

Analysing 2 - $(v, k, 2)$ designs admitting a flag-transitive almost simple automorphism group with socle $PSL(2, q)$ by means of conics and hyperovals of $PG(2, q)$

Alessandro Montinaro¹, Yanwei Zhao^{2*}, Zhilin Zhang³, Shenglin Zhou^{4†}

¹ *Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica “E. De Giorgi”, University of Salento, Lecce 73100, Italy*

² *School of Information Science and Engineering, Shandong Agricultural University, Shandong 271018, China*

³ *School of Statistics and Mathematics, Guangdong University of Finance and Economics, Guangzhou 510320, China*

⁴ *School of Mathematics, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510641, China*

Abstract

The classification of the 2 -designs with $\lambda = 2$ admitting a flag-transitive automorphism groups with socle $PSL(2, q)$ is completed by settling the two open cases in [2]. The result is achieved by using conics and hyperovals of $PG(2, q)$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 05B05, 05B25, 20B25, 51E15, 51E21

Keywords: 2 -design, automorphism group, flag-transitive, socle, projective plane, conic, hyperoval.

1 Introduction

A 2 - (v, k, λ) design \mathcal{D} is a pair $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ with a set \mathcal{P} of v points and a set \mathcal{B} of b blocks such that each block is a k -subset of \mathcal{P} and each two distinct points are contained in λ blocks. We

*Corresponding author.

†This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.12271173). Email: alessandro.montinaro@unisalento.it(A. Montinaro), ywzhao@sdau.edu.cn(Y. Zhao), 20241032@gdufe.edu.cn(Z. Zhang), slzhou@scut.edu.cn(S. Zhou)

say \mathcal{D} is *non-trivial* if $2 < k < v - 1$, and symmetric if $v = b$. All $2-(v, k, \lambda)$ designs in this paper are assumed to be non-trivial. An automorphism of \mathcal{D} is a permutation of the point set which preserves the block set. The set of all automorphisms of \mathcal{D} with the composition of permutations forms a group, denoted by $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$. For a subgroup G of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$, G is said to be *point-primitive* if G acts primitively on \mathcal{P} , and said to be *point-imprimitive* otherwise. In this setting, we also say that \mathcal{D} is either *point-primitive* or *point-imprimitive*, respectively. A *flag* of \mathcal{D} is a pair (x, B) where x is a point and B is a block containing x . If $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ acts transitively on the set of flags of \mathcal{D} , then we say that G is *flag-transitive* and that \mathcal{D} is a *flag-transitive design*.

The $2-(v, k, \lambda)$ designs \mathcal{D} admitting a flag-transitive automorphism group G have been widely studied by several authors. If $\lambda = 1$, that is when \mathcal{D} is a linear space, then G acts point-primitively on \mathcal{D} by an important results due to Higman and McLaughlin [9] dating back to 1961. In 1990, Buekenhout, Delandtsheer, Doyen, Kleidman, Liebeck and Saxl [6] obtained a classification of 2-designs with $\lambda = 1$ except when v is a power of a prime and $G \leq \text{AGL}_1(v)$. If $\lambda > 1$, it is no longer true that G acts point-primitively on \mathcal{D} as shown by Davies in [7]. In this contest, a special attention is given to the case $\lambda = 2$. In a series a paper, Regueiro [16, 17, 18, 19] proved that, if \mathcal{D} is symmetric, then either $(v, k) = (7, 4), (11, 5), (16, 6)$, or v is a power of an odd prime and $G \leq \text{AGL}_1(v)$. In 2016, Liang and the fourth author [12] proved that, if \mathcal{D} is non-symmetric and G is point-primitive, then G is an affine or an almost simple group. In each of these cases, G has a unique minimal normal subgroup T , its socle $\text{Soc}(G)$, which is an elementary abelian group or a non-abelian simple group, respectively. Further, in the same paper Liang and Zhou and in [13], under the assumption of the point-primitivity of G on \mathcal{D} , they classify \mathcal{D} when T is either sporadic or an alternating group, respectively. In 2020, Devillers, Liang, Praeger and Xia [8] showed that, if \mathcal{D} is non-symmetric, then G is point-primitively on \mathcal{D} , and hence G is affine or almost simple. Moreover, they classified \mathcal{D} when $T \cong \text{PSL}_n(q)$ for $n \geq 3$. Very recently, Liang and the first author [14] have completed the classification of the flag-transitive $2-(v, k, 2)$ when T is an elementary abelian except when v is a power of a prime and $G \leq \text{AGL}_1(v)$, whereas Alavi et al. [1, 2] have classified \mathcal{D} when T is almost simple except when $T \cong \text{PSL}(2, q)$ and \mathcal{D} is as follows:

- (I) \mathcal{D} has parameters $(v, b, r, k) = (\frac{q(q-1)}{2}, 2(q^2 - 1), 2(q + 1), \frac{q}{2})$, $q = 2^f > 8$, $f \geq 1$, $T_x \cong D_{2(q+1)}$ with x a point of \mathcal{D} , and $T_B \cong Z_2^{f-1}$ or Z_2^f with B a block of \mathcal{D} ;

- (II) \mathcal{D} has parameters $(v, b, r, k) = (\frac{q(q-1)}{2}, \frac{q(q+1)}{2}, q-1, q+1)$, $q = p^f > 5$, p odd, $f \geq 1$,
 $T_x \cong D_{q+1}$ and $T_B \cong D_{q-1}$.

A reason why the two cases are left open is that the typical group theoretical tools used to handle this type of problems become ineffective when D is ‘close’ to a classical example of 2-design with a different λ . For instance, in (I), the point set of \mathcal{D} , the block size and the group actions are those of the Witt Bose-Shrikhande linear space. As pointed out in [5], a model for Witt Bose-Shrikhande linear space $W(q)$, $q \geq 8$, q even, can be obtained by using the complementary set and the external lines of a hyperoval of $PG(2, q)$. All the previous argument motivated us to tackle the problem from a completely different perspective, namely a more geometric one involving the Desarguesian projective plane $PG(2, q)$. More precisely, we show that the open cases cannot occur by using the action of $PSL(2, q)$ in $PG(2, q)$ and the fact it preserves remarkable geometric structures such as a conic and, when q is even, a hyperoval.

There is a small gap in [2, Proposition 3.1] that leads to case (I), and for this reason the admissible case $T_B \cong Z_2^f$ is missed, hence we inserted it in our paper as an additional case to be analysed. Our result is the following:

Theorem 1.1 *Let \mathcal{D} be a nontrivial 2- $(v, k, 2)$ design admitting a flag-transitive group G of automorphisms with $Soc(G) = PSL(2, q)$, $q = p^f \geq 4$, then (\mathcal{D}, G) is as in one of the lines in Table 1.*

Table 1: 2-designs with $\lambda = 2$ admitting a flag-transitive automorphisms group with socle $PSL(2, q)$.

Line	v	b	r	k	G	G_x	G_B	$Aut(\mathcal{D})$	References
1	6	10	5	3	$PSL(2, 5)$	D_{10}	S_3	$PSL(2, 5)$	[13, Theorem 1.1]
2	7	7	4	4	$PSL(2, 7)$	S_4	S_4	$PSL(2, 7)$	[16, Theorem 1]
3	10	15	6	4	$PGL(2, 5)$	D_{12}	D_8	S_6	[13, Theorem 1.1]
4					$PSL(2, 9)$	$3^2 : 4$	S_4		[13, Theorem 1.1]
5	11	11	5	5	$PSL(2, 11)$	A_5	A_5	$PSL(2, 11)$	[16, Theorem 1]
6	28	252	27	7	$PSL(2, 8)$	D_{18}	D_{14}	$PGL(2, 8)$	[2, Proposition 3.1]
7					$PGL(2, 8)$	$D_{18} : 3$	$7 : 6$		[2, Proposition 3.1]
8	36	84	14	6	$PSL(2, 8)$	D_{14}	S_3	$PGL(2, 8)$	[15, Theorem 3.10]
9					$PGL(2, 8)$	$7 : 6$	$3 \times S_3$		[15, Theorem 3.10]

Some references in Table 1 are rectified with respect to those provided in [2, Table 1]. For instance, the 2-(36, 6, 2) design as in Lines 8–9 was actually constructed in [15, Example 3.9(1) and Theorem 3.10] and the proof is computer free.

2 Proof of Theorem 2.5

Let \mathcal{D} be a nontrivial 2-($v, k, 2$) design admitting a flag-transitive automorphism group G with $\text{Soc}(G) = \text{PSL}(2, q)$, $q = p^f \geq 4$. Denote $\text{Soc}(G)$ by T , then $T \trianglelefteq G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$, where $\text{Aut}(T) \cong \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(2, q)$. Moreover, we denote by x and B any point and block of \mathcal{D} , respectively.

Our starting point is the following proposition, which is essentially proven in [2, Proposition 3.1].

Proposition 2.1 *Let \mathcal{D} be a nontrivial 2-($v, k, 2$) design admitting a flag-transitive group G of automorphisms with $\text{Soc}(G) = \text{PSL}(2, q)$, $q = p^f \geq 4$, then (\mathcal{D}, G) is as in one of the lines in Table 1, or one of the following holds:*

- (I) \mathcal{D} has parameters $(v, b, r, k) = (\frac{q(q-1)}{2}, 2(q^2 - 1), 2(q + 1), \frac{q}{2})$, $q = 2^f > 8$, $f \geq 1$, $T_x \cong D_{2(q+1)}$ and $T_B \cong Z_2^{f-1}$ or Z_2^f ;
- (II) \mathcal{D} has parameters $(v, b, r, k) = (\frac{q(q-1)}{2}, \frac{q(q+1)}{2}, q - 1, q + 1)$, $q = p^f > 5$, p odd, $f \geq 1$, $T_x \cong D_{q+1}$ and $T_B \cong D_{q-1}$.

