

Bertrand types of regular curves and Bertrand framed curves in the Euclidean 3-space

Nozomi Nakatsuyama and Masatomo Takahashi

June 25, 2024

Abstract

A Bertrand (respectively, Mannheim) curve is a space curve whose principal normal line is the same as the principal normal (respectively, bi-normal) line of another curve. By definition, another curve is a parallel curve with respect to the direction of the principal normal vector. In this paper, we consider the other cases, that is, a space curve whose tangent (or, principal normal, bi-normal) line is the same as the tangent (or, principal normal, bi-normal) line of another curve, respectively. We say that a Bertrand type curve if there exists such another curve. We clarify that the existence conditions of Bertrand type curves in all cases. There are times when the Bertrand type curve does not exist. On the other hand, since the another curve may have singular points, we also consider curves with singular points. As smooth curves with singular points, it is useful to use the framed curves in the Euclidean space. Then we define and investigate Bertrand framed curves. We also clarify that the existence conditions of the Bertrand framed curves in all cases.

1 Introduction

Bertrand and Mannheim curves are classical objects in differential geometry ([1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 15, 16, 17, 18, 21]). A Bertrand (respectively, Mannheim) curve is a space curve whose principal normal line is the same as the principal normal (respectively, bi-normal) line of another curve. By definition, another curve is a parallel curve with respect to the direction of the principal normal vector. In order to define the principal normal vector, the non-degenerate condition is needed. In general, the parallel curve does not satisfy the non-degenerate condition. Even if regular cases, the existence conditions of the Bertrand and Mannheim curves seem to be missed the non-degenerate condition in some books and papers. Bertrand curves have been applied in computer-aided geometric design (cf. [20]). In [11], we investigated Bertrand and Mannheim curves of non-degenerate curves under the assumption that the torsion does not vanish. In this paper, we give existence conditions of Bertrand and Mannheim curves without this assumption in §2. Since we have three lines, that is, the tangent, principal normal and bi-normal lines, it is natural to ask how about the other cases. We consider the other cases, that is, a space curve

2020 Mathematics Subject classification: 53A04, 58K05

Key Words and Phrases. Bertrand type, regular curve, Bertrand framed curve, framed curve

whose tangent (or, principal normal, bi-normal) line is the same as the tangent (or, principal normal, bi-normal) line of another curve, respectively. We say that a Bertrand type curve if there exists such another curve. In §3, we clarify that the existence conditions of Bertrand type curves in all cases. As a consequence, there are times when the Bertrand type curve does not exist. Moreover, the planar involutes and planar evolutes appear as the Bertrand type curves (Theorems 3.3 and 3.6). For more details of properties of involutes and evolutes see [6, 8, 13, 14, 19].

On the other hand, since the another curve may have singular points, we also consider curves with singular points. As smooth curves with singular points, it is useful to use the framed curves in the Euclidean space (cf. [10]). In §2, we also review the Bertrand and Mannheim curves of framed curves (cf. [11]). Then the same idea of Bertrand type curves is applied to the framed curves. In §4, we define and investigate Bertrand framed curves (Bertrand types of framed curves). We also clarify that the existence conditions of the Bertrand framed curves in all cases. As a consequence, the involutes and circular evolutes of framed curves (cf. [12]) appear as the Bertrand framed curves (Theorems 4.5, 4.10 and 4.18). Therefore, it is useful to find new framed curves by using Bertrand framed curves.

We shall assume throughout the whole paper that all maps and manifolds are C^∞ unless the contrary is explicitly stated.

Acknowledgement. The second author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 20K03573.

2 Preliminaries

We review the theories of Bertrand and Mannheim regular curves and framed curves.

Let \mathbb{R}^3 be the 3-dimensional Euclidean space equipped with the inner product $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 + a_3b_3$, where $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. The norm of \mathbf{a} is given by $|\mathbf{a}| = \sqrt{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}}$ and the vector product is given by

$$\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1 & \mathbf{e}_2 & \mathbf{e}_3 \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3\}$ is the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^3 . Let S^2 be the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^3 , that is, $S^2 = \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |\mathbf{a}| = 1\}$. We denote the 3-dimensional smooth manifold $\{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in S^2 \times S^2 \mid \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0\}$ by Δ .

2.1 Regular curves

Let I be an interval of \mathbb{R} and let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a regular space curve, that is, $\dot{\gamma}(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in I$, where $\dot{\gamma}(t) = (d\gamma/dt)(t)$. We say that γ is *non-degenerate*, or γ satisfies the *non-degenerate condition* if $\dot{\gamma}(t) \times \ddot{\gamma}(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in I$.

If we take the arc-length parameter s , that is, $|\gamma'(s)| = 1$ for all s , then the tangent vector, the principal normal vector and the bi-normal vector are given by

$$\mathbf{t}(s) = \gamma'(s), \quad \mathbf{n}(s) = \frac{\gamma''(s)}{|\gamma''(s)|}, \quad \mathbf{b}(s) = \mathbf{t}(s) \times \mathbf{n}(s),$$

where $\gamma'(s) = (d\gamma/ds)(s)$. Then $\{\mathbf{t}(s), \mathbf{n}(s), \mathbf{b}(s)\}$ is a moving frame of $\gamma(s)$ and we have the Frenet-Serret formula:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{t}'(s) \\ \mathbf{n}'(s) \\ \mathbf{b}'(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \kappa(s) & 0 \\ -\kappa(s) & 0 & \tau(s) \\ 0 & -\tau(s) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{t}(s) \\ \mathbf{n}(s) \\ \mathbf{b}(s) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\kappa(s) = |\gamma''(s)|, \quad \tau(s) = \frac{\det(\gamma'(s), \gamma''(s), \gamma'''(s))}{\kappa^2(s)}.$$

If we take a general parameter t , then the tangent vector, the principal normal vector and the bi-normal vector are given by

$$\mathbf{t}(t) = \frac{\dot{\gamma}(t)}{|\dot{\gamma}(t)|}, \quad \mathbf{n}(t) = \mathbf{b}(t) \times \mathbf{t}(t), \quad \mathbf{b}(t) = \frac{\dot{\gamma}(t) \times \ddot{\gamma}(t)}{|\dot{\gamma}(t) \times \ddot{\gamma}(t)|}.$$

Then $\{\mathbf{t}(t), \mathbf{n}(t), \mathbf{b}(t)\}$ is a moving frame of $\gamma(t)$ and we have the Frenet-Serret formula:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{t}}(t) \\ \dot{\mathbf{n}}(t) \\ \dot{\mathbf{b}}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |\dot{\gamma}(t)|\kappa(t) & 0 \\ -|\dot{\gamma}(t)|\kappa(t) & 0 & |\dot{\gamma}(t)|\tau(t) \\ 0 & -|\dot{\gamma}(t)|\tau(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{t}(t) \\ \mathbf{n}(t) \\ \mathbf{b}(t) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{|\dot{\gamma}(t) \times \ddot{\gamma}(t)|}{|\dot{\gamma}(t)|^3}, \quad \tau(t) = \frac{\det(\dot{\gamma}(t), \ddot{\gamma}(t), \ddot{\gamma}'(t))}{|\dot{\gamma}(t) \times \ddot{\gamma}(t)|^2}.$$

Note that in order to define $\mathbf{t}(t), \mathbf{n}(t), \mathbf{b}(t), \kappa(t)$ and $\tau(t)$, we assume that γ is not only regular, but also non-degenerate.

2.2 Bertrand and Mannheim non-degenerate curves

Let γ and $\bar{\gamma} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be different non-degenerate curves.

Definition 2.1 We say that γ and $\bar{\gamma}$ are *Bertrand mates* if there exists a smooth function $\lambda : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda(t)\mathbf{n}(t)$ and $\mathbf{n}(t) = \pm\bar{\mathbf{n}}(t)$ for all $t \in I$. We also say that $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is a *Bertrand curve* if there exists another non-degenerate curve $\bar{\gamma} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ such that γ and $\bar{\gamma}$ are Bertrand mates.

In [11], we investigated Bertrand curves of non-degenerate curves under the assumption $\tau(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$. However, we give an existence condition without this assumption. By a parameter change, we may assume that s is the arc-length parameter of γ .

