

# SOMONITOR: Explainable Marketing Data Processing and Analysis with Large Language Models

Qi Yang  
yangqi@itmo.ru  
ITMO University  
Saint Petersburg, Russia

Sergey Nikolenko  
sergey@logic.pdmi.ras.ru  
ITMO University  
Steklov Institute of Mathematics  
Saint Petersburg, Russia

Marlo Ongpin  
marlo@somin.ai  
SoMin.ai Research  
Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Ilia Gossoudarev  
goss@itmo.ru  
ITMO University  
Saint Petersburg, Russia

Yu-Yi Chu-Farseeva  
joy@somin.ai  
SoMin.ai Research  
Singapore, Singapore

Aleksandr Farseev  
sasha@somin.ai  
SoMin.ai Research, ITMO University  
Singapore, Singapore




Figure 1: General pipeline of the SOMONITOR advertising analysis framework.

## ABSTRACT

Online marketing faces formidable challenges in managing and interpreting immense volumes of data necessary for competitor analysis, content research, and strategic branding. It is impossible to review hundreds to thousands of transient online content items by hand, and partial analysis often leads to suboptimal outcomes and poorly performing campaigns. We introduce an explainable AI framework *SOMONITOR* that aims to synergize human intuition with AI-based efficiency, helping marketers across all stages of the marketing funnel, from strategic planning to content creation and campaign execution. *SOMONITOR* incorporates a CTR prediction and ranking model for advertising content and uses large language models (LLMs) to process high-performing competitor content, identifying core content pillars such as target audiences, customer needs, and product features. These pillars are then organized into

broader categories, including communication themes and targeted customer personas. By integrating these insights with data from the brand's own advertising campaigns, *SOMONITOR* constructs a narrative for addressing new customer personas and simultaneously generates detailed content briefs in the form of user stories that can be directly applied by marketing teams to streamline content production and campaign execution. The adoption of *SOMONITOR* in daily operations allows digital marketers to quickly parse through extensive datasets, offering actionable insights that significantly enhance campaign effectiveness and overall job satisfaction.

## CCS CONCEPTS

• Information systems → Multimedia and multimodal retrieval; Computational advertising.

## KEYWORDS

Digital Advertising, Ads Performance Prediction, Deep Learning, Large Language Model, Explainable AI

## ACM Reference Format:

Qi Yang, Sergey Nikolenko, Marlo Ongpin, Ilia Gossoudarev, Yu-Yi Chu-Farseeva, and Aleksandr Farseev. 2024. SOMONITOR: Explainable Marketing Data Processing and Analysis with Large Language Models. In . ACM, New York, NY, USA, 8 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nmmnnnn.nmmnnnn>

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from [permissions@acm.org](mailto:permissions@acm.org).

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-XXXX-X/18/06

<https://doi.org/10.1145/nmmnnnn.nmmnnnn>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The contemporary online marketing landscape is bursting with an overwhelming amount of content in the form of databases of advertisements, marketing campaigns of various competitors, properties of user audiences, and more. Marketers must not only sift through this information but also extract meaningful insights regarding audience segmentation, trending topics, and customer needs.

Recent advances in the field of large language models (LLMs) have brought them to the fore as the tools of choice in various domains (see Section 2). These models, trained on huge datasets, exhibit remarkable capabilities in both content analysis and content generation. In online marketing, modern LLMs are already able to offer a promising solution by processing and analyzing large datasets to identify key elements, facilitating a more targeted and effective marketing strategy. Moreover, they can help generate new advertisement content, both text-based and multimodal.

Despite all this progress, in this work we note that vanilla LLMs also have limitations in *content scoring*, which is a crucial aspect of effective marketing. We show that a system specifically tailored for CTR prediction based on advertising content performs better at content scoring than standard LLMs, even those enhanced with multimodal capabilities, offering a more reliable tool for marketers.

Therefore, we propose a system, called *SOMONITOR*, that combines predictive analytics and LLMs in a unified online marketing assistant. Importantly, marketing strategies encompass more than just data analysis; they involve understanding and implementing effective communication styles. It is essential to utilize these communication styles not merely as classification labels but as dynamic personas. This approach enables the identification of different communication schemas tailored to diverse user personas. These schemas, and the user personas themselves, can be analyzed in existing marketing campaigns via a clustering procedure (Section 4). The next step involves aligning these personas with appropriate communication styles to craft compelling narratives. These narratives, or *stories*, are essential content that marketers can directly employ in their campaigns. However, to construct these stories one has to use high-quality data, including marketing communications and advertisements that have previously proven to be successful in building rapport with the corresponding user personas.

Thus, the core issue circles back to the need for an advanced predictive model for content scoring, presented in Section 5. This model can be used to filter and identify “good” advertisements that can then serve to enhance the efficiency of LLMs in generating impactful marketing content. Our research addresses this need by introducing a predictive model that refines data input for LLMs, ensuring the generation of content that resonates well with target audiences and supports strategic marketing initiatives; in Section 6, we show how this model fits together with an LLM in a unified workflow that can generate complete user stories. The overall pipeline of *SOMONITOR* is illustrated in Fig. 1.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 surveys related work, in Section 3 we discuss LLM-based extraction of content pillars, Section 4 introduces persona and theme identification, Section 5 discusses content scoring, Section 6 introduces the story generation process, and Section 7 concludes the paper.