Proof. The proof is that [2, Proposition 3.1] except for case (I) when it is derived $T_B \cong Z_2^{f-1}$ using the fact that T acts blocks-transitively on \mathcal{D} (see [2, Proposition 3.1(8.1)]). However, their argument based on the analysis of the maximal subgroups of G containing T_B still works without the assumption of on the block-transitivity of T on \mathcal{D} but this leads to an extra case: the block set of \mathcal{D} , which has size $b = 2(q^2 - 1)$, is partitioned into two T -orbits of equal length $q^2 - 1$, and hence $T_B \cong Z_2^f$. \square

In the sequel, we refer to the 2-designs recorded in (I) and (II) of Proposition 2.1 as 2-designs of type I and II, respectively.

2.1 Exclusion of the 2-designs of type I

In this section, we prove the following result.

Theorem 2.2 *There are no 2-designs of type I.*

In order to prove Theorem 2.2, we need to recall the following useful facts:

- (1) An irreducible conic \mathcal{C} of $PG(2, q)$, $q = 2^f$, is a $(q + 1)$ -arc, namely a set of $q + 1$ points no three of them collinear, by [10, Lemma 7.7]. Any line of $PG(2, q)$ is either *secant*, *tangent* or *external* according as it has 2, 1 or 0 points in common with \mathcal{C} , respectively. The tangent lines to \mathcal{C} are all concurrent to a point N called *nucleus* of \mathcal{C} by [10, Corollary 7.11], and $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{C} \cup \{N\}$ is a $(q + 2)$ -arc called *regular hyperoval* (see [10, Section 8.4]).
- (2) The lines of $PG(2, q)$ are either secants or external to \mathcal{J} , that is they have either 2 or 0 points in common with \mathcal{J} . The set \mathcal{E} of the external lines to \mathcal{J} has size $\frac{q(q-1)}{2}$ (see [10, Section 8.1.]). The number of points of $PG(2, q) \setminus \mathcal{J}$ is $q^2 - 1$ and through each point there are exactly $\frac{q}{2} + 1$ secant lines to \mathcal{J} and $\frac{q}{2}$ external lines to \mathcal{J} by [10, Corollary 8.8].
- (3) $PGL(3, q)$ has a unique conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to $PSL(2, q)$ by [4, Table 8.3] (note that $PSL(2, q) \cong \Omega(3, q)$ by [10, Corollary 7.14] is reducible and not maximal in $PGL(3, q)$ when q is even. Further, the irreducible conics do not arise from polarities in this case), and each of these groups is the stabilizer in $PGL(3, q)$ of a suitable regular hyperoval of $PG(2, q)$. The converse is also true as a consequence of [10, Theorem 7.4]. In particular, each $PSL(2, q)$ fixes the nucleus of its invariant hyperoval and acts 2-transitively on the remaining $q + 1$ points of this one by [10, Corollary 7.15].
- (4) $PGL(3, q)$ has a unique conjugacy class of elements of order 2, and if σ is any of these then σ is a (P_σ, t_σ) -elation of $PG(2, q)$. That is, σ fixes each of the $q + 1$ points of t_σ including P_σ and fixes setwise each of the $q + 1$ lines of $PG(2, q)$ containing P_σ by [11, Exercise IV.4.6]. No other points or lines of $PG(2, q)$ are fixed by σ .
- (5) $PSL(2, q)$ has a unique conjugacy class of subgroups of order $q/2$. Indeed, each of these lie in a unique Frobenius subgroup of $PSL(2, q)$ of order $q(q - 1)$.

By (3), in the sequel, we may assume that T is the copy of $PSL(2, q)$ inside $PGL(3, q)$ preserving a fixed \mathcal{J} and, as pointed out in [5, Section 2.6], we may identify the point set of \mathcal{D} with \mathcal{E} , the set of lines $PG(2, q)$ external to \mathcal{J} .

Let S be any Sylow 2-subgroup of T . Then S is an elementary abelian 2-group and $N_T(S) = S : K$, where K is cyclic of order $q - 1$. Then $S \setminus \{1\} = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{q-1}\}$, where $o(\sigma_i) = 2$, and K acts regularly on $S \setminus \{1\}$.

Lemma 2.3 *The following hold:*

- (i) S fixes the nucleus N , a unique point Q of \mathcal{C} and acts regularly on $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{Q\}$;
- (ii) S fixes t pointwise, where $t = NQ$, and acts semiregularly on $PG(2, q) \setminus t$;
- (iii) σ_i is a (P_{σ_i}, t) -elation of $PG(2, q)$;
- (iv) C acts regularly on $t \setminus \{N, Q\} = \{P_{\sigma_1}, \dots, P_{\sigma_{q-1}}\}$;
- (v) If E_i is the set of $q/2$ lines through P_{σ_i} which are external to \mathcal{J} (see (2)), then S acts transitively on E_i with action kernel $\langle \sigma_i \rangle$.

Proof. The group G fixes N by (3), so does S . Moreover, S fixes a unique point Q of \mathcal{C} and acts regularly on $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{Q\}$ since T acts 2-transitively on the $q + 1$ points of \mathcal{C} , which is (i). Thus S preserves t , where $t = NQ$. The actions of S on \mathcal{C} and on the set of $q + 1$ lines through N are equivalent, as these are tangents to \mathcal{C} by (1), hence S acts semiregularly on $PG(2, q) \setminus t$.

Each σ_i is a $(P_{\sigma_i}, t_{\sigma_i})$ -elation of $PG(2, q)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, q - 1$ by (4). Then $t_{\sigma_i} = t$ since S , and hence σ_i , fixes N and Q . Thus σ_i fixes t pointwise for each $i = 1, \dots, q - 1$, and hence S fixes t pointwise. This proves (ii) and (iii).

If $P_{\sigma_i} = N$, then σ_i preserves each of the $q + 1$ lines through N by (4), and hence σ_i fixes \mathcal{C} pointwise since σ_i preserve \mathcal{C} and each line through N is tangent to \mathcal{C} . This contradicts (i), hence $P_{\sigma_i} \neq N$.

If $P_{\sigma_i} = Q$, then σ_i preserves each of the q secants to \mathcal{C} through Q by (4), and hence σ_i fixes \mathcal{C} pointwise, and we again reach a contradiction. Thus, $P_{\sigma_i} \neq Q$. It follows from (ii) that, t is the set of points of $PG(2, q)$ fixed by S . Then K preserves t since K normalizes S . Further K fixes N since T does it, and K fixes Q since $\{Q\} = t \cap \mathcal{C}$ and K preserves both t and \mathcal{C} .

If there is a non-trivial element ψ of K fixing a point P on $t \setminus \{N, Q\}$, then ψ fixes one of the $\frac{q}{2}$ external lines to \mathcal{J} containing P by (ii), say x . So $\psi \in T_x$, whereas $T_x \cong D_{2(q+1)}$. Thus K acts regularly on $t \setminus \{N, Q\}$. Then $t \setminus \{N, Q\} = \{P_{\sigma_1}, \dots, P_{\sigma_{q-1}}\}$ since we have seen that $\{P_{\sigma_1}, \dots, P_{\sigma_{q-1}}\}$ is a subset of $t \setminus \{N, Q\}$ and K acts regularly on $S \setminus \{1\} = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{q-1}\}$. This proves (iv).

Since S preserves \mathcal{C} , fixes N , and fixes each P_{σ_i} by (ii), it follows that S permutes the set E_i of $q/2$ lines through P_{σ_i} which are external to \mathcal{J} . Now, σ_i preserves each line containing P_{σ_i} by (4), hence $\langle \sigma_i \rangle$ lies in the action kernel A of S on E_i . On the other hand, if $y \in E_i$, then $A \leq S_y = \langle \sigma_i \rangle$ since $S_y \leq T_y \cong D_{2(q+1)}$ with q even. Thus $A = S_y = \langle \sigma_i \rangle$ and S acts transitively on E_i . This proves (v). \square

Proof. [of Theorem 2.2] Let B be any block of \mathcal{D} . Then the order of T_B is either $q/2$ or q , and in both cases we may assume that T_B is a fixed subgroup of S by (5).

Suppose that $|T_B| = q/2$. Then T acts flag-transitively on \mathcal{B} . Hence $B = \ell^{T_B}$, where ℓ is a suitable external line to \mathcal{J} . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $T_B \setminus \{1\} = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{q/2-1}\}$.

Let R be the intersection point of ℓ with t . Then $R \neq Q, N$ since $Q \in \mathcal{C}$, N is the nucleus of \mathcal{J} and ℓ is external to \mathcal{J} (see [10, Corollary 8.8]). Then $R = P_{\sigma_i}$ for a unique $i \in \{1, \dots, q-1\}$ since $t \setminus \mathcal{J} = \{P_{\sigma_1}, \dots, P_{\sigma_{q-1}}\}$, and hence $\ell \in E_i$, where E_i is the set of $q/2$ lines through P_{σ_i} which are external to \mathcal{J} (see Lemma 2.3(v)). Then $B \subseteq E_i$ since T_B fixes P_i , being T_B a subgroup of S . Actually, $B = E_i$ since $k = q/2$. Then $T_{\ell, B} = \langle \sigma_i \rangle$ by Lemma 2.3(v), and hence $k = |B| = |\ell^{T_B}| = q/4$, a contradiction.

Suppose that $|T_B| = q$. Then $|T : T_B| = q^2 - 1$, and hence $|G : G_B| = 2|T : T_B|$. Then $\mathcal{B} = B^G = B^T \cup C^T$ with B and C blocks of \mathcal{D} both preserved by T_B . Then $B = \ell^{T_B}$ and $C = m^{T_B}$ with ℓ and m suitable external lines to \mathcal{J} . Now, arguing as above, one has $B = E_i$ and $C = E_j$, where E_i and E_j are the set of $q/2$ external lines to \mathcal{J} through P_{σ_i} and P_{σ_j} , respectively. Moreover, $P_{\sigma_i} \neq P_{\sigma_j}$ since $E_i = B \neq C = E_j$ by (2).

Let φ be the unique element of K such that $P_{\sigma_i}^\varphi = P_{\sigma_j}$ by Lemma 2.3(iv), then $E_i^\varphi = E_j$ since φ preserves \mathcal{J} , and E_i and E_j are the set of all (namely $q/2$ each) external lines to \mathcal{J} through P_{σ_i} and P_{σ_j} , respectively. That is $B^\varphi = C$ and hence $\mathcal{B} = B^G = B^T \cup C^T = B^T$, whereas $|G : G_B| = 2|T : T_B|$, a contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.4 *It is not difficult to see that (\mathcal{P}, B^G) , where \mathcal{P} is the set of external lines to \mathcal{J} , and $B = \ell^S$ with $\ell \in \mathcal{P}$ is an isomorphic copy of the Witt Bose-Shrikhande linear space*

$W(q)$, $q \geq 8$ even.

