

# Ringdown stability: greybody factors as stable gravitational-wave observables

Romeo Felice Rosato,<sup>1</sup> Kyriakos Destounis,<sup>1</sup> and Paolo Pani<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma & INFN,  
Sezione di Roma, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185, Roma, Italy*

The quasinormal mode spectrum of black holes plays a crucial role in the modelling of post-merger ringdown signals. However, the spectrum is extremely sensitive to small deformations of the system and describes the linear response only in a certain (not precisely defined) timeframe after the merger. We argue here that the greybody factors, recently shown to describe the ringdown spectral amplitude at relatively high frequencies, are instead stable under small perturbations of the system and free of certain ambiguities that plague the quasinormal mode spectrum. Our analysis also unveils a nontrivial interplay: while certain ringdown quantities are dominated by the contribution of spectrally unstable quasinormal modes, these modes conspire to produce stable observables. Thus, we propose a complementary approach to ringdown studies, which circumvents some limitations of the standard quasinormal mode description.

**Introduction.** The black hole (BH) spectroscopy program [1–4] is a cornerstone of strong-field tests of General Relativity (GR) [5–7] and a unique way to test the nature of compact remnants formed after a coalescence [8]. It aims at extracting the remnant’s vibrational spectrum, i.e. its quasinormal modes (QNMs) [9–12], during the ringdown stage of a binary merger. Within linear perturbation theory, the signal at intermediate times after the merger is described by a superposition of the QNMs [13] of the remnant as the latter relaxes towards a stable end-state. If the remnant is a BH, GR predicts that the infinite tower of QNMs is uniquely described by its mass and spin, allowing for multiple null-hypothesis tests of gravity [14, 15], the nature of the remnant [16–18], as well as the astrophysical environment around compact objects [19–22].

While QNMs are the gold standard to perform BH spectroscopy, in recent years a growing amount of subtleties related to them has emerged (see [23] for a recent comprehensive account). First of all, it is well-known that QNMs do not form a complete set since they are the eigenvalues of a non-Hermitian system [10]. This implies that a generic, small perturbation cannot be decomposed only in QNMs; indeed, the ringdown also displays an early-time response that depends on the details of the initial merger conditions, and a late-time power-law tail [24–26] due to back-scattering off the spacetime effective potential. The exact start of the intermediate stage governed by the QNMs is an open problem and might not have a clear-cut answer [27–31]. This is an issue already within linear perturbation theory, leaving aside the fact that GR is intrinsically nonlinear, even at the ringdown stage [32–39], and understanding when the nonlinear merger stage transits toward a perturbative regime is still highly debated [40–50]. In this context, the role of QNM overtones, nonlinearities, and ringdown starting time are outstanding interconnected open questions [23].

Another crucial issue with QNMs is that they are extremely sensitive to small perturbations of the system [51–53] either in terms of deformations of the background or of the boundary conditions. This implies that the QNM spectrum of a BH might be drastically altered

in the presence of environmental effects [19, 54–56] or of any form of near-horizon structure deforming the boundary conditions [57–60], although the prompt ringdown phase in time domain is much less affected [56–59, 61].

While all these issues might not necessarily be an obstacle for an actual realization of the BH spectroscopy program – at least within the accuracy of current detectors – they unveil that the “vanilla” extraction of QNMs from gravitational-wave signals is much more subtle than historically expected. Originally [1–4], BH spectroscopy was presented as a particularly simple and clean way to test gravity and the nature of the remnant, but the emergence/reinsurance of these issues suggests at least a more cautious view.

Here we propose a complementary approach that circumvents some of the above subtleties while elucidating the physical interpretation of the QNM spectral instability [53, 62–74]. We show that the BH greybody factors (GFs) – functions characterizing the tunneling probability of perturbations through the BH effective potential [75] – are stable under small deformations of the background and are associated with quantities that can be obtained by a superposition of QNMs, despite the latter being spectrally unstable. Thus, our analysis unveils a remarkable interplay: spectrally unstable QNMs conspire to produce stable observables. An analogous result was recently obtained for the scattering cross-section using (spectrally unstable) Regge poles [76]. Moreover, the stability of wave scattering amplitudes was discussed in analogy with the phase shift of the  $S$ -matrix in a quantum system [61].

Recently, the GFs were shown to describe the spectral amplitude of the ringdown signal at frequencies higher than that of the fundamental QNM [77–79]. Our results, together with [77–79], suggest a route to an alternative study of the BH ringdown using the GFs; quantities that form stable ringdown observables and evade a variety of thorny aspects that QNMs exhibit. Henceforth we use  $G = c = 1$  units.

**Stability of BH GFs.** For clarity, we consider perturbations of a spherically symmetric BH in the Regge-Wheeler-Zerilli formalism [80, 81], although our analysis

can be straightforwardly extended to rotating spacetimes using Teukolsky's formalism [82, 83].

Within linear perturbation theory, the BH response to an external perturbation in the frequency domain is described by a one-dimensional radial equation [81, 84]

$$\left[ \frac{d^2}{dr_*^2} + \omega^2 - V_l(r) \right] X_{lm\omega} = S_{lm\omega}(r) \quad (1)$$

where  $r_*$  is the standard tortoise coordinate,  $\omega$  is the frequency, and  $(l, m)$  are the spherical-harmonic indices<sup>1</sup>. The effective potential  $V_l$  and the source  $S_{lm\omega}$  (the latter being related either to the stress-energy tensor of the perturbation [81] or to the initial data of  $X_{lm\omega}$  [13]) are different for axial (odd-parity) and polar (even-parity) perturbations.

For the moment we can ignore the source and consider the homogeneous equation. The BH GF is the transmission coefficient of a scattering problem identified by the boundary conditions

$$X_{lm\omega} = \begin{cases} e^{-i\omega r_*} & r_* \rightarrow -\infty \\ A_{lm\omega}^{\text{in}} e^{-i\omega r_*} + A_{lm\omega}^{\text{out}} e^{+i\omega r_*} & r_* \rightarrow +\infty \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

In turn, QNMs are the complex frequencies satisfying the above conditions with  $A_{lm\omega}^{\text{in}} = 0$ . Due to scattering off the potential barrier, we can define the reflectivity and transmissivity of the background spacetime as (see, e.g., [85]):

$$\mathcal{R}_{lm}(\omega) = \left| \frac{A_{lm\omega}^{\text{out}}}{A_{lm\omega}^{\text{in}}} \right|^2, \quad \Gamma_{lm}(\omega) = \left| \frac{1}{A_{lm\omega}^{\text{in}}} \right|^2, \quad (3)$$

where  $\Gamma_{lm}$  is precisely the GF and energy conservation enforces  $\mathcal{R}_{lm} + \Gamma_{lm} = 1$ . As later discussed, this quantity plays a pivotal role in the linear response of a BH, being associated with the BH absorption cross section, the rate of Hawking evaporation [75], and the ringdown spectral amplitude. For a Kerr BH, the GF for a given  $(l, m)$  is a function of  $\omega$  that, just like QNMs, depends only on the mass and spin.

