

# Homology and homotopy for arbitrary categories

Suddhasattwa Das\*

September 4, 2024

## Abstract

One of the prime motivation for topology was Homotopy theory, which captures the general idea of a continuous transformation between two entities, which may be spaces or maps. In later decades, an algebraic formulation of topology was discovered with the development of Homology theory. Some of the deepest results in topology are about the connections between Homotopy and Homology. These results are proved using intricate constructions. This paper re-proves these connections via an axiomatic approach that provides a common ground for homotopy and homology in arbitrary categories. One of the main contributions is a re-interpretation of convexity as an extrinsic rather than intrinsic property. All the axioms and results are applicable for the familiar context of topological spaces. At the same time it provides a complete framework for an algebraic characterization of objects in a general category, which also preserves a notion of Homotopy.

**Key words.** Category, Homology, Homotopy, Pushouts

**AMS subject classifications.** 46E27, 18A25, 18A30, 18G35, 18F60

## 1 Introduction.

The two pillars of modern topology are Homotopy theory and Homology theory. The former studies the continuous deformation of maps and spaces, and the various equivalences they create. On the other hand, homology provides a means of embedding the arrangement of topological spaces and continuous maps into a system of Abelian groups and group homomorphisms between them. The axiomatic approaches for homotopy [1, 2] and Homology [3, 4, 5, 6] have mostly been independent. Subsequently, a number of axiomatic frameworks have been suggested to unify these two theories. The theory of model categories [1, 7, e.g.] extends some notions from topology by formulating three abstract notions of morphisms - fibrations, cofibrations and weak equivalences. Another approach is that of  $\infty$ -categories [8, e.g.], which is a reformulation of category theory itself so that notions of homotopy gets ingrained into the notions. Some other innovations in this field can be found in [9, 10, e.g.].

Our goal is also to present a general axiomatic framework to establish the notions of homotopy and homology, and achieve the homology invariance with respect to homotopy equivalences. However, the axioms are much more simpler, verifiable, and have intuitive interpretations.

Categories and category theory provide a panoramic view of many of the various branches of mathematics, such as Dynamical systems theory [11, 12, 13], Measure theory [14, 15], Algorithms [16, 17] or Game theory [18, 19]. In fact, this discipline arose from a systematic study of topological objects and continuous map, and its connections with Algebra. The concepts of Homology and Homotopy were respectively established as functor from the category of topological spaces into the category of Abelian groups, and

---

\*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Texas Tech University, Texas, USA




Figure 1: Outline of the theory. The paper presents a simple axiomatic approach to both homotopy and homology in a general category  $\mathcal{C}$ . A collection of assumptions on  $\mathcal{C}$  not only help generalize these concepts but also guarantees that the homology preserves homotopy invariance. The chart above presents various assumptions and concepts, along with their logical dependence. The independent notions are in white boxes. This includes Simplicial homology, a means of converting the combinatorial structure of a simplicial set into an Abelian group. Homology (red) is the confluence of simplicial homology and the nerve construction, as shown in detail in (13). The nerve construction (8) is an outcome of an arbitrary functor  $F$  as in (1). The basic concept of homotopy of the action of this functor on just the first two objects of the simplex category  $\Delta$ . This attains all the usual properties of homotopy equivalence under further axioms. See Figure 3 for a detailed outline of this property. Also see Figure 4 for a detailed outline of the derivation of homotopy invariance from more fundamental properties arising due to our axioms.

from the category of pointed topological spaces into the category of general Groups. The concept of a functor provides a concise description of a mapping that also preserves the numerous relations between two arrangements. Readers can find the basic definitions of category theory in standard sources such as [20, 21].

**Simplex category.** The goal of the paper is to present how a simple functor

$$F : \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \tag{1}$$

mapping the simplex category  $\Delta$  into an arbitrary category  $\mathcal{C}$ , leads to notions of homotopy and homology for the category  $\mathcal{C}$ . See Figure 1 for a concise outline of the paper. The simplex category  $\Delta$  [22, 23] has as objects the non-negative integers  $\mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Each such integer  $n$  is meant to represent the ordered sets  $[n] := \{0, \dots, n\}$ . The morphisms are given by

$$\text{Hom}_{\Delta}(m; n) := \{ \text{Order preserving maps } \phi : [m] \rightarrow [n] \}.$$

The simplex category  $\Delta$  is the most concise way of encoding combinatorial structures in other categories [23, 24, 25]. The functor  $F$  thus creates an image of  $\Delta$  within  $\mathcal{C}$ . The objects  $\{F(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  will be called *cells*. They are the basic building blocks of homology and homotopy.

**Topological simplex.** One of the main motivating examples is the case when  $\mathcal{C} = [\text{Topo}]$ , the category of topological spaces and continuous maps. In that case  $F$  is often taken to be *standard topological simplex*

$$F_{\text{stdrd}, \text{Topo}} : \Delta \rightarrow [\text{Topo}], \quad F_{\text{stdrd}, \text{Topo}}(n) = \text{convex span of } \{e_0^{(n+1)}, \dots, e_n^{(n+1)}\}, \quad (2)$$

where  $e_0^{(n+1)}, \dots, e_n^{(n+1)}$  are  $n + 1$  independent eigenvectors in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . The resulting homology is the singular homology for topological spaces. Note that the  $n$ -th cell of this functor is isomorphic to the  $n$ -dimensional closed disk. Our approach is not tied to the specific example of (2). We shall identify and isolate structural properties of the general functor (1) and recreate the homology and homotopy. The specific functor in (2) will serve as a useful example to verify our axioms with.

Our first two axioms will be on the basic elements of  $F$  :

**Axiom 1.** *The category  $\mathcal{C}$  has a terminal object  $1_{\mathcal{C}}$ , and has finite products.*

and

**Axiom 2.** *The functor  $F$  maps  $[0]$  into  $1_{\mathcal{C}}$  from Axiom 1.*

Axiom 2 simply states that the 0-th cell is the terminal object of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Note that for the example of (2),  $1_{\mathcal{C}}$  is the one-point topological space, which is also the 0-th cell. The Cartesian products of spaces in  $[\text{Topo}]$  also coincides with categorical products.

**Generating morphisms.** In general, it is not easy to make an explicit construction of a functor of the form (1), as the morphisms incoming at  $n$  or outgoing at  $n$  increase exponentially with  $n$ . The task becomes easier if one concentrates only on a special collection of morphisms known as *face maps* :

$$0 \leq i \leq n : d_{n,i} : [n-1] \rightarrow [n], \quad j \mapsto \begin{cases} j & \text{if } j < i \\ j+1 & \text{if } j \geq i \end{cases}. \quad (3)$$

and *degeneracy* maps :

$$0 \leq i \leq n : s_{n,i} : [n+1] \rightarrow [n], \quad j \mapsto \begin{cases} j & \text{if } j \leq i \\ j-1 & \text{if } j > i \end{cases}. \quad (4)$$

To put more concisely, the  $i$ -th face map  $d_{n,i}$  is the unique map which skips the element  $i$  in  $[n+1]$ , and the  $i$ -th degeneracy map  $s_{n,i}$  is the unique surjective map such that the pre-image of element  $i$  has two elements. The face and degeneracy maps generate every morphism  $\phi : [m] \rightarrow [n]$  in  $\Delta$ . They obey the following set of identities :

$$\begin{aligned} d_{n+1,i}d_{n,j} &= d_{n+1,j+1}d_{n,i} \text{ if } i < j \\ s_{n-1,j}d_{n,i} &= d_{n-1,i}s_{n-2,j-1} \text{ if } i < j \\ s_{n-1,j}d_{n,j} &= \text{Id}_{[n-1]} \\ s_{n-1,j}d_{n,j+1} &= \text{Id}_{[n-1]} \\ s_{n-1,j}d_{n,i} &= d_{n-1,i-1}s_{n-2,j} \text{ if } i > j+1 \\ s_{n-1,j}s_{n,i} &= s_{n-1,i}s_{n,j+1} \text{ if } i \leq j \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

which are called the *simplicial identities*. Equations (3), (4) and (5) provide a sufficient set of morphisms and composition relations that generate all the morphisms of  $\Delta$ . This means that given a collection of morphisms (3) and (4) which satisfy (5), any morphism in  $\Delta$  may be expressed as a composition of different  $d_{n,i}$  and  $s_{n',i'}$ -s. Thus when trying to construct a functor  $F$  as in (1), one only needs to specify the objects

$\{F(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ , the action of  $F$  on the face and degeneracy morphisms, and ensure that  $F$  preserves the simplicial identities of (5).