2.2 Exclusion of the 2-designs of type II

In this section, we prove the following theorem, thus completing the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.5 \mathcal{D} is not a 2-design of type II.

Throughout the remainder of the paper, we denote by X the copy of $PGL(2, q)$ contained in $Aut(T)$.

Lemma 2.6 If $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, then one of the following holds:

(i) T acts flag-transitively on \mathcal{D} ;

(ii) \mathcal{D} admits X as a flag-transitive automorphism group, and each block B of \mathcal{D} is a union of two T_B -orbits of length $\frac{q-1}{2}$.

Proof. Let (x, B) any flag of \mathcal{D} . Then $|(x, B)^G| = \frac{q(q^2-1)}{2}$ by the flag-transitivity of G on \mathcal{D} . We know that $T \trianglelefteq G$ and $T_{x,B} \leq Z_2$. If $T_{x,B} = 1$ then $|G : G_{x,B}| = |T : T_{x,B}|$. Thus T acts flag-transitively on \mathcal{D} , and we obtain (1).

If $T_{x,B} = Z_2$, then $|G : G_{x,B}| = 2|T : T_{x,B}|$ and B is a union of two T_B -orbits of length $\frac{q-1}{2}$. Then $|G : T| = 2|G_{x,B} : T_{x,B}|$, and hence the order of G/T is even.

If $X \not\leq G$, then $G \cap X = T$ and hence $G/T \cong GX/X \leq Out(T)/X \cong Z_f$, where $f = \log_p(q)$. Then f is even since G/T has even order, and hence $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, a contradiction. Thus $X \leq G$.

Now, $Z_2 = T_{x,B} \leq X_{x,B} \leq Z_2 \times Z_2$. If $X_{x,B} \cong Z_2 \times Z_2$ and so $G \neq X$ and $|G : X| = 2|G_{x,B} : X_{x,B}|$ since $X \triangleleft G$. Thus f is even since $G/X \leq Z_f$, and again $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, which is not the case. Thus $X_{x,B} = Z_2$, and hence X acts flag-transitively on \mathcal{D} , which is (2). \square

2.2.1 Identification of the blocks of \mathcal{D}

Since $PGL(3, q)$ has one conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to $PSL(2, q)$ and each of these preserves a unique irreducible conic of $PG(2, q)$, we may assume that $T \cong PSL(2, q)$ is the stabilizer of the conic

$$\mathcal{C} : X_0X_2 - X_1^2 = 0.$$

by [10, Corollary 7.14]. More details on conics can be found in [10, Section 7.2]. A point P of $PG(2, q)$ is *internal* or *external* to \mathcal{C} according as it lies on 0 or 2 tangents to \mathcal{C} by [10, Section 8.2]. Let I and E be the set of internal and external points to \mathcal{C} . Then $|I| = \frac{q(q-1)}{2}$ and $|E| = \frac{q(q+1)}{2}$ by [10, Section 8.2]. In the sequel, P will be called *I-point* of \mathcal{C} , or *E-point* of \mathcal{C} according as it lies in I or E , respectively.

Note that, a point P of $PG(2, q)$ is a *I-point* (resp. *E-point*) of \mathcal{C} if and only if P^π is a external (resp. secant) to \mathcal{C} by [10, Theorem 8.16], where

$$\pi : (Y_0, Y_1, Y_2) \mapsto (Y_0, Y_1, Y_2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_0 \\ X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

is the polarity defined by \mathcal{C} .

Let χ be the quadratic character of $GF(q)$, then $\chi(z)$ is 0, 1 or -1 according as z is either 0 or is a square, or a non-square of $GF(q)$, respectively. Let Q_{+1} and Q_{-1} be the set of squares and non-squares of $GF(q)^*$, respectively, then $|Q_{+1}| = |Q_{-1}| = \frac{q-1}{2}$.

It is well known that, T acts transitively on I and on E , and the stabilizer of a point is isomorphic to D_{q+1} or D_{q-1} , respectively. On the other hand, T has a unique conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to D_{q+1} . Hence, we may identify the point set \mathcal{P} of \mathcal{D} with I . Hence, any block of \mathcal{D} is a suitable subset of size $q-1$ of I .

Note that, T is the group consisting of the elements represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a^2 & ab & b^2 \\ 2ac & ad + bc & 2bd \\ c^2 & cd & d^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $ab - bd \in Q_+$ by [10, Corollary 7.14 and its proof]. As note above, T has a unique conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to D_{q-1} . Therefore, we may assume that $T_B = \langle \alpha^2, \beta \rangle$ for some block B of \mathcal{D} , where α and β are respectively represented by

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where ω is a primitive element of $GF(q)^*$.

Note that, T_B preserves a pencil of bitangent conics in $O = (0, 0, 1)$ and $P_\infty = (1, 0, 0)$. The conics in the pencil are:

- (i) The irreducible conics $\mathcal{C}_h : X_0X_2 - hX_1^2 = 0$ for $h \in GF(q)^*$ (here $\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}$);
- (ii) The simply degenerate conic $\mathcal{C}_0 : X_0X_2 = 0$;
- (iii) The doubly degenerate conic $\mathcal{C}_\infty : X_1^2 = 0$.

In the sequel, for $h \in GF(q) \cup \{\infty\}$ we denote the set $\mathcal{C}_h \setminus \{O, P_\infty\}$ by \mathcal{C}_h^* . Hence, \mathcal{C}_h^* is a $(q-1)$ -arc of $PG(2, q)$. Further, the set $\{\mathcal{C}_h^* : h \in GF(q) \cup \{\infty\}\}$ is a partition of $PG(2, q) \setminus \{O, P_\infty\}$.

Proposition 2.7 *One of the following holds:*

(i) $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ and one of the following holds:

- (a) The T_B -orbits of I -points of \mathcal{C} of length $q-1$ are the $(q-1)$ -arcs \mathcal{C}_h^* with $h \in (1 + Q_{+1}) \cap Q_{+1}$.
- (b) The X_B -orbits of I -points of \mathcal{C} of length $q-1$, which are a union of two T_B -orbits each of length $\frac{q-1}{2}$, are the $(q-1)$ -arcs \mathcal{C}_h^* with $h \in (1 + Q_{+1}) \cap Q_{-1}$.

(ii) $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and the following hold:

- (a) The T_B -orbits of I -points of \mathcal{C} of length $q-1$ are the $(q-1)$ -arcs \mathcal{C}_h^* with $h \in (1 + Q_{-1}) \cap Q_{-1}$.
- (b) The T_B -orbits of I -points of \mathcal{C} of length $\frac{q-1}{2}$ are the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_\infty &= \{(\mu, 0, 1) : \mu \in Q_{-1}\}, \\ \mathcal{O}_{h,+1} &= \{(h\mu^2, \mu, 1) : \mu \in Q_{+1}\}, \\ \mathcal{O}_{h,-1} &= \{(h\mu^2, \mu, 1) : \mu \in Q_{-1}\} \end{aligned}$$

where $h \in (1 + Q_{-1}) \cap Q_{+1}$.

Proof. Let $A = (\mu, 0, 1)$ be a point of \mathcal{C}_∞ . Then $A^\pi : X_0 + \mu X_2 = 0$. Hence

$$A \in I \iff |A^\pi \cap \mathcal{C}| = 0 \iff \mu Z^2 + 1 = 0 \text{ has 0 solutions,}$$

where $Z = X_2/X_1$. This occurs if and only if $-\mu^{-1} \in Q_{-1}$, and hence μ lies in Q_{-1} or Q_{+1} according as $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, respectively. Thus,

$$\mathcal{O}_\infty = \{(\mu, 0, 1) : \mu \in Q_{-\varepsilon}\}$$

is a T_B -orbit of length $\frac{q-1}{2}$ consisting of I of \mathcal{C} for $q \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{4}$, where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.

For $h \in GF(q)^* \setminus \{1\}$, one has $\mathcal{C}_h^* = \mathcal{O}_{h,+1} \cup \mathcal{O}_{h,-1}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}_{h,+1} &= \{(h\mu^2, \mu, 1) : \mu \in Q_{+1}\}, \\ \mathcal{O}_{h,-1} &= \{(h\mu^2, \mu, 1) : \mu \in Q_{-1}\}\end{aligned}$$

are two orbits, each of length $\frac{q-1}{2}$, under the cyclic subgroup of T_B of order $\frac{q-1}{2}$.

Let $P_\mu = (h\mu^2, \mu, 1)$ be any point of \mathcal{C}_h^* with $h \in GF(q)^* \setminus \{1\}$, then P_μ is a I -point of \mathcal{C} if and only if P_μ^π is an external line to \mathcal{C} , where

$$P_\mu^\pi : X_0 - 2\mu X_1 + h\mu^2 X_2 = 0.$$

Hence,

$$P_\mu \in I \iff |P_\mu^\pi \cap \mathcal{C}| = 0 \iff h\mu^2 Z^2 - 2\mu Z + 1 = 0 \text{ has 0 solutions}$$

where $Z = X_2/X_1$. This happens if and only if $4\mu^2 - 4\mu^2 h \in Q_-$, that is, if and only if $h - 1 \in Q_{-\varepsilon}$. Further, since $P_\mu^\beta = (h(-1/h\mu)^2, -1/h\mu, 1)$, it follows that $\mathcal{O}_{h,j}^\beta = \mathcal{O}_{h,j}$, $j = \pm 1$, if and only if $\chi(-h\mu) = \chi(\mu)$, that is, only for $\chi(h) = \chi(-1)$ since $\mu \neq 0$.

Assume that $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. The T_B -orbits of I -points of \mathcal{C} of length $\frac{q-1}{2}$ are \mathcal{O}_∞ and $\mathcal{O}_{h,j}$ with $h \in (1 + Q_{-1}) \cap Q_{+1}$ and $j = \pm 1$. Hence, the T_B -orbits of I -points of \mathcal{C} of length $q-1$ are the $(q-1)$ -arcs \mathcal{C}_h^* with $h \in (1 + Q_{-1}) \cap Q_{-1}$.

