

LOWER TAIL LARGE DEVIATIONS OF THE STOCHASTIC SIX VERTEX MODEL

SAYAN DAS, YUCHEN LIAO, AND MATTEO MUCCICONI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study lower tail probabilities of the height function $\mathfrak{h}(M, N)$ of the stochastic six-vertex model. We introduce a novel combinatorial approach to demonstrate that the tail probabilities $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(M, N) \geq r)$ are log-concave in a certain weak sense. We prove further that for each $\alpha > 0$ the lower tail of $-\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N)$ satisfies a Large Deviation Principle (LDP) with speed N^2 and a rate function $\Phi_\alpha^{(-)}$, which is given by the infimal deconvolution between a certain energy integral and a parabola.

Our analysis begins with a distributional identity from [BO17], which relates the lower tail of the height function, after a random shift, with a multiplicative functional of the Schur measure. Tools from potential theory allow us to extract the LDP for the shifted height function. We then use our weak log-concavity result, along with a deconvolution scheme from our earlier paper [DLM23], to convert the LDP for the shifted height function to the LDP for the stochastic six-vertex model height function.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Log-concavity bounds for the lower tail probabilities	9
3. Large Deviation Principle for multiplicative expectation of the Schur measure	16
4. Proof of LDP results	23
Appendix A. Equilibrium measure calculations	27
References	32

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The model and main results. The stochastic six-vertex model (S6V) was introduced to the physics literature by Gwa and Spohn in [GS92] as a stochastic variant of the square-ice model [Pau35]. It is defined as a probability measure on configurations of up-right directed paths (viewed as a string of arrows) on the quadrant $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$ that satisfies the following two properties:

- Every path begins from x -axis or y -axis and leaves the co-ordinate axes immediately.
- Paths do not share edges, but they may share vertices.

An example of an ensemble of admissible paths is shown in Figure 2. Due to the aforementioned conditions, each vertex in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^2$ has six possible configurations, shown in Figure 1.

To define the probability measure, it is necessary to specify an initial condition for the arrows. In this paper, we consider the *step initial condition* in which that all the vertices along $\{(1, n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}$ has an incoming horizontal arrow from left, but $\{(m, 1) : m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}$ does not have any incoming vertical arrow from below, see Figure 2 for an example. Given this initial condition, S6V model is defined in the following Markovian manner. Set $\mathbb{T}_n := \{(x, y) \in \Lambda : x + y \leq n\}$. Conditional on the incoming arrows from \mathbb{T}_{n-1} we sample all the vertices in $\mathbb{T}_n \setminus \mathbb{T}_{n-1}$ according to the probabilities given in Figure 1. The S6V model is then defined as a limit of these measures as $n \rightarrow \infty$.







height configurations	$\begin{array}{c c} h & h \\ \hline h & h \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} h+1 & h \\ \hline h & h-1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} h & h-1 \\ \hline h & h-1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} h & h \\ \hline h & h-1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} h+1 & h+1 \\ \hline h & h \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} h+1 & h \\ \hline h & h \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} i_2 \\ \\ j_1 - j_2 \\ \\ i_1 \end{array}$						
$w(i_1, j_1; i_2, j_2)$	1	1	$\frac{q(1-a)}{1-aq}$	$\frac{1-q}{1-aq}$	$\frac{1-a}{1-aq}$	$\frac{a(1-q)}{1-aq}$

FIGURE 1. Six possible local configurations and corresponding weights




FIGURE 2. A sample of the stochastic six vertex model. In the right panel red numbers denote the height function.

The main observable of interest in the S6V model is the height function $\mathfrak{h} : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ defined as follows:

$$\mathfrak{h}(M, N) := \text{number of paths that pass through or to the right of } (M, N). \tag{1.1}$$

For the step initial condition, we have $\mathfrak{h}(M, N) \leq N$ since there is no path coming from the horizontal axis.

It was predicted in [GS92] and later proven by Borodin, Corwin, and Gorin [BCG16] that the model belongs to the so-called Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) universality class – a class of models that exhibit universal scaling exponents and limiting statistics first discovered in random matrix theory [Qua13, Cor12, Zyg18, Gan21]. [BCG16] showed that the height function satisfies the following convergence in probability:

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N)}{N} = \mu_\alpha := \begin{cases} 1 - \alpha, & \alpha \in (0, a), \\ \frac{(1 - \sqrt{a\alpha})^2}{1 - a} & \alpha \in (a, a^{-1}) \\ 0 & \alpha \in (a^{-1}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

The above convergence was upgraded to almost sure convergence in [DL23]. The choice of parameter $\alpha \in (a, a^{-1})$ is known as the *liquid region* where the limit shape is curved and there [BCG16] proved that the height function has Tracy-Widom GUE fluctuations (with a negative sign) of order $N^{1/3}$. The complementary situations when parameter $\alpha \leq a$ and $\alpha \geq a^{-1}$ correspond to the *frozen region* where the height function is flat and one expects the fluctuations to be exponentially small. Since the work [BCG16], there has been immense progress in understanding various aspects of this model. Connections to determinantal point processes [Bor18, BO17], limit shape and fluctuation theorems [Agg18, Agg20, CGST20, Dim23], and boundary-induced phase transitions [AB19] have been established. In a very recent breakthrough [ACH24], the S6V model has been shown to converge to the directed landscape [DOV22], the universal scaling limit in the KPZ universality class.

The present paper focuses on the study of large deviations of the S6V model: the rare events where the height function $\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N)$ deviates by an order N from its mean $\mu_\alpha N$. Interestingly, we expect different speeds for the upper and lower deviations. As $N \rightarrow \infty$ we expect

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N) \leq sN) \asymp e^{-N\Phi_\alpha^{(+)}(s)} \quad s \in [0, \mu_\alpha], \quad (\text{Upper Tail})$$

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N) \geq sN) \asymp e^{-N^2\Phi_\alpha^{(-)}(s)} \quad s \in [\mu_\alpha, 1]. \quad (\text{Lower Tail})$$

Since $-\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N)$ converges to the Tracy-Widom GUE after centering and scaling, we refer to $\{\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N) \leq sN\}$ as the upper tail and $\{\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N) \geq sN\}$ as the lower tail, aligning with the existing literature. The asymmetry above in the speeds, N versus N^2 , is a hallmark of the KPZ universality class. Heuristically, this can be understood from the path configurations of the S6V model. To achieve a large height at a given point, all paths need to stay low, whereas a small height can be attained if the lowest path trends upward.

We focus on the lower tail large deviations which is arguably more challenging and often requires more involved machineries. Our first main result in this direction shows that the lower-tail probabilities satisfy certain weak log-concavity.

Theorem 1.1. *Fix any $M, N \geq 8$ and $r_1, r_2 \geq 0$. Then, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(M, N) \geq r_1)\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(M, N) \geq r_2) \leq N^2\mathcal{C}^{(MN)^{7/8}} \left[\mathbb{P}\left(\mathfrak{h}(M, N) \geq \frac{r_1 + r_2}{2} - 4(MN)^{2/5}\right) \right]^2, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\mathcal{C} := a^{-1}q^{-1}(1-a)^{-2}(1-q)^{-2}$.

The exponents $7/8$ and $2/5$ are not optimal. Note that in the lower-tail large deviation regime, i.e., when $M = \lfloor \alpha N \rfloor$ and $r_1, r_2 > \mu_\alpha N$, the probabilities appearing above are of order $e^{-O(N^2)}$ and thus the prefactor $N^2\mathcal{C}^{(MN)^{7/8}}$ is negligible.

Theorem 1.1 is a key ingredient in proving a lower tail Large Deviation Principle (LDP) for the stochastic six-vertex model stated below.

Theorem 1.2. *For all $s \in [\mu_\alpha, 1]$ we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{N^2} \log \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N) \geq sN) = \Phi_\alpha^{(-)}(s). \quad (1.3)$$

$\Phi_\alpha^{(-)}$ is a non-decreasing, non-negative convex function with $\Phi_\alpha^{(-)}(\mu_\alpha) = 0$ and $\Phi_\alpha^{(-)}(1) = \alpha \log \frac{1-aq}{1-a}$. It has the following variational form

$$\Phi_\alpha^{(-)}(s) := \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \left[\mathcal{F}_\alpha(y) - (\log q^{-1}) \frac{(s-y)^2}{2} \right] \quad (1.4)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(y)$ is a certain energy integral explained in Section 1.1.1

While we do not address the upper tail LDP in this paper, it should be achievable using a perturbative analysis similar to [DT21, Lin21, DZ22, DLM23], leveraging the Fredholm determinant formula available for the S6V model [Bor18, BO17].

The lower tail case, on the other hand, is more subtle; perturbative analysis of the Fredholm determinant is no longer feasible. In this context, different techniques using connections to determinantal point processes have proven fruitful. This connection goes back to the work of Borodin and Olshanski [BO17], where they observed that the q -Laplace transform of the height function of the S6V model can be viewed as an expectation of a certain multiplicative functional under Schur measures. See also [Bor18] for more general moment matching formulas between higher-spin S6V models and Macdonald measures. It was first noted in [CG20b] that it is worthwhile to analyze such multiplicative functionals to obtain lower tail estimates of the observables. This approach was carried out in [CG20b] for the KPZ equation introduced in [KPZ86], where the q -Laplace transform becomes the usual Laplace transform and the underlying point process is the Airy_2 point process. Such analysis is by no means trivial, and a host of sophisticated techniques, ranging from the analysis of the stochastic Airy operator to Riemann-Hilbert problems, have been developed to analyze the underlying functional of the Airy_2 point process [Tsa22, CC22].

The approach we take in the current paper is also based on the connection from [Bor18, BO17] where the underlying point process is Meixner ensemble. While the applicability of the aforementioned techniques to the Meixner ensemble is yet to be explored, the analysis of S6V model presents another challenge due to the presence of the q -Laplace transform instead of the usual Laplace transform. Loosely speaking, the q -Laplace transform of the height function observable is related to the lower tail probability of a randomly shifted height function. The shift has Gaussian tails and has a non-trivial effect in the rate function. In essence, the lower-tail rate functions of the shifted and unshifted height function *are not the same* (unlike in the case of the KPZ equation).

For the q -PNG model, where one faces similar challenges, we proposed a strategy in our earlier work [DLM23] to negate the effect of this shift. This approach crucially uses the fact that the tail probabilities of the q -PNG height function (after a shift) are log-concave, stemming from the log-concavity of the Schur polynomials [Oko97, LPP07]. In the present paper the same idea cannot be applied. In fact, while there still exists a precise connection between S6V and periodic Schur measures, guaranteed by a result of [IMS22], the latter in this instance are not non-negative. Therefore their log-concavity cannot be leveraged to deduce probabilistic properties of the S6V height function.

To bypass this problem we devise an alternative approach, that proves Theorem 1.1 without relying on special algebraic structures which are consequence of the integrability of the S6V. The proof, which is outlined in Section 1.2.1 and fully fleshed out in Section 2, can be thought as a multi-dimensional variant of the Gessel-Viennot involution [GV85], which is an elegant and simple operation commonly seen in algebraic combinatorics. Despite the inspiration coming from algebraic combinatorics, our approach primarily depends on the Gibbsian structure of the growth process. It is amenable to broad generalizations, including Gibbsian growth processes of a more general nature in arbitrary dimensions – ideas which we hope to pursue further in future works.

As already pointed out the bound (1.2) is not optimal since it includes (a) an exponentially diverging factor in the right hand side and (b) a sublinearly diverging shift in the argument of the right hand side probability. A valid question, to which we have no answer, is if such diverging terms can be abated or removed all together. Such a question connects the world of integrable probability with that of the raising trend in algebraic combinatorics of studying log-concavity of algebraic objects such as multiplicities of representations or special symmetric polynomials [Oko97, Oko03, HMMSD22]. In fact, it is known [BBW18] that certain multi-point distributions of the height function of the S6V is described by the Hall-Littlewood polynomials, which are one parameter deformations of the Schur polynomials. A pure log-concavity result for the tails of the height

function \mathfrak{h} , would correspond to a log-concavity result for the Hall-Littlewood polynomials, which indeed appears a challenging though enticing direction to pursue.

Recently, a variety of probabilistic techniques have emerged, successfully yielding lower tail estimates in the moderate deviations (MD) regime for models within the KPZ class [ACG23, GH23, GH22, LS22, LS23b, CH24, LS23a, GS24, DHS24]. The work of [LS23a] derives tail estimates in the MD regime for the height function of the stationary S6V model using coupling arguments. For the step initial condition, S6V tail estimates in the MD regime appear in the forthcoming works [DHS24, GS24]. Both papers start from the same identity from [BO17] that we use, which relates the q -Laplace transform to a multiplicative statistic of the holes of the Meixner ensemble. [DHS24] uses determinantal techniques to derive tail estimates for the position of the smallest hole in the Meixner ensemble and thereby deduces tail estimates of $\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N)$ in the MD regime. [GS24], on the other hand, uses Riemann-Hilbert problem machineries to derive precise asymptotic behaviors of these multiplicative statistics in the MD regime. It would be interesting to see how much of these techniques can be extended to the large deviation regime.

We end our discussion by mentioning few works related to our paper. For zero temperature models such as the totally asymmetric simple exclusion process, one-point LDPs were obtained using various techniques in [LS77, Sep98a, Sep98b, DZ99, Joh00b] and process-level LDPs were studied in [Jen00, Var04, OT19, QT21]. The lower-tail LDP for the first passage percolation was established in [BGS21] at the one-point level and recently in [Ver24] at the metric level. For the universal scaling limit, a metric level LDP for the directed landscape was recently proven in [DDV24], leading to a process-level LDP for the Airy₂ process in [DT24]. Besides the above mentioned papers, one-point tails for the KPZ equation were also studied in the physics works [LDMS16, LDMRS16, KLD17, SMP17, CGK⁺18, KLD18a, KLD18b, KLDP18] and in the mathematics works [DT21, Kim21, CG20a, GL23], and process-level large deviations were studied in the physics works [KK07, KK09, MKV16, MS17, MV18, KMS16, HMS19, KLD21, KLD22, KLD23] and the mathematics works [LT21, GLLT23, LT22, GH22, GHZ23, LT23, Tsa23].

1.1.1. Description of the rate function. To describe \mathcal{F}_α appearing in (1.4), we need some basic notions and results from potential theory. For context and significance on potential theory, we refer to the excellent monograph of Saff and Totik [ST97].

Given a continuous function $V : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (often called external field or potential) satisfying $V(x) \geq 2 \log(1 + x^2)$ for large enough x , and a probability measure on $\mu \in (\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+))$, we define the logarithmic energy integral

$$I_V(\mu) := \iint_{x \neq y} k_V(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y), \quad k_V(x, y) := -\log|x - y| + \frac{1}{2}V(x) + \frac{1}{2}V(y). \quad (1.5)$$

For $s > 0$, let \mathcal{A}_s denote the set of all $\phi \in L^1([0, s])$ satisfying

$$0 \leq \phi(x) \leq 1 \text{ for Lebesgue a.e. } x \in [0, s], \text{ and } \int_0^s \phi(x) dx = 1.$$

Given a $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_s$, we will denote $\mu_\phi \in ([0, s], \mathcal{B}([0, s]))$ to be the probability measure with density ϕ .

One of the fundamental problem of potential theory is to minimize the energy integral over all possible measures. We quote a classical result from [DS97] in this direction: There exists a unique $\phi_V \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$ with compact support such that

$$\inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\infty} I_V(\mu_\phi) = I_V(\mu_{\phi_V}) =: F_V \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.6)$$

μ_{ϕ_V} and F_V are known as the equilibrium measure and the equilibrium energy for the potential V .

We now describe \mathcal{F}_α appearing in (1.4). For $y \in (-\infty, \infty]$ and $\alpha \geq 1$ we define the external field:

$$\mathcal{V}_y(x) = (\log a^{-1})x + (\log q^{-1}) \min(x, y) - (\alpha - 1)[\log(x + \alpha - 1) - 1] + x \log \frac{x}{x + \alpha - 1}. \quad (1.7)$$

We have suppressed the dependency on α above. For $\alpha \geq 1$, define

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha(y) := (\log q^{-1}) \frac{y^2 - 2y}{2} - \alpha \log(1 - a) + \frac{\log(a)}{2} + C_\alpha + F_\alpha(y), \quad (1.8)$$

where $F_\alpha(y) := F_{\mathcal{V}_y}$ comes from (1.6) (with $V \mapsto \mathcal{V}_y$) and

$$C_\alpha := -\frac{(\alpha - 1)^2 \log(\alpha - 1) - \alpha^2 \log(\alpha) + 3\alpha}{2}. \quad (1.9)$$

When $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_\alpha(y) := & (\log q^{-1}) \frac{y^2 - 2y\alpha + 2\alpha - 2\alpha^2}{2} - (\log q^{-1}) \int_\alpha^1 (y + x - 1)_+ dx \\ & - \alpha \log(1 - a) + \alpha^2 \frac{\log(a)}{2} + \alpha^2 C_{\alpha^{-1}} + \alpha^2 F_{\alpha^{-1}}(y\alpha^{-1} - \alpha^{-1} + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

The following theorem summarizes the properties of \mathcal{F}_α .