## 2 RELATED WORK

The all-encompassing success of LLMs has made them into a tool of choice for many applications [22, 25]. Modern LLMs are able to perform a wide variety of tasks, from low-resource machine translation [7] to writing source code for full-scale applications [8, 9] and solving problems that require both mathematics and engineering design [18]. Importantly, there has been a growing body of research devoted to overcoming the quadratic complexity bottleneck inherent in the original Transformer architecture [42]. Approaches include sparse attention mechanisms [2, 5], low-rank decomposition of attention weight matrices [6, 43], and various modifications that combine self-attention with recurrent architectures which have inherently linear complexity [21, 30]. As a result, latest LLMs can extend their context windows (i.e., input sizes) up to millions of tokens [1, 38], which makes it possible to use LLMs directly for mid- and large-scale analysis of text datasets.

Recently, large language models have also obtained multimodal capabilities. They have been successfully used for video understanding [37], and the recently released GPT-4o, where ‘o’ stands for “Omni”, brings seamless multimodality of image, audio, and video analysis to state-of-the-art LLMs [29]. Moreover, LLMs can be combined with diffusion-based generative models [15, 34, 36] to achieve state-of-the-art image and video generation capabilities [14, 16, 20].

Being able to interpret data through human-perceivable dynamic categories, such as topics or audiences, represents a significant step towards explainable AI. Nonetheless, the sheer volume of content and its prioritization continue to pose challenges, with limitations inherent in LLMs, in particular related to their hallucination phenomena [45]. For instance, if a marketer understands that the primary audience for 1000 pieces of marketing content is “families”, but lacks data on the content’s popularity across this audience, such insights are not actionable for making informed decisions. At the same time, given a ranking task, LLMs always come up with different responses in consequent runs [4]. At the same time, platforms dedicated to online content dissemination, such as social networking and search engine platforms, namely *Facebook (Meta)* [13], *Google* [24], *Alibaba* [32], and *Taobao* [12], have invested considerable efforts towards content prioritization and scoring. Unfortunately, these advancements are based on private datasets and are typically inaccessible to external content creators, including digital marketers. Proprietary engagement data, crucial for refining content scoring models, remains exclusive to these platforms, thereby hindering independent creators from accurately predicting or enhancing the efficacy of their content.

From all the above, it is evident that the comprehensive capabilities of LLMs position them as high-level assistants across a myriad of tasks within the realm of online marketing. However, there exist relatively few research papers devoted to these potential applications [11]. The marketing industry is currently only starting to incorporate LLMs into the workflows, with many recent whitepapers and business surveys of possible applications, problems, and potential breadth of adoption of LLMs [17, 39]. Our recent work [44] presented a comprehensive online marketing analysis system based on large language models; in this paper, we build upon this work and combine and further improve LLM-based analysis with predictive analytics. A key advantage noted in [44] was the fact that LLM

answers are understandable for humans and actionable in terms of business results: LLMs such as GPT-3.5 [31] and GPT-4 [28] were already able to explain the “reasoning” behind recommender models and provide aggregate insights about advertising campaigns. Before LLMs, text corpora in the context of recommender systems had been aggregated via topic modeling [19, 27], sometimes also with deep learning [41] and user profiling [3, 40], but topic modeling is based on the bag-of-words assumption and cannot summarize text as an LLM does. Visual understanding of ads has also been explored with convolutional networks [35].

However, a robust technology that enables effective differentiation of content sources for insight extraction, as well as a cohesive framework for data exploration that integrates both content differentiation and LLM-based explanations, is still sorely needed. Our work aims to address these gaps by developing methods that enhance the functionality of LLMs in online marketing applications, facilitating more efficient utilization of modern AI in real-world scenarios.

### 3 CONTENT PILLAR IDENTIFICATION

As noted above, data handlers in performance marketing frequently encounter large volumes of creative assets to analyze, along with numerous ongoing promotional activities from competitors in an “always-on” environment. Given this context, conducting detailed analyses for each advertisement or even for every specific competitor campaign becomes impractical, highlighting the need for automated analytical tools. These tools are crucial for assisting marketers in quickly assimilating, synthesizing, and driving inferences from extensive data and information available to them.

To address this challenge, we propose to integrate a novel method into our framework that leverages large language models (LLMs) to provide semantic insights into content, referred to as “content pillars” below. We outline an analytical pipeline that incorporates explanations and generations based on LLMs, demonstrating its practical utility through an empirical scenario involving four Singapore-based telecommunication companies. This component of our framework aims to enhance the interpretability and understanding of data, thereby supporting more informed decision-making in these dynamic and competitive sectors.