Assume that $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. Arguing as above, it is easy to see that the T_B -orbits of I -points of \mathcal{C} of length $q-1$ are the $(q-1)$ -arcs \mathcal{C}_h^* with $h \in (1 + Q_{+1}) \cap Q_{+1}$, whereas the X_B -orbits of I -points of \mathcal{C} of length $q-1$, which are a union of two T_B -orbits each of length $\frac{q-1}{2}$, are the $(q-1)$ -arcs \mathcal{C}_h^* with $h \in (1 + Q_{+1}) \cap Q_{-1}$ since $X_B = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ \square .

Corollary 2.8 *The following hold:*

- (i) If $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, then $|(1 + Q_{+1}) \cap Q_{+1}| = \frac{q-3}{4}$ and $|(1 + Q_{+1}) \cap Q_{-1}| = \frac{q+1}{4}$;
- (ii) If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $|(1 + Q_{-1}) \cap Q_{-1}| = |(1 + Q_{-1}) \cap Q_{+1}| = \frac{q-1}{4}$.

Proof. If $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, then $|(1 + Q_{+1}) \cap Q_{+1}| = \frac{q-3}{4}$ since $(1 + Q_{+1}) \cap Q_{+1}$ is the number of common points of $1 + Q_{+1}$ and Q_{+1} regarded as blocks of the development of the Paley-Hadamard $(q, \frac{q-1}{2}, \frac{q-3}{4})$ -difference set by [3, Theorem VI.1.12]. Consequently, one has

$$|(1 + Q_{+1}) \cap Q_{-1}| = \frac{q-1}{2} - \frac{q-3}{4} = \frac{q+1}{4}.$$

If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $|(1 + Q_{+1}) \cap Q_{+1}| = \frac{q-5}{4}$ since it is the number of common neighbours of 0 and 1 in the Paley graph by [3, Example III.10.15]. Then

$$|(1 + Q_{-1}) \cap Q_{+1}| = |Q_{+1} \setminus \{1\}| - |(1 + Q_{+1}) \cap Q_{+1}| = \frac{q-3}{2} - \frac{q-5}{4} = \frac{q-1}{4},$$

and hence

$$|(1 + Q_{-1}) \cap Q_{-1}| = \frac{q-1}{2} - |(1 + Q_{-1}) \cap Q_{+1}| = \frac{q-1}{2} - \frac{q-1}{4} = \frac{q-1}{4}.$$

□

Lemma 2.9 *Let B be any block of \mathcal{D} . Then one of the following holds:*

- (1) $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ and $B = \mathcal{C}_h^*$ for some $h \in 1 + Q_{+1}$;
- (2) $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and one of the following holds:

(a) $B = \mathcal{C}_h^*$ for some $h \in 1 + Q_{-1}$;

(b) $B = \mathcal{O}_{h,i} \cup \mathcal{O}_{h^{p^m},j}$ for some $h \in (1 + Q_{-1}) \cap Q_{+1}$, $h^{p^m} \neq h$ and $h^{p^{2m}} = h$, $1 \leq m \leq \log_p(q)/2$ and $i, j \in \{-1, +1\}$. In particular, $\log_p(q)$ is even.

Proof. Let B be any block of \mathcal{D} . Assume that $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. By Lemma 2.6, either T acts flag-transitively on \mathcal{D} , B is a T_B -orbit of length $q-1$, and hence $B = \mathcal{C}_h^*$ for some $h \in (1 + Q_{+1}) \cap Q_{+1}$ by Proposition 2.7(i.a), or T does not act flag-transitively on \mathcal{D} , X acts flag-transitively on \mathcal{D} , B is a X_B -orbit of length $q-1$, and hence $B = \mathcal{C}_h^*$ with $h \in (1 + Q_{+1}) \cap Q_{-1}$ by Proposition 2.7(i.b). This proves (1).

Assume that $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. If T acts flag-transitively on \mathcal{D} , then B is a T_B -orbit of length $q-1$, and hence $B = \mathcal{C}_h^*$ for some $h \in (1 + Q_{-1}) \cap Q_{-1}$ by Proposition 2.7(ii.a), and we obtain (2.a) in this case.

If T does not act flag-transitively on \mathcal{D} , then B is a union of two B -orbits of length $\frac{q-1}{2}$. If $\mathcal{O}_\infty \subset B$. Then $B = \mathcal{O}_\infty \cup \mathcal{O}_{h_0,j}$ for some $h_0 \in (1 + Q_{-1}) \cap Q_{+1}$ and $j \in \{-1, +1\}$ by Lemma 2.7(ii.b). Note that, $\frac{q-1}{2} > 2$ since $q > 5$. Now, G_B acts transitively on B and $G_B \leq P\Gamma L(2, q)$. On the other hand $G < P\Gamma L(3, q)$, hence the elements of G_B are collineations of $PG(2, q)$ and these do not map subsets of lines of size $\frac{q-1}{2} > 2$ onto subsets of conics, being these ones arcs. Thus, $\mathcal{O}_\infty \not\subset B$. Therefore $B = \mathcal{O}_{h_1,i} \cup \mathcal{O}_{h_2,j}$ for some $h_1, h_2 \in (1 + Q_{-1}) \cap Q_{+1}$ and $i, j \in \{-1, +1\}$.

Assume that $h_1 = h_2$. Then $i \neq j$ since $k = q - 1$, and hence $B = \mathcal{C}_{h_1}^*$ with $h_1 \in (1 + Q_{-1}) \cap Q_{+1}$, and we again obtain (2.a).

Assume that $h_1 \neq h_2$. The group T has one conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to T_B , hence $G_B = N_G(T_B) \leq N_{\text{PGL}(2,q)}(T_B) = \langle \beta, \alpha, \sigma \rangle$, where $\sigma : (X_0, X_1, X_2) \mapsto (X_0^p, X_1^p, X_2^p)$. Now, $\mathcal{O}_{h_s,i}^{\alpha^t} = \mathcal{O}_{h_s,j}$ with $j \neq i$ if t is odd, and $\mathcal{O}_{h_s,i}^{\alpha^t} = \mathcal{O}_{h_s,j}$ with $j = i$ if t is even. Further, $\mathcal{O}_{h_s,i}^{\sigma^m} = \mathcal{O}_{h_s^{p^m},i}$. Hence, $\mathcal{O}_{h_1,i}^{\beta^w \alpha^t \sigma^m} = \mathcal{O}_{h_1,i}$ if and only if t is even and $h_1 \in GF(p^d)$, where $d = \gcd(f, m)$ and $q = p^f$. Furthermore, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{h_1,i}^{\beta^w \alpha^t \sigma^m} = \mathcal{O}_{h_2,j} \iff \begin{cases} t \text{ is odd and } h_2 = h_1^{p^m}, & i \neq j, \\ t \text{ is even and } h_2 = h_1^{p^m}, & i = j. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $i \neq j$. Then there is $\beta^w \alpha^t \sigma^m \in G_B$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{h_1,i}^{\beta^w \alpha^t \sigma^m} = \mathcal{O}_{h_2,j}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{h_2,j}^{\beta^w \alpha^t \sigma^m} = \mathcal{O}_{h_1,i}$. Hence, t is odd, $h_2 = h_1^{p^m}$ and $h_1 = h_2^{p^m}$. Therefore, $f = \log_p(q)$ is even, $h_s^{p^{2m}} = h_s$ for $s = 1, 2$.

Suppose that $i = j$. Then there is $\beta^w \alpha^t \sigma^m \in G_B$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{h_1,i}^{\beta^w \alpha^t \sigma^m} = \mathcal{O}_{h_2,i}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{h_2,i}^{\beta^w \alpha^t \sigma^m} = \mathcal{O}_{h_1,i}$. Hence, t is even, $h_2 = h_1^{p^m}$ and $h_1 = h_2^{p^m}$. Therefore, $f = \log_p(q)$ is even, $h_s^{p^{2m}} = h_s$ for $s = 1, 2$ also in this case. Thus, we obtain (2.b). \square

Let $c, \xi \in GF(q)$ with ξ fixed, $\xi \neq 0$, and $\xi^2 \neq -1$ when $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and let τ_ξ, γ_c be the elements of T represented, up to a non-zero element of $GF(q)$, by the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \xi & \xi^2 \\ 2\xi & -1 + \xi^2 & -2\xi \\ \xi^2 & -\xi & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c & c^2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

respectively. Then $W = \{\gamma_c : c \in GF(q)\}$ is the Sylow p -subgroup of T fixing $O = (0, 0, 1)$.

Then τ_ξ has order 2, and $\tau_\xi \gamma_c \alpha^{2u}$ is represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega^{2u} & c + \xi & \frac{1}{\omega^{2u}} (c + \xi)^2 \\ 2\xi \omega^{2u} & \xi^2 + 2c\xi - 1 & \frac{2}{\omega^{2u}} (c\xi - 1)(c + \xi) \\ \xi^2 \omega^{2u} & \xi(c\xi - 1) & \frac{1}{\omega^{2u}} (c\xi - 1)^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

Lemma 2.10 *The following hold:*

(i) *If $T_B \gamma_{c_1} = T_B \gamma_{c_2}$, then $c_1 = c_2$;*

(ii) *$T_B \gamma_{c_1} \neq T_B \tau_\xi \gamma_{c_2} \alpha^{2u}$;*

(iii) If $T_B\tau_\xi\gamma_{c_1}\alpha^{2u_1} = T_B\tau_\xi\gamma_{c_2}\alpha^{2u_2}$ and $(c_2, u_2) \neq (c_1, u_1)$, then $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $(c_2, u_2) = (\xi^{-1} - \xi - c_1, u_1 + \frac{q-1}{4})$.

(iv) If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $T_B\tau_{\xi_1}\gamma_{c_1}\alpha^{2u_1} = T_B\tau_{\xi_2}\gamma_{c_2}\alpha^{2u_2}$ with $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$, then $\chi(\xi_1\xi_2) = 1$.