Theorem 1.3. *The function \mathcal{F}_α is non-decreasing, non-negative, convex, and continuously differentiable function with derivative being $(\log q^{-1})$ -Lipschitz. $\mathcal{F}(\mu_\alpha) = 0$ and there exists $x_0(a, q, \alpha) > 0$ such that for all $x \geq x_0$*

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha(x) = (\log q^{-1}) \frac{(x - 1)^2}{2} + \alpha \log \frac{1 - aq}{1 - a}. \quad (1.11)$$

1.2. Proof ideas. In this section we discuss the key ideas behind the proof of our main theorems.

1.2.1. Log concavity of lower-tail probabilities. The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on an injective argument. We prove that, given a pair of height functions h_1, h_2 associated with stochastic six vertex models with step boundary conditions and such that $h_1(M, N) = r$, $h_2(M, N) = r'$, we can construct, injectively, another pair of heights \tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_2 such that

$$\frac{r + r'}{2} - (MN)^{2/5} \leq \tilde{h}_1(M, N), \tilde{h}_2(M, N) \leq \frac{r + r'}{2} + (MN)^{2/5} \quad (1.12)$$

and importantly

$$\prod_{v=1}^2 \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{h}(i, j) = h_v(i, j); (i, j) \in \Lambda_{M, N}) \leq \mathbf{C}^{(MN)^{7/8}} \prod_{v=1}^2 \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{h}(i, j) = \tilde{h}_v(i, j); (i, j) \in \Lambda_{M, N}). \quad (1.13)$$

From the above relation, a simple computation allows one to extend weak log-concavity for lower-tail probabilities. The injective mapping of height functions $(h_1, h_2) \rightarrow (\tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_2)$ is described in detail in Section 2 and it is reminiscent of the Gessel-Viennot involution [GV85] for pairs of one dimensional paths. The procedure is illustrated in the various panels of Figure 3 and we elaborate it next. Given the two heights h_1, h_2 we consider their overlaid plot as in Figure 3(a). Assuming that $h_1(M, N) = r < r' = h_2(M, N)$, we lift up rigidly the entire height function $h_1(\cdot, \cdot)$ by k units, denoting the result by $\tau_k(h_1)$, where the specific k will be decided at a later stage; this is shown in Figure 3(b), where we took $k = 2$. By construction $\tau_k(h_1)(M, N) = r + k$. We will assume that $k < r' - r$, in which case we have $\tau_k(h_1)(M, N) < h_2(M, N)$ and therefore there exists a non-empty region

$$\{(i, j) : \tau_k(h_1)(i, j) < h_2(i, j)\},$$

which possesses a connected component (in the topology induced by the Manhattan metric) containing (M, N) which we denote by $R_{M, N}(\tau_k(h_1) < h_2)$. In Figure 3(b) such region is colored in gray. We now swap the values of the two heights $\tau_k(h_1), h_2$ only on the region $R_{M, N}(\tau_k(h_1) < h_2)$, as shown in Figure 3(c). Such operation is denoted by $(\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2) = \iota_{M, N}(\tau_k(h_1), h_2)$. We finally proceed to shift downward by k the height \bar{h}_1 , operation which re-establishes the correct boundary




FIGURE 3. A depiction of the injective mapping $(h_1, h_2) \mapsto (\tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_2)$ described in Section 1.2.1. In panel (a) the blue and red heights are respectively h_1 and h_2 , while in panels (d), (e) blue and red heights are respectively \tilde{h}_1 and \tilde{h}_2 . Here we have $N = M = 7$ and with the choice $k = 2$ we end up with $\tilde{h}_1(M, N) = \tilde{h}_2(M, N) = 3$, which equals the midpoint $\frac{1}{2}(h_1(M, N) + h_2(M, N))$.

conditions; see Figure 3(d). Keeping the notation consistent with Section 2 this final operation defines

$$(\tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_2) = \Upsilon_{(M,N)}^k(h_1, h_2), \quad \text{with} \quad \Upsilon_{(M,N)}^k = (\tau_{-k} \times \text{Id}) \circ \iota_{(M,N)} \circ (\tau_k \times \text{Id}).$$

We can finally transform the height configurations back to paths configurations as done in Figure 3(e).

The sequence of transformations described above is not necessarily weight preserving, in the sense that it is not true that the Boltzmann stochastic weights corresponding to \tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_2 are just a permutation of those corresponding to h_1, h_2 . Nevertheless, it is not hard to show that differences between Boltzmann weights corresponding to pairs h_1, h_2 and \tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_2 are only possible along the boundaries of the region $R_{M,N}(\tau_k(h_1) < h_2)$. One can be convinced of this claim after checking in Figure 3. This is the point where we choose our shifting index k : we pick $k = k_{M,N}^*(h_1, h_2)$ to be minimal number greater than $\frac{r'-r}{2}$ such that the length of the boundary of $R_{M,N}(\tau_k(h_1) < h_2) \cap \Lambda_{M,N}$ does not exceed $(MN)^{7/8}$. A pigeonhole principle argument shows that such choice of k will satisfy the bound

$$\left\lceil \frac{r' - r}{2} \right\rceil \leq k_{M,N}^*(h_1, h_2) \leq \left\lceil \frac{r' - r}{2} \right\rceil + (MN)^{2/5},$$

guaranteeing that the values of the transformed heights \tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_2 satisfy the desired inequalities (1.12) and simultaneously (1.13). Here the factor $C^{(MN)^{7/8}}$ appears bounding the maximal difference in Boltzmann stochastic weights which the injection produces. Since the choice of k forces the number of vertices where such Boltzmann weight mismatch can occur to be less than $(MN)^{7/8}$, the exponent is also justified.

1.2.2. *Lower tail LDP.* The starting point of our proof of Theorem 1.2 is an identity from [Bor18, BO17] which, in view of an identity from [IMS21], can be read as follows:

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N) - \chi - S \geq sN) = \left[\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + q^{j-sN}} \right] \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \left(1 + q^{\lambda_{N-j} + j - sN} \right) \right]. \quad (1.14)$$

Here $\chi + S$ is a random shift independent of $\mathfrak{h}(\cdot, \cdot)$ with an explicit distribution (given in (4.4)) and in the right-hand side λ is distributed as a Schur measure with a certain positive specialization (see (3.2)). Since the work of [Joh00b], it has been known that such Schur measures can be viewed as *discrete log-gases*. Discrete and continuous log-gases, on the other hand, are extensively studied in random matrix theory and beyond (see the books [AGZ10, Dei00, For10, Meh04, PS11] for a review), and have a close connection with potential theory. This connection allows one to derive large deviations for the empirical measure $\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \delta((\lambda_{N-j} + j)/N)$. Using a Varadhan's lemma type argument from this point, the asymptotics of the right-hand side of (1.14) can be determined. This eventually leads to

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{N^2} \log \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N) - \chi - S \geq sN) = \mathcal{F}_\alpha(s), \quad (1.15)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(s)$ is given in (1.8) and (1.10). The details of the derivation of (1.15) are presented in Section 3.1.

To prove (1.3) from here, we need to negate the effect of the shift $\chi + S$. It turns out that the lower tail of $\chi + S$ is Gaussian, with $\mathbb{P}(\chi + S \leq -yN) \asymp e^{-N^2 g(y)}$ where $g(y) := (\log q^{-1})y^2/2$, and hence the presence of this random shift has a non-trivial effect on the limit (1.15). Indeed, if we define

$$\Phi_{\alpha,N}(y) := -\frac{1}{N^2} \log \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N) \geq yN),$$

we can deduce (Proposition 4.3 in the text) that

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha(y) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \{g(x) + \Phi_{\alpha, N}(y - x)\}. \quad (1.16)$$

To show that $\Phi_{\alpha, N}$ has a limit, we use a deconvolution scheme from [DLM23] which relies on two key components:

- The rate function $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(y)$ possesses, for y large, an explicit parabolic behavior.
- The pre-limit rate function $\Phi_{\alpha, N}$ is approximately convex, as implied by Theorem 1.1.

In order to establish the precise behaviour at large y of the rate function $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(y)$, we show that, for all large enough y , we have $F_\alpha(y) = F_\alpha(\infty)$, where F_α is the energy integral defined below (1.8), and then compute $F_\alpha(\infty)$ explicitly. This relies heavily on potential theory, and we refer the readers to Section 3.2 for details. A result of such computation is that the exact expression in (1.11) in fact is equal to $g(y - 1) + \Phi_{\alpha, N}(1)$. This fact is quite important; as in view of (1.16), it implies an approximate continuity of $\Phi_{\alpha, N}$ at 1:

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ (free of N) such that $\Phi_{\alpha, N}(1) - \Phi_{\alpha, N}(1 - \delta) \leq \varepsilon$ for all large N .

Approximate convexity of $\Phi_{\alpha, N}$ then allows us to upgrade the above approximate continuity to equicontinuity of $\{\Phi_{\alpha, N}\}_N$ and hence $\Phi_{\alpha, N}$ has a limit, by the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem. Finally, any such limit can be expressed uniquely, using its convexity and properties of the Legendre-Fenchel transform, as the infimal deconvolution between \mathcal{F}_α and the parabola g , as in (1.4), hence proving that it is unique. The details of this procedure are given in Proposition 4.5.

Outline. In Section 2, we derive our weak log-concavity result for the tail probabilities of the height function: Theorem 1.1. In Section 3 we prove the lower-tail LDP for the shifted height function. This section can be read independently of Section 2. Finally, in Section 4 we apply the deconvolution scheme and prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3.

Notation and Conventions. Throughout the paper we fix $a, q \in (0, 1)$ and $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$. We use the notation $\eta_q := \log q^{-1}$. We use $C(x, y, z, \dots) > 0$ to denote a generic deterministic positive finite constant that is dependent on the designated variables x, y, z, \dots . $\#|A|$ denotes the cardinality of a finite set A .

Acknowledgements. We thank Ivan Corwin for his feedback and suggestions on an earlier draft of the paper. We also thank Hindy Drillick and Promit Ghosal for sharing their upcoming works, [DHS24] and [GS24] respectively, with us.

2. LOG-CONCAVITY BOUNDS FOR THE LOWER TAIL PROBABILITIES

The goal of this section is to deduce log-concavity bounds for the tail probabilities of the S6V model. As explained in the introduction, the proof relies on an injective argument that heavily utilizes surface geometry of the height function of the S6V model. In Section 2.1, we derive certain properties of the underlying geometry of height surfaces and define certain class of bijective contraction maps. In Section 2.2, using the maps and the geometry, we prove Theorem 1.1.

2.1. Surface geometry of height function. In this section, we introduce a space \mathcal{H} for the height functions of the S6V model and discuss some geometric properties of the space \mathcal{H} .

Towards this end, we define the dual lattice $\Lambda' := \mathbb{Z}'_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}'_{\geq 0}$, where $\mathbb{Z}'_{\geq 0} = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} + \frac{1}{2}$, which we view as the set of faces of $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$; see Figure 4. For every vertex $v \in \Lambda$ its adjacent faces are defined as

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{NW}(v) \quad | \quad \text{NE}(v) \\
\hline
\bullet \quad v \\
\hline
\text{SW}(v) \quad | \quad \text{SE}(v)
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{l}
\text{NW}(v) = v - \frac{\mathfrak{e}_1}{2} + \frac{\mathfrak{e}_2}{2}, \quad \text{NE}(v) = v + \frac{\mathfrak{e}_1}{2} + \frac{\mathfrak{e}_2}{2} \\
\text{SW}(v) = v - \frac{\mathfrak{e}_1}{2} - \frac{\mathfrak{e}_2}{2}, \quad \text{SE}(v) = v + \frac{\mathfrak{e}_1}{2} - \frac{\mathfrak{e}_2}{2}.
\end{array}
\quad (2.1)$$

For any region $R \subset \Lambda'$, we define its boundary $\partial R \subset \Lambda$ as the set of vertices $v \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^2$ adjacent to both faces in R and in R^c ; see Figure 4.

For a function $h : \Lambda' \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ we introduce the discrete gradients

$$\nabla_1 h(p) := h(p + \mathfrak{e}_1) - h(p), \quad \nabla_2 h(p) := h(p + \mathfrak{e}_2) - h(p)$$

and we define the collection

$$\mathcal{H} := \left\{ h : \Lambda' \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} : \nabla_1 h(p) \in \{0, -1\}, \nabla_2 h(p) \in \{0, 1\} \right\}. \quad (2.2)$$

\mathcal{H} is the set of all possible stochastic six vertex model height functions. We also define

$$\mathcal{H}_0 := \left\{ h \in \mathcal{H} : h(m, 1/2) = 0, h(1/2, m) = m - 1/2, \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}'_{\geq 0} \right\} \quad (2.3)$$

to denote the set of stochastic six vertex model height functions obtained from step initial condition.

In our arguments, we shall typically consider two height functions $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}$ simultaneously which would often require us to consider regions where one of the heights dominates the other. We introduce these regions next and then derive some of their geometric properties.

Definition 2.1. Given two height functions $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}$ we define the region of Λ'

$$R(h < h') = \{p \in \Lambda' : h(p) < h'(p)\}.$$

In general the region $R(h < h')$ is the disjoint union of connected component, in the topology of Λ' induced by the nearest neighbor metric. For any $p \in \Lambda'$ we will denote the connected component of $R(h < h')$ containing p by $R_p(h < h')$. In case $p \notin R(h < h')$, by agreement we set $R_p(h < h') = \emptyset$. Similarly, we define

$$R(h \neq h') = R(h < h') \cup R(h' < h)$$

and we denote by $R_p(h \neq h')$ the connected component of $R(h \neq h')$ containing the face p .

The following proposition states a simple property of the boundary of the regions $R(h < h')$.

Proposition 2.2. Fix $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}$. If $p, p' \in \Lambda'$ are adjacent faces such that $p \in R(h < h')$ and $p' \notin R(h < h')$, then $h'(p) = h(p) + 1$ and $h'(p') = h(p')$.

Proof. Consider the case $p' = p + \mathfrak{e}_1$. Calling $r = h(p)$ and $r' = h'(p)$, then we have $h(p')$ is either r or $r - 1$ and $h'(p')$ is either r' or $r' - 1$ as depicted below

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline r' & r' \\ \hline r & r \\ \hline \end{array} &
\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline r' & r' \\ \hline r & r-1 \\ \hline \end{array} &
\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline r' & r'-1 \\ \hline r & r \\ \hline \end{array} &
\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline r' & r'-1 \\ \hline r & r-1 \\ \hline \end{array} \\
p & p' & p & p'
\end{array}$$

Since $p \in R(h < h')$, we have $r < r'$. Since $p' \notin R(h < h')$, we have $h(p') \geq h'(p')$. But, among the above four cases, this is only possible when $r' = r + 1$. This proves our claim in the case $p' = p + \mathfrak{e}_1$. All other cases $p' = p - \mathfrak{e}_1$, $p' = p + \mathfrak{e}_2$, $p' = p - \mathfrak{e}_2$ can be proven analogously. \square

By virtue of Proposition 2.2, for any pair of heights $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}$ the sets $R(h < h')$ and $R(h' < h)$ do not have any adjacent faces. In other words, in terms of Manhattan set distance, $d_1(R(h < h'), R(h' < h)) > 1$. A consequence of this fact is that, for any p the set $R_p(h \neq h')$ is either equal to $R_p(h < h')$ or $R_p(h' < h)$.




FIGURE 4. A connected region $R \subset \Lambda'$. Thick dots denote the boundary set ∂R .


We next introduce the shift map $\tau_k : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ by setting

$$\tau_k(h)(p) := h(p) + k.$$

In words, the shift map τ_k lifts a height by k units. The following lemma shows that given any vertex v on the boundary of two height functions, i.e., $\partial R(h \neq h')$, if we lift one of the heights by at least 2 units, the vertex v no longer lies in the boundary $\partial R(\tau_k(h) \neq h')$.