To study the stability of GFs against small perturbations of the system, we consider an infinitesimal Pöschl-Teller bump added to the original (say, odd parity) potential [55]

$$V_l^\epsilon = \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right) \left( \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} - \frac{6M}{r^3} \right) + \frac{\epsilon}{M^2} \text{sech} \left[ \frac{r_* - c}{M} \right]^2, \quad (4)$$

where  $c$  and  $\epsilon \ll 1$  parameterize the location and amplitude of the bump, respectively (see Fig. 1 for an example). When  $c \gg M$  (with  $M$  being the BH mass), the




Figure 1. Perturbed effective potential, Eq. (4), for  $l = 2$ ,  $\epsilon = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ , and two representative choices for the small bump location,  $c = -25M$  (left inset) and  $c = 50M$  (right inset).

bump parameterizes distant perturbations and is a toy model for environmental effects [54, 55]. When  $c < 0$  but  $|c| \gg M$ , the bump parameterizes near-horizon perturbations and is a toy model for near-horizon structure as those expected in certain quantum-gravity models [59, 86] or dark-matter overdensities accumulated near the horizon. In the following we will consider  $c$  as a free parameter, showing that the phenomenology is similar whenever  $|c| \gg M$ .

In Fig. 2 we compare the spectral instability of the fundamental QNM [53–55] (left panel) with the stability of the GFs (right panel) for varying  $c/M$  and perturbation scale  $\epsilon$ . For the QNMs, we show the relative difference  $\Delta\omega_{R,I}^\epsilon/\omega_{R,I}^0 = |\omega_{R,I}^\epsilon(c)/\omega_{R,I}^0 - 1|$ , where  $\omega_{R,I}^\epsilon(c)$  and  $\omega_{R,I}^0$  are the (real and imaginary part of the) QNMs of the perturbed and unperturbed system, respectively. These were computed using both direct integration [87] and continued fractions adapted similarly to [88, 89] (to allow for a potential that is not polynomial in  $M/r$ ), finding perfect agreement. Since the GF is a function of the frequency, on the right panel we plot the integrated quantity

$$\mathcal{G}_{lm} = \frac{\int_0^\infty |\Gamma_{lm}^\epsilon(\omega, c) - \Gamma_{lm}(\omega)| d\omega}{\int_0^\infty \Gamma_{lm}(\omega) d\omega}, \quad (5)$$

where the unperturbed GFs,  $\Gamma_{lm}(\omega)$ , and perturbed ones,  $\Gamma_{lm}^\epsilon(\omega, c)$ , have been computed through direct integration using analytical high-order series expansions to reach high precision [85, 87]. The above dimensionless quantity  $\mathcal{G}_{lm}$  is positive definite and quantifies how the GF (for a fixed value of  $l$ ) behaves under the perturbation in Eq. (4) for any frequency.

As it is clear from Fig. 2, the QNM deviation from the unperturbed system grows (exponentially, until it

<sup>1</sup> For a spherically symmetric background, the azimuthal number  $m$  is degenerate and can be set to zero without loss of generality. We will keep it in the equations since our formalism can be straightforwardly extended to the spinning case.




Figure 2. Comparison between the spectral instability of the fundamental QNM (left panel) and the stability of the (integrated) GF (right panel) of a Schwarzschild BH under a small perturbation centered at  $r_* = c$  with amplitude  $\epsilon \ll 1$  (see Eq. (4)). While the QNM deviations from the unperturbed case are  $\gg \epsilon$  (where  $\epsilon$  is shown with a horizontal dashed black line) as  $|c| \rightarrow \infty$  [53–55, 74], those of the GF are bounded and remain  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ . On the left we fix  $\epsilon = 5 \times 10^{-5}$  while on the right we consider three values of  $\epsilon$  denoted by dashed horizontal lines. The value of  $\mathcal{G}_{lm}$  is maximized when  $c$  corresponds to the peak of the unperturbed potential, whose value is indicated by a vertical solid line.

saturates polynomially) with  $|c|$ , so that eventually  $\Delta\omega^\epsilon/\omega^0 \gg \epsilon$ , in agreement with previous results [53–55, 74] and as expected for a spectrally unstable quantity [53, 55]. However, the GFs display a completely different behavior: they are bounded and remain  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ , as expected for a quantity that is stable under small perturbations. In fact, at variance with the QNM case, the stability of the GF improves when  $|c|$  increases, and  $\mathcal{G}_{lm} < \epsilon$  as  $|c| \rightarrow \infty$ . Interestingly,  $\mathcal{G}_{lm}$  has a maximum when  $c$  corresponds to the peak of the unperturbed potential ( $c \approx 2.39M$ , for the  $l = 2$  odd-parity case), denoted by a vertical solid line.

We find this behavior for both the integrated GF in Eq. (5) and also for  $\Gamma_{lm}(\omega)$  at any frequency, see left panel of Fig. 3. This result is consistent with the analysis in [61], where it was shown that the main effect of the bump appears in the phase shift of the scattered wave rather than in its amplitude. The left panel of Fig. 3 shows also another remarkable feature. In the unperturbed case, the GF interpolates between  $\Gamma_{lm} \sim 0$  at low frequencies and  $\Gamma_{lm} \sim 1$  at large frequencies with the smooth transition occurring at the fundamental QNM frequency (see [90] for an analytical expression of the GF at any frequency). The GF of the perturbed system displays exactly the same behavior, despite the fact that the QNMs of the perturbed system acquire  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  corrections. This is consistent with the fact that the GF is more sensitive to local features of the effective potential near the maximum, so the transition occurs approximately at the frequency of the light ring of the background, which in

the perturbed case does not correspond to that of the QNM [57, 91]. We further find this behavior for any values of  $l$  and for both axial and polar perturbations.

We also expect that the GF can display sharp Breit-Wigner resonances [92, 93] at the frequency of long-lived modes ( $|\omega_I^\epsilon| \ll \omega_R^\epsilon$ ), although we did not find any resonance for this perturbed potential, probably because the quality factor of the perturbed QNMs remains moderate. For example, when  $c = 100M$  and  $\epsilon = 10^{-2}$ , the unperturbed fundamental mode  $\omega^0 M \approx 0.37 - i0.09$  migrates to  $\omega^\epsilon M \approx 0.052 - i0.009$  in the odd-parity case, but the GF does not display any special feature at  $\omega = \omega_R^\epsilon$ . In any case, these resonances can possibly appear only at low frequencies [91] and would not contaminate the large-frequency behavior of the GF. As discussed below and in [78, 79], the latter is directly connected to the ringdown amplitude.

