

Avoid Arguments and Escape with Your Self: Expressive Subtyping and Decidable Bidirectional Checking for Reachability Types

SONGLIN JIA, Purdue University, USA

GUANNAN WEI, Purdue University, USA

SIYUAN HE, Purdue University, USA

YUYAN BAO, Augusta University, USA

TIARK ROMPF, Purdue University, USA

Despite Rust’s success in systems programming, its “shared XOR mutable” principle significantly restricts how mutable values can be used, precluding many useful functional programming idioms. Reachability types are a recent proposal to address the key limitations of Rust-style approaches by *tracking*, rather than prohibiting, shared, escaping, and mutable data, even in the presence of higher-order functions and polymorphic types. The key to enabling such expressiveness is the notion of self-references in reachability qualifiers. However, self-references present major challenges in designing expressive subtyping and decidable type checking algorithms, since self-references are neither fully covariant nor fully contravariant, yet still need to vary in certain circumstances. This lack of an effective type checking algorithm is a key impediment toward making reachability types truly practical, and leveraging them to bring the benefits of programming with lifetimes and sharing to practical higher-level languages.

In this paper, we investigate the issues of subtyping and type checking of self-references for reachability types. We address key gaps in previous work by proposing a refined notion of subtyping, which more smoothly supports features such as Church-encoded datatypes, making the overall system more expressive. We also develop a sound and decidable bidirectional type checking algorithm, implemented and verified in Coq.

1 Introduction

Mutable state with possible aliasing enables flexible and expressive programming patterns, but is also non-trivial to reason about, thus leading to unsafe programs, resource leaks, and even security issues. For this reason, there has been a surge of interest in language designs that regulate aliasing or mutability through a type system [Clarke et al. 2013, 1998; Tschantz and Ernst 2005; Zibin et al. 2010]. Rust [Matsakis and Klock 2014], the most notable example, has shown that lifetime tracking based on ownership types is an eminently practical way of ensuring memory safety and other desirable properties in a low-level imperative system language. Although Rust’s approach is practical and seeing widespread adoption, it also remains fairly restrictive: its underlying “shared XOR mutable” model severely constrains how mutable values can be used. Specifically, any sharing of resources precludes mutation, preventing programmers from using many common functional programming idioms that are based on capturing and sharing mutable values (see, e.g., Figure 1).

Reachability types [Bao et al. 2021; Wei et al. 2024] are a recent proposal to address the key limitations of Rust-style “shared XOR mutable” approaches, and bring the benefits of programming with lifetimes and sharing to higher-level languages. The basic idea is to augment the type system with an additional qualifier that tracks the reachable resources of an expression. Instead of imposing global invariants on mutable references as in Rust, reachability types *track* sharing and reachability

Authors’ addresses: Songlin Jia, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA, jia137@purdue.edu; Guannan Wei, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA, guannanwei@purdue.edu; Siyuan He, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA, he662@purdue.edu; Yuyan Bao, Augusta University, USA, yubao@augusta.edu; Tiark Rompf, Purdue University, USA, tiark@purdue.edu.

2024. ACM XXXX-XXXX/2024/7-ART

<https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

```

def counter(n: Int) = {
  val c = ref n
  (() => c += 1, () => c -= 1)
}

// instantiate the self-reference p with bound name ctr:
val ctr = counter(0)

// name ctr abstracts over its captured variables:
val incr = fst(ctr)
val decr = snd(ctr)

```

Fig. 1. Expressiveness gains of reachability types over Rust for common FP idioms (example from Wei et al. [2024]): self references in the types of escaping values such as $\mu p.\text{Pair}[A^p, B^p]$ enable safe sharing of mutable values. The example is not typeable in safe Rust, due to Rust’s “shared XOR mutable” policy.

information, imposing restrictions such as uniqueness and separation at a higher level. Such a design overcomes the key limitation of Rust-style approaches, and supports tracking lifetimes and reachability of *shared, escaping, and mutable data*, even in the presence of higher-order functions and polymorphic types. However, while reachability types have shown great promise for increased expressiveness, they have not so far been shown to be truly practical: Bao et al. [2021]; Wei et al. [2024] have presented reachability type systems in a declarative formulation along with mechanized proofs of type soundness but have left practical type checking and inference algorithms as open challenges. Furthermore, some gaps remain in understanding how to extend the core calculi with richer language features such as algebraic data types.

Self-references for Tracking Escaped Data The key ingredient that enables faithful tracking of escaping values is the notion of *self-references* in reachability qualifiers, a concept adopted from path-dependent types in DOT [Amin et al. 2016; Rompf and Amin 2016]. In the core calculus of reachability types, self-references are identifiers attached to functions, but can be generalized to other types, e.g., data structures. When used in qualifiers, self-references serve as an upper bound on the reachability of objects they are attached to, thus providing a lightweight mechanism to encapsulate reachability when dealing with escaping. For example, f is the self-reference for function type $f(x : T_1) \rightarrow T_2$, and f over-approximates the free variables observed by the function.

Using a concrete example, Figure 1 illustrates the use of self-references to track shared, escaping, and mutable data. The function `counter` returns a pair of functions, which both capture the same locally defined mutable reference `c`. Once leaving the defining scope of `c`, we do not have a direct, nominal way to refer to the underlying mutable resource. To properly maintain the sharing invariant between the two returned functions after escaping, reachability types assign a self-reference p to the pair object, which is then referenced by the two returned functions, indicating that these two functions reach some shared resource hidden under p . Once the returned value is bound to another variable `ctr`, we can instantiate the self-reference to `ctr`. The projected components from the pair both reach `ctr`, thus the sharing invariant is properly maintained after escaping.

Challenges of Adopting Self-references Unfortunately but not surprisingly, self-references are also the biggest source of trouble for (1) designing a subtyping relation that is sound and expressive and (2) a type checking/inference algorithm that is sound and decidable. These challenges impair the practical adoption of reachability types. The key reason is that self-references are neither fully covariant nor fully contravariant (see discussion in Section 2.2.2), but still need to vary in certain circumstances to be useful. When used in practice, for example, to type check the `counter` function in Figure 1 involving escaping data structures, prior work by Wei et al. [2024] requires a term-level coercion to re-type a term, where a more natural way would be to “upcast” the qualifier

to self-references using the subtyping relation. Extending subtyping for expressiveness but without special care for self-references can lead to unsoundness in terms of tracking reachability.

When it comes to a practical implementation, although bidirectional typing [Dunfield and Krishnaswami 2022] is well-developed for the underlying substrate of reachability types (i.e., System $F_{<}$), a type checking algorithm still has to decide a subtyping relation involving self-references. It is also desirable for the inference algorithm to infer when a type should be upcast to subsuming self-references, which is necessary to avoid deducing ill-scoped qualifiers. A similar and longstanding issue has been described as the *avoidance problem* in the context of ML-style module systems [Crary 2020; Dreyer et al. 2005].

Refined Calculus with Expressive Subtyping We first develop a refined λ_R^\star -calculus for reachability types based on the key observation that subtyping involving self-references is meaningful only with respect to the observable locations of the corresponding value. Thus, subtyping rules for types and qualifiers need to be combined. By providing multiple rules for self-references in different positions, along with the new concept of qualifier *growth* (see Section 2.2.3), the refined calculus is made sound and expressive. The calculus also adapts function application rules to align with the semantics of self-references and to make it more amenable for type checking.

Bidirectional Type-and-Qualifier Checking After presenting the declarative rules of the refined calculus, we develop a corresponding bidirectional typing algorithm in four steps. First, the qualifier subsumption algorithm unfolds qualifiers along dependencies. Second, the subtyping algorithm checks type subsumption without non-deterministic transitivity. Third, the avoidance algorithm upcasts types to avoid ill-scoped qualifiers. Lastly, at the top level, the bidirectional typing algorithm switches among three checking/inference modes, including one hybrid mode to check against an expected type while synthesizing a qualifier. While our calculus includes no quantified type abstraction, this hybrid mode enables a lightweight form of qualifier polymorphism through dependent application. We further present the soundness and decidability results of our algorithm with mechanized proofs.

Data Structure Encodings We investigate the expressiveness of our systems by discussing Church-encoded data types. On the one hand, these are indispensable tools in everyday programming, and thus their encodings justify adding corresponding primitives to the language. On the other hand, the data structure encodings themselves are higher-order programs, which serve as a validation of the expressiveness and effectiveness of our type checking algorithm. We present a case study on Church-pairs, inspecting their usages, subtyping derivations, and annotation requirements. We further discuss our prototype implementation and the possible extensions.

Contributions and Organization In this work, we address key gaps in previous developments on reachability types [Bao et al. 2021; Wei et al. 2024] by (1) designing the λ_R^\star -calculus with a refined notion of subtyping, and (2) developing a sound and decidable bidirectional typing algorithm, verified in Coq and implemented as a prototype.¹ Our subtyping relation and typing algorithm lead to smoother support for a variety of high-level constructs used in practice (such as pairs in Figure 1).

- We introduce the basic mechanism of reachability types, and identify the key challenges in realizing effective type checking/inference procedures related to self-references (Section 2). We discuss soundness pitfalls in naively extending the subtyping relation for self-references.
- To soundly improve the expressiveness of the subtyping relation, we present the λ_R^\star -calculus with key changes in subtyping (Section 3). The refined subtyping rules allow flexible dependencies to arguments and self-references in both covariant and contravariant positions.

¹Available in the supplemental materials.

- We present a bidirectional typing algorithm for our calculus with proofs of soundness and decidability (Section 4). The algorithm is deterministic and includes a hybrid mode combining type checking and qualifier inference.
- We present a case study on the Church-encoding of data structures (Section 5). In contrast to prior work [Wei et al. 2024], the refined calculus does not require term-level coercions to handle escaping data, demonstrating its improvement in expressiveness.
- We further discuss an extension of the calculus with flow-sensitive effects and its effect checking/inference algorithm (Section 6). The effect system enables move semantics and compiler optimizations in imperative higher-order languages.

We discuss related work in Section 7 and conclude the paper with Section 8.

2 Motivation

In this section, we first informally introduce the key mechanics of reachability types based on Wei et al. [2024]’s formalization λ^\diamond . We then discuss how to address the limitations on self-references in λ^\diamond and motivate the design of λ_R^\diamond in Section 2.2. We further discuss the challenges in putting λ_R^\diamond into practice via bidirectional typing in Section 2.3.

2.1 Reachability Types in a Nutshell

2.1.1 Qualifiers and Freshness Reachability types track the reachable definitions of expressions via type qualifiers. Shown below, the variable n can be typed with its own name n as the qualifier once defined. Semantically, a qualifier denotes a set of memory locations reachable from the value, and subtyping states that locations on the left are bounded by the right-hand side. As integer values are primitive, the qualifier n can eventually be “widened” to the empty set \emptyset by looking at the context:

```
val n = 1; n // [ n: Int∅ ] ⊢ Intn <: Int∅
```

To track memory references, Wei et al. [2024] introduce the freshness marker \diamond for fresh allocations that are not (yet) associated with a name. Shown below is a fresh reference marked with \diamond that is then bound to name m . Unlike integers, however, the name m cannot be detached from the qualifier, so as not to conflate m for multiple separate values, since each fresh value is considered distinct.

```
val m = ref n; m // [ ..., m: Ref[Int]∅n ] ⊢ Ref[Int]m ↯: Ref[Int]∅n
```

When leaving the scope of m , we do have to remove m from the qualifier for well-scopedness. This is called *avoidance* and obtained by substituting m with $\diamond n$ (cf. Section 2.1.2) in the reachability qualifier, assuming n remains in scope. Thus, escaping can make a non-fresh value fresh again:

```
{ val m = ref n; m } // Ref[Int]∅n = (Ref[Int]m)[m ↦  $\diamond n$ ]
```

However, it is important to note that substitution is no longer sound once the escaping data is captured in a larger construct. Consider returning a pair (m, m) . If we substitute the qualifier m in both components when escaping, extracting the components later yields two distinct fresh references sharing the *same* actual value m , which is unsound:

```
val p = { val m = ref n; (m, m) } // p: Pair[Ref[Int]∅n, Ref[Int]∅n]∅n
val m1 = fst(p) // m1: Ref[Int]∅n ← Unsound
val m2 = snd(p) // m2: Ref[Int]∅n ← Unsound
```

Reachability types address this issue by introducing a *self-reference* for the returned pair, so that the components can refer to the containing object. Once assigning the pair to a variable, extracted components refer to that assigned variable, thus the sharing invariant is maintained.

```
val p = { val m = ref n; (m, m) } // p:  $\mu p$ . Pair[Ref[Int]p, Ref[Int]p]∅n
val m1 = fst(p) // m1: Ref[Int]p
val m2 = snd(p) // m2: Ref[Int]p
```

In the spirit of a core calculus, we seek to *encode* features such as **let**-bindings and pairs in terms of functions (cf. Section 5). Hence, we need to understand the typing of functions next.