The architecture of our analytic process is illustrated in Fig. 2. Initially, we employ an LLM to extract specific, well-defined insights from the input content, such as the customer needs addressed by the advertisement and the products being advertised. These insights are then archived as content pillars in a tabular format. Subsequently, these pillars are utilized alongside custom-crafted prompts to perform a generalized analysis of a brand’s target demographics, personas, needs, and insights conveyed by the advertisements, as well as the tone and topical categories of both current and previous campaigns. This comprehensive analysis mirrors the campaign assessments typically conducted by marketing professionals and can be further used to refine a brand’s messaging, tone, and target demographics. Below we explore these elements in detail.

Figure 3 presents sample qualitative results of our experiments on the analysis of content pieces. We curated batches of advertisements from the *Facebook Ad Library* related to the same brand and




Figure 2: General pipeline of our LLM-based analysis




Figure 3: Sample ad analysis

processed them through an LLM. The processing was uniquely tailored through natural language prompt engineering. As a result, the LLM successfully identified key attributes of each advertisement, providing adept responses to ostensibly “human” inquiries such as pinpointing the human need, insight, and predominant archetypes in a specific ad. Notably, responses to most queries are standardized (as directed by the prompt) and amenable to automated processing. This type of analysis has always been pivotal in online marketing, and to our knowledge, it has not been effectively automated and scaled until now, traditionally requiring human intervention and thus being limited to a select number of advertisements rather than an extensive dataset.

## 4 COMMUNICATION PERSONA AND THEME IDENTIFICATION

### 4.1 Problem setting

In the field of marketing, utilizing explainable data descriptors such as the content pillars is essential. However, to significantly enhance a brand's marketing and communication strategies it is necessary to go beyond mere description and engage in inferential data analysis. Unfortunately, marketers often lack the necessary skills in data operations, hindering their ability to derive meaningful conclusions from the data, thereby requiring additional support. To bridge this gap, we propose a unified framework *SOMONITOR* that unites LLM-based analysis with content scoring.

*SOMONITOR* is designed to provide marketers with higher-level content observations.

In marketing, the narrative constructed by any content emerges from an underlying story, which is a synthesis of a communication theme and a specific customer persona that the campaign aims to engage. We will come back to the stories in Section 6, illustrating this concept through a representative example, highlighting the integral relationship between the storytelling elements and the targeted persona. This approach empowers marketers to craft more resonant and effective communication strategies by aligning their messaging with the expectations and needs of their intended audiences. But to get to a good story, we need to extract two essential storytelling components: personas and challenges.

### 4.2 Persona Identification

In marketing, the customer persona is best developed based on data about the audience of a brand, supported by other content pillars such as customer needs, interests, and aspirations [23]. We have employed a commonly-used clustering algorithm X-Means [33] to cluster the content pieces into distinct customer personas based on the content from the brands, identifying the number of clusters automatically via Bayesian Information Criterion values [33]. Clustering has been performed over the ADA embeddings, a state of the art representation learning model for text [26], of the "Audience" content pillar as the main semantic component differentiating the data. X-Means was run with 3 starting centroids and  $k_{\max} = 50$ .

For this study, we analyzed data from the Gojek car-hailing brand and its main competitor in Singapore, *Grab*, focusing exclusively on the B2B business audience to limit the number of personas. The data for this experiment, collected over 2023 and 2024, comprised 5,967 content pieces, with 3,703 published as ads and 2,264 as organic social media posts. Since most of the content related to B2B product offerings for both brands was presented in ads, we focused solely on the advertising content, totaling 1,120 ads related to business products. Brand-wise, *Gojek* ran the majority of the ads (76.8%, or 849 ads), while *Grab* accounted for the remaining 23.2% (271 ads).

After detecting each cluster, we annotated them and generated parameters such as cluster name and cluster description by prompting an LLM to do so based on the predominant parameters and content of the posts associated with each cluster. Finally, an image for each persona was generated by the LLM in accordance with the persona's description. As shown in Fig. 4, we identified three distinct clusters, each representing a unique customer persona along




Figure 4: Sample persona analysis results

with their associated characteristics: "Efficiency Enthusiasts" (Persona 1), "Financial Empowerment Champions" (Persona 2), and "Efficiency Innovators" (Persona 3), with content counts of 206, 144, and 707 respectively. Note that some content items did not correspond to any of the three identified personas (i.e., represented outliers) and were thus excluded from the final tally, explaining the disparity with the initial 1,120 ads.

As a result, notable distinctions between the personas have emerged. Both Persona 1 and Persona 3 focus on organizational efficiency, albeit through different approaches: Persona 1 advocates for efficiency through traditional methodologies, while Persona 3 champions it through innovative strategies. In contrast, Persona 2 stands apart by primarily emphasizing the need for financial empowerment of employees.

### 4.3 Communication Theme: Challenges

A persona is an essential but not sufficient component needed to come up with a marketing story and its corresponding content briefs. In particular, it is important to identify a communication






Figure 5: Sample challenges mined by our system

scheme to be combined with the persona’s key attributes such as background or character, so that the story would get proper context and would grab attention [23]. To explore this, we have made a further step towards clustering our data, but this time we have performed X-Means clustering based on the “Insights” content pillar, again using ADA embeddings for text [26] and running X-Means with 3 starting centroids and  $k_{\max} = 50$ .