Lemma 2.3. *Fix $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}$. If $v \in \partial R(h \neq h')$, then, for all $k \geq 2$, $v \notin \partial R(\tau_k(h) \neq h')$.*

Proof. Note that if $v \in \partial R(h' < h)$, then $h(p) \geq h'(p) - 1$ for all faces p around v . But then for $k \geq 2$, $\tau_k(p) > h'(p)$ for all faces p around v . Thus $v \notin \partial R(h' < \tau_k(h))$ for all $k \geq 2$. Suppose $v \in \partial R(h < h')$. Then among the faces adjacent to the vertex v at least one is in $R(h < h')$, while at least one is not in $R(h < h')$. Coloring faces in $R(h < h')$ in gray and in white those not in $R(h < h')$, we can depict cases in which only one of the faces adjacent to v is in $R(h < h')$ as




(2.4)

cases in which two of the faces adjacent to v are in $R(h < h')$ as



(2.5)

and finally cases in which three of the faces adjacent to v are in $R(h < h')$ as



(2.6)

By Proposition 2.2 we know that, white faces p' adjacent to gray faces are such that $h(p') = h'(p')$, while gray faces p adjacent to white faces are such that $h'(p) = h(p) + 1$. This shows that in all cases (2.4), (2.5) none of the faces adjacent to v will belong to $R(\tau_1(h) < h')$. On the other hand if faces configurations fall into case (2.6), then, after lifting up by 1 height h , around vertex v there could still be a single face of $R(\tau_1(h) < h')$, as in (2.4). Then, lifting further h , we have that $R(\tau_2(h) < h')$ cannot intersect any of the vertices adjacent to v . Thus, $v \notin \partial R(\tau_k(h) < h')$ for all $k \geq 2$. This completes the proof. \square

As a consequence of the above lemma, we observe that $\{\partial R(\tau_{2k}(h) \neq h')\}_{k \geq 0}$ is a disjoint family of sets. By a pigeonhole principle argument, this will allow us to obtain k^* where $|\partial R(\tau_{2k^*}(h) \neq h') \cap ([0, M] \times [0, N])|$ is of smaller order than MN . We record a version of this consequence in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Fix any $p \in \Lambda'$ and $M, N > 8$ and $r < r'$. For any pair of height functions $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}_0$ with $h(M, N) = r$, $h'(M, N) = r'$, define*

$$k_{M,N}^*(p; h, h') = \min \left\{ k \geq \left\lceil \frac{r' - r}{2} \right\rceil : |\partial R_p(\tau_k(h) \neq h') \cap \Lambda_{M,N}| \leq (MN)^{7/8} \right\}, \quad (2.7)$$

where $\Lambda_{M,N} := \{1, \dots, M\} \times \{1, \dots, N\}$. Then, we have

$$\left\lceil \frac{r' - r}{2} \right\rceil \leq k_{M,N}^*(p; h, h') \leq \left\lceil \frac{r' - r}{2} \right\rceil + (MN)^{2/5}. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. For any $p \in \Lambda'$, pairs of height functions h, h' , and for $i \in \{0, 1\}$, the sets $\{\partial R_p(\tau_{2k+i}(h) \neq h')\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ form a disjoint family, by Lemma 2.3. Thus,

$$\sum_{k=\left\lceil \frac{r'-r}{2} \right\rceil}^{\left\lceil \frac{r'-r}{2} \right\rceil + (MN)^{2/5}} |\partial R_p(\tau_k(h) \neq h') \cap \Lambda_{M,N}| \leq 2|\Lambda_{M,N}| \leq 2MN.$$

Then, whenever $(MN)^{11/40} > 2$, which is guaranteed by our choice of M, N , we can be sure that not all of the $(MN)^{2/5}$ terms in the above summation can be greater than $(MN)^{7/8}$, by a straightforward counting argument. This proves the bound (2.8). \square

Definition 2.5 (Involution of surfaces). For $p \in \Lambda'$ and two height functions $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}$, we define

$$\iota_p(h, h') := (\bar{h}, \bar{h}') \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H},$$

where

$$(\bar{h}(z), \bar{h}'(z)) := \begin{cases} (h'(z), h(z)) & \text{if } z \in R_p(h \neq h') \\ (h(z), h'(z)) & \text{else.} \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

If $h(p) = h'(p)$ we set $\iota_p(h, h') := (h, h')$.

Note that (\bar{h}, \bar{h}') , defined via (2.9), indeed lies in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ as on the faces that are not in $R_p(h \neq h')$ but are adjacent to some face in $R_p(h \neq h')$, h and h' are equal. In words, the ι_p swaps the height function h and h' in the region $R_p(h \neq h')$. Clearly, it is an involution.

Definition 2.6 (Bijective contraction maps). For $p \in \Lambda'$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and two height functions $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}$, we define the map $\Upsilon_p^k : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ as

$$\Upsilon_p^k := (\tau_{-k} \times \text{Id}) \circ \iota_p \circ (\tau_k \times \text{Id}),$$

where $(\tau_k \times \text{Id})(h, h') = (\tau_k(h), h')$.

As ι_p is an involution, it is clear that for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ the map Υ_p^k is a bijection on the space of pairs of height functions with inverse given by Υ_p^{-k} . A closer look to the map Υ_p^k shows that, calling $(\tilde{h}, \tilde{h}') = \Upsilon_p^k(h, h')$, we have

$$\tilde{h}(p) = h'(p) - k, \tilde{h}'(p) = h(p) + k \text{ whenever } h'(p) \geq h(p) + k. \quad (2.10)$$

Thus whenever $h'(p)$ and $h(p)$ are far apart, the Υ_p^k map brings the heights at p closer. For this reason, we call it a *contraction* map. A further property of the map Υ_p^k stating that it preserves the step initial condition, which will be instrumental to establish convexity properties of the law of the six vertex model height function, is stated next.

Proposition 2.7. Fix $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}_0$ (recall the definition from (2.3)) and $p \in \Lambda'$. Suppose $h(p) = r$, $h'(p) = r'$ with $r' > r$. Then for all $k \in \{0, \dots, r' - r\}$, $\Upsilon_p^k(h, h') \in \mathcal{H}_0$.

Proof. Consider the left and bottom boundary of the lattice Λ'

$$B_{\perp} = \{(i', j') \in \Lambda' : \min(i', j') = 1/2\}.$$

In case $k \in \{0, \dots, r' - r\}$ we have $h(p) + k = r + k \leq r' = h'(p)$. On the other hand for each boundary face $v \in B_{\perp}$ we have $h(v) + k \geq h'(v)$. Therefore $R_p(\tau_k(h) \neq h')$ has empty intersection with the boundary B_{\perp} and calling $(\bar{h}, \bar{h}') = \iota_p(\tau_k(h), h')$ we have

$$\bar{h}(p) = h(p) + k, \quad \bar{h}'(p) = h'(p) \quad \text{for all } p \in B_{\perp}$$

and as a result for $(\tilde{h}, \tilde{h}') = (\tau_{-k}(\bar{h}), \bar{h}')$ we have

$$\tilde{h}(p) = h(p) \quad \tilde{h}'(p) = h'(p) \quad \text{for all } p \in B_{\perp}.$$

Therefore boundary conditions of $h, h', \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}'$ are the same, completing the proof. \square

In words, Proposition 2.7 allows us to transform a pair (h, h') of height functions in \mathcal{H}_0 which assume values far apart at a fixed point p into a pair (\tilde{h}, \tilde{h}') , where both heights still lie in \mathcal{H}_0 , but their value at p is closer by $2k$ as prescribed by (2.10).

2.2. Log-concavity bounds. In this section, we prove weak log-concavity bounds for the tail probabilities of the stochastic six vertex model. Towards this end, we first view the S6V height function \mathfrak{h} as a function on the faces by setting

$$\mathfrak{h}(p) := \mathfrak{h}(p - \mathbf{e}_1/2 - \mathbf{e}_2/2)$$

for all $v \in \Lambda'$ where the right-hand side is defined via (1.1). The Markov evolution rules for vertex configurations defining the S6V model can clearly be phrased in terms of height functions, as shown by Figure 1. Recall the notation of adjacent faces from (2.1). Given a height function $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and any vertex $v \in \Lambda$ we introduce the notation

$$w_v(h) = w(-\nabla_1 h(\text{SW}(v)), \nabla_2 h(\text{SW}(v)); -\nabla_1 h(\text{NW}(v)), \nabla_2 h(\text{SE}(v))),$$

where $w(i_1, j_1; i_2, j_2)$ are vertex weights from Figure 1. $w_v(h)$ is precisely the stochastic weight of the vertex v corresponding to a given height function h . With a slight abuse of notation, for any finite set of vertices $V \subset \Lambda$ we define

$$w_V(h) = \prod_{v \in V} w_v(h).$$

We shall call $w_V(h)$ the Boltzmann weight of the height h restricted to V . With this notation in place, it is easy to see that for all $h \in \mathcal{H}_0$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(v) = h(v) \text{ for all } v \in \Lambda_{M,N}) = w_{\Lambda_{M,N}}(h). \quad (2.11)$$

Our next two results shows certain weak log-concavity of the Boltzmann weight under the ι_p and Υ_p^k map defined in Definition 2.5 and 2.6 respectively. Towards this end, we define the constant

$$\mathbf{C} := a^{-1}q^{-1}(1-a)^{-2}(1-q)^{-2}, \quad (2.12)$$

where a, q are the parameters of the S6V model. This constant has the property that

$$\frac{w_{v_1}(h_1)w_{v_2}(h_2)}{w_{v_3}(h_3)w_{v_4}(h_4)} \leq \mathbf{C} \quad (2.13)$$

for all $v_i \in \Lambda'$ and $h_i \in \mathcal{H}$ which can be readily checked.

Proposition 2.8 (Weak log-concavity under ι_p map). *Fix $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $p \in \Lambda_{M,N}$. Consider $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}$ and let $(\bar{h}, \bar{h}') = \iota_p(h, h')$. Then*

$$w_{\Lambda_{M,N}}(h)w_{\Lambda_{M,N}}(h') \leq \mathbf{C}^{\#\partial R_p(h \neq h') \cap \Lambda_{M,N}} w_{\Lambda_{M,N}}(\bar{h})w_{\Lambda_{M,N}}(\bar{h}').$$

Proof. Let $v \in \Lambda$ be a vertex and let $\text{SW}(v), \text{SE}(v), \text{NW}(v), \text{NE}(v) \in \Lambda'$ be the quadruple of faces adjacent to v . To lighten the notation, we write R_p to mean $R_p(h \neq h')$. If $\text{SW}(v), \text{SE}(v), \text{NW}(v), \text{NE}(v)$ all belong to R_p^c we have, by construction

$$h(p) = \bar{h}(p), \quad h'(p) = \bar{h}'(p), \quad \text{for all } p \in \{\text{SW}(v), \text{SE}(v), \text{NW}(v), \text{NE}(v)\},$$

which implies that $w_v(h) = w_v(\bar{h})$ and $w_v(h') = w_v(\bar{h}')$. Similarly, if $\text{SW}(v), \text{SE}(v), \text{NW}(v), \text{NE}(v)$ all belong to R_p we have, by construction

$$h(p) = \bar{h}'(p), \quad h'(p) = \bar{h}(p), \quad \text{for all } p \in \{\text{SW}(v), \text{SE}(v), \text{NW}(v), \text{NE}(v)\},$$

which implies that $w_v(h) = w_v(\bar{h}')$ and $w_v(h') = w_v(\bar{h})$. This shows that

$$w_v(h)w_v(h') = w_v(\bar{h})w_v(\bar{h}'), \quad \text{if } v \notin \partial R_p$$

and hence

$$w_{\Lambda_{M,N} \setminus \partial R_p}(h)w_{\Lambda_{M,N} \setminus \partial R_p}(h') = w_{\Lambda_{M,N} \setminus \partial R_p}(\bar{h})w_{\Lambda_{M,N} \setminus \partial R_p}(\bar{h}'). \quad (2.14)$$

On the other hand, when $v \in \partial R_p(h \neq h')$, in general $w_v(h)w_v(h') \neq w_v(\bar{h})w_v(\bar{h}')$. However, due to the property (2.13) we have

$$\frac{w_v(h)w_v(h')}{w_v(\bar{h})w_v(\bar{h}')} \leq \mathbf{C}.$$

As a result we have

$$w_{\partial R_p \cap \Lambda_{M,N}}(h)w_{\partial R_p \cap \Lambda_{M,N}}(h') \leq \mathbf{C}^{\#\partial R_p \cap \Lambda_{M,N}} w_{\partial R_p \cap \Lambda_{M,N}}(\bar{h})w_{\partial R_p \cap \Lambda_{M,N}}(\bar{h}'). \quad (2.15)$$

Combining (2.14) and (2.15) completes the proof. \square

We can easily upgrade the above proposition to Υ_p^k maps defined in Definition 2.6.

Proposition 2.9. *Fix $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $p \in \Lambda_{M,N}$. Consider $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}$ and let $(\tilde{h}, \tilde{h}') = \Upsilon_p^k(h, h')$. Then*

$$w_{\Lambda_{M,N}}(h)w_{\Lambda_{M,N}}(h') \leq \mathbf{C}^{\#\partial R_p(\tau_k(h) \neq h') \cap \Lambda_{M,N}} w_{\Lambda_{M,N}}(\tilde{h})w_{\Lambda_{M,N}}(\tilde{h}'),$$

where the constant \mathbf{C} is defined in (2.12).

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.8 after noticing that for every height $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and any finite set A , for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $w_A(h) = w_A(\tau_k(h))$. \square

Since the above proposition holds for all k , using Lemma 2.4, we may choose a k for which $\#\partial R_p(\tau_k(h) \neq h') \cap \Lambda_{M,N}$ is of smaller order than MN . This will allow us to deduce a density version of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 2.10. *Fix $M, N > 8$ and $r, r' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(M, N) = r)\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(M, N) = r') \leq \mathbf{C}^{(MN)^{7/8}} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\mathfrak{h}(M, N) - \frac{r+r'}{2}\right| \leq 4(MN)^{2/5}\right)^2, \quad (2.16)$$

where the coefficient \mathbf{C} is given in (2.12).

Proof of Proposition 2.10. Without loss of generality, assume $r < r'$. When $\frac{r'-r}{2} \leq 4(MN)^{2/5}$, the event

$$\left\{ \left| \mathfrak{h}(M, N) - \frac{r+r'}{2} \right| \leq 4(MN)^{2/5} \right\},$$

contains both the events $\{\mathfrak{h}(M, N) = r\}$ and $\{\mathfrak{h}(M, N) = r'\}$. Hence (2.16) is trivial. So, let us assume $\frac{r'-r}{2} > 4(MN)^{2/5}$. Set $p = (M, N) \in \Lambda$ and consider the set

$$T_{p;r,r'} := \{(h, h') \in \mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathcal{H}_0 : h(p) = r, h'(p) = r'\}.$$

Using (2.11), we may write the left-hand side of (2.16) as follows.

