### A New Puzzling Periodic Signal in GeV Energies of the $\gamma$ -Ray Binary LS I +61°303

Pengfei Zhang<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Astronomy, School of Physics and Astronomy, Key Laboratory of Astroparticle Physics of Yunnan Province, Yunnan University, Kunming 650091, People's Republic of China; zhanqpengfei@ynu.edu.cn

#### ABSTRACT

LS I + 61°303 is a high-mass X-ray binary system comprising a massive Be star and a rapidly rotating neutron star. Its spectral energy distribution across multi-wavelengths categorizes it as a  $\gamma$ -ray binary system. In our analysis of LS I + 61°303 using Fermi-LAT observations, we not only confirmed the three previously discussed periodicities of orbital, superorbital, and orbital-superorbital beat periods observed in multi-wavelength observations, but also identified an additional periodic signal. This newly discovered signal exhibits a period of ~26.3 day at a ~  $7\sigma$  confidence level. Moreover, the power spectrum peak of the new signal gradually decreases as the energy increases across the energy ranges of 0.1–0.3, 0.3–1.0, and 1.0–500.0 GeV. Interestingly, a potential signal with a similar period was found in data obtained from the Owens Valley Radio Observatory 40 m telescope. We suggest that the newly discovered periodic signal may originate from a coupling between the orbital period and the retrograde stellar precession period.

Keywords: Gamma-rays(637); Gamma-ray sources(633); Periodic variable stars(1213)

# 1. INTRODUCTION

LS I + 61°303 is a high-mass X-ray binary system, composed of a young massive Be star (Grundstrom et al. 2007) and a rapidly rotating neutron star (Weng et al. 2022), with a non-thermal electromagnetic emission extending from MHz radio frequencies to TeV  $\gamma$ -ray energies (Dubus 2013). Its properties of the spectral energy distribution (SED) in multi-wavelength emissions indicate that LS I + 61°303 is dominated by the MeV-GeV  $\gamma$ -rays, this makes it to be a  $\gamma$ -ray binary. Until now, very few  $\gamma$ -ray binary systems have been found to produce detectable  $\gamma$ -ray emissions, with only a handful in our Galaxy (Aharonian et al. 2005a,b; Albert et al. 2006; Hinton et al. 2009; Fermi LAT Collaboration et al. 2012a; Corbet et al. 2019) and one in the Large Magellanic Cloud (Corbet et al. 2016).

LS I + 61°303 has a orbital period of  $P_1 \sim 26.496$  day (Gregory 2002) with an eccentricity  $e \sim 0.54$  (Aragona et al. 2009) and a companion mass of 12.5  $M_{\odot}$  (Casares et al. 2005), and locates at a distance of 2.0 kpc (Frail & Hjellming 1991). The zero point of its orbital phase  $(\phi)$  has historically been defined at JD = 2,443,366.775 (i.e.  $\phi_0$ ) in Gregory (2002), and the orbital phase of its periastron position is  $\phi \sim 0.275$ , which adopted from Aragona et al. (2009). In addition to the orbital period of LS I + 61°303, a long-term modulation period of 1667 day  $(P_2)$  was discovered in GHz radio observa-

tions by Gregory (2002). With the increasing accumulation of observational data for LS I + 61°303, Massi & Jaron (2013) reported a third modulation period of  $26.92 \text{ day } (P_3) \text{ in } 6.7 \text{ years Green Bank Interferometer}$ radio database at 2.2 GHz and 8.3 GHz, and this period was also revealed in 0.1–300.0 GeV with the Fermi-LAT observations by Jaron & Massi (2014); Chernyakova et al. (2023). Regarding the potential origin of the final two periods, previous literature offered extensive discussions (Gregory 2002; Massi & Jaron 2013; Jaron & Massi 2013; Massi & Torricelli-Ciamponi 2014; Jaron & Massi 2014; Massi et al. 2015; Massi & Torricelli-Ciamponi 2016). Based on Fermi-LAT GeV observations for LS I +  $61^{\circ}303$ , Xing et al. (2017) suggested that a non-axisymmetric circumstellar disk may be present around the Be companion, which rotates with a period of 1667 days, leading to the long-term modulation, and the period of 26.92 days is a result of the beat frequency  $(f_3 = \frac{1}{f_1 - f_2})$  between the orbital  $(P_1)$  and long-term  $(P_2)$  periods.