Theorem 2.2 *Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be non-degenerate with the arc-length parameter.*

(1) *Suppose that there exists a point $s_0 \in I$ such that $\tau(s_0) \neq 0$. Then γ is a Bertrand curve if and only if there exists a non-zero constant A and a constant B such that $A\kappa(s) + B\tau(s) = 1$ and $\tau(s)(B\kappa(s) - A\tau(s)) \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$.*

(2) *Suppose that $\tau(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$. Then γ is always a Bertrand curve.*

Proof. (1) Suppose that γ is a Bertrand curve. By differentiating $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + \lambda(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$, we have

$$|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = (1 - \lambda(s)\kappa(s))\mathbf{t}(s) + \lambda'(s)\mathbf{n}(s) + \lambda(s)\tau(s)\mathbf{b}(s).$$

Since $\mathbf{n}(s) = \pm\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s)$, we have $\lambda'(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$. Therefore λ is a constant. If $\lambda = 0$, then $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t)$ for all $t \in I$. Hence, λ is a non-zero constant. We rewrite λ as A . Note that s is not the arc-length parameter of $\bar{\gamma}$. By differentiating $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + A\mathbf{n}(s)$, we have

$$|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = (1 - A\kappa(s))\mathbf{t}(s) + A\tau(s)\mathbf{b}(s).$$

If $\mathbf{n}(s) = \bar{\mathbf{n}}(s)$, there exists a smooth function $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{b}}(s) \\ \bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta(s) & -\sin \theta(s) \\ \sin \theta(s) & \cos \theta(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}(s) \\ \mathbf{t}(s) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| \sin \theta(s) = A\tau(s)$ and $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| \cos \theta(s) = 1 - A\kappa(s)$. It follows that

$$-A\tau(s) \cos \theta(s) + (1 - A\kappa(s)) \sin \theta(s) = 0. \quad (1)$$

By differentiating $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \sin \theta(s)\mathbf{b}(s) + \cos \theta(s)\mathbf{t}(s)$, we have

$$|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s)\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = \theta'(s) \cos \theta(s)\mathbf{b}(s) - \theta'(s) \sin \theta(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + (-\tau(s) \sin \theta(s) + \kappa(s) \cos \theta(s))\mathbf{n}(s).$$

Since $\mathbf{n}(s) = \bar{\mathbf{n}}(s)$, $\theta'(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$. Therefore θ is a constant. If $\tau(s) = 0$ at some point $s \in I$, then $\sin \theta = 0$ and hence $\tau(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$. It is a contradict of the condition $\tau(s_0) \neq 0$. It follows that $\tau(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$ and $\sin \theta \neq 0$. By equation (1), we have $A\kappa(s) + A(\cos \theta / \sin \theta)\tau(s) = 1$. Hence, if we put $B = A \cos \theta / \sin \theta$, then $A\kappa(s) + B\tau(s) = 1$ for all $s \in I$. Moreover,

$$|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s) = -\tau(s) \sin \theta + \kappa(s) \cos \theta = \frac{\sin \theta}{A}(-A\tau(s) + B\kappa(s)).$$

Since $\bar{\kappa}(s) \neq 0$, we have $B\kappa(s) - A\tau(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$.

On the other hand, if $\mathbf{n}(s) = -\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s)$, there exists a smooth function $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{b}}(s) \\ \bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta(s) & -\sin \theta(s) \\ \sin \theta(s) & \cos \theta(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{t}(s) \\ \mathbf{b}(s) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| \sin \theta(s) = 1 - A\kappa(s)$ and $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| \cos \theta(s) = A\tau(s)$. It follows that

$$-A\tau(s) \sin \theta(s) + (1 - A\kappa(s)) \cos \theta(s) = 0. \quad (2)$$

By differentiating $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \sin \theta(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + \cos \theta(s)\mathbf{b}(s)$, we have

$$|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s)\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = \theta'(s) \cos \theta(s)\mathbf{t}(s) - \theta'(s) \sin \theta(s)\mathbf{b}(s) + (\kappa(s) \sin \theta(s) - \tau(s) \cos \theta(s))\mathbf{n}(s).$$

Since $\mathbf{n}(s) = -\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s)$, $\theta'(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$. Therefore θ is a constant. If $\tau(s) = 0$ at some point $s \in I$, then $\cos \theta = 0$ and hence $\tau(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$. It is a contradict of the condition $\tau(s_0) \neq 0$. It follows that $\tau(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$ and $\cos \theta \neq 0$. By equation (2), we have $A\kappa(s) + A(\sin \theta / \cos \theta)\tau(s) = 1$. Hence, if we put $B = A \sin \theta / \cos \theta$, then $A\kappa(s) + B\tau(s) = 1$ for all $s \in I$. Moreover,

$$|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s) = \kappa(s) \sin \theta + \tau(s) \cos \theta = \frac{\cos \theta}{A}(-A\tau(s) + B\kappa(s)).$$

Since $\bar{\kappa}(s) \neq 0$, we have $B\kappa(s) - A\tau(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$.

Conversely, suppose there exists a non-zero constant A and a constant B such that $A\kappa(s) + B\tau(s) = 1$ and $\tau(s)(B\kappa(s) - A\tau(s)) \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$. Set $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + A\mathbf{n}(s)$. By a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= |\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = (1 - A\kappa(s))\mathbf{t}(s) + A\tau(s)\mathbf{b}(s) = \tau(s)(B\mathbf{t}(s) + A\mathbf{b}(s)), \\ \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= \tau'(s)(B\mathbf{t}(s) + A\mathbf{b}(s)) + \tau(s)(B\kappa(s) - A\tau(s))\mathbf{n}(s), \\ \dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= \tau^2(s)B(B\kappa(s) - A\tau(s))\mathbf{b}(s) - \tau^2(s)A(B\kappa(s) - A\tau(s))\mathbf{t}(s) \neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\bar{\gamma}$ is a non-degenerate curve. Since $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| = \sqrt{A^2 + B^2}|\tau(s)|$, we have $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \text{sgn}(\tau(s))(1/\sqrt{A^2 + B^2})(B\mathbf{t}(s) + A\mathbf{b}(s))$, where $\text{sgn}(\tau(s)) = 1$ if $\tau(s) > 0$ and $\text{sgn}(\tau(s)) = -1$ if $\tau(s) < 0$. By differentiating $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s)\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = \text{sgn}(\tau(s))(1/\sqrt{A^2 + B^2})(B\kappa(s) - A\tau(s))\mathbf{n}(s)$. By the assumption, we have $\mathbf{n}(s) = \pm\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s)$ for all $s \in I$. It follows that γ and $\bar{\gamma}$ are Bertrand mates.

(2) Since $\kappa(s) > 0$ for all $s \in I$, we can take a non-zero constant λ such that $1 - \lambda\kappa(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$. In fact, if λ is a negative constant, then the condition holds. Set $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + \lambda\mathbf{n}(s)$. By a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= |\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = (1 - \lambda\kappa(s))\mathbf{t}(s), \\ \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= -\lambda\kappa'(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + (1 - \lambda\kappa(s))\kappa(s)\mathbf{n}(s), \\ \dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= (1 - \lambda\kappa(s))^2\kappa(s)\mathbf{b}(s) \neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\bar{\gamma}$ is a non-degenerate curve. Since $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| = |1 - \lambda\kappa(s)|$ and $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \pm\mathbf{t}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s)\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = \pm\kappa(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$. By the assumption, we have $\mathbf{n}(s) = \pm\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s)$ for all $s \in I$. It follows that γ and $\bar{\gamma}$ are Bertrand mates. \square

Proposition 2.3 *Let γ and $\bar{\gamma} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be different non-degenerate curves.*

(1) *Suppose that there exists a point $s_0 \in I$ such that $\tau(s_0) \neq 0$ and γ and $\bar{\gamma}$ are Bertrand mates with $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + A\mathbf{n}(s)$ and $A\kappa(s) + B\tau(s) = 1$ for all $s \in I$, where A is a non-zero constant and B is a constant. Then the curvature $\bar{\kappa}$ and the torsion $\bar{\tau}$ of $\bar{\gamma}$ are given by*

$$\bar{\kappa}(s) = \frac{|B\kappa(s) - A\tau(s)|}{(A^2 + B^2)|\tau(s)|}, \quad \bar{\tau}(s) = \frac{1}{(A^2 + B^2)\tau(s)}.$$

(2) *Suppose that $\tau(s) = 0$ and γ and $\bar{\gamma}$ are Bertrand mates with $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + A\mathbf{n}(s)$, where A is non-zero constant and $1 - A\kappa(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$. Then the curvature $\bar{\kappa}$ and the torsion $\bar{\tau}$ of $\bar{\gamma}$ are given by*

$$\bar{\kappa}(s) = \frac{\kappa(s)}{|1 - A\kappa(s)|}, \quad \bar{\tau}(s) = 0.$$

