

STABILITY OF THE PARABOLIC PICARD SHEAF

C. ARUSHA AND INDRANIL BISWAS

ABSTRACT. Let X be a smooth irreducible complex projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$, and let $D = x_1 + \cdots + x_r$ be a reduced effective divisor on X . Denote by $U_\alpha(L)$ the moduli space of stable parabolic vector bundles on X of rank n , determinant L of degree d with flag type $\{\{k_j^i\}_{j=1}^{m_i}\}_{i=1}^r$. Assume that the greatest common divisor of the collection of integers $\{\text{degree}(L), \{\{k_j^i\}_{j=1}^{m_i}\}_{i=1}^r\}$ is 1; this condition ensures that there is a Poincaré parabolic vector bundle on $X \times U_\alpha(L)$. The direct image, to $U_\alpha(L)$, of the vector bundle underlying the Poincaré parabolic vector bundle is called the parabolic Picard sheaf. We prove that the parabolic Picard sheaf is stable.

1. INTRODUCTION

Picard sheaves were introduced in the projectivised form in the most general setting by A. Mattuck [11]. For a smooth irreducible projective curve X of genus $g \geq 2$, they were studied in [13] and [10] for the Jacobian of X . The study of stability of Picard sheaves on the moduli spaces of vector bundles on X has been carried out in [5] and [8]. The work [7] generalizes the definition of a Picard sheaf to include all twists of it by a vector bundle and also studies their stability property.

Although the existence of Picard sheaves require the degree and rank to be relatively prime, there is a projective Picard bundle in the non co-prime case, and it is stable [6]. The study of Picard and projective Picard bundles on the moduli space of vector bundles on an irreducible nodal curve are carried out in [3], [2] and [1]. For a complete survey on the existing literature we refer the reader to [7] and the references therein.

In this short note, we fix a reduced effective divisor $D = x_1 + \cdots + x_r$ on X and prove that the Picard sheaf on the moduli space of parabolic bundles on X with a fixed parabolic datum is stable; see Theorem 3.4.

2. PARABOLIC PICARD SHEAF

Let X be a smooth irreducible complex projective curve of genus g , with $g \geq 2$. Fix an integer $n \geq 2$ and a line bundle L over X of degree d . Also, fix r distinct points $D = \{x_1, \cdots, x_r\} \subset X$, and denote also by D the divisor $x_1 + \cdots + x_r$. Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle on X of rank n .

A quasi-parabolic structure over E over D is a strictly decreasing filtration of linear subspaces

$$E_{x_i} = F_1^i \supset F_2^i \supset \cdots \supset F_{m_i}^i \supset F_{m_i+1}^i = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14F05, 14H60.

Key words and phrases. Stability, Picard bundle, parabolic bundle.

for every $x_i \in D$. Let

$$k_j^i := \dim F_j^i - \dim F_{j+1}^i. \quad (2.2)$$

The integer m_i in (2.1) and the sequence $(k_1^i, \dots, k_{m_i}^i)$ in (2.2) are respectively called the length and the type of the flag at x_i . A parabolic structure on E over the divisor D is a quasi-parabolic structure as above together with a sequence of real numbers

$$0 \leq \alpha_1^i < \dots < \alpha_{m_i}^i < 1, \quad (2.3)$$

$1 \leq i \leq r$, called the weight system of the flag at x_i . The parabolic degree of E is defined as

$$\text{par deg } E := \text{deg } E + \sum_{x_i \in D} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} k_j^i \alpha_j^i \in \mathbb{R}$$

(see (2.2), (2.3)).

With the notation same as above, any subbundle $V \subset E$ has an induced parabolic structure with the quasiparabolic filtration at x_i given by

$$V_{x_i} = F_1^i \cap V_{x_i} \supset F_2^i \cap V_{x_i} \supset \dots \supset F_{\ell_i}^i \cap V_{x_i} \supset 0$$

where $\ell_i := \max\{j \in \{1, \dots, m_i\} \mid F_j^i \cap V_{x_i} \neq 0\}$ and the induced parabolic weight of F_j^i is $\max\{\alpha_\ell^i \mid F_j^i \cap V_{x_i} = F_\ell^i \cap V_{x_i}\}$.

