

Time transformation between the solar system barycenter and the surfaces of the Earth and Moon

Slava G. Turyshev, James G. Williams, Dale H. Boggs, and Ryan S. Park
*Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology,
4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109-0899, USA*

(Dated: July 31, 2024)

The transformation of time between the surface of the Earth, the solar system barycenter, and the surface of the Moon involves relativistic corrections. For solar system Barycentric Dynamical Time (TDB), we also require that there be no rate difference between Terrestrial Time (TT) and TDB. The IAU has addressed these transformations with several resolutions. A series of robotic and crewed landings on the Moon are planned. The analogous transformation between TDB and time on the surface of the Moon (TL) needs a review and discussion. In this paper, we compute the rate terms involved in that transformation. We also present the TDB-compatible spatial scale and Lorentz contraction of Moon-centered positional coordinates. These transformations have been implemented in the JPL programs used to generate ephemerides of the Moon and planets. Finally, we provide expressions that can be used to synchronize TT and TL using either TDB or TT. The relevant transformations contain a small secular drift between the two time scales, along with additional small periodic terms that can be numerically evaluated using the solar system and lunar ephemerides.

I. INTRODUCTION

As our lunar presence expands, synchronizing an extensive network of assets on the Moon and in cislunar space with Earth-based systems will become increasingly challenging. To address this, it is essential to establish a common system time for all lunar assets. This system would account for relativistic effects due to differing gravitational and motion conditions, ensuring precise and efficient operations across cislunar space.

The adoption of a dedicated lunar time and a Luni-centric Coordinate Reference System (LCRS) is fundamental to the success of lunar exploration. These elements will ensure precise positioning, navigation, and timing (PNT), thus facilitating scientific discovery, efficient resource utilization, and the expansion of human presence on the Moon.

Although relativistic time transformations between the Solar System Barycentric (SSB) coordinate reference frame and the surface of the Earth are familiar (Chapter 10 of [1]), an analogous transformation for the surface of the Moon has not been established. In particular, the constants that describe the behavior of the two time scales as time progresses are needed. This paper presents a transformation and the associated constants.

This paper is organized as follows: Section II presents the time and position transformations considering Sun, Earth, Moon, and planets other than Earth. In Section III we develop similar transformation for the Moon. Section IV is about implementation. We explore the relationships between the lunar and terrestrial time scales, gaining insights into the structure of the relevant time transformations. We also assess the magnitude of the drift rate between the lunar and terrestrial clocks. Section V summarizes the paper. Appendix A provides details on the influence of the planets. In Appendix B, we present the pertinent IAU Resolutions that address the relevant relativistic modeling.

II. TIME AND POSITION TRANSFORMATIONS FOR THE EARTH

There is a history of papers about relativistic time transformations for the Earth [2–10]. These have resulted in and been related to a series of International Astronomical Union (IAU) Resolutions [11, 12]. There is a variety of time scales related to different locations (see the IAU Resolutions in the Appendix and Chapter 10 of the International Earth Rotation and Reference Systems Service (IERS) Conventions [1]). For the Earth, we want to get from International Atomic Time (TAI) or Terrestrial Time (TT) to Barycentric Dynamical Time (TDB). Times TAI and TT are appropriate for the Earth’s surface on the geoid. Time TDB corresponds to the SSB frame. (See Table I for a list of abbreviations used in this paper.) The TDB runs at the same average rate as TT or TAI, but there are differences that can be represented with a series of periodic terms including a large annual term with an amplitude of 1.55 milli-second (ms).

The chain of time transformations is TT → Geocentric Coordinate Time (TCG) in the Geocentric Celestial Reference System (GCRS) → Barycentric Coordinate Time (TCB) in the Barycentric Celestial Reference System (BCRS) → TDB in the SSB frame. The constant rates for the transformations of this chain are $L_G/(1 - L_G)$, $L_C/(1 - L_B)$, and $-L_B$. The sum of the three is zero giving $L_C/(1 - L_B) = L_B - L_G/(1 - L_G)$, so $L_C = L_B(1 - L_B) - L_G(1 - L_B)/(1 - L_G) \approx L_B - L_G$.

Ultimately, to this chain we want to add TDB → TCB → Luni-centric Coordinate Time (TCL) in the Luni-centric Celestial Reference System (LCRS) → Lunar Time (TL) on the surface of the Moon, all with appropriate rates for the

TABLE I: List of abbreviations used in the paper.

BCRS	Barycentric Celestial Reference System
SSB	Solar System Barycentric
TCB	Barycentric Coordinate Time
TDB	Barycentric Dynamical Time
GCRS	Geocentric Celestial Reference System
TCG	Geocentric Coordinate Time
TCRS	Topocentric Coordinate Reference System
TT	Terrestrial Time (on the surface of the Earth)
LCRS	Luni-centric Celestial Reference System
TCL	Luni-centric Coordinate Time
LSCRS	Lunar Surface Coordinate Reference System
TL	Lunar Time (on the surface of the Moon)
IAU	International Astronomical Union
TAI	International Atomic Time
ICRS	International Celestial Reference System
JD	Julian Day
MJD	Modified Julian Day

transformations. Before, we do that, in this Section we review the time transformations developed for the Earth.

A. BCRS and GCRS, as defined by the IAU

The IAU 2000 Resolutions [11] have presented relativistic formulation for the BCRS and the GCRS that ensures an uncertainty of no greater than 5×10^{-18} in time rate and 0.2 ps in time transfer for locations beyond a few solar radii from the Sun [13]. However, for the near-term lunar applications we will not need such an exquisite precision. In fact, modeling uncertainties of $\sim 1 \times 10^{-16} = 8.64$ picosecond/day (ps/d) in time rate and ~ 10 ps for time transfer will be sufficient. Therefore, below, we will refer to these accuracy assumptions when we develop the relevant expressions.

According to the IAU 2000 Resolutions [11, 13], the metric tensor of the BCRS with coordinates ($t = \text{TCB}$, \mathbf{x}) may be given in the following form accurate to $\mathcal{O}(c^{-4})$, sufficient for our purposes:

$$ds_{\text{BCRS}}^2 = \left\{ 1 - 2c^{-2} \sum_{\text{B}} U_{\text{B}}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \right\} c^2 dt^2 - \left\{ 1 + 2c^{-2} \sum_{\text{B}} U_{\text{B}}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \right\} d\mathbf{x}^2, \quad (1)$$

where U_{B} is the Newtonian potential of a body B and summation is over all the solar system bodies. The omitted $\mathcal{O}(c^{-4})$ terms in this expression, when evaluated at the Earth, contribute up to $\sim 9.74 \times 10^{-17}$, too small to consider.

Similarly, the GCRS with coordinates ($T = \text{TCG}$, \mathbf{X}) is described by a metric that

$$ds_{\text{GCRS}}^2 = \left\{ 1 - 2c^{-2} \left(U_{\text{E}}(T, \mathbf{X}) + U_{\text{tid}}(T, \mathbf{X}) \right) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \right\} c^2 dT^2 - \left\{ 1 + 2c^{-2} \left(U_{\text{E}}(T, \mathbf{X}) + U_{\text{tid}}(T, \mathbf{X}) \right) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \right\} d\mathbf{X}^2, \quad (2)$$

where $U_{\text{E}}(T, \mathbf{X})$ is the Newtonian gravitational potential of the isolated Earth and $U_{\text{tid}}(T, \mathbf{X})$ is the tidal potential produced by all other solar system bodies (excluding Earth), both evaluated at the origin of the GCRS. The omitted terms are due to U_{E}^2 that may contribute up to $\sim 8.43 \times 10^{-19}$, negligible for our purposes.

Finally, the IAU 2000 Resolutions (see [1, 13]) also provided the time and position transformations between the GCRS ($T = \text{TCG}$, \mathbf{X}) and the BCRS ($t = \text{TCB}$, \mathbf{x}) that, to sufficient accuracy, may be given as follows:

$$T = t - c^{-2} \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t \left(\frac{1}{2} v_{\text{E}}^2 + \sum_{\text{B} \neq \text{E}} U_{\text{B}} \right) dt + (\mathbf{v}_{\text{E}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{E}}) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(1.10 \times 10^{-16})(t - t_0), \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{X} = \left(1 + c^{-2} \sum_{\text{B} \neq \text{E}} U_{\text{B}} \right) \mathbf{r}_{\text{E}} + c^{-2} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{v}_{\text{E}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{E}}) \mathbf{v}_{\text{E}} + \mathcal{O}(1.34 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}), \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbf{r}_{\text{E}} \equiv \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\text{E}}(t)$ with \mathbf{x}_{E} and $\mathbf{v}_{\text{E}} = d\mathbf{x}_{\text{E}}/dt$ being the Earth's position and velocity vectors in the BCRS. Note that in their most accurate form (see [13]), the time transformations (3) also include terms $\propto \mathcal{O}(c^{-4})$, while the spatial transformation (4) contains acceleration-dependent terms. Taking this into account, the uncertainty in (3) comes from the omitted $\mathcal{O}(c^{-4})$ terms behaving as $\sim c^{-4} \left\{ -\frac{1}{8} v_{\text{E}}^2 - \frac{3}{2} v_{\text{E}}^2 GM_{\odot}/x_{\text{E}} + \frac{1}{2} (GM_{\odot}/x_{\text{E}})^2 \right\} \simeq -1.10 \times 10^{-16} = -9.50$ ps/d. Similarly, the uncertainty in (4) is set by the omitted acceleration-dependent terms that on the Earth's surface may contribute up to $c^{-2} ((\mathbf{a}_{\text{E}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{E}}) \mathbf{r}_{\text{E}} - \frac{1}{2} r_{\text{E}}^2 \mathbf{a}_{\text{E}}) \simeq 1.34 \times 10^{-6}$ m. Eqs. (3)–(4) will be used to develop TCG to TCB time scales.

B. Relativistic time scales

We first consider the relationship between TT and TCG. Time TT was defined by IAU Resolution A4 (1991) [14] as: a time scale differing from TCG by a constant rate, with the unit of measurement of TT chosen so that it matches the SI second on the geoid. According to the transformation between proper and coordinate time from (2) that yields $d\text{TT} = (1 - c^{-2}(U_E + U_{\text{tid}} + \frac{1}{2}\dot{X}^2) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}))d\text{TCG}$, this constant rate is expressed as

$$\frac{d\text{TT}}{d\text{TCG}} = 1 - \frac{1}{c^2}W_g = 1 - L_G, \quad (5)$$

where W_g is the combined gravitational and rotational potential on the geoid, determined as $W_g = (62636856.0 \pm 0.5) \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-2}$ [15]. The IAU value for L_G is $6.969290134 \times 10^{-10} \approx 60.2147$ microseconds/day ($\mu\text{s}/\text{d}$), a defining constant as set by IAU 2000 Resolution B1.9, Table 1.1 in [1]. It can be calculated based on the potential at the geoid, specifically at the equator, and the kinetic energy due to Earth's rotation:

$$L_G \equiv \frac{1}{c^2}W_g \simeq \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{GM_E}{R_E} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}J_2\right) + \frac{1}{2}R_E^2\omega_E^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}(3.29 \times 10^{-15}), \quad (6)$$

where $GM_E = 398600.44 \text{ km}^3/\text{s}^2$ and the equatorial radius of the Earth is $R_E = 6378.1366 \text{ km}$. The un-normalized zonal harmonic coefficient J_2 is 1.0826359×10^{-3} , as listed in Table 1.1 of [1], and the rotation rate ω_E is $1.0027379 \text{ rev}/\text{day}$ or $\omega_E = 7.29212 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The speed of light, c , is defined as $299792.458 \text{ km}/\text{s}$ according to [16]. Our computed value of L_G is $6.96928382 \times 10^{-10} \approx 60.2146$ ($\mu\text{s}/\text{d}$) or -0.6×10^{-15} (about -0.0001%) different from the IAU value (see Table II). Note that the IAU value was computed using the W_g from [15], while in our case, the solar system ephemerides [17] were used. Also, the error bound in (6) is set by the omitted term with the tesseral harmonics $C_{22} = 1.5745 \times 10^{-6}$ of the Earth's gravity field [18]. See Ref. [19] for a definition of W_g/c^2 in (6) accurate to $\mathcal{O}(5.83 \times 10^{-17})$, which includes gravitational harmonics $J_\ell, C_{\ell k}$ and $S_{\ell k}$ up to $\ell = 8$ order.