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(M, N) = r)\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(M, N) = r') = \sum_{(h,h') \in T_{p;r,r'}} w_{\Lambda_{M,N}}(h)w_{\Lambda_{M,N}}(h'). \quad (2.17)$$

Consider the $\Upsilon_p^{k^*}$ map restricted to $T_{p;r,r'}$ with $k^* = k_{M,N}^*(p; h, h')$ coming from (2.7). Since $\frac{r'-r}{2} > 4(MN)^{2/5}$, by the bound on k^* from (2.8), we have that $k^* \leq r' - r = h'(p) - h(p)$ for all $(h, h') \in T_{p;r,r'}$. Hence the condition in (2.10) holds. By (2.10) and the bound on k^* from (2.8) we have that

$$\frac{r+r'}{2} - 4(MN)^{2/5} \leq \tilde{h}(p), \tilde{h}'(p) \leq \frac{r+r'}{2} + 4(MN)^{2/5} \quad (2.18)$$

for all $(\tilde{h}, \tilde{h}') \in \Upsilon_p^{k^*}(T_{p;r,r'})$. Moreover, by definition of $k^* = k_{M,N}^*(p; h, h')$ from (2.7) we have

$$\# |\partial R_p(\tau_{k^*}(h) \neq h') \cap \Lambda_{M,N}| \leq (MN)^{7/8},$$

which shows, using Proposition 2.9, that

$$w_{\Lambda_{M,N}}(h)w_{\Lambda_{M,N}}(h') \leq C^{(MN)^{7/8}} w_{\Lambda_{M,N}}(\tilde{h})w_{\Lambda_{M,N}}(\tilde{h}'). \quad (2.19)$$

We claim that $\Upsilon_p^{k^*}$ is injective when restricted to $T_{p;r,r'}$ (note that k^* depends on (h, h')). Indeed, if $(\tilde{h}, \tilde{h}') = \Upsilon_p^{k_1^*}(h_1, h'_1) = \Upsilon_p^{k_2^*}(h_2, h'_2)$, we have $\tilde{h}(p) = h_1(p) - k_1^* = h_2(p) - k_2^*$. But on $T_{p;r,r'}$, $h_1(p) = h_2(p) = r'$. Thus $k_1^* = k_2^*$. Now injectivity of Υ_p^k for fixed k leads to $(h_1, h'_1) = (h_2, h'_2)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{r.h.s. of (2.17)} &\leq C^{(NM)^{7/8}} \sum_{\substack{(h,h') \in T_{p;r,r'} \\ (\tilde{h}, \tilde{h}') = \Upsilon_p^{k^*}(h,h')}} w_{\Lambda_{M,N}}(\tilde{h})w_{\Lambda_{M,N}}(\tilde{h}') \\ &\leq C^{(NM)^{7/8}} \sum_{\tilde{h} \in \mathcal{H}_0} \sum_{\substack{\tilde{h}' \in \mathcal{H}_0 \\ |\tilde{h}(p) - \frac{r+r'}{2}| \leq 4(MN)^{2/5} \quad |\tilde{h}'(p) - \frac{r+r'}{2}| \leq 4(MN)^{2/5}}} w_{\Lambda_{M,N}}(\tilde{h})w_{\Lambda_{M,N}}(\tilde{h}') \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where in the first inequality we used (2.19) and in the second inequality we used (2.18) and injectivity of $\Upsilon_p^{k^*}$. This completes the proof after recognizing that the right hand side of (2.20) equals the right hand side of (2.16). \square

Theorem 1.1 now follows as a corollary of Proposition 2.10.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Fix any $M, N \geq 16$ and $r_1, r_2 \geq 0$. We have $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(M, N) \geq r_i) = \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(M, N) \geq \lceil r_i \rceil)$. Using the fact that $\mathfrak{h}(M, N)$ can be at most N , we have the following chain of inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^2 \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(M, N) \geq \lceil r_i \rceil) &= \sum_{k_1=\lceil r_1 \rceil}^N \sum_{k_2=\lceil r_2 \rceil}^N \prod_{i=1}^2 \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N) = k_i) \\ &\leq \mathbf{C}^{(MN)^{7/8}} \sum_{k_1=\lceil r_1 \rceil}^N \sum_{k_2=\lceil r_2 \rceil}^N \mathbb{P}\left(\mathfrak{h}(M, N) \geq \frac{k_1 + k_2}{2} - 4(MN)^{2/5}\right)^2 \\ &\leq N^2 \mathbf{C}^{(MN)^{7/8}} \mathbb{P}\left(\mathfrak{h}(M, N) \geq \frac{r_1 + r_2}{2} - 4(MN)^{2/5}\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality is due to Proposition 2.10 and in the second inequality we simply used the fact that $m \mapsto \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N) \geq m)$ is a decreasing function and hence all terms of the summation over k_1, k_2 can be bounded with the first term $k_1 = \lceil r_1 \rceil, k_2 = \lceil r_2 \rceil$. \square

3. LARGE DEVIATION PRINCIPLE FOR MULTIPLICATIVE EXPECTATION OF THE SCHUR MEASURE

In this section, we prove the lower-tail LDP for the shifted height function and discuss some properties of the rate function $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(s)$ defined in (1.8). In Section 3.1, we show the existence of lower-tail rate function using the moment matching formula from [Bor18]. In Section 3.2, we establish the parabolic behavior of $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(s)$ for large enough s . As explained in the introduction, our argument in this section heavily relies on potential theory tools. We shall introduce them in the text as needed.

3.1. Existence of lower tail rate function $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(s)$. We introduce a few notations to explain the moment matching formula from [Bor18].

A partition λ with at most n non-zero elements is a decreasing sequence of non-negative integers $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n > 0$ and $\lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_{n+2} = \dots = 0$. We define the size of such a partition to be sum of its elements $|\lambda| := \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n$ and denote the set of all such partitions by \mathbb{Y}^n . For later purposes, we also introduce the set

$$\mathbb{W}^n := \{\ell = (\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_n) \mid \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{Y}^n, \text{ such that } \ell_i = \lambda_i + n - i \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Given $a \in (0, 1)$, $z, z' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, z -measures are probability measures on $\mathbb{Y}^{\min(z, z')}$ defined through

$$\mathfrak{M}(a; z, z')(\lambda) := (1 - a)^{zz'} a^{|\lambda|} s_\lambda(1^z) s_\lambda(1^{z'}), \quad (3.2)$$

where $s_\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ are Schur polynomials (c.f. [Mac95]) and in particular we have

$$s_\lambda(1^K) = s_\lambda(1, 1, \dots, 1) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq K} \frac{\lambda_i - i - \lambda_j + j}{j - i}.$$

z -measures were first used in the context of harmonic analysis on the infinite symmetric group in [KOV93]. They are a special case of Schur measures introduced in [Oko01].

It was observed by Borodin [Bor18, BO17] that the q -Laplace transform of the height function of S6V coincides with the expectation of a certain multiplicative functional of the z -measures. We recall this result as follows.

Proposition 3.1 (Proposition 8.4 in [BO17]). *Let $M, N \geq 1$ and recall the height function $\mathfrak{h}(M, N)$ from (1.1). Then for any $\zeta > 0$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + \zeta q^{\mathfrak{h}(M, N) + i}} \right] = \left[\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + \zeta q^j} \right] \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{M}(a; N, M-1)} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (1 + \zeta q^{\lambda_{N-j} + j}) \right]. \quad (3.3)$$

We shall see in Section 4 how the left-hand side can be viewed as a lower tail of the height function after a random shift. The above formula is our starting point for the asymptotics of q -Laplace transform of the height function:

Theorem 3.2. *For each $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha > 0$ we have*

$$-\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \log \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + q^{b([\alpha N], N) + i - sN}} \right] = \mathcal{F}_\alpha(s), \quad (3.4)$$

where \mathcal{F}_α is defined in (1.8).

Let us consider the case $\alpha \geq 1$. The $\alpha < 1$ case can be treated analogously. For notational convenience we shall assume αN is an integer and work with $\alpha N + 1$ instead of $[\alpha N]$. Before going into the proof of Theorem 3.2, it is instructive to understand why the rate function has relation to potential theory (recall the notions and basic facts from Section 1.1.1). It is known from the work of [Joh00b] that the z -measures can be viewed as certain discrete log-gases and has remarkable connection to potential theory. To see this, let us introduce the shifted variables $\ell_j := \lambda_j + N - j$ for $1 \leq j \leq N$, the measure in (3.2) with $z = N, z' = \alpha N$ can be rewritten as

$$(1-a)^{\alpha N^2} a^{-N(N-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^N \left(a^{\ell_i} \prod_{j=N+1}^{\alpha N} \frac{\ell_i + j - N}{j - i} \right) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{(\ell_i - \ell_j)^2}{(j - i)^2}.$$

The above measure can be realized as $\exp(-N^2 I_{\tilde{V}_N}(\mu_N(\ell)))$ for some explicit external field \tilde{V}_N . Here μ_N is the empirical measure given by

$$\mu_N(\ell) := N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta(\ell_i/N), \quad \ell_i := \lambda_i + N - i,$$

and $I_V(\cdot)$ is defined in (1.5). Invoking potential theory results, one can then obtain large deviation estimates for the empirical measures. Based on this large deviation estimate, the analysis of the multiplicative functional of the Schur measure can essentially be done using a Varadhan's lemma type argument, which eventually leads to Theorem 3.2.

Before going into the details, we recall few technical estimates from [DD22].

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose $V_N : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of differentiable functions satisfying $|V_N'(x)| \leq C(1 + \min(x^{-1}, \log N))$. Suppose further that there exists a function \mathcal{V} such that*

$$0 \leq V_N(x) - \mathcal{V}(x) \leq \frac{C}{N} + \frac{1}{N} \log \frac{x + \alpha - 1}{x}, \quad (3.5)$$

for some $\alpha \geq 1$. We have the following

(a) *For each $\ell \in \mathbb{W}^N$, we have $|I_{V_N}(\mu_N(\ell)) - I_{V_N}(\tilde{\mu}_N(\ell))| = O(N^{-1} \log N)$, where $\tilde{\mu}_N(\ell)$ is a measure on $(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+))$ with density*

$$\psi_{N;\ell}(x) := \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{[\ell_i/N, \ell_i/N + 1/N]}.$$

(b) *For any $\mu \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$ we have $|I_{V_N}(\mu) - I_V(\mu)| = O(N^{-1})$.*

(c) *There exists $\ell_* \in \mathbb{W}^N$ such that*

$$I_{V_N}(\mu_N(\ell_*)) \leq \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{b+2}} (\mu_\phi) + O(N^{-1} \log N),$$

where $b = \sup(\text{Supp}(\phi_\mathcal{V}))$.

Proof. Part (a) is covered in Lemma 2.18 in [DD22]. Part (b) follows by direct computation using (3.5). Part (c) is a consequence of Lemma 2.16 in [DD22]. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Taking $\zeta = q^{-sN}$ and $M = \alpha N + 1$ in (3.3) we get

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{1 + q^{\mathfrak{h}(M,N)+i-sN}} \right] = \left[\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + q^{j-sN}} \right] \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{M}} \left[\prod_{j=1}^N (1 + q^{\lambda_{N-j}+j-sN}) \right],$$

where we use the abbreviation \mathfrak{M} to mean $\mathfrak{M}(a; N, \alpha N)$. We shall proceed by demonstrating $\liminf -\frac{1}{N^2} \log$ and $\limsup -\frac{1}{N^2} \log$ of the r.h.s. of the above expression is lower bounded and upper bounded by $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(s)$ respectively.

Lower Bound. Let us define

$$R(s) := \eta_q \frac{s^2 - 2s}{2} - \alpha \log(1 - a) + \frac{\log(a)}{2} + C_\alpha, \quad (3.6)$$

where C_α is defined in (1.9) and $\eta_q := \log q^{-1}$. Recall the equilibrium energy $F_\alpha(s) = F_{\mathcal{V}_s}$ defined via (1.6) for the \mathcal{V}_s potentials defined in (1.7). It is well known that $|\lambda| \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha N} g_{ij}$, where g_{ij} 's are i.i.d. Geometric(a) random variables, see for example [Joh00a, Joh00b]. Thus, by the Bernstein's inequality for each $K > 0$ we have

$$\left[\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + q^{j-sN}} \right] \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{M}} \left[\prod_{j=1}^N (1 + q^{\lambda_{N-j}+j-sN}) \mathbf{1}_{|\lambda| > KN^2} \right] \leq \mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{M}} (|\lambda| > KN^2) \leq \exp(-cKN^2). \quad (3.7)$$

for some constant $c > 0$ depending on a . We choose K large enough so that $cK > R(s) + F_\alpha(s) + 1$. On the other hand, a direct expansion gives us

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + q^{j-sN}} \right] \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{M}} \left[\prod_{j=1}^N (1 + q^{\lambda_{N-j}+j-sN}) \mathbf{1}_{|\lambda| \leq KN^2} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda: |\lambda| \leq KN^2} \frac{(1-a)^{\alpha N^2} a^{-N(N-1)/2}}{\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} j!} \prod_{i=1}^N \left(a^{\ell_i} \prod_{j=N+1}^{\alpha N} \frac{\ell_i + j - N}{j - i} \right) \\ & \quad \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (\ell_i - \ell_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^N (1 + q^{\ell_j - sN}) \prod_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{1 + q^{j-sN}} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda: |\lambda| \leq KN^2} \exp(-N^2 [R_N + I_{V_N}(\mu_N(\ell))]), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where $I_V(\mu)$ is defined in (1.5),

$$\begin{aligned} R_N(s) &:= -\alpha \log(1 - a) + \frac{\log a}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N} \right) + \frac{2}{N^2} \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq N-1} \log |(j - i)/N| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha N - N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \log |(j + i)/N| + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \log(1 + q^{j-sN}) - \eta_q s, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$V_{s,N}(x) := x \log \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha N - N} \log |x + (j/N)| - \frac{1}{N} \log(1 + q^{N(x-s)}) + \eta_q s.$$

It is not hard to check that for each fixed s

$$R_N(s) = -\alpha \log(1 - a) + \frac{\log a}{2} + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \log |x - y| dx dy$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^1 \int_0^{\alpha-1} \log|x+y| dx dy + \eta_q \int_0^\infty (s-x)_+ dx - (\eta_q)s + O(N^{-1}) \\
& = R(s) + O(N^{-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $R(s)$ is defined in (3.6). Hereafter, we will drop the s from the notations $R(s)$, $R_N(s)$, $V_{s,N}$ and \mathcal{V}_s for convenience. Let us quickly verify that V_N and \mathcal{V} from (1.7) satisfy the assumptions in Lemma 3.3. Note that

$$V'_N(x) = \log \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha N-N} \frac{1}{x+(j/N)} + \eta_q \frac{q^{N(x-s)}}{1+q^{N(x-s)}}.$$

It follows from the above explicit expression that $|V'_N(x)|$ is uniformly bounded above by $C(1 + \min(x^{-1}, \log N))$. On the other hand, for $y \in [(j-1)/N, j/N]$ we have

$$0 \leq \log\left(x + \frac{j}{N}\right) - \log(x+y) = \log\left[1 + \frac{\frac{j}{N} - y}{x+y}\right] \leq \frac{\frac{j}{N} - y}{x+y} \leq \frac{1}{N(x+y)}.$$

Thus,

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha N-N} \log\left(x + \frac{j}{N}\right) - \int_0^{\alpha-1} \log(x+y) dy \leq \frac{1}{N} \int_0^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{x+y} dy = \frac{1}{N} \log \frac{x+\alpha-1}{x},$$

which in turn implies (3.5). Thus V_N and \mathcal{V} satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 3.3. Thus, for each $\ell \in \mathbb{W}^N$, by Lemma 3.3(a) and (b) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{V_N}(\mu_N(\ell)) & \geq I_{V_N}(\tilde{\mu}_N(\ell)) + O(N^{-1} \log N) \\
& \geq I_{\mathcal{V}}(\tilde{\mu}_N(\ell)) + O(N^{-1} \log N) \geq I_{\mathcal{V}}(\mu_{\phi_{\mathcal{V}}}) + O(N^{-1} \log N),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we used the fact the $\mu_{\phi_{\mathcal{V}}}$ minimizes $I_{\mathcal{V}}(\cdot)$. This along with $R_N = R + O(N^{-1})$ implies

$$\text{r.h.s. of (3.8)} \leq \exp\left(-N^2[R + I_{\mathcal{V}}(\mu_{\phi_{\mathcal{V}}})] + O(N \log N)\right) \sum_{n=1}^{KN^2} \mathfrak{p}_n,$$

where \mathfrak{p}_n is the number of partitions with $|\lambda| = n$. Using the well known fact (see [Rom15, Eq. (1.15)] for example) that $\mathfrak{p}_n = e^{O(\sqrt{n})}$, we see that the sum on the r.h.s. of the above equation is at most $e^{O(N)}$. Combining this with the tail estimate from (3.7), we arrive at the lower bound.

Upper Bound. By the exact same computation as in (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+q^{j-sN}} \right] \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{M}} \left[\prod_{j=1}^N (1+q^{\lambda_{N-j}+j-sN}) \right] & = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{W}^N} \exp\left(-N^2 \cdot [R_N + I_{V_N}(\mu_N(\ell))]\right) \\
& \geq \exp\left(-N^2 \cdot [R_N + I_{V_N}(\mu_N(\ell_*))]\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where $\ell_* \in \mathbb{W}^N$ is the one coming from Lemma 3.3(c). By Lemma 3.3(c), definition of $\mu_{\phi_{\mathcal{V}}}$, and Lemma 3.3(b) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
N^2 I_{V_N}(\mu_N(\ell_*)) & \leq N^2 \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{b+2}} I_{V_N}(\mu_{\phi}) + O(N \log N) \\
& \leq N^2 I_{V_N}(\mu_{\phi_{\mathcal{V}}}) + O(N \log N) \leq N^2 I_{\mathcal{V}}(\mu_{\phi_{\mathcal{V}}}) + O(N \log N).
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging this inequality back in (3.10) and using the fact that $R_N = R + O(N^{-1})$ we see that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{M}} \left[\prod_{j \geq 0} \frac{1+q^{\lambda_{N-j}+j-sN}}{1+q^{j-sN}} \right] \geq \exp\left(-\alpha N^2 [R + I_{\mathcal{V}}(\mu_{\phi_{\mathcal{V}}})] + O(N \log N)\right).$$

Taking $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{N^2} \log$ both sides, we get the desired upper bound. \square

An easy consequence of the above theorem is the following:

Lemma 3.4. \mathcal{F}_α is a non-negative non-decreasing function. $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(s) = 0$ for all $s < \mu_\alpha$.