Proof. (1) Since $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + A\mathbf{n}(s)$, we have

$$\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) = (1 - A\kappa(s))\mathbf{t}(s) + A\tau(s)\mathbf{b}(s) = \tau(s)(B\mathbf{t}(s) + A\mathbf{b}(s)).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= \tau'(s)(B\mathbf{t}(s) + A\mathbf{b}(s)) + \tau(s)(B\kappa(s) - A\tau(s))\mathbf{n}(s), \\ \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= \tau''(s)(B\mathbf{t}(s) + A\mathbf{b}(s)) + 2\tau'(s)(B\kappa(s) - A\tau(s))\mathbf{n}(s) \\ &\quad + \tau(s)(B\kappa'(s) - A\tau'(s))\mathbf{n}(s) + \tau(s)(B\kappa(s) - A\tau(s))(-\kappa(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + \tau(s)\mathbf{b}(s)).\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| &= |\tau(s)|\sqrt{A^2 + B^2}, \\
\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= \tau^2(s)(B\kappa(s) - A\tau(s))(B\mathbf{b}(s) - A\mathbf{t}(s)), \\
|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| &= \tau^2(s)|B\kappa(s) - A\tau(s)|\sqrt{A^2 + B^2}, \\
\det(\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s), \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s), \dddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)) &= \tau^3(s)(B\kappa(s) - A\tau(s))^2,
\end{aligned}$$

we have the curvature $\bar{\kappa}$ and the torsion $\bar{\tau}$ of $\bar{\gamma}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\kappa}(s) &= \frac{|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|}{|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|^3} = \frac{|B\kappa(s) - A\tau(s)|}{(A^2 + B^2)|\tau(s)|}, \\
\bar{\tau}(s) &= \frac{\det(\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s), \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s), \dddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s))}{|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|^2} = \frac{1}{(A^2 + B^2)\tau(s)}.
\end{aligned}$$

(2) Since $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + A\mathbf{n}(s)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= (1 - A\kappa(s))\mathbf{t}(s), \\
\ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= -A\kappa'(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + (1 - A\kappa(s))\kappa(s)\mathbf{n}(s), \\
\dddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= (-A\kappa''(s) - (1 - A\kappa(s))\kappa^2(s))\mathbf{t}(s) + (\kappa'(s) - 3A\kappa(s)\kappa'(s))\mathbf{n}(s).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| &= |1 - A\kappa(s)|, \\
\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= \kappa(s)(1 - A\kappa(s))^2\mathbf{b}(s), \\
|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| &= \kappa(s)(1 - A\kappa(s))^2, \\
\det(\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s), \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s), \dddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)) &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

we have the curvature $\bar{\kappa}$ and the torsion $\bar{\tau}$ of $\bar{\gamma}$ as

$$\bar{\kappa}(s) = \frac{|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|}{|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|^3} = \frac{\kappa(s)}{|1 - A\kappa(s)|}, \quad \bar{\tau}(s) = \frac{\det(\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s), \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s), \dddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s))}{|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|^2} = 0.$$

□

Definition 2.4 We say that γ and $\bar{\gamma}$ are *Mannheim mates* if there exists a smooth function $\lambda : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda(t)\mathbf{n}(t)$ and $\mathbf{n}(t) = \pm\bar{\mathbf{b}}(t)$ for all $t \in I$. We also say that $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is a *Mannheim curve* if there exists another non-degenerate curve $\bar{\gamma} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ such that γ and $\bar{\gamma}$ are Mannheim mates.

In [11], we also investigated Mannheim curves of non-degenerate curves under the assumption $\tau(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$. However, the assumption does not need. We give a result and proof in detail.

Theorem 2.5 *Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be non-degenerate with the arc-length parameter. Then γ is a Mannheim curves if and only if there exists a non-zero constant A such that $A(\kappa^2(s) + \tau^2(s)) = \kappa(s)$ and $\tau(s)(\kappa(s)\tau'(s) - \kappa'(s)\tau(s)) \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$.*

Proof. Suppose that γ is a Mannheim curve. There exists a smooth function $\lambda : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda(t)\mathbf{n}(t)$ and $\mathbf{n}(t) = \pm\bar{\mathbf{b}}(t)$ for all $t \in I$. By differentiating $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + \lambda(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = (1 - \lambda(s)\kappa(s))\mathbf{t}(s) + \lambda'(s)\mathbf{n}(s) + \lambda(s)\tau(s)\mathbf{b}(s)$. Since $\mathbf{n}(s) = \pm\bar{\mathbf{b}}(s)$, we have $\lambda'(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$. Therefore λ is a constant. If $\lambda = 0$, then $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t)$ for all $t \in I$. Hence, λ is a non-zero constant. We rewrite λ as A . Note that s is not the arc-length parameter of $\bar{\gamma}$. By differentiating $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + A\mathbf{n}(s)$, we have

$$|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = (1 - A\kappa(s))\mathbf{t}(s) + A\tau(s)\mathbf{b}(s).$$

If $\mathbf{n}(s) = \bar{\mathbf{b}}(s)$, there exists a smooth function $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) \\ \bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta(s) & -\sin \theta(s) \\ \sin \theta(s) & \cos \theta(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}(s) \\ \mathbf{t}(s) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\cos \theta(s) = A\tau(s)$ and $-|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\sin \theta(s) = 1 - A\kappa(s)$. It follows that

$$A\tau(s)\sin \theta(s) + (1 - A\kappa(s))\cos \theta(s) = 0. \quad (3)$$

By differentiating $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \cos \theta(s)\mathbf{b}(s) - \sin \theta(s)\mathbf{t}(s)$, we have

$$|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s)\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = -\theta'(s)\sin \theta(s)\mathbf{b}(s) - \theta'(s)\cos \theta(s)\mathbf{t}(s) - (\tau(s)\cos \theta(s) + \kappa(s)\sin \theta(s))\mathbf{n}(s).$$

Since $\mathbf{n}(s) = \bar{\mathbf{b}}(s)$,

$$\tau(s)\cos \theta(s) + \kappa(s)\sin \theta(s) = 0 \quad (4)$$

for all $s \in I$. If $\tau(s) = 0$ at a point $s \in I$, then $\cos \theta(s) = 0$ and $\sin \theta(s) = 0$ by (4). Hence $\tau(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$. It follows that $\cos \theta(s) \neq 0$ and $\sin \theta(s) \neq 0$. Since $\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = \sin \theta(s)\mathbf{b}(s) + \cos \theta(s)\mathbf{t}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s) = -\theta'(s)$. By equations (3) and (4), we have

$$A(\kappa^2(s) + \tau^2(s)) = \kappa(s).$$

By differentiating (4), we have

$$-\tau(s)\theta'(s)\sin \theta(s) + \tau'(s)\cos \theta(s) + \kappa(s)\theta'(s)\cos \theta(s) + \kappa'(s)\sin \theta(s) = 0.$$

Hence $\theta'(s) = (-\kappa(s)\tau'(s) + \kappa'(s)\tau(s))/(\kappa^2(s) + \tau^2(s))$. Since $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s) > 0$, we have $\kappa(s)\tau'(s) - \kappa'(s)\tau(s) > 0$ for all $s \in I$.

On the other hand, if $\mathbf{n}(s) = -\bar{\mathbf{b}}(s)$, there exists a smooth function $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) \\ \bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta(s) & -\sin \theta(s) \\ \sin \theta(s) & \cos \theta(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{t}(s) \\ \mathbf{b}(s) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\cos \theta(s) = 1 - A\kappa(s)$ and $-|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\sin \theta(s) = A\tau(s)$. It follows that

$$A\tau(s)\sin \theta(s) + (1 - A\kappa(s))\cos \theta(s) = 0. \quad (5)$$

By differentiating $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \cos \theta(s)\mathbf{t}(s) - \sin \theta(s)\mathbf{b}(s)$, we have

$$|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s)\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = -\theta'(s)\sin \theta(s)\mathbf{t}(s) - \theta'(s)\cos \theta(s)\mathbf{b}(s) - (\tau(s)\sin \theta(s) + \kappa(s)\cos \theta(s))\mathbf{n}(s).$$

Since $\mathbf{n}(s) = -\bar{\mathbf{b}}(s)$,

$$\tau(s) \sin \theta(s) + \kappa(s) \cos \theta(s) = 0 \quad (6)$$

for all $s \in I$. If $\tau(s) = 0$ at a point $s \in I$, then $\sin \theta(s) = 0$ and $\cos \theta(s) = 0$ by (6). Hence $\tau(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$. It follows that $\sin \theta(s) \neq 0$ and $\cos \theta(s) \neq 0$. Since $\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = \sin \theta(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + \cos \theta(s)\mathbf{b}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s) = -\theta'(s)$. By equations (5) and (6), we have

$$A(\kappa^2(s) + \tau^2(s)) = \kappa(s).$$

By differentiating (6), we have

$$\tau(s)\theta'(s) \cos \theta(s) + \tau'(s) \sin \theta(s) - \kappa(s)\theta'(s) \sin \theta(s) + \kappa'(s) \cos \theta(s) = 0.$$

Hence $\theta'(s) = (\kappa(s)\tau'(s) - \kappa'(s)\tau(s))/(\kappa^2(s) + \tau^2(s))$. Since $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s) > 0$, we have $\kappa(s)\tau'(s) - \kappa'(s)\tau(s) < 0$ for all $s \in I$.