The tidal terms from (2) may contribute to L_G only at the levels of approximately 4.90×10^{-17} for the Moon and 1.79×10^{-17} for the Sun [19], which is beyond the stated accuracy limit. Thus, they were omitted in (6).

Note that the definition of TT is accurate only to about 10^{-17} [11]. The intricacy and temporal variability associated with the definition and realization of the geoid contribute to uncertainties in defining and realizing TT.

The conversion from TT on the Earth's surface to Geocentric Coordinate Time (TCG) involves a rate change (5)

$$\frac{d\text{TCG}}{d\text{TT}} = \frac{1}{1 - L_G} = 1 + \frac{L_G}{1 - L_G}, \quad (7)$$

which may be used to introduce the following relationship between TCG and TT, starting at time T_0 :

$$\text{TCG} - \text{TT} = \frac{L_G}{1 - L_G}(\text{TT} - T_0). \quad (8)$$

According to the IAU Resolution B1.9 (2000), L_G , previously dependent on the geoid model as per IAU (1991) resolutions, is no longer considered variable. Furthermore, the scaling of spatial coordinates and mass factors is designed to maintain the invariance of the speed of light and the equations of motion in the GCRS [20], applicable to the Moon's or Earth's artificial satellites, during the transformation from TCG to TT. This transformation, which includes the scaling of temporal and spatial coordinates and mass factors, ensures the invariance of the metric (up to a constant factor)

$$(ds^2)_{\text{TT}} = (1 - L_G)^2 ds^2_{\text{TCG}}, \quad (9)$$

where $(ds^2)_{\text{TT}}$ maintains the same form in terms of TT, \mathbf{X}_{TT} , and $(GM)_{\text{TT}}$ as (2) does in terms of T , \mathbf{X} , and $(GM)_{\text{TCG}}$.

As a result, instead of coordinate time $T = \text{TCG}$, spatial coordinates \mathbf{X} and mass factors $(GM)_{\text{TCG}}$ related to GCRS, IERS Standards (1992) use for the Earth's Topocentric Coordinate Reference System (TCRS) the following scaling of relevant quantiles

$$\text{TT} = \text{TCG} - L_G(\text{TCG} - T_0), \quad \mathbf{X}_{\text{TT}} = (1 - L_G)\mathbf{X}_{\text{TCG}}, \quad (GM)_{\text{TT}} = (1 - L_G)(GM)_{\text{TCG}}. \quad (10)$$

Another constant, L_C , removes the constant rate between TCG and TCB given by (3). It is determined as the long time average of the rate computed from the inverse to transformation (3) given as below

$$\text{TCB} - \text{TCG} = \left\{ \frac{1}{c^2} \int \left(\frac{1}{2}v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} U_B \right) dt + \frac{1}{c^2}(\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_E) \right\}_{\text{TCB}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad (11)$$

where the subscript $\{\dots\}_{\text{TCB}}$ is used to identify a TCB-compatible quantity. Similar notations will be used below to highlight the use of other relevant time scales.

Although the integral in (11) may be calculated by a numerical integration [5, 6], there are analytic formulations available [4, 21]. For that, expression for the the total Earth's energy of its orbital motion may be given as below:

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} U_B \right) = L_C + \dot{P}(t) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad \frac{1}{c^2} \left\langle \frac{1}{2} v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} U_B \right\rangle = L_C, \quad (12)$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle$ denotes the long time average. Also, the constant $L_C = 1.480\,826\,854\,55 \times 10^{-8} \approx 1.2794344$ millisecond/day (ms/d) (Table 1.1 in [1]) results from the long-time averaging of Earth's total orbital energy, as seen in (12), and $P(t)$ represents a series of periodic terms, see Refs. [4, 6]. Note that the largest term in the P -series has the amplitude of $A_1 = 1656.674564 \times 10^{-6}$ s and the frequency of $\omega_1 = 6283.079543033 / (10^3 \text{ yr}) \simeq 1.9923515 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}^{-1}$ [1, 4, 21].

As a result, equation (11) may be used to determine mean rate between TCG and TCB:

$$\left\langle \frac{d\text{TCG}}{d\text{TCB}} \right\rangle = 1 - L_C. \quad (13)$$

Similar to (5), we can formally relate TT and TCB. Using (1), one may derive the transformation between proper time on the surface of the Earth and the relevant coordinate time, as was done in (5). Then, the constant resulting from this, L_B , would be a long-term average of the total potential and kinetic energy at the clock's location, yielding the following definition for L_B as the constant rate between TT and TCB:

$$\left\langle \frac{d\text{TT}}{d\text{TCB}} \right\rangle = 1 - L_B. \quad (14)$$

However, it would be difficult to implement such definition in practice as planetary contributions are changing with time (see discussion in Sec. A). Table II summarizes various constants in the rate equations for Earth and Moon. To get the best match between the IAU value of L_B and the value computed here we used

$$L_B = \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ GM_S \left\langle \frac{1}{r_{SE}} \right\rangle + GM_M \left\langle \frac{1}{r_{EM}} \right\rangle + \langle U_P \rangle + \left\langle \frac{1}{2} v_E^2 \right\rangle + \frac{GM_E}{R_E} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} J_{2E} \right) + \frac{1}{2} R_E^2 \omega_E^2 \right\} + \mathcal{O}(3.29 \times 10^{-15}), \quad (15)$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle$ denotes a time average, $\langle U_P \rangle$ is the sum of the potentials of the planets other than Earth, presented in Appendix A. This results in the value $L_B = 1.550\,519\,693\,13 \times 10^{-8} \approx 1.339\,65$ ms/d. Even then the difference between the IAU value and the value computed here is 3×10^{-15} , larger than the uncertainty implied by the number of digits given by [1]. The values in Table II calculated in this paper are given to 10^{-15} , which would be $0.3 \mu\text{s}$ after 10 yr. The GM values for the Sun, Earth, Moon, and planets were taken from DE440 [17]. These will not exactly match the values used for the IAU value of L_B . The equatorial radius of the Earth was set at $R_E = 6378.1366$ km [1]. Similar to (6), the error bound in (15) is due to the omitted term with the tesseral harmonics C_{22} of the Earth's gravity field.

While keeping (14), the IAU 2000 Resolution B1.5, specifies the following relation between the constants L_B , L_G , and L_C that may be used to determine L_B :

$$\left\langle \frac{d\text{TT}}{d\text{TCB}} \right\rangle = \left(\frac{d\text{TT}}{d\text{TCG}} \right) \left\langle \frac{d\text{TCG}}{d\text{TCB}} \right\rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad 1 - L_B = (1 - L_G)(1 - L_C), \quad (16)$$

where L_B is determined as $L_B = L_G + L_C - L_G L_C = 1.550\,519\,686\,97 \times 10^{-8} \approx 1.339\,65$ ms/d [1, 11, 12].

The scaling of spatial coordinates and mass factors in is implemented to maintain the invariance of the speed of light and the equations of motion of the solar system bodies during the transformation from TCB to TDB. This transformation also involves the following relationship:

$$(ds^2)_{\text{TDB}} = (1 - L_B)^2 ds_{\text{TCB}}^2, \quad (17)$$

where $(ds^2)_{\text{TDB}}$ keeps the same form in terms of TDB, \mathbf{x}_{TDB} , and $(GM)_{\text{TDB}}$ as (2) does in terms of t , \mathbf{x} , and GM . Thus, the BCRS of IAU (1991, 2000) resolutions and the International Celestial Reference System (ICRS) differ primarily by the introduction of the L_B factor.

As a result, TDB is a timescale rescaled from TCB, as defined by IAU 2006 Resolution B3 and IAU 2009 Resolution 3 [1, 22], given by the following set of expressions:

$$\text{TDB} = \text{TCB} - L_B(\text{TCB} - T_0) + \text{TDB}_0, \quad \mathbf{x}_{\text{TDB}} = (1 - L_B)\mathbf{x}_{\text{TCB}}, \quad (GM)_{\text{TDB}} = (1 - L_B)(GM)_{\text{TCB}}, \quad (18)$$

where $L_B = 1.550\,519\,767\,72 \times 10^{-8}$, $T_0(\text{MJD}) = \text{MJD}43144 + 32.4$ s, and $\text{TDB}_0 = -65.5 \mu\text{s}$ are defining constants, equivalent to those used in JPL planetary ephemerides. This definition ensures that TDB maintains the same rate as TT. The constant term TDB_0 is selected to ensure reasonable consistency with the widely used $(\text{TDB} - \text{TT})$ formula from [4]. Note that the inclusion of TDB_0 implies that TDB is not synchronized with TT, TCG, and TCB as of 1 January 1977 at 0h 32.184s TAI at the geocenter. Additionally, we note that the IAU definition of TDB is based on the JPL ephemeris DE405. For more details, see <http://www.iauufs.org/res.html>.

TABLE II: Constant terms for Earth $d\text{TDB}/d\text{TAI}$ and Moon $d\text{TDB}/d\text{TL}$.