A parabolic vector bundle E with parabolic structure over D is called stable (respectively, semistable) if for every proper non-trivial subbundle $F \subsetneq E$ endowed with the induced parabolic structure, the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{\text{par deg } F}{\text{rk } F} < \frac{\text{par deg } E}{\text{rk } E} \quad (\text{respectively, } \frac{\text{par deg } F}{\text{rk } F} \leq \frac{\text{par deg } E}{\text{rk } E}).$$

Denote by

$$U_X(d, n, \{\alpha_j^i\}, \{k_j^i\}) \quad (2.4)$$

the coarse moduli space of semistable parabolic vector bundles of rank n , degree d with flag type $\{k_j^i\}$ and parabolic weights $\{\alpha_j^i\}$ at x_i , $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then $U_X(d, n, \{\alpha_j^i\}, \{k_j^i\})$ is a normal projective variety, and the open subvariety

$$U_X^s(d, n, \{\alpha_j^i\}, \{k_j^i\}) \subset U_X(d, n, \{\alpha_j^i\}, \{k_j^i\})$$

(see (2.4)) parametrizing the stable parabolic bundles is smooth [12]. If the elements of the set $\{d, k_j^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq m_i\}$ have greatest common divisor equal to one, then

$$U_\alpha^s := U_X^s(d, n, \{\alpha_j^i\}, \{k_j^i\}) \quad (2.5)$$

is a fine moduli space. In other words, there exists a family of parabolic vector bundles

$$\mathcal{U}_*^\alpha := (\mathcal{U}, \phi, \alpha) \quad (2.6)$$

parametrized by U_α^s such that for each $e = [E_*] \in U_\alpha^s$, we have $\mathcal{U}_{*,e}^\alpha$ to be a stable parabolic bundle isomorphic to E_* [9, Proposition 3.2]. In such a situation, the notions of stability and semistability coincide and moreover the moduli space

$$U_\alpha := U_X(d, n, \{\alpha_j^i\}, \{k_j^i\}) = U_X^s(d, n, \{\alpha_j^i\}, \{k_j^i\}) =: U_\alpha^s \quad (2.7)$$

is a smooth irreducible projective variety.

Assumptions 2.1.

- (1) Henceforth we would assume that the collection of integers $\{d, k_j^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq m_i\}$ have greatest common divisor equal to one.
- (2) We assume that the parabolic structure is non-trivial. This means that for some $1 \leq i \leq r$, we have $m_i \geq 2$.

Remark 2.2. *Families of parabolic vector bundles:* For a scheme T , let

$$\pi_1 : X \times T \longrightarrow X \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_2 : X \times T \longrightarrow T \quad (2.8)$$

denote the natural projections. For every $1 \leq i \leq r$, let \mathcal{F}_{k_i} denote the variety of flags of type $k_i = (k_1^i, \dots, k_{m_i}^i)$, where $\sum_{j=1}^{m_i} k_j^i = n$. Now, for a rank n vector bundle $E \rightarrow T$, denote by

$$\mathcal{F}_{k_i}(E) \longrightarrow T$$

the bundle of flags of type k_i . Fix multiplicities k_i for each $x_i \in D$. A family of quasi-parabolic bundles (of type $k = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$) parametrized by a scheme T is a pair (V, ϕ) where V is a vector bundle $V \rightarrow X \times T$ and ϕ is a collection of sections

$$\{\phi_{x_i} : T \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{k_i}(V|_{x_i \times T})\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}.$$

A family of parabolic bundles is then a triple

$$V_* = (V, \phi, \alpha),$$

where α associates weights $\{\alpha_j^i\}$ to the flag of subbundles over $x_i \times S$ for each $x_i \in D$. Let (V, ϕ) and (V', ϕ') be families parametrized by T . We say (V, ϕ) is equivalent to (V', ϕ') if there exists a line bundle N over T and an isomorphism

$$V \cong V' \otimes \pi_2^* N,$$

where π_2 is the projection in (2.8), that sends ϕ to ϕ' .