2.1.2 Aliasing and Separation in Functions Modular reasoning about aliasing is enabled via function types. As exemplified below, the function `falias` observes the free variable `l`, thus carrying `l` in its qualifier. With the formal argument `x` qualified by $\{m, l\}$, the actual argument can alias to at most `m` and `l`. Reachability types feature a lightweight form of reachability polymorphism via *dependent application* [Boruch-Gruszecki et al. 2023; Wei et al. 2024]. For example, the return type of `falias` is qualified by `x`, which will be substituted with the actual argument qualifier when applied.

```

val l = ref 0
def falias(x: Ref[Int]m,l) = // falias: ((x: Ref[Int]m,l) => Ref[Int]x)l
  { x := !l; x }
falias(l)           // Ref[Int]l = (Ref[Int]x)[x ↦ l]
falias(m)           // Ref[Int]m = (Ref[Int]x)[x ↦ m]
falias(ref 0)       // Error: ♦ ↯: m, l

```

To enforce separation, the freshness marker \diamond has a *contextual* meaning when used in function argument qualifiers: these functions can accept arguments that are not yet observed by the function (i.e., separate from the function). Thus, the function `fsepa` below cannot be applied to `l`, as `l` is neither permitted by `m` nor separate from `fsepa`. On the other hand, application to `m` or to a fresh reference is allowed.

```

def fsepa(x: Ref[Int]*m) = // fsepa: ((x: Ref[Int]*m) => Ref[Int]x)l
  x := !l; x
fsepa(l)           // Error: l is observed by the function, thus not fresh
fsepa(m)           // Ref[Int]m = (Ref[Int]x)[x ↦ m]
fsepa(ref 0)       // Ref[Int]♦ = (Ref[Int]x)[x ↦ ♦]

```

Ideally, references to the formal parameter can be deeply nested inside the return type, not just the outermost qualifier, allowing to express *deep dependency* in higher-order programs. Showcased by `fdeep` below, a curried function taking two separate arguments, all occurrences of `x` are replaced with the actual argument qualifier `m` at the time of application:

```

def fdeep(x: Ref[Int]♦) = // fdeep: ((x: Ref[Int]♦) => <type of inner>)∅
  def inner(y: Ref[Int]♦) = // inner: ((y: Ref[Int]♦) => Ref[Int]x)x
    x := !y; x
  inner
fdeep(m)           // ((y: Ref[Int]♦) => Ref[Int]m)m = <type of inner>[x ↦ m]

```

2.2 Typing and Subtyping Self-References

The usage of self-references can be motivated from typing a variant of the above `fdeep` function: what if we want to apply `fdeep` to a fresh allocation? At first glance, this seems possible, since the argument is indeed separate from the function. However, naively substituting the argument variable with \diamond in `inner` leads to an unsound result type and qualifier:

```

val c1 = fdeep(ref 0) // c1: ((y: Ref[Int]♦) => Ref[Int]♦)♦ = <type of inner>[x ↦ ♦]
c1(m)                // Unsound: Ref[Int]♦, should be aliased to fdeep's argument

```

By dependent application, the type of result `c1` carries two additional freshness markers. Since a \diamond indicates separation from another, applying `c1` multiple times appears to yield distinct fresh results. Unfortunately, this is unsound, since in fact `c1` always returns the same underlying resource.

To soundly permit this application, we need to type `fdeep` alternatively with self-references to model a notion of capturing. Borrowed from Dependent Object Types (DOT) [Amin et al. 2016], self-references provide an identifier that can be referred to by the subcomponents of an enclosing

object. Here we type `fdeep` again by self-reference types. The return type of `inner` is now qualified by `inner` itself, and the chain of aliasing is preserved through mapping `inner` to the closure `cl`.

```
def fdeep(x: Ref[Int]♦) = // fdeep: ((x: Ref[Int]♦) => <type of inner>)⊙
  def inner(y: Ref[Int]♦) = // inner: ((y: Ref[Int]♦) => Ref[Int]inner)x
    x := !y; x
  inner
val cl = fdeep(ref 0) // cl: ((y: Ref[Int]♦) => Ref[Int]cl)♦
// = <type of inner>[x ↦ ♦, inner ↦ cl]
cl(m) // Ref[Int]cl
```

While typing with self-references enables soundly tracking escaping closures with fresh resources, it is neither natural for inference, nor as precise as typing with deep dependency for non-fresh cases.² To enjoy the best of both worlds, we need to connect the two type signatures of `fdeep` via subtyping. However, this is non-trivial and was left as an open challenge by Wei et al. [2024]. In essence, self-references in function types are neither covariant nor contravariant. We address this challenge in Section 3.3, and here we present examples to motivate the later formal development.

2.2.1 Disconnected Subtyping and Qualifier Rules We first revisit Wei et al. [2024]’s self-reference typing. At the very core of their design, self-references can be considered as upper bounds to function observations (modulo congruence):

$$\frac{f : F^q \in \Gamma \quad \blacklozenge \notin q}{\Gamma \vdash q <: f} \quad (\text{Q-SELF})$$

It is natural so that we could replace an argument covered by q with f , as how we would like to type `fdeep`. What is stopping us then? We need to consider (Q-SELF) in the big picture of function subtyping:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P <: O \quad \Gamma, f : (f(x : O) \rightarrow Q)^\blacklozenge, x : P \vdash Q <: R}{\Gamma \vdash f(x : O) \rightarrow Q <: f(x : P) \rightarrow R} \quad (\text{S-FUN})$$

By its dependent nature, (S-FUN) would extend the context Γ with f and x when checking $Q <: R$. However, in the context f ’s qualifier is always set to \blacklozenge ! With separate subtyping relations for types and qualifiers, Wei et al. [2024] overlooks that qualifiers occur inside types, and thus (Q-SELF) could never apply. As a result, they can only support escaping closures by η -expansions that re-type the term. Moreover, context extending is absent for argument types (*i.e.*, $P <: O$) due to the difficulty in handling the variance of self-references. This disallows any subtyping with self-references in argument types, despite they are allowed for introduction and necessary for expressiveness.

To conclude, subtyping with self-references is restricted in both contravariant and covariant positions. However, such limitations are essential to the soundness of λ^\blacklozenge . In the following sections, we discuss the unsoundness pitfalls behind them and how to deal with them.

2.2.2 Variation in the Wrong Direction We starting by considering self-references in contravariant positions. We assume a fictional variant of λ^\blacklozenge with a tweaked (S-FUN) rule to properly extend the context and allow (Q-SELF) there. Shown below, the function `fneg` carries a self-reference on its argument x . By (Q-SELF), the actual argument can be up to `fneg`’s observation, *i.e.*, m , but not l .

```
def fneg(x: Ref[Int]fneg) = !x + !m // fneg: ((x: Ref[Int]fneg) => Int)⊙m
fneg(m) // m <: fneg
fneg(l) // Error: l ↯: fneg
```

²If multiple resources are noted by the same self-reference, they can no longer be distinguished.

A desirable property from λ^\diamond is that top-level qualifiers can be enlarged without changing types.³ Thus, we can reassign `fneg` to `fneg2`, extending its qualifier by ι .⁴ Accidentally, this also allows applying `fneg2` to `l`, breaking the separation guarantee from `fneg` that only allows `m` to be applied. The problem is that argument types should be contravariant, but self-references, bound with function qualifiers, are considered covariant in existing designs.

```
val fneg2: ((x: Ref[Int]fneg2) => Int∅)m, l = !x + !m
fneg2(l) // Unsound: l <: fneg2, as l is disallowed by fneg
```

LESSON 1. *Generally, we should not interpret self-references in negative positions as upper bounds.*

As an exception to the lesson above, the `farbi` function below carries both the self-reference and the freshness \diamond on its argument. By the semantic interpretation that the self-reference permits anything within the observation, and \diamond permits anything beyond, together they form the “top” qualifier, and thus `farbi` can take arbitrary arguments. In this regard, extending the observation of `farbi` is still safe.

```
def farbi(x: Ref[Int]farbi) = !x + !m // farbi: ((x: Ref[Int]farbi) => Int∅)m
farbi(l) // okay, since l is separate from farbi
val farbi2: ((x: Ref[Int]farbi2) => Int∅)m, l = farbi
farbi2(l) // okay, since l <: farbi2
```

LESSON 2. *Functions with fresh and self-referenced parameter qualifier can take arbitrary arguments.*

2.2.3 *Growing, Positively* As for the covariant position, to enable escaping closures with fresh resources, we postulate a fictional λ^\diamond variant with an ideal (S-FUN), without the restrictive freshness marker on the function type, allowing to use (Q-SELF) in result types. However, as the two sides of the function subtyping can be of different qualifiers, it is not clear how they should interact. Here, we clarify our design with this example, where the function `fpos` returns its argument `x`.

```
def fpos(x: Ref[Int]m) = x := 0; x // fpos: ((x: Ref[Int]m) => Ref[Int]x)∅
fpos(m) // Ref[Int]m = (Ref[Int]x)[x ↦ m]
```

Let’s consider upcasting `fpos` to introduce a self-reference in its result type. By the current (Q-SELF), we need to show that `x`, or `m`, is bounded by `fpos`’s observation \emptyset , which is impossible by set inclusion.

Nevertheless, we can upcast in two steps. First, we reassign `fpos` to `fpos1`, enlarging its function qualifier to include `m`. Then, we can satisfy the premise of (Q-SELF) atop `fpos1`, arriving at `fpos2`.

```
val fpos1: ((x: Ref[Int]m) => Ref[Int]x)m = fpos
val fpos2: ((x: Ref[Int]m) => Ref[Int]fpos2)m = fpos1
fpos2(m) // Ref[Int]fpos2 <: Ref[Int]m
```

Joining the two steps, the subtyping judgement from `fpos` to `fpos2`,

$$\dots \vdash (fpos(x: Ref[Int]^m) => Ref[Int]^x)[∅] <: (fpos2(x: Ref[Int]^m) => Ref[Int]^{fpos2})^m$$

requires changing both the result type and the overall qualifier. Following the operational sense, we call this qualifier change *growth* (i.e., growing the function’s qualifier by `m`), which serves as a minimum requirement for the qualifier on the right demanded by the type change (see Section 3.3). Growth is the key instrument in λ_R^\diamond for type and qualifier relations to communicate.

LESSON 3. *A change in the type can require a corresponding change (growth) in the qualifier.*

³In λ^\diamond this is derivable from (SQ-SUB). Semantically, qualifiers are upper bounds to observable locations, thus covariant.

⁴The types of `fneg` and `fneg2` are the same modulo α -renaming on the self-reference.

2.2.4 Depending on the Growth Besides acting as a qualifier bound, growth requires extra care in negative positions. In fact, our fictional calculus from Section 2.2.3 can be unsound. To exemplify, we define a higher-order function `fdepgr`, expecting an argument `f` of exactly the same type as `fpos2` derived above. By applying to `f`, `fdepgr` returns a reference aliased to `f` (and further `m`).

```
// fdepgr: ((f: <type of fpos2>) => Ref[Int]f)m
def fdepgr(f: ((x: Ref[Int]m) => Ref[Int]f)m) =
  f(m) // Ref[Int]f
fdepgr(fpos2) // Ref[Int]fpos2 = (Ref[Int]f)[f ↦ fpos2] <: Ref[Int]m
```

Since argument types are *contravariant*, we can cast `fdepgr` to `fdepgr2` by narrowing the argument `f`'s type from the type of `fpos2` to `fpos`. However, as `fpos` carries an empty qualifier, by dependent application, `fdepgr2(fpos)` returns a reference that tracks nothing! The narrowing on `f` here hides the growth `m` away, which, as a minimum requirement, should always be in the result qualifier.

```
// fdepgr2: ((f: <type of fpos>) => Ref[Int]f)m
val fdepgr2: ((f: ((x: Ref[Int]m) => Ref[Int]x)∅) => Ref[Int]f)m = fdepgr
fdepgr2(fpos) // Unsound: Ref[Int]fpos = (Ref[Int]f)[f ↦ fpos] <: Ref[Int]∅
```

LESSON 4. *Subtyping with growth should not be permitted on argument types in the presence of dependent application, unless the growth is compensated on the result type.*

Summary Through the concrete examples, sound and expressive treatment of self-references requires the concept of *growth* and position-aware subtyping theories. We use these lessons to guide the design of λ_R^\star subtyping in Section 3.3.

2.3 Challenges for Bidirectional Typing

In addition to declaratively *specifying* a more expressive notion of subtyping for reachability types, we are also interested in the algorithmic *checking and inference* of types, a challenge left aside by prior work [Bao et al. 2021; Wei et al. 2024]. Bidirectional typing [Dunfield and Krishnaswami 2022] offers a well-studied framework for this purpose. However, adapting a non-trivial calculus such as λ_R^\star requires work beyond off-the-shelf recipes. Here, we identify the major challenges, and present our bidirectional typing algorithm for λ_R^\star in Section 4.

Subtype Checking While bidirectional typing provides straightforward recipes for adapting typing rules, subtyping rules need to be considered individually for each type system. While usually such rules are syntax-directed and easy to adapt, this is not the case for reachability types. Firstly, deciding the subsumption of qualifiers (or *sub-qualifying*) is not syntax-directed. Furthermore, the subtyping rules of λ_R^\star are complicated by self-references. Both parts rely heavily on transitivity to connect multiple rules for rich behavior. Thus, a sound and decidable adaption of λ_R^\star subtyping is critical but non-trivial.

Avoidance The precise type/qualifier of a function may not always be permissible for an application (recall `fdeep` on fresh references), and thus we need to adapt the function type with self-references to avoid ill-scoped qualifiers. Concretely, we need to find a supertype to avoid mentioning a variable from deep inside, hence the *avoidance* issue. This is similar to subtype checking, with the right-hand side left open. Similar mechanisms have been studied in ML-style module systems [Crary 2020; Dreyer et al. 2005; Ghelli and Pierce 1998; Lillibridge 1996] and languages that feature weaker form of dependent types (e.g. path-dependent types in Scala).