Parameter	Value, 10^{-8}
U_{ES}/c^2	0.9870628
U_{EM}/c^2	0.0000142
U_{BP}/c^2	0.0002197
U_{EG}/c^2 , IAU	0.069692901
U_{EG}/c^2 , this paper	0.0696928
$\frac{1}{2}v_{\text{E}}^2/c^2$	0.4935299
L_{B} , IAU	1.55051976772
L_{B} this paper	1.55051969313
$L_{\text{B}}/(1 - L_{\text{B}})$ IAU	1.55051979176
$L_{\text{B}}/(1 - L_{\text{B}})$, this paper	1.55051948675
L_{G} , IAU	0.06969290134
L_{G} , this paper	0.0696928
$L_{\text{B}} - L_{\text{G}}$, IAU	1.48082686638
$L_{\text{B}} - L_{\text{G}}$, this paper	1.4808267
L_{C} , IAU	1.48082686741
L_{C} , this paper	1.48082685455
U_{MS}/c^2	0.9870647
U_{ME}/c^2	0.0011538
U_{BP}/c^2	0.0002197
U_{MG}/c^2	0.0031403
$\frac{1}{2}v_{\text{M}}^2/c^2$	0.4941002
L_{L}	0.003139054
L_{H}	1.4825362
L_{M}	1.4856753

C. Transformation TAI \rightarrow TDB

For practical purposes, Terrestrial Time (TT) on Earth's surface is expressed using International Atomic Time (TAI) with a constant offset:

$$\text{TT} = \text{TAI} + 32.184 \text{ s}. \quad (19)$$

Consider the three transformations for TT \rightarrow TDB. Transformations TT \rightarrow TCG and TCB \rightarrow TDB are linear in time. In the following we closely follow Chapter 10 of [1]. For TT \rightarrow TCG, from (8), we have (see also Eq. (10.1)) in Ref. [1]

$$\text{TCG} - \text{TT} = \frac{L_{\text{G}}}{1 - L_{\text{G}}} \left\{ \left[\text{MJD}(\text{TT}) - 43144.0 \right] 86400 \text{ s/d} - 32.4 \text{ s} \right\}, \quad (20)$$

where T_0 is $T_0(\text{MJD}) = \text{MJD} 43144.0 + 32.4 \text{ s}$. With (19) that relates TT and TAI, result (20) is equivalent to

$$\text{TCG} - \text{TT} = \frac{L_{\text{G}}}{1 - L_{\text{G}}} \left\{ \left[\text{MJD}(\text{TAI}) - 43144.0 \right] 86400 \text{ s/d} - 0.216 \text{ s} \right\}. \quad (21)$$

To establish relationships between TCB and TCG, we transform (11) using results (12) and (14) and integrate it over TT from T_0 to TT to present it as below

$$\text{TCB} - \text{TCG} = \frac{1}{1 - L_{\text{B}}} \left\{ L_{\text{C}}(\text{TT} - T_0) + P(\text{TT}) - P(T_0) + \frac{1}{c^2}(\mathbf{v}_{\text{E}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{E}}) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad (22)$$

which comprises a secular term $\propto L_{\text{C}}/(1 - L_{\text{B}})\text{TT}$ and a series of periodic terms $P(\text{TT})$, as specified in the IERS Technical Note 36 [1], see also [4, 21]. Using (22), we express in the following practical form (see Eq. (10.5) in [1])

$$\text{TCB} - \text{TCG} = \frac{1}{1 - L_{\text{B}}} \left\{ L_{\text{C}} \left[\text{MJD}(\text{TT}) - T_0 \right] 86400 \text{ s/d} + P(\text{TT}) - P(T_0) + \frac{1}{c^2}(\mathbf{v}_{\text{E}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{E}}) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad (23)$$

which is equivalent to the hybrid (with introducing modified time $T_{00} = 43144.0(\text{TAI}) + 0.216 \text{ s}$)

$$\text{TCB} - \text{TCG} = \frac{1}{1 - L_{\text{B}}} \left\{ L_{\text{C}} \left[\text{MJD}(\text{TAI}) - T_{00} \right] 86400 \text{ s/d} + P(\text{TT}) - P(T_0) + \frac{1}{c^2}(\mathbf{v}_{\text{E}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{E}}) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \quad (24)$$

For the transformation TCB \rightarrow TDB, IAU 2006 Resolution B3 and IAU 2009 Resolution 3 [1, 22], as given by the first expression in (18). Then, for the accepted time convention, we have

$$\text{TDB} - \text{TCB} = -L_B \left\{ \left[\text{MJD}(\text{TCB}) - T_0 \right] 86400 \text{ s/d} \right\} - 65.5 \mu\text{s}. \quad (25)$$

It is informative to establish relationships between TDB and TT. For that purpose, we use result (11) together with (7) and (16) to derive:

$$\text{TDB} - \text{TT} = \text{TDB}_0 - L_C (\text{TT} - T_0) + \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ \int_{T_0}^{\text{TT}} \left(\frac{1}{2} v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} U_B \right) dt + (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{0E}) \right\}_{\text{TT}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad (26)$$

where \mathbf{r}_{0E} is the geocentric position vector of a particular site on the Earth's surface.

Similarly, evaluating the integral as was done in (22), results in

$$\text{TDB} - \text{TT} = \text{TDB}_0 + P(\text{TT}) - P(T_0) + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{0E}) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \quad (27)$$

As one can see from (27), introduction of TDB in the form of (18) eliminates the rate difference between TDB and TT, leaving only small periodic terms. Thus, in (18), the TCB has been rescaled to become TDB that has the same secular rate as TT. The time TDB is used in the planetary and satellite ephemerides published by the JPL [17].

D. Transformation TDB \rightarrow TAI

First, we want the transformation TDB \rightarrow TCB. We want to convert MJD(TCB) first to MJD(TDB) and then to MJD(TAI). Time MJD(TCB) has a larger rate than MJD(TDB), so MJD(TDB) needs a factor of $1/(1 - L_B)$. Then we convert to MJD(TAI)

$$\text{TDB} = \text{TCB} - \frac{L_B}{1 - L_B} \left\{ \left[\text{MJD}(\text{TAI}) - 43144.0(\text{TAI}) \right] 86400 - 0.216 \text{ s} - 65.5 \mu\text{s} \right\}. \quad (28)$$

The IAU value of $L_B/(1 - L_B)$ is $1.55051979176 \times 10^{-8}$. Consequently, we write

$$\text{TCB} = \text{TDB} + \frac{L_B}{1 - L_B} \left\{ \left[\text{MJD}(\text{TAI}) - 43144.0(\text{TAI}) \right] 86400 - 0.216 \text{ s} - 65.5 \mu\text{s} \right\}. \quad (29)$$

The second linear transformation is TCG \rightarrow TT. It reverses the direction of Eqs. (20) and (21)

$$\text{TT} = \text{TCG} - L_G \left\{ \left[\text{MJD}(\text{TCG}) - 43144.0 \right] 86400 \text{ s/d} - 32.4 \text{ s} \right\}. \quad (30)$$

The transformation from TT to TAI is simply a reversal of equation (19)

$$\text{TAI} = \text{TT} - 32.184 \text{ s}. \quad (31)$$

We want to reverse the direction of Eqs. (11) to get TCG from TCB

$$\text{TCG} = \text{TCB} - \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ \int \left(\frac{1}{2} v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} U_B \right) dt + (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_E) \right\}_{\text{TCB}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad (32)$$

which yields

$$\text{TCG} = \text{TCB} - \frac{1}{1 - L_B} \left\{ L_C \left[\text{MJD}(\text{TT}) - T_0 \right] 86400 \text{ s/d} + P(\text{TT}) - P(T_0) + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_E) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad (33)$$

and the hybrid

$$\text{TCG} = \text{TCB} - \frac{1}{1 - L_B} \left\{ L_C \left[\text{MJD}(\text{TAI}) - T_{00} \right] 86400 \text{ s/d} + P(\text{TT}) - P(T_0) + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_E) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \quad (34)$$

Similar to (26), we establish relationships between TT and TDB, expressing the results as a function of TDB. For that, we use (7), (16) and (32) to derive:

$$\text{TT} - \text{TDB} = \frac{L_B - L_G}{1 - L_B}(\text{TDB} - T_0) - \frac{1}{1 - L_C} \left\{ \text{TDB}_0 + \frac{1}{c^2} \int_{T_0 + \text{TDB}_0}^{\text{TDB}} \left(\frac{1}{2} v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} U_B \right) dt + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{0E}) \right\}_{\text{TDB}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \quad (35)$$

The combination of the constants $(L_B - L_G)/(1 - L_B) \simeq 1.279$ ms/d. This rate is removed by taking the integral by using (12) and accounting for the constant L_C . Indeed, evaluating the integral in (35) together with (12), we have the following result that expresses TT via TDB (inverse to (27))

$$\text{TT} - \text{TDB} = -\text{TDB}_0 - \frac{1}{1 - L_C} \left\{ P(\text{TDB}) - P(T_0 + \text{TDB}_0) + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{0E}) \right\}_{\text{TDB}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \quad (36)$$

Thus, there is no rate difference between TT and TDB, only small periodic terms $\propto P(t)$, as shown in (27) and (36).

E. Position transformations

The classical relativistic time transformation would include a mean rate. When we remove the mean rate, we rescale the three space coordinates as in (10) and (18). The other spatial effect is the Lorentz contraction along the direction of motion. Here, we briefly address these two subjects (more relevant material is available, e.g., [1, 12, 13, 20, 23]).

To discuss position transformation, we consider \mathbf{X}_{TCG} to be a position vector of a site on the surface of the Earth as it is observed in the GCRS and \mathbf{r}_{ETCB} being the same vector but as seen from the BCRS. We need to express relationship between \mathbf{X}_{TCG} and \mathbf{r}_{ETCB} in terms of the TT and TDB timescales. For that, we use (10) to express the \mathbf{X}_{TCG} via TT and (18) to express \mathbf{r}_{ETCB} via \mathbf{r}_{ETDB} . Then, taking into account $(1 - L_B) = (1 - L_G)(1 - L_C)$, from (16), we have:

$$\mathbf{X}_{\text{TT}} = \left(1 + L_C + c^{-2} \sum_{B \neq E} U_B \right) \mathbf{r}_{\text{ETDB}} + c^{-2} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_E) \mathbf{v}_E + \mathcal{O}(1.34 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}), \quad (37)$$

where $\mathbf{r}_E \equiv \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_E(t)$ and, similar to (4), the error here is set by the omitted acceleration-dependent terms.

At the stated level of accuracy, developing an inverse transformation to that of (37) is straightforward. To achieve this, one simply needs to move the c^{-2} -terms to the other side of the equation with the appropriate sign changes.

The first group of terms in (37) amounts to a scale change. The constant $L_C + c^{-2} \langle \sum_{B \neq E} U_B \rangle$ is $(1.48083 + 0.98728) \times 10^{-8} = 2.46811 \times 10^{-8}$ (Table II). For the mean radius of the Earth, this contribution amounts to 15.72 cm. The annual variation is $\pm 1.65 \times 10^{-10}$, or ± 1.05 mm for the radius of the Earth. Then, to a good approximation

$$\mathbf{X}_{\text{TT}} = \left(1 + 2.46811 \times 10^{-8} + 1.65 \times 10^{-10} \cos l' \right) \mathbf{r}_{\text{ETDB}} + c^{-2} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_E) \mathbf{v}_E + \mathcal{O}(1.34 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}), \quad (38)$$

where the $\cos l'$ term accounts for the eccentricity of the annual Earth-Moon barycentric motion.

The last term in (38) is the Lorentz contraction due to Earth's orbital motion with respect to the BCRS. For a site on Earth's surface, the Lorentz contraction is 31.4 mm. Along the direction of motion, the combined effect of scale change and the Lorentz contraction is 188 mm, while perpendicular to the direction of motion, the scale change is 157 mm.

Results (36), (35) and (37) are relevant to most of the current practical applications. The inverse transformations to (37) are obtained by moving the c^{-2} -terms on the other side of the expression with appropriate sign changes. In Section III below, we will develop similar expressions for applications in cislunar space.