**GFs, QNMs, & ringdown.** Although we found that the GF is stable for *any* frequency, it was recently argued that the spectral amplitude,  $|h_{lm}(\omega)| \propto |X_{lm\omega}|$  (see Appendix for the exact definition), is well described by the reflectivity  $\sqrt{1 - \Gamma_{lm}(\omega)}$  at frequencies larger than the fundamental QNM frequency [78, 79]. To further shed light on this connection, here we study the signal emitted by a point-particle orbiting the BH. The signal is described by Eq. (1), where the source depends on the specific energy  $E$  and angular momentum  $L$  of the particle [81, 84, 94]. This equation can be solved with standard Green function methods. The  $l = m = 2$  spectral amplitude is shown in the right panel of Fig. 3 for a radial




Figure 3. Left: difference between the perturbed and unperturbed GF as a function of the frequency for some representative value of  $\epsilon$  and  $c$ . The difference is always  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$  or smaller and peaks at the frequency of the *unperturbed* fundamental QNM frequency. Right: Gravitational-wave amplitude in frequency domain for a point particle with mass  $\mu$  in radial infall from rest ( $E = 1, L = 0$ , see Appendix for other cases). We compare the signal with an *analytical* model,  $|h_{22}| \propto \sqrt{1 - \Gamma_{22}}$ . Being stable observables, the difference between  $h_{22}$  or  $\Gamma_{22}$  in the perturbed/unperturbed case cannot be appreciated on the scale of the plot. In both panels the vertical green (yellow) lines denote the frequency of the (un)perturbed fundamental QNM frequency.

infall ( $E = 1, L = 0$ ; see Appendix for further cases). We show the perturbed case for  $c = 100M$  and  $\epsilon = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ , that perfectly overlaps with the unperturbed case. As discussed in [78, 79], the frequency-domain gravitational-wave signal is  $|h_{lm}(\omega)| \propto \sqrt{1 - \Gamma_{lm}(\omega)}$  at frequencies larger than the fundamental QNM. Interestingly, this applies to the ringdown both in the extreme mass-ratio limit [78] and in numerical relativity simulations of comparable mass binaries [79]. We find the same behavior for the perturbed system, and also in this case the cross-over happens at the frequency of the *unperturbed* QNM. This shows that the ringdown spectral amplitude at  $\omega \gtrsim \text{Re}[\omega_{lm0}]$  is a stable observable quantity. Remarkably, this result relies on the fact that  $|h_{lm}(\omega)|$  depends only on the absolute value of the signal, whereas individually its real and imaginary parts are more sensitive to perturbations (see [61] and Appendix).

Finally, it is instructive to compute the inverse Fourier transform of the amplitude ratio that gives the reflectivity and GF through Eq. (3), namely  $R_{lm}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega \frac{A_{lm\omega}^{\text{out}}}{A_{lm\omega}^{\text{in}}} e^{-i\omega t}$ . We can compute this either fully numerically or by performing a contour integral in the complex plane [13]. In the latter case the main contribution comes from the simple poles at the QNMs corresponding to the complex roots,  $A_{lm\omega}^{\text{in}} \sim \gamma_{lmn}(\omega - \omega_{lmn})$ , where  $n$  is the overtone number. This can be computed

through the residue theorem as

$$R_{lm}(t) \approx -2\text{Re} \left[ i \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\text{max}}} \frac{A_{lm\omega}^{\text{out}}|_{\omega=\omega_{lmn}}}{\gamma_{lmn}} e^{-i\omega_{lmn}t} \right], \quad (6)$$

where the factor 2 accounts for the QNMs with opposite real part [13], and the terms of the series are directly related to the BH excitation factors [95–99], which are source-independent. In Fig. 4, we compare the full result for  $R_{lm}(t)$  at intermediate times (where the perturbed and unperturbed cases are indistinguishable [56–59]) with that obtained by summing over a certain number of QNMs, i.e., using Eq. (6) for different values of  $n_{\text{max}}$ , both in the unperturbed and in the perturbed case. Remarkably, even if overtones display  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  deviations in the perturbed case (see Appendix for more details), their inclusion improves the recovery of the stable observable quantity at early times compared to the case of a single fundamental QNM, and in fact even with respect to the  $n_{\text{max}} = 7$  unperturbed case. To the best of our knowledge this is the first time that the (stable) time-domain signal is shown to be obtained as a superposition of the (spectrally unstable) QNMs.

**Discussion.** Despite the spectral instability of the BH QNMs, it is reassuring that other relevant quantities related to BH perturbation theory, such as the GFs and the reflectivities, are actually stable under small perturbations of the system. In addition, we find that the




Figure 4. Fourier transform of the amplitude ratio,  $A_{lm\omega}^{\text{out}}/A_{lm\omega}^{\text{in}}$ , compared to its QNM decomposition in terms of excitation factors adding up to  $n_{\text{max}}$  modes (see Eq. (6)), for  $c = 15M$  and  $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$ . The time-domain signal is stable under small perturbations and can be recovered by a superposition of *either* unperturbed or perturbed QNMs, despite the spectral instability of the latter: in this example,  $\omega_R^0 M \approx (0.374, 0.347, 0.301)$ ,  $\omega_I^0 M \approx -(0.089, 0.274, 0.478)$ ,  $\omega_R^\epsilon M \approx (0.374, 0.335, 0.489)$ ,  $\omega_I^\epsilon M \approx -(0.092, 0.182, 0.266)$ , for  $n = (0, 1, 2)$ , respectively.

stability of the ringdown spectral amplitude,  $|h_{lm}(\omega)|$ , extends to other observable quantities, such as the emitted energy (see Appendix).

The connection between the (stable) GF and the ringdown unveils a number of interesting features in what concerns tests of gravity. Since the GF describes the ringdown amplitude at relatively high frequencies, we expect it to be more sensitive to short-lengthscale modifications in the strong-field region compared to usual BH QNMs. This might be an advantage to test high-curvature corrections to GR as those generically predicted within effective field theories [6, 100, 101], and is generically complementary to ordinary BH QNM tests. In this respect, one might devise a null-hypothesis test of the Kerr metric using GFs with different  $(l, m)$ 's, along the lines of standard BH spectroscopy. In particular,  $\Gamma_{22}(\omega)$  can be extracted from  $|h_{22}|$  and can be used to infer the mass and spin of the remnant [78, 79]. Then, any other multipole of  $|h_{lm}|$  can be used to extract  $\Gamma_{lm}(\omega)$ , which is uniquely determined by the mass and spin if the remnant is a Kerr BH. Thus, measuring (say)  $\Gamma_{22}(\omega)$  and  $\Gamma_{33}(\omega)$  would provide a null test. At variance with the same test using QNMs, the one based on the GFs is not contaminated by spectral instabilities, nor by overtones (since the GFs depend only on  $(l, m)$ ); it also contains more information, since a successful test should fit the entire functions  $\Gamma_{lm}(\omega)$  and not only numbers. In the Appendix, we show that for a Schwarzschild BH in GR the exponential behavior of the reflectivity  $\mathcal{R}_{lm}(\omega) = 1 - \Gamma_{lm}(\omega)$  at large frequencies is universal and independent of  $l$  (in

agreement with [102]), but this property might be different for a Kerr BH, in modified theories of gravity, or for alternative compact objects. We plan to perform a detailed examination of tests of gravity based on the GFs in future work, such as ringdown analyses with QNM filters constructed with the transmissivity (namely, the GFs) of BH remnants [103–105] that have been proven to devise stable data-analysis pipelines for current and future gravitational-wave events.