Middle Ground between Checking and Inference Pure checking or inference is not always desirable for reachability types. For instance, the use of dependent application requires an exact argument type but expects a finer qualifier, so that more precise reachability can be obtained by substitution on qualifiers. Supporting precise dependent application requires a hybrid mode to check the type

Syntax		λ_R^\diamond
x, y, z	$\in \text{Var}$	Variables
f, g, h	$\in \text{Var}$	Function Variables
t	$::= c \mid x \mid \lambda f(x).t \mid t t \mid \text{ref } t \mid ! t \mid t := t \mid t : Q$	Terms
p, q, r	$\in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\text{Var} \uplus \{\diamond\})$	Reachability Qualifiers
S, T, U, V	$::= B \mid f(x : Q) \rightarrow Q \mid \text{Ref } B$	Base/Function/Reference Types
O, P, Q, R	$::= T^q$	Qualified Types
φ, δ	$\in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\text{Var})$	Observations/Growth
m	$\in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\{\diamond, f, x\})$	Dependent Variable Masks
Γ	$::= \emptyset \mid \Gamma, x : Q$	Typing Environments
Qualifier Shorthands	$p, q := p \cup q$ $x := \{x\}$ $\diamond := \{\diamond\}$ $\diamond q := \{\diamond\} \cup q$ $p \subseteq_m q := p \cap m \subseteq q \cap m$ $p \setminus q := p \setminus q$	

Fig. 2. Syntax definitions of λ_R^\diamond with qualifier shorthands for compact presentation. Taken and adapted from Wei et al. [2024], with additions to their λ^\diamond shaded.

and synthesize the qualifier at the same time, which further requires the subtype checking algorithm to synthesize a qualifier (or growth).

3 Language Design

Here we present the formal theory and metatheory of λ_R^\diamond , the core calculus designed to explore sound and expressive subtyping of reachability types based on λ^\diamond from Wei et al. [2024]. It features *growth* to restore the connection between types and qualifiers and position-aware rules for soundness. The calculus and its metatheory have been fully mechanized in Coq.⁵

3.1 Syntax

Figure 2 presents the syntax of λ_R^\diamond . The calculus is built atop the simply-typed λ -calculus with references and subtyping. We detail our extension with qualifiers and our notations as follows.

Terms include constants, variables, function abstraction and application, and reference operations. For illustration purposes, we use different metavariables for ordinary variables (*i.e.* x, y, z) and functions (*i.e.* f, g, h). For the abstraction term $\lambda f(x).t$ we add the self-reference f allowing the function type to refer to its own closure. We further add the ascription term $t : Q$ for deriving bidirectional typing algorithm in Section 4.

Qualified types (Q) are types (T) associated with a qualifier (q). Types include base types, function types, and reference types. For functions, the domain and codomain are both qualified types, and their qualifiers can include dependent references to the argument x and the function f . For references, we consider only those over base types. Qualifiers are sets of variables with optionally the freshness marker \diamond , denoting a fresh value with no name, as showcased in Section 2.1.

In typing judgements, we use Γ for the typing context, and φ for the filter to constrain the observation of the context. Being able to limit the observation is a key device used to enforce separation in the mechanization. Additionally, we use the metavariable δ to denote growth and m to denote masks of dependent variables (*e.g.* \diamond, x, f). Both are slices of qualifiers used for the subtyping judgements in Section 3.3.

We further define substitution, reachability, saturation, and overlap of qualifiers in Figure 3. They shed light on the semantics of reachability qualifiers and are key instruments to enforce aliasing and separation in function applications as demonstrated in Section 2.1. Substitution intuitively

⁵ <https://github.com/tiarkrompf/reachability>

Qualifier Substitution		$q[p/x]$	$q[p/\blacklozenge]$	$q[p/m]$
$q[p/x]$	$= q \setminus \{x\} \cup p$	$x \in q$	$q[p/x] = q$	$x \notin q$
$q[p/\blacklozenge]$	$= q \cup p$	$\blacklozenge \in q$	$q[p/\blacklozenge] = q$	$\blacklozenge \notin q$
$q[p/x, m]$	$= q[p/x][p/m]$		$q[p/\emptyset] = q$	
Reachability and Overlap		$\Gamma \vdash x \rightsquigarrow x$	$\Gamma \vdash q^*$	$\Gamma \vdash p \cap q$
Reachability Relation	$\Gamma \vdash x \rightsquigarrow y \Leftrightarrow x : T^{q,y} \in \Gamma$	Variable Saturation $\Gamma \vdash x^* := \{y \mid x \rightsquigarrow^* y\}$		
Qualifier Saturation	$\Gamma \vdash q^* := \bigcup_{x \in q} x^*$	Qualifier Overlap $\Gamma \vdash p \cap q := p^* \cap q^*$		
Qualifier Separation	$\Gamma \vdash p \not\cap q := p \cap q \subseteq \emptyset$			
Well-Formed Context, Types, Qualifiers		$\Gamma \text{ ok}$	$\Gamma \vdash T, \dots, q, \dots$	
Closed Context	$\emptyset \text{ ok}$	$(\Gamma, x : T^q) \text{ ok} := \text{fv}(T), q \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma) \wedge \Gamma \text{ ok}$		
Closed Types, Qualifiers	$\Gamma \vdash T, \dots, q, \dots := \text{fv}(T), \dots, q, \dots \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma)$			

Fig. 3. Qualifier operations and closedness conditions in λ_R^* . Sometimes the context Γ is implicit (in gray). Taken and adapted from Wei et al. [2024], with additions shaded.

Term Typing		$\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t : Q$
$\frac{c \in B}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash c : B^\emptyset}$	(T-CST)	$(\Gamma, x : T^p[q/f]) q, x \vdash t : U^r[q/f]$
$\frac{x : T^q \in \Gamma \quad x \in \varphi}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash x : T^x}$	(T-VAR)	$\Gamma \vdash T, U, p \setminus f, q \quad \blacklozenge \notin q$
$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t : B^q}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash \text{ref } t : (\text{Ref } B)^q}$	(T-REF)	$F = (f(x : T^p) \rightarrow U^r)^q \quad p, q \subseteq \blacklozenge f, \varphi$
$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t : (\text{Ref } B)^q}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash !t : B^\emptyset}$	(T-DEREF)	$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t_1 : (f(x : T^p) \rightarrow U^r)^q \quad \Gamma^\varphi \vdash t_2 : T^{p'}$
$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t_1 : (\text{Ref } B)^q \quad \Gamma^\varphi \vdash t_2 : B^p}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t_1 := t_2 : B^\emptyset}$	(T-ASSGN)	$\blacklozenge \notin p \quad r \subseteq \blacklozenge \varphi, x, f$
$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t : Q \quad \Gamma^\varphi \vdash Q <:^\delta T^q \quad q \subseteq \blacklozenge \varphi}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t : T^q}$	(T-SUB)	$p_1 = \text{if isVar}(t_1) \text{ then } p[t_1/f] \text{ else } p$
		$\Gamma^\varphi \vdash p' <: p_1$
		$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t_1 t_2 : U^r[p'/x, q/f]}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t_1 t_2 : U^r[p'/x, q/f]}$
		(T-APP)
		$\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t_1 : (f(x : T^p) \rightarrow U^r)^q \quad \Gamma^\varphi \vdash t_2 : T^{p'}$
		$\blacklozenge \in p \quad r \subseteq \blacklozenge \varphi, x, f$
		$f \notin p \Rightarrow \Gamma^\varphi \vdash p' <: p'' \wedge p'' \setminus p \not\cap q$
		$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t_1 t_2 : U^r[p'/x, q/f]}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t_1 t_2 : U^r[p'/x, q/f]}$
		(T-APP \blacklozenge)
		$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t : T^q \quad q \subseteq \blacklozenge \varphi}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash (t : T^q) : T^q}$
		(T-AS)

Fig. 4. Typing rules of λ_R^* .

supports dependent application, while saturation supports the notion of separation. It presents an upper bound on the locations a variable can reach; thus, the intersection of two saturations is effectively the upper bound on the overlapping locations of the two variables. We also present notations for well-formed contexts, types, and qualifiers in Figure 3.

3.2 Typing

In Figure 4, we present the typing rules of λ_R^\diamond . Simple cases include constants and variables. For constants ($\tau\text{-CST}$), the empty qualifier is assigned since primitive values track no non-trivial resources. The variable x in ($\tau\text{-VAR}$), on the other hand, is assigned the qualifier x . Such instantiation is “lazy” and leaves room for subtyping to reveal the full reachability of x . Note that x is additionally required to reside in the observation φ to enforce separation.

Typing rules for reference operations are standard modulo the qualifiers. For ($\tau\text{-REF}$), we mark the result with \diamond as it is a fresh value. Results of dereference ($\tau\text{-DEREF}$) and assignment ($\tau\text{-ASSGN}$), on the other hand, are untracked (marked by \emptyset), as we allow references only over base types.

Subsumption ($\tau\text{-SUB}$) and ascription ($\tau\text{-AS}$) are key rules for deriving bidirectional typing algorithms. The declarative versions used here are standard, except that we require the result qualifiers to remain inside the observation φ . Also, the subtyping relation comes with the growth marker δ , which serves subtyping derivations in Section 3.3 and plays no role here.

The abstraction rule ($\tau\text{-ABS}$) features shallow dependencies of dependent variables. While the argument qualifier p can reach f and the result qualifier r can reach both f and x , neither of their corresponding types T, U can reach any dependent variable, thus *shallow*. This restriction is only to make the type system concise and is not essential; we further discuss how to remove it in Section 5.3.3. On the other hand, to type check the body, we restrict the observation to the function qualifier q plus the argument x . Thus, the argument is the only path through which a function can access a value beyond its observation. As the function itself is not in the context when typing the body, we eagerly substitute the self-reference f with the qualifier q . The argument and the function qualifiers p, q are further required to be within the observation φ .

As demonstrated in Section 2.1, there are two cases of function application, differentiated by whether fresh values are allowed as arguments. In the non-fresh case ($\tau\text{-APP}$), we require the actual argument qualifier to be a sub-qualifier of the formal one. If possible, we replace the self-reference f in the formal argument with a proper closure variable rather than unfold it, enforcing Lesson 1. In the fresh case ($\tau\text{-APP}\diamond$), we require that some upper bound p'' of the actual argument qualifier p' less the formal qualifier p does not overlap with the function q . Said alternatively, everything in the actual argument is either bounded by the formal qualifier or separated from the function observation. Observing Lesson 2, we check this condition only if the self-reference is not in the argument qualifier, *i.e.* $f \notin p$. In both cases, we are doing dependent application, substituting dependent variables f, x with the actual qualifiers. As we require shallow dependency for function types, the substitutions here are shallow as well.

Overall, λ_R^\diamond is a minimalistic, type-monomorphic calculus to showcase self-references and their subtyping. In comparison with prior work [Wei et al. 2024], simplifications include that (1) nested references are not supported, and that (2) function types are restricted to shallow dependency. Those are orthogonal to our design, as the key difficulties with self-references already appear in our simpler system. Meanwhile, λ_R^\diamond still enjoys reachability polymorphism (cf. Section 2.1.2), and together with the refined subtyping relation, it is backed by sound and decidable type checking (Section 4) and can express examples beyond the scope of prior work (Section 5, where we further discuss how extensions including type polymorphism are possible).

3.3 Subsumption of Types, Qualifiers, and Qualified Types

Taking the lessons from Section 2.2, we present the subtyping rules of λ_R^\diamond in Figure 5. At a high-level, the rules include those for qualified types (sq-), qualifiers (q-), and types (s-). Rules for types and qualified types include a notion of growth δ . Motivated by Lesson 3, it is a slice of qualifiers representing the minimum requirement to the right-hand side posted by type relations, propagated

Subtyping	$\Gamma^\varphi \vdash Q <:_{\delta, m}^\delta Q$	$\Gamma^\varphi \vdash q <:_{\delta, m}^\delta q$	$\Gamma^\varphi \vdash T <:_{\delta}^\delta T$
		$\frac{p \subseteq q \subseteq \star \text{dom}(\Gamma)}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash p <: q}$	(Q-SUB)
$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash T <:_{\delta}^\delta U \quad \Gamma^\varphi \vdash p, \delta <: q}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash T^p <:_{\delta}^\delta U^q}$	(SQ-SUB)		
$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash P <:_{\delta_1}^{\delta_1} Q \quad \Gamma^\varphi \vdash Q <:_{\delta_2}^{\delta_2} R}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash P <:_{\delta_1, \delta_2}^{\delta_1, \delta_2} R}$	(SQ-TRANS)	$\frac{x : T^q \in \Gamma \quad \blacklozenge \notin q \quad q \subseteq \varphi}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash p <: p[q/x]}$	(Q-VAR)
$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash T^p[\star/m] <:_{\delta}^\delta U^q[\star/m] \quad p \subseteq_{\star} m \ q}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash T^p <:_{\delta, m}^\delta U^q}$	(SQ-MASK)	$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash p <: q \quad \Gamma^\varphi \vdash q <: r}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash p <: r}$	(Q-TRANS)
$\frac{}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash B <:_{\emptyset}^\emptyset B}$	(S-BASE)	$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash p \setminus m <: q \setminus m \quad p \subseteq_{\star} m \ q}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash p <:_{\delta, m}^\delta q}$	(Q-MASK)
		$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash S <:_{\emptyset}^\emptyset T \quad \Gamma^\varphi \vdash T <:_{\emptyset}^\emptyset S}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash \text{Ref } S <:_{\emptyset}^\emptyset \text{Ref } T}$	(S-REF)
		$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash P_2 <:_{f, P_1}^{\emptyset} P_1 \quad \Gamma^\varphi \vdash R_1 <:_{f, x}^{\delta_2} R_2}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash f(x : P_1) \rightarrow R_1 <:_{\emptyset}^\emptyset f(x : P_2) \rightarrow R_2}$	(S-FUN)
		$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash T_2^{P_2} <:_{f, T_1}^{\delta_1} T_1^{P_1} \quad \Gamma^\varphi \vdash U_1^{r_1} <:_{f, x}^{\delta_2} U_2^{r_2} \quad x \in r_1 \implies \Gamma^\varphi \vdash p_1 \setminus_{\star} f <:_{r_2 \setminus_{\star} f, x} r_2}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash f(x : T_1^{P_1}) \rightarrow U_1^{r_1} <:_{\emptyset}^\emptyset f(x : T_2^{P_2}) \rightarrow U_2^{r_2}}$	(S-DEPGR)
		$\frac{\Gamma \vdash T, R \setminus_{f, x}, p_1, p_2 \setminus f}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash f(x : T^{\star f, p_1}) \rightarrow R <:_{\emptyset}^\emptyset f(x : T^{p_2}) \rightarrow R}$	(S-NEGF)
		$\frac{\Gamma \vdash T, U \quad x \notin r_2 \quad \blacklozenge \notin p \quad \Gamma^\varphi \vdash p, r_1 <:_{f, x} r_2}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash f(x : T^p) \rightarrow U^{x, r_1} <:_{\emptyset}^\emptyset f(x : T^p) \rightarrow U^{r_2}}$	(S-POSX)
		$\frac{f \in p \implies \blacklozenge \in p \quad \Gamma \vdash T, U, p \setminus f \quad \delta \cap \{\star f, x\} \subseteq \emptyset \quad \Gamma^\varphi \vdash r_1 <:_{f, x} f, r_2, \delta}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash f(x : T^p) \rightarrow U^{r_1} <:_{\delta}^\delta f(x : T^p) \rightarrow U^{f, r_2}}$	(S-GROW)

Fig. 5. Subtyping rules of λ_R^\star .

from types to qualified types via (SQ-SUB).⁶ Qualified types also admit transitivity (SQ-TRANS), where the goal is considered growing if either step grows (*i.e.* has a non-empty δ).