III. TIME AND POSITION TRANSFORMATIONS FOR THE MOON

A. Defining the LCRS

Given the fact that the BCRS (1) is a common reference system for the solar system, to define the Luni-centric Coordinate Reference System (LCRS), we will use the same approach as we used to define GCRS (see Sec. II A.) Accordingly, similar to the structure of the GCRS metric (2), the LCRS with coordinates $(\mathcal{T} = \text{TCL}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}})$ is defined by the metric that may be given in the form, as below:

$$ds_{\text{LCRS}}^2 = \left\{ 1 - 2c^{-2} \left(U_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}) + U_{\text{tid}}^*(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}) \right) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \right\} c^2 d\mathcal{T}^2 - \left\{ 1 + 2c^{-2} \left(U_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}) + U_{\text{tid}}^*(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}) \right) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}) \right\} d\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}^2, \quad (39)$$

where $U_{\text{M}}(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}})$ is the Newtonian gravitational potential of the isolated Moon and $U_{\text{tid}}^*(\mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}})$ is the tidal potential produced by all other solar system bodies (excluding Moon), both evaluated at the origin of the LCRS. The omitted terms are due to U_{M}^2 that may contribute up to $\sim 8.43 \times 10^{-19}$, negligible for our purposes.

Finally, similar to the coordinate transformations between GCRS and BCRS from (3)–(4), the time and position transformations between the LCRS ($\mathcal{T} = \text{TCL}$, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}$) and the BCRS ($t = \text{TCB}$, \mathbf{x}) that may be given as follows:

$$\mathcal{T} = t - c^{-2} \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t \left(\frac{1}{2} v_{\text{M}}^2 + \sum_{\text{B} \neq \text{M}} U_{\text{B}} \right) dt + (\mathbf{v}_{\text{M}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{M}}) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(1.22 \times 10^{-16})(t - t_0), \quad (40)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}} = \left(1 + c^{-2} \sum_{\text{B} \neq \text{M}} U_{\text{B}} \right) \mathbf{r}_{\text{M}} + c^{-2} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{v}_{\text{M}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{M}}) \mathbf{v}_{\text{M}} + \mathcal{O}(1.00 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}), \quad (41)$$

where $\mathbf{r}_{\text{M}} \equiv \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\text{M}}(t)$ with \mathbf{x}_{M} and $\mathbf{v}_{\text{M}} = d\mathbf{x}_{\text{M}}/dt$ being the Moon's position and velocity vectors in the BCRS. The uncertainty in (40) came from the omitted $\mathcal{O}(c^{-4})$ terms that behave $\sim c^{-4} \{-\frac{1}{8}v_{\text{M}}^2 - \frac{3}{2}v_{\text{M}}^2 GM_{\odot}/x_{\text{M}} + \frac{1}{2}(GM_{\odot}/x_{\text{M}})^2\} \simeq -1.22 \times 10^{-16} = -10.50$ ps/d. The uncertainty in (41) is set by the omitted acceleration-depended terms that on the lunar surface may contribute up to $c^{-2}((\mathbf{a}_{\text{M}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{M}})\mathbf{r}_{\text{M}} - \frac{1}{2}r_{\text{M}}^2 \mathbf{a}_{\text{M}}) \simeq 9.97 \times 10^{-8}$ m. The inverse transformations to (40)–(41) are obtained by moving the c^{-2} -terms on the other size of the equations with appropriate sign changes.

Below, we will use (39) and (40)–(41) to establish the new relativistic time scales for the Moon.

B. Transformation TL \leftrightarrow TDB

Similar to (5), the time transformation from the Lunar Time (TL) at the Moon's surface to Luni-centric Coordinate Time (TCL) involves a rate change

$$\frac{dTCL}{dTL} = \frac{1}{1 - L_L} = 1 + \frac{L_L}{1 - L_L} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{dTTL}{dTCL} = 1 - L_L. \quad (42)$$

For the Moon, constant L_L comes from the lunar gravity and spin. It is analogous to L_G from (6)

$$L_L = \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ \frac{GM_{\text{M}}}{R_{\text{Mq}}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} J_{2\text{M}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} R_{\text{Mq}}^2 \omega_{\text{M}}^2 \right\} + \mathcal{O}(3.27 \times 10^{-15}). \quad (43)$$

The adopted lunar reference radius for gravity is $R_{\text{Mq}} = 1738.0$ km, which is larger than the mean radius of $R_{\text{M}} = 1737.1513$ km [24], the lunar gravitational constant $GM_{\text{M}} = 4902.800118 \text{ km}^3/\text{s}^2$ (DE440, [17]), gravity harmonic $J_{2\text{M}}$ is 2.033×10^{-4} [25], and $\omega_{\text{M}} = 2\pi/(27.32166 \text{ d} \times 86400 \text{ s/d}) = 2.6616996 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The value of L_L , with the larger radius, R_{Mq} , is then estimated to be $L_L = 3.1390541 \times 10^{-11} \simeq 2.7121 \mu\text{s/d}$. Also, the error bound in (43) is set by the omitted term with the tesseral harmonics $C_{22} = 3.4673798 \times 10^{-5} \pm 1.7 \times 10^{-9}$ of the Moon's gravity field [26]. Note that, if the smaller value for the lunar radius R_{M} is used in (43), the result is $L_L = 3.1405877 \times 10^{-11} \simeq 2.7135 \mu\text{s/d}$.

The constant L_L allows us to establish the scaling of coordinates and mass factors to maintain the invariance of the speed of light and the equations of motion in the LCRS, for the transformation from TCL to TL. Similarly to (9), this transformation, which includes the scaling of temporal and spatial coordinates and mass factors, ensures the invariance of the metric (up to a constant factor) and has the form:

$$(ds^2)_{\text{TL}} = (1 - L_L)^2 ds_{\text{TCL}}^2, \quad (44)$$

where $(ds^2)_{\text{TL}}$ maintains the same form in terms of TL, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{\text{TL}}$, and $(GM)_{\text{TL}}$ as (39) does in terms of \mathcal{T} , $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}$, and $(GM)_{\text{TCL}}$.

As a result, instead of using coordinate time $\mathcal{T} = \text{TCL}$, spatial coordinates $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}$, and mass factors $(GM)_{\text{TCL}}$ related to the (LCRS), we will use the following scaling for the relevant quantities in the Lunar Surface Coordinate Reference System (LSCRS):

$$\text{TL} = \text{TCL} - L_L(\text{TCL} - \text{T}_{\text{L0}}), \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{\text{TL}} = (1 - L_L)\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{\text{TCL}}, \quad (GM)_{\text{TL}} = (1 - L_L)(GM)_{\text{TCL}}, \quad (45)$$

where T_{L0} is the initial lunar time, which, for now, we will use unspecified. Consequently, similar to (30), we have

$$\text{TL} = \text{TCL} - L_L \left\{ \left[\text{MJD}(\text{TCL}) - 43144.0 \right] 86400 \text{ s/d} - \text{T}_{\text{L0}} \right\}. \quad (46)$$

The transformation from TCL to TCB is analogous to Eq. (11) and from (40) is determined to be

$$\text{TCB} - \text{TCL} = \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ \int \left(\frac{1}{2} v_{\text{M}}^2 + \sum_{\text{B} \neq \text{M}} U_{\text{B}} \right) dt + (\mathbf{v}_{\text{M}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{M}}) \right\}_{\text{TCB}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad (47)$$

where \mathbf{v}_M is the solar system barycentric velocity vector of the Moon, v_M is its scalar, U_M is the external potential at the Moon's center, and \mathbf{r}_M is the vector from the center of the Moon to the surface site. The potential and kinetic energy use the Moon centered reference frame. The dot product annually reaches $\pm 0.58 \mu\text{s}$ with smaller variations of $\pm 21 \text{ ps}$ at Moon's sidereal period of $t_M = 27.32166 \text{ d}$.

Similar to (12), TCB – TCL from (47) has a mean rate given by constant L_H

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} v_M^2 + \sum_{B \neq M} U_B \right) = L_H + \dot{P}_H(t) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad \frac{1}{c^2} \left\langle \frac{1}{2} v_M^2 + \sum_{B \neq M} U_B \right\rangle = L_H, \quad (48)$$

where constant $L_H = 1.48253624 \times 10^{-8} \approx 1.2809132 \text{ ms/d}$ results from the long-time averaging of the Moon's total orbital energy in the BCRS, and $P_H(t)$ represents a series of small periodic terms. The quantity $P_H(t)$ in (48) can be evaluated semi-analytically in the same manner that was done to produce time-series $P(t)$ for the Earth, e.g. [4–7].

Note that the (positive) external potential $\sum_{B \neq M} U_B$ at the Moon's center from the Sun, Earth, and planets in (48) is analogous to the external potential $\sum_{B \neq E} U_B$ at the geocenter from the Sun, Moon, and planets, given by (12). The Earth and Moon are accelerated by an average acceleration of 5.9 mm/s^2 by the Sun, and the Moon is accelerated by an average of 2.7 mm/s^2 by the Earth. However, the potential of the Sun is three orders of magnitude larger than the potential of the Earth at the Moon, and five orders of magnitude larger than the potential of the Moon at the Earth.

Eq. (47) gives the mean rate between TCL and TCB:

$$\left\langle \frac{dTCL}{dTCB} \right\rangle = 1 - L_H. \quad (49)$$

To express TCB via TL, we need another constant that we call L_M , which determines the rate between TL and TCB and, similarly to (14), may be formally introduced as

$$\left\langle \frac{dTL}{dTCB} \right\rangle = 1 - L_M. \quad (50)$$

In the analogy with (15), which determined the constant L_B shown in Table II, we compute the rate L_M as below

$$L_M = \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ GM_S \left\langle \frac{1}{r_{SM}} \right\rangle + GM_E \left\langle \frac{1}{r_{EM}} \right\rangle + \langle U_P \rangle + \left\langle \frac{1}{2} v_M^2 \right\rangle + \frac{GM_M}{R_{MQ}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} J_{2M} \right) + \frac{1}{2} R_{MQ}^2 \omega_M^2 \right\} + \mathcal{O}(3.27 \times 10^{-15}), \quad (51)$$

with the error bound is set by the omitted term with the tesseral harmonics C_{22} of the lunar gravity field, as in (43).

Table II summarizes various constants in the rate equations for Earth and Moon. The GM values for the Sun, Earth, Moon, and planets were taken from DE440 [17]. These will not exactly match the values used for the IAU value of L_B . The potentials of the planets other than Earth, $\langle U_P \rangle$, are computed and discussed in Appendix A. Using these values the constant was found to be $L_M = 1.485675290 \times 10^{-8} \approx 1.28362 \text{ ms/d}$.

We can use the chain of time derivatives, to establish the relationships between the constants L_M , L_L and L_H , similar to (16). Following this approach, with the help of (42), (49) and (50), we have the following expression

$$\left\langle \frac{dTL}{dTCB} \right\rangle = \left(\frac{dTL}{dTCL} \right) \left\langle \frac{dTCL}{dTCB} \right\rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad (1 - L_M) = (1 - L_L)(1 - L_H), \quad (52)$$

from which the constant L_M is determined as $L_M \simeq L_L + L_H - L_L L_H = 1.485675294 \times 10^{-8} \approx 1.28362 \text{ ms/d}$.

Results (42), (52) and (48) allow us to integrate (47) and present it as a function of TL, as below

$$\text{TCB} - \text{TCL} = \frac{1}{1 - L_M} \left\{ L_H (\text{TL} - \text{T}_{L0}) + P_H(\text{TL}) - P_H(\text{T}_{L0}) + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_M) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad (53)$$

which comprises a secular term with the rate of $\propto L_H/(1 - L_M) = 1.482536262 \times 10^{-8} = 1.281 \text{ ms/d}$ and a series of periodic terms $P_H(\text{TL})$.