Besides its direct connection to the ringdown, the GF is also associated to the absorption cross-section of a plane wave [106–109]

$$\sigma(\omega) = \frac{\pi}{\omega^2} \sum_{l,m} (2l+1) \Gamma_{lm}(\omega). \quad (7)$$

Our results automatically imply that this quantity is also stable, in agreement with what found in [76].

Finally, at the semiclassical level, the GFs are linked to the emission rate of Hawking radiation [75]

$$\frac{d^2 N}{d\omega dt}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{l,m} \frac{\Gamma_{lm}(\omega)}{e^{\omega/T_H} \pm 1}. \quad (8)$$

The BH temperature  $T_H$  is a local quantity related to the surface gravity at the horizon [110], so it is stable under small perturbations of the system. The stability of  $\Gamma_{lm}$  then ensures that the Hawking emission rate is also a stable quantity.

**Acknowledgements.** We are indebted to Koutarou Kyutoku, Sizheng Ma, and Jaime Redondo Yuste for comments on the draft. We acknowledge partial support by the MUR PRIN Grant 2020KR4KN2 “String Theory as a bridge between Gauge Theories and Quantum Gravity” and by the MUR FARE programme (GW-NEXT, CUP: B84I20000100001).

## Appendix A: Supplemental material

In this Appendix we collect some further results that extend those presented in the main text.

### 1. Stability of the GFs

Figure 5 shows the reflectivity  $\mathcal{R}_{lm}(\omega) = 1 - \Gamma_{lm}(\omega)$  of a Schwarzschild BH for  $l = 2, 3, 4, 5$ . In all these cases we found that the GFs are stable and the transition from  $\Gamma_{lm}(\omega) \sim 0$  to  $\Gamma_{lm}(\omega) \sim 1$  occurs at the frequency of the *unperturbed* fundamental QNM frequency. In addition, as shown in Fig. 5 the behavior at large frequencies is exponential, with the same slope, for all  $l$ .

Note that, despite  $\Gamma_{lm}$  being stable, i.e.  $\Delta\Gamma_{lm}/\Gamma_{lm}^0 = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ , the fact that the reflectivity is defined as  $\mathcal{R}_{lm} = 1 - \Gamma_{lm}$  implies that  $\frac{\Delta\mathcal{R}_{lm}}{\mathcal{R}_{lm}^0} = \frac{\Gamma_{lm}^0}{1 - \Gamma_{lm}^0} \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ , so that the relative difference of the reflectivity grows in the *large-frequency* regime as  $\Gamma_{lm}^0 \rightarrow 1$  exponentially. This is simply due to




Figure 5. Reflectivity  $\mathcal{R}_{lm}(\omega) = 1 - \Gamma_{lm}(\omega)$  of a Schwarzschild BH as a function of the frequency  $\omega$  for different values of  $l = 2, 3, 4, 5$ . The GFs are stable so the difference between unperturbed and perturbed case is irrelevant if  $\epsilon \ll 1$ . In particular, the exponential fall-off starts at frequencies corresponding to the unperturbed fundamental QNM frequency and is universal for any  $l$ .

the fact that  $\mathcal{R}_{lm}$  is exponentially suppressed at large frequencies, so  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$  corrections are relatively big, while in that regime they are negligible for  $\Gamma_{lm} \sim 1$ . Indeed, as shown in Fig. 6, this only occurs when  $\mathcal{R}_{lm}$  is already exponentially suppressed, while the reflectivity is stable at smaller frequencies.




Figure 6. Reflectivity and GF for a perturbed Schwarzschild BH with  $c = 100M$  and  $\epsilon = 5 \times 10^{-4}$  compared to the unperturbed case. The two curves for  $\Gamma_{22}$  are indistinguishable.

This behavior is also reflected on the Fourier transforms of  $\mathcal{R}_{lm}(\omega)$  and  $\Gamma_{lm}(\omega)$ , which is given in Fig. 7, that compares the perturbed case with the unperturbed one. While the Fourier transform of  $\Gamma_{lm}$  is insensitive to small perturbations, that of  $\mathcal{R}_{lm}$  displays small varia-





Figure 7. Fourier transform of  $\Gamma_{22}$  (top panel) and  $\mathcal{R}_{22}$  (bottom panel). We compare the unperturbed case with the perturbed one with  $c = 100M$  and  $\epsilon = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ . While the Fourier transform of  $\Gamma_{22}$  is stable against perturbations at all times, that of  $\mathcal{R}_{22}$  acquires late-time corrections of  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$  that are reminiscent of echoes [57–59].

tions at late-time which are reminiscent of echoes [57–59]. While the latter remain of  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ , they slightly differ from the unperturbed case since the Fourier transform of  $\mathcal{R}_{lm}$  vanishes at late times.

The stability of  $\mathcal{R}_{lm}$  and  $\Gamma_{lm}$  is actually highly nontrivial. Indeed, considering the (complex) amplitudes of the incident and reflected wave,  $A_{lm\omega}^{\text{in}}$  and  $A_{lm\omega}^{\text{out}}$ , we can separately study the stability of the real and imaginary part of the ratio  $A_{lm\omega}^{\text{out}}/A_{lm\omega}^{\text{in}}$ . While  $\mathcal{R}_{lm} = |A_{lm\omega}^{\text{out}}/A_{lm\omega}^{\text{in}}|^2$  is stable at small frequencies, Fig. 8 shows that separately the real and imaginary parts are *unstable* and acquire percent corrections at low frequencies even when  $\epsilon = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ . These corrections compensate each other in the absolute value, yielding a stable reflectivity at low frequency. The same occurs for the real and imaginary parts of  $1/A_{lm\omega}^{\text{in}}$ , which are separately unstable at small frequencies but their absolute value (yielding the GF) remains stable. The latter result is in agreement with

the analysis of [61], which showed that the bump mostly destabilizes the phase shift of the reflected waves, rather than their amplitude.




Figure 8. Real (top panel) and imaginary (middle panel) part of  $A_{22\omega}^{\text{out}}/A_{22\omega}^{\text{in}}$  for an unperturbed BH and a perturbed one with  $c = 100M$  and  $\epsilon = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ . The bottom panel shows the difference between the perturbed and unperturbed case for the absolute value of real and imaginary parts of the previous ratio. This highlights that these quantities are unstable at small frequencies, however their individual instabilities compensate each other such that the absolute value  $|A_{22\omega}^{\text{out}}/A_{22\omega}^{\text{in}}|$  remains stable at low frequencies.