Qualifier behavior is similar to that of sets, but as variable qualifiers can be lazily instantiated by (T-VAR), additional rules are needed to reason about their full reachability. In this regard, (Q-SUB) reflects the subset relation for qualifiers, and (Q-VAR) allows us to unfold a variable x if it is non-fresh. Qualifiers also admit transitivity (Q-TRANS) for chaining the former two rules.

Rules for qualified types and qualifiers also optionally take a dependent variable mask m .⁷ The rules (SQ-MASK) and (Q-MASK) allow us to state subtyping relations over qualifiers with dependent variables which are not in the typing context. They separate the dependent variables from the qualifiers and check their subsumption respectively. An alternative formal development can definitely extend the typing context to include the dependent variables without using the masks.

⁶In the mechanization, type relations are always combined with (SQ-SUB). Here we separate them for clarity.

⁷The rules with masks do not appear in the mechanization as they are always inlined.

The non-qualified subtyping rules for base types (s-BASE) and references (s-REF) are mostly standard. They are marked as non-growing rules by synthesizing empty growth \emptyset , since they carry no self-reference. (s-REF) further requires the data type to be non-growing and invariant.

The base case for function types (s-FUN) is classic, where the argument type is contravariant and the return type is covariant, with the dependent variables marked in the masks. We do restrict that the argument subtyping synthesizes no growth in observing Lesson 4. This constraint can be lifted as in (s-DEPGR), where we additionally require that the argument growth δ_1^8 is compensated in the right-hand side result r_2 so that we lose no soundness in dependent applications.

Three additional function subtyping rules describe the behavior of dependent variables in a position-specific way. Backed by Lesson 2, (s-NEGF) says that we can narrow the argument qualifier arbitrarily if the left-hand side permits anything. (s-POSX) describes how to unfold the dependent variable x in the result qualifier, which is similar to (Q-VAR) but under a different context concerning the other dependent variable f . Reifying Lesson 3, (s-GROW) is the only rule synthesizing a non-empty growth. It describes that we can hide the result qualifier r_1 into the function self-reference if the function's observation (here the growth δ) covers them, unless the self-reference is also used in the negative position in a non-growable way (i.e. $f \in p \wedge \diamond \notin p$). The transitivity rule (SQ-TRANS) is required to chain the function subtyping rules for expressive behavior.

Comparing with the prior work [Wei et al. 2024], we remove their rule for self-references as a standalone qualifier rule, since the semantics of self-references make no sense without the context of a function type. We have different subtyping rules then for self-references in negative (s-NEGF) and positive positions (s-GROW), and we use the concept of growth δ to connect types and qualifiers. This finally provides us with an expressive system involving self-references.

3.4 Metatheory

Unlike prior work [Bao et al. 2021; Wei et al. 2024], we establish the type soundness of λ_R^\star by logical relations [Timany et al. 2024]. We derive our semantic interpretation from Bao et al. [2023], where the key difference is that we do not carry a fixed view of the locations observable from a value, so as to support the growth of locations in λ_R^\star . Here we present our fundamental theorem, and curious readers could refer to our mechanization for full details.

THEOREM 3.1 (FUNDAMENTAL). *If $\Gamma \vdash e : T^q$, i.e., e is a syntactically well-typed term with the qualified type T^q under the context Γ , it is also semantically well-typed, i.e., it evaluates to a value v of the type T on a store σ in finite steps, where v can only reach the locations permitted by the qualifier q .*

Our fundamental theorem implies the termination of well-typed terms in addition to type soundness, and it further relates to the progress, preservation, and preservation of separation claims from Wei et al. [2024], provided the denotation from qualifiers to store locations. Especially, if the qualifiers of two well-typed terms do not overlap, their values surely observe separate locations. While semantic and syntactic type soundness proofs render their claims in distinct ways, we believe λ_R^\star can also be proven sound syntactically atop the artifact of Wei et al. [2024], and we leave this as future work.

4 Bidirectional Typing Algorithm

In accordance with the formal theory of λ_R^\star presented in Section 3, in this section we discuss how to type check λ_R^\star in a sound and decidable way, concerning the challenges discussed in Section 2.3. Here, we present the algorithm bottom up with metatheory for individual parts as follows. The algorithm is mechanized as an executable function in Coq along with its metatheory.⁹

⁸Due to encoding issues in the mechanization, we use $p_{\setminus f}$ as an upper bound to δ_1 here.

⁹<https://github.com/tiarkrompf/reachability>

Qualifier Upcast and Checking		$\Gamma^\varphi \vdash q \ll^q q$	$\Gamma^\varphi \vdash q < q$
$\frac{x \in p \quad x \notin r \quad \blacklozenge \notin q}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash p[q/x] \ll^r p'} \quad (\text{QA-HEAD})$	$\frac{}{\emptyset^p \vdash p \ll^r p} \quad (\text{QA-NIL})$		
$\frac{x \notin p \vee x \in r \vee \blacklozenge \in q}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash p \ll^r p'} \quad (\text{QA-SKIP})$	$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash p \ll^r p' \quad p' \subseteq r}{\Gamma^{\varphi, r} \vdash p < r} \quad (\text{QA-SUB})$		

Fig. 6. Algorithmic qualifier upcast and checking. Outputs in the rules are marked in red.

4.1 Qualifier Algorithms

Figure 6 demonstrates the algorithms to upcast and check a qualifier based on the sub-qualifier relation. Both algorithms always synthesize the observation filter φ . Intuitively, the upcast algorithm $\Gamma^\varphi \vdash p \ll^r p'$ recursively replaces variables in p with their origins unless they are already within the boundary of r . The checking algorithm $\Gamma^\varphi \vdash p < r$ then utilizes the upcast algorithm to check whether the origin set p' is subsumed by r . The upcast algorithm serves not only the checking algorithm, but also the upper bound p'' in the fresh application case, *i.e.*, (T-APP \blacklozenge) in Figure 4 and (TA-APP) in Figure 9. At a high-level, the rule (QA-HEAD) implements the declarative rule (Q-VAR), and (QA-SUB) implements (Q-SUB). Transitivity is discharged by enforcing the induction ordering along Γ . The algorithms enjoy the following metatheory,

LEMMA 4.1 (QUALUPCAST SOUNDNESS). *If $\Gamma^\varphi \vdash p \ll^r p'$ and the inputs are closed, *i.e.*, Γ ok and $\Gamma \vdash p$, then $\Gamma^\varphi \vdash p <: p'$ and the outputs are closed, *i.e.*, $p' \subseteq \varphi$ and $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$.*

LEMMA 4.2 (QUALCHECK SOUNDNESS). *If $\Gamma^\varphi \vdash p < r$ and the inputs are closed, *i.e.*, Γ ok and $\Gamma \vdash p, r$, then $\Gamma^\varphi \vdash p <: r$ and the outputs are closed, *i.e.*, $r \subseteq \varphi$ and $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$.*

LEMMA 4.3 (QUALIFIER DECIDABILITY). *Both qualifier algorithms finish within finite steps by induction on the context Γ .*

4.2 Subtype Checking

Figure 7 presents the algorithm for checking subtype relations. The main form of the algorithm $\Gamma^\varphi \vdash T <^\delta T$ takes two types as inputs and always synthesizes the filter φ and the growth δ . While (SA-BASE) and (SA-REF) are obvious from their declarative versions, (SA-FUN) packs the multiple function rules into one, discharging the transitivity. At a high level, it first checks the argument types and qualifiers, and then the result types and qualifiers, using a series of auxiliary forms that are shallowly applied by positions and are not self-recursing.

For the argument position, it synthesizes the growth δ_1 by (SA⁻-ARG) and then checks the qualifiers with δ_1 by (QA-NEGF), the algorithmic version of (S-NEGF), which branches by whether the argument qualifier can be arbitrary (*i.e.*, $\blacklozenge f \subseteq p_1$). For the results, the growth δ_2 is generated by (SA⁺-RES) and checked by (QA-GROW), which, as the algorithmic version of (S-GROW), further emits an overall growth δ . To synthesize δ_2 , (SA⁺-RES) further relies on two auxiliary forms, (QA-DEPGR) and (QA-POSX), to reflect the declarative rules (S-DEPGR) and (S-POSX). Those rules takes in qualifiers from the argument position (*i.e.*, $\delta_1; p_2$) in the presence of dependent application. While the declarative versions synthesize no growth, they do contribute to the lower bound of the right qualifier, and thus their algorithmic versions merge their results into the growth δ_2 .

The algorithm enjoys the following metatheory,

Subtype Checking	$\Gamma^\varphi \vdash T <^\delta T$	$\Gamma^\varphi \mid q; q \vdash Q <_{+-}^\delta Q$	$\Gamma^\varphi \mid q \vdash q <_{+-}^{\delta \Rightarrow \delta} q$	$q \vdash q <_{+\Delta x}^\delta q$
$\frac{}{\Gamma^\varnothing \vdash B <^\varnothing B}$	(SA-BASE)	$\frac{\Gamma^{\varphi_1} \vdash a <^\varnothing b \quad \Gamma^{\varphi_2} \vdash b <^\varnothing a}{\Gamma^{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} \vdash \text{Ref } a <^\varnothing \text{Ref } b}$		(SA-REF)
$\frac{\Gamma^{\varphi_1} \vdash T_2^{p_2} <_{-}^{\delta_1} T_1^{p_1} \quad \Gamma^{\varphi'_1} \vdash p_2 <_{-}^{\delta_1} p_1}{\Gamma^{\varphi_2} \mid \delta_1; p_2 \vdash U_1^{r_1} <_{+}^{\delta_2} U_2^{r_2} \quad \Gamma^{\varphi'_2} \mid p_2 \vdash r_1 <_{+}^{\delta_2 \Rightarrow \delta} r_2}$		(SA-FUN)		
$\frac{\Gamma^{\varphi_1, \varphi'_1, \varphi_2, \varphi'_2} \vdash f(x : T_1^{p_1}) \rightarrow U_1^{r_1} <^\delta f(x : T_2^{p_2}) \rightarrow U_2^{r_2}}{\Gamma^{\varphi_1, \varphi'_1, \varphi_2, \varphi'_2} \vdash f(x : T_1^{p_1}) \rightarrow U_1^{r_1} <^\delta f(x : T_2^{p_2}) \rightarrow U_2^{r_2}}$		(SA-FUN)		
$\frac{p_2 \subseteq_{*f} p_1 \quad \Gamma^\varphi \vdash T_2 <^\delta T_1 \quad \delta' = \text{if } \delta \neq \varnothing \text{ then } p_2 \setminus_{*f}, \delta \text{ else } \varnothing}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash T_2^{p_2} <_{-}^{\delta'} T_1^{p_1}}$	(SA ⁻ -ARG)			
$\frac{r_1 \subseteq_{*f} r_2 \quad \Gamma^\varphi \vdash U_1 <^\delta U_2 \quad \delta_1 \vdash r_1 <_{+\Delta}^{\delta'} r_2 \quad p \vdash r_1 <_{+x}^{\delta''} r_2}{\Gamma^\varphi \mid \delta_1; p \vdash U_1^{r_1} <_{+}^{\delta, \delta', \delta''} U_2^{r_2}}$	(SA ⁺ -RES)			
$\frac{\begin{cases} \varphi = \varnothing & \text{if } *f \subseteq p_1; \\ \Gamma^\varphi \vdash p_2 \setminus_{*f}, \delta < p_1 \setminus_{*f}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash p_2 <_{-}^\delta p_1}$	(QA-NEGF)			
$\frac{\begin{cases} \delta' = \varnothing, & \text{if } \Gamma^\varphi \vdash r_1 \setminus_{*f, x}, \delta < r_2 \setminus_{*f, x}; \\ \varphi = \delta' = r_1 \setminus_{*f, x}, \delta, & \text{else if } f \in r_2 \wedge (f \in p \Rightarrow \blacklozenge \in p); \\ \perp, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}}{\Gamma^\varphi \mid p \vdash r_1 <_{+}^{\delta \Rightarrow \delta'} r_2}$	(QA-GROW)			
$\frac{\delta' = \begin{cases} \delta_1, & \text{if } x \in r_1; \\ \varnothing, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}}{\delta_1 \vdash r_1 <_{+\Delta}^{\delta'} r_2}$	(QA-DEPGR)			
$\frac{\delta'' = \begin{cases} \varnothing, & \text{if } x \in r_1 \Rightarrow x \in r_2; \\ p \setminus_f, & \text{else if } \blacklozenge \notin p \wedge (f \in p \Rightarrow f \in r_2); \\ \perp, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}}{p \vdash r_1 <_{+x}^{\delta''} r_2}$	(QA-POSX)			

Fig. 7. Algorithmic subtype checking. Outputs are marked in red. Errors are marked by \perp .