Conversely, suppose that there exists a non-zero constant A such that $A(\kappa^2(s) + \tau^2(s)) = \kappa(s)$ and $\tau(s)(\kappa(s)\tau'(s) - \kappa'(s)\tau(s)) \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$. Set $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + A\mathbf{n}(s)$. By a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= |\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = (1 - A\kappa(s))\mathbf{t}(s) + A\tau(s)\mathbf{b}(s) = A\frac{\tau(s)}{\kappa(s)}(\tau(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + \kappa(s)\mathbf{b}(s)), \\ \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= A\left(\frac{\tau(s)}{\kappa(s)}\right)'(\tau(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + \kappa(s)\mathbf{b}(s)) + A\frac{\tau(s)}{\kappa(s)}(\tau'(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + \kappa'(s)\mathbf{b}(s)), \\ \dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= A^2\frac{\tau^2(s)}{\kappa^2(s)}(\kappa(s)\tau'(s) - \kappa'(s)\tau(s))\mathbf{n}(s) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\bar{\gamma}$ is a non-degenerate curve. Since $\ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) = (d/ds)(|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) + |\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|^2\bar{\kappa}(s)\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s))$, we have $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|^3\bar{\kappa}(s)\bar{\mathbf{b}}(s) = A^2(\tau^2(s)/\kappa^2(s))(\kappa(s)\tau'(s) - \kappa'(s)\tau(s))\mathbf{n}(s)$. By the assumption, we have $\mathbf{n}(s) = \pm\bar{\mathbf{b}}(s)$. It follows that γ and $\bar{\gamma}$ are Mannheim mates. \square

Proposition 2.6 *Let γ and $\bar{\gamma} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be different non-degenerate curves. Under the same assumptions in Theorem 2.5, suppose that γ and $\bar{\gamma}$ are Mannheim mates with $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + A\mathbf{n}(s)$ for all $s \in I$, where A is a non-zero constant. Then the curvature $\bar{\kappa}$ and the torsion $\bar{\tau}$ of $\bar{\gamma}$ are given by*

$$\bar{\kappa}(s) = \frac{\kappa(s)|\kappa(s)\tau'(s) - \kappa'(s)\tau(s)|}{|A\tau(s)|(\tau^2(s) + \kappa^2(s))^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad \bar{\tau}(s) = \frac{\kappa^2(s) + \tau^2(s)}{\tau(s)}.$$

Proof. Since $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + A\mathbf{n}(s)$, we have

$$\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) = (1 - A\kappa(s))\mathbf{t}(s) + A\tau(s)\mathbf{b}(s) = A\frac{\tau(s)}{\kappa(s)}(\tau(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + \kappa(s)\mathbf{b}(s)).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= A\left(\frac{\tau(s)}{\kappa(s)}\right)'(\tau(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + \kappa(s)\mathbf{b}(s)) + A\frac{\tau(s)}{\kappa(s)}(\tau'(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + \kappa'(s)\mathbf{b}(s)), \\ \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= A\left(\frac{\tau(s)}{\kappa(s)}\right)''(\tau(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + \kappa(s)\mathbf{b}(s)) + 2A\left(\frac{\tau(s)}{\kappa(s)}\right)'(\tau'(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + \kappa'(s)\mathbf{b}(s)), \\ &\quad + A\frac{\tau(s)}{\kappa(s)}(\tau''(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + \kappa(s)\tau'(s)\mathbf{n}(s) + \kappa''(s)\mathbf{b}(s) - \kappa'(s)\tau(s)\mathbf{n}(s)). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
|\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(s)| &= \frac{|A\tau(s)|}{\kappa(s)} \sqrt{\tau^2(s) + \kappa^2(s)}, \\
\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\tilde{\gamma}}(s) &= A^2 \left(\frac{\tau(s)}{\kappa(s)} \right)^2 (\kappa(s)\tau'(s) - \kappa'(s)\tau(s)) \mathbf{n}(s), \\
|\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\tilde{\gamma}}(s)| &= A^2 \left(\frac{\tau(s)}{\kappa(s)} \right)^2 |\kappa(s)\tau'(s) - \kappa'(s)\tau(s)|, \\
\det(\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(s), \ddot{\tilde{\gamma}}(s), \dddot{\tilde{\gamma}}(s)) &= A^3 \left(\frac{\tau(s)}{\kappa(s)} \right)^3 (\kappa(s)\tau'(s) - \kappa'(s)\tau(s))^2,
\end{aligned}$$

we have the curvature and the torsion as

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\kappa}(s) &= \frac{|\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\tilde{\gamma}}(s)|}{|\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(s)|^3} = \frac{\kappa(s)|\kappa(s)\tau'(s) - \kappa'(s)\tau(s)|}{|A\tau(s)|(\tau^2(s) + \kappa^2(s))^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \\
\bar{\tau}(s) &= \frac{\det(\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(s), \ddot{\tilde{\gamma}}(s), \dddot{\tilde{\gamma}}(s))}{|\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\tilde{\gamma}}(s)|^2} = \frac{\kappa^2(s) + \tau^2(s)}{\tau(s)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

2.3 Framed curves

A framed curve in the 3-dimensional Euclidean space is a smooth space curve with a moving frame, in detail see [10].

Definition 2.7 We say that $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a *framed curve* if $\dot{\gamma}(t) \cdot \nu_1(t) = 0$ and $\dot{\gamma}(t) \cdot \nu_2(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$. We say that $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is a *framed base curve* if there exists $(\nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \Delta$ such that (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a framed curve.

We denote $\mu(t) = \nu_1(t) \times \nu_2(t)$. Then $\{\nu_1(t), \nu_2(t), \mu(t)\}$ is a moving frame along the framed base curve $\gamma(t)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 and we have the Frenet type formula,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\nu}_1(t) \\ \dot{\nu}_2(t) \\ \dot{\mu}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \ell(t) & m(t) \\ -\ell(t) & 0 & n(t) \\ -m(t) & -n(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1(t) \\ \nu_2(t) \\ \mu(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \dot{\gamma}(t) = \alpha(t)\mu(t),$$

where $\ell(t) = \dot{\nu}_1(t) \cdot \nu_2(t)$, $m(t) = \dot{\nu}_1(t) \cdot \mu(t)$, $n(t) = \dot{\nu}_2(t) \cdot \mu(t)$ and $\alpha(t) = \dot{\gamma}(t) \cdot \mu(t)$. We call the mapping (ℓ, m, n, α) the *curvature of the framed curve* (γ, ν_1, ν_2) . Note that t_0 is a singular point of γ if and only if $\alpha(t_0) = 0$.

Definition 2.8 Let (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\nu}_1, \tilde{\nu}_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ be framed curves. We say that (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\nu}_1, \tilde{\nu}_2)$ are *congruent as framed curves* if there exist a constant rotation $A \in SO(3)$ and a translation $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = A(\gamma(t)) + \mathbf{a}$, $\tilde{\nu}_1(t) = A(\nu_1(t))$ and $\tilde{\nu}_2(t) = A(\nu_2(t))$ for all $t \in I$.

We gave the existence and uniqueness theorems for framed curves in terms of the curvatures in [10], also see [9].

Theorem 2.9 (Existence Theorem for framed curves) Let $(\ell, m, n, \alpha) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ be a smooth mapping. Then, there exists a framed curve $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ whose curvature is given by (ℓ, m, n, α) .