Proof. If $T_B\gamma_{c_1} = T_B\gamma_{c_2}$, then $\gamma_{c_1-c_2} \in T_B$ and hence $\gamma_{c_1-c_2} = \beta^m\alpha^{2s}$ for some integers m and s . Thus $\gamma_{c_1-c_2}\alpha^{-2s} = \beta^m$. Since $\gamma_{c_1-c_2}\alpha^{-2s}$ fixes O , whereas β^m does not, unless $\beta^m = 1$, it follows that $\beta^m = 1$, $\alpha^{-2s}\gamma_{c_1-c_2} = 1$, and hence $\alpha^{2s} = 1$ and $c_1 = c_2$. This proves (i).

Assume that $T_B\gamma_{c_1} = T_B\tau_\xi\gamma_{c_2}\alpha^{2u}$. Then $\gamma_{c_2}\alpha^{2u}\gamma_{c_1}^{-1} = \tau_\xi\beta^m\alpha^{2s}$. Then $\gamma_{c_2}\alpha^{2u}\gamma_{c_1}^{-1}$ fixes O , whereas $O^{\tau_\xi\beta^m\alpha^{2s}}$ is $(\xi^2\omega^{4s}, -\xi\omega^{2s}, 1)$ or $(\xi^{-2}\omega^{4s}, \xi^{-1}\omega^{2s}, 1)$ according as $m = 0$ or 1 , respectively, and we obtain $T_B\gamma_{c_1} \neq T_B\tau_\xi\gamma_{c_2}\alpha^{2u}$. This proves (ii).

Assume that $T_B\tau_\xi\gamma_{c_1}\alpha^{2u_1} = T_B\tau_\xi\gamma_{c_2}\alpha^{2u_2}$. Then $\gamma_{c_1}\alpha^{2(u_1-u_2)}\gamma_{c_2}^{-1} = \tau_\xi\beta^m\alpha^{2s}\tau_\xi$. Then $\gamma_{c_1}\alpha^{2(u_1-u_2)}\gamma_{c_2}^{-1}$ is represented by

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \omega^{2u_1-2u_2} & c_1 - \omega^{2u_1-2u_2}c_2 & \omega^{2u_2-2u_1}(c_1 - \omega^{2u_1-2u_2}c_2)^2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2\omega^{2u_2-2u_1}(c_1 - \omega^{2u_1-2u_2}c_2) \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^{2u_2-2u_1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $m = 0$, then $\tau_\xi\alpha^{2s}\tau_\xi$ is represented by

$$N_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\omega^{2s}}(\xi^2 + \omega^{2s})^2 & \frac{\xi}{\omega^{2s}}(\omega^{2s} - 1)(\xi^2 + \omega^{2s}) & \frac{\xi^2}{\omega^{2s}}(\omega^{2s} - 1)^2 \\ 2\frac{\xi}{\omega^{2s}}(\omega^{2s} - 1)(\xi^2 + \omega^{2s}) & \frac{1}{\omega^{2s}}(2\xi^2 + \omega^{2s} - 2\xi^2\omega^{2s} + 2\xi^2\omega^{4s} + \xi^4\omega^{2s}) & 2\frac{\xi}{\omega^{2s}}(\omega^{2s} - 1)(\xi^2\omega^{2s} + 1) \\ \frac{\xi^2}{\omega^{2s}}(\omega^{2s} - 1)^2 & \frac{\xi}{\omega^{2s}}(\omega^{2s} - 1)(\xi^2\omega^{2s} + 1) & \frac{1}{\omega^{2s}}(\xi^2\omega^{2s} + 1)^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\gamma_{c_1}\alpha^{2(u_1-u_2)}\gamma_{c_2}^{-1} = \tau_\xi\beta^m\alpha^{2s}\tau_\xi$ implies $N_0 = \theta M$ for some $\theta \in GF(q)^*$, and hence $\omega^{2s} = 1$. Thus, $\gamma_{c_1}\alpha^{2(u_1-u_2)}\gamma_{c_2}^{-1} = 1$ and hence $u_1 = u_2$ and $c_1 = c_2$.

If $m = 1$, then $\tau_\xi\beta\alpha^{2s}\tau_\xi$ is represented by

$$N_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\xi^2}{\omega^{2s}}(\omega^{2s} - 1)^2 & \frac{\xi}{\omega^{2s}}(\omega^{2s} - 1)(\xi^2\omega^{2s} + 1) & \frac{1}{\omega^{2s}}(\xi^2\omega^{2s} + 1)^2 \\ -2\frac{\xi}{\omega^{2s}}(\omega^{2s} - 1)(\xi^2 + \omega^{2s}) & -\frac{1}{\omega^{2s}}(2\xi^2 + \omega^{2s} - 2\xi^2\omega^{2s} + 2\xi^2\omega^{4s} + \xi^4\omega^{2s}) & -2\frac{\xi}{\omega^{2s}}(\omega^{2s} - 1)(\xi^2\omega^{2s} + 1) \\ \frac{1}{\omega^{2s}}(\xi^2 + \omega^{2s})^2 & \frac{\xi}{\omega^{2s}}(\omega^{2s} - 1)(\xi^2 + \omega^{2s}) & \frac{\xi^2}{\omega^{2s}}(\omega^{2s} - 1)^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\gamma_{c_1}\alpha^{2(u_1-u_2)}\gamma_{c_2}^{-1} = \tau_\xi\beta\alpha^{2s}\tau_\xi$ implies $N_1 = \theta M$ for some $\theta \in GF(q)^*$, and hence $\omega^{2s} = -\xi^2$, from which we derive

$$N_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -(\xi^2 + 1)^2 & -\frac{1}{\xi}(\xi^2 + 1)^2(\xi^2 - 1) & -\frac{1}{\xi^2}(\xi^2 + 1)^2(\xi^2 - 1)^2 \\ 0 & (\xi^2 + 1)^2 & \frac{2}{\xi}(\xi^2 + 1)^2(\xi^2 - 1) \\ 0 & 0 & -(\xi^2 + 1)^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\theta = (\xi^2 + 1)^2$ and $\omega^{2u_1-2u_2} = -1$ since $\omega^{2u_1-2u_2} = 1$ implies $c_1 = c_2$, hence $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $c_2 = \xi^{-1} - \xi - c_1$. Thus, $T_B\tau_\xi\gamma_{c_1}\alpha^{2u_1} = T_B\tau_\xi\gamma_{c_2}\alpha^{2u_2}$ with $c_2 = \xi^{-1} - \xi - c_1$ and $u_2 = u_1 + \frac{q-1}{4}$, which is (iii).

Finally, assume that $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $T_B \tau_{\xi_1} \gamma_{c_1} \alpha^{2u_1} = T_B \tau_{\xi_2} \gamma_{c_2} \alpha^{2u_2}$ with $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$. Then $\gamma_{c_1} \alpha^{2(u_1-u_2)} \gamma_{c_2}^{-1} = \tau_{\xi_1} \beta^m \alpha^{2s} \tau_{\xi_2}$, and hence $O^{\tau_{\xi_1} \beta^m \alpha^{2s} \tau_{\xi_2}} = O$. On the other hand, easy computations show that

$$\begin{aligned} O^{\tau_{\xi_1} \alpha^{2s} \tau_{\xi_2}} &= \left(\frac{1}{\omega^{2s}} (\xi_2 - \omega^{2s} \xi_1)^2, -\frac{1}{\omega^{2s}} (\xi_2 - \omega^{2s} \xi_1 + \omega^{2s} \xi_1 \xi_2^2 - \omega^{4s} \xi_1^2 \xi_2), \frac{1}{\omega^{2s}} (\omega^{2s} \xi_1 \xi_2 + 1)^2 \right), \\ O^{\tau_{\xi_1} \beta \alpha^{2s} \tau_{\xi_2}} &= \left(\frac{1}{\omega^{2s}} (\xi_1 \xi_2 + \omega^{2s})^2, -\frac{1}{\omega^{2s}} (\omega^{2s} \xi_1 - \omega^{4s} \xi_2 + \xi_1^2 \xi_2 - \omega^{2s} \xi_1 \xi_2^2), \frac{1}{\omega^{2s}} (\xi_1 - \omega^{2s} \xi_2)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, either $\xi_2 - \omega^{2s} \xi_1 = 0$ or $\xi_1 \xi_2 + \omega^{2s} = 0$. The former implies $\xi_1 \xi_2 = \omega^{2s} \xi_1^2$, and hence $\chi(\xi_1 \xi_2) = 1$, the latter $\xi_1 \xi_2 = -\omega^{2s}$ and again $\chi(\xi_1 \xi_2) = 1$ since $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. \square

If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, let $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in GF(q)^*$, $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$, such that $\xi_1^2, \xi_2^2 \neq -1$ and $\chi(\xi_1 \xi_2) = -1$. Such ξ_1, ξ_2 do exist for $q > 5$. For instance, $\xi_1 = 1$ and $\xi_2 = \omega$ fulfill the previous properties.

The group T acts block-transitively on \mathcal{D} and it is clearly that the action of T on \mathcal{B} is equivalent to the action T on the set of cosets of T_B in T . Now, if $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, then $F_\xi = W \cup \tau_\xi WH$, where W and H is the cyclic subgroup of order $\frac{q-1}{2}$ of T_B , is a system of distinct representatives of the cosets of T_B in T by Lemma 2.10.