Remark 2.3. There is a determinant morphism

$$\det : U_\alpha \longrightarrow J^d(X)$$

(see (2.7)), where $J^d(X)$ denotes the component of the Picard group of X consisting of line bundles of degree d ; this map sends a parabolic vector bundle to the top exterior product of the underlying vector bundle. For $L \in J^d(X)$, let

$$U_\alpha(L) := \det^{-1}(L) \quad (2.9)$$

denote the fiber of the map \det over L , and let

$$\mathcal{U}_L := \mathcal{U}_*^\alpha|_{U_\alpha(L)} \quad (2.10)$$

be the restriction of \mathcal{U}_*^α (see (2.6)) to $X \times U_\alpha(L)$ (see (2.9)).

As before, let $\pi_2 : X \times U_\alpha(L) \rightarrow U_\alpha(L)$ be the natural projection. The direct image sheaf

$$\mathcal{W}_L := \pi_{2*} \mathcal{U}_L \quad (2.11)$$

on $U_\alpha(L)$ is called the *parabolic Picard sheaf*.

3. STABILITY

3.1. Determinant bundle on $U_\alpha(L)$. We fix a closed point $x \in X$ and rational parabolic weights $0 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_n < 1$. Let $\mathcal{V}_*^\alpha = (\mathcal{V}, \phi, \alpha)$ be a family of rank n stable parabolic bundles on X parametrized by a variety T with ϕ determining the full flag of subbundles

$$\mathcal{V}|_{x \times T} = \mathcal{F}_{1,x} \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{F}_{n,x} \supset \mathcal{F}_{n+1,x} = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

on $x \times T$ and let $\Phi : T \rightarrow U_\alpha(L)$ (see (2.9)) be the morphism induced by it. Define

$$\mathcal{Q}_j := \frac{\mathcal{F}_{j,x}}{\mathcal{F}_{j+1,x}} \quad (3.2)$$

(see (3.1)). Fix an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\beta_i = k\alpha_i$ is an integer for every i . Let $d_i := \beta_{i+1} - \beta_i$, $1 \leq i < n$ and $d_n := k - \beta_r$. Define the line bundle

$$\mathcal{L}_T := (\det R\pi_{2*}\mathcal{V})^k \otimes \det(\mathcal{V}_x)^\ell \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_j^{d_j} \quad (3.3)$$

(see (3.2)), where π_2 is the projection in (2.8) and $\det R\pi_{2*}\mathcal{V}$ is the determinant line bundle defined as

$$\{\det R\pi_{2*}\mathcal{V}\}_t := \{\det H^0(X, \mathcal{V}_t)\}^{-1} \otimes \{\det H^1(X, \mathcal{V}_t)\}$$

for $t \in T$; the integer $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ satisfies the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F}_{1,x}) - \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F}_{i,x})) + n\ell = k(d + n(1 - g))$$

[14, p. 7, Eqn. (*)]. Since we are considering full flags, the above equation reduces to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(n - i) + n\ell = k(d + n(1 - g)).$$

It follows from [14, Theorem 1.2] that there exists an ample line bundle $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ over $U_\alpha(L)$ in (2.9) (which is unique up to algebraic equivalence) such that $\Phi^*\widehat{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L}_T$.

3.2. Degree of a torsion-free sheaf on $U_\alpha(L)$. Recall that, for a smooth projective variety Y of dimension m and an ample line bundle N over it, the degree of a torsion-free sheaf \mathcal{F} with respect to N is defined as

$$\mathrm{deg} \mathcal{F} := c_1(\mathcal{F}) \cdot c_1(N)^{m-1}[Y].$$

For $Y = U_\alpha(L)$ (see (2.9)), we take $N = \widehat{\mathcal{L}}$; for $Y = \mathbb{P}^n$, we take $N = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$. With this notion of degree, we discuss the slope-stability of the Picard sheaf on the moduli space.

3.3. Hecke transformations and (ℓ, m) -stability of parabolic bundles. A parabolic vector bundle E_* is called (ℓ, m) -stable if for every proper subbundle F of E_* , the inequality

$$\frac{\text{par deg } F_* + \ell}{\text{rk } F} < \frac{\text{par deg } E_* + \ell - m}{\text{rk } E}$$

holds. It is straightforward to check that for $\ell, m \geq 0$, an (ℓ, m) -stable parabolic bundle is $(0, 0)$ stable; i.e., stable (in the usual sense).