Theorem 2.10 (Uniqueness Theorem for framed curves) Let (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\nu}_1, \tilde{\nu}_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ be framed curves with curvatures (ℓ, m, n, α) and $(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{m}, \tilde{n}, \tilde{\alpha})$, respectively. Then (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\nu}_1, \tilde{\nu}_2)$ are congruent as framed curves if and only if the curvatures (ℓ, m, n, α) and $(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{m}, \tilde{n}, \tilde{\alpha})$ coincide.

Let $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ be a framed curve with the curvature (ℓ, m, n, α) . For the normal plane of $\gamma(t)$, spanned by $\nu_1(t)$ and $\nu_2(t)$, there is some ambient of framed curves similarly to the case of the Bishop frame of a regular space curve (cf. [5]). We define $(\tilde{\nu}_1(t), \tilde{\nu}_2(t)) \in \Delta_2$ by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\nu}_1(t) \\ \tilde{\nu}_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta(t) & -\sin \theta(t) \\ \sin \theta(t) & \cos \theta(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1(t) \\ \nu_2(t) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\theta(t)$ is a smooth function. Then $(\gamma, \tilde{\nu}_1, \tilde{\nu}_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is also a framed curve and $\tilde{\mu}(t) = \mu(t)$. By a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\tilde{\nu}}_1(t) &= (\ell(t) - \dot{\theta}(t)) \sin \theta(t) \nu_1(t) + (\ell(t) - \dot{\theta}(t)) \cos \theta(t) \nu_2(t) \\ &\quad + (m(t) \cos \theta(t) - n(t) \sin \theta(t)) \mu(t), \\ \dot{\tilde{\nu}}_2(t) &= -(\ell(t) - \dot{\theta}(t)) \cos \theta(t) \nu_1(t) + (\ell(t) - \dot{\theta}(t)) \sin \theta(t) \nu_2(t) \\ &\quad + (m(t) \sin \theta(t) + n(t) \cos \theta(t)) \mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

If we take a smooth function $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies $\dot{\theta}(t) = \ell(t)$, then we call the frame $\{\tilde{\nu}_1(t), \tilde{\nu}_2(t), \mu(t)\}$ an *adapted frame* along $\gamma(t)$. It follows that the Frenet-Serret type formula is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\tilde{\nu}}_1(t) \\ \dot{\tilde{\nu}}_2(t) \\ \dot{\mu}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \tilde{m}(t) \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{n}(t) \\ -\tilde{m}(t) & -\tilde{n}(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\nu}_1(t) \\ \tilde{\nu}_2(t) \\ \mu(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

where $\tilde{m}(t)$ and $\tilde{n}(t)$ are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{m}(t) \\ \tilde{n}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta(t) & -\sin \theta(t) \\ \sin \theta(t) & \cos \theta(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m(t) \\ n(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

By a direct calculation, we have the following (cf. [10]).

Proposition 2.11 Suppose that $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a framed curve with curvature (ℓ, m, n, α) . Then $(\gamma, \nu_2, \nu_1) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is also a framed curve with curvature $(-\ell, -n, -m, -\alpha)$.

2.4 Bertrand and Mannheim curves of framed curves

Let (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ be framed curves with the curvature (ℓ, m, n, α) and $(\bar{\ell}, \bar{m}, \bar{n}, \bar{\alpha})$, respectively. Suppose that γ and $\bar{\gamma}$ are different curves. In [11], we define Bertrand and Mannheim curves of framed curves, and give a characterization of the Bertrand and Mannheim curves.

Definition 2.12 We say that framed curves (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ are *Bertrand mates* (or, $(\nu_1, \bar{\nu}_1)$ -mates) if there exists a smooth function $\lambda : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda(t)\nu_1(t)$ and $\nu_1(t) = \bar{\nu}_1(t)$ for all $t \in I$. We also say that $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a *Bertrand curve* if there exists a framed curve $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ such that (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ are Bertrand mates.

Theorem 2.13 Let $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ be a framed curve with the curvature (ℓ, m, n, α) . Then (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a Bertrand curve if and only if there exists a non-zero constant λ and a smooth function $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lambda\ell(t)\cos\theta(t) - (\alpha(t) + \lambda m(t))\sin\theta(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$.

Proposition 2.14 Suppose that (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ are Bertrand mates, where $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda\nu_1(t)$, $\bar{\nu}_1(t) = \sin\theta(t)\nu_2(t) + \cos\theta(t)\mu(t)$, $\bar{\nu}_2(t) = \nu_1(t)$ and $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function. Then the curvature $(\bar{\ell}, \bar{m}, \bar{n}, \bar{\alpha})$ of $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\ell}(t) &= \ell(t)\cos\theta(t) - m(t)\sin\theta(t), \\ \bar{m}(t) &= \ell(t)\sin\theta(t) + m(t)\cos\theta(t), \\ \bar{n}(t) &= n(t) - \dot{\theta}(t), \\ \bar{\alpha}(t) &= \lambda\ell(t)\sin\theta(t) + (\alpha(t) + \lambda m(t))\cos\theta(t).\end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.15 We say that framed curves (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ are *Mannheim mates* (or, $(\nu_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ -mates) if there exists a smooth function $\lambda : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda(t)\nu_1(t)$ and $\nu_1(t) = \bar{\nu}_2(t)$ for all $t \in I$. We also say that $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a *Mannheim curve* if there exists a framed curve $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ such that (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ are Mannheim mates.

Theorem 2.16 Let $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ be a framed curve with the curvature (ℓ, m, n, α) . Then (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a Mannheim curve if and only if there exists a non-zero constant λ and a smooth function $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lambda\ell(t)\sin\theta(t) + (\alpha(t) + \lambda m(t))\cos\theta(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$.

As a difference between non-degenerate regular space curves and framed curves, we have a relation between Bertrand and Mannheim curves of framed curves.

Theorem 2.17 Let $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ be a framed curve with the curvature (ℓ, m, n, α) . Then (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a Bertrand curve if and only if (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a Mannheim curve.

Remark 2.18 By definition, if (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ are Bertrand mates, then $\bar{\gamma} = \gamma + \lambda\nu_1$ and $\nu_1 = \bar{\nu}_1$. Since $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_2, \bar{\nu}_1)$ is also a framed curve, (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_2, \bar{\nu}_1)$ are Mannheim mates and vice versa. Hence, (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a Bertrand curve if and only if (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a Mannheim curve.

3 Bertrand types of non-degenerate curves

Let γ and $\bar{\gamma} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be non-degenerate curves. We consider a space curve whose tangent (or, principal normal, bi-normal) line is the same as the tangent (or, principal normal, bi-normal) line of another curve, respectively.

Definition 3.1 We say that γ and $\bar{\gamma}$ are $(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{w}})$ -mates if there exists a smooth function $\lambda : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$ such that $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda(t)\mathbf{v}(t)$ and $\mathbf{v}(t) = \pm\bar{\mathbf{w}}(t)$ for all $t \in I$, where \mathbf{v}

and \mathbf{w} are \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{n} or \mathbf{b} . We also say that γ is a $(\mathbf{v}, \overline{\mathbf{w}})$ -Bertrand type curve (or, $(\mathbf{v}, \overline{\mathbf{w}})$ -Bertrand-Mannheim type curve) if there exists another non-degenerate regular curve $\overline{\gamma}$ such that γ and $\overline{\gamma}$ are $(\mathbf{v}, \overline{\mathbf{w}})$ -mates.

We clarify the notation $\lambda \neq 0$. Throughout this paper, $\lambda \neq 0$ means that $\{t \in I \mid \lambda(t) \neq 0\}$ is a dense subset of I . Then λ is not identically zero for any non-trivial subintervals of I . It follows that γ and $\overline{\gamma}$ are different space curves for any non-trivial subintervals of I . Note that if λ is constant, then $\lambda \neq 0$ means that λ is a non-zero constant.

We give all characterizations of Bertrand type curves of non-degenerate regular curves. Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a non-degenerate curve with curvature and torsion (κ, τ) . By a parameter change, we may assume that s is the arc-length parameter of γ . Note that s is not the arc-length parameter of $\overline{\gamma}$.

Proposition 3.2 γ is not a $(\mathbf{t}, \overline{\mathbf{t}})$ -Bertrand type curve.