To develop the (TCB – TL) transformation, we use (42), (52), and (47), and derive the following result:

$$\text{TCB} - \text{TL} = \frac{L_L}{1 - L_L} (\text{TL} - \text{T}_{L0}) + \frac{1}{1 - L_M} \left\{ \frac{1}{c^2} \int_{\text{T}_{L0}}^{\text{TL}} \left(\frac{1}{2} v_M^2 + \sum_{B \neq M} U_B \right) dt + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{0M}) \right\}_{\text{TL}}, \quad (54)$$

where \mathbf{r}_{0M} is the LCRS position vector of a particular site on the lunar surface. The same expression, but evaluating the integral using (48) results in

$$\text{TCB} - \text{TL} = \frac{1}{1 - L_M} \left\{ L_M (\text{TL} - \text{T}_{L0}) + P_H(\text{TL}) - P_H(\text{T}_{L0}) + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{0M}) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad (55)$$

which, compared to (53), has a slightly different secular drift with the rate of $\propto L_M/(1-L_M) = 1.485\,675\,312 \times 10^{-8} = 1.284$ ms/d and similar periodic terms $\propto P_H(\text{TL})$.

Expressions (54) and (55) allow us to develop (TDB – TL) as a function of TL. For this purpose, using (18), (52), and (54), we express TDB – TL as a function of TL as below

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TDB} - \text{TL} &= \text{TDB}_0 - \frac{L_B - L_L}{1 - L_L} (\text{TL} - \text{T}_0) + L_B(\text{T}_0 - \text{T}_{\text{L0}}) + \\ &+ \frac{1 - L_B}{1 - L_M} \left\{ \frac{1}{c^2} \int_{\text{T}_{\text{L0}}}^{\text{TL}} \left(\frac{1}{2} v_M^2 + \sum_{B \neq M} U_B \right) dt + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{OM}}) \right\}_{\text{TL}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Evaluating the integral in (56) with the help of (48), yields in the following expression:

$$\text{TDB} - \text{TL} = \text{TDB}_0 - \frac{L_B - L_M}{1 - L_M} (\text{TL} - \text{T}_{\text{L0}}) + L_B(\text{T}_0 - \text{T}_{\text{L0}}) + \frac{1 - L_B}{1 - L_M} \left(P_H(\text{TL}) - P_H(\text{T}_{\text{L0}}) + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{OM}}) \right) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \quad (57)$$

Thus, there is a secular drift between TDB via TL at a rate of $(L_B - L_M)/(1 - L_M) = 6.484440409 \times 10^{-10} = 56.0256$ $\mu\text{s}/\text{d}$.

It is useful to express the difference (TDB – TL) as a function of TDB. This can be done by using (18), (45), (47), and (52), yielding result below

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TDB} - \text{TL} &= \frac{1 - L_L}{1 - L_B} \text{TDB}_0 - \frac{L_B - L_L}{1 - L_B} (\text{TDB} - \text{T}_0) + L_L(\text{T}_0 - \text{T}_{\text{L0}}) + \\ &+ \frac{1 - L_L}{1 - L_B} \left\{ \frac{1}{c^2} \int_{\text{T}_0 + \text{TDB}_0}^{\text{TDB}} \left(\frac{1}{2} v_M^2 + \sum_{B \neq M} U_B \right) dt + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{OM}}) \right\}_{\text{TDB}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Finally, evaluating the integral in (58) with the help of (48), we derive the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TDB} - \text{TL} &= \frac{1 - L_M}{1 - L_B} \text{TDB}_0 - \frac{L_B - L_M}{1 - L_B} (\text{TDB} - \text{T}_0) + L_L(\text{T}_0 - \text{T}_{\text{L0}}) + \\ &+ \frac{1 - L_L}{1 - L_B} \left\{ P_H(\text{TDB}) - P_H(\text{T}_0 + \text{TDB}_0) + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{OM}}) \right\}_{\text{TDB}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}). \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

As seen from (59), there is a rate difference between TL and TDB, that is given by the combination of the constants $(L_B - L_M)/(1 - L_B) = 6.484440414 \times 10^{-11} \simeq 56.0256$ $\mu\text{s}/\text{d}$, with TL running faster than TDB. In addition, there is also a series of small periodic terms $\propto P_H(t)$ and the term that depends on the lunar surface position $(\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{OM}})/c^2$.

C. Position transformations

Now we need to develop position transformation for the Moon. For that, similar to introducing (37), we consider \mathcal{X}_{TCL} to be a position vector of a site on the surface of the Moon as observed in the LCRS and \mathbf{r}_{MTCB} being the same vector but as seen from the BCRS. We need to express the relationship between \mathcal{X}_{TCL} and \mathbf{r}_{MTCB} in terms of the TL and TDB timescales. For that, we use (45) to express the \mathcal{X}_{TCL} via TL and (18) to express \mathbf{r}_{MTCB} via \mathbf{r}_{MTDB} , which results in

$$\mathcal{X}_{\text{TL}} = \left(1 + L_B - L_L + c^{-2} \sum_{B \neq M} U_B \right) \mathbf{r}_{\text{MTDB}} + c^{-2} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_M) \mathbf{v}_M + \mathcal{O}(1.00 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}), \quad (60)$$

where $\mathbf{r}_M \equiv \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_M(t)$ and similar to (41), the error here is set by the omitted acceleration-dependent terms. Also, $(1 - L_L)/(1 - L_B) - 1 \simeq L_B - L_L = 1.547\,380\,65 \times 10^{-8} \approx 1.336\,94$ ms/d. Inverse transformation to that of (60) is straightforward. For that, one simply needs to move the c^{-2} -terms to the other side of the equation with the appropriate sign changes.

The first group of terms in (60) amounts to a scale change. With $L_B - L_L = 1.547\,380\,65 \times 10^{-8}$ and $c^{-2} \langle \sum_{B \neq M} U_B \rangle = 0.988438 \times 10^{-8}$ (Table II) totaling 2.53582×10^{-8} . That amounts to 4.41 cm of scale change for the radius of the Moon. The annual variation is $\pm 1.65 \times 10^{-10}$, or ± 0.29 mm. Note that the Earth and Moon annual variations will affect the surface-to-surface distance along the center-to-center line with an annual variation of $1.34 \text{ mm} |\cos l'|$. With this, to a good approximation

$$\mathcal{X}_{\text{TL}} = \left(1 + 2.53586 \times 10^{-8} + 1.65 \times 10^{-10} \cos l' \right) \mathbf{r}_{\text{MTDB}} + c^{-2} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_M) \mathbf{v}_M + \mathcal{O}(1.00 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}), \quad (61)$$

where the $\cos l'$ term accounts for the eccentricity of the annual Earth-Moon barycentric motion.

The last term in (61) is the Lorentz contraction. For a site on the Moon's surface, the Lorentz contraction is 8.7 mm. Along the direction of motion, the combined scale change and Lorentz contraction is 53 mm, while perpendicular to the motion the scale change is 44 mm. Scale change and Lorentz contraction are significant for the definition of lunar laser ranging (LLR) retroreflector positions (e.g., [27]).

IV. IMPLEMENTATION

There are two JPL computer programs that are affected by the relativistic corrections in this paper. One program integrates the positions of the Moon and planets plus the orientation of the Moon vs. time [17]. The second program calculates the round-trip time of flight of a laser beam from a ranging station on the Earth to a retroreflector on the Moon, where it bounces and returns to the station [27]. Both of these programs use the BCRS with TDB to perform the calculations. The transformations involving the TDB-compatible spatial scale and Lorentz contraction of Moon-centered coordinates (discussed in Secs. II E and III C) have been implemented in the JPL programs used to generate ephemerides of the Moon and planets [17]. The fully-relativistic LCRS with TL is yet to be implemented.

In the near future, with missions expected to land on the Moon's surface by robotic Commercial Lunar Payload Services (CLPS) and crewed Artemis spacecraft, we need relativistic time transformations that convert between time scales used for solar system bodies (TDB) and the surface of the Moon (TL). The relevant transformation (TDB – TL) is given by (56) and (57) as function of TL, and by (58) and (59) as function of TDB. The constant rate $(L_B - L_M)/(1 - L_M) = 6.484440414 \times 10^{-10} = 56.0256 \mu\text{s/d}$, with the constants L_B and L_M given in Table II, represents the average drift rate caused by the velocity and potential functions involved in the definitions of the TDB and TL time scales.

There are new recommended constants that are relevant for the relativistic time and position transformations on the Moon: Constant L_L (similar to L_G for the Earth) compensates for the average rate in the time transformation between the center of the Moon and its surface. Constant L_H (similar to L_C) is the long-time average of the total Moon's orbital energy in its motion around the solar system's barycenter. Constant L_M (similar to L_B) compensates the average rate in time transformation between the BCRS and the surface of the Moon. The analogous transformations for the Earth has been addressed by many researchers. Those transformations are defined by a series of IAU resolutions. We calculated those transformations here as a check on our lunar work. Those constant rates are presented in Table II.

A. Expressing (TL – TT) as a function of either TDB or TT

With the results obtained in this paper, we can establish the relationships between TT and TL. For this purpose, we may use (35) and (58) that involve the common time TDB time of the BCRS. Using these expressions, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TL} - \text{TT} &= \frac{L_G - L_L}{1 - L_B} (\text{TDB} - T_0 - \text{TDB}_0) - L_L (T_0 - T_{L0}) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{1 - L_C} \left\{ \frac{1}{c^2} \int_{T_0 + \text{TDB}_0}^{\text{TDB}} \left(\frac{1}{2} v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} U_B \right) dt + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{0E}) \right\} - \\ &- \frac{1 - L_L}{1 - L_B} \left\{ \frac{1}{c^2} \int_{T_0 + \text{TDB}_0}^{\text{TDB}} \left(\frac{1}{2} v_M^2 + \sum_{B \neq M} U_B \right) dt + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{0M}) \right\} + \mathcal{O} \left(1.22 \times 10^{-16} (\text{TDB} - T_0 - \text{TDB}_0) \right), \quad (62) \end{aligned}$$

where the constants L_G, L_C, L_B for the Earth and L_L, L_H, L_M for the Moon are given in Table II. The constants T_0 (MJD) = MJD43144 + 32.4 s and $\text{TDB}_0 = -65.5 \mu\text{s}$ are defining constants [1, 11]. The constant T_{L0} has yet to be chosen. Where \mathbf{r}_{0E} and \mathbf{r}_{0M} are the body-centric position vectors of the Earth and Moon sites, correspondingly.