## 2. Ringdown reconstruction and QNM spectral instability

In the main text we showed how the time-domain signal at intermediate times (which is stable against small perturbations of the system) can be reconstructed by a superposition of either unperturbed or perturbed QNMs. This is highly nontrivial given that the QNMs are spectrally unstable, as shown in Fig. 9 for the specific example of Fig. 4, namely  $c = 15M$  and  $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$ . As can be seen from the top panel of Fig. 9, the fundamental ( $n = 0$ ) QNM is approximately stable for this choice of  $c$  and  $\epsilon$ ,




Figure 9. Comparison of perturbed and unperturbed Schwarzschild QNMs  $\omega_{lmn}$  (top panel) and their corresponding excitation factors appearing in Eq. (6) (bottom panel) and used in the QNM decomposition of the time-domain signal shown in Fig. 4. The perturbed case corresponds the effective axial potential in Eq. (4) with  $c = 15M$  and  $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$ .

whereas the overtones ( $n = 1, 2$ ) are unstable: when the effective potential is perturbed they acquire  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  corrections. This is also reflected in the QNM excitation factors needed to reconstruct the time-domain signal. As shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 9, the excitation factors for  $n = 1, 2$  in the perturbed case are significantly different than those in the unperturbed case, even for  $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$ . It is therefore remarkable that, in both cases, the time-domain signal is recovered by the QNM decomposition (see Eq. (6)).

## 3. GFs and ringdown spectral amplitudes

In Fig. 10 we show a collection of results for the spectral amplitude  $|h_{22}|$  and  $|h_{33}|$  for a point-particle with different values of  $E$  and  $L$ , showing the universality of the behavior  $|h_{lm}| \sim \sqrt{1 - \Gamma_{lm}}$  at large frequencies. The




Figure 10. The spectral amplitudes for  $l = 2, 3$  modes emitted by a point particle with mass  $\mu$  and geodesic parameters  $E$  and  $L$  (see legends in each panels). Left panels refer to  $|h_{22}|$  while right panels refer to  $|h_{33}|$ . For comparison, we also show a single-parameter model  $\propto \sqrt{1 - \Gamma_{lm}}/\omega^p$  for different values of  $p$ .

spectral amplitude is computed as

$$h_{lm}(\omega) = H_{lm}(\omega) {}_{-2}Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi), \quad (\text{A1})$$

where  ${}_{-2}Y_{lm}$  are the spin-weighted spherical harmonics (here and in the main text we assume  $\theta = \pi/2$  and  $m = 0$  without loss of generality), and the amplitude is com-

puted through the Green's function as

$$H_{lm}(\omega) = \frac{1}{16\omega A_{lm\omega}^{\text{in}}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dr_* X_{lm\omega}^{\text{hom}} S_{lm\omega}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

where  $X_{lm\omega}^{\text{hom}}$  is the solution of the homogeneous equation associated with Eq. (1) with ingoing boundary conditions






Figure 11. Relative difference of the total emitted energy in  $l = 2$  modes by a point particle plunging into a Schwarzschild BH with  $E = 1$  and  $L = 0$  as a function of the bump location  $c$  for  $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$  and  $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$ . Different values of  $E$  and  $L$  show the same stability behavior.

at the horizon. The source term can be found in [111] and, for  $l = m$  modes, it excites the even-parity sector.

The two polarizations of the time domain signal read [111]

$$h_+ + ih_\times = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{lm} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega e^{i\omega(r_* - t)} H_{lm}(\omega) {}_{-2}Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi). \quad (\text{A3})$$

Numerical computations have been performed using the methods outlined in [99] in order to improve the convergence of the integral in Eq. (A2).

In Fig. 10, we also compare the spectral amplitude with a model  $\propto \sqrt{1 - \Gamma_{lm}}/\omega^p$  for different values of  $p$ . It is remarkable that the spectral amplitude is very well modelled for *any frequency by a single parameter* function where the value of  $p$  depends on  $E$ ,  $L$ , and  $l$ . It would be intriguing if a similar universality occurs also beyond the point-particle regime [79]. We expect that, in general,  $|h_{lm}(\omega)| \propto \sqrt{1 - \Gamma_{lm}} f(\omega)$ , with  $f(\omega)$  interpolating between unity at large frequency and a source-dependent (but possibly easy to model) behavior at low frequency. We postpone a more detailed analysis on this aspect to future work.

Finally, in Fig. 11 we show the stability of the total energy for a given  $(l, m)$  mode,

$$E_{lm} = \int_0^{+\infty} d\omega \frac{dE_{lm}}{d\omega} = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{+\infty} d\omega \omega^2 |H_{lm\omega}|^2. \quad (\text{A4})$$

Note that, at least at large frequency, also the energy flux displays a universal behavior depending only on the GF,  $dE_{lm}/d\omega \sim 1 - \Gamma_{lm}$ , since this quantity is proportional to  $|h_{lm}|^2$ .

- 
- [1] O. Dreyer, B. J. Kelly, B. Krishnan, L. S. Finn, D. Garrison, and R. Lopez-Aleman, Black hole spectroscopy: Testing general relativity through gravitational wave observations, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21**, 787 (2004), arXiv:gr-qc/0309007.
  - [2] S. L. Detweiler, Black holes and gravitational waves. III. the resonant frequencies of rotating holes, *Astrophys. J.* **239**, 292 (1980).
  - [3] E. Berti, V. Cardoso, and C. M. Will, On gravitational-wave spectroscopy of massive black holes with the space interferometer LISA, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 064030 (2006), arXiv:gr-qc/0512160.
  - [4] S. Gossan, J. Veitch, and B. S. Sathyaprakash, Bayesian model selection for testing the no-hair theorem with black hole ringdowns, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 124056 (2012), arXiv:1111.5819 [gr-qc].
  - [5] R. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, VIRGO, KAGRA), Tests of General Relativity with GWTC-3, (2021), arXiv:2112.06861 [gr-qc].
  - [6] E. Berti *et al.*, Testing General Relativity with Present and Future Astrophysical Observations, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **32**, 243001 (2015), arXiv:1501.07274 [gr-qc].
  - [7] E. Berti, K. Yagi, H. Yang, and N. Yunes, Extreme Gravity Tests with Gravitational Waves from Compact Binary Coalescences: (II) Ringdown, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **50**, 49 (2018), arXiv:1801.03587 [gr-qc].
  - [8] V. Cardoso and P. Pani, Testing the nature of dark compact objects: a status report, *Living Rev. Rel.* **22**, 4 (2019), arXiv:1904.05363 [gr-qc].
  - [9] C. V. Vishveshwara, Scattering of Gravitational Radiation by a Schwarzschild Black-hole, *Nature* **227**, 936 (1970).
  - [10] K. D. Kokkotas and B. G. Schmidt, Quasinormal modes of stars and black holes, *Living Rev. Rel.* **2**, 2 (1999), arXiv:gr-qc/9909058.
  - [11] E. Berti, V. Cardoso, and A. O. Starinets, Quasinormal modes of black holes and black branes, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26**, 163001 (2009), arXiv:0905.2975 [gr-qc].
  - [12] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, Quasinormal modes of black holes: From astrophysics to string theory, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 793 (2011), arXiv:1102.4014 [gr-qc].
  - [13] E. W. Leaver, Spectral decomposition of the perturbation response of the Schwarzschild geometry, *Phys. Rev. D* **34**, 384 (1986).
  - [14] M. Isi, M. Giesler, W. M. Farr, M. A. Scheel, and S. A. Teukolsky, Testing the no-hair theorem with GW150914, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 111102 (2019), arXiv:1905.00869 [gr-qc].
  - [15] N. Franchini and S. H. Völkel, Testing General Relativity with Black Hole Quasi-Normal Modes, (2023),