Similarly to persona clustering, we annotated the resulting challenge clusters and generated parameters such as cluster name and cluster description by prompting an LLM to do so based on predominant parameters and content of the posts associated with each cluster. Figure 5 shows sample results: in this case, we have identified three distinct clusters, each representing a unique customer challenge along with their associated characteristics: “Inefficient Corporate Expense and Transportation Management” (Challenge 1), “Improving Business Operations and Employee Satisfaction” (Challenge 2), and “Streamlining Work Transport Processes” (Challenge 3), with content counts of 672, 94, and 336 respectively.

## 5 CONTENT SCORING

### 5.1 Problem setting and dataset

To render the recommendations generated by the system actionable, one has to enable marketers to evaluate data and select which

Brand	nDCG@5	nDCG@10	Recall@3	Recall@5
A	0.498	0.796	0.333	0.4
B	0.541	0.821	0.333	0.4
C	0.588	0.829	0.6	0.6

Table 1: Experimental results for SOMONITOR.

	nDCG@5	nDCG@10	Recall@3	Recall@5
GPT4-gd	0.591	0.818	0.333	0.6
GPT4	0.465	0.755	0	0.4
GPT4o-gd	<b>0.599</b>	0.827	0.333	0.6
GPT4o	0.511	0.750	0.333	0.4
Gemini-gd	0.578	0.817	0.333	0.6
Gemini	0.564	0.769	0	0.6
<i>SOMONITOR</i>	0.588	<b>0.829</b>	<b>0.6</b>	0.6

Table 2: Performance evaluation against LLM baselines; “gd” represents a grounded model.

content to incorporate into analytics, ideally based on anticipated performance. This leads to the need for content scoring, so in this section we add to SOMONITOR a system for the scoring of advertising content, developed in our previous work [44], and evaluate it against state of the art LLMs to prove its continued relevance.

To assess the effectiveness of our recommender system, we conducted a validation study using real ad creatives and their performance data. We collected real-world ad creatives and their performance data from three brands on Facebook, each with distinct marketing objectives: sales, conversion, and traffic, spanning from October 1, 2023 to December 31, 2023. The dataset comprised text and images of their ads alongside their performance measured as CTR values. We chose three (anonymized) brands: Brand A (16 ads in the fitness industry), Brand B (29 ads in the education industry), and Brand C (16 ads in the automobile industry).

The ads were assessed not just according to the brand affiliation, but also according to the Ad objective selected on the Meta Ads platform, which is a crucial component of evaluation in our scenario due to the fundamental differences in click-through rates across various industries and marketing goals that Ads achieve with different Ad objective settings [44]. For instance, advertisements optimized for clicks may result in lower costs per click and higher click-through rates, yet they may not effectively convert customers. Conversely, ads aimed at achieving better conversion rates might not exhibit as high click-through rates as those optimized merely for clicks.

### 5.2 Content scoring models

For content scoring, we use our previously developed approach [44]. Although this model was originally designed for predicting CTR by classifying advertisements into CTR performance categories, in this work we operate in a recommendation problem setting, i.e., pose content scoring as a ranking problem. This is because, first, marketers do not necessarily need precise CTR values to choose the best content but rather a comparative assessment, second, CTR

values undergo significant distribution shift across platforms, time, and other external factors, but the ranking of ads should be more stable, and third, the LLM performance in qualitative ranking should be significantly better than in numerical predictions, ensuring a more fair comparison.

Thus, we take the SODA model from [44] that predicts a distribution over “High CTR”, “Average CTR”, and “Low CTR” labels and add a linear layer that translates the probabilities into a single score via a trainable linear combination:

$$\text{Score} = \alpha \cdot p(\text{HighCTR}) + \beta \cdot (1 - p(\text{HighCTR})).$$

As a result, we convert a pretrained classification model into a ranking model.

On the side of LLMs, we selected state of the art models GPT-4, GPT-4o, and Gemini 1.5 Pro for comparison with *SOMONITOR*. GPT-4 and its optimized version, GPT-4o, represent the latest advancements in the GPT series, known for their robustness and versatility in handling diverse text-based and multimodal tasks [28], while Gemini 1.5 Pro is a powerful model developed by *Google* and competing with OpenAI’s models successfully in many benchmarks [38].

However, a significant challenge in utilizing LLMs for this task is their tendency to hallucinate, which can result in generating inaccurate or nonsensical information that compromises the reliability of their output. To mitigate this issue and ground the LLM-based approach to ensure the predicted data was trustworthy, we implemented several measures to reduce randomness:

- *temperature adjustment*: we set the temperature parameter of the LLMs to 0.1, which is known to improve stability by reducing the variability in the model’s outputs;
- *grounding*: to further mitigate randomness, we included another three example advertisements from the brand within the prompt, each with a specified CTR rank: one with the best performance, one with average performance, and one with the worst performance;
- *LLM Ensemble*: inspired by ensemble methods, we conducted the ranking process with LLMs five times using the same settings, and the final rankings were determined by summing the rank positions of each advertisement from these five runs and then ordering them from the lowest to highest total rank.