The next axiom we need is about the 1-th cell :

**Axiom 3.** *There is an isomorphism  $swap : F(1) \rightarrow F(1)$  such that the following commutation holds with boundary maps :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & F(1) \\
 & \nearrow^{F(d_{1,0})} & \\
 & & \uparrow^{swap^{-1}} \\
 1_{\mathcal{C}} & \xrightarrow{F(d_{1,1})} & F(1) \\
 & & \downarrow^{swap}
 \end{array} \quad (6)$$

We shall see later how the 0-th cell  $F(0)$  and 1-th cell  $F(1)$  alone create a notion of homotopy. Based on nomenclature used in the axiomatic theory of sets [26, 27, 28, 29], morphisms originating from the terminal element  $F(0)$  are called *elements* of the target object. Thus according to (3), the face maps  $d_{1,0}$  and  $d_{1,1}$  are two elements of  $F(1)$ . The 1-cell  $F(1)$  acts as a bridge between these two distinguished elements. The terminal elements this play the role of entry and exit points. Axiom 3 establishes a symmetry of these elements. Note that for the example of (2), the morphism  $swap$  is simply the map that reverses the orientation of the unit interval, which is also the 1-cell. Such a reversal also interchanges the endpoints, which are the images of the 0-cell under the face maps.

To prove transitivity of homotopy, we shall need the following assumption

**Axiom 4.** *The diagram*

$$F(1) \xleftarrow{F(d_{1,0})} F(0) \xrightarrow{F(d_{1,1})} F(1) \quad (7)$$

*has a colimit, which is  $F(1)$  itself.*

For the example of (2), the colimit in (7) takes the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & I & \\
 \text{left edge} \nearrow & & \searrow \text{left half} \\
 \{0\} & \xrightarrow{\text{center}} & I \\
 \searrow \text{right edge} & & \nearrow \text{right half} \\
 & I &
 \end{array}$$

In fact this nature of the interval  $I$  as a gluing of two copies of itself leads to a categorical notion of *self-similarity* [30, 31]. It provides the structural basis for composition of homotopies.

**Nerve construction.** The general functor  $F$  induces a special functor called the *nerve* of the category  $\mathcal{C}$  [23] as shown below :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Nerve} &= \text{Nerve}_F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [\text{sSet}], \\
 \forall c \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}) : \text{Nerve}(c) &:= \text{Hom}(F \cdot; c) : \Delta^{op} \rightarrow [\text{Set}].
 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The functor  $\text{Nerve}_F$  assigns a simplicial set to each object of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Thus it assigns a purely combinatorial identity to each object in  $\mathcal{C}$ . This functor will be the key ingredient to creating a notion of Homology, as we present later in (13). For the example of (2),  $\text{Nerve}_F(X)$  is the collection of all possible continuous mappings of the  $n$ -dimensional simplex into the topological object  $X$ . Thus  $\text{Nerve}_F(X)$  becomes a ledger for the topological “content” of  $X$ , and the entries of the ledger are all possible embeddings of  $n$ -dimensional

disks. This feature of the nerve functor has found use in several categorical investigations, such as K-theory [32, e.g.], abstractions of homotopy theory [33, 34, e.g.], and dendroidal sets [35, 36, e.g.].

In the example of (2), it is easy to visualize the simplices being built inductively.  $F(n+1)$  can be built by erecting a convex tent above  $F(n)$ . With this visual interpretation, each simplex can be interpreted to be the base / bottom face of the next higher simplex. The  $i$ -th face of the  $n$ -simplex becomes the  $i+1$ -th face of the  $n+1$ -simplex. We make this nesting relation more precise for the general functor (1).

Our last and fifth axiom relies on the notion of natural transformations between functors. Let  $\tilde{\Delta}$  be the subcategory of  $\Delta$  generated only by the face maps  $\{d_{n,i} : 0 \leq i \leq n\}$ . Let  $\tilde{\Delta} \xrightarrow{i} \Delta$  denote the obvious inclusion of categories. The simplified simplex  $\tilde{\Delta}$  has an in-built Shift functor :

$$\tilde{\Delta} \xrightarrow{\text{Shift}} \tilde{\Delta}, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & n & \\ & d_{n,i} \downarrow & \\ & n+1 & \end{array} \longmapsto \begin{array}{ccc} & n+1 & \\ & d_{n+1,i+1} \downarrow & \\ & n+2 & \end{array} \quad (9)$$

A key observation is the existence of a natural transformation Base as shown below :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Delta^{op} \xrightarrow{\text{Nerve}_F(X)} \llbracket \text{Set} \rrbracket & \\ & \nearrow \iota & \\ \tilde{\Delta}^{op} & \xrightarrow{\text{Base}} & \llbracket \text{Set} \rrbracket \\ \text{Shift} \downarrow & & \\ \tilde{\Delta}^{op} & \xrightarrow{\iota} \Delta^{op} \xrightarrow{\text{Nerve}_F(X)} \llbracket \text{Set} \rrbracket & \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n+1); X) & & \\ \circ F(d_{n+1,0}) \downarrow \text{Base}_n & & \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n); X) & & \end{array}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (10)$$

whose connecting morphisms are provided by right-composition with the zeroth face maps. The natural transformation of (10) always exists uniquely, and will be verified in Section 5. We next formulate a purely categorical notion of convexity.

**Convexity.** Any object  $X$  will be called *convex* if it has the a natural transformation  $\Psi^X$  which plays the role of a right inverse of Base :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Id} & \\ & \Delta^{op} \xrightarrow{\text{Nerve}_F(X)} \llbracket \text{Set} \rrbracket & \\ & \nearrow \iota & \\ \tilde{\Delta}^{op} & \xrightarrow{\text{Base}} & \llbracket \text{Set} \rrbracket \\ \text{Shift} \downarrow & & \\ \tilde{\Delta}^{op} & \xrightarrow{\iota} \Delta^{op} \xrightarrow{\text{Nerve}_F(X)} \llbracket \text{Set} \rrbracket & \end{array} \quad (11)$$

$\Psi^X$

The thick arrows in (11) represent natural transformations. This arrangement is one of the major contributions of this paper. Convexity is usually interpreted as an intrinsic property of a space, and resulting form a closure property with respect to convex linear sums. Such a linear structure may not be available for a general object or category. Equation (11) redefines convexity as a relational property. These relations concisely contained in the property of the transformation  $\Psi$  being *natural*. For the topological example of (2),  $\Psi$  becomes the *Cone-construction*. There its connecting morphisms are maps  $\Psi_n^X : \text{Hom}(F(n); X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F(n+1); X)$ . Recall that the standard topological  $n$ -simplex can be

described by coordinates

$$F_{stdrd,Topo}(n) := \left\{ (t_0, \dots, t_n) : t_j \geq 0, \sum_{j=0}^n t_j = 1 \right\}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Let  $a_n$  be an arbitrary point in the  $n$ -th simplex. Using these coordinates, the action of the (topological) cone transformations may be described as

$$(\Psi_n^X \sigma)(t_0, \dots, t_n, t_{n+1}) := \begin{cases} t_0 a_n + (1 - t_0) \sigma\left(\frac{t_1}{1-t_0}, \dots, \frac{t_{n+1}}{1-t_0}\right) & \text{if } t_0 < 1 \\ a_n & \text{if } t_0 = 1 \end{cases}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (12)$$

In [Topo], the cells  $F(n)$  are obviously convex from their very definition in (2) as a convex hull. We formally state this as our final axiom :

**Axiom 5.** *All the cells  $\{F(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  are convex.*

This completes the formulation of our five basic axioms.