As shown by (62), there is a secular trend between TT and TL with the rate of $(L_G - L_L)/(1 - L_B) \simeq 6.65538 \times 10^{-10} = 57.5025 \mu\text{s/d}$. However, this trend is partially reduced by the terms with the total orbital energies of the Earth and the Moon that are present under the two integral signs. To demonstrate this, we use (12) and (48) (or directly apply results (36) and (59)) and formally present relationship between TT and TL in the following equivalent form

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TL} - \text{TT} &= \left\{ \frac{L_G - L_L}{1 - L_B} + \frac{L_C}{1 - L_C} - \frac{1 - L_L}{1 - L_B} L_H \right\} (\text{TDB} - T_0 - \text{TDB}_0) - L_L (T_0 - T_{L0}) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{1 - L_C} \left(P(\text{TDB}) - P(T_0 + \text{TDB}_0) + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{0E}) \right) - \\ &- \frac{1 - L_L}{1 - L_B} \left(P_H(\text{TDB}) - P_H(T_0 + \text{TDB}_0) + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{0M}) \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(1.22 \times 10^{-16} (\text{TDB} - T_0 - \text{TDB}_0) \right), \quad (63) \end{aligned}$$

where the quantity $P(t)$ represents a series with small periodic terms computed in [4, 21] and used in IAU Resolutions (e.g., [1, 11].) The series $P_{\text{H}}(t)$ has yet to be semi-analytically computed and implemented for practical applications. We also note that, taking into account that L_{G} is the defining constant and L_{L} may also become one, the error bound in (62) and (63) is set by the omitted $\mathcal{O}(c^{-4})$ terms, as in (4) or (40).

With the help of (16) and (52), the constant rate of the time drift between TL and TT in (63) can be expressed as

$$\frac{L_{\text{G}} - L_{\text{L}}}{1 - L_{\text{B}}} + \frac{L_{\text{C}}}{1 - L_{\text{C}}} - \frac{1 - L_{\text{L}}}{1 - L_{\text{B}}} L_{\text{H}} = (60.2146 - 2.7121 + 1279.4344 - 1280.9113) \mu\text{s/d} = 56.0256 \mu\text{s/d}. \quad (64)$$

As a result, using TDB at the BCRS as the common time, one can synchronize the time on the surface of the Earth (TT) with that on the surface of the Moon (TL). The two clocks will experience a drift at the rate of $(L_{\text{B}} - L_{\text{M}})/(1 - L_{\text{B}}) = 6.4844404 \times 10^{-10} = 56.0256 \mu\text{s/d}$, with the clock on the Moon's surface running faster by that amount compared to its terrestrial analogue. Additionally, there are small periodic terms in $P_{\text{H}}(\text{TDB})$ that can be evaluated semi-analytically in the manner used to compute $P(\text{TDB})$, e.g., [4–7]. The last two terms on each line with periodic terms are site-dependent. They contribute $(\mathbf{v}_{\text{E}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{OE}})/c^2 \simeq 2.11 \mu\text{s}$ and $(\mathbf{v}_{\text{M}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{OM}})/c^2 \simeq 0.60 \mu\text{s}$ with diurnal and synodic periods, correspondingly. Smaller oscillatory terms with various periods are also present (e.g., [4, 21]).

Results (62) and (63) may also be given as a function of the terrestrial time TT. In particular, with the help of (26), TL may be given in terms of TT. As a result, (62) can be expressed as below:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TL} - \text{TT} &= \frac{L_{\text{G}} - L_{\text{L}}}{1 - L_{\text{B}}} (\text{TT} - \text{T}_0) - L_{\text{L}} (\text{T}_0 - \text{T}_{\text{L0}}) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{1 - L_{\text{C}}} \left\{ \frac{1}{c^2} \int_{\text{T}_0}^{\text{TT}} \left(\frac{1}{2} v_{\text{E}}^2 + \sum_{\text{B} \neq \text{E}} U_{\text{B}} \right) dt + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_{\text{E}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{OE}}) \right\} - \\ &- \frac{1 - L_{\text{L}}}{1 - L_{\text{B}}} \left\{ \frac{1}{c^2} \int_{\text{T}_0}^{\text{TT}} \left(\frac{1}{2} v_{\text{M}}^2 + \sum_{\text{B} \neq \text{M}} U_{\text{B}} \right) dt + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_{\text{M}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{OM}}) \right\} + \mathcal{O}\left(1.22 \times 10^{-16} (\text{TT} - \text{T}_0)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Similarly, with the help of (27) and (64), result (65) may be formally presented in the following equivalent form

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TL} - \text{TT} &= \frac{L_{\text{B}} - L_{\text{M}}}{1 - L_{\text{B}}} (\text{TT} - \text{T}_0) - L_{\text{L}} (\text{T}_0 - \text{T}_{\text{L0}}) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{1 - L_{\text{C}}} \left(P(\text{TT}) - P(\text{T}_0) + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_{\text{E}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{OE}}) \right) - \\ &- \frac{1 - L_{\text{L}}}{1 - L_{\text{B}}} \left(P_{\text{H}}(\text{TT}) - P_{\text{H}}(\text{T}_0) + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v}_{\text{M}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{OM}}) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(1.22 \times 10^{-16} (\text{TT} - \text{T}_0)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Therefore, as shown by (66), there is a secular trend between a clock on the surface of the Moon and a clock on the Earth's surface. This trend has the rate of $(L_{\text{B}} - L_{\text{M}})/(1 - L_{\text{B}}) = 6.4844404 \times 10^{-10} = 56.0256 \mu\text{s/d}$, with the lunar clock running faster compared to its terrestrial counterpart. In addition, there are periodic terms represented by the series $P(t)$ and $P_{\text{H}}(t)$, both of which can be computed analytically and evaluated using solar system and lunar ephemerides (e.g., [17]). Finally, there are also small site-dependent terms contributing at various specific periods.

For the stated fractional accuracy of $\mathcal{O}(1.22 \times 10^{-16})$, we may omit L_{B} from the denominator in the clock rate in (66), as it contributes only $(L_{\text{B}} - L_{\text{M}})L_{\text{B}} \simeq 1.01 \times 10^{-17}$. We retained it to indicate the structure of this quantity in case a higher accuracy expression is needed. Given that the largest term in the P -series has an amplitude of $A_1 = 1656.674564 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}$ and a frequency of $\omega_1 \simeq 1.9923515 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}^{-1}$ [1, 4, 21], the presence of the denominator $1/(1 - L_{\text{C}})$ results in a periodic term with an amplitude of $L_{\text{C}}A_1 \simeq 24.53 \text{ ps}$, which may be significant for precision timing applications. For the P_{H} -series, there will be a periodic term $A_{\text{H}1}$ similar to A_1 , but with a slightly larger amplitude. It will contribute $L_{\text{B}}A_{\text{H}1} \simeq 25.69 \text{ ps}$ and $L_{\text{L}}A_{\text{H}1} \simeq 0.05 \text{ ps}$. Thus, the constants L_{C} and L_{B} may be kept in the model (66) as part of the pre-factors multiplying the periodic terms, but L_{L} may be neglected.

B. Explicit form of the constant and periodic terms

Eqs. (63) and (66) provide the relationship between TT and TL using the periodic terms $P(t)$ and $P_{\text{H}}(t)$. While $P(t)$ is well-known [4–7], the specific form of $P_{\text{H}}(t)$ has yet to be determined. However, as seen in (63) and (66), it is not the individual forms of these functions that are crucial, but rather their difference, $P(t) - P_{\text{H}}(t)$. Additionally, because the Moon orbits the Earth, there may be similar terms in the functions that could cancel out in the difference. We will explore this possibility with the aim of simplifying the relevant expressions describing $(\text{TT} - \text{TL})$.

Eq. (62) establishes the relationship between TT and TL referring to TDB as the common time scale. Considering our target time transfer uncertainty of 10 ps and the time rate uncertainty of $1.22 \times 10^{-16} = 10.54$ ps/d, we can introduce simplifications. Furthermore, to get insight into the structure of the terms in (62), we note that, within the required level of accuracy, the gravitational potentials in this equation may be given only by their monopole components.

With these realizations and using the constants and mean values of various dynamical quantities from Table II, the group of c^{-2} -terms present in Eq. (62) can be simplified and expressed as follows:

$$\delta_2(\text{TL} - \text{TT}) = -\frac{1}{c^2} \int_{T_0 + \text{TDB}_0}^{\text{TDB}} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2} v_M^2 + \sum_{B \neq M} \frac{GM_B}{r_{BM}} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} \frac{GM_B}{r_{BE}} \right) \right\} dt + \frac{1}{c^2} \left((\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{OE}) - (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{OM}) \right), \quad (67)$$

which is accurate to $\mathcal{O}(1.22 \times 10^{-16})$ in the clock rate. Note that the largest term in (62) that involves the constants, evaluated as $c^{-2} \{ L_B (\frac{1}{2} v_M^2 + \sum_{B \neq M} U_B) - L_C (\frac{1}{2} v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} U_B) \} \simeq 1.58 \times 10^{-17}$, which is too small for our purposes.

We first consider the term involving the total energy at the Earth's orbit which can be written as below:

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} \frac{GM_B}{r_{BE}} \right) = \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} v_E^2 + \frac{GM_S}{r_{SE}} + \frac{GM_M}{r_{ME}} + \sum_{B \neq E, M, S} \frac{GM_B}{r_{BE}} \right) + \mathcal{O}(1.10 \times 10^{-16}), \quad (68)$$

where the error term is set by the omitted c^{-4} -terms in the time transformations (3).

To consider the Moon-related terms in (67), it is instructive to express the BCRS position vector between a body B and the Moon as $\mathbf{r}_{BM} = \mathbf{r}_{BE} + \mathbf{r}_{EM}$, where $\mathbf{r}_{BE} = \mathbf{r}_E - \mathbf{r}_B$ is the position vector between the body B and the Earth, and $\mathbf{r}_{EM} = \mathbf{r}_M - \mathbf{r}_E$ is the Earth-Moon relative position vector. By treating r_{EM}/r_{BE} as a small parameter, we can express GM_B/r_{BM} in the form of a series of tidal terms, as shown below, accurate to $\mathcal{O}(r_{EM}^4/r_{BE}^5)$:

$$\frac{GM_B}{r_{BM}} = \frac{GM_B}{r_{BE}} - \frac{GM_B}{r_{BE}^3} (\mathbf{r}_{BE} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{EM}) + \frac{GM_B}{2r_{BE}^5} \left(3(\mathbf{r}_{BE} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{EM})^2 - r_{BE}^2 r_{EM}^2 \right) - \frac{GM_B}{2r_{BE}^7} (\mathbf{r}_{BE} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{EM}) \left(5(\mathbf{r}_{BE} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{EM})^2 - 3r_{BE}^2 r_{EM}^2 \right). \quad (69)$$

Using result (69), and representing $\mathbf{v}_M = \mathbf{v}_E + \mathbf{v}_{EM}$, where \mathbf{v}_E is the BCRS velocity of the Earth and \mathbf{v}_{EM} is the Earth-Moon relative velocity, we present the first expression under the integral sign in (67) as below:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} v_M^2 + \sum_{B \neq M} \frac{GM_B}{r_{BM}} \right) &= \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} v_E^2 + \frac{1}{2} v_{EM}^2 + \frac{GM_E - GM_M}{r_{EM}} + \sum_{B \neq E, M, S} \frac{GM_B}{r_{BE}} + \right. \\ &+ \frac{GM_S}{r_{SE}} + \frac{GM_S}{2r_{SE}^5} \left(3(\mathbf{r}_{SE} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{EM})^2 - r_{SE}^2 r_{EM}^2 \right) - \frac{GM_B}{2r_{SE}^7} (\mathbf{r}_{SE} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{EM}) \left(5(\mathbf{r}_{SE} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{EM})^2 - 3r_{SE}^2 r_{EM}^2 \right) + \left. \frac{d}{dt} (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{EM}) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

where we kept the solar octupole tidal term $\propto r_{EM}^3/r_{SE}^4$. The magnitude of this term was estimated to be $\simeq 1.68 \times 10^{-16}$, which is very small, but large enough to be part of the model. Also, to the required level of accuracy, the Earth's barycentric acceleration, \mathbf{a}_E , can be represented by its Newtonian part, yielding

$$-\sum_{B \neq E, M} \frac{GM_B}{r_{BE}^3} (\mathbf{r}_{BE} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{EM}) = (\mathbf{a}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{EM}) - \frac{GM_M}{r_{EM}}, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{a}_E = -\sum_{B \neq E} \frac{GM_B}{r_{BE}^3} \mathbf{r}_{BE}. \quad (71)$$