### 5.3 Results

For evaluation, we use standard ranking-based metrics. Specifically, we measured Recall@3 and Recall@5 to gauge the model’s ability to retrieve relevant items within the top 3 and top 5 recommendations; the recall metric is very important in practice, especially in resource-constrained domains such as online advertising campaigns. High Recall@ $k$  ensures that top  $k$  recommendations encompass a substantial portion of the truly relevant items, which enables marketers operating under tight budgets to focus their efforts on the most promising opportunities while minimizing the risk of overlooking potentially valuable prospects. We have also computed normalized discounted cumulative gain (nDCG) at cutoffs 5 and 10, another commonly used way to assess ranking quality.

First, we present a case study in Table 1 that showcases the framework’s performance across three major industries. In the fitness sector, *SOMONITOR* achieved moderate effectiveness with nDCG@5 of 0.498 and nDCG@10 of 0.796, alongside Recall@3 and Recall@5 scores of 0.333 and 0.4, respectively. Brand B, representing education, showed improved performance, with nDCG@5 of 0.541 and nDCG@10 of 0.821, but recall stayed the same. In the automobile industry results improved, achieving nDCG@5 of 0.588 and nDCG@10 of 0.829, with recall@3 and recall@5 both scoring 0.6. These findings show that content scoring quality varies across industries and highlight the importance of industry-specific optimization to enhance recommendation accuracy.

Then we undertook a comparative evaluation of our *SOMONITOR* framework against LLM baselines. In campaigns focused on driving traffic, the primary goal is to maximize click-through rates (CTR), which serve as a measure of user engagement and interaction with the advertisement, which is particularly pertinent given the distinctive challenges posed by traffic-driven campaigns as opposed to conversion-oriented strategies. Thus, we evaluate the performance with the data from Brand C, a traffic-oriented campaign. In Table 2, we present the evaluation result from a case study focused on Brand C, comparing the performance of *SOMONITOR* against modern large language models.

A key observation from Table 2 is the significant performance boost achieved by incorporating knowledge grounding across various models and metrics. For instance, the nDCG@5 score for GPT-4 improved from 0.465 without grounding to 0.591 with grounding, while its Recall@5 increased from 0.4 to 0.6. This trend is consistent across other LLM baselines, such as GPT-4o and Gemini 1.5 Pro, underscoring the critical role of grounding in enhancing the relevance and quality of recommendations. Ungrounded LLMs exhibit markedly lower performance, particularly in the Recall@3 metric: both Gemini and GPT-4 failed to place any high-performance items within their top 3 results at all.

As a result, the prediction model outperforms LLMs in recommending relevant items, achieving the highest nDCG@10 of 0.829 and recall@3 of 0.6 among the compared models. This superior performance demonstrates *SOMONITOR*’s ability to effectively balance ranking accuracy and item recall, delivering highly relevant and stable (especially compared to individual LLM results) recommendations to users. Notably, these outcomes are achieved without any fine-tuning (grounding) using data from the actual industry-specific distributions: we used a pretrained model. This offers significant potential for further improvement of results should such fine-tuning be implemented, a direction we intend to explore in our future work. Improvements in LLMs’ Recall@ $k$  due to grounding also hint at the critical role of domain knowledge and context in enhancing the relevance and quality of recommendations. Ungrounded LLMs, relying solely on their pre-trained language models, struggle to capture the nuances and specifics of the recommendation task, resulting in sub-optimal item retrieval. By incorporating domain-specific knowledge and grounding the models in the relevant context, the recommendations become more tailored and relevant.

We note that our proposed *SOMONITOR* framework outperforms the baselines in both Recall@3 and Recall@5, achieving a Recall@3 score of 0.6 which is higher than any LLM’s result. This supports our conclusion that the online marketing industry still cannot fully rely




Figure 6: User story generation: pipeline and an example.

on LLMs for content scoring and explains our decision to include a CTR prediction and ranking model into the mostly LLM-based *SOMONITOR* framework.

## 6 DATA-DRIVEN STORY GENERATION

In order to establish a data-driven narrative that would be useful, easy to understand, and attractive to content marketing professionals, it is essential to delineate the content and marketing strategies a brand may deploy, guided by the analysis of the best-performing content from competitive brands. At this point, we have already discussed how the *SOMONITOR* framework extracts personas and challenges based on advertising content prefiltered by a CTR prediction and ranking model. Now it is time to combine them into a unified marketing story.