LEMMA 4.4 (SUBCHECK SOUNDNESS). *If $\Gamma^\varphi \vdash T_1 <^\delta T_2$ and the inputs are closed, i.e., Γ ok and $\Gamma \vdash T_1, T_2, q$, then $\Gamma^\varphi \vdash T_1^q <_{-}^{\delta} T_2^{\delta}$ and the outputs are closed, i.e., $\delta \subseteq \varphi$ and $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$.*

LEMMA 4.5 (SUBCHECK DECIDABILITY). *The subtype checking algorithm finishes in finite steps by induction on either of the input types.*

4.3 Avoidance

Presented in Figure 8, the avoidance algorithm $\varphi \vdash T_1 \leq_a^\delta T_2$ removes all occurrences of the variable a within the type T_1 , making up a larger type T_2 at the growth δ and the filter φ . It is needed to infer types for let-bindings and function bodies. The cases for base types (AV-BASE) and references (AV-REF) are trivial as they are always invariant and carry no free variable. For functions, intuitively, we need to recursively replace a with the self-reference in covariant positions, and remove a in contravariant positions. The growth δ then needs to carry a to allow the avoidance. To reify that, the case of function is split into three cases with two auxiliary forms.

The positive rule (AV-POSF) recurs into the result type and calls one of the negative forms on the argument type, permitting growth if (S-NEGF) is applicable. It calculates the output growth δ based on whether dependent application (S-DEPGR) applies. It then removes a from both the argument and result qualifiers, and inserts or removes the self-reference f to propagate δ by (S-GROW).

The non-growable negative form $\varphi \vdash T_1 \geq_a^\perp T_2$ comes in if (AV-POSF) allows no growth in the argument type. It always follows the non-growing rule (AV-NEGF-NG), recurs into the result and calls the positive form on the argument. The argument growth δ_1 is always absorbed via (S-NEGF), and the dependent x in the result may have to be removed so as not to propagate δ_1 via (S-DEPGR).

Avoidance		$\varphi \vdash T \leq_a^\delta T$	$\varphi \vdash T \geq_a^\delta T$	$\varphi \vdash T \geq_a^\perp T$
$\frac{}{\varnothing \vdash B \leq_a^\varnothing B} \quad (\text{AV-BASE})$ $\varnothing \vdash B \geq_a^\varnothing B$ $\varnothing \vdash B \geq_a^\perp B$		$\frac{\varphi \vdash T \leq_a^\delta T' \quad T \equiv T'}{\varphi \vdash T \leq_a^\delta T'} \quad (\text{AV-REF})$ $\varnothing \vdash \text{Ref } T \leq_a^\varnothing \text{Ref } T$ $\varnothing \vdash \text{Ref } T \geq_a^\varnothing \text{Ref } T$ $\varnothing \vdash \text{Ref } T \geq_a^\perp \text{Ref } T$		
$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi_1 \vdash T \geq_a^{\delta_1} T', \\ \varphi_1 \vdash T \geq_a^\perp T' \wedge \delta_1 = \varnothing, \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \star f \subseteq p; \\ \text{otherwise} \end{array} \quad (\text{S-NEGF}) \quad \varphi_2 \vdash U \leq_a^{\delta_2} U'$		$\delta = \begin{cases} \delta_2, r \cap a, p \setminus \star f, \delta_1, & \text{if } \delta_1 \neq \varnothing \wedge x \in r; \\ \delta_2, r \cap a, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (\text{S-DEPGR})$	$p' = \begin{cases} p \setminus f, a, & \text{if } \delta \neq \varnothing \wedge \star \notin p; \\ p \setminus a, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (\text{S-GROW})$	$r' = \begin{cases} r \setminus a, f, & \text{if } \delta \neq \varnothing; \\ r, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (\text{S-GROW})$
$\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \delta \vdash f(x : TP) \rightarrow U^r \leq_a^\delta f(x : T'P') \rightarrow U'^{r'} \quad (\text{AV-POSF})$				
$p' = \begin{cases} \star f, p \setminus a, & \text{if } \delta_1 \neq \varnothing \vee a \in p; \\ p, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (\text{S-NEGF})$		$\varphi_1 \vdash T \leq_a^{\delta_1} T' \quad \varphi_2 \vdash U \geq_a^\perp U'$	$r' = \begin{cases} r \setminus x, a, & \text{if } \delta_1 \neq \varnothing \vee a \in p; \\ r \setminus a, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \neg(\text{S-DEPGR})$	
$\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \vdash f(x : TP) \rightarrow U^r \geq_a^\perp f(x : T'P') \rightarrow U'^{r'} \quad (\text{AV-NEGF-NG})$				
$\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \vdash f(x : TP) \rightarrow U^r \geq_a^\varnothing f(x : T'P') \rightarrow U'^{r'} \text{ if } f \notin r \vee f \in p \wedge \star \notin p$				
$\delta'_1 = \begin{cases} p \setminus \star f, \delta_1, & \text{if } \delta_1 \neq \varnothing \vee a \in p; \\ \varnothing, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (\text{S-DEPGR})$		$\varphi_1 \vdash T \leq_a^{\delta_1} T' \quad \varphi_2 \vdash U \geq_a^{\delta_2} U'$	$p' = \begin{cases} \star f, p \setminus a, & \text{if } \delta'_1 \neq \varnothing; \\ p, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (\text{S-NEGF})$	$r' = \begin{cases} r \setminus a, x, & \text{if } \delta'_1 \neq \varnothing \wedge \star \notin p; \\ r \setminus a, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (\text{S-DEPGR}) \quad (\text{S-POSX})$
$\delta = \begin{cases} \delta'_1, \delta_2, & \text{if } x \in r'; \\ \delta_2, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (\text{S-DEPGR}) \quad (\text{S-GROW})$		$\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \delta \vdash f(x : TP) \rightarrow U^{f,r} \geq_a^\delta f(x : T'P') \rightarrow U'^{f,r'} \text{ if } f \in p \implies \star \in p \quad (\text{AV-NEGF-GR})$		

Fig. 8. The avoidance algorithm. Outputs are marked in red.

The growable negative form $\varphi \vdash T_1 \geq_a^\delta T_2$ comes in if (AV-POSF) allows the argument type to grow. However, the argument type itself may not be in the case where (S-GROW) applies. If so, it degenerates to the non-growable form, following (AV-NEGF-NG) and synthesizing an empty growth. Otherwise, it follows the growing rule (AV-NEGF-GR), which differs from the non-growing case in that it always tries to propagate δ_1 by adding x in the result, as long as it is removable by (S-POSX).

Obviously, there is no principal avoidance algorithm for λ_R^\star . Without diving into the auxiliary forms, we present the metatheory of the main form of the avoidance algorithm as follows,

LEMMA 4.6 (AVOIDANCE SOUNDNESS). *If $\varphi \vdash T \leq_a^\delta T'$, the inputs are closed, i.e. $(\Gamma, a : Q) \vdash T, q$, then $(\Gamma, a : Q)^\varphi \vdash T^q <:^\delta T'^q, \delta$ and the outputs are closed, i.e. $\Gamma \vdash T'$, $(\Gamma, a : Q) \vdash \varphi$ and $\delta \subseteq \varphi$.*

LEMMA 4.7 (AVOIDANCE DECIDABILITY). *The avoidance algorithm finishes in finite steps by induction of the input type.*

Bidirectional Typing		$\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t \Leftarrow Q$	$\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t \Leftarrow T \Rightarrow q$	$\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t \Rightarrow Q$
$\frac{x : T^q \in \Gamma}{\Gamma^x \vdash x \Rightarrow T^x} \quad (\text{TA-VAR})$				
$\frac{c \in B}{\Gamma^\varnothing \vdash c \Rightarrow B^\varnothing} \quad (\text{TA-CST})$				
$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t \Rightarrow B^q}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash \text{ref } t \Rightarrow (\text{Ref } B)^{*q}} \quad (\text{TA-REF})$				
$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t \Rightarrow (\text{Ref } B)^q}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash !t \Rightarrow B^\varnothing} \quad (\text{TA-DEREF})$				
$\frac{\Gamma^{\varphi_1} \vdash t_1 \Rightarrow (\text{Ref } B)^q \quad \Gamma^{\varphi_2} \vdash t_2 \Rightarrow B^p}{\Gamma^{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} \vdash t_1 := t_2 \Rightarrow B^\varnothing} \quad (\text{TA-ASSGN})$				
$\frac{\Gamma^{\varphi_1} \vdash t \Leftarrow T \Rightarrow q' \quad \Gamma^{\varphi_2} \vdash q' < q}{\Gamma^{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} \vdash t \Leftarrow T^q} \quad (\text{TA-SUBC})$				
$\frac{\Gamma^{\varphi_1} \vdash t \Rightarrow T'^q \quad \Gamma^{\varphi_2} \vdash T' <^\delta T}{\Gamma^{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} \vdash t \Leftarrow T \Rightarrow q, \delta} \quad (\text{TA-SUBI})$				
$\frac{\Gamma \vdash T^q \quad \Gamma^\varphi \vdash t \Leftarrow T^q}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash (t : T^q) \Rightarrow T^q} \quad (\text{TA-AS})$				
			$r' = \text{if } f \in p \text{ then } r \text{ else } r[q/f]$	
			$x' = \text{if } \diamond \notin p \wedge p \subseteq r' \text{ then } x \text{ else } \varnothing$	
			$(\Gamma, x : T^p[q/f])^\varphi \vdash t \Leftarrow U^{x', r[q/f]}$	
			$\varphi \subseteq q, x \quad \diamond \notin q \quad \varphi' = (p, q) \setminus_{*f}$	(TA-ABS)
			$\Gamma^{\varphi'} \vdash \lambda f(x).t \Leftarrow (f(x : T^p) \rightarrow U^r)^q$	
			$\Gamma^{\varphi_2} \vdash t_2 \Rightarrow T^p \quad (\Gamma, x : T^p) \varphi_1 \vdash t_1 \Rightarrow U^r$	
			$\varphi' \vdash U \leq_x^\delta V \quad \varphi = (\varphi_2, \varphi_1, \varphi', p, r, \delta) \setminus_{*x}$	
			$\Gamma^\varphi \vdash (\lambda f(x).t_1) t_2 \Rightarrow V^{(r, \delta)}[p/x]$	(TA-LET)
			$\Gamma^{\varphi_1} \vdash t_1 \Rightarrow (f(x : T^p) \rightarrow U^r)^q$	
			$\Gamma^{\varphi_2} \vdash t_2 \Leftarrow T \Rightarrow p'$	
			$\Gamma^{\varphi'} \vdash p' < p, \quad \text{if } \diamond \notin p \wedge \neg \text{isVar}(t_1);$	
			$\Gamma^{\varphi'} \vdash p' < p[t_1/f], \text{ else if } \diamond \notin p \wedge \text{isVar}(t_1);$	
			$\Gamma^{\varphi'} \vdash p' \ll^p p'' \quad \text{else if } \diamond \in p \wedge f \notin p;$	
			$\wedge p'' \setminus_p \not\varphi q,$	
			$\varphi' = \varnothing, \quad \text{otherwise}$	
			$\varphi = \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi', r \setminus_{*f, x}$	
			$\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t_1 t_2 \Rightarrow U^r[p'/x, q/f]$	(TA-APP)

Fig. 9. Bidirectional typing rules for λ_R^* . Outputs are marked in red.

4.4 Bidirectional Typing

We finally present the top-level typing algorithm in Figure 9, bidirectionalized from Figure 4 based on recipes from Dunfield and Krishnaswami [2022]. Our bidirectional typing algorithm actually comes with three modes, checking (\Leftarrow), inference (\Rightarrow), and one extra with simultaneous input and output ($\Leftarrow \Rightarrow$) [Polikarpova et al. 2016], checking the type and synthesizing the qualifier. This mode is used for dependent application in (TA-APP). On adding it, the algorithm enjoys two separate subsumption rules (TA-SUBC) (TA-SUBI) to switch from checking to inference. The ascription rule (TA-AS)¹⁰ further enables switching from inference to checking by explicit annotation.

Following the common approach, the algorithm checks for abstractions (TA-ABS) and synthesizes for applications (TA-APP). Abstractions then need to be accompanied by annotations for types to be inferred, with the exception made for let-bindings (TA-LET), where we synthesize a type for the abstraction body and then use the avoidance algorithm to remove the binding variable. The application rule (TA-APP) combines the two declarative rules. It infers a type from the function, and then checks it against the argument, hopefully synthesizing a smaller qualifier. The qualifier upcast algorithm $\Gamma^\varphi \vdash q \ll^q q$ is used to provide the upper bound in the fresh application case.

The soundness of the three modes should be stated in accordance as follows,

THEOREM 4.8 (BIDIRECTIONAL SOUNDNESS). *If $\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t \Leftarrow T^q$, $\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t \Leftarrow T \Rightarrow q$, or $\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t \Rightarrow T^q$, and the inputs are closed, i.e. Γ ok and $\Gamma \vdash T, q$ (only if provided as inputs), then $\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t : T^q$ and the outputs are closed, i.e. $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$.*

¹⁰Due to encoding issues in mechanization, the closedness of the annotation is not checked, but supplied as a precondition.