Proof. From the relation (3.4) \mathcal{F}_α , it is clear that \mathcal{F}_α is non-negative and non-decreasing. Fix any $s < \mu_\alpha$. Note that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{i=0}^{\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor} \frac{1}{1 + q^{h(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N) + i - sN}} \right] \geq \mathbb{P}(h(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N) \geq sN) \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + q^i}.$$

The product is a constant and $\mathbb{P}(h(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N) \geq sN) \rightarrow 1$ as $s < \mu_\alpha$ and μ_α is the law of large numbers. Thus, taking $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{N^2} \log$, in view of Theorem 3.2, we see that $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(s) = 0$. \square

3.2. Parabolic behavior of $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(s)$. In this subsection we determine an explicit value of $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(s)$ for large s . The key ideas essentially comes from potential theory arguments.

Proposition 3.5. For large enough s we have

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha(s) = \eta_q \frac{(s-1)^2}{2} + \alpha \log \frac{1-aq}{1-a}. \quad (3.11)$$

Recall the expression of $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(s)$ from (1.8) and (1.10). There are two key steps in proving Proposition 3.5:

- (A) We first show that the equilibrium energy $F_\alpha(s)$ becomes $F_\alpha(\infty)$ for large enough s (Lemma 3.7). We achieve this by controlling the support of ϕ_{ν_s} uniformly as $s \rightarrow \infty$ (Lemma 3.6).
- (B) We then obtain explicit expression for ϕ_{ν_∞} using the variational characterization of the equilibrium measure. This allows us to compute $F_\alpha(\infty)$ explicitly.

Let us now work out the details of the above two steps. We begin with a uniform compact support lemma for a class of well-behaved potentials.

Lemma 3.6. Let \mathcal{C} be a collection of potentials satisfying the following. Suppose $\sup_{V \in \mathcal{C}, x \in [0,2]} V(x) < \infty$, and for every $K > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ (independent of \mathcal{C}) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left[|x-y| e^{-\frac{1}{2}V(x) - \frac{1}{2}V(y)} \right]^{-1} &> K \text{ for all } x, y \geq R, \\ \log \left[|x-y| e^{-\frac{1}{2}V(x)} \right]^{-1} &> K \text{ for all } x \geq R, y \in [0, R]. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists R_0 such that the support of ϕ_V lies in $[0, R_0]$ for all $V \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. The proof essentially follows the proof of the claim in the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [DS97], We write it here for completeness. Take any $\mu \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$ with density ϕ . Decompose $\mu := \mu_1 + \mu_2$ where $\mu_1 = \mu|_{[0,R]}$ and $\mu_2 = \mu|_{[R,\infty)}$. Set $\delta = \mu_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Our goal is to show that if for all R large enough, there exists $\eta \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$ which is supported on $[0, R]$ and $I_V(\eta) \leq I_V(\mu)$ for all $V \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $\nu \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$ whose density is proportional to $(1-\phi)(x)\mathbf{1}_{x \in [0,2]}$. Let $\eta := \mu_1 + \delta\nu \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$. Note that the η 's support is contained in $[0, R]$. Define

$$\langle \mu, \nu \rangle := \int \int \log \left[|x-y| e^{-\frac{1}{2}V(x) - \frac{1}{2}V(y)} \right]^{-1} d\mu(x) d\nu(y).$$

Let $A := \sup_{V \in \mathcal{C}, x \in [0,2]} V(x)$. Let us note that

$$\begin{aligned} I_V(\eta) - I_V(\mu) &= \langle \mu_1 + \delta\nu, \mu_1 + \delta\nu \rangle - \langle \mu_1 + \mu_2, \mu_1 + \mu_2 \rangle \\ &= \delta^2 \langle \nu, \nu \rangle + 2\delta \langle \mu_1, \nu \rangle - \langle \mu_2, \mu_2 \rangle - 2\langle \mu_1, \mu_2 \rangle \\ &\leq \delta^2 \langle \nu, \nu \rangle + 2\delta \int \int \log \frac{1}{|x-y| e^{-\frac{1}{2}V(y)}} d\mu_1(x) d\nu(y) - \delta^2 K - 2\delta(1-\delta)K. \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nu, \nu \rangle &\leq 4A - \log 2 + \int_0^2 \int_0^2 \log \frac{2}{|x-y|} dx dy =: C_1. \\ \int \int \log \frac{1}{|x-y|e^{-\frac{1}{2}V(y)}} d\mu_1(x) d\nu(y) &\leq 2A + \int_0^3 \int_0^2 \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} d\mu_1(x) d\nu(y) \\ &\leq 2A + \int_0^3 \int_0^2 \log \frac{3}{|x-y|} dx dy - \log 3 =: C_2. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $K > 3 \max(C_1, C_2)$, ensures $I_V(\eta) \leq I_V(\mu)$. Thus the support of the minimizers all lie in $[0, R_0]$ for some R_0 large enough. \square

Using the above lemma we can now complete Step (A):

Lemma 3.7. *For large enough s we have $F_\alpha(s) = F_\alpha(\infty) := F_{\mathcal{V}_\infty}$.*

Proof. Let us write $I_s(\cdot)$ for $I_{\mathcal{V}_s}(\cdot)$, μ_s for $\mu_{\phi_{\mathcal{V}_s}}$, and \mathcal{V}_s for \mathcal{V} to stress the dependence on s . Note that for all large enough x , $\mathcal{V}_s(x) \geq \gamma x$ uniformly over all $s \in [0, \infty]$ for some $\gamma > 0$. Then one can check that $(\mathcal{V}_s)_{s \geq 2}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3.6. Hence there exists R_0 , such that for all $s \in [0, \infty]$, the support of $\phi_{\mathcal{V}_s}$ lies in $[0, R_0]$. However for $s \geq R_0$, $\mathcal{V}_s|_{[0, R_0]} = \mathcal{V}_\infty|_{[0, R_0]}$. Thus, $I_s(\mu_s) = I_\infty(\mu_\infty) = F_\alpha(\infty)$ for $s \geq R_0$. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.5. Let us now carry out Step (B) described just after the proposition, i.e., computing $\phi_{\mathcal{V}_\infty}$ and $F_\alpha(\infty)$. There is a standard route in potential theory that gives us the equilibrium measure and the corresponding equilibrium energy. For completeness, we write the key steps here and postpone the tedious calculations to the Appendix A. The following lemma is the key to obtaining exact expression for $\phi_{\mathcal{V}_\infty}$.

Lemma 3.8 (Theorem 2.1(d) in [DS97]). *Suppose $V : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous potential satisfying $V(x) \geq 2 \log(1+x^2)$. Assume that there exists $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$ such that*

- (a) $\int_0^\infty k_V(x, y) \phi(x) dx \geq \lambda$ if $\phi(y) = 0$,
- (b) $\int_0^\infty k_V(x, y) \phi(x) dx \leq \lambda$ if $\phi(y) = 1$,
- (c) $\int_0^\infty k_V(x, y) \phi(x) dx = \lambda$ if $\phi(y) \in (0, 1)$,

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\phi = \phi_V$.

Given the above lemma, the usual strategy to obtain the equilibrium measure is to first make an educated guess for ϕ_V then verify that it indeed satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.8. For nice enough V s, the ansatz can be obtained by differentiating the relation in Lemma 3.8(c) (c.f. [Joh00b, Section 6]) or by the so called Nekrasov's equation (c.f. [BGG17, Section 2]). Fortunately \mathcal{V}_∞ is nice enough to apply either of the aforementioned two techniques. We skip the ansatz calculations (as it is not rigorous anyway) and report here the expression for the measure.

Write $p = aq$, $c = \frac{(1-\sqrt{p\alpha})^2}{1-p}$, and $d = \frac{(\sqrt{p\alpha}+1)^2}{1-p}$. When $\alpha > 1/p$ define

$$\phi(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{xp + x + p\alpha - 1}{\sqrt{4xp(x + \alpha - 1) - (xp + x + p\alpha - 1)^2}} \right), & x \in [c, d], \\ 0 & x \notin [c, d]. \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

When $\alpha \leq 1/p$ define

$$\phi(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & x \in [0, c], \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{xp + x + p\alpha - 1}{\sqrt{4xp(x + \alpha - 1) - (xp + x + p\alpha - 1)^2}} \right) & x \in [c, d], \\ 0 & x \geq d. \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$




FIGURE 5. $\phi = \phi_{\mathcal{V}_\infty}$ when $\alpha > 1/p$ (left) and $\alpha < 1/p$ (right).

A plot for ϕ is given in Figure 5. Recall k_V from (1.5). We claim that when $\alpha > 1/p$

$$\int_c^d k_{\mathcal{V}_\infty}(x, y) \phi(x) dx = \begin{cases} \zeta_-(y) & y \in [0, c] \\ \alpha \log(1-p) - \frac{1}{2} \log p - C_\alpha & y \in [c, d] \\ \zeta_+(y) & y \in [d, \infty) \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

where ζ_- is decreasing on $[0, c]$ and ζ_+ is increasing on $[d, \infty)$. One has exact expressions for these functions; but they are not important in our analysis. Here the constant C_α comes from (1.9). When $\alpha \leq 1/p$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^d k_{\mathcal{V}_\infty}(x, y) \phi(x) dx \\ &= \begin{cases} \bar{\zeta}_-(y) & y \in [0, c] \\ -\frac{\alpha \log \alpha + \log p}{2} + \frac{\alpha + 1}{2} (\log(1-p) + 1) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^d \mathcal{V}_\infty(x) \phi(x) dx & y \in [c, d] \\ \bar{\zeta}_+(y) & y \in [d, \infty) \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where $\bar{\zeta}_-(y), \bar{\zeta}_+(y)$ are both increasing functions. Again, exact expressions of these functions are not important. Furthermore, for both the case $\alpha > 1/p$ and $\alpha \leq 1/p$ we have

$$F_\alpha(\infty) := \int_0^d \int_0^d k_{\mathcal{V}_\infty}(x, y) \phi(x) \phi(y) dx dy = \alpha \log(1-p) - \frac{1}{2} \log p - C_\alpha. \quad (3.16)$$

The verification of (3.14), (3.15), and (3.16) is purely computational and relies on few integration tricks (see [DD22, Section 6] for similar computations involving Jack measures with different specializations). We postpone this to Appendix A. Note that, in view of Lemma 3.8, (3.14) and

(3.15) implies that $\phi = \phi_{\nu_\infty}$. Recall that $p = aq$. In view of Lemma 3.7 and the definition of $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(s)$ from (1.8), when $\alpha \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_\alpha(s) &= \eta_q \frac{s^2 - 2s}{2} - \alpha \log(1 - a) + \frac{\log(a)}{2} + \alpha \log(1 - aq) - \frac{\log(aq)}{2} \\ &= \eta_q \frac{(s-1)^2}{2} + \alpha \log \frac{1 - aq}{1 - a},\end{aligned}$$

for large enough s . When $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, using (1.10), for large enough s we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_\alpha(s) &= \eta_q \frac{s^2 - 2s\alpha + 2\alpha - 2\alpha^2}{2} - \eta_q \int_\alpha^1 (s + x - 1) dx \\ &\quad - \alpha \log(1 - a) + \alpha^2 \frac{\log(a)}{2} + \alpha \log(1 - p) - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \log(aq) \\ &= \eta_q \frac{(s-1)^2}{2} + \alpha \log \frac{1 - aq}{1 - a}.\end{aligned}$$

We thus arrive at (3.11). \square

4. PROOF OF LDP RESULTS

In this section, we combine the results from the previous two sections to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. Towards this end, let us first define the prelimiting rate function. For $s \leq 1$ define

$$\Phi_{\alpha, N}(s) := -\frac{1}{N^2} \log \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N) \geq sN). \quad (4.1)$$

For $s > 1$, we set $\Phi_{\alpha, N}(s) := \infty$. We record some easy properties of $\Phi_{\alpha, N}$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *$\Phi_{\alpha, N}$ is non-decreasing on $(-\infty, 1]$ and $\Phi_{\alpha, N}(0) = 0$. We have $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{\alpha, N}(s) = 0$ for all $s < \mu_\alpha$ and $\Phi_{\alpha, N}(1) = \alpha \log \frac{1 - aq}{1 - a}$.*

Proof. From the definition, it is clear that $\Phi_{\alpha, N}$ is non-decreasing on $(-\infty, 1]$ and $\Phi_{\alpha, N}(0) = 0$. For $s < \mu_\alpha$, we have $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N) \geq sN) \rightarrow 1$ as μ_α is the law of large numbers. Thus, $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{\alpha, N}(s) = 0$ for $s < \mu_\alpha$. Finally, note that $\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N) \leq N$ implies $\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N) = N$, which happens when all the paths travel horizontally. Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N) \geq N) = \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N) = N) = \left(\frac{1 - a}{1 - aq} \right)^{\alpha N^2}.$$

This leads to the desired value of $\Phi_{\alpha, N}(1)$. \square

A quick consequence of Theorem 1.1 is the following weak midpoint convexity for $\Phi_{\alpha, N}$.

Proposition 4.2. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that for all $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $N \geq N_\varepsilon$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{2}(\Phi_{\alpha, N}(v_1) + \Phi_{\alpha, N}(v_2)) + \varepsilon \geq \Phi_{\alpha, N}\left(\frac{v_1 + v_2}{2} - N^{-1/6}\right).$$

Proof. Assume $v_1 < v_2$. If $v_2 \leq 0$ there is nothing to prove. So, assume $v_2 > 0$. If $v_1 \geq 0$, using Theorem 1.1 with $r_1 = v_1 N$ and $r_2 = v_2 N$ and $M = \alpha N$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}(\Phi_{\alpha, N}(v_1) + \Phi_{\alpha, N}(v_2)) + \frac{C}{N^{1/4}} \geq \Phi_{\alpha, N}\left(\frac{v_1 + v_2}{2} - 4\alpha^{2/5} N^{-1/5}\right). \quad (4.2)$$

If $v_1 < 0$, using the fact that $\Phi_{\alpha, N}(v_1) = \Phi_{\alpha, N}(0) = 0$ Thus we have

$$\frac{1}{2}(\Phi_{\alpha, N}(v_1) + \Phi_{\alpha, N}(v_2)) + \frac{C}{N^{1/4}} = \frac{1}{2}(\Phi_{\alpha, N}(0) + \Phi_{\alpha, N}(v_2)) + \frac{C}{N^{1/4}}$$

$$\geq \Phi_{\alpha,N} \left(\frac{v_2}{2} - 4\alpha^{2/5} N^{-1/5} \right) \geq \Phi_{\alpha,N} \left(\frac{v_1 + v_2}{2} - 4\alpha^{2/5} N^{-1/5} \right).$$

The penultimate inequality follows by applying (4.2) with $v_1 = 0$. Assuming N large enough, we can ensure $4\alpha^{2/5} N^{-1/5} \leq N^{-1/6}$. This proves the proposition. \square

We next relate $\Phi_{\alpha,N}$ to the auxillary rate function \mathcal{F}_α that we obtain in Section 3. Let us first see why the q -Laplace transform appearing in (3.4) is indeed a tail probability of a shifted height function. This relationship is essentially due to a matching from [IMS21]. Towards this end, we define two random variables S supported on \mathbb{Z} and χ supported on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ by the following probability mass functions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(S = k) &\propto q^{k(k-1)/2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{P}(\chi = k) &= \prod_{j=k+1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j) - \prod_{j=k}^{\infty} (1 - q^j) \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

For reference, S is said to follow Theta($1/\sqrt{q}; q$) distribution and χ is said to follow q -Geo(q) distribution. We will only require the expression for the cumulative distribution function of $\chi + S$ which we quote from Lemma 2.4 in [IMS21]:

$$\mathbb{P}(\chi + S \leq n) = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + q^{n+i}}. \quad (4.4)$$