- arXiv:2305.01696 [gr-qc].
- [16] E. Maggio, L. Buoninfante, A. Mazumdar, and P. Pani, How does a dark compact object ringdown?, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 064053 (2020), arXiv:2006.14628 [gr-qc].
- [17] E. Maggio, P. Pani, and G. Raposo, Testing the nature of dark compact objects with gravitational waves, (2021), arXiv:2105.06410 [gr-qc].
- [18] E. Maggio, Probing the Horizon of Black Holes with Gravitational Waves, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **1017**, 333 (2023), arXiv:2310.07368 [gr-qc].
- [19] E. Barausse, V. Cardoso, and P. Pani, Can environmental effects spoil precision gravitational-wave astrophysics?, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 104059 (2014), arXiv:1404.7149 [gr-qc].
- [20] V. Cardoso, K. Destounis, F. Duque, R. P. Macedo, and A. Maselli, Black holes in galaxies: Environmental impact on gravitational-wave generation and propagation, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, L061501 (2022), arXiv:2109.00005 [gr-qc].
- [21] V. Cardoso, K. Destounis, F. Duque, R. Panosso Macedo, and A. Maselli, Gravitational Waves from Extreme-Mass-Ratio Systems in Astrophysical Environments, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 241103 (2022), arXiv:2210.01133 [gr-qc].
- [22] K. Destounis, A. Kulathinal, K. D. Kokkotas, and G. O. Papadopoulos, Gravitational-wave imprints of compact and galactic-scale environments in extreme-mass-ratio binaries, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 084027 (2023), arXiv:2210.09357 [gr-qc].
- [23] V. Baibhav, M. H.-Y. Cheung, E. Berti, V. Cardoso, G. Carullo, R. Cotesta, W. Del Pozzo, and F. Duque, Agnostic black hole spectroscopy: Quasinormal mode content of numerical relativity waveforms and limits of validity of linear perturbation theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 104020 (2023), arXiv:2302.03050 [gr-qc].
- [24] R. H. Price, Nonspherical Perturbations of Relativistic Gravitational Collapse. II. Integer-Spin, Zero-Rest-Mass Fields, *Phys. Rev. D* **5**, 2439 (1972).
- [25] C. Gundlach, R. H. Price, and J. Pullin, Late time behavior of stellar collapse and explosions: 1. Linearized perturbations, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 883 (1994), arXiv:gr-qc/9307009.
- [26] L. Barack, Late time dynamics of scalar perturbations outside black holes. 2. Schwarzschild geometry, *Phys. Rev. D* **59**, 044017 (1999), arXiv:gr-qc/9811028.
- [27] M. Giesler, M. Isi, M. A. Scheel, and S. Teukolsky, Black Hole Ringdown: The Importance of Overtones, *Phys. Rev. X* **9**, 041060 (2019), arXiv:1903.08284 [gr-qc].
- [28] R. Cotesta, G. Carullo, E. Berti, and V. Cardoso, Analysis of Ringdown Overtones in GW150914, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 111102 (2022), arXiv:2201.00822 [gr-qc].
- [29] M. Isi and W. M. Farr, Revisiting the ringdown of GW150914, (2022), arXiv:2202.02941 [gr-qc].
- [30] G. Carullo, R. Cotesta, E. Berti, and V. Cardoso, Reply to Comment on "Analysis of Ringdown Overtones in GW150914", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 169002 (2023), arXiv:2310.20625 [gr-qc].
- [31] M. Isi and W. M. Farr, Comment on "Analysis of Ringdown Overtones in GW150914", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 169001 (2023), arXiv:2310.13869 [astro-ph.HE].
- [32] R. J. Gleiser, C. O. Nicasio, R. H. Price, and J. Pullin, Second order perturbations of a Schwarzschild black hole, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **13**, L117 (1996), arXiv:gr-qc/9510049.
- [33] R. J. Gleiser, C. O. Nicasio, R. H. Price, and J. Pullin, Gravitational radiation from Schwarzschild black holes: The Second order perturbation formalism, *Phys. Rept.* **325**, 41 (2000), arXiv:gr-qc/9807077.
- [34] K. Ioka and H. Nakano, Second and higher-order quasinormal modes in binary black hole mergers, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 061503 (2007), arXiv:0704.3467 [astro-ph].
- [35] H. Nakano and K. Ioka, Second Order Quasi-Normal Mode of the Schwarzschild Black Hole, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 084007 (2007), arXiv:0708.0450 [gr-qc].
- [36] D. Brizuela, J. M. Martin-Garcia, and M. Tiglio, A Complete gauge-invariant formalism for arbitrary second-order perturbations of a Schwarzschild black hole, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 024021 (2009), arXiv:0903.1134 [gr-qc].
- [37] E. Pazos, D. Brizuela, J. M. Martin-Garcia, and M. Tiglio, Mode coupling of Schwarzschild perturbations: Ringdown frequencies, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 104028 (2010), arXiv:1009.4665 [gr-qc].
- [38] J. L. Ripley, N. Loutrel, E. Giorgi, and F. Pretorius, Numerical computation of second order vacuum perturbations of Kerr black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 104018 (2021), arXiv:2010.00162 [gr-qc].
- [39] N. Loutrel, J. L. Ripley, E. Giorgi, and F. Pretorius, Second Order Perturbations of Kerr Black Holes: Reconstruction of the Metric, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 104017 (2021), arXiv:2008.11770 [gr-qc].
- [40] L. Sberna, P. Bosch, W. E. East, S. R. Green, and L. Lehner, Nonlinear effects in the black hole ringdown: Absorption-induced mode excitation, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 064046 (2022), arXiv:2112.11168 [gr-qc].
- [41] M. H.-Y. Cheung *et al.*, Nonlinear Effects in Black Hole Ringdown, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 081401 (2023), arXiv:2208.07374 [gr-qc].
- [42] K. Mitman *et al.*, Nonlinearities in Black Hole Ringdowns, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 081402 (2023), arXiv:2208.07380 [gr-qc].
- [43] A. Kehagias, D. Perrone, A. Riotto, and F. Riva, Explaining nonlinearities in black hole ringdowns from symmetries, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, L021501 (2023), arXiv:2301.09345 [gr-qc].
- [44] D. Perrone, T. Barreira, A. Kehagias, and A. Riotto, Non-linear black hole ringdowns: An analytical approach, *Nucl. Phys. B* **999**, 116432 (2024), arXiv:2308.15886 [gr-qc].
- [45] M. H.-Y. Cheung, E. Berti, V. Baibhav, and R. Cotesta, Extracting linear and nonlinear quasinormal modes from black hole merger simulations, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 044069 (2024), arXiv:2310.04489 [gr-qc].
- [46] J. Redondo-Yuste, D. Pereñiguez, and V. Cardoso, Ringdown of a dynamical spacetime, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 044048 (2024), arXiv:2312.04633 [gr-qc].
- [47] J. Redondo-Yuste, G. Carullo, J. L. Ripley, E. Berti, and V. Cardoso, Spin dependence of black hole ringdown nonlinearities, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, L101503 (2024), arXiv:2308.14796 [gr-qc].
- [48] S. Yi, A. Kuntz, E. Barausse, E. Berti, M. H.-Y. Cheung, K. Kritos, and A. Maselli, Nonlinear quasinormal mode detectability with next-generation gravitational wave detectors, (2024), arXiv:2403.09767 [gr-qc].
- [49] H. Zhu *et al.*, Imprints of Changing Mass and Spin on Black Hole Ringdown, (2024), arXiv:2404.12424 [gr-qc].
- [50] H. Zhu *et al.*, Nonlinear effects in black hole ringdown from scattering experiments: Spin and initial data de-