Proof. Suppose that γ is a $(\mathbf{t}, \overline{\mathbf{t}})$ -Bertrand type curve. By differentiating $\overline{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + \lambda(s)\mathbf{t}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\overline{\gamma}}(s)|\overline{\mathbf{t}}(s) = (1 + \lambda'(s))\mathbf{t}(s) + \lambda(s)\kappa(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$. Since $\mathbf{t}(s) = \pm\overline{\mathbf{t}}(s)$, we have $\lambda(s)\kappa(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$. Furthermore, since $\kappa(s) > 0$, $\lambda(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$ and hence $\overline{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t)$ for all $t \in I$. It follows that γ is not a $(\mathbf{t}, \overline{\mathbf{t}})$ -Bertrand type curve. \square

Theorem 3.3 γ is a $(\mathbf{t}, \overline{\mathbf{n}})$ -Bertrand type curve if and only if $\tau(s) = 0$ and there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $-s + c \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$.

Proof. Suppose that γ is a $(\mathbf{t}, \overline{\mathbf{n}})$ -Bertrand type curve. By differentiating $\overline{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + \lambda(s)\mathbf{t}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\overline{\gamma}}(s)|\overline{\mathbf{t}}(s) = (1 + \lambda'(s))\mathbf{t}(s) + \lambda(s)\kappa(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$. Since $\mathbf{t}(s) = \pm\overline{\mathbf{n}}(s)$, we have $1 + \lambda'(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$. Therefore there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lambda(s) = -s + c$. If $\mathbf{t}(s) = \overline{\mathbf{n}}(s)$, there exists a smooth function $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mathbf{b}}(s) \\ \overline{\mathbf{t}}(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta(s) & -\sin \theta(s) \\ \sin \theta(s) & \cos \theta(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{n}(s) \\ \mathbf{b}(s) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $|\dot{\overline{\gamma}}(s)|\cos \theta(s) = 0$ and $|\dot{\overline{\gamma}}(s)|\sin \theta(s) = \lambda(s)\kappa(s)$. Since $|\dot{\overline{\gamma}}(s)| \neq 0$, we have $\cos \theta(s) = 0$, $\sin \theta(s) = \pm 1$ and hence $\overline{\mathbf{b}}(s) = \mp\mathbf{b}(s)$ and $\overline{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \pm\mathbf{n}(s)$ for all $s \in I$. Furthermore, since $|\dot{\overline{\gamma}}(s)| > 0$ and $\kappa(s) > 0$, we have $\lambda(s) = -s + c \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$. By differentiating $\overline{\mathbf{b}}(s) = \mp\mathbf{b}(s)$, we have $-|\dot{\overline{\gamma}}(s)|\overline{\tau}(s)\overline{\mathbf{n}}(s) = \pm\tau(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$. Since $\mathbf{t}(s) = \overline{\mathbf{n}}(s)$, we have $\tau(s) = 0$ and $\overline{\tau}(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$. By differentiating $\overline{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \mp\mathbf{n}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\overline{\gamma}}(s)|\overline{\kappa}(s)\overline{\mathbf{n}}(s) = \mp\kappa(s)\mathbf{t}(s)$. Since $\mathbf{t}(s) = \overline{\mathbf{n}}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\overline{\gamma}}(s)|\overline{\kappa}(s) = \mp\kappa(s)$ for all $s \in I$. Furthermore, since $|\dot{\overline{\gamma}}(s)|\overline{\kappa}(s) > 0$, we have $\overline{\mathbf{t}}(s) = -\mathbf{n}(s)$, $\sin \theta(s) = -1$ and $\overline{\mathbf{b}}(s) = \mathbf{b}(s)$. Hence, we have

$$|\dot{\overline{\gamma}}(s)| = -\lambda(s)\kappa(s), \quad \kappa(s) = -\frac{|\dot{\overline{\gamma}}(s)|}{\lambda(s)} = \frac{|\dot{\overline{\gamma}}(s)|}{s - c}.$$

Since $\kappa(s) > 0$, if $\mathbf{t}(s) = \overline{\mathbf{n}}(s)$, we have $s - c > 0$ for all $s \in I$.

On the other hand, if $\mathbf{t}(s) = -\overline{\mathbf{n}}(s)$, there exists a smooth function $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mathbf{b}}(s) \\ \overline{\mathbf{t}}(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta(s) & -\sin \theta(s) \\ \sin \theta(s) & \cos \theta(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}(s) \\ \mathbf{n}(s) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $|\dot{\overline{\gamma}}(s)|\sin \theta(s) = 0$ and $|\dot{\overline{\gamma}}(s)|\cos \theta(s) = \lambda(s)\kappa(s)$. Since $|\dot{\overline{\gamma}}(s)| \neq 0$, we have $\sin \theta(s) = 0$, $\cos \theta(s) = \pm 1$ and hence $\overline{\mathbf{b}}(s) = \pm\mathbf{b}(s)$ and $\overline{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \pm\mathbf{n}(s)$ for all $s \in I$. Furthermore, since

$|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| > 0$ and $\kappa(s) > 0$, we have $\lambda(s) = -s+c \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$. By differentiating $\bar{\mathbf{b}}(s) = \pm \mathbf{b}(s)$, we have $-|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\tau}(s)\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = \mp \tau(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$. Since $\mathbf{t}(s) = -\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s)$, we have $\tau(s) = 0$ and $\bar{\tau}(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$. By differentiating $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \pm \mathbf{n}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s)\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = \mp \kappa(s)\mathbf{t}(s)$. Since $\mathbf{t}(s) = -\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s) = \pm \kappa(s)$ for all $s \in I$. Furthermore, since $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s) > 0$, we have $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \mathbf{n}(s)$, $\cos \theta(s) = 1$ and $\bar{\mathbf{b}}(s) = \mathbf{b}(s)$. Hence, we have

$$|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| = \lambda(s)\kappa(s), \quad \kappa(s) = \frac{|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|}{\lambda(s)} = \frac{|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|}{-s+c}.$$

Since $\kappa(s) > 0$, if $\mathbf{t}(s) = -\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s)$, we have $-s+c > 0$ for all $s \in I$. It follows that we have $-s+c \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$.

Conversely, suppose that $\tau(s) = 0$ and there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $-s+c \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$. Set $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + (-s+c)\mathbf{t}(s)$. By a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= |\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = (-s+c)\kappa(s)\mathbf{n}(s), \\ \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= ((-s+c)\kappa(s))' \mathbf{n}(s) + (-s+c)\kappa^2(s)\mathbf{t}(s), \\ \dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= (-s+c)^2\kappa^3(s)\mathbf{b}(s) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\bar{\gamma}$ is a non-degenerate curve. Since $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| = \sqrt{(-s+c)^2\kappa^2(s)}$, we have

$$\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \frac{(-s+c)\kappa(s)}{\sqrt{(-s+c)^2\kappa^2(s)}}\mathbf{n}(s) = \frac{(-s+c)\kappa(s)}{|-s+c|\kappa(s)}\mathbf{n}(s) = \pm \mathbf{n}(s).$$

By differentiating $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \pm \mathbf{n}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s)\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = \mp \kappa(s)\mathbf{t}(s)$. Hence, we have $\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = \pm \mathbf{t}(s)$. It follows that γ is a $(\mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{n}})$ -Bertrand type curve. \square

Remark 3.4 If γ is a $(\mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{n}})$ -Bertrand type curve, then $\bar{\gamma}$ is an involute of γ (cf. [6, 13, 14]). Moreover, the curvature $\bar{\kappa}$ and the torsion $\bar{\tau}$ of $\bar{\gamma}$ are given by $\bar{\kappa}(s) = 1/|-s+c|$, $\bar{\tau}(s) = \tau(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$.

Proposition 3.5 γ is not a $(\mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})$ -Bertrand type curve.

Proof. Suppose that γ is a $(\mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})$ -Bertrand type curve. By differentiating $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + \lambda(s)\mathbf{t}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = (1+\lambda'(s))\mathbf{t}(s) + \lambda(s)\kappa(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$. If $\mathbf{t}(s) = \bar{\mathbf{b}}(s)$, there exists a smooth function $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) \\ \bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta(s) & -\sin \theta(s) \\ \sin \theta(s) & \cos \theta(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{n}(s) \\ \mathbf{b}(s) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\sin \theta(s) = 0$ and $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\cos \theta(s) = \lambda(s)\kappa(s)$. Since $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| \neq 0$, we have $\sin \theta(s) = 0$, $\cos \theta(s) = \pm 1$ and hence $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \pm \mathbf{n}(s)$ for all $s \in I$. By differentiating $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \pm \mathbf{n}(s)$, we have $-|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s)\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = \mp \kappa(s)\mathbf{t}(s) \pm \tau(s)\mathbf{b}(s)$. Since $\mathbf{t}(s) = \bar{\mathbf{b}}(s)$, we have $\kappa(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$. Therefore γ is degenerate curve. It is a contradict of the definition.