In view of this, we have that q -Laplace transform of the height function of S6V is nothing but the tail probability of the height function shifted by $\chi + S$:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + q^{\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N) + i - sN}} \right] = \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N) - \chi - S \geq sN)$$

where χ, S are drawn independently of the S6V dynamics and has the distributions described in (4.3). Note that χ is a non-negative random variable and S is a discrete Gaussian-type random variable. Indeed it is straightforward to check that

$$\mathbb{P}(S = vN) = \exp^{-N^2 g(v) + O(N)}$$

where $g(v) = \frac{v^2}{2} \eta_q$ (recall $\eta_q = \log q^{-1}$). Since Theorem 3.2 gives us that \mathcal{F}_α is the lower-tail rate function of $\chi + S - \mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N)$, it is natural to expect the following relation between \mathcal{F}_α and $\Phi_{\alpha,N}$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and define the function $g(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} \eta_q$. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha(s) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (g \oplus \Phi_{\alpha,N})(s) := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \{g(y) + \Phi_{\alpha,N}(s - y)\}. \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. Suppose $s < \mu_\alpha$. We have $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(s) = 0$ from Lemma 3.4. On the other hand, $g(0) + \Phi_{\alpha,N}(s) = \Phi_{\alpha,N}(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ by Lemma 4.1. Thus (4.5) follows for $s < \mu_\alpha$. Let us now fix any $s \geq \mu_\alpha$ and write $\mathfrak{h}_N := \mathfrak{h}(\lfloor \alpha N \rfloor, N)$. Let us set

$$\hat{y} := \operatorname{argmax} \{ \mathbb{P}(S = -yN) \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}_N \geq (s - y)N) : y \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z} \cap [s - 1, s + 1] \},$$

and define

$$(g \hat{\oplus} \Phi_{\alpha,N})(s) := \inf_{y \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z} \cap [s-1, s+1]} \{g(y) + \Phi_{\alpha,N}(s - y)\}.$$

Using the fact that $\mathfrak{h}_N \leq N$ and $\chi \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}_N - \chi - S \geq sN) &\leq \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}_N - S \geq sN) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(S \in [-(s+1)N, (1-s)N], \mathfrak{h}_N - S \geq sN) + \mathbb{P}(S \leq -(s+1)N) \\ &\leq (\mu_\alpha + 1)N \mathbb{P}(S = -\hat{y}N, \mathfrak{h}_N \geq -\hat{y}N + sN) + \mathbb{P}(S \leq -(s+1)N), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}_N - \chi - S \geq sN) &\geq \mathbb{P}(\chi = 0)\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}_N - S \geq sN) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}(\chi = 0)\mathbb{P}(S \in [-(s+1)N, (s-1)N], \mathfrak{h}_N - S \geq sN) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}(\chi = 0)\mathbb{P}(S = -\hat{y}N, \mathfrak{h}_N \geq -\hat{y}N + sN). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition \hat{y} and explicit distribution of S, χ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}(S = -\hat{y}N, \mathfrak{h}_N \geq -\hat{y}N + sN) = e^{-N^2(g\hat{\oplus}\Phi_{\alpha,N})(s)+O(N)}, \quad \mathbb{P}(S \leq -(s+1)N) \leq e^{-N^2g(s+1)+O(N)}.$$

Thus we get

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \min \{ (g\hat{\oplus}\Phi_{\alpha,N})(s), g(s+1) \} \leq \mathcal{F}_\alpha(s) \leq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} (g\hat{\oplus}\Phi_{\alpha,N})(s). \quad (4.6)$$

Note that $(g \oplus \Phi_{\alpha,N})(s) \leq (g\hat{\oplus}\Phi_{\alpha,N})(s) \leq g(s+1) + \Phi_{\alpha,N}(-1) = g(s+1)$. Thus, $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(s) \geq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} (g \oplus \Phi_{\alpha,N})(s)$. Thus, the proposition follows once we show

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} |(g \oplus \Phi_{\alpha,N})(s) - (g\hat{\oplus}\Phi_{\alpha,N})(s)| = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Towards this end, fix any $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $g(y) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|y| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\sup_{N>0, y \leq 1} \Phi_{\alpha,N}(y) \leq \alpha \log \frac{1-aq}{1-a}$, we may find a sequence $\{z_N\}_N$ such that $\sup_N |z_N| < \infty$ and

$$g(z_N) + \Phi_{\alpha,N}(s - z_N) - (g \oplus \Phi_{\alpha,N})(s) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Clearly, $z_N \geq s - 1$ for all N . Let z be any limit point of the sequence $\{z_N\}_N$. We have

$$g(z_N) - \varepsilon \leq g(z_N) + \Phi_{\alpha,N}(s - z_N) - \varepsilon \leq (g \oplus \Phi_{\alpha,N})(s) \leq g(s) + \Phi_{\alpha,N}(0) = g(s).$$

Taking subsequential limit followed by $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ we obtain $g(z) \leq g(s)$. Thus, we have $z \leq s$. Note that $\Phi_{\alpha,N}(N^{-1}\lfloor Nz_N \rfloor) = \Phi_{\alpha,N}(z_N)$. Since all limit points of $\{z_N\}$ are in $[s-1, s]$, for all enough N we can ensure $N^{-1}\lfloor Nz_N \rfloor \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z} \cap [s-1, s+1]$. Thus,

$$(g\hat{\oplus}\Phi_{\alpha,N})(x) - g(\oplus\Phi_{\alpha,N})(x) \leq |g(z_N) - g(N^{-1}\lfloor Nz_N \rfloor)| + \varepsilon.$$

Taking $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty}$ on both sides and noticing that ε is arbitrary, we arrive at (4.7). \square

Proposition 4.4. \mathcal{F}_α is a non-negative real-valued convex non-decreasing function.

Proof. From the definition of \mathcal{F}_α , it is clear that it is non-negative and non-decreasing. From Proposition 3.5, we note that \mathcal{F}_α is indeed real-valued. Thus we only need to justify convexity. Since \mathcal{F}_α is non-decreasing, it suffices to prove midpoint convexity, that is

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha\left(\frac{x+x'}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{F}_\alpha(x) + \mathcal{F}_\alpha(x'))$$

for all $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}$. Towards this end fix any $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By Proposition 4.3, we can get $u, u', v, v' \in \mathbb{R}$ with $u+v=x, u'+v'=x'$ and large enough N such that

$$g(u) + \Phi_{\alpha,N}(v) \leq \mathcal{F}_\alpha(x) + \varepsilon, \quad g(u') + \Phi_{\alpha,N}(v') \leq \mathcal{F}_\alpha(x') + \varepsilon.$$

u, u', v, v' depends on N , but we have suppressed it from the notation. Note that $g(s) \rightarrow \infty$ when $|s| \rightarrow \infty$, $\Phi_{\alpha,N}(s) = 0$ remains uniformly bounded on $(-\infty, 1]$, and $\Phi_{\alpha,N}(s) = \infty$ for $s > 1$. Thus, u, u', v, v' remains bounded as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Let $u'' = (u+u')/2$ and $v'' = (v+v')/2$. Then by Proposition 4.2 we have

$$\Phi_{\alpha,N}(v'' - N^{-1/6}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\Phi_{\alpha,N}(v) + \Phi_{\alpha,N}(v')) + \varepsilon.$$

Note that $u'' + v'' = (x + x')/2$. Consequently, using the convexity of g and the above fact we have

$$\begin{aligned} (g \oplus \Phi_{\alpha,N}) \left(\frac{x + x'}{2} \right) &\leq g(u'' + \frac{1}{N^{1/6}}) + \Phi_{\alpha,N}(v'' - \frac{1}{N^{1/6}}) \\ &= g(u'' + \frac{1}{N^{1/6}}) - g(u'') + g(u'') + \Phi_{\alpha,N}(v'' - \frac{1}{N^{1/6}}) \\ &\leq g(u'' + \frac{1}{N^{1/6}}) - g(u'') + \frac{1}{2}(g(u) + g(u')) + \frac{1}{2}(\Phi_{\alpha,N}(v) + \Phi_{\alpha,N}(v')) + \varepsilon \\ &\leq g(u'' + \frac{1}{N^{1/6}}) - g(u'') + \frac{1}{2} \left((g \oplus \Phi_{\alpha,N})(x) + \varepsilon + (g \oplus \Phi_{\alpha,N})(x') + \varepsilon \right) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since u'' remains bounded as $N \rightarrow \infty$, taking $N \rightarrow \infty$, and noting that ε is arbitrary, in view of Proposition 4.3, we have the midpoint convexity of \mathcal{F}_α . \square

Proposition 4.5. $\Phi_{\alpha,N}$ is equicontinuous in the following sense. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ and $N_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $N \geq N_\varepsilon$ we have

$$|\Phi_{\alpha,N}(x) - \Phi_{\alpha,N}(y)| \leq \varepsilon,$$

for all $x, y \in [\mu_\alpha, 1]$ with $|x - y| \leq \delta$.

Proof. Let $x > y$ and assume $x - y = \delta$. By the midpoint convexity stated in Proposition 4.2, for any fixed ε' , there exists $N_{\varepsilon'}$ such that

$$2\Phi_{\alpha,N}(x) \leq \Phi_{\alpha,N}(x - \delta) + \Phi_{\alpha,N}(x + \delta + 2N^{-1/6}) + \varepsilon',$$

for any $N > N_{\varepsilon'}$, which implies

$$\Phi_{\alpha,N}(x) - \Phi_{\alpha,N}(x - \delta) \leq \Phi_{\alpha,N}(x + \delta + 2N^{-1/6}) - \Phi_{\alpha,N}(x) + \varepsilon'. \quad (4.8)$$

Consider a non-negative integer k such that

$$x + k\delta + k(k+1)N^{-1/6} \leq 1 < x + (k+1)\delta + (k+1)(k+2)N^{-1/6}.$$

Then, iterating (4.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\alpha,N}(x) - \Phi_{\alpha,N}(y) &\leq \Phi_{\alpha,N} \left(x + k\delta + k(k+1)N^{-1/6} \right) - \Phi_{\alpha,N} \left(x + (k-1)\delta + k(k-1)N^{-1/6} \right) + k\varepsilon' \\ &\leq \Phi_{\alpha,N}(1) - \Phi_{\alpha,N} \left(1 - 2\delta - (4k+2)N^{-1/6} \right) + k\varepsilon'. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Next, we estimate the term $\Phi_{\alpha,N}(1) - \Phi_{\alpha,N}(1 - 2\delta - (4k+2)N^{-1/6})$. By Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 4.3 we know that there exists $x_0 = x_0(q, \alpha, a) > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha(x_0) = \Phi_{\alpha,N}(1) + g(x_0 - 1) = \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{y \in [0,1]} \{ \Phi_{\alpha,N}(y) + g(x_0 - y) \},$$

where $g(y) = \eta q y^2 / 2$. This implies that for any fixed ε'' we can pick $N_{\varepsilon''}$ such that, for all $N > N_{\varepsilon''}$

$$-\varepsilon'' \leq \inf_{y \in [0,2]} \{ \Phi_{\alpha,N}(y) + g(x_0 - y) \} - \Phi_{\alpha,N}(1) - g(x_0 - 1) \leq \varepsilon''.$$

Then, for any $y \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$0 \leq \Phi_{\alpha,N}(1) - \Phi_{\alpha,N}(y) \leq \varepsilon'' + g(x_0 - y) - g(x_0 - 1) \leq \varepsilon'' + M(1 - y), \quad (4.10)$$

where $M = 2x_0$. Combining the estimates (4.9), (4.10) we arrive at the bound

$$0 \leq \Phi_{\alpha,N}(x) - \Phi_{\alpha,N}(y) \leq \varepsilon'' + 2M\delta + (4k+2)N^{-1/6} + k\varepsilon', \quad (4.11)$$

which holds for any $N > \max\{N_{\varepsilon'}, N_{\varepsilon''}\}$. It is now clear that the right-hand side of (4.11) can be made arbitrarily small, since $k < 2/\delta$ and $\varepsilon', \varepsilon''$ are independent of δ . Moreover, we can also allow $|x - y| < \delta$ using the fact that $\Phi_{\alpha,N}$ is non-decreasing. This completes the proof. \square

We recall a real analysis result from our previous paper.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $h_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be a family of non-decreasing functions such that*

- $h_n(x) = +\infty$ for $x > 1$, and there exists $M > 0$ such that $h_n(x) \in [0, M]$ for $x \in [\mu_\alpha, 1]$ and $\sup_{x \leq \mu_\alpha} h_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ and $n_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $n \geq n_\varepsilon$ and for all $x, y \in [\mu_\alpha, 1]$ with $|x - y| \leq \delta$ we have

$$|h_n(x) - h_n(y)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

- Every subsequential limit of $\{h_n\}$ is convex.

Let $g(x) = \frac{x^2}{2}\eta_q$. Assume that $(h_n \oplus g)(x)$ converges pointwise to a proper, lower-semicontinuous convex function $f(x)$. Then $h_n(x)$ converges pointwise to

$$h(x) = (f \ominus g)(x) := \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \{f(y) - g(x - y)\}.$$

Moreover we have $f = g \oplus h$, the function h is continuous on $[0, \infty)$, and the function f is differentiable with derivative f' being η_q -Lipschitz.

The above lemma essentially appears as Lemma 4.18 in [DLM23] with h_n being non-increasing. The proof is exactly the same for h_n being non-decreasing. We now have all the ingredients to complete the proof of our main theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Fix $s \in [\mu_\alpha, 1]$. Recall $\Phi_{\alpha, N}$ from (4.1). We would like to apply Lemma 4.6 with $h_n = \Phi_{\alpha, N}$ to deduce that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{\alpha, N}(s)$ exists. Note that $\{\Phi_{\alpha, N}\}$ satisfies the three conditions of Lemma 4.6. Indeed, the first condition follows from Lemma 4.1, whereas the second one follows from Proposition 4.5. The third one is a consequence of Proposition 4.2. Since by Proposition 4.3 we have $g \oplus \Phi_{\alpha, N} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ pointwise and \mathcal{F}_α is proper, lower semicontinuous and convex by Proposition 4.4, we thus have that

$$\Phi_{\alpha, N}(s) \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} \Phi_\alpha^{(-)}(s) := \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \{\mathcal{F}_\alpha(y) - g(s - y)\}$$

and $\Phi_\alpha^{(-)}$ is continuous on $[-\infty, 1]$. Due to the properties of $\Phi_{\alpha, N}$ from Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2, we readily have that $\Phi_\alpha^{(-)}$ is non-decreasing, non-negative and convex with $\Phi_\alpha^{(-)}(\mu_\alpha) = 0$ and $\Phi_\alpha^{(-)}(1) = \alpha \log \frac{1-aq}{1-a}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. A few of the properties of \mathcal{F}_α are already proven in Lemma 3.4, Proposition 3.5, and Proposition 4.4. Differentiability and derivative being η_q -Lipschitz follow by an application of Lemma 4.6 to the $\Phi_{\alpha, N}$ sequence. \square

APPENDIX A. EQUILIBRIUM MEASURE CALCULATIONS

In this appendix, we verify the claims made in the proof of Proposition 3.5, namely (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16). For simplicity we will write V for \mathcal{V}_∞ and k for k_{η_∞} . To compute the integrals, we appeal to the following lemma on some integral identities:

Lemma A.1 (Lemma 6.10 of [DD22]). *For $a, b, c, d \geq 0$ with $cd > 0$ and $a + b > 0$, consider the integrals*

$$\mathcal{I}_{a,b,c,d;n}^\pm := \int_0^\infty \frac{\log |a^2 \pm b^2 z^2|}{(c^2 + d^2 z^2)^n} dz, \quad \mathcal{J}_{c,d;n} := \int_0^\infty \frac{dz}{(c^2 + d^2 z^2)^n}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

We have the following exact expressions for the above integrals for certain choices of parameters:

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \mathcal{I}_{a,b,c,d;1}^- &= \frac{\pi \log |a^2 + \frac{b^2 c^2}{d^2}|}{2cd}, & (3) \quad \mathcal{I}_{a,b,1,1;2}^- &= \frac{\pi}{4} \log(a^2 + b^2) - \frac{\pi b^2}{2(a^2 + b^2)}, \\ (2) \quad \mathcal{I}_{a,b,c,d;1}^+ &= \frac{\pi}{cd} \log |a + \frac{bc}{d}|, & (4) \quad \mathcal{I}_{a,b,1,1;2}^+ &= \frac{\pi}{2} \log(a + b) - \frac{\pi b}{2(a + b)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(5) \mathcal{J}_{c,d;1} = \frac{\pi}{2cd},$$

$$(6) \mathcal{J}_{1,1;2} = \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