**Main result.** The axioms we have stated are based the general functor (1). Axiom 1 is entirely about about the category  $\mathcal{C}$ , while the next three axioms 2, 3 and 4 are entirely about the first two cells of the functor  $F$ . Axiom 5 is the only assumption made on the functor  $F$  as a whole. Our main result is :

**Theorem 1** (Main result). *Consider the functor  $F$  as in (1) mapping the simplex category  $\Delta$  into an arbitrary category  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

(i)  *$F$  creates a homology functor for the category  $\mathcal{C}$ , as defined in (13).*

(ii) *Under Axioms 1, 2, 3 and 4, there is a notion of homotopy between morphisms of  $\mathcal{C}$ , as defined in (14), along with homotopy equivalence of objects.*

(iii) *Now suppose that  $F$  satisfies Axiom 5. Then homology is homotopy invariant.*

Theorem 1 is proved over the course of the next three sections.

**Remark.** Theorem 1 provides a separation of various concepts, as outlined outlined in Figure 1. The separate claims list the precise Axioms on which the notions of Homotopy and Homology depend. The construction of Homology requires no assumption other than the functor  $F$  (1). Homotopy requires Axioms 1, 2, 3 and 4. Figure 3 and Section 3 further delineates the precise role played by each axiom in constructing the various components of homotopy. The fifth axiom 5 of convexity is required to establish the interdependence of homology and homotopy. Also see Figure 1 for a summary of this main result.

**Remark.** There are constructs of homology that are purely combinatorial in nature, such as the homology of simplicial complexes. Those lie outside the scope of Theorem 1.

**Remark.** The major innovation in Theorem 1 is the Axiom 5 on convexity. Convexity is usually interpreted via linear convex sums. Axiom 5 extracts the structural essence of convex, using the language of natural transformations. See Theorem 2 where convexity, a property borne by  $\mathcal{C}$ , implies acyclicity (zero-homology), a property borne by the homology functor.

**Outline.** We first verify claim (i) of Theorem 1 by reviewing how the nerve construction extends to a homology functor, in Section 2. Next, in Section 3 we formulate a generalized notion of homotopy that originates from the functor  $F$  in (1), as claimed in Theorem 1 (ii). There we also derive properties such as reflexivity, symmetry, transitivity and homotopy equivalence. Claim (iii) is proved in Section 4. There we identify several intermediary properties which guarantee the invariance of homology with homotopy. The axiomatic approach that we present in this paper open several new directions of investigation. These are discussed briefly in Section 6. Finally, we provide some lemmas and proofs in Section 7.

## 2 Homology.

The goal of this section is mainly to understand the creation of the functor  $\text{Hmlgy}_{\mathcal{G},F}$  shown in green below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\text{Nerve}_F} & [\text{sSet}] & \xrightarrow{\otimes \mathcal{G}} & [\text{sGroup}] \\
 & \searrow^{\text{Hmlgy}_{\mathcal{G},F}} & & \searrow^{\text{Chain}_{\mathcal{G}}} & \downarrow \text{alt} \\
 & & [\text{Abel}]^{\mathbb{N}} & \xleftarrow{\text{Hmlgy}_{\mathcal{A}}} & \text{ChnCmplx}
 \end{array} \tag{13}$$

All the dashed arrows in this diagram are defined via composition.  $\mathcal{G}$  denotes an Abelian group, most commonly taken to be  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Homology can thus be simply stated as the composition of two ingredients – the simplicial homology functor (red) with the nerve construction (blue). The category  $[\text{sSet}]$  is the category of simplicial sets. In general, given any category  $\mathcal{X}$ , a *simplicial  $\mathcal{X}$ -object* is a functor  $x : \Delta^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ . Note that simplicial  $\mathcal{X}$ -objects are objects of the functor category  $\mathbb{F}(\Delta^{op}; \mathcal{X})$ . See Table 2 for various kinds of simplicial objects for various choices of  $\mathcal{X}$ .  $[\text{sSet}]$  corresponds to the special case when  $\mathcal{X} = [\text{Set}]$ , the category of small sets. The first ingredient is the Nerve functor  $\text{Nerve}_F$  (blue) which we have defined previously in (8). It encodes category  $\mathcal{C}$  into the category  $[\text{sSet}]$ . Thereby every object in  $\mathcal{C}$  is assigned a combinatorial identity.

The functor  $\text{SimpHom}_{\mathcal{G}}$  (red) converts this combinatorial information into algebraic form. It formalizes the idea of the number of holes of a given dimension in the complex. Every simplicial object is assigned a sequence of Abelian groups, and the  $n$ -th group of this sequence is a characterization of the number of  $n$ -dimensional holes. The construction of simplicial homology is completely independent of the category  $\mathcal{C}$  or the nerve construction. The details will be omitted and the readers are referred to classical sources such as [37, 38, 39]. Broadly, it is the composite of three functors. This first involves taking tensor product with  $\mathcal{G}$ . This operation converts any simplicial set into a simplicial Abelian group. The face maps of the simplex  $\Delta$  are reversed in direction and exist as *co-face* maps in  $[\text{sGroup}]$ . These are combined in an alternating sum to create an object called chain complex.

A chain complex consists of a sequence of Abelian groups  $(C_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  along with group homomorphisms  $\partial_n : C_n \rightarrow C_{n-1}$  such that  $\partial_{n-1} \circ \partial_n \equiv 0$  for every index  $n$ . The homomorphisms are called *boundary maps*. Element-wise maps between two chain complexes which commute with the boundary maps are called *chain maps*. Chain complexes and chain maps together create the category  $\text{ChnCmplx}$ .

When  $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{Z}$ , the  $n$ -th chain complex object created out of the construction in (13) is the free Abelian group generated by  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n); X)$ . Given a typical chain complex as in

$$0 \xleftarrow{0} C_0 \xleftarrow{\partial_1} C_1 \xleftarrow{\partial_2} \dots \xleftarrow{\partial_{n-1}} C_{n-} \xleftarrow{\partial_n} C_n \xleftarrow{\partial_{n+1}} C_{n+1} \xleftarrow{\partial_{n+2}} \dots$$


let us set  $B_n$  to be the image of  $\partial_{n+1}$  and  $Z_n$  to be the kernel of  $\partial_n$ . They are both subgroups of  $c_n$  are known

Figure 2: Examples of simplicial objects. Any functor  $F : \Delta^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is called a simplicial  $\mathcal{X}$ -object. When the category  $\mathcal{X}$  are of some specific types, there are special labels attached to such objects.

$\mathcal{X}$	Name
[Topo]	Simplicial space
[[Set]]	Simplicial set
[Group]	Simplicial group

as the  $n$ -th *boundaries* and *cycles* respectively. By design,  $B_n$  is a subgroup of  $Z_n$ . The  $n$ -th homology group of this chain complex is the quotient  $Z_n/B_n$ . This construction is also functorial and creates the last link in the construction of simplicial homology.

This completes a very general discussion of homology, all stemming from the existence of the functor (1). In summary, a homology functor embeds the category  $\mathcal{C}$  into the algebraic category of Abelian groups. Due to the simplistic nature of [Abel], it elucidates many properties within the category  $\mathcal{C}$ . Suppose  $Y$  is an acyclic object, and  $X$  is a non-acyclic object. Then the arrangement on the left below is not possible :




The diagram on the right is the image of the diagram on the left, under the homology functor. Because the intermediate Homology is zero, the composite group homomorphism map must also be zero. This violates the functorial property of Homology, which must map identity morphisms into identity morphisms. Thus acyclic objects cannot be retracts of non-acyclic objects, a well known generalization of Brouwer's fixed point theorem [40].

We next consider the notion of homotopy arising from this same functor.

### 3 Homotopy.

The immediate consequence of Axioms 1 and 2 is the following diagram :



This diagram also serves as the basis for the notion of homotopy. Given two objects  $X, Y \in ob(\mathcal{C})$ , we say that two morphisms  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  are *homotopic* if there is a morphism  $H : X \times F(1) \rightarrow Y$  such that the following commutation holds :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X \times 1_c & \xrightarrow{X \times F(d_{1,0})} & X \times F(1) & \xleftarrow{X \times F(d_{1,1})} & X \times 1_c \\
 \cong \uparrow & & \downarrow H & & \uparrow \cong \\
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xleftarrow{g} & X
 \end{array} \tag{14}$$







Figure 3: Construction of homotopy.