If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $F_{\xi_1, \xi_2} = W \cup \tau_{\xi_1} WH \cup \tau_{\xi_2} WH$ contains a system of distinct representatives of the cosets of T_B in T again by Lemma 2.10. Hence, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.11 *Either $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ and $\mathcal{B} = B^{F_\xi}$, or $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\mathcal{B} = B^{F_{\xi_1, \xi_2}}$.*

Let $i, j \in \{-1, +1\}$ and ξ be 1 for $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ or an element in $\{\xi_1, \xi_2\}$ for $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. By using (1) and (2), one obtains

$$\mathcal{O}_{h,i}^{\gamma_c} = \{(h\mu_1^2, (ch\mu_1 + 1)\mu_1, hc^2\mu_1^2 + 2c\mu_1 + 1) : \mu_1 \in Q_i\}, \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{h^p, j}^{\gamma_c} = \{(h^p \mu_2^2, (ch^p \mu_2 + 1)\mu_2, h^p c^2 \mu_2^2 + 2c\mu_2 + 1) : \mu_2 \in Q_j\}, \quad (4)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{h,i}^{\tau_\xi \gamma_c \alpha^{2u}} : \begin{cases} X_0 = \omega^{2u} (h\mu_1^2 + 2\xi\mu_1 + \xi^2) \\ X_1 = h(\xi + c)\mu_1^2 + (\xi^2 + 2c\xi - 1)\mu_1 + \xi(c\xi - 1) \\ X_2 = \frac{1}{\omega^{2u}} (h(c + \xi)^2 \mu_1^2 + 2(c\xi - 1)(c + \xi)\mu_1 + (c\xi - 1)^2) \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

with $\mu_1 \in Q_i$, and

$$\mathcal{O}_{h,j}^{\tau_\xi \gamma_c \alpha^{2u}} : \begin{cases} X_0 = \omega^{2u} (h^p \mu_2^2 + 2\xi\mu_2 + \xi^2) \\ X_1 = h^p (\xi + c)\mu_2^2 + (\xi^2 + 2c\xi - 1)\mu_2 + \xi(c\xi - 1) \\ X_2 = \frac{1}{\omega^{2u}} (h^p (c + \xi)^2 \mu_2^2 + 2(c\xi - 1)(c + \xi)\mu_2 + (c\xi - 1)^2) \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

with $\mu_2 \in Q_j$.

Remark 2.12 *It worth nothing that, the first and the third coordinate of the points in $\mathcal{O}_{h,i}^{\gamma_c}$, $\mathcal{O}_{h^p m, j}^{\gamma_c}$, $\mathcal{O}_{h,i}^{\tau_\xi \gamma_c \alpha^{2u}}$ or $\mathcal{O}_{h,j}^{\tau_\xi \gamma_c \alpha^{2u}}$ are never equal to zero since the lines $X_0 = 0$ and $X_2 = 0$ are tangent to \mathcal{C} and these do not contain I-points of \mathcal{C} by [10, Table 8.1].*

2.2.2 Reduction to the case $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

Lemma 2.13 *If $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, for each $h \in 1 + Q_{+1}$ the number of elements $t \in \{1, \dots, q-2\}$ such that $\omega^{2t}(h-1) + \omega^t(2h+2) + h-1 \in Q_{-1}$, and $\omega^t \neq \pm \frac{\sqrt{h-1}}{\sqrt{h+1}}, \pm \frac{\sqrt{h+1}}{\sqrt{h-1}}$ when $h \in Q_{+1}$, is at least $\frac{q-1}{2}$.*

Proof. Let $h \in 1 + Q_+$, the number of ω^t with $t \in \{1, \dots, q-2\}$ such that

$$\omega^{2t}(h-1) + \omega^t(2h+2) + h-1 = y_t^2$$

for some $y_t \in GF(q)$ is equal to the number of lines of $PG(2, q)$ of the form $m_t : X_0 - \omega^t X_2 = 0$ with $t \in \{1, \dots, q-2\}$ having either 1 or 2 points in common with the following irreducible conic:

$$\mathcal{K} : X_0^2(h-1) + X_0 X_2(2h+2) + X_2^2(h-1) - X_1^2 = 0.$$

Now, each line m_t contains the point $P_\infty = (0, 1, 0)$, and $P_\infty \notin \mathcal{K}$. The polar line of P_∞ with respect to \mathcal{K} is $\ell : X_1 = 0$.

If $h \in Q_{-1}$, then ℓ is external to \mathcal{K} , and hence P_∞ is internal to \mathcal{K} . The secant lines to \mathcal{K} through P_∞ are $\frac{q+1}{2}$ by [10, Table 8.2]. The lines $m_0 : X_0 = 0$ and $m_\infty : X_2 = 0$ intersect \mathcal{K} in $(0, \pm\sqrt{h-1}, 1)$ in $(1, \pm\sqrt{h-1}, 0)$, respectively. Moreover, the line $m_{q-1} : X_0 - X_2 = 0$ is external to \mathcal{K} since $h \in Q_{-1}$. Thus, the number of lines to \mathcal{K} of the form $m_t : X_0 - \omega^t X_2 = 0$ with $t \in \{1, \dots, q-2\}$ intersecting \mathcal{K} is $\frac{q+1}{2} - 2 = \frac{q-3}{2}$, and hence the number of the external ones is $q-2 - \frac{q-3}{2} = \frac{q-1}{2}$. Thus, the assertion follows in this case.

If $h \in Q_{+1}$, then $\ell \cap \mathcal{K} = \{Q_1, Q_2\}$, where

$$Q_1 = \left(-\frac{\sqrt{h}+1}{\sqrt{h}-1}, 0, 1 \right) \text{ and } Q_2 = \left(-\frac{\sqrt{h}-1}{\sqrt{h}+1}, 0, 1 \right),$$

hence P_∞ is external to \mathcal{K} , and $a_1 : X_0 + \frac{\sqrt{h+1}}{\sqrt{h-1}} X_2 = 0$ and $a_2 : X_0 + \frac{\sqrt{h-1}}{\sqrt{h+1}} X_2 = 0$ are the tangents lines to \mathcal{K} through P_∞ . The secant lines to \mathcal{K} through P_∞ are $\frac{q-1}{2}$ by [10, Table 8.2]. The lines $m_0 : X_0 = 0$ and $m_\infty : X_2 = 0$ intersect \mathcal{K} in $(0, \pm\sqrt{h-1}, 1)$ in $(1, \pm\sqrt{h-1}, 0)$, respectively. Moreover, the line $m_{q-1} : X_0 - X_2 = 0$ intersects \mathcal{K} in $(1, \pm 2\sqrt{h}, 1)$. Thus, the number of lines to \mathcal{K} of the form $m_t : X_0 - \omega^t X_2 = 0$ with $t \in$

$\{1, \dots, q-2\}$ intersecting \mathcal{K} is $\frac{q-1}{2} - 2 - 1 = \frac{q-7}{2}$, and hence the number of the external ones is $q-2 - \frac{q-7}{2} = \frac{q+3}{2}$, which is equivalent to the number of elements $t \in \{2, \dots, q-1\}$ such that $\omega^{2t}(h-1) + \omega^t\theta(2h+2) + h-1 \in Q_{-1}$. Finally, excluding the remaining possible values $\frac{\sqrt{h-1}}{\sqrt{h+1}}, \frac{\sqrt{h+1}}{\sqrt{h-1}}$, the assertion follows. \square

Proposition 2.14 *If \mathcal{D} is a 2-design of type II, then $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. Assume that $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. Let $A_1 = (w^{2t_1}, 0, 1)$ and $A_2 = (w^{2t_2}, 0, 1)$ such that $\omega^{2t_0}(h-1) + \omega^{t_0}(2h+2) + h-1 \in Q_{-1}$ and $\omega^{t_0} \neq 1, \pm \frac{\sqrt{h-1}}{\sqrt{h+1}}, \pm \frac{\sqrt{h+1}}{\sqrt{h-1}}$, where $t_0 = t_1 - t_2$. Such t_0 does exist by Lemma 2.13 since $q \geq 7$. Then there is $\psi \in F_1$ by Proposition 2.11 such that $\{A_1, A_2\} \subset B^\psi$, where $B = \mathcal{C}_h^*$ with $h \in 1 + Q_{+1}$ by Lemma 2.9(1). Hence, there are two distinct points $P_1 = (h\mu_1^2, \mu_1, 1)$ and $P_2 = (h\mu_2^2, \mu_2, 1)$ in B such that $P_1^\psi = A_1$ and $P_2^\psi = A_2$.

If $\psi \in W$, then $P_i^\psi = \left(\frac{h\mu_i^2}{hc^2\mu_i^2+2c\mu_i+1}, \frac{\mu_i(ch\mu_i+1)}{hc^2\mu_i^2+2c\mu_i+1}, 1 \right)$ since $hc^2\mu_i^2+2c\mu_i+1 \neq 0$ (see Remark 2.12), and hence $P_i^\psi = A_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ if and only if $c = 1/h\mu_1 = 1/h\mu_2$. So $\mu_1 = \mu_2$, and we reach a contradiction. Then $\psi = \tau\gamma_{c_0}\alpha^{2u_0}$ for some $c_0 \in GF(q)$ and $1 \leq u_0 \leq \frac{q-1}{2}$. This is equivalent to say that (μ_1, c_0, u_0, t_1) and (μ_2, c_0, u_0, t_2) are two distinct solutions of the following system obtained by using (5) and (6) (see also Remark 2.12):

$$\begin{cases} (h\mu^2 + 2\mu + 1)c - (h\mu^2 - 1) = 0, \\ \omega^{2u} \frac{h\mu^2 + 2\mu + 1}{h(c+1)^2\mu^2 + 2(c^2-1)\mu + (c-1)^2} = \omega^{2t}. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

If $2h\mu_i^2 + \mu_i + 1 = 0$ with i either 1 or 2, then $h\mu_i^2 - 1 = 0$. Thus $\mu_i = -1$, and hence $h = 1$, a contradiction since $h \in 1 + Q_{+1}$. Therefore, $2\mu_i + h\mu_i^2 + 1 \neq 0$ for each $i = 1, 2$. Then substituting (μ_1, c_0, u_0) and (μ_2, c_0, u_0) in the first equation of (7) one obtains

$$c_0 = -\frac{h\mu_1^2 - 1}{h\mu_1^2 + 2\mu_1 + 1} = -\frac{h\mu_2^2 - 1}{h\mu_2^2 + 2\mu_2 + 1},$$

from which we derive $h(\mu_1 + 1)\mu_2 = -(h\mu_1 + 1)$. The previous argument leads to a contradiction if $\mu_1 = -1$, hence $\mu_1 \neq -1$. This implies

$$c_0 = -\frac{h\mu_1^2 - 1}{h\mu_1^2 + 2\mu_1 + 1} \text{ and } \mu_2 = -\frac{h\mu_1 + 1}{h(\mu_1 + 1)} \quad (8)$$

since $h \neq 0$. Note that $\mu_2 \neq 0$, hence $h\mu_1 + 1 \neq 0$. Now, substituting (μ_1, c_0, u_0, t_1) and (μ_2, c_0, u_0, t_2) , with c_0 and μ_2 as in (8), in the second equation of (7), we get

$$\omega^{2u} = \frac{4\mu_1^2(h-1)}{(h\mu_1^2 + 2\mu_1 + 1)^2} \omega^{2t_1} \text{ and } \omega^{2u} = \frac{4(\mu_1 + 1)^2(h\mu_1 + 1)^2}{(h-1)(h\mu_1^2 + 2\mu_1 + 1)^2} \omega^{2t_2}.$$