Computation of log integral. Let us start with computing

$$U(y) := - \int_c^d \log |x - y| \phi(x) dx.$$

Note that $-\log |y - x| = \frac{d}{dx}((y - x) \log |y - x| + x)$ and

$$\frac{d}{dx} \phi(x) = \frac{x(p-1)(\alpha+1) + (\alpha p - 1)(\alpha - 1)}{2\pi x(x + \alpha - 1) \sqrt{4xp(x + \alpha - 1) - (x(p+1) + \alpha p - 1)^2}}.$$

An integration by parts gives

$$U(y) = ((y - x) \log |y - x| + x) \phi(x) \Big|_{x=c}^d - \int_c^d ((y - x) \log |y - x| + x) \phi'(x) dx.$$

Note that $4xp(x + \alpha - 1) - (x(p+1) + \alpha p - 1)^2 = (1-p)^2(d-x)(x-c)$. Under the change of variable $x = \frac{c+dz^2}{1+z^2}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_c^d ((y - x) \log |y - x| + x) \phi'(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi(1-p)} \int_0^\infty dz \left(\left(y - \frac{c+dz^2}{1+z^2} \right) \log \left| y - \frac{c+dz^2}{1+z^2} \right| + \frac{c+dz^2}{1+z^2} \right) \\ & \quad \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha p - 1}{c+dz^2} + \frac{p-\alpha}{(c+\alpha-1) + (d+\alpha-1)z^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Assume first that $y > d$ or $y < c$. From the decomposition

$$y - \frac{c+dz^2}{1+z^2} = \frac{y-c+(y-d)z^2}{1+z^2} = y + \alpha - 1 - \frac{(c+\alpha-1) + (d+\alpha-1)z^2}{1+z^2},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi(1-p) \int_c^d ((y - x) \log |y - x| + x) \phi'(x) dx \\ &= (\alpha p - 1) \cdot y \left(\mathcal{I}_{\sqrt{|y-c|}, \sqrt{|y-d|}, \sqrt{c}, \sqrt{d}; 1}^+ - \mathcal{I}_{1,1, \sqrt{c}, \sqrt{d}; 1}^+ \right) \\ &+ (p - \alpha) \cdot (y + \alpha - 1) \left(\mathcal{I}_{\sqrt{|y-c|}, \sqrt{|y-d|}, \sqrt{c+\alpha-1}, \sqrt{d+\alpha-1}; 1}^+ - \mathcal{I}_{1,1, \sqrt{c+\alpha-1}, \sqrt{d+\alpha-1}; 1}^+ \right) \\ &- (\alpha + 1)(p - 1) \cdot \left(\mathcal{I}_{\sqrt{|y-c|}, \sqrt{|y-d|}, 1, 1; 1}^+ - \mathcal{I}_{1,1, 1, 1; 1}^+ \right) \\ &+ (\alpha + 1)(p - 1) \mathcal{J}_{1,1;1} - (p - \alpha)(\alpha - 1) \mathcal{J}_{\sqrt{c+\alpha-1}, \sqrt{d+\alpha-1}; 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the identities from Lemma A.1, we conclude that for $y > c$ or $y < d$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_c^d ((y-x) \log |y-x| + x) \phi'(x) dx \\
&= y \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha p - 1) \cdot \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{|y-c|} \cdot |\sqrt{\alpha p} + 1| + \sqrt{|y-d|} \cdot |\sqrt{\alpha p} - 1|}{|\sqrt{\alpha p} + 1| + |\sqrt{\alpha p} - 1|} \right) \\
&- (y + \alpha - 1) \cdot \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{|y-c|} \cdot (\sqrt{\alpha} + \sqrt{p}) + \sqrt{|y-d|} \cdot (\sqrt{\alpha} - \sqrt{p})}{2\sqrt{\alpha}} \right) \\
&+ (\alpha + 1) \cdot \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{|y-c|} + \sqrt{|y-d|}}{2} \right) - 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

On the other hand, for $c < y < d$, applying Lemma A.1 with $\mathcal{I}_{\sqrt{y-c}, \sqrt{y-d}, *, *, 1}^+$ replaced by $\mathcal{I}_{\sqrt{y-c}, \sqrt{d-y}, *, *, 1}^-$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_c^d ((y-x) \log |y-x| + x) \phi'(x) dx \\
&= y \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha p - 1) \cdot \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{4y\sqrt{\alpha p}}}{|\sqrt{\alpha p} + 1| + |\sqrt{\alpha p} - 1|} \right) \\
&- (y + \alpha - 1) \cdot \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{4(y + \alpha - 1)\sqrt{\alpha p}}}{2\sqrt{\alpha}} \right) + (\alpha + 1) \cdot \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{d-c}}{2} \right) - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Computation of potential integral. We now compute $\int_0^d V(x)\phi(x) dx$. A similar integration by parts yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^d V(x)\phi(x) dx &= \frac{3(\alpha - 1)}{2} + \frac{\log p}{2} \int_c^d x^2 \phi'(x) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_c^d x^2 \log x \cdot \phi'(x) dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \int_c^d (x + \alpha - 1)^2 \log(x + \alpha - 1) \cdot \phi'(x) dx + \frac{(\alpha - 1)^2 \log(\alpha - 1)}{2} \mathbf{1}_{\alpha p \leq 1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the change of variable $x = \frac{c + dz^2}{1 + z^2}$ again we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(1-p) \int_c^d x^2 \phi'(x) dx &= (\alpha p - 1) \cdot (d\mathcal{J}_{1,1;1} + (c-d)\mathcal{J}_{1,1;2}) \\
&+ (p - \alpha) \cdot \left((\alpha - 1)^2 \mathcal{J}_{\sqrt{c+\alpha-1}, \sqrt{d+\alpha-1};1} + (d - \alpha + 1)\mathcal{J}_{1,1;1} + (c-d)\mathcal{J}_{1,1;2} \right) \\
&= \pi(-2\alpha p + p - 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pi(1-p) \int_c^d x^2 \log x \cdot \phi'(x) dx \\
&= (\alpha p - 1) \cdot \left(d(\mathcal{I}_{\sqrt{c}, \sqrt{d}, 1, 1; 1}^+ - \mathcal{I}_{1, 1, 1, 1; 1}^+) + (c-d)(\mathcal{I}_{\sqrt{c}, \sqrt{d}, 1, 1; 2}^+ - \mathcal{I}_{1, 1, 1, 1; 2}^+) \right) \\
&+ (p - \alpha) \cdot (\alpha - 1)^2 \cdot \left(\mathcal{I}_{\sqrt{c}, \sqrt{d}, \sqrt{c+\alpha-1}, \sqrt{d+\alpha-1}; 1}^+ - \mathcal{I}_{1, 1, \sqrt{c+\alpha-1}, \sqrt{d+\alpha-1}; 1}^+ \right) \\
&+ (p - \alpha) \cdot \left((d - \alpha + 1)(\mathcal{I}_{\sqrt{c}, \sqrt{d}, 1, 1; 1}^+ - \mathcal{I}_{1, 1, 1, 1; 1}^+) + (c-d)(\mathcal{I}_{\sqrt{c}, \sqrt{d}, 1, 1; 2}^+ - \mathcal{I}_{1, 1, 1, 1; 2}^+) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pi(1-p) \int_c^d (x+\alpha-1)^2 \log(x+\alpha-1) \cdot \phi'(x) dx \\
&= (\alpha p - 1) \cdot \left((d+2\alpha-2) (\mathcal{I}_{\sqrt{c+\alpha-1}, \sqrt{d+\alpha-1}, 1, 1; 1}^+ - \mathcal{I}_{1, 1, 1, 1; 1}^+) + (c-d) (\mathcal{I}_{\sqrt{c+\alpha-1}, \sqrt{d+\alpha-1}, 1, 1; 2}^+ - \mathcal{I}_{1, 1, 1, 1; 2}^+) \right) \\
&+ (\alpha p - 1) \cdot (\alpha - 1)^2 \cdot (\mathcal{I}_{\sqrt{c+\alpha-1}, \sqrt{d+\alpha-1}, \sqrt{c}, \sqrt{d}; 1}^+ - \mathcal{I}_{1, 1, \sqrt{c}, \sqrt{d}; 1}^+) \\
&+ (p - \alpha) \left((d + \alpha - 1) (\mathcal{I}_{\sqrt{c+\alpha-1}, \sqrt{d+\alpha-1}, 1, 1; 1}^+ - \mathcal{I}_{1, 1, 1, 1; 1}^+) + (c - d) (\mathcal{I}_{\sqrt{c+\alpha-1}, \sqrt{d+\alpha-1}, 1, 1; 2}^+ - \mathcal{I}_{1, 1, 1, 1; 2}^+) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining all the terms together and using Lemma A.1 we conclude that

$$\int_0^d V(x) \phi(x) dx = (\alpha - 1) \log(1 - p) + (\alpha - 1)^2 \log(\alpha - 1) - \alpha^2 \log \alpha + \alpha \log \alpha + 2\alpha - 1 \quad (\text{A.3})$$

for $\alpha p > 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^d V(x) \phi(x) dx &= \frac{(\alpha^2 - 1)(p - 1) \log(1 - p) + (2\alpha p - p + 1) \log(p)}{2(p - 1)} \\
&+ \frac{(\alpha - 1)(-\alpha p + (\alpha - 1)(p - 1) \log(\alpha - 1) + 2p - 3) + \alpha \log(\alpha)(\alpha - \alpha p + 2p)}{2(p - 1)}
\end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

for $\alpha p \leq 1$.

Verification of the variational conditions. Now we are ready to check (3.14) and (3.15). First we claim that for any $y \in (c, d)$ we have (for either $\alpha p \geq 1$ or $\alpha p < 1$)

$$-\int_0^d \log|y-x| \phi(x) dx + \frac{V(y)}{2} = -\frac{\alpha \log \alpha + \log p}{2} + \frac{\alpha + 1}{2} \log(1 - p) + \frac{\alpha + 1}{2}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Indeed for $\alpha p \geq 1$, since $\phi(x) \equiv 0$ for $x \in (0, c)$ we have for any given $y \in (c, d)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\int_0^d \log|y-x| \phi(x) dx + \frac{V(y)}{2} = U(y) + \frac{V(y)}{2} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} y \log y + \frac{1}{4} y \log \alpha p + \frac{1}{2} (y + \alpha - 1) \log(y + \alpha - 1) + \frac{1}{4} (y + \alpha - 1) \log \frac{p}{\alpha} \\
&- \frac{\alpha + 1}{2} \log \frac{\sqrt{\alpha p}}{1 - p} + \frac{1}{2} y \log p^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} y \log y - \frac{1}{2} (y + \alpha - 1) \log(y + \alpha - 1) + \frac{\alpha - 1}{2} + 1 \\
&= -\frac{\alpha \log \alpha + \log p}{2} + \frac{\alpha + 1}{2} \log(1 - p) + \frac{\alpha + 1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $\alpha p < 1$ then $\phi(x) \equiv 1$ for $x \in (0, c)$ and we have for any given $y \in (c, d)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\int_0^d \log|y-x| \phi(x) dx + \frac{V(y)}{2} = -\int_0^c \log|y-x| \phi(x) dx + U(y) + \frac{V(y)}{2} \\
&= -y \log y - \int_c^d ((y-x) \log(y-x) + x) \phi'(x) dx + \frac{V(y)}{2} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} y \log y + \frac{1}{4} y \log \alpha p + \frac{1}{2} (y + \alpha - 1) \log(y + \alpha - 1) + \frac{1}{4} (y + \alpha - 1) \log \frac{p}{\alpha} \\
&- \frac{\alpha + 1}{2} \log \frac{\sqrt{\alpha p}}{1 - p} + \frac{1}{2} y \log p^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} y \log y - \frac{1}{2} (y + \alpha - 1) \log(y + \alpha - 1) + \frac{\alpha - 1}{2} + 1 \\
&= -\frac{\alpha \log \alpha + \log p}{2} + \frac{\alpha + 1}{2} \log(1 - p) + \frac{\alpha + 1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now for $\alpha p > 1$ note that

$$\int_c^d k(x, y) \phi(x) dx = \int_c^d \frac{1}{2} V(x) \phi(x) dx + \int_c^d -\log |y - x| \phi(x) dx + \frac{1}{2} V(y),$$

which is equal to

$$\frac{(\alpha - 1)^2 \log(\alpha - 1) - \alpha^2 \log \alpha + \alpha \log p + 3\alpha}{2} + \alpha \log(1 - p) - \frac{\log p}{2}$$

for $y \in (c, d)$, by (A.5) and (A.3). Moreover from (A.2) it is not hard to check that

$$-\int_0^d \log |y - x| \phi(x) dx + \frac{V(y)}{2},$$

as a function of y , is increasing for $y \in (d, \infty)$ and decreasing for $y \in (0, c)$ if $\alpha p > 1$. These complete the verification of (3.14). (3.15) is verified in a similar way using (A.4) instead of (A.3),

note that for $\alpha p \leq 1$, the function $-\int_0^d \log |y - x| \phi(x) dx + \frac{V(y)}{2}$ is increasing in y for $y \in (0, c)$.

Computation of the logarithmic energy. Finally we compute the logarithmic energy

$$F_\alpha(\infty) := \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty k(x, y) \phi(x) \phi(y) dx dy.$$

For $\alpha > 1/p$, using to (A.5) and (A.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_\alpha(\infty) &= \int_c^d \int_c^d k(x, y) \phi(x) \phi(y) dx dy \\ &= \int_c^d \frac{1}{2} V(x) \phi(x) dx + \int_c^d \left(-\int_c^d \log |y - x| \phi(x) dx + \frac{1}{2} V(y) \right) \phi(y) dy \\ &= \frac{(\alpha - 1)^2 \log(\alpha - 1) - \alpha^2 \log \alpha + 3\alpha}{2} + \alpha \log(1 - p) - \frac{\log p}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

The computation for $\alpha \leq 1/p$ is a bit more complicated. In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_\alpha(\infty) &= \int_0^d \int_0^d k(x, y) \phi(x) \phi(y) dx dy \\ &= \int_0^d \frac{1}{2} V(x) \phi(x) dx + \int_c^d \left(-\int_0^d \log |y - x| \phi(x) dx + \frac{1}{2} V(y) \right) \phi(y) dy \\ &\quad + \int_0^c \left(-\int_c^d \log |y - x| \phi(x) dx \right) dy - \int_0^c \int_0^c \log |y - x| dx dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^c V(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

A direct computation gives

$$\int_0^c \int_0^c \log |y - x| dx dy = -\frac{3}{2} c^2 + c^2 \log c, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

and

$$\int_0^c V(y) dy = \frac{c^2 \log \frac{c}{p} - (c + \alpha - 1)^2 \log(c + \alpha - 1) + (\alpha - 1)^2 \log(\alpha - 1) + 3c(\alpha - 1)}{2}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

To compute $\int_0^c \left(- \int_c^d \log |y - x| \phi(x) dx \right) dy$ we use Fubini's theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^c \left(- \int_c^d \log |y - x| \phi(x) dx \right) dy &= \int_c^d \left(\int_0^c - \log |y - x| dy \right) \phi(x) dx \\ &= \int_c^d ((x - c) \log(x - c) - x \log x + c) \phi(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

The last integral is computed in a very similar manner as $\int_c^d V(x) \phi(x) dx$ so we only record the result here and skip the details:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_c^d ((x - c) \log(x - c) - x \log x + c) \phi(x) dx \\ &= \frac{p(6\alpha + \alpha^2 p - 4\alpha\sqrt{\alpha p} - 4\sqrt{\alpha p} + p) \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{\alpha p}}{1-p}\right) - 3(\sqrt{\alpha p} - 1)^4 - 3(p-1)(\sqrt{\alpha p} - 1)^2}{2(p-1)^2} \\ &+ \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)(p-1)p - (\alpha+1)(p-1)\sqrt{\alpha p} + 3(\sqrt{\alpha p} - 1)^4 \log(1 - \sqrt{\alpha p})}{2(p-1)^2} \\ &+ \frac{(\alpha - 2\sqrt{\alpha p} + p)^2 \log\left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{p}{\alpha}}\right) - (\alpha(p-1)(\alpha(p-1) + 2) + (1 - \sqrt{p\alpha})^4) \log(1-p)}{2(p-1)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

Combining (A.4),(A.5),(A.6),(A.7) and (A.8), after some simplifications we arrive at

$$\int_0^d \int_0^d k(x, y) \phi(x) \phi(y) dx dy = \frac{(\alpha - 1)^2 \log(\alpha - 1) - \alpha^2 \log \alpha + 3\alpha}{2} + \alpha \log(1 - p) - \frac{\log p}{2},$$

for $\alpha < 1/p$ as well. This completes the verification of (3.16).