For the example of (2), products are Cartesian products, so the object  $X \times F(1)$  is just a cylinder whose axis is parameterized by the 1-simplex  $F(1) = I$ , and whose base or cross-section is the topological space  $X$ . In that case the homotopy  $H$  according to (14) is a continuous map  $H$  from the cylinder into  $Y$  such that when restricted to the end faces of the cylinder,  $H$  becomes  $f$  and  $g$  respectively.

The relation of being homotopic is reflexive. Consider the diagram on the left below, in which the commutation holds because of the terminal property of  $1_c$  and Axiom 2. Taking a product of this diagram with  $X$  gives the middle commuting diagram :



In the rightmost diagram, we have appended the morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  to the middle diagram. Note that this commutation holds for  $j = 0, 1$ . This information can be redrawn as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X \times 1_c & \xrightarrow{X \times F(d_{1,0})} & X \times F(1) & \xleftarrow{X \times F(d_{1,1})} & X \times 1_c \\
 \cong \uparrow & & \downarrow \bar{f} & & \uparrow \cong \\
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xleftarrow{f} & X
 \end{array}$$

This diagram is a special case of (14) with  $f = g$ . This proves that  $f = g$ .

**Symmetry.** At present, homotopy is just a relation within each Hom-set  $\text{Hom}(X; Y)$ . By virtue of Axiom 3, the relation of homotopy turns out to be symmetric. This is because of the following commutation

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & X \times I & & \\
 & \xrightarrow{X \times F(d_{1,1})} & & \xleftarrow{X \times F(d_{1,0})} & \\
 & & \downarrow X \times \text{rev} & & \\
 X \times \star & \xrightarrow{X \times F(d_{1,0})} & X \times I & \xleftarrow{X \times F(d_{1,1})} & X \times \star \\
 \cong \uparrow & & \downarrow H & & \uparrow \cong \\
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xleftarrow{g} & X
 \end{array}$$

Thus  $f$  being homotopic to  $g$  is equivalent to saying that  $g$  is homotopic to  $f$ . Homotopy is thus a reflexive and symmetric property.

**Transitivity.** The limiting co-cone assumed in Axiom 4 is drawn below

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & F(1) & & \\
 & \xleftarrow{\text{left}} & & \xrightarrow{\text{right}} & \\
 & & \uparrow \text{center} & & \\
 F(1) & \xleftarrow{F(d_{0,1})} & F(0) & \xrightarrow{F(d_{0,0})} & F(1)
 \end{array} \tag{15}$$


The connecting morphisms of the co-cone has been shown in green, and assigned some distinguishing names. This diagram will be the key to proving transitivity. Let there be three morphisms  $f, g, h : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f$  is homotopic to  $g$  via a homotopy  $H$ , and  $g$  is homotopic to  $h$  via a homotopy  $H$ . To establish transitivity, we first take a product of the diagram in (15) with  $X$  to get the diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & X \times F(1) & & \\
 & \xleftarrow{X \times \text{left}} & & \xrightarrow{X \times \text{right}} & \\
 & & \uparrow \text{center} & & \\
 X \times F(1) & \xleftarrow{X \times F(d_{0,1})} & X & \xrightarrow{X \times F(d_{0,0})} & X \times F(1)
 \end{array}$$

We now attend the morphisms and homotopies we assume in a second row below this diagram to get :


$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & X \times F(1) & & \\
 & \xleftarrow{X \times \text{left}} & & \xrightarrow{X \times \text{right}} & \\
 & & \uparrow \text{center} & & \\
 X \times F(1) & \xleftarrow{X \times F(d_{0,1})} & X & \xrightarrow{X \times F(d_{0,0})} & X \times F(1) \\
 \uparrow X \times F(d_{0,0}) & & \downarrow g & & \uparrow X \times F(d_{0,1}) \\
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xleftarrow{h} & X \\
 & & \uparrow H & & \uparrow G
 \end{array}$$

Now observe that the morphisms colored in yellow are a co-cone above the diagram (7). Thus this cone must factorize through the limiting cone (blue), via a morphism shown in green :




This green morphism is called the concatenation / vertical composition of the homotopies  $H, G$ . Note that the it serves as a homotopy from  $f$  to  $h$ . Thus homotopy is a symmetric relation.

**Composition.** We next prove that the property of being homotopic is preserved under pre- or post-composition with a fixed morphism. Throughout we assume the homotopy  $H$  from (14). Now suppose there is a morphism  $h : Y \rightarrow Z$ . Appending the morphism  $h$  to (14) gives :



This creates a homotopy  $hH$  which serves as a bridge between  $hf$  and  $hg$ . Next we assume a morphism  $h : W \rightarrow X$ . Then the diagram in (14) can be expanded to :



This creates a homotopy  $H \circ (h \times F(1))$  which serves as a bridge between  $fh$  to  $gh$ . This proves that homotopy is preserved under pre- and post- composition. This bring us to the last and final property :

**Composition of homotopies.** Suppose there are three objects  $X, Y, Z$ , and homotopic pairs of morphisms  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $f', g' : Y \rightarrow Z$ . Let these homotopies be  $H, G$  respectively. Then note that

$$\begin{aligned} f' \circ f &\sim f' \circ g, && \text{post-composition of } H \text{ with } f', \\ &\sim g' \circ g, && \text{pre-composition of } G \text{ with } g. \end{aligned}$$

The  $\sim$  denotes a homotopy relation in the Hom-set  $\text{Hom}(X; Z)$ , whose transitivity has already been established. Thus we can conclude that  $f' \circ f$  is homotopic to  $g' \circ g$ . This relation can be summarized by saying




Figure 4: Axiomatic basis of the homotopy invariance of homology.

that homotopies can be composed.

**Homotopy equivalence.** A morphism in  $\text{Hom}(X; X)$  is said to be null-homotopic if it is homotopic to  $X$ . Two objects  $A, B$  will be called *homotopy equivalent* [2] if there are morphisms  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : B \rightarrow A$  such that  $f \circ g \sim \text{Id}_B$  and  $g \circ f \sim \text{Id}_A$ . In other words, both  $f \circ g$  and  $g \circ f$  are null-homotopic.

Under Axioms 1, 2, 3 and 4 the relation of being homotopic is symmetric, reflexive and transitive. Thus this relation can be extended to an equivalence relation on  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X; Y)$ . One of the most important consequences of homotopy is that one can derive a new category  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  from  $\mathcal{C}$ , which has the same object-sets, but in which the Hom-set  $\text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}(X; Y)$  are the homotopy equivalence classes of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X; Y)$ . This is a well defined operation which retains the compositionality of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Note that homotopy equivalence in  $\mathcal{C}$  is the same as isomorphism in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ .

This completes the construction of the notion of homotopy. See Figure 3 for an overview of this process. We have now seen the constructions of homotopy and homology along two different routes. We next see how they are inter-linked, due to ground assumptions we make on  $F(0)$  and  $F(1)$ .