Let $y \in X$ be a closed point with $y \notin D$. Let F_* denote the kernel of the following elementary transformation of $E_* \in U_\alpha(L)$ (see (2.9)) at y :

$$0 \longrightarrow F_* \longrightarrow E_* \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathbb{C}_y \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Here F_* has the parabolic structure induced through the inclusion map $F \hookrightarrow E$. The parabolic bundles satisfying the above exact sequence has the following properties:

- (1) If E_* is (ℓ, m) -stable, then F_* is $(\ell, m - 1)$ -stable.
- (2) If F_* is (ℓ, m) -stable, then E_* is $(\ell - 1, m)$ -stable.

Therefore, if E_* is $(0, 1)$ -stable, then F_* is stable. Moreover, the condition $\det E = L$ implies that $\det F = L(-y)$. It follows that,

$$\text{par deg } F_* = \text{par deg } E_* - 1.$$

Now, for each homomorphism $\sigma : E \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_y$ (recall that \mathbb{C}_y denotes the torsion sheaf of length 1 supported at y), we have $\ker \sigma$ to be a locally free sheaf and it has an associated parabolic structure. Let $(E_\sigma)_*$ denote this parabolic vector bundle of rank n , degree $d - 1$, determinant $L(-y)$ whose parabolic structure is induced by E via the inclusion map. Note that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $E_\sigma = E_{\lambda\sigma}$. Therefore, the set of all homomorphisms σ that gives distinct parabolic bundles is the projective space $\mathbb{P}(E_y^*)$ (the space of lines in E_y^*). In other words, fixing E and y , we obtain a family of parabolic vector bundles \mathcal{F} on X parametrized by $\mathbb{P}(E_y^*)$, which fits into the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \pi_1^*(E_*) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\{y\} \times \mathbb{P}(E_y^*)}(1) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3.5)$$

The vector bundle \mathcal{F} comes with a natural parabolic structure over the smooth divisor $D \times \mathbb{P}(E_y^*)$.

For our purposes, we take $E_* \in U_\alpha(L(y))$. From the above discussions we know that if E_* is a $(0, 1)$ -stable bundle, then F_* is stable. In other words, if E_* is a $(0, 1)$ -stable bundle, then \mathcal{F} is a family of stable parabolic vector bundles of rank n , degree d and determinant L which is parametrized by $\mathbb{P}(E_y^*)$. By the universal property of the moduli space $U_\alpha(L)$ (see (2.9)), there is a morphism

$$\Psi_{E_*, y} : \mathbb{P}(E_y^*) \longrightarrow U_\alpha(L) \quad (3.6)$$

such that

$$\mathcal{F} \cong (\text{Id}_X \times \Psi_{E_*, y})^* \mathcal{U}_L \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_y^*)}(-j) \quad (3.7)$$

(see (2.10)) for some integer j , and they are equivalent as families.

The following result ensures that we can always construct such families.

Lemma 3.1. *The $(0, 1)$ -stable bundles and $(1, 0)$ -stable bundles form a nonempty Zariski open subset of U_α^s (see (2.7)).*

Proof. See [4, Proposition 2.7]. Note the Assumption 2.1(2) ensures that Proposition 2.7 of [4] applies in our context. \square

Lemma 3.2. *The map $\Psi_{E_*, y}$ in (3.6) is injective.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $h^0(\text{Hom}(F_*, E_*)) := \dim H^0(\text{Hom}(F_*, E_*)) = 1$. Firstly, note that any homomorphism $F \xrightarrow{\sigma} E$ is an isomorphism away from y and is of maximal rank n . For otherwise, we can factorize σ as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F_* & \longrightarrow & G' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ E_* & \longleftarrow & G & \longleftarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where $G' = F/\ker \sigma$ and $G = \text{Im}(\sigma)$. Then $\text{par deg } G_* \geq \text{par deg } F_*$ and $\text{rk } G_* = \text{rk } F_*$ and we get the following inequality:

$$\frac{\text{par deg } G_*}{\text{rk } G_*} \geq \frac{\text{par deg } G'_*}{\text{rk } G'_*} > \frac{\text{par deg } F_*}{\text{rk } F_*} = \frac{\text{par deg } E_* - 1}{\text{rk } E_*},$$

which contradicts the $(0, 1)$ -stability condition of E_* .