THEOREM 4.9 (BIDIRECTIONAL DECIDABILITY). *The typing algorithm finishes in finite steps by decreasing on the lexical ordering of (1) the input term and (2) the checking mode (\Leftarrow , \Leftarrow^{\Rightarrow} , \Rightarrow), in the decreasing order).*

4.5 Note on Completeness

While finding a complete algorithm is another interesting problem, the one proposed in this section is not complete. Since λ_R^{\diamond} enjoys reachability polymorphism, it is unclear whether pathological terms exist as with full System $F_{<}$. However, as many widely adopted calculi do not enjoy complete while decidable type checking, we believe it is more important to investigate whether the algorithm is expressive enough to check meaningful programs, which we are discussing in Section 5. Here, we note the known completeness result for this algorithm as follows.

The qualifier checking algorithm in Section 4.1 is believed to be complete, as mechanized in a separate artifact. At a high level, the proof goes by induction on the context, maintaining invariants at the same time. The qualifier upcasting algorithm to locate the separation witness for (T-APP \diamond) should also be complete and could be proven in a similar way.

The subtype checking algorithm in Section 4.2 is incomplete, in that it is not always synthesizing the minimal growth, as its characterization of (S-GROW) is loose. This is technical and could be addressed in an alternative development.

The avoidance algorithm in Section 4.3 is incomplete. An alternative algorithm could reveal the reachability of non-fresh values by (Q-VAR), and for some cases the results of the two algorithms are incomparable, marking both non-principal. However, this is a problem originated from the shallow dependency requirement, and could be tackled by restoring deep dependency.

Summarizing them all, the top-level bidirectional typing algorithm (Section 4.4) is naturally incomplete, and in some cases additional annotations are required for successful type checking.

5 Case Study: Church-Encoded Pairs

The non-emptiness of the typing algorithm is best to be demonstrated with concrete examples. In this section, we showcase how to write a program using a monomorphic version of Church-encoded pairs, where both fields are of the same data type and the elimination result is not universal. Not only is the pair an essential data structure, but it also presents a non-trivial higher-order program. This example has been mechanized in our Coq artifact, as well as in our prototype type checker.¹¹

To get started, we demonstrate a snippet below making use of pairs. As λ_R^{\diamond} is type-monomorphic, pair constructs (e.g., Pair, fst*, snd*) are defined as syntactic templates, which require explicit instantiation and are macro-expanded into proper terms before sending for the type checker. Although this is not as general as System F -style polymorphism, equipped with reachability polymorphism and self-references, it suffices to soundly restore precise component qualifiers and express escaping closures with fresh resources. Meanwhile, an extension of System $F_{<}$ -style polymorphism is possible (cf. Section 5.3.2), and has been experimented in our prototype, testing on a polymorphic variant of this example.

```

val p2 = {
  val tr = ref true
  val fl = ref false
  val p1 = Pair[Ref[Bool]](tr, fl) // p1: transparent pair with precise eliminations
  val tr1 = fstT[Ref[Bool]tr](p1) // tr1: Ref[Bool]tr
  val fl1 = sndT[Ref[Bool]fl](p1) // fl1: Ref[Bool]fl
  p1 // ! avoidance required
} // p2: opaque pair with imprecise eliminations

```

¹¹ <https://github.com/Kraks/diamond-lang/tree/main/src/main/scala/avoidancecstlc>

```

val tr2 = fst0[Ref[Bool]](p2)      // tr2: Ref[Bool]p2
val fl2 = snd0[Ref[Bool]](p2)    // fl2: Ref[Bool]p2

```

With two named references, tr and fl , we can first construct a *transparent* pair $p1$, which supports precise elimination by $fstT$ and $sndT$, resulting in two separate references with qualifiers tr and fl , respectively. By ending the scope of $p1$, we then need to type $p2$ without mentioning tr and fl , effectively producing an *opaque* pair. We can no longer differentiate the two components of the opaque $p2$, but state that both components are part of $p2$ by the opaque eliminators $fst0$ and $snd0$. This way, we are keeping our promise of soundness.

5.1 The Way of Transparency

Here we give details to transparent pair forms. The constructor below unfolds to a function ρ taking an eliminator f as the argument. To assist type inference, ρ is accompanied with annotations. As the result type is noted as T^f , precise elimination relies on dependent application, thus expecting an f with a minimal qualifier. The type of f tells that it accepts two arguments aliased with a and b respectively, and its result is aliased with the function observation by the self-references g and f .

```

Pair[T](a, b)  $\triangleq$ 
fn  $\rho(f: (f(x: T^a) \Rightarrow (g(y: T^b) \Rightarrow T^g)^f) \star \rho) \{a, b\}: T^f \Rightarrow f(a)(b)$ 

```

To precisely extract the two components, desirable eliminators should have the observation of either a or b . Here follow the definitions of two possible instances. The eliminator in $fstT$ requires its first argument x to be aliased with a , takes an arbitrary y , and observes only a in its qualifier. Similarly, the $sndT$ one observes only b . Their types are checked against the annotations by $(TA-ABS)$.

```

fstT[Ta]( $\rho$ )  $\triangleq$ 
   $\rho(\mathbf{fn} \ f(x: T^a) \Rightarrow$ 
     $\mathbf{fn} \ g(y: T^g)^f: T^g \Rightarrow x)$ 
sndT[Tb]( $\rho$ )  $\triangleq$ 
   $\rho(\mathbf{fn} \ f(x: T^{\star f})^b \Rightarrow$ 
     $\mathbf{fn} \ g(y: T^b)^f: T^g \Rightarrow y)$ 

```

While such eliminator types do not exactly match the requirement from the constructor, the application algorithm $(TA-APP)$ would trigger $(SA-FUN)$ to check the subtyping. As the key difference here is the arbitrary arguments, $(QA-NEGF)$ would come in and smoothly justify this subtyping. Then, by dependent application, we get precise results for transparent eliminations.

5.2 Avoidance to Opaque

To arrive at the opaque pair type from $p1$, $(TA-LET)$ employs the avoidance algorithm to remove all occurrences of tr and fl . For those on the arguments x and y of the negative function f , $(AV-NEGF-GR)$ replaces them with wildcards $\star f$ and $\star g$ and propagates their growth by adding x and y in the result qualifiers. Back into the positive context of ρ , a growth of $\{tr, fl\}$ has thus been synthesized towards the type of f , which, due to dependent application, must be compensated on the result. Thus, $(AV-POSF)$ further adds the self-reference ρ to the result and merges the growth into its observation.

```

val p2 = {
  ...
  p1 // ( $\rho(f: (f(x: T^{tr}) \Rightarrow (g(y: T^{fl}) \Rightarrow T^g) \star \rho) \star \rho) \Rightarrow T^f \star \rho) \{tr, fl\}$ 
} // ( $\rho(f: (f(x: T^{\star f}) \Rightarrow (g(y: T^{\star g}) \Rightarrow T^{g,y}) \star \rho) \star \rho) \Rightarrow T^{\{f, \rho\}} \star \rho) \{tr, fl\}$  [ $tr \mapsto \star, fl \mapsto \star$ ])

```

To align with the new pair typing, we also need new eliminator typings. The following opaque eliminators take in both arguments arbitrarily and, reading from their types, can return either the arguments (x, y) or something aliased with themselves (f, g) . Applying the eliminators should then, by the opaque pair typing above, reach either the eliminators (f) or the pair components (ρ) . As both eliminators tracks nothing (\emptyset) , such opaque eliminations always reach the pair $p2$ itself.

```

fst0[T]( $\rho$ )  $\triangleq$ 
   $\rho(\mathbf{fn} \ f(x: T^{\star f})^{\emptyset} \Rightarrow$ 
     $\mathbf{fn} \ g(y: T^{\star g})^{\{f, x\}}: T^{\{g, y\}} \Rightarrow x)$ 
snd0[T]( $\rho$ )  $\triangleq$ 
   $\rho(\mathbf{fn} \ f(x: T^{\star f})^{\emptyset} \Rightarrow$ 
     $\mathbf{fn} \ g(y: T^{\star g})^{\{f, x\}}: T^{\{g, y\}} \Rightarrow y)$ 

```

5.3 Reaching Beyond λ_R^\star

While all above examples are expressible by λ_R^\star and checkable by the algorithm from Section 4, λ_R^\star is still minimalistic. Here we discuss some useful extensions to the language and the algorithm.

5.3.1 Checking Functions as Arguments One may suggest that the type instantiations for the eliminators can be removed and instead supplied by inferred types from the pairs, as commonly seen in local typing approaches [Dunfield and Krishnaswami 2022; Odersky et al. 2001]. However, our (TA-APP) requires the argument qualifier to be synthesized, while (TA-ABS) need the function qualifier as input to drive its type checking. This conflict can be alleviated by additional rules to synthesize qualifiers for functions. Alternatively, proper type-and-qualifier abstraction can also help to remove this instantiation, provided a solution for type parameter instantiation.

5.3.2 Polymorphism Orthogonal to this work, Wei et al. [2024] has investigated adding bounded quantification to reachability types. Even without quantifiers, their monomorphic calculus has enjoyed a lightweight form of reachability polymorphism, on which we choose to base λ_R^\star . Similar to type variables in System F , it allows abstracting over a reachability set using term-level variables:

```

val a = ref true
// id1: reachability polymorphism, where x abstracts over the qualifier of x
def id1(x: Ref[Bool]⋄): Ref[Bool]x = x
id1(a) // Ref[Bool]a = Ref[Bool]x [x ↦ a]
// id2: type polymorphism in System F<:, where T abstracts over the type of x
def id2[T <: Top](x: T): T = x
id2(a) // Ref[Bool] = T [T ↦ Ref[Bool]]

```

As reachability polymorphism has already been baked in λ_R^\star , for our prototype implementation, the extension of quantifiers is thus natural.

Implementation-wise, partial type reconstruction for System $F_{<}$ has been widely studied. Odersky et al. [2001]; Pierce and Turner [2000] discussed solving the instantiation problem by local constraint solving, while Cardelli [1993] investigated greedy inference, which works well in practice and was further proven complete for System F [Dunfield and Krishnaswami 2013]. Our prototype with type abstraction uses some basic forms of greedy instantiation.

5.3.3 Deep Dependencies The above examples can all be typed under the shallow dependency requirement (cf. Section 3.2). Still, it would be desirable to restore deep dependencies, so that the avoidance algorithm would only be required for fresh applications as showcased in Section 2.2. This can improve the precision of reachability tracking and make type inference less demanding. To do so, we first need to adapt the subtyping rules to make them polarity-aware, as self-references may now occur deeply inside a type. Secondly, we can implement the avoidance algorithm in a more intuitive way, as deep substitutions are now permitted.

6 Combining Reachability with Effects

While reachability types stand for lightweight reasoning about aliasing and separation at the type level, even more precision can be unlocked by layering an effect system, tracking read/write effects (or in combination, “use” effects) on reachable references [Bao et al. 2021; Bračevac et al. 2023a,b]. Consider the following example, where variables x and y are aliased:

```

val x = ref 42; val y = x; val z = ref 1
y := !y + 1 // Int⊙ @wr(y) ↦ [z: Ref[Int]⋄, y: Ref[Int]x, x: Ref[Int]⋄]

```

Incrementing y induces a write effect (@wr) on it, and transitively on x , too, but not z . The *Preservation of Separation* corollary [Wei et al. 2024] guarantees that the transitive separation of reachable variables between y and z implies the separation of their reachable store locations, and thus effects on one does not affect the other. This enables many interesting use cases, to name a few:

Guarding Race Conditions in Parallel Execution While reachability types are able to ensure that two thunks are of separate locations (recall `fdeep` from Section 2), this is overly restrictive for preventing race conditions. For instance, Rust [Matsakis and Klock 2014] allows immutable borrows to be shared, and a recent extension of capturing types [Xu and Odersky 2023] checks non-interference instead of location separation. By layering an effect system, reachability types are able to achieve similar expressiveness, allowing finer-grained reasoning to check the separation of writing effects instead of observable locations.

Optimizing Higher-Order Effectful Programs Key compiler optimizations, e.g., reordering, rewriting, and elimination of statements, all depend on precisely tracking the dependencies among them, and the effect system atop reachability types is ideal to derive such dependency information! Bračevac et al. [2023a,b] have presented a solution of graph IR for impure, higher-order programs. They categorize dependencies as data dependencies and effect dependencies, where the latter are constructed by connecting nodes with effects on overlapping reachable variables. Further dividing effect dependencies into soft and hard, the effect system there can be used to show whether the ordering or the statement itself needs to be preserved.

Flow-Sensitive Move Effects The effect system can further be extended with a destructive “move” effects [Bao et al. 2021]¹², to model substructural features such as unique ownership and ownership transferring. Exemplified below, we introduce a primitive move operator, which induces a “move” effect on the variable `x`, and thus disables any future use of all variables aliased with `x`. The operator itself returns the referent of `x` as a fresh reference, making `z` the variable with its unique ownership.

```
val x = ref 1; val y = x
val z = move(x) // Ref[Int]z @mv(x) + [z: Ref[Int]z, y: Ref[Int]x, x: Ref[Int]*]
!x + !y // Error: x and y has been moved
```

In practice, such move effects could further be employed to guarantee safe deallocation, ruling out potential use-after-free bugs at the compile time.

As the above applications have been demonstrated separately, in this section, we tackle the question about how reachability types with flow-sensitive effects can be algorithmically checked and inferred. In Section 6.1, we discuss the rules for inferring and checking effects by extending the bidirectional typing algorithm from Section 4. In Section 6.2, we refer to the graph IR optimizer¹³ [Bračevac et al. 2023a,b] as a case study to see how the effect system fits into the pipeline. As a next step, in Section 6.3, we visit the newly introduced problem of effect polymorphism, and our intended solution there.