## 4 Homotopy invariance of homology.

We now examine the axioms and mechanisms which guarantee that homotopic maps induce the same homomorphisms between homology groups. We shall follow the outline presented in Figure 4. One of the basic properties to desire from a homology theory is

**Property 1** (Dimension axiom). *Homology of  $F(0)$  is zero.*

This property is granted to us by Axiom 2 :

**Lemma 4.1** (Dimension axiom). *If the functor from (1) satisfies Axiom 2, then the homology it induces satisfies the dimension axiom 1.*

The lemma follows from the fact that  $F(0)$  is the terminal object of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Thus for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\text{Nerve}_F(c)(n) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n); F(1))$  is a singleton set. The proof is algebraic and can be found in [39, Thm 4.12]. The next property is the main focus of this chapter as well as the paper :

**Property 2** (Homotopy axiom). *The notion of homology is homotopy invariant. In other words, if  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  are homotopic, then their induced homologies are the same.*

The homotopy axiom can be derived from a simpler property involving the boundary maps :

**Property 3** (Face-maps homotopy property). *An object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  has this property if the following composite morphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & X & & \\
 & \swarrow \lambda_1^X & \downarrow \cong & \searrow \lambda_0^X & \\
 X \times F(1) & \xleftarrow{\text{Id}_x \times F(d_{1,1})} & X \times \star & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_x \times F(d_{1,0})} & X \times F(1)
 \end{array}$$

*induce the same morphisms under Homology.*

**Lemma 4.2.** [39, Lem 4.20] *Suppose each object  $X$  has Property 3. Then the Homotopy axiom 2 is satisfied.*

*Proof.* For the proof, we shall make use of the Chain-functor = displayed in (13). For brevity we shall denote it as Chain and drop its subscript from the notation. Recall the morphisms  $\lambda_j^X$  from Property 3. A homotopy between morphisms  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism  $H : X \times F(1) \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f = H \circ \lambda_0^X$  and  $g = H \circ \lambda_1^X$ . Then note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Chain}(f)_\# &= \text{Chain}(H \circ \lambda_0^X)_\# = \text{Chain}(H)_\# \circ \text{Chain}(\lambda_0^X)_\# \\
 &= \text{Chain}(H)_\# \circ \text{Chain}(\lambda_1^X)_\#, \quad \text{by Property 3,} \\
 &= \text{Chain}(H \circ \lambda_1^X)_\# = \text{Chain}(g)_\#.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the equalities above, we have repeatedly used the composition preserving property of the functor Chain. Since  $f, g$  are mapped under the Chain functor into the same morphisms in the category of chain complexes, they also remain equal under application of the Chain-homology functor. Thus  $f, g$  induce the same homology maps too.  $\square$

Thus to prove Homotopy property 2, we are presented with the task of proving Property 3. This shall follow from :

**Property 4** (Cross morphisms property). *An object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  has this property if there are homomorphisms*

$$P_n^X : \text{Chain}(X)_n \rightarrow \text{Chain}(X \times F(1))_{n+1}$$

*such that*

$$\text{Chain}(\lambda_1^X)_n - \text{Chain}(\lambda_0^X)_n = \partial_{n+1} P_n^X + P_{n-1}^X \partial_n. \quad (16)$$

**Lemma 4.3.** [39, Thm 4.23] *For any object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ , Property 4 implies Property 3.*

*Proof.* Let  $\text{Bndry}(X)_n$  denote the subgroup of  $\text{Chain}(X)_n$ , created by boundaries. Let  $z$  be a cycle in  $\text{Chain}(X)_n$ , i.e.,  $\partial_n z = 0$ . By the definition of the homology maps

$$\text{Hmlgy}(f)_n : z \oplus \text{Bndry}(X)_n \mapsto \text{Chain}(f)_n(z) + \text{Bndry}(Y)_n$$

But by Property 4, we have

$$(\text{Chain}(f)_n - \text{Chain}(g)_n)(z) = \partial^Y Pz + P\partial z = \partial^Y Pz.$$

Since the RHS is a boundary element, so is the LHS. As a result, we can write

$$\text{Chain}(f)_n(z) \oplus \text{Bndry}(Y)_n = \text{Chain}(g)_n(z) \oplus \text{Bndry}(Y)_n.$$




Figure 5: Convexity and acyclicity. The flowchart is an outline of the proof of Theorem 2 (ii).

This means that  $\text{Hmlgy}(f)_n$  must be equal to  $\text{Hmlgy}(g)_n$ .  $\square$

Thus to prove Homotopy property 2, we are presented with the task of proving Property 4. This shall follow from :

**Property 5** (Zero homology of cells). *The cells  $\{F(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  have zero homology.*

An object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  will be called *acyclic* if its homology group is zero. Thus Property 5 says that the cells  $F(n)$  are all acyclic.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Suppose Axioms 1 and 2 hold. Then Property 5 implies Property 4 for every object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ .*

Lemma 4.4 is an outcome of the theory of *acyclic models* [41, 42]. We provide the proof in Section 7.3, for the sake of completeness. The zero homology property 5 is a consequence of the following important result

**Theorem 2** (Convexity). *Let Axioms 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 hold, and recall the definition of convexity. Then the collection of convex objects of  $\mathcal{C}$*

(i) *are closed under finite products;*

(ii) *are acyclic.*

Theorem 2 is proved in Section 5. Also see Figure 5 for an outline of the chain of reasoning. By Axiom 5, the cells  $\{F(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  are convex. Thus by Theorem 2 (ii), they are acyclic too, as claimed in Property 5. This completes the verification of the Homotopy axiom.  $\square$

**Remark.** Similar to classic Homotopy theory, an object  $X$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  will be called *contractible* if its identity morphism  $X$  is homotopic to some constant endomorphism. A *constant endomorphism* is a composite of morphisms of the form  $X \xrightarrow{!} 1_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{x} X$ . Here  $x$  is an element of  $X$  and the composite morphism can be interpreted to be constant of value  $x$ . Theorems 1 (iii) and 2 (ii) together imply that contractible objects are acyclic.

## 5 Convexity.

The main purpose of this section is to examine the consequences of convexity by verifying the claims of Theorem 2. A major part of the discussion that follows is outlined in Figure 5. Convexity is borne by the natural transformations in (10) and (11). The first one indicates the following commutations :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n+1); X) & \xrightarrow{\circ F(d_{n+1, i+1})} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n); X) \\
 \circ F(d_{n+1, 0}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \circ F(d_{n, 0}) \\
 \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n); X) & \xrightarrow{\circ F(d_{n, i})} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n-1); X)
 \end{array} , \quad \forall 0 \leq i \leq n. \quad (17)$$

The commutation in (17) follows from (5) (i). Thus the Base transformation exists and is independent of  $X$ . We next examine the consequences of (11). It implies the existence of a special collection of maps

$$\Psi_n^X : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n); X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n+1); X), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (18)$$

which satisfy

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n); X) & \xrightarrow{\circ F(d_{n,i})} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n-1); X) \\ \Psi_n^X \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi_{n-1}^X \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n+1); X) & \xrightarrow{\circ F(d_{n+1,i+1})} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n); X) \end{array}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n \quad (19)$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n); X) & \xrightarrow{=} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n); X) \\ \Psi_n^X \downarrow & \nearrow_{\circ F(d_{n+1,0})} & \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n+1); X) & & \end{array}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (20)$$

The set maps  $\Psi_n^X$  from (18) extend uniquely to homomorphisms on the freely generated Abelian groups

$$\Psi_n^X : \text{Chain}(X)_n \rightarrow \text{Chain}(X)_{n+1}; \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Now observe that (19) and (20) together imply

$$\forall \begin{array}{c} F(n) \\ \sigma \downarrow \\ X \end{array}, \quad \Psi_n^X(\sigma) \circ F(d_{n+1,i}) = \begin{cases} \Psi_{n-1}^X(\sigma \circ F(d_{n,i-1})) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n+1 \\ \sigma & \text{if } i = 0 \end{cases}; \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (21)$$

The following lemma is a summary of various discussions on convexity we have had so far in Section 5 :

**Lemma 5.1** (Equivalent characterizations of convexity). *The following are equivalent for any object  $X$*

- (i)  $X$  is convex.
- (ii)  $X$  has associated with a cone construction in the sense of (11).
- (iii)  $X$  has a sequence of maps as in (18), such that the identities in (19) and (20) are satisfied.
- (iv)  $X$  has a sequence of maps as in (18), such that the identities in (21) are satisfied.