On the other hand, if $\mathbf{t}(s) = -\bar{\mathbf{b}}(s)$, there exists a smooth function $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) \\ \bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta(s) & -\sin \theta(s) \\ \sin \theta(s) & \cos \theta(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}(s) \\ \mathbf{n}(s) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\cos \theta(s) = 0$ and $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\sin \theta(s) = -\lambda(s)\kappa(s)$. Since $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| \neq 0$, we have $\cos \theta(s) = 0$, $\sin \theta(s) = \pm 1$ and hence $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \mp \mathbf{n}(s)$ for all $s \in I$. By differentiating $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \mp \mathbf{n}(s)$, we have

$-|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s)\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = \pm\kappa(s)\mathbf{t}(s) \mp \tau(s)\mathbf{b}(s)$. Since $\mathbf{t}(s) = -\bar{\mathbf{b}}(s)$, we have $\kappa(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$. Therefore γ is degenerate curve. It is a contradict of the definition. It follows that γ is not a $(\mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})$ -Bertrand type curve. \square

Theorem 3.6 γ is a $(\mathbf{n}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})$ -Bertrand type curve if and only if $\tau(s) = 0$ and $\kappa'(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$.

Proof. Suppose that γ is a $(\mathbf{n}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})$ -Bertrand type curve. By differentiating $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + \lambda(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = (1 - \lambda(s)\kappa(s))\mathbf{t}(s) + \lambda'(s)\mathbf{n}(s) + \lambda(s)\tau(s)\mathbf{b}(s)$. Since $\mathbf{n}(s) = \pm\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| = \pm\lambda'(s)$, $1 - \lambda(s)\kappa(s) = 0$ and $\lambda(s)\tau(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$. Since $\kappa(s) > 0$, we have $\lambda(s) = 1/\kappa(s) > 0$ and hence $\tau(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$. By differentiating $\lambda(s) = 1/\kappa(s)$, we have $\lambda'(s) = -\kappa'(s)/\kappa^2(s)$. Since $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| = \pm\lambda'(s)$ and $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| > 0$, we have $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| = \kappa'(s)/\kappa^2(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$.

Conversely, suppose that $\tau(s) = 0$ and $\kappa'(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$. Set $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + \mathbf{n}(s)/\kappa(s)$. By a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= |\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = -\frac{\kappa'(s)}{\kappa^2(s)}\mathbf{n}(s), \quad \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) = \left(-\frac{\kappa'(s)}{\kappa^2(s)}\right)' \mathbf{n}(s) + \frac{\kappa'(s)}{\kappa(s)}\mathbf{t}(s), \\ \dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= \frac{\kappa'(s)^2}{\kappa^3(s)}\mathbf{b}(s) \neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\bar{\gamma}$ is a non-degenerate curve. Since $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| = |\kappa'(s)|/\kappa^2(s)$, we have

$$\frac{|\kappa'(s)|}{\kappa^2(s)}\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = -\frac{\kappa'(s)}{\kappa^2(s)}\mathbf{n}(s).$$

Hence, we have $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \pm\mathbf{n}(s)$. It follows that γ is a $(\mathbf{n}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})$ -Bertrand type curve. \square

Remark 3.7 If γ is a $(\mathbf{n}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})$ -Bertrand type curve, then $\bar{\gamma}$ is an evolute of γ (cf. [6, 13, 14]). Moreover, the curvature $\bar{\kappa}$ and the torsion $\bar{\tau}$ of $\bar{\gamma}$ are given by $\bar{\kappa}(s) = \kappa^3(s)/|\kappa'(s)|$, $\bar{\tau}(s) = \tau(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$.

Proposition 3.8 γ is not a $(\mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})$ -Bertrand type curve.

Proof. Suppose that γ is a $(\mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})$ -Bertrand type curve. By differentiating $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + \lambda(s)\mathbf{b}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \mathbf{t}(s) + \lambda'(s)\mathbf{b}(s) - \lambda(s)\tau(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$. Since $\mathbf{b}(s) = \pm\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| = \pm\lambda'(s)$, $\mathbf{t}(s) - \lambda(s)\tau(s)\mathbf{n}(s) = 0$ and hence $\mathbf{t}(s) = \lambda(s)\tau(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$ for all $s \in I$. It is a contradict of a moving frame of $\gamma(s)$. It follows that γ is not a $(\mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})$ -Bertrand type curve. \square

In [18], they investigate $(\mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{n}})$ -Bertrand type as Mannheim partner. However, it seems to be missed the non-degenerate condition.

Theorem 3.9 γ is a $(\mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{n}})$ -Bertrand type curve if and only if $\tau(s) \neq 0$ and there exists a non-zero constant A such that $A\tau'(s) = \kappa(s)(A^2\tau^2(s) + 1)$ for all $s \in I$.

Proof. Suppose that γ is a $(\mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{n}})$ -Bertrand type curve. By differentiating $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + \lambda(s)\mathbf{b}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \mathbf{t}(s) + \lambda'(s)\mathbf{b}(s) - \lambda(s)\tau(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$. Since $\mathbf{b}(s) = \pm\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s)$, we have $\lambda'(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$. Therefore λ is a constant. If $\lambda = 0$, then $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s)$ for all $s \in I$. Hence, λ

is a non-zero constant. We rewrite λ as A . By differentiating $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + A\mathbf{b}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \mathbf{t}(s) - A\tau(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$. If $\mathbf{b}(s) = \bar{\mathbf{n}}(s)$, there exists a smooth function $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{b}}(s) \\ \bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta(s) & -\sin \theta(s) \\ \sin \theta(s) & \cos \theta(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{t}(s) \\ \mathbf{n}(s) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\sin \theta(s) = 1$ and $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\cos \theta(s) = -A\tau(s)$. It follows that $\sin \theta(s) > 0$ and

$$\cos \theta(s) + A\tau(s)\sin \theta(s) = 0 \quad (8)$$

for all $s \in I$. By differentiating $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \sin \theta(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + \cos \theta(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s)\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = (\kappa(s) - \theta'(s))\bar{\mathbf{b}}(s) + \tau(s)\cos \theta(s)\mathbf{b}(s)$. Since $\mathbf{b}(s) = \bar{\mathbf{n}}(s)$, we have $\kappa(s) - \theta'(s) = 0$ and $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s) = \tau(s)\cos \theta(s)$ for all $s \in I$. Since $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s) > 0$, we have $\tau(s)\cos \theta(s) > 0$ for all $s \in I$. If $\tau(s) = 0$ at a point $s \in I$, then $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s) = 0$. It is a contradict of $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s) > 0$. Hence, $\tau(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$. By differentiating (8), we have

$$\theta'(s)(A\tau(s)\cos \theta(s) - \sin \theta(s)) + A\tau'(s)\sin \theta(s) = 0.$$

By (8), we have $\kappa(s)(A^2\tau^2(s) + 1) = A\tau'(s)$ for all $s \in I$.

On the other hand, if $\mathbf{b}(s) = -\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s)$, there exists a smooth function $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{b}}(s) \\ \bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta(s) & -\sin \theta(s) \\ \sin \theta(s) & \cos \theta(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{n}(s) \\ \mathbf{t}(s) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\cos \theta(s) = 1$ and $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\sin \theta(s) = -A\tau(s)$. It follows that $\cos \theta(s) > 0$ and

$$\sin \theta(s) + A\tau(s)\cos \theta(s) = 0 \quad (9)$$

for all $s \in I$. By differentiating $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \sin \theta(s)\mathbf{n}(s) + \cos \theta(s)\mathbf{t}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s)\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = (\kappa(s) + \theta'(s))\bar{\mathbf{b}}(s) + \tau(s)\sin \theta(s)\mathbf{b}(s)$. Since $\mathbf{b}(s) = -\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s)$, we have $\kappa(s) + \theta'(s) = 0$ and $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s) = -\tau(s)\sin \theta(s)$ for all $s \in I$. Since $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s) > 0$, we have $\tau(s)\sin \theta(s) < 0$ for all $s \in I$. If $\tau(s) = 0$ at a point $s \in I$, then $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s) = 0$. It is a contradict of $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s) > 0$. Hence, $\tau(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$. By differentiating (9), we have

$$\theta'(s)(\cos \theta(s) + A\tau(s)\sin \theta(s)) + A\tau'(s)\cos \theta(s) = 0.$$

By (9), we have $\kappa(s)(A^2\tau^2(s) + 1) = A\tau'(s)$ for all $s \in I$.