Results (68) and (70) provide us with more insight on the structure of L_C , $P(t)$ and L_H , $P_H(t)$, introduced by (12) and (48), correspondingly. Indeed, from (68), we have the constant rate L_C and the periodic terms $P(t)$ given as

$$L_C = \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ \left\langle \frac{1}{2} v_E^2 \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{GM_S}{r_{SE}} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{GM_M}{r_{ME}} \right\rangle + \sum_{B \neq E, M, S} \left\langle \frac{GM_B}{r_{BE}} \right\rangle \right\} = 1.480\,826\,85 \times 10^{-8} \approx 1.2794 \text{ ms/d}, \quad (72)$$

$$\dot{P}(t) = \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} v_E^2 + \frac{GM_M}{r_{ME}} + \frac{GM_S}{r_{SE}} + \sum_{B \neq E, M, S} \frac{GM_B}{r_{BE}} \right\} - L_C. \quad (73)$$

Similarly, we establish the functional form of the constant rate L_H and the periodic terms $P_H(t)$ as shown below:

$$\begin{aligned} L_H &= \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ \left\langle \frac{1}{2} v_E^2 \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{1}{2} v_{EM}^2 \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{GM_S}{r_{SE}} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{4} GM_S \left\langle \frac{r_{EM}^2}{r_{SE}^3} \right\rangle + (GM_E - GM_M) \left\langle \frac{1}{r_{ME}} \right\rangle + \sum_{B \neq E, M, S} \left\langle \frac{GM_B}{r_{BE}} \right\rangle \right\} = \\ &= 1.482\,536\,24 \times 10^{-8} \approx 1.2809 \text{ ms/d}, \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{P}_H(t) &= \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} v_E^2 + \frac{1}{2} v_{EM}^2 + \frac{GM_E - GM_M}{r_{EM}} + \sum_{B \neq E, M, S} \frac{GM_B}{r_{BE}} + \frac{GM_S}{r_{SE}} + \frac{GM_S}{2r_{SE}^5} \left(3(\mathbf{r}_{SE} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{EM})^2 - r_{SE}^2 r_{EM}^2 \right) - \right. \\ &- \left. \frac{GM_B}{2r_{SE}^7} (\mathbf{r}_{SE} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{EM}) \left(5(\mathbf{r}_{SE} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{EM})^2 - 3r_{SE}^2 r_{EM}^2 \right) + \frac{d}{dt} (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{EM}) \right\} - L_H. \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

Note that to evaluate the magnitude of L_H above, we used the values from Table II. In addition, we estimated the mean value of the relative Earth-Moon velocity as $v_{EM} = 2\pi r_{EM}/(27.32166 \text{ d} \times 86400 \text{ s/d}) = r_{EM}\omega_M = 1023.15 \text{ m/s}$.

Eqs. (72)–(73) and (74)–(75) explicitly show the complex structure of all the quantities involved, including both constant and periodic components. Ultimately, it is necessary to evaluate these expressions and monitor their behavior for timing purposes. However, when comparing clocks in cis-lunar space to their analogues near the Earth, only the differences between these quantities are significant. As many common terms will cancel out, the differences will have a much simpler structure. Indeed, expressions (68) and (70) yield the following result

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} v_M^2 + \sum_{B \neq M} \frac{GM_B}{r_{BM}} \right) - \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} \frac{GM_B}{r_{BE}} \right) &= \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} v_{EM}^2 + \frac{GM_E - 2GM_M}{r_{EM}} + \frac{GM_S}{2r_{SE}^5} \left(3(\mathbf{r}_{SE} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{EM})^2 - r_{SE}^2 r_{EM}^2 \right) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{GM_B}{2r_{SE}^7} (\mathbf{r}_{SE} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{EM}) \left(5(\mathbf{r}_{SE} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{EM})^2 - 3r_{SE}^2 r_{EM}^2 \right) + \frac{d}{dt} (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{EM}) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(1.22 \times 10^{-16}). \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

Following the approach demonstrated in (12) and (48), we can present result (76) in a similar functional form

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} v_M^2 + \sum_{B \neq M} \frac{GM_B}{r_{BM}} \right) - \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} \frac{GM_B}{r_{BE}} \right) = L_{EM} + \dot{P}_{EM}(t) + \mathcal{O}(1.22 \times 10^{-16}), \quad (77)$$

where the constant rate $L_{EM} = L_H - L_C$ and the periodic terms $\dot{P}_{EM}(t) = \dot{P}_H(t) - \dot{P}(t)$ are given as below:

$$L_{EM} = \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ \left\langle \frac{1}{2} v_{EM}^2 \right\rangle + (GM_E - 2GM_M) \left\langle \frac{1}{r_{EM}} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{4} GM_S \left\langle \frac{r_{EM}^2}{r_{SE}^3} \right\rangle \right\} = 1.709385 \times 10^{-11} = 1.4769 \mu\text{s/d}, \quad (78)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{P}_{EM}(t) &= \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} v_{EM}^2 - \left\langle \frac{1}{2} v_{EM}^2 \right\rangle + (GM_E - 2GM_M) \left(\frac{1}{r_{EM}} - \left\langle \frac{1}{r_{EM}} \right\rangle \right) + \frac{1}{4} GM_S \left(\frac{r_{EM}^2}{r_{SE}^3} - \left\langle \frac{r_{EM}^2}{r_{SE}^3} \right\rangle \right) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{3GM_S}{4r_{SE}^5} \left(2(\mathbf{r}_{SE} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{EM})^2 - r_{SE}^2 r_{EM}^2 \right) - \frac{GM_S}{2r_{SE}^7} (\mathbf{r}_{SE} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{EM}) \left(5(\mathbf{r}_{SE} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{EM})^2 - 3r_{SE}^2 r_{EM}^2 \right) + \frac{d}{dt} (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{EM}) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

Result (77), along with expressions (78) and (79), provides insight into the structure of the constant term L_{EM} and the periodic terms $P_{EM}(t)$. These expressions can now be used to explicitly establish the structure of the series $P_{EM}(t)$, which may replace the terms $P(t)$ and $P_H(t)$ in (63) and (66). Indeed, using result (77) in (62), we express (TL – TT) as a function of TDB by the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TL} - \text{TT} &= \left(L_G - L_L - L_{EM} \right) (\text{TDB} - \text{T}_0 - \text{TDB}_0) - L_L (\text{T}_0 - \text{T}_{L0}) - \left(P_{EM}(\text{TDB}) - P_{EM}(\text{T}_0 + \text{TDB}_0) \right) + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{c^2} \left((\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{OE}) - (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{OM}) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(1.22 \times 10^{-16} (\text{TDB} - \text{T}_0 - \text{TDB}_0) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

In the similar manner, using (77) in (65), we obtain the same result, but expressed as a function of TT:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TL} - \text{TT} &= \left(L_G - L_L - L_{EM} \right) (\text{TT} - \text{T}_0) - L_L (\text{T}_0 - \text{T}_{L0}) - \left(P_{EM}(\text{TT}) - P_{EM}(\text{T}_0) \right) + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{c^2} \left((\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_{OE}) - (\mathbf{v}_M \cdot \mathbf{r}_{OM}) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(1.22 \times 10^{-16} (\text{TT} - \text{T}_0) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

which is functionally identical to (80), as there is no rate drift between TT and TDB, as shown by (27) and (36).

With $L_{EM} = L_H - L_C = 1.709385 \times 10^{-11} = 1.4769 \mu\text{s/d}$, the total constant rate between the clock on the lunar surface and its terrestrial analogue to the accepted level of accuracy is estimated to be

$$L_B - L_M \simeq L_G - L_L - L_{EM} = (60.2146 - 2.7121 - 1.4769) \mu\text{s/d} = 56.0256 \mu\text{s/d}. \quad (82)$$

Note that, if the smaller value for the lunar radius R_M is used in (43) instead of R_{MQ} , the value of L_L is estimated to be $L_L = 3.1405877 \times 10^{-11} \simeq 2.7135 \mu\text{s/d}$. With this value, the total rate in (82) is $L_B - L_M = 56.0242 \mu\text{s/d}$.

We observe that, practically, the clock drift with a rate of $(L_B - L_M)/(1 - L_B) = 56.0256 \mu\text{s/day}$ is quite significant. If unaccounted for, this rate mismatch could lead to a velocity estimate error of $c(L_B - L_M)/(1 - L_B) = 19.44 \text{ cm/s}$ or result in a positional error of $c\Delta t(L_B - L_M)/(1 - L_B) \simeq 699.84 (\Delta t/1 \text{ hr}) \text{ m}$. Such potential navigational errors are significant and necessitate the establishment and maintenance of the LCRS. This is especially important for the upcoming long-term human presence on the Moon and various anticipated exploration and industrial activities.

Expressions (80) and (81) may be used to synchronize the clocks on the Moon with those on the Earth's surface. Note that these results are valid for a clock rate accuracy of $\sim 1.22 \times 10^{-16} = 10.50 \text{ ps/d}$, as was shown in (40). If needed, they may be extended to a greater accuracy using well-established frameworks, e.g., [13].

V. SUMMARY

The need for precise positioning, navigation, and timing (PNT) services in lunar exploration underscores the necessity for a dedicated Lunar Time (TL) and a Luni-centric Coordinate Reference System (LCRS). These elements are critical for several reasons.

First, the establishment of a standardized lunar time is essential for synchronizing activities and operations on the Moon. With missions involving multiple landers, rovers, and orbiters, having a common time reference ensures that all units can coordinate effectively, avoiding conflicts and enhancing collaboration. Accurate timing is crucial for communication between Earth and lunar missions, facilitating reliable data transmission and reception, and ensuring that autonomous systems can operate seamlessly.

A properly introduced and supported LCRS is vital for precise navigation on the Moon. Unlike Earth, the lunar surface presents unique challenges, including irregular terrain and the absence of a global magnetic field. A dedicated reference system allows for precise positioning and movement of landers and rovers, ensuring they can target and reach specific, safe landing sites. This is particularly important for resource utilization, such as locating and extracting water ice from the lunar poles, which requires high positional accuracy.

For scientific applications, an LCRS provides the essential geospatial context needed for the accurate placement of instruments, thereby enhancing our understanding of the Moon's geology and environment. Precise navigation allows rovers to traverse the lunar surface efficiently, avoiding obstacles and reaching designated sites. This precision not only extends the operational life of the rovers but also maximizes the scientific return from each mission.

For future lunar infrastructure, such as the establishment of lunar bases and navigation beacons, a standardized lunar time and coordinate system are essential. They ensure that construction, resource management, and daily operations can be conducted smoothly and safely. Commercial activities, including lunar mining and tourism, also benefit from these systems, as they enable precise location tracking and safe transportation.