Fix $x \neq y$. Suppose two linearly independent homomorphisms σ and τ exist. Since they are of maximal rank, we can find $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mu := a\sigma + b\tau$ induces a singular element in $\text{Hom}(F_x, E_x)$. But the only zero of the homomorphism

$$\wedge^n \mu : \det F \cong \det E \otimes \mathcal{O}(-y) \longrightarrow \det E$$

is y , which is a contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.3. As a consequence of Lemma 3.2, we have $\Psi_{E_*, y}^* \widehat{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_*)}(\beta)$ for some $\beta > 0$.

3.4. Main theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a non-singular complex projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Fix integers r, n, d such that $r \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2$. Also, fix r distinct points x_1, \dots, x_r on X and a line bundle L on X of degree d . Assume that the two conditions in Assumption 2.1 hold. Let $U_\alpha(L)$ be as in (2.9) and \mathcal{W}_L denote the parabolic Picard sheaf (see (2.11)). Then \mathcal{W}_L is stable with respect to the polarization $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$.*

We first make some observations and prove a lemma required for the proof of Theorem 3.4.

For any $y \notin D$, consider the projective bundle

$$P_y := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{U}_L|_{\{y\} \times U_\alpha(L)})$$

(see (2.10)) over $\{y\} \times U_\alpha(L)$. The elements of P_y are of the form (F_*, l) with $F_* \in U_\alpha(L)$ and $l \in \mathbb{P}(F_y)$. An element (F_*, l) of P_y also corresponds to a short exact sequence (3.4). Let

$$H_y := \{(F_*, l) \mid \text{the bundle } E_* \text{ defined in (3.4) is (0,1)-stable}\}.$$

Then there are morphisms

$$p : H_y \longrightarrow U_\alpha(L) \text{ and } q : H_y \longrightarrow U_\alpha(L(y)) \quad (3.8)$$

defined by $(F_*, l) \mapsto F_*$ and $(F_*, l) \mapsto E_*$, respectively. Let W denote the open subset (by Lemma 3.1)

$$W = \{E_* \in U_\alpha(L(y)) \mid E_* \text{ is (0,1)-stable}\}. \quad (3.9)$$

Then the image of q is W and the fiber of q over $E_* \in W$ can be identified with $\mathbb{P}(E_y^*)$. Note that the restriction of p to $\mathbb{P}(E_y^*)$ is precisely the map $\Psi_{E_*, y}$. By Lemma 3.2, $\Psi_{E_*, y}$ maps isomorphically onto its image, say

$$P(E_*, y) := \Psi_{E_*, y}(\mathbb{P}(E_y^*)). \quad (3.10)$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let \mathcal{G} be a subsheaf of \mathcal{W}_L with $0 < \text{rk } \mathcal{G} < \text{rk } \mathcal{W}_L$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $y_1, \dots, y_k \in X \setminus D$. There is a non-empty open subset V of $U_\alpha(L)$ such that for all $F_* \in V$ the following hold:*

- (1) \mathcal{G} is locally free at F_* ;
- (2) the homomorphism of fibers $\mathcal{G}_{F_*} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{W}_L)_{F_*}$ is injective;
- (3) for all y_i and a generic line l in F_{y_i} , the parabolic bundle E_* associated to (F_*, l) is (0,1)-stable and \mathcal{G} is locally free at every point of $P(E_*, y_i)$ (see (3.10)) outside a subvariety of codimension at least 2.

Proof. The first two conditions are in fact open conditions and hence define an open subset of the moduli space.