- pendence of quadratic mode coupling, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 104050 (2024), [arXiv:2401.00805 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [51] H.-P. Nollert, About the significance of quasinormal modes of black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **53**, 4397 (1996), [arXiv:gr-qc/9602032](#).
- [52] R. G. Daghigh, M. D. Green, and J. C. Morey, Significance of Black Hole Quasinormal Modes: A Closer Look, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 104009 (2020), [arXiv:2002.07251 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [53] J. L. Jaramillo, R. Panosso Macedo, and L. Al Sheikh, Pseudospectrum and Black Hole Quasinormal Mode Instability, *Phys. Rev. X* **11**, 031003 (2021), [arXiv:2004.06434 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [54] E. Barausse, V. Cardoso, and P. Pani, Environmental Effects for Gravitational-wave Astrophysics, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **610**, 012044 (2015), [arXiv:1404.7140 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [55] M. H.-Y. Cheung, K. Destounis, R. P. Macedo, E. Berti, and V. Cardoso, Destabilizing the Fundamental Mode of Black Holes: The Elephant and the Flea, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 111103 (2022), [arXiv:2111.05415 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [56] E. Berti, V. Cardoso, M. H.-Y. Cheung, F. Di Filippo, F. Duque, P. Martens, and S. Mukohyama, Stability of the fundamental quasinormal mode in time-domain observations against small perturbations, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 084011 (2022), [arXiv:2205.08547 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [57] V. Cardoso, E. Franzin, and P. Pani, Is the gravitational-wave ringdown a probe of the event horizon?, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 171101 (2016), [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 117, 089902 (2016)], [arXiv:1602.07309 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [58] V. Cardoso, S. Hopper, C. F. B. Macedo, C. Palenzuela, and P. Pani, Gravitational-wave signatures of exotic compact objects and of quantum corrections at the horizon scale, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 084031 (2016), [arXiv:1608.08637 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [59] V. Cardoso and P. Pani, Tests for the existence of black holes through gravitational wave echoes, *Nature Astron.* **1**, 586 (2017), [arXiv:1709.01525 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [60] J. Abedi, N. Afshordi, N. Oshita, and Q. Wang, Quantum Black Holes in the Sky, *Universe* **6**, 43 (2020), [arXiv:2001.09553 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [61] K. Kyutoku, H. Motohashi, and T. Tanaka, Quasinormal modes of Schwarzschild black holes on the real axis, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 044012 (2023), [arXiv:2206.00671 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [62] K. Destounis, R. P. Macedo, E. Berti, V. Cardoso, and J. L. Jaramillo, Pseudospectrum of Reissner-Nordström black holes: Quasinormal mode instability and universality, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 084091 (2021), [arXiv:2107.09673 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [63] E. Gasperin and J. L. Jaramillo, Energy scales and black hole pseudospectra: the structural role of the scalar product, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **39**, 115010 (2022), [arXiv:2107.12865 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [64] V. Boyanov, K. Destounis, R. Panosso Macedo, V. Cardoso, and J. L. Jaramillo, Pseudospectrum of horizonless compact objects: A bootstrap instability mechanism, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 064012 (2023), [arXiv:2209.12950 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [65] J. L. Jaramillo, Pseudospectrum and binary black hole merger transients, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **39**, 217002 (2022), [arXiv:2206.08025 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [66] S. Sarkar, M. Rahman, and S. Chakraborty, Perturbing the perturbed: Stability of quasinormal modes in presence of a positive cosmological constant, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 104002 (2023), [arXiv:2304.06829 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [67] K. Destounis, V. Boyanov, and R. Panosso Macedo, Pseudospectrum of de Sitter black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 044023 (2024), [arXiv:2312.11630 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [68] D. Areán, D. G. Fariña, and K. Landsteiner, Pseudospectra of holographic quasinormal modes, *JHEP* **12**, 187, [arXiv:2307.08751 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [69] B. Cownden, C. Pantelidou, and M. Zilhão, The pseudospectra of black holes in AdS, (2023), [arXiv:2312.08352 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [70] K. Destounis and F. Duque, Black-hole spectroscopy: quasinormal modes, ringdown stability and the pseudospectrum (2023) [arXiv:2308.16227 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [71] A. Courty, K. Destounis, and P. Pani, Spectral instability of quasinormal modes and strong cosmic censorship, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 104027 (2023), [arXiv:2307.11155 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [72] V. Boyanov, V. Cardoso, K. Destounis, J. L. Jaramillo, and R. Panosso Macedo, Structural aspects of the anti-de Sitter black hole pseudospectrum, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 064068 (2024), [arXiv:2312.11998 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [73] L.-M. Cao, J.-N. Chen, L.-B. Wu, L. Xie, and Y.-S. Zhou, The pseudospectrum and spectrum (in)stability of quantum corrected black hole, (2024), [arXiv:2401.09907 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [74] V. Cardoso, S. Kasta, and R. Panosso Macedo, On the physical significance of black hole quasinormal mode spectra instability, (2024), [arXiv:2404.01374 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [75] S. W. Hawking, Particle Creation by Black Holes, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43**, 199 (1975), [Erratum: *Commun.Math.Phys.* 46, 206 (1976)].
- [76] T. Torres, From Black Hole Spectral Instability to Stable Observables, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 111401 (2023), [arXiv:2304.10252 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [77] N. Oshita, Thermal ringdown of a Kerr black hole: overtone excitation, Fermi-Dirac statistics and greybody factor, *JCAP* **04**, 013, [arXiv:2208.02923 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [78] N. Oshita, Greybody Factors Imprinted on Black Hole Ringdowns: an alternative to superposed quasi-normal modes, (2023), [arXiv:2309.05725 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [79] K. Okabayashi and N. Oshita, Greybody Factors Imprinted on Black Hole Ringdowns. II. Merging Binary Black Holes, (2024), [arXiv:2403.17487 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [80] T. Regge and J. A. Wheeler, Stability of a Schwarzschild singularity, *Phys. Rev.* **108**, 1063 (1957).
- [81] F. J. Zerilli, Effective potential for even parity Regge-Wheeler gravitational perturbation equations, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **24**, 737 (1970).
- [82] S. A. Teukolsky, Perturbations of a rotating black hole. 1. Fundamental equations for gravitational electromagnetic and neutrino field perturbations, *Astrophys. J.* **185**, 635 (1973).
- [83] W. H. Press and S. A. Teukolsky, Perturbations of a Rotating Black Hole. II. Dynamical Stability of the Kerr Metric, *Astrophys. J.* **185**, 649 (1973).
- [84] M. Sasaki and T. Nakamura, The regge-wheeler equation with sources for both even and odd parity perturbations of the schwarzschild geometry, *Physics Letters A* **87**, 85 (1981).
- [85] R. Brito, V. Cardoso, and P. Pani, Superradiance: New Frontiers in Black Hole Physics, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **906**, pp.1 (2015), [arXiv:1501.06570 \[gr-qc\]](#).