We can now analyze the first consequence of convexity directly at the level of homology :

**Lemma 5.2.** *Suppose that an object  $X$  has a sequence of maps  $\Psi_n$  as in (18). Suppose that the maps satisfy (21). Then*

$$\partial_{n+1}\Psi_n + \Psi_{n-1}\partial_n = \text{Id}_n; \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (22)$$

The lemma follows from the following simple algebraic calculation :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{n+1}(\Psi_n\sigma) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i (\Psi_n\sigma) \circ F(d_{n+1,i}) = \sigma + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^i (\Psi_n\sigma) \circ F(d_{n+1,i}) \\ &= \sigma + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^i \Psi_{n-1}(\sigma \circ F(d_{n+1,i-1})) = \sigma + \Psi_{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^i \sigma \circ F(d_{n+1,i-1}) \right) \\ &= \sigma - \Psi_{n-1}(\partial_n\sigma). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Equation (22) distills the essential identity from the natural transformations Base and  $\Psi$  needed to guarantee acyclicity :

**Lemma 5.3.** *Suppose that an object  $X$  has a sequence of maps  $\Psi_n$  as in (18), such that their extensions satisfy (22). Then Property 5 is satisfied.*

*Proof.* It has to be shown that any cycle in  $\text{Chain}(X)_n$  is also a boundary. Take any  $\sigma \in \text{Chain}(X)_n$ . Suppose  $\sigma$  is a cycle, i.e.,  $\partial_n \sigma = 0$ . Then according to (22),  $\gamma = \partial_{n+1} \Psi_n \sigma$ , i.e.,  $\gamma$  is a boundary, as required for the completion of the proof.  $\square$

Thus we have established Theorem 2 (ii) We next focus on Claim (i). For that purpose, suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are two convex objects, with cones  $\Psi^X$  and  $\Psi^Y$  respectively. Note that any morphism in the Hom-set  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n); X \times Y)$  is a unique categorical product of morphisms in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n); Y)$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n); X)$  respectively. Thus it is enough to prescribe  $\Psi_n^{X \times Y}$  on product morphisms only. Consider the following commuting diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & X & \\
 \Psi_n^X(\alpha) \nearrow & & \nwarrow \text{proj}_1 \\
 F(n+1) & \xrightarrow{\langle \Psi_n^X(\alpha), \Psi_n^Y(\beta) \rangle} & X \times Y \\
 \Psi_n^Y(\beta) \searrow & & \nearrow \text{proj}_2 \\
 & Y & 
 \end{array}$$

The green arrow is the product of two cones, and the commutations represent its relationship with its two coordinate projections. We are going to set

$$\forall \alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n); X), \forall \beta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n); Y), \quad \Psi_n^{X \times Y}(\alpha \times \beta) = \langle \Psi_n^X(\alpha), \Psi_n^Y(\beta) \rangle, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (23)$$

To check that this is indeed a cone construction for  $X \times Y$ , (18) has to be verified. For that purpose, we append a face map to the left of the commutation diagram to get :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & X & \\
 & & & \Psi_n^X(\alpha) \nearrow & \nwarrow \text{proj}_1 \\
 F(n) & \xrightarrow{F(d_{n+1,i})} & F(n+1) & \xrightarrow{\langle \Psi_n^X(\alpha), \Psi_n^Y(\beta) \rangle} & X \times Y \\
 & & \Psi_n^Y(\beta) \searrow & & \nearrow \text{proj}_2 \\
 & & & Y & 
 \end{array}$$

The dashed yellow arrows represent compositions. Thus the composite of the green arrows is the product of the yellow arrows. Now if  $i = 0$  then by (21) the yellow arrows are  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively. This means that

$$\langle \Psi_n^X(\alpha), \Psi_n^Y(\beta) \rangle \circ F(d_{n+1,0}) = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle.$$

If  $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ , then again by (21) the yellow arrows are  $\Psi_{n-1}^X(\alpha \circ F(d_{n,i-1}))$  and  $\Psi_{n-1}^Y(\beta \circ F(d_{n,i-1}))$  respectively. This means that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \Psi_n^X(\alpha), \Psi_n^Y(\beta) \rangle \circ F(d_{n+1,i}) &= \langle \Psi_{n-1}^X(\alpha \circ F(d_{n,i-1})), \Psi_{n-1}^Y(\beta \circ F(d_{n,i-1})) \rangle \\
 &= \Psi_{n-1}^X(\langle \alpha \circ F(d_{n,i-1}), \beta \circ F(d_{n,i-1}) \rangle), \quad \text{by definition,} \\
 &= \Psi_{n-1}^X(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \circ F(d_{n,i-1})).
 \end{aligned}$$



Thus (23) indeed provides a cone construction for  $X \times Y$ . So  $X \times Y$  is convex, and Claim (ii) is true. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

This completes the discussion on how convexity implies acyclicity. Thus all the ingredients of Theorem 1 are in place. While it is easy to conceive of functors (1), it is a non-trivial task to satisfy its axioms. Axiom 5 is the most challenging task to be verified for a general functor. We next consider a particular construction of  $F$  that fulfills the requirement that cells be convex.

## 6 Conclusions.

We have thus seen that in any category  $\mathcal{C}$ , a concept of a 1-cell  $F_1$  and boundary maps from the terminal object into the 1-cell creates a notion of homotopy. Section 3 shows how under further Axioms 2, 3 and 4 on the 1-cell, the relation of homotopy is an equivalence relation is invariant under composition. The key connection between homology and homotopy is the homotopy invariance of homology. This is enabled by Axiom 5. Section 5 shows how convexity implies acyclicity, a key component for the connection discussed.

In summary, an arbitrary category  $\mathcal{C}$  can be equipped with notions of homotopy and homology which play the analogous as the case when  $\mathcal{C} = [\text{Topo}]$ . This provides a gateway for all theorems, techniques and numerical methods for Topology [43, 44, 45, 46] to be applied to a different category  $\mathcal{C}$ . We end the paper with a brief discussion of some of these new avenues. The first idea is that of complexes.

**Cell complexes.** A finite category  $J$  will be called a *cell-complex diagram* if it satisfies the following properties :

- (i) The objects of  $J$  can be partitioned into levels  $J^{(1)}, \dots, J^{(L)}$ .
- (ii) The morphisms in  $J$  are generated by arrows that connect an  $l$ -level object to an  $(l+1)$ -level object.

A *cellular complex* in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a functor from  $\Phi : J \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  such that

- (i)  $\Phi$  maps each  $l$ -level object into the object  $F(l)$ .
- (ii) Each morphism  $f$  in  $J$  that connects an  $l$ -level object to an  $(l+1)$ -level object, is mapped into a face map  $F(d_{l+1,j})$  for some  $0 \leq j \leq l+1$ .

Thus a cellular complex is a specific type of a finite diagram in  $\mathcal{C}$ . It respects the cells and face maps prescribed by the functor  $F$  from (1). By a reuse of terminology, we say that an object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  is a cellular complex if there is a cellular complex  $\Phi : J \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  such that  $X = \text{colim } \Phi$ . In this case, the functor  $\Phi$  will be called a *cellular decomposition* of the object  $X$ . The integer  $L$  is to be represented as the *dimension* of the simplicial complex. The collection of  $L$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{C}$ -simplicial complexes form a category of its own, which we shall denote as  $[L - \text{complex}]$ .


**Reconstruction.** The idea of finite dimensional complexes in  $\mathcal{C}$  enables a data-driven study of the category  $\mathcal{C}$ . Consider the following commutation diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C} & \xleftarrow{\text{colim}} & [L - \text{simplex}] \\
 \text{Homology} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Reconstruct} \\
 [\text{Abel}]^{\mathbb{N}} & \xrightarrow{\text{proj}_L} & [\text{Abel}]^L
 \end{array} \tag{24}$$


The left loop shows the interpretation of  $L$ -simplices as  $\mathcal{C}$ -objects, the calculation of their Homology, and finally restricting them to their first  $L$  indices. These signature of any  $\mathcal{C}$  object may be directly




Note that the following two dotted arrows can be added to this diagram :



Next, by utilizing the terminal property of  $F(0)$ , we can add the following dotted diagram :



We next add an instance of (26) involving  $F(0)$  :



Now note that we can add another instance of  $\sigma : F(0) \rightarrow X$  to this diagram :

The diagram illustrates a commutative structure involving several objects and morphisms. The objects are arranged as follows:  $F(0)$  on the far left,  $F(1)$  at the top center,  $F(1) \times F(0)$  in the middle center,  $F(1) \times X$  on the far right,  $F(0)$  at the bottom center,  $X$  at the bottom right, and  $F(0) \times X$  at the bottom right. Morphisms include:  $F(d_{1,j})$  from  $F(0)$  to  $F(1)$  (top arc),  $F(d_{1,j})$  from  $F(0)$  to  $F(1)$  (middle arc),  $F(d_{1,j})$  from  $F(0)$  to  $F(0) \times X$  (bottom arc),  $F(1) \times \sigma$  from  $F(1) \times F(0)$  to  $F(1) \times X$  (red arrow),  $\sigma$  from  $F(0)$  to  $X$  (red arrow),  $\sigma$  from  $F(0) \times X$  to  $X$  (red arrow),  $F(d_{1,j}) \times \text{Id}_X$  from  $F(1) \times X$  to  $F(0) \times X$  (red arrow), and various projection maps  $\text{proj}_1$  and  $\text{proj}_2$  connecting the products to their components. Equality signs are placed on several morphisms to indicate commutativity.