In GeV, LS I + 61°303 has a  $\gamma$ -ray counterpart named J0240.5+6116 in the first Fermi-LAT source catalog (1FGL; Abdo et al. 2010a) and J0240.5+6113 in the fourth catalog Data Release 4 (4FGL-DR4; Fermi-LAT collaboration et al. 2022; Ballet et al. 2023). Based on it, we analyzed the  $\gamma$ -ray events around LS I + 61°303 from the  $\sim$ 15 year Fermi-LAT observations. In our timing




Figure 1.  $\gamma$ -ray SEDs of LS I + 61°303 in 0.1–500.0 GeV. The best-fit LP models of the dip and whole LAT data are shown as red dashed and black solid lines, respectively. The PLEC model is shown with a blue dotted line. The gray dashed-dotted and red dotted histograms stand for TS values of data from the dip and whole LAT.

analysis, besides three periodicities that have been reported in previous literature, an additional new periodic signal reveals at  $26.301\pm0.037$  day at a  $\sim7\sigma$  confidence level. Interestingly, a potential signal has a similiar period at  $\sim26.16\pm0.11$  day shown in the Owens Valley Radio Observatory (OVRO) data in the Figure 3 (d) of Jaron et al. (2018), but it is not significant. The following presents our data analysis and results.

# 2. DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

# 2.1. Data Reduction

We carried out a whole data analysis by selected the Fermi-LAT Pass 8 Front+Back events (evclass = 128 and evtype = 3) in the energy range of 0.1-500.0 GeV within a  $20^{\circ} \times 20^{\circ}$  region of interest (RoI) centered at 4FGL J0240.5+6113 (R. A. =  $02^{h}40^{m}34^{s}.22$ and decl. =  $+61^{\circ}13'43''30$ ). The data observations span from 2008 August 4 to 2023 September 21 (MJD: 54682.687–60208.046). We removed the events with zenith angles  $> 90^{\circ}$  to exclude the  $\gamma$ -ray contamination from the Earth Limb, those with quality flags of "bad", by a expression of "DATA\_QUAL>0 && LAT\_CONFIG==1" to save high-quality events in good time intervals. The instrumental response function "P8R3\_SOURCE\_V3" and the latest available Fermitools-2.2.0 were used in the following analysis.

A model file was created by a python script make4FGLxml.py based on the newest 4FGL-DR4 catalog, which includes all the parameters of the sources

within 25° centered at 4FGL J0240.5+6113. Then we modified the file to free the flux normalizations and spectral parameters for the sources within 5°, the normalizations for the sources within 5°-10°, together with the ones outside 10° but identified as variable sources. The two normalizations for the diffuse emission components of Galactic and extragalactic were also set free. All other parameters were fixed to be their values as them provided in 4FGL-DR4. Then a binned maximum likelihood analysis was performed to update the free parameters by employed the 15 vr Fermi-LAT data. The bestfit parameters were saved as a new model file, the following analysis based on this model. For LS I +  $61^{\circ}303$ , a spectral shape of a log-parabola model (LP), dN/dE = $N_0(E/E_b)^{-[\alpha+\beta\log(E/E_b)]}$ , is provided in 4FGL-DR4. The best-fit parameters of LS I +  $61^{\circ}303$  are summarized in Table 1. Considering that a pulsar hosted in LS I + 61°303, we also used typical pulsar  $\gamma$ -ray model of a power-law with an exponential cutoff (PLEC),  $dN/dE = N_0(E/E_0)^{-\Gamma} \exp[-(E/E_c)^b]$ , to describe the target's  $\gamma$ -ray emission. The best-fit parameters of the PLEC model are also listed in Table 1.