Proof of (3): Let S denote the singular set of \mathcal{G} . We show that for a fixed $y \notin D$ and general $E_* \in U_\alpha(L(y))$, either $P(E_*, y)$ is empty or $\dim P(E_*, y) \cap S \leq n - 3$. It suffices to consider the case when S is irreducible. Let $S' = p^{-1}(S)$ be the inverse image of S in H_y . The fiber of $q|_{S'}$ over E_* (see (3.8)) can be identified with $P(E_*, y) \cap S$. Now S' is either empty or an irreducible variety of dimension $\dim S + n - 1$ where $\dim U_\alpha(L) - \dim S \geq 2$. If $q(S')$ is not dense in W (see (3.9)), then for general E_* , we have $P(E_*, y) \cap S = \emptyset$. If $q(S')$ is dense in W , then the general fiber of $q|_{S'}$ has dimension, say δ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \dim S' - \dim W \\ &= \dim S + n - 1 - \dim U_\alpha(L(y)) \\ &= \dim S + n - 1 - \dim U_\alpha(L) \\ &\leq n - 3. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Let \mathcal{G} be a (saturated) subsheaf of \mathcal{W}_L such that $0 < \text{rk}(\mathcal{G}) < \text{rk}(\mathcal{W}_L)$. For $k > \mu(F_*)$, fix points $y_1, \dots, y_k \in X \setminus D$. Choose $F_* \in U_\alpha(L)$ such that F_* satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.5. Then by Lemma 3.5(2), for a fixed $0 \neq$

$v \in \mathcal{G}_{F_*}$, its image s in $(\mathcal{W}_L)_{F_*} = H^0(X, F_*)$ is non-zero. Observe that if $s(y_i) = 0$ for all i , then $s \in H^0(X, F_*(-y_1 - \cdots - y_k))$ and hence it induces a homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_*(-y_1 - \cdots - y_k)$. Since F_* is stable, we have $F_*(-y_1 - \cdots - y_k)$ is stable and hence $H^0(X, F_*(-y_1 - \cdots - y_k)) = 0$, a contradiction to our choice of s . Therefore there is an i such that $s(y_i) \neq 0$; let $y := y_i$.

Now for the chosen F_* and y , using Lemma 3.5(3), we can also choose a line $l \subset F_y$ such that $s(y) \notin l$ and \mathcal{G} is locally free on $P(E_*, y)$ except for some subvariety of codimension 2, where E_* is the vector bundle associated to (F_*, l) . We can reconstruct (F_*, l) from E_* using the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & E_*(-y) & \xlongequal{\quad} & E_*(-y) & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & F_* & \longrightarrow & E_* & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathbb{C}_y \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
0 & \longrightarrow & F_y/l & \longrightarrow & E_y & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}_y \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array} \tag{3.11}$$

Varying $\sigma \in \mathbb{P}(E_y^*)$, we obtain a family of parabolic bundles \mathcal{F} as in (3.5) that fits into the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & \pi_1^* E_*(-y) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \pi_1^* E_*(-y) & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^* E_* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\{y\} \times \mathbb{P}(E_y^*)}(1) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\{y\} \times \mathbb{P}(E_y^*)}(1) & \longrightarrow & E_y \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\{y\} \times \mathbb{P}(E_y^*)} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\{y\} \times \mathbb{P}(E_y^*)}(1) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array} \tag{3.12}$$

Taking the direct image of the above diagram by π_2 and using (3.7), we obtain the following diagram on $\mathbb{P}(E_y^*)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & H^0(E_*(-y)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_y^*)} & \xlongequal{\quad} & H^0(E_*(-y)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_y^*)} & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \Psi_{E_*,y}^* \mathcal{W}_L(-j) & \longrightarrow & H^0(E_*) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_y^*)} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\{y\} \times \mathbb{P}(E_y^*)}(1) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\mathbb{P}(E_y^*)}(1) & \longrightarrow & E_y \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_y^*)} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\{y\} \times \mathbb{P}(E_y^*)}(1) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & H^1(E_*(-y)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_y^*)} & \xlongequal{\quad} & H^1(E_*(-y)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_y^*)} & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array} \tag{3.13}$$

It is clear from the above diagram that $\Psi_{E_*,y}^* \mathcal{W}_L(-j)$ has degree -1 . Let \mathcal{G}' denote the image of $\Psi_{E_*,y}^* \mathcal{G}(-j) \rightarrow \Psi_{E_*,y}^* \mathcal{W}_L(-j)$. By the choice of F_* , we have \mathcal{G}' to be isomorphic to $\Psi_{E_*,y}^* \mathcal{G}(-j)$ away from a subvariety of codimension 2 and hence