Consider the scenario where we are part of a marketing team at *Gojek*, tasked with identifying a suitable communication theme and a target persona for a campaign aimed at market expansion through new audience engagement. It is desirable to opt for a persona and theme that are presently underexploited, thereby expanding our

audience reach. As illustrated in Fig. 4, the analysis shows that Persona 1, labeled "Efficiency Enthusiasts", is more heavily leveraged by *Grab* than by *Gojek*, indicating a potential niche opportunity for *Gojek*. At the same time, as shown in Fig. 5, the communication theme "Challenge 3: Streamlining Work Transport Processes" is more frequently addressed by our competitor, *Grab*, but is underrepresented in *Gojek*'s content. This observation advocates for adopting this theme for persona-centric narrative generation.

Consequently, adhering to the framework outlined in Figure 6, we prompt an LLM to amalgamate the characteristics of the selected persona and the chosen communication theme to devise a narrative for a fictitious character, "Samuel Tan", a business owner in Singapore. The pipeline for this generation is shown in Figure 6: character description is also generated by a custom-prompted LLM, and then the character and theme are combined to create a compelling story. The story is abbreviated in Fig. 6, in reality it explains over several paragraphs how Samuel prioritizes business efficiency but often neglects employee satisfaction, leading to increased turnover rates. Recognizing this issue, Samuel opts for *Gojek* not merely as an efficiency solution but as a means to reengage with employees, enhance their efficiency, and improve overall job satisfaction; the story ends with a conclusion (emphasized in Fig. 6) that shows an important insight that Samuel has obtained by engaging with *Gojek*. This narrative could serve as a content brief for a creative agency or be utilized as a pitch for a novel marketing campaign, previously unexplored by the marketing team in such depth and with such rapid production capability. In our professional opinion, content briefs produced by *SOMONITOR* are on par with similar human-written narratives and can be used just as effectively.

## 7 CONCLUSION

In this work, we have introduced an innovative explainable AI framework *SOMONITOR* that aims to combine human intuition with the efficiency of modern AI to significantly enhance the capabilities of marketers across all stages of the marketing funnel, from strategic planning to content creation and campaign execution. The challenges traditionally faced by marketers, especially the need to manually sift through immense quantities of transient online content, are addressed by *SOMONITOR*'s ability to automate and refine the process of competitor analysis, content research, and strategic branding. *SOMONITOR* ranks advertising content by its potential to achieve higher click-through rates via a specially trained model and then employs LLMs to distill core content pillars such as target audiences, customer needs, and product features from successful competitor marketing endeavors. These pillars then lead to communication themes and targeted customer personas, providing a structured basis for content and campaign planning. By integrating these insights with data from a brand's own marketing campaigns, *SOMONITOR* not only constructs compelling narratives for new customer personas but also generates detailed content briefs in the form of customer persona stories, empowering marketing teams to produce content and execute campaigns. We believe that *SOMONITOR* can transform digital marketing operations, enabling marketers to quickly navigate through large datasets and derive actionable insights that boost campaign effectiveness and enhance job satisfaction, redefining traditional marketing approaches [10].