Based on the new model, we performed spectral analysis to derive the SED of LS I + 61°303 in 0.1–500.0 GeV. The whole LAT data was segmented into 13 equally logarithmically spaced energy bins. The data points of SED were extracted by performed the maximum likelihood analysis. LS I + 61°303's SED is shown in Figure 1 and the accurate numbers are listed in Table 2. For ease of comparison, we show the LP and PLEC models in Figure 1 with black solid and blue dotted lines. From them, we know that the LP model performs better than PLEC for target's  $\gamma$ -ray emission.

In order to reveal the  $\gamma$ -ray emissions around LS I + 61°303, a TS map with a region of 4° × 4° was created by employed gttsmap based on the new model file. And a residual TS map was also created to exclude the contamination from the new possible nearby  $\gamma$ -ray sources, that not included in 4FGL-DR4. We show the two TS maps in Figure 2. From them, we believe that the  $\gamma$ -ray events around LS I + 61°303 are well described by the new model, and no new  $\gamma$ -ray source has been identified beyond 4FGL-DR4.

## 2.2. Timing Analysis

An initial light curve was constructed by employed a modified version of aperture photometry (AP) method centered at 4FGL J0240.5+6113. Taking into account the instrument performance of LAT to maximize the signal-to-noise ratio, an aperture radius of 3°.16 is adopted with a selection criteron of an angle  $\theta < \max(6.68-1.76\log_{10}(E_{\rm MeV}), 1.3)^{\circ}$ , as that performed in

OD 11	-	D . C.	1.	c	1.1 1.1 1	1	
Table	Ι.	Best-fit	results	Ot.	likelihood	analı	vsis

Models	Parameter values									
LP	$\alpha$	$\beta$ $E_{ m b}$		TS	$F_{ m ph}$	$F_{ m en}$				
	$2.461{\pm}0.006$	$0.112 {\pm} 0.002$	1.517	227111.0	$7.861\pm0.030$	$4.535{\pm}0.014$				
	$2.445{\pm}0.015^{\phi}$	$0.117{\pm}0.006^{\phi}$	$1.517^{\phi}$	$31694.1^\phi$	$7.283 \pm 0.083^{\phi}$	$4.308 \pm 0.047^{\phi}$				
PLEC	Γ	b	$E_{\rm c}$	TS	$F_{ m ph}$	$F_{\mathrm{en}}$				
	$1.979 \pm 0.015$	$0.605{\pm}0.026$	$2.927{\pm}0.351$	226614.0	$7.793 \pm 0.032$	$4.498{\pm}0.017$				

**Notes.** Best-fit parameter values of the likelihood analysis in 0.1–500.0 GeV for LP and PLEC models,  $^{\phi}$  values derived from the dip data,  $E_{\rm b}$  and  $E_{\rm c}$  in units of GeV.  $F_{\rm ph}$  is the integrated photon flux in units of  $10^{-7}$  photons cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and  $F_{\rm en}$  is the integrated energy flux in units of  $10^{-10}$  erg cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.




Figure 2. TS maps in 0.1–500.0 GeV covering a  $4^{\circ} \times 4^{\circ}$  region centered at 4FGL J0240.5+6113 with a pixel of  $0^{\circ}$ .1. The  $\gamma$ -ray sources reported in the 4FGL-DR4 are shown with the green crosses. Left panel: TS map standing for the  $\gamma$ -ray emissions from LS I +  $61^{\circ}303$  was created by fixing all model parameters in the new model and removing 4FGL J0240.5+6113 from the model. Right panel: residual TS map was created based on the same model with target having a LP spectral shape, with the exception that 4FGL J0240.5+6113 is retained.