6.1 Bidirectional Typing Again, with Effects

While Bao et al. [2023] formally establish the theory and metatheory of a reachability type variant with only write effects, here we consider a richer calculus, and we start our discussion by visiting selected inference rules in Figure 10. Extending the bidirectional typing rules from Figure 9, the three modes here each carries two additional effect qualifiers, ϵ for use effects and m for move effects, marking the effects that can be induced when evaluating the expression. As pure expressions, values induce no immediate effect, but function values can carry *latent* effects, i.e., those to be reified when applied, which is annotated in function types. The effect qualifiers ϵ, m each denotes a set of variables that will be affected by the effect concerned. As with ordinary reachability qualifiers, they follow the same algorithms for checking subsumption (cf. Figure 6) and separation (cf. Figure 3), and they are checked or inferred in each mode of the bidirectional rules.

¹²The “move” effects are called “kill” effects in Bao et al. [2021]’s work.

¹³<https://tiarkrompf.github.io/notes/?/graph-ir/>

Effect Inference Rules	$\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t \Leftarrow Q \varepsilon \mid m$	$\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t \Leftarrow T \Rightarrow^q \varepsilon \mid m$	$\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t \Rightarrow Q \varepsilon \mid m$
$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t \Rightarrow (\text{Ref } B)^q \varepsilon \mid m \quad m \not\# q}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash \text{move}(t) \Rightarrow (\text{Ref } B)^\diamond \varepsilon \mid m, q \setminus \diamond}$ <p style="text-align: center; margin: 0;">(E-MOVE)</p>			
$\frac{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t \Rightarrow (\text{Ref } B)^q \varepsilon \mid m \quad m \not\# q}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash !t \Rightarrow B^\diamond \varepsilon, q \setminus \diamond \mid m}$ <p style="text-align: center; margin: 0;">(E-DEREF)</p>			
$\frac{\Gamma^{\varphi_1} \vdash t_1 \Rightarrow (\text{Ref } B)^q \varepsilon_1 \mid m_1 \quad \Gamma^{\varphi_2} \vdash t_2 \Rightarrow B^p \varepsilon_2 \mid m_2 \quad m_1 \not\# q \quad m_1 \not\# \varepsilon_2 \quad m_2 \not\# q \quad m_1 \not\# m_2}{\Gamma^{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} \vdash t_1 := t_2 \Rightarrow B^\diamond \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, q \setminus \diamond \mid m_1, m_2}$ <p style="text-align: center; margin: 0;">(E-ASSGN)</p>			
		$\Gamma^{\varphi_1} \vdash t_1 \Rightarrow \left(f(x : TP) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_3 \mid m_3} U^r \right)^q \varepsilon_1 \mid m_1$ $\Gamma^{\varphi_2} \vdash t_2 \Leftarrow T \Rightarrow^{p'} \varepsilon_2 \mid m_2 \quad \theta = [p'/x, q/f]$ $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Gamma^{\varphi'} \vdash p' < p, \quad \text{if } \diamond \notin p \wedge \neg \text{isVar}(t_1); \\ \Gamma^{\varphi'} \vdash p' < p_{[t_1/f]}, \quad \text{else if } \diamond \notin p \wedge \text{isVar}(t_1); \\ \Gamma^{\varphi'} \vdash p' \ll^p p'' \quad \text{else if } \diamond \in p \wedge f \notin p; \\ \quad \wedge p'' \setminus p \not\# q, \\ \varphi' = \emptyset, \quad \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$ $\varphi = \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi', r \setminus \star, f, x$ $x \in m_3 \Rightarrow x \notin r \quad f \in m_3 \Rightarrow f \notin r \quad m_1 \not\# \varepsilon_2$ $\frac{m_1 \not\# m_2 \not\# m_3 \quad (m_1, m_2) \not\# (p', q, \varepsilon_3)}{\Gamma^\varphi \vdash t_1 t_2 \Rightarrow U^{r\theta} (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \mid m_1, m_2, m_3) \theta}$ <p style="text-align: center; margin: 0;">(E-APP)</p>	

Fig. 10. Selected effect inference rules.

Composition of the effects from sub-terms is the key to deriving effect inference rules for compound terms. Bao et al. [2021] have defined a generic effect quantale [Gordon 2021] parameterized with effect labels, which can be instantiated in different ways to dictate the effect composition rules. Here for simplicity, we choose a direct formalization. As simple cases, (E-MOVE) and (E-DEREF) both carry a single sub-term t with the reachability qualifier q . In addition to the effects induced by evaluating t (i.e., $\varepsilon \mid m$), towards the variables noted by q , (E-MOVE) further induces move effects and (E-DEREF) use effects. Both rules also require that the reachable variables from t cannot have been killed, i.e., $m \not\# q$.

For a slightly more complicated rule like (E-ASSGN), the evaluation order of the subexpressions has to be taken into account, especially in the presence of move effects, so as to ensure that values cannot be reached from inaccessible (killed) variables. Our assignment rule (E-ASSGN) evaluates t_1 first and then t_2 . Consequently, the storing location computed from t_1 cannot be killed by either t_1 or t_2 , thus $m_1 \not\# q$ and $m_2 \not\# q$; and t_2 cannot use or kill what has already been killed by t_1 , thus $m_1 \not\# \varepsilon_2$ and $m_1 \not\# m_2$. The resulting effects inferred are a union of effects from both t_1 and t_2 , plus the use effects on the storing locations q .

Function applications (E-APP) carry also two sub-terms as assignments do, but they have to further consider the latent effects of functions, which are to be induced after the immediate effects of t_1 and t_2 . Also, they need to consider substitution, so that the resulting qualifier does not reach any inaccessible variables.

6.2 Case Study: Dependency Synthesis

Bračevac et al. [2023a,b] have presented a dependency analysis on top of a reachability type-and-effect system [Bao et al. 2021] as the basis for advanced compiler optimizations. Although backed by empirical evidence, the formalization of their algorithm has not been studied, and here we provide our answer based on our formalized algorithm atop bidirectional typing. In this section, we discuss an end-to-end example for dependency analysis aiming at safe dead-code elimination (DCE):

$$\left(\text{fn}(x) \Rightarrow \left(\text{fn}(y) \Rightarrow \left(\text{fn}(z) \Rightarrow \left(\text{fn}(m) \Rightarrow y \right) (y := 2) \right) (x := 1) \right) (x) \right) (\text{ref } 0).$$


The snippet above (omitting type annotations) allocates a reference, passes it around the lambdas, updates it twice and finally returns the reference. To synthesize the effects from this snippet, we use the effect inference rules from Figure 10. For fine-grained dependency tracking, two more

extensions are required, (1) the use effects are split into separate read and write effects, and (2) we introduce the distinguished variable ω (for *world*) for allocating memory resources [Bračevac et al. 2023a,b]. Using the standard techniques to insert let-bindings [Pierce 2002], we further translate the snippet into the A-normal form (ANF),¹⁴ where each subexpression is assigned a name, and each block ends with a result variable. As such translation is type-and-effect preserving [Bračevac et al. 2023a,b], we are able to inspect the result of our effect inference for each computation node. The $\text{ref}(\theta)$ node includes a read effect on ω for allocation, and the two assignments induce write effects on x and y respectively.

```

let x = ref 0      // Ref[Int]♦ @rd( $\omega$ ) @wr( $\emptyset$ )
let y = x          // Ref[Int]x @rd( $\emptyset$ ) @wr( $\emptyset$ )
let z = (x := 1)   // Unit∅ @rd( $\emptyset$ ) @wr(x)
let m = (y := 2)   // Unit∅ @rd( $\emptyset$ ) @wr(y)
y                  // Ref[Int]x @rd( $\emptyset$ ) @wr( $\emptyset$ )

```



The ANF program can also be viewed as a graph (above on the right), mapping subexpressions to graph nodes, and data dependencies to dotted edges in black. Furthermore, teal edges mark effect dependencies synthesized by the algorithm from Bračevac et al. [2023a,b]. For example, the dashed edge from m to z represents a *soft dependency*, meaning that m uses the store location reachable from x and y , and z is the last node where the location is updated. The solid edge from x to the left represents a *hard dependency*, meaning that x uses the allocator ω , and thus depends on all its prior usages (noted under “...”). Tracing back from the block result y allows us to summarize the dependencies of each node, including the last effectful use of their targets (shown on the left):

let x = ref 0	• $\{\omega \mapsto \dots\}_h \{ \}_s$	let x = ref 0	• $\{\omega \mapsto \dots\}_h \{ \}_s$
let y = x	• $\{ \}_h \{ \}_s$	let y = x	• $\{ \}_h \{ \}_s$
let z = (x := 1)	• $\{ \}_h \{x \mapsto x\}_s$		
let m = (y := 2)	• $\{ \}_h \{x \mapsto z, y \mapsto z\}_s$	let m = (y := 2)	• $\{ \}_h \{x \mapsto x, y \mapsto x\}_s$
y	• $\{ \}_h \{x \mapsto m, y \mapsto m\}_s$	y	• $\{ \}_h \{x \mapsto m, y \mapsto m\}_s$

By applying the equational rule for DCE, z can then be soundly removed, as subsequent computation does not have a data or hard effect dependency on it. After DCE, remaining dependencies are mechanically re-wired, shown above on the right (see Bračevac et al. [2023a,b] for full details).

6.3 On Effect Polymorphism

Despite that effect qualifiers are analogous to reachability qualifiers, λ_R^\star 's reachability polymorphism does not always scale to effects. Consider the following snippet:

```

let x = ref 0
def f (g: (Int => @mv(g) Unit)♦) = { g(!x) } // latent: @mv(g) @rd(x)
let y = ref 1; let z = ref 1 // actual typing: desired typing:
f({ p => !move(y) + p }) // @mv(y) @rd(x) @mv(y) @rd(x)
f({ p => !z + p }) // @mv(z) @rd(x) @rd(z) @rd(x)
!z // type error type checks

```

To make f as liberal as possible, accepting any parameter g with arbitrary latent effects, we annotate g with $\text{mv}(g)$, as the destructive move effect is the *top* in the effect lattice [Bao et al. 2021], and the self-reference g allows the scope of the effect to be up to its observation. Subsequently, we supply two thunks to f , capturing y and z respectively. The first thunk latently induces a move effect on y , and when applying the thunk, by reachability polymorphism, y becomes inaccessible. The second thunk, however, only uses z instead of moving it. By applying the thunk, z also becomes inaccessible! Thus, to precisely reason about effects, we need a proper form of effect polymorphism.

¹⁴Bračevac et al. [2023a,b]'s work uses monadic normal form (MNF), which generalizes ANF by allowing nested let-bindings, for a simpler technical treatment of the metatheory. The choice of MNF or ANF is irrelevant to our example.

Parametric Effect Polymorphism A straightforward answer to the problem is to extend existing polymorphic calculi with effect abstraction and effect variables, in addition to Wei et al. [2024] that implements qualifier abstraction atop System $F_{<}$. However, a proliferation of effect variables severely inhibits the usability of effect systems [Rytz 2014] and also poses challenges for effective type inference [Pierce 2002]. Fortunately, alternatives exist as *dependent* effects, which entail a form of effect polymorphism that is lightweight yet has a high degree of precision.

Call-Dependent Effects Following the lazy sense of using variables directly as qualifiers in (T-VAR) (cf. Figure 4), we can go one step further and use function calls in effect qualifiers, alleviating the annotation overhead from abstract effect variables. Consider the revised function declaration:

```
def f (g: (Int =>@mv(g) Unit)♦) = { g(!x) } // latent: @g() @rd(x)
```

Now the function f 's latent effect uses $g()$, which is a *call-dependent effect*, as it depends on g 's actual effect when it is applied. In general, if g is applied to an argument with non-trivial qualifiers, the effect should be $g(x)$. Here, we use just $g()$ as the argument qualifier is empty. Back to the example above, the effects of the two f invocations can be resolved by substituting g 's actual latent effect, not their effect upper bounds (i.e., $mv(g)$), thus gaining the desired precision. As a result, the final $!z$ type-checks. The notion of call-dependent effects coincides with the idea of relative effects [Rytz 2014; Rytz and Odersky 2012]. The approach provides a lightweight form of effect polymorphism, eliminating the need for additional effect parameters in higher-order functions, and has been experimentally validated in an effect checker for Scala [Rytz 2014].

7 Related Work

Tracking Reachability/Capturing in Types This work is closely related to the original development of reachability types [Bao et al. 2021] and its polymorphic variant [Wei et al. 2024], both of which have borrowed ideas from separation logic [O'Hearn et al. 2001; Reynolds 2002]. This paper builds on top of Wei et al. [2024]'s λ^* -calculus but improves its key limitations by refining the subtyping relation with self-references and developing a practical type checking algorithm. The λ_R^* -calculus in this work is type-monomorphic, but already has a lightweight form of reachability polymorphism via dependent application, making it amenable to be extended with quantified polymorphism a la Wei et al. [2024], as showcased in our prototype.

Closely related, capturing types [Boruch-Gruszecki et al. 2023] are an ongoing work to integrate escape checking and tracking of capabilities into Scala 3. Capturing types borrow ideas from modal type theory [Nanevski et al. 2008] and deploy boxing and unboxing to achieve capture tunneling with polymorphism. Due to the lack of self-references, capturing types would be less expressive in the case of tracking freshness, especially for non-scoped introduction forms and escaping closures. Recent progress in capturing types [Xu and Odersky 2023] allows checking a form of separation based on non-interference. Such separation is established by declaring *degrees of separation*, while reachability types have the contextual notion of *freshness* and directly draw the separation conclusion from non-overlapping saturation sets.