In conclusion, more work is needed to specify the details of the relativistic time and position transformations for various users in cislunar space (e.g., landers, orbiters, Earth-Moon Lagrange points). The introduction and maintenance of a self-consistent, fully-relativistic LCRS are essential for this purpose. Additionally, supporting this coordinate system for various practical applications (e.g., PNT services, frequency transfer, astronomy, lunar geology, fundamental physics) is crucial. This work is ongoing, and results will be reported when available.

Acknowledgments

The work described here was carried out the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

-
- [1] G. Petit and B. Luzum (eds.), *IERS Technical Note #36* (“IERS Conventions (2010)”, Frankfurt am Main: Verlag des Bundesamts für Kartographie und Geodäsie, 2010. 179 pp., ISBN 3-89888-989-6”, 2010), URL <http://www.iers.org/IERS/EN/Publications/TechnicalNotes/tn36.html>.
 - [2] T. D. Moyer, *Celestial Mechanics* **23**, 33 (1981).
 - [3] T. D. Moyer, *Celestial Mechanics* **23**, 57 (1981).
 - [4] L. Fairhead and P. Bretagnon, *Astron Astrophys.* **229**, 240 (1990).
 - [5] T. Fukushima, *Astron. Astrophys.* **294**, 895 (1995).
 - [6] A. W. Irwin and T. Fukushima, *Astron. Astrophys.* **348**, 642 (1999).
 - [7] T. Fukushima, in *Relativity in Fundamental Astronomy: Dynamics, Reference Frames, and Data Analysis*, edited by S. A. Klioner, P. K. Seidelmann, and M. H. Soffel (2010), vol. 261, pp. 89–94.
 - [8] E. M. Standish, *Astron. Astrophys.* **336**, 381 (1998).
 - [9] E. M. Standish and J. G. Williams, in *Explanatory Supplement to the American Ephemeris and Nautical Almanac*, edited by P. K. Seidelmann (Mill Valley: University Science Books, 2008), chap. 8.
 - [10] V. A. Brumberg and E. Groten, *Astron. Astrophys.* **367**, 1070 (2001).
 - [11] G. H. Kaplan, U.S. Naval Observatory Circulars **179** (2005), astro-ph/0602086.
 - [12] B. Luzum, N. Capitaine, A. Fienga, W. Folkner, T. Fukushima, J. Hilton, C. Hohenkerk, G. Krasinsky, G. Petit, E. Pitjeva, et al., *Cel. Mech. Dyn. Astron.* **110**, 293 (2011).
 - [13] M. Soffel, S. A. Klioner, G. Petit, P. Wolf, S. M. Kopeikin, P. Bretagnon, V. A. Brumberg, N. Capitaine, T. Damour, T. Fukushima, et al., *Astrophys. J.* **126**, 2687 (2003), astro-ph/0303376.
 - [14] B. Guinot, in *Journées 1992: Systèmes de référence spatio-temporels* (1992), pp. 12–21.
 - [15] E. Groten, *J. of Geodesy* **77**, 724 (2004).
 - [16] P. J. Mohr, D. B. Newell, and B. N. Taylor, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88**, 035009 (2016).

- [17] R. S. Park, W. M. Folkner, J. G. Williams, and D. H. Boggs, *Astron. J.* **161**, 105 (2021).
- [18] O. Montenbruck and E. Gill, *Satellite Orbits* (Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012).
- [19] S. G. Turyshev and V. T. Toth, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 104031 (2023), arXiv:2303.07270 [gr-qc].
- [20] S. A. Klioner, *Astron. Astrophys.* **478**, 951 (2008).
- [21] W. Harada and T. Fukushima, *Astron. J.* **126**, 2557 (2003).
- [22] IAU, *Resolution B.3* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2009), vol. 26B of *Transactions of the IAU, Series B*, p. 42, Proc. of the 26th General Assembly (Prague, Czech Republic, 2006).
- [23] T. D. Moyer, *Formulation for Observed and Computed Values of Deep Space Network Data Types for Navigation*, JPL Deep-Space Communications and Navigation Series (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, 2003).
- [24] D. E. Smith, M. T. Zuber, G. A. Neumann, E. Mazarico, F. G. Lemoine, I. Head, James W., P. G. Lucey, O. Aharonson, M. S. Robinson, X. Sun, et al., *Icarus* **283**, 70 (2017).
- [25] J. G. Williams, A. S. Konopliv, D. H. Boggs, R. S. Park, D.-N. Yuan, F. G. Lemoine, S. Goossens, E. Mazarico, F. Nimmo, R. C. Weber, et al., *J. Geophys. Res. (Planets)* **119**, 1546 (2014).
- [26] A. S. Konopliv, R. S. Park, D.-N. Yuan, S. W. Asmar, M. M. Watkins, J. G. Williams, E. Fahnestock, G. Kruizinga, M. Paik, D. Strelakov, et al., *J. Geophys. Res. (Planets)* **118**, 1415 (2013).
- [27] J. G. Williams and D. H. Boggs, *The JPL Lunar Laser Range Model 2020* (2020), JPL Interoffice Memorandum, JPL IOM 335N-20-01, <https://ssd.jpl.nasa.gov/ftp/eph/planets/ioms/>.
- [28] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions: with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*. (Dover Publications, New York; revised edition, 1965).
- [29] J. L. Simon, P. Bretagnon, J. Chapront, M. Chapront-Touze, G. Francou, and J. Laskar, *Astron. Astrophys.* **282**, 663 (1994).

Appendix A: Planet-Caused Relativity

The gravity of distant planets at the Moon causes a relativistic effect. This effect is accounted for in the definitions of the constants L_B and L_M , given by the expressions (15) and (51), correspondingly. We ignore the displacement of the Moon from the Earth-Moon barycenter, 1% of the distance to Venus when it is closest, and calculate the positive potential at the Earth-Moon barycenter:

$$U_{BP} = \frac{GM_P}{|\mathbf{r}_E - \mathbf{r}_P|}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where M_P is the planet's mass and $|\mathbf{r}_E - \mathbf{r}_P|$ is its distance from the Earth-Moon barycenter. The relativistic effect is then U_{BP}/c^2 .

$$|\mathbf{r}_E - \mathbf{r}_P|^2 = r_E^2 + r_P^2 - 2r_E r_P \cos S, \quad (\text{A2})$$

where

$$\cos S = \cos u_E \cos u_P + \cos i_P \sin u_E \sin u_P, \quad (\text{A3})$$

and u_E and u_P are the arguments of latitude measure from the node of the planet's orbit plane on the ecliptic plane and i_P is the orbit plane's inclination.

$$u_E = \varpi_E - \Omega_P + f_E, \quad (\text{A4})$$

and

$$u_P = \omega_P + f_P, \quad (\text{A5})$$

where ϖ_E is the Earth-Moon barycenter longitude of perihelion, ω_P is the planet's argument of latitude, and Ω_P is the planet's orbit plane node on the ecliptic plane. The two true anomalies are f_E and f_P for the Earth-Moon barycenter and planet, respectively. The radii are computed from

$$r = \frac{a(1 - e^2)}{1 + e \cos f}, \quad (\text{A6})$$

with the two subscripts. The semi-major axis is a and the eccentricity is e .

The relativistic effects from the planets' potentials was calculated in two ways. The first way is an approximation that assumes two circular ($e_E = e_P = 0$) coplanar ($i_P = 0$) orbits. Then the time averaged potential $\langle U_P \rangle$ at the Earth depends on a complete elliptic integral of the first kind $K(k)$ [28], where k is the modulus. Then

$$\langle U_P \rangle = \frac{GM_P}{(a_E + a_P)} \frac{2}{\pi} K(k), \quad k = \frac{2\sqrt{a_E a_P}}{(a_E + a_P)}, \quad (\text{A7})$$

TABLE III: Relativistic effects on the rates at Earth-Moon barycenter from the planets at J2000.

Planet	$e_E = e_P = i_P = 0$ $U_{BP}/c^2, \times 10^{-15}$	Fourier $U_{BP}/c^2, \times 10^{-15}$
Mercury	1.706	1.710
Venus	28.829	28.772
Mars	2.395	2.401
Jupiter	1828.565	1828.622
Saturn	296.146	296.148
Uranus	22.440	22.440
Neptune	16.892	16.892
Pluto	0.002	0.002
Total	2196.975	2196.986

where a_E and a_P are the semi major axes of the Earth and planet, respectively.

The second technique is more exact. Elliptical orbits with a mutual inclination (i_P) are used. The potential Eq. (A7) is Fourier analyzed. Integrals over time, or equivalently mean anomaly, can be transformed into integrals over true anomaly by using

$$dM = \frac{(r/a)^2}{(1-e^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} df, \quad (\text{A8})$$

with the two subscripts. Then the constant part of a planet's potential at the Earth-Moon barycenter is

$$\langle U_P \rangle = GM_P \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(r_E/a_E)^2 (r_P/a_P)^2}{\Delta (1-e_E^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1-e_P^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} df_E df_P. \quad (\text{A9})$$

The planetary GM_P are from [17] and the mean elements at J2000 are from [29]. The two techniques give similar results with differences of only 0.2% for Mercury and Venus and 0.4% for Mars. The Fourier-derived constants are given in Table III. The two totals for all planets differ by 0.0005%. We note that the planetary elements are changing with time, so there will be small changes away from J2000. The values in Table III may be compared with those in Table 2 of [7].

Appendix B: Relevant Resolutions of the IAU

There have been a series of IAU resolutions that relate to time and space transformations [1, 13]. Below, we will summarize some of the most relevant ones (see also <http://www.iaufs.org/res.html>):

IAU 2000 Resolution B1.3: Defines the Barycentric Celestial Reference System (BCRS) and Geocentric Celestial Reference System (GCRS). Harmonic coordinates are used for both. Gives the time transformation.

IAU 2000 Resolution B1.5: Discusses TCB – TCG. Specifies $\langle dTCG/dTCB \rangle = 1 - L_C$ and $\langle dTT/dTCB \rangle = 1 - L_B$. Gives $L_C = 1.48082686741 \times 10^{-8} \pm 2 \times 10^{-17}$ and $L_B = 1.55051976772 \times 10^{-8} \pm 2 \times 10^{-17}$. Specifies relation with L_G , namely $1 - L_B = (1 - L_C)(1 - L_G)$. Consequently, $L_B = L_C + L_G - L_C L_G$, $L_C = (L_B - L_G)/(1 - L_G)$, $L_G = (L_B - L_C)/(1 - L_C)$, $1 - L_G = (1 - L_B)/(1 - L_C)$. Says $(\frac{1}{2}v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} U_B)_{TCB} = 1/(1 - L_B)(\frac{1}{2}v_E^2 + \sum_{B \neq E} U_B)_{TDB}$.

IAU 2000 Resolution B1.9: Redefines TT. Specifies $dTT/dTCG = 1 - L_G$. Value of $L_G = 6.969290134 \times 10^{-10}$ is given as a defining constant.

IAU 2006 Resolution B3: Gives $TDB = TCB - L_B(TCB - T_0)86400 + TDB_0$, T_0 is $\text{MJD}43144 + 32.4 \text{ s}$, $L_B = 1.550519768 \times 10^{-8}$, and $TDB_0 = -65.5 \mu\text{s}$.

IAU 2009 Resolution B2: Current best estimates of astronomical constants are may be found at the following website: <https://maia.usno.navy.mil/NSFA/CBE.html>