Table 2. Values of flux data points of SEDs

	Whole data												
Flux	13.90(14)	12.98(10)	12.25(8)	10.59(8)	7.98(8)	5.50(9)	3.22(9)	1.46(9)	0.71(8)	0.46(9)	0.27(10)	0.56(6)	0.13(2)
TS	31232.1	39091.2	48564.4	47672.0	33271.5	17115.8	6326.9	1574.0	420.3	141.5	36.4	58.7	6.8
Dip data													
Flux	12.31(44)	11.76(26)	11.76(21)	10.21(21)	7.74(21)	5.39(23)	3.13(24)	1.69(50)	0.55(20)	0.70(29)	0.28(27)	0.72(19)	$1.06^{\mathrm{a}}$
TS	3777.0	4975.9	6941.4	6806.6	4856.0	2455.7	959.7	289.0	35.5	39.7	6.7	9.3	

Notes. Values of flux data points of SEDs for the whole and dip data in 0.1-500.0 GeV based on LP model, the fluxes in units of  $10^{-11}$  erg cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Last data point<sup>a</sup> of dip data is the 95% flux upper limits. Numbers in parentheses represent uncertainties on the last digit.





Figure 3. LSP power spectrum (black histogram) constructed from 0.1--500.0 GeV AP light curve of LS I +  $61^{\circ}303$ . The three periodicities of  $P_{\text{new}}$ ,  $P_{\text{orb}}$ , and  $P_{\text{beat}}$  are too close, resulting in overlap, for clarity, please refer to Figure 4. The  $P_{\text{sorb}}$  is marked with a gray dotted line. The orbital and survey repeat periods are marked with two red arrows, and the first and second harmonics of  $P_{\text{orb}}$  are shown with two purple arrows. The pink shaded region stands for the portion of power spectrum shown in Figure 4.

Abdo et al. (2010b). The light curve has an energy range of 0.1–500.0 GeV with time bins of 600 s. We excluded the periods when 4FGL J0240.5+6113 was within 5° of the Sun and Moon by gtmktime. Exposures were calculated using gtexposure to mitigate the impact of significant exposure variations across different time bins. And  $\gamma$ -rays arrival times are also barycenter corrected using gtbary. We assigned weights to events with their probabilities of originating from 4FGL J0240.5+6113 by employed gtsrcprob based on the new model. The light curve was then constructed by summing these probabilities, as opposed to simply counting the number of photons within the aperture in each time bin (Kerr 2011; Fermi LAT Collaboration et al. 2012b; Corbet et al. 2019).

Power spectrum was created for the AP light curve by employed a method of a Lomb–Scargle periodogram (LSP; Lomb 1976; Scargle 1982), and we show it in Figure 3. It covers a frequency range from  $f_{\rm max}=1/0.05~{\rm day}^{-1}$  to the entire Fermi-LAT observations ( $f_{\rm min}=1/5525~{\rm day}^{-1}$ ), and the number of independent frequencies (i.e., the trial factor) was calculated by  $N=(f_{\rm max}-f_{\rm min})/\delta f=110499$ , where  $\delta f$  is frequency resolution determined by the length of the Fermi-LAT observations. In the spectrum, the  $\gamma$ -ray periodicities of LS I + 61°303 that have been reported in previous works, i. e. the orbital ( $P_{\rm orb}$ ), su-




**Figure 4.** LSP power spectrum zoomed in Figure 3 (i.e. the pink shaded region). The new detected periodicity  $P_{\rm new}$  is marked with a green solid line, and others for  $P_{\rm orb}$  and  $P_{\rm beat}$  are shown with purple dashed and orange dashed-dotted lines, respectively. The red dashed and blue dashed-dotted lines stand for  $5\sigma$  and  $7\sigma$  confidence levels. The inset plot shows that the schematic LSP power of LS I +  $61^{\circ}303$  drawn from the Figure 3 (d) of Jaron et al. (2018).

