-and-deduplicated-version-of-redpajama>, 2023. URL <https://huggingface.co/datasets/cerebras/SlimPajama-627B>.
- Jianlin Su, Murtadha Ahmed, Yu Lu, Shengfeng Pan, Wen Bo, and Yunfeng Liu. Roformer: Enhanced transformer with rotary position embedding. *Neurocomputing*, 568:127063, 2024.
- Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, et al. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*, 2023a.
- Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shruti Bhosale, et al. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*, 2023b.
- Szymon Tworkowski, Konrad Staniszewski, Mikołaj Patek, Yuhuai Wu, Henryk Michalewski, and Piotr Miłoś. Focused transformer: Contrastive training for context scaling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.03170*, 2023.
- Boxin Wang, Wei Ping, Lawrence McAfee, Peng Xu, Bo Li, Mohammad Shoeybi, and Bryan Catanzaro. InstructRetro: Instruction tuning post retrieval-augmented pretraining. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.07713*, 2023a.
- Boxin Wang, Wei Ping, Peng Xu, Lawrence McAfee, Zihan Liu, Mohammad Shoeybi, Yi Dong, Oleksii Kuchaiev, Bo Li, Chaowei Xiao, et al. Shall we pretrain autoregressive language models with retrieval? a comprehensive study. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.06762*, 2023b.
- Liang Wang, Nan Yang, Xiaolong Huang, Binxing Jiao, Linjun Yang, Daxin Jiang, Rangan Majumder, and Furu Wei. Text embeddings by weakly-supervised contrastive pre-training. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.03533*, 2022.
- Liang Wang, Nan Yang, Xiaolong Huang, Linjun Yang, Rangan Majumder, and Furu Wei. Improving text embeddings with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.00368*, 2023c.
- Wenhan Xiong, Jingyu Liu, Igor Molybog, Hejia Zhang, Prajjwal Bhargava, Rui Hou, Louis Martin, Rashi Rungta, Karthik Abinav Sankararaman, Barlas Oguz, et al. Effective long-context scaling of foundation models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.16039*, 2023.
- Peng Xu, Wei Ping, Xianchao Wu, Lawrence McAfee, Chen Zhu, Zihan Liu, Sandeep Subramanian, Evelina Bakhturina, Mohammad Shoeybi, and Bryan Catanzaro. Retrieval meets long context large language models. In *ICLR*, 2024.
- Yue Yu, Wei Ping, Zihan Liu, Boxin Wang, Jiaxuan You, Chao Zhang, Mohammad Shoeybi, and Bryan Catanzaro. Rankrag: Unifying context ranking with retrieval-augmented generation in LLMs. *arXiv e-prints*, pp. arXiv-2407, 2024.

Xinrong Zhang, Yingfa Chen, Shengding Hu, Zihang Xu, Junhao Chen, Moo Khai Hao, Xu Han, Zhen Leng Thai, Shuo Wang, Zhiyuan Liu, and Maosong Sun.  $\infty$ bench: Extending long context evaluation beyond 100k tokens, 2024a.

Xinrong Zhang, Yingfa Chen, Shengding Hu, Zihang Xu, Junhao Chen, Moo Khai Hao, Xu Han, Zhen Leng Thai, Shuo Wang, Zhiyuan Liu, and Maosong Sun.  $\infty$ bench: Extending long context evaluation beyond 100k tokens, 2024b. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.13718>.

Qihao Zhu, Daya Guo, Zhihong Shao, Dejian Yang, Peiyi Wang, Runxin Xu, Y Wu, Yukun Li, Huazuo Gao, Shirong Ma, et al. Deepseek-coder-v2: Breaking the barrier of closed-source models in code intelligence. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.11931*, 2024.