- [86] I. Bena, E. J. Martinec, S. D. Mathur, and N. P. Warner, Fuzzballs and Microstate Geometries: Black-Hole Structure in String Theory, (2022), [arXiv:2204.13113 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [87] P. Pani, Advanced Methods in Black-Hole Perturbation Theory, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **28**, 1340018 (2013), [arXiv:1305.6759 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [88] E. W. Leaver, Quasinormal modes of reissner-nordström black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **41**, 2986 (1990).
- [89] M. Ould El Hadj, T. Stratton, and S. R. Dolan, Scattering from compact objects: Regge poles and the complex angular momentum method, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 104035 (2020).
- [90] G. Bonelli, C. Iossa, D. P. Lichtig, and A. Tanzini, Exact solution of Kerr black hole perturbations via CFT2 and instanton counting: Greybody factor, quasinormal modes, and Love numbers, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 044047 (2022), [arXiv:2105.04483 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [91] V. Cardoso, L. C. B. Crispino, C. F. B. Macedo, H. Okawa, and P. Pani, Light rings as observational evidence for event horizons: long-lived modes, ergoregions and nonlinear instabilities of ultracompact objects, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 044069 (2014), [arXiv:1406.5510 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [92] E. Berti, V. Cardoso, and P. Pani, Breit-Wigner resonances and the quasinormal modes of anti-de Sitter black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 101501 (2009), [arXiv:0903.5311 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [93] G. Mascher, K. Destounis, and K. D. Kokkotas, Charged black holes in de Sitter space: Superradiant amplification of charged scalar waves and resonant hyperradiation, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 084052 (2022), [arXiv:2204.05335 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [94] K. Martel and E. Poisson, Gravitational perturbations of the Schwarzschild spacetime: A Practical covariant and gauge-invariant formalism, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 104003 (2005), [arXiv:gr-qc/0502028](#).
- [95] N. Andersson, Excitation of Schwarzschild black hole quasinormal modes, *Phys. Rev. D* **51**, 353 (1995).
- [96] K. Glampedakis and N. Andersson, Quick and dirty methods for studying black hole resonances, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20**, 3441 (2003), [arXiv:gr-qc/0304030](#).
- [97] E. Berti and V. Cardoso, Quasinormal ringing of Kerr black holes. I. The Excitation factors, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 104020 (2006), [arXiv:gr-qc/0605118](#).
- [98] Z. Zhang, E. Berti, and V. Cardoso, Quasinormal ringing of Kerr black holes. II. Excitation by particles falling radially with arbitrary energy, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 044018 (2013), [arXiv:1305.4306 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [99] H. O. Silva, G. Tambalo, K. Glampedakis, K. Yagi, and J. Steinhoff, Quasinormal modes and their excitation beyond general relativity, (2024), [arXiv:2404.11110 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [100] V. Cardoso, M. Kimura, A. Maselli, and L. Senatore, Black Holes in an Effective Field Theory Extension of General Relativity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 251105 (2018), [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 131, 109903 (2023)], [arXiv:1808.08962 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [101] P. A. Cano, K. Fransen, T. Hertog, and S. Maenaut, Quasinormal modes of rotating black holes in higher-derivative gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 124032 (2023), [arXiv:2307.07431 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [102] N. G. Sanchez, Scattering of scalar waves from a Schwarzschild black hole, *J. Math. Phys.* **17**, 688 (1976).
- [103] S. Ma, K. Mitman, L. Sun, N. Deppe, F. Hébert, L. E. Kidder, J. Moxon, W. Throwe, N. L. Vu, and Y. Chen, Quasinormal-mode filters: A new approach to analyze the gravitational-wave ringdown of binary black-hole mergers, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 084036 (2022), [arXiv:2207.10870 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [104] S. Ma, L. Sun, and Y. Chen, Using rational filters to uncover the first ringdown overtone in GW150914, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 084010 (2023), [arXiv:2301.06639 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [105] S. Ma, L. Sun, and Y. Chen, Black Hole Spectroscopy by Mode Cleaning, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 141401 (2023), [arXiv:2301.06705 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [106] B. Mashhoon, Scattering of Electromagnetic Radiation from a Black Hole, *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 2807 (1973).
- [107] R. Fabbri, Scattering and absorption of electromagnetic waves by a Schwarzschild black hole, *Phys. Rev. D* **12**, 933 (1975).
- [108] N. G. Sanchez, Absorption and Emission Spectra of a Schwarzschild Black Hole, *Phys. Rev. D* **18**, 1030 (1978).
- [109] N. Andersson, Scattering of massless scalar waves by a Schwarzschild black hole: A Phase integral study, *Phys. Rev. D* **52**, 1808 (1995).
- [110] S. W. Hawking, Black Holes and Thermodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* **13**, 191 (1976).
- [111] K. I. Oohara and T. Nakamura, Gravitational Waves from a Particle Scattered by a Schwarzschild Black Hole, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **71**, 91 (1984).