perorbital  $(P_{\rm sorb})$ , and orbital–superorbital beat periods  $(P_{\rm beat})$ , reveal at  $26.493 \pm 0.058$ ,  $1817.54 \pm 252.84$ , and  $26.927 \pm 0.055$  day, respectively. We marked them with purple dashed, gray dotted, and orange dashed-dotted lines, respectively. Interestingly, besides these  $\gamma$ -ray periodicities, an additional periodic signal also reveals at  $26.301 \pm 0.037$  day, this new periodic signal  $(P_{\rm new})$  is marked with a green solid line in Figure 3. Their uncertainties of the  $\gamma$ -ray periodicities were taken from their half-widths at half-maximum of each power peak. In our AP analysis the background  $\gamma$ -rays are not modeled out for each time bin, hence two artifact signals (marked with red arrows) originate from the 96 minutes orbital period and the survey repeat period at twice of them of Fermi satellite<sup>1</sup> can be seen at their corresponding periods.

Because the three periodicities of  $P_{\rm new}$ ,  $P_{\rm orb}$ , and  $P_{\rm beat}$  have similar periods and result them in overlap each other in Figure 3, for clarity we zoom in the power spectrum in the pink shaded region in Figure 4. The heights of the peaks of  $P_{\rm new}$ ,  $P_{\rm orb}$ ,  $P_{\rm sorb}$  and  $P_{\rm beat}$  are  $\sim 39.1$ ,  $\sim 465.9$ ,  $\sim 121.5$  and  $\sim 105.0$  compared to the mean power level, respectively. The normalization method utilized here is detailed in Horne & Baliunas (1986). Using the method provided by Lomb (1976) and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/analysis/LAT\_caveats\_temporal.html




**Figure 5.** LS I + 61°303's energy-dependent LSP power spectra in 0.1–0.3, 0.3–1.0, and 1.0-500.0 GeV. The black, red, and green histograms stand for the LSP power spectra constructed with 0.1–0.3, 0.3–1.0, and 1.0–500.0 GeV AP light curves, respectively.

Scargle (1982), the probability  $(p_{\mbox{\tiny lsp}})$  to obtain the power level of  $P_{\rm new}$  equal or higher than 39.1 from a chance fluctuation (a noise) is  $\sim 1.0 \times 10^{-17}$ . This method is often used for detecting periodic signals in the white noise, as demonstrated in the search for  $\gamma$ -ray binary systems by Corbet et al. (2016, 2019); Fermi LAT Collaboration et al. (2012a). Taking into account the trial number N, the False Alarm Probability (FAP) is estimated at  $\text{FAP}=1-(1-p)^N \sim p \times N \sim 1.1 \times 10^{-12}$ , which corresponding to a  $\sim 7.1\sigma$  confidence level. In Figure 4, we show  $5\sigma$  and  $7\sigma$  confidence levels with red dashed and blue dashed-dotted lines, respectively. Interestingly, in radio OVRO data, a potential signal exhibits a period  $(26.16 \pm 0.11)$  day, the error derived from the half-widths at half-maximum of the power peak) similar to the new signal. For easy reference, we show the schematic LSP power of LS I +  $61^{\circ}303$  in the inset plot of Figure 4, that drawn from Jaron et al. (2018).

In addition, a potential periodic signal of nearby  $\gamma$ -ray sources can also cause a modulation for LS I + 61°303 because of the broad PSF of the Fermi-LAT, particularly at lower energies. To prevent this situation, we also constructed the power spectra for the two closest sources (4FGL J0248.4+6021 and 4FGL J0243.3+6319) with the same process, and no similar signal was identified for the new periodic signal claimed here.

To explore the energy-dependent periodicity of  $P_{\text{new}}$ , we also created three power spectra based on their AP light-curves in 0.1–0.3, 0.3–1.0, and 1.0–500.0 GeV. And



**Figure 6.** Phase-resolved light-curves. Flux varies with the corresponding phase in the four energy intervals in 0.1–500.0, 0.1–0.3, 0.3–1.0, and 1.0–500.0 GeV. And four panels share same y-axis labels. For clarity two period cycles are shown. The pink shade denotes the dip in  $\phi = 0.05 - 0.20$ .

they are shown in Figure 5 with black, red, and green histograms, respectively. From it, we know that their power peak of  $P_{\text{new}}$  signal gradually decreases as the energy increases across the three energy ranges.