## REFERENCES

- [1] Anthropic. 2024. Introducing the next generation of Claude. <https://www.anthropic.com/news/claude-3-family>.
- [2] Iz Beltagy, Matthew E. Peters, and Arman Cohan. 2020. Longformer: The Long-Document Transformer. arXiv:2004.05150 [cs.CL]
- [3] K Buraya, A Farseev, and A Filchenkov. 2018. Multi-view personality profiling based on longitudinal data. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* 11018 (2018), 15–27.
- [4] Yuyan Chen, Qiang Fu, Yichen Yuan, Zhihao Wen, Ge Fan, Dayiheng Liu, Dongmei Zhang, Zhixu Li, and Yanghua Xiao. 2023. Hallucination detection: Robustly discerning reliable answers in large language models. In *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*. 245–255.
- [5] Rewon Child, Scott Gray, Alec Radford, and Ilya Sutskever. 2019. Generating Long Sequences with Sparse Transformers. arXiv:1904.10509 [cs.LG]
- [6] Krzysztof Choromanski, Valerii Likhoshesterov, David Dohan, Xingyuo Song, Andreea Gane, Tamas Sarlos, Peter Hawkins, Jared Davis, Afroz Mohiuddin, Lukasz Kaiser, David Belanger, Lucy Colwell, and Adrian Weller. 2022. Rethinking Attention with Performers. arXiv:2009.14794 [cs.LG]
- [7] Maxim Enis and Mark Hopkins. 2024. From LLM to NMT: Advancing Low-Resource Machine Translation with Claude. arXiv:2404.13813 [cs.CL]
- [8] Albert Q. Jiang et al. 2023. Mistral 7B. arXiv:2310.06825 [cs.CL]
- [9] Mark Chen et al. 2021. Evaluating Large Language Models Trained on Code. arXiv:2107.03374 [cs.LG]
- [10] A Farseev. 2024. Redemptive Marketing: The Path To Resolving Transparency And Performance Dilemmas In Digital Advertising. *Forbes.com* (2024).
- [11] Soheil Feizi, MohammadTaghi Hajiaghayi, Keivan Rezaei, and Suho Shin. 2024. Online Advertisements with LLMs: Opportunities and Challenges. arXiv:2311.07601 [cs.CY]
- [12] T. Ge, H. Liu, P. Yi, S. Huang, Z. Zhang, X. Zhu, Y. Zhang, K. Gai, L. Zhao, G. Zhou, K. Chen, S. Liu, H. Yi, Z. Hu, B. Liu, and P. Sun. 2018. Image Matters: Visually Modeling User Behaviors Using Advanced Model Server. 2087–2095.
- [13] X. He, J. Pan, O. Jin, T. Xu, B. Liu, T. Xu, Y. Shi, A. Atallah, R. Herbrich, S. Bowers, and J. Q. Candela. 2014. Practical Lessons from Predicting Clicks on Ads at Facebook. In *Proc. 8th International Workshop on Data Mining for Online Advertising (ADKDD'14)*. ACM, 1–9.
- [14] Jonathan Ho, William Chan, Chitwan Saharia, Jay Whang, Ruiqi Gao, Alexey Gritsenko, Diederik P. Kingma, Ben Poole, Mohammad Norouzi, David J. Fleet, and Tim Salimans. 2022. Imagen Video: High Definition Video Generation with Diffusion Models. arXiv:2210.02303 [cs.CV]
- [15] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. 2020. Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, H. Larochelle, M. Ranzato, R. Hadsell, M.F. Balcan, and H. Lin (Eds.), Vol. 33. Curran Associates, Inc., 6840–6851. [https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper\\_files/paper/2020/file/4c5bcfec8584af0d9671ab10179ca4b-Paper.pdf](https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2020/file/4c5bcfec8584af0d9671ab10179ca4b-Paper.pdf)
- [16] Wenyi Hong, Ming Ding, Wendi Zheng, Xinghan Liu, and Jie Tang. 2022. CogVideo: Large-scale Pretraining for Text-to-Video Generation via Transformers. arXiv:2205.15868 [cs.CV]
- [17] Prasad Keni and Mostafa ElBermawy. 2024. Marketing Generative AI Report: A Comprehensive Evaluation of Leading LLMs. NoGood, <https://nogood.io/2024/03/13/generative-ai-in-marketing/>.
- [18] Darioush Kevian, Usman Syed, Xingang Guo, Aaron Havens, Geir Dullerud, Peter Seiler, Lianhui Qin, and Bin Hu. 2024. Capabilities of Large Language Models in Control Engineering: A Benchmark Study on GPT-4, Claude 3 Opus, and Gemini 1.0 Ultra. arXiv:2404.03647 [math.OC]
- [19] Sergei Koltcov, Olessia Koltsova, and Sergey Nikolenko. 2014. Latent Dirichlet Allocation: Stability and Applications to Studies of User-Generated Content. In *Proceedings of the 2014 ACM Conference on Web Science* (Bloomington, Indiana, USA) (*WebSci '14*). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 161–165. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2615569.2615680>
- [20] Yixin Liu, Kai Zhang, Yuan Li, Zhiling Yan, Chujie Gao, Ruoxi Chen, Zhengqing Yuan, Yue Huang, Hanchi Sun, Jianfeng Gao, Lifang He, and Lichao Sun. 2024. Sora: A Review on Background, Technology, Limitations, and Opportunities of Large Vision Models. arXiv:2402.17177 [cs.CV]
- [21] Xuezhe Ma, Chunting Zhou, Xiang Kong, Junxian He, Liangke Gui, Graham Neubig, Jonathan May, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2023. Mega: Moving Average Equipped Gated Attention. arXiv:2209.10655 [cs.LG]
- [22] Spyros Makridakis, Fotios Petropoulos, and Yanfei Kang. 2023. Large Language Models: Their Success and Impact. *Forecasting* 5, 3 (2023), 536–549. <https://doi.org/10.3390/forecast5030030>
- [23] Abdullah Malik. 2019. *Persona based marketing strategies: creation of personas through data analytics*. Master's thesis. A. Malik.
- [24] H. B. McMahan, G. Holt, D. Sculley, M. Young, D. Ebner, J. Grady, L. Nie, T. Phillips, E. Davydov, D. Golovin, S. Chikkerur, D. Liu, M. Wattenberg, A. M. Hrafnkelsson, T. Boulos, and J. Kubica. 2013. Ad Click Prediction: A View from the Trenches. In *Proc. 19th ACM SIGKDD (KDD '13)*. ACM, 1222–1230.