From Ownership to Reachability Ownership type systems [Clarke et al. 2013, 1998; Noble et al. 1998; Potanin et al. 2006] control the access paths to objects and enforce strict heap invariants. They can track sophisticated properties, but require considerable annotation overhead, inhibiting their practical adoption. Automatic ownership type inference tools [Dietl et al. 2011; Huang et al. 2012] require heuristics or interaction from the programmer to achieve preferable results. Rust [Jung et al. 2021; Matsakis and Klock 2014] takes a strong ownership model, enforcing that a mutable reference has to be unique, and a read-only reference may be shared. It has been widely used in low-level systems programming. Aiming at introducing Rust-style ownership types into functional languages, this work and prior work in reachability types relax the “shared XOR mutable” restriction from

Rust and track sharing (thus separation) in the presence of higher-order functions. Uniqueness properties in reachability type systems can be enforced via move effects (see Section 6).

The Avoidance Problem Systems with dependent types often encounter *the avoidance problem*: there is no minimal typing to avoid mentioning a (type) variable, which can occur in systems with bounded existential types [Ghelli and Pierce 1998] or ML-style modules. Due to the avoidance issue, some design of ML-style module systems lacks complete type checking [Leroy 2000]. Refined designs of modules avoid the avoidance problem by elaborating types with escaped variables into implicit existential types [Dreyer et al. 2003; Rossberg et al. 2014]. It is worth noting that the avoidance problem does not arise in systems with full dependent types, since substitution there is unrestricted, as opposed to systems where variables can only be substituted with other variables, such as DOT [Rompf and Amin 2016]. In this work, the escaping of variables is a similar instance of the avoidance problem, where our solution, the self-reference, plays a similar role to implicit existential types used in ML-style module systems. However, self-references are not existential types, as they do not require packing/unpacking and can be smoothly introduced via subtyping. Also, regarding the semantics (cf. Section 2.2.2), self-references are different from the common subtyping rules for bounded existential types [Wehr and Thiemann 2011].

Self-References are not Recursive Types While we borrow the μ -notation for self-references (e.g., in Figure 1), there are key differences between self-references and recursive types [Amadio and Cardelli 1993]. While both allow a construct to refer to itself, self-references refer to terms, not types. Moreover, qualifiers are always finite sets and not recursive. In the pair example from Figure 1, we cannot recursively expand the self-reference as one would do with recursive types. Also, when we are unfolding a self-reference by subtyping, it is guaranteed that a function value of the desired qualifier is inhibited, and thus we will not land in nonsensical types [Amin and Tate 2016]. In this regard, the interaction between self-reference subtyping and bounded quantification should not be as subtle as recursive types are [Zhou et al. 2023]. We leave the extension of reachability types with recursive types as future work.

Type Inference with Subtyping The Hindley-Milner (HM) typing algorithm [Damas and Milner 1982] is able to infer the most general type in polymorphic languages without subtyping. HM(X) [Odersky et al. 1999] extends HM to constrained types including subtyping and it supports principal types. Aiming for compactness, recent more sophisticated approaches [Dolan and Mycroft 2017; Parreaux 2020] could infer principal types in the presence of subtyping.

As opposed to global inference algorithms, bidirectional typing [Dunfield and Krishnaswami 2022; Dunfield and Pfenning 2004] features local reasoning at the cost of some explicit type annotations. While bidirectional typing is well-developed, our adaption for λ_R^\dagger is unique, featuring a hybrid checking/synthesizing mode that is similar to the refinement strengthening used in Polikarpova et al. [2016]. Furthermore, since bidirectional typing is complete for System $F_{<}$, which is the substrate of Wei et al. [2024]’s polymorphic reachability type system, it is possible to extend the algorithm for λ_R^\dagger with polymorphism using local constraint solving [Odersky et al. 2001; Pierce and Turner 2000] or greedy instantiation [Dunfield and Krishnaswami 2013; Jones et al. 2007].

8 Conclusion

In this work, we investigated self-references in reachability types, enabling a higher degree of expressiveness compared with prior work. We proposed the refined λ_R^\dagger -calculus with position-aware subtyping and the notion of qualifier growth, allowing to derive expressive subtype relations involving self-references. We also developed a bidirectional typing algorithm for λ_R^\dagger , making such a new design practical and implementable. We examined the expressiveness of the language and the effectiveness of the algorithm with a case study on Church-encoded pairs. By developing λ_R^\dagger , its

typing algorithm, data structure encodings, as well Coq mechanization and prototypes for the type checker and the effect system, this work makes reachability types more practical and expressive.

Acknowledgments

We thank Yueyang Tang and Haotian Deng for related contributions to reachability types, and Zhe Zhou, Yongwei Yuan, and Patrick LaFontaine for feedbacks on early drafts. We also thank the anonymous reviewers for their insightful comments and suggestions, as well as our generous funding providers.

References

- Roberto M. Amadio and Luca Cardelli. 1993. Subtyping Recursive Types. *ACM Trans. Program. Lang. Syst.* 15, 4 (1993), 575–631.
- Nada Amin, Samuel Grütter, Martin Odersky, Tiark Rompf, and Sandro Stucki. 2016. The Essence of Dependent Object Types. In *A List of Successes That Can Change the World (Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 9600)*. Springer, 249–272.
- Nada Amin and Ross Tate. 2016. Java and scala’s type systems are unsound: the existential crisis of null pointers. In *OOPSLA*. ACM, 838–848.
- Yuyan Bao, Guannan Wei, Oliver Bračevac, and Tiark Rompf. 2023. Modeling Reachability Types with Logical Relations. (2023).
- Yuyan Bao, Guannan Wei, Oliver Bračevac, Yuxuan Jiang, Qiyang He, and Tiark Rompf. 2021. Reachability types: tracking aliasing and separation in higher-order functional programs. *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.* 5, OOPSLA (2021), 1–32.
- Aleksander Boruch-Gruszecki, Martin Odersky, Edward Lee, Ondrej Lhoták, and Jonathan Immanuel Brachthäuser. 2023. Capturing Types. *ACM Trans. Program. Lang. Syst.* 45, 4 (2023), 21:1–21:52.
- Oliver Bračevac, Guannan Wei, Songlin Jia, Supun Abeyasinghe, Yuxuan Jiang, Yuyan Bao, and Tiark Rompf. 2023a. Graph IRs for Impure Higher-Order Languages: Making Aggressive Optimizations Affordable with Precise Effect Dependencies. *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.* 7, OOPSLA2, Article 236 (oct 2023), 31 pages.
- Oliver Bračevac, Guannan Wei, Songlin Jia, Supun Abeyasinghe, Yuxuan Jiang, Yuyan Bao, and Tiark Rompf. 2023b. Graph IRs for Impure Higher-Order Languages (Supplement). arXiv preprint.
- Luca Cardelli. 1993. *An implementation of F*. Digital Equipment Corporation Systems Research Center.
- Dave Clarke, Johan Östlund, Ilya Sergey, and Tobias Wrigstad. 2013. Ownership Types: A Survey. In *Aliasing in Object-Oriented Programming*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 7850. Springer, 15–58.
- David Clarke, John Potter, and James Noble. 1998. Ownership Types for Flexible Alias Protection. In *OOPSLA*. ACM, 48–64.
- Karl Crary. 2020. A focused solution to the avoidance problem. *J. Funct. Program.* 30 (2020), e24.
- Luis Damas and Robin Milner. 1982. Principal Type-Schemes for Functional Programs. In *POPL*. ACM Press, 207–212.
- Werner Dietl, Michael D. Ernst, and Peter Müller. 2011. Tunable Static Inference for Generic Universe Types. In *ECOOP (Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 6813)*. Springer, 333–357.
- Stephen Dolan and Alan Mycroft. 2017. Polymorphism, subtyping, and type inference in MLsub. In *POPL*. ACM, 60–72.
- Derek Dreyer, Karl Crary, and Robert Harper. 2003. A type system for higher-order modules. In *POPL*. ACM, 236–249.
- Derek Dreyer, Robert Harper, and Karl Crary. 2005. *Understanding and evolving the ml module system*. Ph.D. Dissertation. USA. AAI3166274.
- Jana Dunfield and Neel Krishnaswami. 2022. Bidirectional Typing. *ACM Comput. Surv.* 54, 5 (2022), 98:1–98:38.
- Jana Dunfield and Neelakantan R. Krishnaswami. 2013. Complete and easy bidirectional typechecking for higher-rank polymorphism. In *ICFP*. ACM, 429–442.
- Jana Dunfield and Frank Pfenning. 2004. Tridirectional typechecking. In *POPL*. ACM, 281–292.
- Giorgio Ghelli and Benjamin C. Pierce. 1998. Bounded Existentials and Minimal Typing. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* 193, 1-2 (1998), 75–96.
- Colin S. Gordon. 2021. Polymorphic Iterable Sequential Effect Systems. *ACM Trans. Program. Lang. Syst.* 43, 1 (2021), 4:1–4:79.
- Wei Huang, Werner Dietl, Ana L. Milanova, and Michael D. Ernst. 2012. Inference and Checking of Object Ownership. In *ECOOP (Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 7313)*. Springer, 181–206.
- Simon L. Peyton Jones, Dimitrios Vytiniotis, Stephanie Weirich, and Mark Shields. 2007. Practical type inference for arbitrary-rank types. *J. Funct. Program.* 17, 1 (2007), 1–82.
- Ralf Jung, Jacques-Henri Jourdan, Robbert Krebbers, and Derek Dreyer. 2021. Safe systems programming in Rust. *Commun. ACM* 64, 4 (2021), 144–152.
- Xavier Leroy. 2000. A modular module system. *J. Funct. Program.* 10, 3 (2000), 269–303.
- Mark Lillibridge. 1996. *Translucent Sums: A Foundation for Higher-Order Module Systems*. Ph.D. Dissertation.
- Nicholas D. Matsakis and Felix S. II Klock. 2014. The Rust language. In *HILT*. ACM, 103–104.

- Aleksandar Nanevski, Frank Pfenning, and Brigitte Pientka. 2008. Contextual modal type theory. *ACM Trans. Comput. Log.* 9, 3 (2008), 23:1–23:49.
- James Noble, Jan Vitek, and John Potter. 1998. Flexible Alias Protection. In *ECOOP (Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 1445)*. Springer, 158–185.
- Martin Odersky, Martin Sulzmann, and Martin Wehr. 1999. Type Inference with Constrained Types. *Theory Pract. Object Syst.* 5, 1 (1999), 35–55.
- Martin Odersky, Christoph Zenger, and Matthias Zenger. 2001. Colored local type inference. In *POPL*. ACM, 41–53.
- Peter W. O’Hearn, John C. Reynolds, and Hongseok Yang. 2001. Local Reasoning about Programs that Alter Data Structures. In *CSL (Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 2142)*. Springer, 1–19.
- Lionel Parreaux. 2020. The simple essence of algebraic subtyping: principal type inference with subtyping made easy (functional pearl). *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.* 4, ICFP (2020), 124:1–124:28.
- Benjamin C. Pierce. 2002. *Types and programming languages*. MIT Press.
- Benjamin C. Pierce and David N. Turner. 2000. Local type inference. *ACM Trans. Program. Lang. Syst.* 22, 1 (2000), 1–44.
- Nadia Polikarpova, Ivan Kuraj, and Armando Solar-Lezama. 2016. Program synthesis from polymorphic refinement types. In *PLDI*. ACM, 522–538.
- Alex Potanin, James Noble, Dave Clarke, and Robert Biddle. 2006. Generic ownership for generic Java. In *OOPSLA*. ACM, 311–324.
- John C. Reynolds. 2002. Separation Logic: A Logic for Shared Mutable Data Structures. In *LICS*. IEEE Computer Society, 55–74.
- Tiark Rompf and Nada Amin. 2016. Type soundness for dependent object types (DOT). In *OOPSLA*. ACM, 624–641.
- Andreas Rossberg, Claudio V. Russo, and Derek Dreyer. 2014. F-ing modules. *J. Funct. Program.* 24, 5 (2014), 529–607.
- Lukas Rytz. 2014. *A Practical Effect System for Scala*. Ph. D. Dissertation. EPFL, Switzerland.
- Lukas Rytz and Martin Odersky. 2012. Relative Effect Declarations for Lightweight Effect-Polymorphism. (2012). <http://infoscience.epfl.ch/record/175546>
- Amin Timany, Robbert Krebbers, Derek Dreyer, and Lars Birkedal. 2024. A Logical Approach to Type Soundness. (2024).
- Matthew S. Tschantz and Michael D. Ernst. 2005. Javari: adding reference immutability to Java. In *OOPSLA*. ACM, 211–230.
- Stefan Wehr and Peter Thiemann. 2011. On the Decidability of Subtyping with Bounded Existential Types and Implementation Constraints. *New Gener. Comput.* 29, 1 (2011), 87–124.
- Guannan Wei, Oliver Bracevac, Songlin Jia, Yuyan Bao, and Tiark Rompf. 2024. Polymorphic Reachability Types: Tracking Freshness, Aliasing, and Separation in Higher-Order Generic Programs. *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.* 8, POPL (2024), 393–424.
- Yichen Xu and Martin Odersky. 2023. Degrees of Separation: A Flexible Type System for Data Race Prevention.
- Litao Zhou, Yaoda Zhou, and Bruno C. d. S. Oliveira. 2023. Recursive Subtyping for All. *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.* 7, POPL (2023), 1396–1425.
- Yoav Zibin, Alex Potanin, Paley Li, Mahmood Ali, and Michael D. Ernst. 2010. Ownership and immutability in generic Java. In *OOPSLA*. ACM, 598–617.