## 2.3. Phase-resolved Analysis

On the basis of period of  $P_{\rm new}$ , we divided the 0.1–500.0 GeV Fermi-LAT events into 20 phase intervals and performed likelihood analysis for each bin to obtain the phase-resolved light-curve based on the new model file, except only freeing the flux normalizations for the sources within 5° and two diffuse components. The folded light-curve is shown in Figure 6 (A) with a phase zero corresponding to MJD 43,366.275, as it in Gregory (2002); Chernyakova et al. (2023). From it, an obvious dip in  $\phi = 0.05 - 0.20$  can be seen in the folded light-curve.

In order to investigate the phase-resolved light-curve depending on energy, we constructed phase-resolved light-curves for the three previous energy intervals. And we show them in B-D panels respectively. Their amplitudes of the variabilities, i.e. the maximum flux minus the minimum, for the four phase-resolved light-curve are 0.42, 0.60, 0.10, and  $0.03\times10^{-8}$  photons cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. As shown in the Figure 6, the amplitudes of the phase-resolved light-curves of  $P_{\rm new}$  in the three energy ranges decrease as the energy increases.

We also carried out a maximum likelihood analysis for the events in the phase intervals in the dip (pink shade in Figure 6). Its best-fit LP model is shown in Figure 1 with a red dashed line and the parameters are listed in Table 1. A spectral analysis was performed for the data in the dip, the data points of SED are displayed in Figure 1 and colored red. For the SED of dip data, we retained data points with TS values  $\geqslant 5$ , while others are displayed their 95% flux upper limits. Based on Figure 1 and Table 2, it is evident that there are no significant differences in the SEDs between the entire LAT data and the dip data.

### 3. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

LS I +  $61^{\circ}303$  is one of the unusual  $\gamma$ -ray binaries and composed of a massive star and a rapidly rotating neutron star (Weng et al. 2022). The main of the electromagnetic emissions from LS I + 61°303 are at MeV-GeV energies (Dubus 2015; Dubus et al. 2017). The principal emission mechanisms of this system are thought to be  $\gamma$ -ray emissions that could originate from interactions between the relativistic wind coming from a rapidly rotating neutron star (Dubus 2006) and the stellar wind from its companion, or from the relativistic jets generated by accretion onto a neutron star or black hole (Mirabel & Rodríguez 1998). In  $\gamma$ -rays, for LS I +  $61^{\circ}303$ , three periodicities corresponding to the orbital, superorbital, and orbital-superorbital beat periods have been extensively discussed in previous works. Using the events from Fermi-LAT spanning from 2008 August to 2023 September, we carried out a timing analysis in 0.1-500.0 GeV for LS I +  $61^{\circ}303$ , and a new periodic signal with a period of  $26.301 \pm 0.037$  day was detected at a  $\sim 7\sigma$  confidence level. Interestingly, in radio OVRO data, a potential signal (not significant) with a similar period is revealed at  $26.16 \pm 0.11$  day (Jaron et al. 2018). Furthermore, their error ranges also partially overlap. Their results further strengthen our  $\gamma$ ray findings independently. The new signal is relatively weak compared to the other known periodicities.

As reported by Chernyakova et al. (2023), two periods of LS I +  $61^{\circ}303$ , corresponding to the orbital and




Figure 7. Schematic illustration of the orbit of LS I +  $61^{\circ}303$ , drawn from Dubus (2013). The purple arrow indicates the direction of the neutron star's motion, the blue lines represent the spin axis of the Be star, and the green arrow denotes the direction of the spin axis's precession.

beat orbital/superorbital periods, exhibit strong energy dependence. We also conducted an energy-dependent analysis for the new signal. Our results in the three same energy intervals indicates that the power spectrum peak of the new signal gradually decreases from the low energy range to high. We speculate that the cause of our results may be due to statistical effects, as there are significantly more photons at lower energies compared to higher energies.