$$\deg \Psi_{E_*,y}^* \mathcal{G}(-j) = \deg \mathcal{G}'.$$

Let K and I respectively denote the kernel and the image of the induced homomorphism $\mathcal{G}' \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}(E_y^*)}(1)$. Since l is the image of $E_*(-y)_y$ in $(F_*)_y$ (see Diagram (3.11)) and $s(y) \notin l$, we get that $s \notin H^0(E_*(-y))$. It follows that the image of s in I is non-zero and hence we have $I \neq 0$. We can conclude that $\deg I \leq -1$ as $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}(E_y^*)}(1)$ is stable and has degree -1 . We can also conclude that $\deg K \leq 0$ because K is a subsheaf of the trivial sheaf $H^0(E_*(-y)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_y^*)}$. Thus, we obtain that $\deg \mathcal{G}' \leq -1$.

As a consequence, we have

$$\frac{\deg \Psi_{E_*,y}^* \mathcal{G}(-j)}{\text{rk } \mathcal{G}} = \frac{-1}{\text{rk } \mathcal{G}} < \frac{-1}{\text{rk } \mathcal{W}_L} = \frac{\deg \Psi_{E_*,y}^* \mathcal{W}_L(-j)}{\text{rk } \mathcal{W}_L}.$$

Now using Remark 3.3, we can conclude that

$$\frac{\deg \mathcal{G}}{\text{rk } \mathcal{G}} = \frac{\deg \Psi_{E_*,y}^* \mathcal{G}}{\text{rk } \mathcal{G}} < \frac{\deg \Psi_{E_*,y}^* \mathcal{W}_L}{\text{rk } \mathcal{W}_L} = \frac{\deg \mathcal{W}_L}{\text{rk } \mathcal{W}_L}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Arusha, Usha N. Bhosle and Sanjay Kumar Singh, Projective Poincaré and Picard bundles for moduli spaces of vector bundles over nodal curves, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **166**, (2021).
- [2] Usha N. Bhosle, Picard bundle on the moduli space of torsionfree sheaves, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Math. Sci.* **130**, (2020).
- [3] Usha N. Bhosle and A. J. Parameswaran, Picard bundles and Brill-Noether loci in the compactified Jacobian of a nodal curve, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2014** (2014), 4241–4290.

- [4] Usha N. Bhosle and Indranil Biswas, Maximal subbundles of parabolic vector bundles, *Asian J. Math.* **9** (2005), 497–522.
- [5] Indranil Biswas, Leticia Brambila-Paz, Tomás L. Gómez and Peter E. Newstead, Stability of the Picard bundle, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **34** (2002), 561–568.
- [6] Indranil Biswas, Leticia Brambila-Paz and Peter E. Newstead, Stability of projective Poincaré and Picard bundles, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **41** (2009), 458–472.
- [7] Indranil Biswas, Leticia Brambila-Paz and Peter E. Newstead, Stability and deformations of generalised Picard sheaves, *Manuscr. Math.* **173** (2024), 637–666.
- [8] Indranil Biswas and Tomás L. Gómez, Stability of Picard bundle over moduli space of stable vector bundles of rank two over a curve, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Math. Sci.* **111** (2001), 263–269.
- [9] Hans U. Boden and Kôji Yokogawa, Rationality of moduli spaces of parabolic bundles, *J. London Math. Soc.* **59** (1999), 461–478.
- [10] George R. Kempf, Rank g Picard bundles are stable, *Am. J. Math.* **112** (1990), 397–401.
- [11] Arthur Mattuck, Picard bundles, *Ill. J. Math.* **5** (1961), 550–564.
- [12] V. B. Mehta and C. S. Seshadri, Moduli of vector bundles on curves with parabolic structures, *Math. Ann.* **248** (1980), 205–239.
- [13] R. L. E. Schwarzenberger, Jacobians and symmetric products, *Ill. J. Math.* **7** (1963), 257–268.
- [14] Xiaotao Sun, Degeneration of moduli spaces and generalized theta functions, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **9** (2000), 459–527.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, POWAI, MUMBAI, MAHARASHTRA 400076, INDIA

Email address: arushnmaths@hotmail.com, arusha@math.iitb.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHIV NADAR UNIVERSITY, NH91, TEHSIL DADRI, GREATER NOIDA, UTTAR PRADESH 201314, INDIA

Email address: indranil.biswas@snu.edu.in, indranil29@gmail.com