REFERENCES

- [AB19] A. Aggarwal and A. Borodin. Phase transitions in the ASEP and stochastic six-vertex model. *The Annals of Probability*, 47(2):613 – 689, 2019.
- [ACG23] A. Aggarwal, I. Corwin, and P. Ghosal. The ASEP speed process. *Advances in Mathematics*, 422:109004, 2023.
- [ACH24] A. Aggarwal, I. Corwin, and M. Hegde. Scaling limit of the colored ASEP and stochastic six-vertex models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.01341*, 2024.
- [Agg18] A. Aggarwal. Current Fluctuations of the Stationary ASEP and Six-Vertex Model. *Duke Math J.*, 167(2):269–384, 2018. arXiv:1608.04726 [math.PR].
- [Agg20] A. Aggarwal. Limit shapes and local statistics for the stochastic six-vertex model. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 376:681–746, 2020.
- [AGZ10] G.W. Anderson, A. Guionnet, and O. Zeitouni. *An introduction to random matrices*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [BBW18] A. Borodin, A. Bufetov, and M. Wheeler. Between the stochastic six vertex model and Hall-Littlewood processes. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 167(13):2457–2529, 2018.
- [BCG16] A. Borodin, I. Corwin, and V. Gorin. Stochastic six-vertex model. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 165(3):563–624, 2016.
- [BGG17] A. Borodin, V. Gorin, and A. Guionnet. Gaussian asymptotics of discrete β -ensembles. *Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 125(1):1–78, 2017.
- [BGS21] R. Basu, S. Ganguly, and A. Sly. Upper tail large deviations in first passage percolation. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 74(8):1577–1640, 2021.
- [BO17] A. Borodin and G. Olshanski. The ASEP and determinantal point processes. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 353(2):853–903, 2017.
- [Bor18] A. Borodin. Stochastic higher spin six vertex model and Macdonald measures. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 59(2):023301, 2018.

- [CC22] M. Cafasso and T. Claeys. A Riemann-Hilbert Approach to the lower tail of the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang Equation. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 75(3):493–540, 2022.
- [CG20a] I. Corwin and P. Ghosal. KPZ equation tails for general initial data. *Electron J Probab*, 25, 2020.
- [CG20b] I. Corwin and P. Ghosal. Lower tail of the KPZ equation. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 169(7):1329 – 1395, 2020.
- [CGK⁺18] I. Corwin, P. Ghosal, A. Krajenbrink, P. Le Doussal, and L.-C. Tsai. Coulomb-gas electrostatics controls large fluctuations of the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation. *Physical review letters*, 121(6):060201, 2018.
- [CGST20] I. Corwin, P. Ghosal, H. Shen, and L.-C. Tsai. Stochastic PDE limit of the six vertex model. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 375(3):1945–2038, 2020.
- [CH24] I. Corwin and M. Hegde. The lower tail of q-pushTASEP. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 405(3):64, 2024.
- [Cor12] I. Corwin. The Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation and universality class. *Random Matrices Theory Appl.*, 1, 2012. arXiv:1106.1596 [math.PR].
- [DD22] S. Das and E. Dimitrov. Large deviations for discrete β -ensembles. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 283(1):109487, 2022.
- [DDV24] S. Das, D. Dauvergne, and B. Virág. Upper tail large deviations of the directed landscape. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.14924*, 2024.
- [Dei00] P. Deift. *Orthogonal Polynomials and Random Matrices: A Riemann-Hilbert Approach: A Riemann-Hilbert Approach*, volume 3. American Mathematical Soc., 2000.
- [DHS24] H. Drillick and L. Haunschmid-Sibitz. The stochastic six-vertex model speed process. *In preparation*, 2024.
- [Dim23] E. Dimitrov. Two-point convergence of the stochastic six-vertex model to the Airy process. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 398(3):925–1027, 2023.
- [DL23] H. Drillick and Y. Lin. Strong law of large numbers for the stochastic six vertex model. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 28:1–21, 2023.
- [DLM23] S. Das, Y. Liao, and M. Mucciconi. Large deviations for the q -deformed polynuclear growth. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.01179*, 2023.
- [DOV22] D. Dauvergne, J. Ortmann, and B. Virag. The directed landscape. *Acta Mathematica*, 229(2), 2022.
- [DS97] PD Dragnev and EB Saff. Constrained energy problems with applications to orthogonal polynomials of a discrete variable. *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique*, 72(1):223–259, 1997.
- [DT21] S. Das and L.-C. Tsai. Fractional moments of the stochastic heat equation. In *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré, Probabilités et Statistiques*, volume 57, pages 778–799. Institut Henri Poincaré, 2021.
- [DT24] S. Das and L.-C. Tsai. Solving marginals of the LDP for the directed landscape. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.17041*, 2024.
- [DZ99] J.D. Deuschel and O. Zeitouni. On increasing subsequences of i.i.d. samples. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 8(3):247–263, 1999.
- [DZ22] S. Das and W. Zhu. Upper-tail large deviation principle for the ASEP. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 27:1–34, 2022.
- [For10] P.J. Forrester. *Log-gases and random matrices*. Princeton University Press, 2010.
- [Gan21] S. Ganguly. Random metric geometries on the plane and Kardar-Parisi-Zhang universality. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.11287*, 2021.
- [GH22] S. Ganguly and M. Hegde. Sharp upper tail estimates and limit shapes for the KPZ equation via the tangent method. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.08922*, 2022.
- [GH23] S. Ganguly and M. Hegde. Optimal tail exponents in general last passage percolation via bootstrapping & geodesic geometry. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 186(1):221–284, 2023.
- [GHZ23] S. Ganguly, M. Hegde, and L. Zhang. Brownian bridge limit of path measures in the upper tail of KPZ models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.12009*, 2023.
- [GL23] P. Ghosal and Y. Lin. Lyapunov exponents of the SHE under general initial data. In *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (B) Probabilités et statistiques*, volume 59, pages 476–502. Institut Henri Poincaré, 2023.
- [GLLT23] P. Y. Gaudreau Lamarre, Y. Lin, and L.-C. Tsai. KPZ equation with a small noise, deep upper tail and limit shape. *Probab Theory Related Fields*, pages 1–36, 2023.
- [GS92] L.-H. Gwa and H. Spohn. Six-vertex model, roughened surfaces, and an asymmetric spin Hamiltonian. *Physical Review Letters*, 68(6):725–728, 1992.
- [GS24] P. Ghosal and G.L.F. Silva. Six vertex model and the meixner ensemble. *In preparation*, 2024.
- [GV85] I. Gessel and G. Viennot. Binomial determinants, paths, and hook length formulae. *Advances in Mathematics*, 58(3):300–321, 1985.
- [HMMSD22] J. Huh, J.P. Matherne, K. Mészáros, and A. St. Dizier. Logarithmic concavity of schur and related polynomials. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 375:4411–4427, 2022.

- [HMS19] A. K. Hartmann, B. Meerson, and P. Sasorov. Optimal paths of nonequilibrium stochastic fields: The Kardar-Parisi-Zhang interface as a test case. *Physical Review Research*, 1(3):032043, 2019.
- [IMS21] T. Imamura, M. Mucciconi, and T. Sasamoto. Identity between restricted Cauchy sums for the q -Whittaker and skew Schur polynomials. *arXiv preprint*, 2021. arXiv:2106.11913 [math.CO].
- [IMS22] T. Imamura, M. Mucciconi, and T. Sasamoto. Solvable models in the KPZ class: approach through periodic and free boundary Schur measure. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.08420*, 2022.
- [Jen00] L. Jensen. *The asymmetric exclusion process in one dimension*. PhD thesis, Ph. D. dissertation, New York Univ., New York, 2000.
- [Joh00a] K. Johansson. Random growth and random matrices. *Unpublished notes*, 2000. <http://web.mit.edu/18.325/www/johansso.pdf>.
- [Joh00b] K. Johansson. Shape fluctuations and random matrices. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 209(2):437–476, 2000. arXiv:math/9903134 [math.CO].
- [Kim21] Y.H. Kim. The lower tail of the half-space KPZ equation. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 142:365–406, 2021.
- [KK07] IV Kolokolov and SE Korshunov. Optimal fluctuation approach to a directed polymer in a random medium. *Phys Rev B*, 75(14):140201, 2007.
- [KK09] IV Kolokolov and SE Korshunov. Explicit solution of the optimal fluctuation problem for an elastic string in a random medium. *Phys Rev E*, 80(3):031107, 2009.
- [KLD17] A. Krajenbrink and P. Le Doussal. Exact short-time height distribution in the one-dimensional Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation with Brownian initial condition. *Phys Rev E*, 96(2):020102, 2017.
- [KLD18a] A. Krajenbrink and P. Le Doussal. Large fluctuations of the KPZ equation in a half-space. *SciPost Phys*, 5:032, 2018.
- [KLD18b] A. Krajenbrink and P. Le Doussal. Simple derivation of the $(-\lambda H)^{5/2}$ tail for the 1D KPZ equation. *J Stat Mech Theory Exp*, 2018(6):063210, 2018.
- [KLD21] A. Krajenbrink and P. Le Doussal. Inverse scattering of the Zakharov-Shabat system solves the weak noise theory of the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation. *Phys Rev Lett*, 127(6):064101, 2021.
- [KLD22] A. Krajenbrink and Pierre Le Doussal. Inverse scattering solution of the weak noise theory of the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation with flat and Brownian initial conditions. *Phys Rev E*, 105:054142, 2022.
- [KLD23] A. Krajenbrink and P. Le Doussal. Crossover from the macroscopic fluctuation theory to the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation controls the large deviations beyond Einstein’s diffusion. *Physical Review E*, 107(1):014137, 2023.
- [KLDP18] A. Krajenbrink, P. Le Doussal, and S. Prolhac. Systematic time expansion for the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation, linear statistics of the GUE at the edge and trapped fermions. *Nuclear Physics B*, 936:239–305, 2018.
- [KMS16] A. Kamenev, B. Meerson, and PV Sasorov. Short-time height distribution in the one-dimensional Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation: Starting from a parabola. *Phys Rev E*, 94(3):032108, 2016.
- [KOV93] S. Kerov, G. Olshanski, and A. Vershik. Harmonic analysis on the infinite symmetric group. A deformation of the regular representation. *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I*, 316:773–778, 1993.
- [KPZ86] M. Kardar, G. Parisi, and Y. Zhang. Dynamic scaling of growing interfaces. *Physical Review Letters*, 56(9):889, 1986.
- [LDMRS16] P. Le Doussal, SN Majumdar, A. Rosso, and G. Schehr. Exact short-time height distribution in the one-dimensional Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation and edge fermions at high temperature. *Phys Rev Lett*, 117(7):070403, 2016.
- [LDMS16] P. Le Doussal, SN Majumdar, and G. Schehr. Large deviations for the height in 1D Kardar-Parisi-Zhang growth at late times. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, 113(6):60004, 2016.
- [Lin21] Y. Lin. Lyapunov exponents of the half-line SHE. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 183:1–34, 2021.
- [LPP07] T. Lam, A. Postnikov, and P. Pylyavskyy. Schur positivity and schur log-concavity. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 129(6):1611–1622, 2007.
- [LS77] B.F. Logan and L.A. Shepp. A variational problem for random Young tableaux. *Advances in Mathematics*, 26(2):206–222, 1977.
- [LS22] B. Landon and P. Sosoë. Tail bounds for the O’Connell-Yor polymer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.12704*, 2022.
- [LS23a] B. Landon and P. Sosoë. Tail estimates for the stationary stochastic six vertex model and ASEP. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.16812*, 2023.
- [LS23b] B. Landon and P. Sosoë. Upper tail bounds for stationary KPZ models. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, pages 1–25, 2023.
- [LT21] Y. Lin and L.-C. Tsai. Short time large deviations of the KPZ equation. *Commun Math Phys*, 386(1):359–393, 2021.
- [LT22] Y. Lin and L.-C. Tsai. A lower-tail limit in the weak noise theory. *arXiv:2210.05629*, 2022.

- [LT23] Y. Lin and L.-C. Tsai. Spacetime limit shapes of the KPZ equation in the upper tails. *preprint*, 2023.
- [Mac95] I.G. Macdonald. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Oxford University Press, 2nd edition, 1995.
- [Meh04] M.L. Mehta. *Random matrices*. Academic press, 2004.
- [MKV16] B. Meerson, E. Katzav, and A. Vilenkin. Large deviations of surface height in the Kardar–Parisi–Zhang equation. *Phys Rev Lett*, 116(7):070601, 2016.
- [MS17] B. Meerson and J. Schmidt. Height distribution tails in the Kardar–Parisi–Zhang equation with brownian initial conditions. *J Stat Mech Theory Exp*, 2017(10):103207, 2017.
- [MV18] B. Meerson and A. Vilenkin. Large fluctuations of a Kardar-Parisi-Zhang interface on a half line. *Physical Review E*, 98(3):032145, 2018.
- [Oko97] A. Okounkov. Log-Concavity of Multiplicities with Application to Characters of $U(\infty)$. *Advances in Mathematics*, 127(2):258–282, 1997.
- [Oko01] A. Okounkov. Infinite wedge and random partitions. *Selecta Mathematica*, 7(1):57–81, 2001.
- [Oko03] A. Okounkov. Why would multiplicities be log-concave? *The Orbit Method in Geometry and Physics. Progress in Mathematics.*, 213:329–347, 2003.
- [OT19] S. Olla and L.-C. Tsai. Exceedingly large deviations of the totally asymmetric exclusion process. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 24(none):1 – 71, 2019.
- [Pau35] L. Pauling. The structure and entropy of ice and of other crystals with some randomness of atomic arrangement. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 57(12):2680–2684, 1935.
- [PS11] L.A. Pastur and M. Shcherbina. *Eigenvalue distribution of large random matrices*. Number 171. American Mathematical Soc., 2011.
- [QT21] J. Quastel and L.-C. Tsai. Hydrodynamic large deviations of TASEP. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.04444*, 2021.
- [Qua13] J. Quastel. Introduction to KPZ. *Current Developments in Mathematics*, 2011, 03 2013.
- [Rom15] D. Romik. *The Surprising Mathematics of Longest Increasing Subsequences*. Institute of Mathematical Statistics Textbooks. Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [Sep98a] T. Seppäläinen. Coupling the totally asymmetric simple exclusion process with a moving interface. *Markov Process. Related Fields*, 4(4):593–628, 1998.
- [Sep98b] T. Seppäläinen. Large deviations for increasing sequences on the plane. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 112:221–244, 10 1998.
- [SMP17] P. Sasorov, B. Meerson, and S. Prolhac. Large deviations of surface height in the 1+ 1-dimensional Kardar–Parisi–Zhang equation: exact long-time results for $\lambda h < 0$. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2017(6):063203, 2017.
- [ST97] E.B. Saff and V. Totik. *Logarithmic Potentials with External Fields*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1 edition, 1997.
- [Tsa22] L.-C. Tsai. Exact lower-tail large deviations of the KPZ equation. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 171(9):1879 – 1922, 2022.
- [Tsa23] L.-C. Tsai. High moments of the SHE in the clustering regimes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.14375*, 2023.
- [Var04] S.R.S. Varadhan. Large deviations for the asymmetric simple exclusion process. *Stochastic analysis on large scale interacting systems*, 39:1–27, 2004.
- [Ver24] J. Verges. Large deviation principle at speed n^d for the random metric in first-passage percolation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.09589*, 2024.
- [Zyg18] N. Zygouras. Some algebraic structures in the KPZ universality. *arXiv preprint*, 2018. arXiv:1812.07204v3 [math.PR].

S. DAS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO,
5734 S. UNIVERSITY AVENUE, CHICAGO, IL 60637, USA
Email address: sayan.das@columbia.edu

Y. LIAO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - MADISON,
480 LINCOLN DRIVE, MADISON, WI 53706, USA
Email address: ycliao95@gmail.com

M. MUCCICONI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK,
COVENTRY, CV4 7HP, UNITED KINGDOM
Email address: matteomucciconi@gmail.com