From the phase-resolved light curves of energy dependent intervals (B, C, and D panles of Figure 6), we know that their amplitudes of the light-curve decreases from low energy to high. From them, an obvious dip can be seen at  $\phi = 0.05 - 0.20$ , especially in the whole and low-energy data (A and B panels of Figure 6). While the orbital periodic periodicity of LS I + 61°303 is characterized by a single peak in radio to X-ray and  $\gamma$ -ray bands (Chernyakova et al. 2023; Xing et al. 2017). Hence, we speculate that the origin of the new periodic signal may be different from the three periodicities discussed in previous literature. Perhaps long-term, high-cadence, and multi-wavelength observations can reveal it in the light curve.

In our analysis, we checked the various combinations of frequencies involving known periods (i.e.  $P_{\text{average}} = \frac{2}{f_{\text{x}} + f_{\text{y}}}$ ,  $P_{\text{beat}} = \frac{1}{f_{\text{x}} - f_{\text{y}}}$ ) or possible harmonics. We found that the new periodic signal is not a combination of

frequencies from any known periods. It appears to be a new, independent periodic signal. The spin precession of Be stars in X-ray binaries has long been studied (Lubow & Ogilvie 2000; Bate et al. 2000; Ogilvie & Dubus 2001; Martin et al. 2011). We suggest that the Be star in the LS I +  $61^{\circ}303$  has a spin axis's precession, and the precession direction is opposite to the orbital motion of the neutron star. To facilitate understanding of our hypothesis, we show this scenario and the Schematic illustration of orbit of LS I +  $61^{\circ}303$  in Figure 7. Then we can derive the  $P_{\text{prec}}$  with a formula of  $1/P_{\text{new}} = 1/P_{\text{orb}} + 1/P_{\text{prec}}$ . The period of  $P_{\rm prec}$  is derived to be  $\sim 3629$  day, which falls within the timescale of Be star's precession estimated by Martin (2023). Therefore we speculate that the newly discovered periodic signal  $(P_{\text{new}})$  may originate from a coupling effect between the orbital period  $(P_{\text{orb}})$  and the retrograde stellar precession period  $(P_{\text{prec}}).$ 

Regardless, the real originating for the new periodic signal still remains unclear. Based on our results,

LS I + 61°303 may possess some properties that are currently unknown. More observations in the multi-wavelengths are encouraged to reveal the origin of the new periodic signal reported here.

We thank anonymous referee for very helpful suggestions and Z. Wang for discussion about potential origins of the new periodic signal. This work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China Nos. 12163006 and 12233006, the Basic Research Program of Yunnan Province No. 202201AT070137, and the joint foundation of Department of Science and Technology of Yunnan Province and Yunnan University No. 202201BF070001-020. P.F.Z. acknowledges the support by the Xingdian Talent Support Plan - Youth Project.

### REFERENCES

Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., et al. 2010a, ApJS, 188, 405, doi: 10.1088/0067-0049/188/2/405

—. 2010b, ApJ, 708, 1254,

doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/708/2/1254

Aharonian, F., Akhperjanian, A. G., Aye, K. M., et al. 2005a, Science, 309, 746, doi: 10.1126/science.1113764

—. 2005b, A&A, 442, 1, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361:20052983

Albert, J., Aliu, E., Anderhub, H., et al. 2006, Science, 312, 1771, doi: 10.1126/science.1128177

Aragona, C., McSwain, M. V., Grundstrom, E. D., et al. 2009, ApJ, 698, 514, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/698/1/514

Ballet, J., Bruel, P., Burnett, T. H., Lott, B., & The Fermi-LAT collaboration. 2023, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2307.12546, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2307.12546