If $\omega^{u_1} = \frac{2\mu_1\sqrt{(h-1)}}{h\mu_1^2+2\mu_1+1}\omega^{t_1}$ and $\omega^{u_1} = -\frac{2(\mu_1+1)(h\mu_1+1)}{\sqrt{(h-1)}(h\mu_1^2+2\mu_1+1)}\omega^{t_2}$, then

$$\frac{2\mu_1\sqrt{(h-1)}}{h\mu_1^2+2\mu_1+1}\omega^{t_1} = -\frac{2(\mu_1+1)(h\mu_1+1)}{\sqrt{(h-1)}(h\mu_1^2+2\mu_1+1)}\omega^{t_2},$$

from which we deduce

$$\frac{\mu_1(h-1)}{(\mu_1+1)(h\mu_1+1)} = -\omega^{t_0}.$$

This leads

$$h\omega^{t_0}\mu_1^2 + (\omega^{t_0}(h+1) + h-1)\mu_1 + \omega^{t_0} = 0,$$

which has solutions if and only if

$$(\omega^{t_0}(h+1) + h-1)^2 - 4(h\omega^{t_0})\omega^{t_0} \in Q_{+1} \cup \{0\}.$$

Easy computations yield

$$(h-1)(\omega^{2t_0}(h-1) + \omega^{t_0}2(h+1) + h-1) \in Q_{+1} \cup \{0\},$$

and hence

$$\omega^{2t_0}(h-1) + \omega^{t_0}2(h+1) + h-1 \in Q_{+1} \cup \{0\}$$

since $h \in 1 + Q_+$, which is not the case by our assumption on ω^{t_0} . The same conclusion holds for $\omega^{u_1} = -\frac{2\mu_1\sqrt{(h-1)}}{h\mu_1^2+2\mu_1+1}\omega^{t_1}$ and $\omega^{u_1} = \frac{2(\mu_1+1)(h\mu_1+1)}{\sqrt{(h-1)}(h\mu_1^2+2\mu_1+1)}\omega^{t_2}$.

Thus $\omega^{u_1} = \frac{2\mu_1\sqrt{(h-1)}}{h\mu_1^2+2\mu_1+1}\omega^{t_1}$, $\omega^{u_1} = \frac{2(\mu_1+1)(h\mu_1+1)}{\sqrt{(h-1)}(h\mu_1^2+2\mu_1+1)}\omega^{t_2}$ and $\omega^{u_2} = -\omega^{u_1}$. Now, arguing as above, one obtains

$$h\omega^{t_0}\mu_1^2 + (\omega^{t_0}(h+1) + h-1)\mu_1 - \omega^{t_0} = 0 \tag{9}$$

with

$$\omega^{2t_0}(h-1) - \omega^{t_0}2(h+1) + h-1 \in Q_{+1} \cup \{0\}.$$

If $\omega^{2t_0}(h-1) - \omega^{t_0}2(h+1) + h-1 = 0$, then $\omega^{t_0} = \frac{\sqrt{h-1}}{\sqrt{h+1}}$ or $\frac{\sqrt{h+1}}{\sqrt{h-1}}$ which is still contrary to our assumption. Therefore

$$\omega^{2t_0}(h-1) - \omega^{t_0}2(h+1) + h-1 \in Q_{+1},$$

and hence there are two distinct solutions μ_1, μ'_1 of (9). It results that

$$\begin{aligned} c(x) &= -\frac{hx^2-1}{hx^2+2x+1}, \mu_2(x) = -\frac{hx+1}{h(x+1)} \text{ and } \omega^{u_1}(x) = \frac{2x\sqrt{(h-1)}}{hx^2+2x+1}\omega^{t_1}, \\ u_2 &= u_1 + \frac{q-1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

with $x = \mu_1, \mu'_1$ are solutions of (7). Hence, $\{P_1(x), P_2(x)\}^{\tau\gamma_c(x)\alpha^{2u_i(x)}} = \{A_1, A_2\}$ for $i = 1, 2$, where $P_1(x) = (hx^2, hx, 1)$ and $P_2(x) = (h\mu_2(x)^2, h\mu_2(x), 1)$ and $x = \mu_1, \mu'_1$. Moreover, the set $\{P_1(\mu_1), P_2(\mu_1)\} \neq \{P_1(\mu'_1), P_2(\mu'_1)\}$ since $\mu_1 \neq \mu'_1$. Note that $\tau_1\gamma_c(x)\alpha^{2u_i(x)}$ with $x = \mu_1, \mu'_1$ and $i = 1, 2$ are four distinct elements of F_1 by Lemma 2.10(iii). Then $B^{\tau_1\gamma_c(x)\alpha^{2u_i(x)}}$ with $x = \mu_1, \mu'_1$ and $i = 1, 2$ are four distinct blocks of \mathcal{D} by Proposition 2.11, and all of them are such that $\{A_1, A_2\} \subseteq B^{\tau\gamma_c(x)\alpha^{2u_i(x)}}$. This violates $\lambda = 2$. Thus $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ is ruled out, and hence the assertion follows since q is odd.

2.2.3 Reduction to the case $q = 5$

In this final section, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.5 by handling the remaining case $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Lemma 2.15 *The number of unordered pairs of distinct points of \mathcal{O}_∞ contained in a block of \mathcal{D} of the form B^{γ_c} with $c \in GF(q)^*$ is $\frac{q-1}{4}$ for $B = \mathcal{O}_{h,i} \cup \mathcal{O}_{h^{p^m},i}$, $i \in \{-1, +1\}$, and 0 otherwise.*

Proof. Let B be any block of \mathcal{D} , then either $B = \mathcal{C}_h^*$ for some $h \in 1 + Q_{-1}$, or $B = \mathcal{O}_{h,i} \cup \mathcal{O}_{h^{p^m},j}$ for some $h \in (1 + Q_{-1}) \cap Q_{+1}$, $h^{p^m} \neq h$ and $h^{p^{2m}} = h$, and $i, j \in \{-1, +1\}$ by Lemma 2.9(2). In the former case, for each $c \in GF(q)^*$, it is easy to see that $B^{\gamma_c} \cap \mathcal{O}_\infty = \{P_c\}$ where $P_c = (h(1/ch)^2, 1/ch, 1)$ and $P_c^{\gamma_c} = (1/c^2(h-1), 0, 1)$. Thus, the number of unordered pairs of distinct points of \mathcal{O}_∞ contained in a block of \mathcal{D} of the form B^{γ_c} with $c \in GF(q)^*$ is 0 in this case.

Assume that $B = \mathcal{O}_{h,i} \cup \mathcal{O}_{h^{p^m},j}$ for some $h \in (h \in 1 + Q_{-1}) \cap Q_{+1}$, $h^{p^m} \neq h$ and $h^{p^{2m}} = h$, and $i, j \in \{-1, +1\}$. Let $c \in GF(q)^*$, then P_c as above and $R_c = (h^{p^m}(1/ch^{p^m})^2, 1/ch^{p^m}, 1)$ are the unique I -points of \mathcal{C} which are mapped by γ_c onto points of $\ell : X_1 = 0$ (here, $R_c^{\gamma_c} = (1/c^2(h^{p^m} - 1), 0, 1)$). Further, $P_c \neq R_c$ since $h^{p^m} \neq h$, hence $P_c^{\gamma_c} \neq R_c^{\gamma_c}$.

Since $h \in Q_+$ and $B = \mathcal{O}_{h,i} \cup \mathcal{O}_{h^{p^m},j}$, it follows that both P_c and R_c lie in B if and only if $i = j$ and $c \in Q_i$. Thus, $|B^{\gamma_c} \cap \mathcal{O}_\infty| = 2$ if and only if $i = j$ and $c \in Q_i$. When this occurs, $B^{\gamma_c} \cap \mathcal{O}_\infty = B^{\gamma^{-c}} \cap \mathcal{O}_\infty$ since $P_c^{\gamma^{-c}} = P_c^{\gamma_c}$ and $R_c^{\gamma^{-c}} = R_c^{\gamma_c}$. Therefore, the number of unordered pairs of distinct points of \mathcal{O}_∞ contained in a block of \mathcal{D} of the form B^{γ_c} with $c \in Q_i$ is $\frac{q-1}{4}$ since $|Q_i| = \frac{q-1}{2}$ and for each $c \in Q_i$ one has $B^{\gamma_c} \cap \mathcal{O}_\infty = B^{\gamma^{-c}} \cap \mathcal{O}_\infty$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.5. The aim of this proof is to demonstrate that there are no $2-\left(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}, q-1, 2\right)$ designs \mathcal{D} admitting $PSL(2, q) \trianglelefteq G \leq P\Gamma L(2, q)$ as a flag-transitive

automorphisms group when $q > 5$. We will prove this by showing that there are pairs of points such that if there is block containing them, then the number distinct blocks containing them is at least four.

The I -points of \mathcal{C} contained in ℓ are exactly those of \mathcal{O}_∞ , which are $\frac{q-1}{2}$ points. Hence, the number of unordered pairs of distinct points of \mathcal{O}_∞ is $\frac{(q-1)(q-3)}{8}$. Let $\{A_1, A_2\}$ be any such pair, then there is an element of \mathcal{B} containing it since \mathcal{D} is a 2-design, and hence there is $\psi \in F_{\xi_1, \xi_2}$ such that $A_1, A_2 \in B^\psi$ by Proposition 2.11, where either $B = \mathcal{O}_{h,i} \cup \mathcal{O}_{h',j}$ with $h' = h$ and $i, j \in \{-1, +1\}$, $i \neq j$, and hence $B = \mathcal{C}_h^*$, or $h' = h^{p^m} \neq h$, $h^{p^{2m}} = h$ for some $1 \leq m \leq f/2$, and $i, j \in \{-1, +1\}$ by Lemma 2.9(2).