The final picture (27) has some morphisms traced out in red. Together these create the commutation relations :

$$\lambda_j^X \circ \sigma = (F(d_{1,j}) \times \text{Id}_X) \circ \sigma = (F(1) \times \sigma) \circ F(d_{1,j}), \quad j = 0, 1. \quad (27)$$

One can similarly show that

$$(F(1) \times \sigma) \circ \lambda_i^{F(n)} = \lambda_j^X \circ \sigma : F(n) \rightarrow X \times F(1), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, j = 0, 1. \quad (28)$$

These will be key to proving Lemma 4.4 later. The next useful result is based on the functorial property of chain Chain :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \text{Chain}(A)_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n^A} & \text{Chain}(A)_{n-1} \\ f \downarrow & \Rightarrow \text{Chain}(f)_n \downarrow & \downarrow \text{Chain}(f)_{n-1} \\ B & \text{Chain}(B)_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n^B} & \text{Chain}(B)_{n-1} \end{array} \quad (29)$$

The commutation on the right is an outcome of an arbitrary morphism  $f$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  as shown on the right.

**7.3 Proof of Lemma 4.4.** The proof follows the argument in [39, Thm 4.23]. The proof will be by induction :

**Inductive hypothesis.** For every object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ , there are maps  $P_n^X : \text{Chain}(X)_n \rightarrow \text{Chain}(X \times F(1))_{n+1}$  as in Property 4 which satisfy the identities (16). Moreover, the following commutations hold :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Chain}(F(n))_n & \xrightarrow{P_n^{F(n)}} & \text{Chain}(F(n) \times F(1))_{n+1} \\ \sigma \circ \downarrow & & \downarrow (\sigma \times \text{Id}_{F(1)}) \circ \\ \text{Chain}(X)_n & \xrightarrow{P_n^X} & \text{Chain}(X \times F(1))_{n+1} \end{array}, \quad \forall \sigma \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(n); X). \quad (30)$$

Note that the inductive hypothesis for proving Property 4 is stronger than Property 4 itself.

**Base case.** We begin with the case  $n = 0$ . Now define

$$P_0^X : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(0); X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(1); X \times F(1)), \quad \sigma \mapsto \begin{array}{ccc} F(1) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & 1_{\mathcal{C}} \times F(1) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow_{F(1) \times \sigma} \\ & & X \times F(1) \end{array} \quad (31)$$

The boundary map on this transform behaves as :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1(P_0^X \sigma) &= \sum_{i=0}^1 (-1)^i (P_0^X \sigma) \circ F(d_{1,i}) = (P_0^X \sigma) \circ F(d_{1,0}) - (P_0^X \sigma) \circ F(d_{1,1}) \\ &= \lambda_1^X \circ \sigma - \lambda_0^X \circ \sigma, \quad \text{by (27),} \\ &= (\lambda_1^X)_* \sigma - (\lambda_0^X)_* \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

This equality is simplified version of (16) for the case  $n = 0$ . Next, the commutation (30) becomes :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Chain}(F(0))_0 & \xrightarrow{P_0^{F(0)}} & \text{Chain}(F(0) \times F(1))_{n+1} \\ \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow_{(\sigma \times \text{Id}_{F(1)}) \circ} \\ \text{Chain}(X)_n & \xrightarrow{P_0^X} & \text{Chain}(X \times F(1))_1 \end{array}$$

Note that the collection  $\text{Chain}(F(0))_0$  has only one member – the identity morphism  $\text{Id}_{F(0)}$ . The counter-clockwise path of the above figure is

$$P_0^X(\sigma \circ \text{Id}_{F(0)}) = P_0^X(\sigma) = "F(1) \times \sigma,$$

by (31). The clockwise path is

$$(\sigma \times \text{Id}_{F(1)}) \circ (P_0^{F(0)}(\text{Id}_{F(0)})) = (\sigma \times \text{Id}_{F(1)}) \circ (F(1) \times \text{Id}_{F(0)}) = \sigma \times \text{Id}_{F(1)}.$$

Thus the two paths are the same and the verification of the case  $n = 0$  has been complete.

**Inductive step.** We now assume that there is an  $N \geq 0$  such that (30) and (30) are true for all  $n \leq N$ . Our focus will be on the homomorphism

$$Q_N := \text{Chain}(\lambda_1^{F(N)})_N - \text{Chain}(\lambda_0^{F(N)})_N - P_{N-1}^{F(N)} \partial_N : \text{Chain}(F(N))_N \rightarrow \text{Chain}(F(N) \times F(1))_{N+1}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_N Q_N &= \partial_N \circ \left( \text{Chain}(\lambda_1^{F(N)})_N - \text{Chain}(\lambda_0^{F(N)})_N - P_{N-1}^{F(N)} \partial_N \right) \\ &= \partial_N \circ \text{Chain}(\lambda_1^{F(N)})_N - \partial_N \circ \text{Chain}(\lambda_0^{F(N)})_N - \partial_N \circ P_{N-1}^{F(N)} \partial_N \\ &= \text{Chain}(\lambda_1^{F(N)})_N \circ \partial_N - \text{Chain}(\lambda_0^{F(N)})_N \circ \partial_N - \partial_N \circ P_{N-1}^{F(N)} \circ \partial_N, \quad \text{by (29).} \end{aligned}$$

We shall now use our inductive assumption and replace the term  $\partial_N P_{N-1}^{F(N)}$  by

$$P_{N-1}^{F(N)} \partial_N = \text{Chain}(\lambda_1^{F(N)})_N - \text{Chain}(\lambda_0^{F(N)})_N + \partial_{N+1} P_N^{F(N)}.$$

As a result we get :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[ \text{Chain} \left( \lambda_1^{F(N)} \right)_N - \text{Chain} \left( \lambda_0^{F(N)} \right)_N - P_{N-1}^{F(N)} \partial_N \right] \circ \partial_N \\
& = \text{Chain} \left( \lambda_1^{F(N)} \right)_N \circ \partial_N - \text{Chain} \left( \lambda_0^{F(N)} \right)_N \circ \partial_N \\
& - \left[ \text{Chain} \left( \lambda_1^{F(N)} \right)_N - \text{Chain} \left( \lambda_0^{F(N)} \right)_N + \partial_{N+1} P_N^{F(N)} \right] \circ \partial_N \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This indicates that the entire image of the homomorphism  $Q_N$  are cycles. We shall consider the image under  $Q_N$  of the trivial morphism  $\text{Id}_{F(N)}$ . This image  $Q_N(\text{Id}_{F(N)})$  is an  $N$ -cycle of  $F(N) \times F(1)$ . By Axiom 5 both  $F(1)$  and  $F(N)$  are convex. Therefore by Theorem 2 (i),  $F(N) \times F(1)$  is convex too. Then by Theorem 2 (ii)  $F(N) \times F(1)$  has zero homology groups, i.e., each cycle is also a boundary. Thus there is  $\beta_{N+1} \in \text{Chain}(F(N) \times F(1))_{N+1}$  such that

$$\partial_{N+1} \beta_{N+1} = Q_N(\text{Id}_{F(N)}) \quad (32)$$

The map  $P_{N+1}^X$  can now be defined as :

$$P_{N+1}^X : \text{Chain}(X)_{N+1} \rightarrow \text{Chain}(X \times F(1))_{N+2}, \quad \sigma \mapsto (\sigma \times F(1)) \circ \beta_{N+1}. \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{N+1} P_{N+1}^X(\sigma) & = \partial_{N+1} (\sigma \times F(1)) \circ \beta_{N+1}, \quad \text{by (33)}, \\
& = \partial_{N+1} \text{Chain}(\sigma \times F(1))_{N+2}(\beta_{N+1}), \quad \text{by (29)}, \\
& = \text{Chain}(\sigma \times F(1))_{N+2} \partial_{N+1}(\beta_{N+1}), \quad \text{by (29)}, \\
& = \text{Chain}(\sigma \times F(1))_{N+2} Q_N(\text{Id}_{F(N)}), \quad \text{by (32)}
\end{aligned}$$