Bate, M. R., Bonnell, I. A., Clarke, C. J., et al. 2000, MNRAS, 317, 773, doi: 10.1046/j.1365-8711.2000.03648.x

Casares, J., Ribas, I., Paredes, J. M., Martí, J., & Allende Prieto, C. 2005, MNRAS, 360, 1105, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09106.x

Chernyakova, M., Malyshev, D., Neronov, A., & Savchenko, D. 2023, MNRAS, 525, 2202,

doi: 10.1093/mnras/stad2380

Corbet, R. H. D., Chomiuk, L., Coe, M. J., et al. 2016, ApJ, 829, 105, doi: 10.3847/0004-637X/829/2/105

—. 2019, ApJ, 884, 93, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ab3e32

Dubus, G. 2006, A&A, 456, 801, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361:20054779

—. 2013, A&A Rv, 21, 64, doi: 10.1007/s00159-013-0064-5

—. 2015, Comptes Rendus Physique, 16, 661, doi: 10.1016/j.crhy.2015.08.014

Dubus, G., Guillard, N., Petrucci, P.-O., & Martin, P. 2017, A&A, 608, A59, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201731084

Fermi LAT Collaboration, Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., et al. 2012a, Science, 335, 189, doi: 10.1126/science.1213974

—. 2012b, Science, 335, 189, doi: 10.1126/science.1213974

Fermi-LAT collaboration, :, Abdollahi, S., et al. 2022, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2201.11184.

https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.11184

Frail, D. A., & Hjellming, R. M. 1991, AJ, 101, 2126, doi: 10.1086/115833

Gregory, P. C. 2002, ApJ, 575, 427, doi: 10.1086/341257

Grundstrom, E. D., Caballero-Nieves, S. M., Gies, D. R., et al. 2007, ApJ, 656, 437, doi: 10.1086/510509

Hinton, J. A., Skilton, J. L., Funk, S., et al. 2009, ApJL, 690, L101, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/690/2/L101

Horne, J. H., & Baliunas, S. L. 1986, ApJ, 302, 757, doi: 10.1086/164037

Jaron, F., & Massi, M. 2013, A&A, 559, A129, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201322557

—. 2014, A&A, 572, A105,doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201423994

Jaron, F., Massi, M., Kiehlmann, S., & Hovatta, T. 2018,

MNRAS, 478, 440, doi: 10.1093/mnras/sty1037

Kerr, M. 2011, ApJ, 732, 38,

doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/732/1/38

Lomb, N. R. 1976, Ap&SS, 39, 447, doi: 10.1007/BF00648343

Lubow, S. H., & Ogilvie, G. I. 2000, ApJ, 538, 326, doi: 10.1086/309101

Martin, R. G. 2023, MNRAS, 523, L75, doi: 10.1093/mnrasl/slad061

Martin, R. G., Pringle, J. E., Tout, C. A., & Lubow, S. H. 2011, MNRAS, 416, 2827,

doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19231.x

Massi, M., & Jaron, F. 2013, A&A, 554, A105, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201219685

Massi, M., Jaron, F., & Hovatta, T. 2015, A&A, 575, L9, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201525643

Massi, M., & Torricelli-Ciamponi, G. 2014, A&A, 564, A23, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201322760

—. 2016, A&A, 585, A123,doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201526938

Mirabel, I. F., & Rodríguez, L. F. 1998, Nature, 392, 673, doi: 10.1038/33603

Ogilvie, G. I., & Dubus, G. 2001, MNRAS, 320, 485, doi: 10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.04011.x

Scargle, J. D. 1982, ApJ, 263, 835, doi: 10.1086/160554

Weng, S.-S., Qian, L., Wang, B.-J., et al. 2022, Nature Astronomy, 6, 698, doi: 10.1038/s41550-022-01630-1

Xing, Y., Wang, Z., & Takata, J. 2017, ApJ, 851, 92, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/aa9b36