Note that, $\psi \neq 1$ since $B \cap \ell = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{O}_\infty \subset \ell$, where $\ell : X_1 = 0$. Now, $\psi \in W$ if and only if $B = \mathcal{O}_{h,i} \cup \mathcal{O}_{h^{p^m},i}$ by Lemma 2.15. Further, the set Y consisting of the unordered pairs of distinct points of \mathcal{O}_∞ not contained in a block of the form $B^{\gamma c}$ with $c \in GF(q)^*$, is $\frac{(q-1)(q-3)}{8} - \frac{q-1}{4}$ or $\frac{(q-1)(q-3)}{8}$ according as B is equal or not to $\mathcal{O}_{h,i} \cup \mathcal{O}_{h^{p^m},i}$, respectively. Thus $|Y| > 1$ since $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $q > 5$, and hence each pair $\{A_1, A_2\} \in X$ is contained in B^ψ for some $\psi = \tau_\xi \gamma_{c_0} \alpha^{2u_0}$ with $\xi \in \{\xi_1, \xi_2\}$, $c_0 \in GF(q)$ and $1 \leq u_0 \leq \frac{q-1}{2}$ by Proposition 2.11.

Now, $A_1 = (\omega^{2t_1+1}, 0, 1)$ and $A_2 = (\omega^{2t_2+1}, 0, 1)$ for some fixed $t_1, t_2 \in \{1, \dots, \frac{q-1}{2}\}$ with $t_1 \neq t_2$ by Lemma 2.7(ii.b) since A_1 and A_2 are distinct I -points of \mathcal{C} lying in \mathcal{O}_∞ . Then there are $P_1, P_2 \in B$ such that $P_1^\psi = A_1$ and $P_2^\psi = A_2$. Hence, there are $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in GF(q)^*$ such that either $P_1 = (h\mu_1^2, \mu_1, 1)$ and $P_2 = (h'\mu_2^2, \mu_2, 1)$, or $P_1 = (h\mu_1^2, \mu_1, 1)$ and $P_2 = (h\mu_2^2, \mu_2, 1)$, or $P_1 = (h'\mu_1^2, \mu_1, 1)$ and $P_2 = (h'\mu_2^2, \mu_2, 1)$ by Lemma 2.9.

Assume that $P_1 = (h\mu_1^2, \mu_1, 1)$ and $P_2 = (h'\mu_2^2, \mu_2, 1)$. Then $P_1^\psi = A_1$ and $P_2^\psi = A_2$ is equivalent to say that for the fixed $t_1, t_2 \in \{1, \dots, \frac{q-1}{2}\}$ with $t_1 \neq t_2$ there is a solution (c_0, u_0, μ_1, μ_2) of the following system of equations determined by using (5) and (6) (see also Remark 2.12):

$$\begin{cases} h(\xi + c)\mu_1^2 + (\xi^2 + 2c\xi - 1)\mu_1 + \xi(c\xi - 1) = 0, \\ \omega^{4u} \frac{h\mu_1^2 + 2\xi\mu_1 + \xi^2}{h(c+\xi)^2\mu_1^2 + 2(c\xi-1)(c+\xi)\mu_1 + (c\xi-1)^2} = \omega^{2t_1+1}, \\ h'(\xi + c)\mu_2^2 + (\xi^2 + 2c\xi - 1)\mu_2 + \xi(c\xi - 1) = 0, \\ \omega^{4u} \frac{h'\mu_2^2 + 2\xi\mu_2 + \xi^2}{h'(c+\xi)^2\mu_2^2 + 2(c\xi-1)(c+\xi)\mu_2 + (c\xi-1)^2} = \omega^{2t_2+1}. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Since $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, it follows that there are exactly four distinct solutions of the equations $\omega^{4u} = \omega^{4u_0}$, say ω^{u_e} with $e = 0, 1, 2, 3$. In particular, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3 are pairwise distinct. Hence, for the fixed $t_1, t_2 \in \{1, \dots, \frac{q-1}{2}\}$ with $t_1 \neq t_2$ the quadruples (c_0, u_i, μ_1, μ_2) with $i = 1, 2, 3$ are also solutions of (10). Therefore, $P_1^{\psi_e} = A_1$ and $P_2^{\psi_e} = A_2$ with $\psi_e =$

$\tau_\xi \gamma_{c_0} \alpha^{2u_e}$ and $\psi_0 = \psi$, and hence $A_1, A_2 \in B^{\psi_e}$ for $e = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Further, ψ_e with $e = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are four distinct elements of F_{ξ_1, ξ_2} .

If $c_0 \neq \frac{1}{2}(\xi^{-1} - \xi)$, then B^{ψ_e} with $e = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are four distinct blocks of \mathcal{D} by Lemma 2.10(3), and each of these contains $\{A_1, A_2\}$. This violates $\lambda = 2$. Therefore, each element in Y is contained in exactly 2 blocks of the form $B^{\tau_\xi \gamma_{c_0} \alpha^{2u_0}}$ with $c_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\xi^{-1} - \xi)$ since \mathcal{D} is a 2-design with $\lambda = 2$.

The number of elements in F_{ξ_1, ξ_2} with $c_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\xi^{-1} - \xi)$ and $\xi \in \{\xi_1, \xi_2\}$ is $q - 1$ by Lemma 2.10(3)(4) since $\chi(\xi_1 \xi_2) = -1$, and these are such that $B^{\tau_\xi \gamma_{c_0} \alpha^{2u_0}} = B^{\tau_\xi \gamma_{c_0} \alpha^{2u_0 + \frac{q-1}{2}}}$. Hence, the number of distinct blocks of \mathcal{D} of the form $B^{\tau_\xi \gamma_{c_0} \alpha^{2u_0}}$ with $c_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\xi^{-1} - \xi)$ and $\xi \in \{\xi_1, \xi_2\}$ is $\frac{q-1}{2}$ by Lemma 2.10(3). Then $|Y| \leq \frac{q-1}{4}$ since each element in Y is contained in exactly 2 blocks of the form $B^{\tau_\xi \gamma_{c_0} \alpha^{2u_0}}$ with $c_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\xi^{-1} - \xi)$ and $\xi \in \{\xi_1, \xi_2\}$. Then $q \leq 7$ since $|Y|$ is either $\frac{(q-1)(q-3)}{8}$ or $\frac{(q-1)(q-3)}{8} - \frac{q-1}{4}$, but this contradicts $q > 5$ and $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. The cases $P_1 = (h\mu_1^2, \mu_1, 1)$ and $P_2 = (h\mu_2^2, \mu_2, 1)$, or $P_1 = (h'\mu_1^2, \mu_1, 1)$ and $P_2 = (h'\mu_2^2, \mu_2, 1)$ are ruled out similarly. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.5. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It follows immediately from Proposition 2.1 and Theorems 2.2 and 2.5. \square

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

References

- [1] S.H. Alavi, Almost simple groups as flag-transitive automorphism groups of 2-designs with $\lambda = 2$, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2307.05195>.
- [2] S.H. Alavi, M. Bayat, A. Daneshkhah, M. Tadbirinia, Classical groups as flag-transitive automorphism groups of 2-designs with $\lambda = 2$, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **206** (2024) 105892.
- [3] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel, H. Lenz, *Design Theory, Vol I*, Cambridge University Press, Second Edition, 1998.

- [4] J.N. Bray, D.F. Holt, C.M. Roney-Dougal, The maximal subgroups of the low-dimensional finite classical groups, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.: 407, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013, xiv+438 pp.
- [5] F. Buekenhout, A. Delandtsheer, J. Doyen, Finite linear spaces with flag-transitive groups, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **49** (1988) 268-293.
- [6] F. Buekenhout, A. Delandtsheer, J. Doyen, P.B. Kleidman, M. Liebeck, J. Saxl, Linear spaces with flag-transitive automorphism groups, Geom. Dedic. **36** (1990) 89-94.
- [7] H. Davies, Flag-transitivity and primitivity, Discrete Math. **63** (1987) 91-93.
- [8] A. Devillers, H. Liang, C.E. Praeger, B. Xia, On flag-transitive $2-(v, k, 2)$ design, J. Comb. Theory Ser. A **177** (2021) 105309.
- [9] D.G. Higman, J.E. Mclaughlin, Geometric ABA-groups, Ill. J. Math. **5** (1961) 382-397.
- [10] J.W.P. Hirshfeld, Finite Projective Spaces of Three Dimensions, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, 1985.
- [11] D.R. Hughes, F.C. Piper, Projective Planes, Springer, New York, Berlin, 1973.
- [12] H. Liang, S. Zhou, Flag-transitive point-primitive automorphism groups of non-symmetric $2-(v, k, 2)$ designs, J. Comb. Des. **24** (2016) 421-435.
- [13] H. Liang, S. Zhou, Flag-transitive point-primitive non-symmetric $2-(v, k, 2)$ designs with alternating socle, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **23** (2016) 559-571.
- [14] H. Liang, A. Montinaro, A Classification of the flag-transitive $2-(v, k, 2)$ designs, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.02311>.
- [15] A. Montinaro, E. Francot, On flag-transitive $2-(k^2, k, \lambda)$ designs with $\lambda \mid k$, J. Comb. Des. **30** (2022) 653-670.
- [16] E. O'Reilly Regueiro, On primitivity and reduction for flag-transitive symmetric designs, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A **109** (2005) 135-148.
- [17] E. O'Reilly Regueiro, Biplanes with flag-transitive automorphism groups of almost simple type, with alternating or sporadic socle, Eur. J. Comb. **26** (2005) 577-584.

- [18] E. O'Reilly Regueiro, Biplanes with flag-transitive automorphism groups of almost simple type, with classical socle, *J. Algebraic Comb.* **26** (2007) 529–552.
- [19] E. O'Reilly Regueiro, Biplanes with flag-transitive automorphism groups of almost simple type, with exceptional socle, *J. Algebraic Comb.* **27** (2008) 479–491.