Next we utilize the definition of  $Q_N$  to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{N+1} P_{N+1}^X(\sigma) & = (\sigma \times F(1)) \circ \left[ \text{Chain} \left( \lambda_1^{F(N)} \right)_N - \text{Chain} \left( \lambda_0^{F(N)} \right)_N - P_{N-1}^{F(N)} \partial_N \right] (\text{Id}_{F(N)}), \\
& = (\sigma \times F(1)) \circ \lambda_1^{F(N)} - (\sigma \times F(1)) \circ \lambda_0^{F(N)} - (\sigma \times F(1)) \circ P_{N-1}^{F(N)} \partial_N (\text{Id}_{F(N)}), \\
& = (\sigma \times F(1)) \circ \lambda_1^{F(N)} - (\sigma \times F(1)) \circ \lambda_0^{F(N)} - P_{N-1}^{F(N)} \circ \sigma \circ \partial_N (\text{Id}_{F(N)}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the inductive assumption (30) for  $n = N$ . We now proceed by simplifying the first two terms on the LHS using (28) :

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{N+1} P_{N+1}^X(\sigma) & = \lambda_1^X \circ \sigma - \lambda_0^X \circ \sigma - P_{N-1}^{F(N)} \circ \sigma \circ \partial_N (\text{Id}_{F(N)}) \\
& = \lambda_1^X \circ \sigma - \lambda_0^X \circ \sigma - P_{N-1}^{F(N)} \circ \partial_N \sigma \circ (\text{Id}_{F(N)}), \quad \text{by (29)} \\
& = \left[ \lambda_1^X - \lambda_0^X - P_{N-1}^{F(N)} \right] (\sigma),
\end{aligned}$$

and thus (16) is verified for  $n = N + 1$ . It remains to verify (30) for  $n = N + 1$ . That involves testing the commutation loop on an  $N$ -simplex  $\tau : F(n) \rightarrow F(n)$ . The CW path gives

$$(\sigma \times F(1)) \circ P_N^{F(N)}(\tau) = (\sigma \times F(1)) \circ (\tau \times F(1)) \circ \beta_{N+1} = (\sigma \tau \times F(1)) \circ \beta_{N+1}.$$

The CCW path gives

$$P_N^X(\sigma \circ \tau) = (\sigma \tau \times F(1)) \circ \beta_{N+1}.$$

Thus the two paths are equal, and (30) stands verified for  $n = N + 1$ . This completes the inductive reasoning, and completes the proof of Lemma 4.4.  $\square$

## References.

- [1] D. Quillen. *Homotopical algebra*, volume 43. Springer, 2006.
- [2] E. Riehl. *Categorical homotopy theory*, volume 24. Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- [3] G. Segal. Classifying spaces and spectral sequences. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 34:105–112, 1968.
- [4] D. Kan. On css complexes. *Amer. J. Math.*, 79(3):449–476, 1957.
- [5] D. Kan. Functors involving css complexes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 87(2):330–346, 1958.
- [6] M. André. Homology of simplicial objects. In *Proc. Symp. on Categorical Algebra*, pages 15–36, 1970.
- [7] W. Dwyer and J. Spalinski. Homotopy theories and model categories. In *Handbook of algebraic topology*, volume 73, page 21. Elsevier Science, 1995.
- [8] D. Cisinski. *Higher categories and homotopical algebra*, volume 180. Cambridge University Press, 2019.
- [9] P. Goerss and J. Jardine. *Simplicial homotopy theory*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.
- [10] W. Dwyer and D. Kan. Singular functors and realization functors. In *Indagationes Mathematicae (Proceedings)*, volume 87, pages 147–153. Elsevier, 1984.
- [11] S. Moss and P. Perrone. A category-theoretic proof of the ergodic decomposition theorem. *Erg. Th. Dyn. Sys.*, pages 1–27, 2022.
- [12] S. Das. Functors induced by comma categories, 2024.
- [13] S. Das. The categorical basis of dynamical entropy. *Applied Categorical Structures*, 32, 2024.
- [14] T. Leinster. The categorical origins of Lebesgue integration. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 2023.
- [15] P. Panangaden. The category of markov kernels. *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, 22:171–187, 1999.
- [16] N. Yanofsky. Towards a definition of an algorithm. *J. Logic Comput.*, 21(2):253–286, 2011.
- [17] N. Yanofsky. *Theoretical computer science for the working category theorist*. Cambridge University Press, 2022.
- [18] N. Ghani and J. Hedges. A compositional approach to economic game theory. *Studia Logica*, 82:1–27, 2006.
- [19] N. Ghani, C. Kupke, A. Lambert, and F. Forsberg. A compositional treatment of iterated open games. *Theoretical computer science*, 741:48–57, 2018.
- [20] E. Riehl. *Category theory in context*. Courier Dover Publications, 2017.
- [21] S. Mac Lane. *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [22] L. McInnes, J. Healy, and J. Melville. Umap: Uniform manifold approximation and projection for dimension reduction, 2018.

- [23] E. Riehl. A leisurely introduction to simplicial sets, 2011.
- [24] R. Steiner. Simple omega-categories and chain complexes. *Homology, Homotopy Appl.*, 9(1):451–465, 2007.
- [25] J. Grodal. Higher limits via subgroup complexes. *Ann. Math.*, 155(2):405–457, 2002.
- [26] W. Lawvere. An elementary theory of the category of sets. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 52(6):1506–1511, 1964.
- [27] T. Leinster. Rethinking set theory. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 121(5):403–415, 2014.
- [28] R. Goldblatt. *Topoi: the categorical analysis of logic*. Elsevier, 2014.
- [29] T. Leinster. An informal introduction to topos theory, 2010.
- [30] T. Leinster. A general theory of self-similarity. *Adv. Math.*, 226(4):2935–3017, 2011.
- [31] P. Freyd. Algebraic real analysis. *Theory and Applications of Categories*, 20:215–306, 2008.
- [32] R. Schwänzl and R. Vogt. Basic constructions in the k-theory of homotopy ring spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 341(2):549–584, 1994.
- [33] C. Rezk. A model for the homotopy theory of homotopy theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 353(3):973–1007, 2001.
- [34] J. Bergner. Complete segal spaces arising from simplicial categories. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 361(1):525–546, 2009.
- [35] D. Cisinski and I. Moerdijk. Dendroidal sets and simplicial operads. *Journal of Topology*, 6(3):705–756, 2013.
- [36] D. Cisinski and I. Moerdijk. Dendroidal sets as models for homotopy operads. *Journal of topology*, 4(2):257–299, 2011.
- [37] A. Hatcher. *Algebraic Topology*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [38] C. Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*. 38. Cambridge university press, 1994.
- [39] J. Rotman. *An introduction to algebraic topology*, volume 119. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [40] G. Bredon. *Topology and geometry*, volume 139. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [41] S. Eilenberg and S. MacLane. Acyclic models. *Amer. J. Math.*, 75(1):189–199, 1953.
- [42] M. Barr. Acyclic models. *Canad. J. Math.*, 48(2):258–273, 1996.
- [43] P. Bubenik and N. Milićević. Homological algebra for persistence modules. *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, 21(5):1233–1278, 2021.
- [44] P. Bubenik and J. Scott. Categorification of persistent homology. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 51(3):600–627, 2014.
- [45] U. Bauer and M. Lesnick. Persistence diagrams as diagrams: A categorification of the stability theorem. *Topological Data Analysis*, page 67, 2020.
- [46] N. Otter et al. A roadmap for the computation of persistent homology. *EPJ Data Science*, 6:1–38, 2017.