- [25] Shervin Minaee, Tomas Mikolov, Narjes Nikzad, Meysam Chenaghlu, Richard Socher, Xavier Amatriain, and Jianfeng Gao. 2024. Large Language Models: A Survey. arXiv:2402.06196 [cs.CL]
- [26] Arvind Neelakantan, Tao Xu, Raul Puri, Alec Radford, Jesse Michael Han, Jerry Tworek, Qiming Yuan, Nikolas Tezak, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, et al. 2022. Text and code embeddings by contrastive pre-training. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.10005* (2022).
- [27] Sergey Nikolenko. 2015. SVD-LDA: Topic Modeling for Full-Text Recommender Systems. In *Advances in Artificial Intelligence and Its Applications*, Obdulia Pichardo Lagunas, Oscar Herrera Alcántara, and Gustavo Arroyo Figueroa (Eds.). Springer International Publishing, Cham, 67–79.
- [28] OpenAI. 2023. GPT-4 Technical Report. arXiv:2303.08774 [cs.CL]
- [29] OpenAI. 2024. Hello GPT-4o. <https://openai.com/index/hello-gpt-4o/>.
- [30] Antonio Orvieto, Samuel L. Smith, Albert Gu, Anushan Fernando, Caglar Gulcehre, Razvan Pascanu, and Soham De. 2023. Resurrecting Recurrent Neural Networks for Long Sequences. In *Proceedings of the 40th International Conference on Machine Learning (Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, Vol. 202)*, Andreas Krause, Emma Brunskill, Kyunghyun Cho, Barbara Engelhardt, Sivan Sabato, and Jonathan Scarlett (Eds.). PMLR, 26670–26698. <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v202/orvieto23a.html>
- [31] Long Ouyang, Jeff Wu, Xu Jiang, Diogo Almeida, Carroll L. Wainwright, Pamela Mishkin, Chong Zhang, Sandhini Agarwal, Katarina Slama, Alex Ray, John Schulman, Jacob Hilton, Fraser Kelton, Luke Miller, Maddie Simens, Amanda Askell, Peter Welinder, Paul Christiano, Jan Leike, and Ryan Lowe. 2022. Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. arXiv:2203.02155 [cs.CL]
- [32] Wentao Ouyang, Xiuwu Zhang, Shukui Ren, Chao Qi, Zhaojie Liu, and Yanlong Du. 2019. Representation Learning-Assisted Click-Through Rate Prediction. In *Proc. 28th IJCAI*. 4561–4567. <https://doi.org/10.24963/ijcai.2019/634>
- [33] Dan Pelleg, Andrew W Moore, et al. 2000. X-means: Extending k-means with efficient estimation of the number of clusters.. In *Icml*, Vol. 1. Stanford, CA, 727–734.
- [34] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. 2022. High-Resolution Image Synthesis With Latent Diffusion Models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*. 10684–10695.
- [35] Andrey Savchenko, Anton Alekseev, Sejeong Kwon, Elena Tutubalina, Evgeny Myasnikov, and Sergey Nikolenko. 2020. Ad Lingua: Text Classification Improves Symbolism Prediction in Image Advertisements. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*. International Committee on Computational Linguistics, Barcelona, Spain (Online), 1886–1892. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.coling-main.171>
- [36] Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. 2021. Denoising Diffusion Implicit Models. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*. <https://openreview.net/forum?id=St1giarCHLP>
- [37] Yunlong Tang, Jing Bi, Siting Xu, Luchuan Song, Susan Liang, Teng Wang, Daoan Zhang, Jie An, Jingyang Lin, Rongyi Zhu, Ali Vosoughi, Chao Huang, Zeliang Zhang, Feng Zheng, Jianguo Zhang, Ping Luo, Jiebo Luo, and Chenliang Xu. 2024. Video Understanding with Large Language Models: A Survey. arXiv:2312.17432 [cs.CV]
- [38] Gemini Team. 2024. Gemini 1.5: Unlocking multimodal understanding across millions of tokens of context. arXiv:2403.05530 [cs.CL]
- [39] Ella Thackray and Matt Northin. 2023. Leveraging large language models in advertising. WPP Mindshare, <https://www.wpp.com/wpp-iq/2023/11/leveraging-large-language-models-in-advertising>.
- [40] Elena Tutubalina and Sergey I. Nikolenko. 2017. Demographic Prediction based on User Reviews about Medications. *Computación y Sistemas* 21, 2 (2017), 227–241.
- [41] Elena Tutubalina and Sergey I. Nikolenko. 2018. Exploring convolutional neural networks and topic models for user profiling from drug reviews. *Multimedia Tools and Applications* 77, 4 (2018), 4791–4809.
- [42] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention Is All You Need. *CoRR* abs/1706.03762 (2017). <http://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03762>
- [43] Sinong Wang, Belinda Z. Li, Madian Khabsa, Han Fang, and Hao Ma. 2020. Linformer: Self-Attention with Linear Complexity. arXiv:2006.04768 [cs.LG]
- [44] Qi Yang, Marlo Ongpin, Sergey Nikolenko, Alfred Huang, and Aleksandr Farseev. 2023. Against Opacity: Explainable AI and Large Language Models for Effective Digital Advertising. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia* (<conf-loc>, <city>Ottawa ON</city>, <country>Canada</country>, </conf-loc>) (MM '23). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 9299–9305. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3581783.3612817>
- [45] Yue Zhang, Yafu Li, Leyang Cui, Deng Cai, Lemao Liu, Tingchen Fu, Xinting Huang, Enbo Zhao, Yu Zhang, Yulong Chen, et al. 2023. Siren's song in the AI ocean: a survey on hallucination in large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.01219* (2023).