Conversely, suppose that $\tau(s) \neq 0$ and there exists a non-zero constant A such that $A\tau'(s) = \kappa(s)(A^2\tau^2(s) + 1)$ for all $s \in I$. Set $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + A\mathbf{b}(s)$. By a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= \mathbf{t}(s) - A\tau(s)\mathbf{n}(s), \\ \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= A\kappa(s)\tau(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + (\kappa(s) - A\tau'(s))\mathbf{n}(s) - A\tau^2(s)\mathbf{b}(s), \\ \dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= A\tau^3(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + A\tau^2(s)\mathbf{n}(s) + (\kappa(s) - A\tau'(s) + A^2\kappa(s)\tau^2(s))\mathbf{b}(s) \\ &= A\tau^2(s)(A\tau(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + \mathbf{n}(s)) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\bar{\gamma}$ is a non-degenerate curve. Furthermore, we have

$$(\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)) \times \dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) = -A\tau^2(s)(A^2\tau^2(s) + 1)\mathbf{b}(s).$$

Since $\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = \bar{\mathbf{b}}(s) \times \bar{\mathbf{t}}(s)$, we have

$$\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = \frac{\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)}{|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|} \times \frac{\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)}{|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|} = -\frac{A\tau^2(s)(A^2\tau^2(s) + 1)}{|A|\tau^2(s)(A^2\tau^2(s) + 1)}\mathbf{b}(s) = \pm\mathbf{b}(s).$$

It follows that γ is a $(\mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{n}})$ -Bertrand type curve. \square

Proposition 3.10 Let γ and $\bar{\gamma} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be different non-degenerate curves. Under the same assumptions in Theorem 3.9, suppose that γ and $\bar{\gamma}$ are $(\mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{n}})$ -Bertrand mates with $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + A\mathbf{b}(s)$, $\tau(s) \neq 0$ and $A\tau'(s) = \kappa(s)(A^2\tau^2(s) + 1)$ for all $s \in I$, where A is a non-zero constant. Then the curvature $\bar{\kappa}$ and the torsion $\bar{\tau}$ of $\bar{\gamma}$ are given by

$$\bar{\kappa}(s) = \frac{|A|\tau^2(s)}{1 + A^2\tau^2(s)}, \quad \bar{\tau}(s) = \frac{\tau(s)}{1 + A^2\tau^2(s)}.$$

Proof. Since $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + A\mathbf{b}(s)$, we have $\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) = \mathbf{t}(s) - A\tau(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= A\tau(s)(\kappa(s)\mathbf{t}(s) - A\tau(s)\kappa(s)\mathbf{n}(s) - \tau(s)\mathbf{b}(s)), \\ \dddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= A((\kappa(s)\tau(s))' + A\kappa^2(s)\tau^2(s))\mathbf{t}(s) \\ &\quad + A\tau(s)(-2\kappa(s)\tau'(s) + \kappa^2(s) - A\kappa'(s)\tau(s) + \tau^2(s))\mathbf{n}(s) \\ &\quad - A\tau(s)(2\tau'(s) + A\kappa(s)\tau^2(s))\mathbf{b}(s). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} |\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| &= \sqrt{1 + A^2\tau^2(s)}, \\ \dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) &= A\tau^2(s)(\mathbf{n}(s) + A\tau(s)\mathbf{t}(s)), \\ |\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| &= |A|\tau^2(s)\sqrt{1 + A^2\tau^2(s)}, \\ \det(\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s), \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s), \dddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)) &= A^2\tau^5(s), \end{aligned}$$

we have the curvature and the torsion as

$$\bar{\kappa}(s) = \frac{|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|}{|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|^3} = \frac{|A|\tau^2(s)}{1 + A^2\tau^2(s)}, \quad \bar{\tau}(s) = \frac{\det(\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s), \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s), \dddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s))}{|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|^2} = \frac{\tau(s)}{1 + A^2\tau^2(s)}.$$

□

Theorem 3.11 γ is a $(\mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})$ -Bertrand type curve if and only if $\tau(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$.

Proof. Suppose that γ is a $(\mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})$ -Bertrand type curve. By differentiating $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + \lambda(s)\mathbf{b}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \mathbf{t}(s) + \lambda'(s)\mathbf{b}(s) - \lambda(s)\tau(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$. Since $\mathbf{b}(s) = \pm\bar{\mathbf{b}}(s)$, we have $\lambda'(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$. Therefore λ is a constant. If $\lambda(s) = 0$, then $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s)$ for all $s \in I$. Hence, λ is a non-zero constant. We rewrite λ as A . By differentiating $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + A\mathbf{b}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \mathbf{t}(s) - A\tau(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$. If $\mathbf{b}(s) = \bar{\mathbf{b}}(s)$, there exists a smooth function $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) \\ \bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta(s) & -\sin \theta(s) \\ \sin \theta(s) & \cos \theta(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{t}(s) \\ \mathbf{n}(s) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\cos \theta(s) = 1$ and $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\sin \theta(s) = A\tau(s)$. It follows that $\cos \theta(s) > 0$ for all $s \in I$. By differentiating $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \cos \theta(s)\mathbf{t}(s) - \sin \theta(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$, we have

$$|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s)\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = (\kappa(s) - \theta'(s))\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) - \tau(s)\sin \theta(s)\mathbf{b}(s).$$

Since $\mathbf{b}(s) = \bar{\mathbf{b}}(s)$, we have $\tau(s)\sin \theta(s) = 0$. If $\tau(s) \neq 0$ at a point $s \in I$, then $\sin \theta(s) = 0$. It is contradict of $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\sin \theta(s) = A\tau(s)$. It follows that we have $\tau(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$.

On the other hand, if $\mathbf{b}(s) = -\bar{\mathbf{b}}(s)$, there exists a smooth function $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) \\ \bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta(s) & -\sin \theta(s) \\ \sin \theta(s) & \cos \theta(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{n}(s) \\ \mathbf{t}(s) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| \sin \theta(s) = -1$ and $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| \cos \theta(s) = -A\tau(s)$. It follows that $\sin \theta(s) < 0$ for all $s \in I$. By differentiating $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \cos \theta(s)\mathbf{n}(s) - \sin \theta(s)\mathbf{t}(s)$, we have

$$|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s)\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = -(\kappa(s) + \theta'(s))\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) + \tau(s) \cos \theta(s)\mathbf{b}(s).$$

Since $\mathbf{b}(s) = -\bar{\mathbf{b}}(s)$, we have $\tau(s) \cos \theta(s) = 0$. If $\tau(s) \neq 0$ at a point $s \in I$, then $\cos \theta(s) = 0$. It is contradict of $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| \cos \theta(s) = -A\tau(s)$. It follows that $\tau(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$ and $\cos \theta(s) = 0$ and hence $\sin \theta(s) = -1$. Hence, we have $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \mathbf{t}(s)$ and $\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = -\mathbf{n}(s)$. By differentiating $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) = \mathbf{t}(s)$, we have $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|\bar{\kappa}(s)\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = \kappa(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$. Since $|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)| > 0$, $\bar{\kappa}(s) > 0$ and $\kappa(s) > 0$, we have $\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = \mathbf{n}(s)$. It is contradict of $\bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = -\mathbf{n}(s)$. Hence, this case does not occur.

Conversely, suppose that $\tau(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$. Set $\bar{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s) + A\mathbf{b}(s)$, where A is a non-zero constant. By a direct calculation, we have

$$\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) = \mathbf{t}(s), \quad \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) = \kappa(s)\mathbf{n}(s), \quad \dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) = \kappa(s)\mathbf{b}(s) \neq 0.$$

It follows that $\bar{\gamma}$ is a non-degenerate curve. Since $\bar{\mathbf{b}}(s) = \dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) / |\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|$, we have

$$\bar{\mathbf{b}}(s) = \frac{\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)}{|\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \times \ddot{\bar{\gamma}}(s)|} = \frac{\kappa(s)}{\kappa(s)}\mathbf{b}(s) = \mathbf{b}(s)$$

It follows that γ is a $(\mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})$ -Bertrand type curve. □

Remark 3.12 If γ is a $(\mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})$ -Bertrand type curve, $\bar{\gamma}$ is a parallel translation of γ . Moreover, the curvature $\bar{\kappa}$ and the torsion $\bar{\tau}$ of $\bar{\gamma}$ are given by $\bar{\kappa}(s) = \kappa(s)$, $\bar{\tau}(s) = \tau(s) = 0$ for all $s \in I$.

4 Bertrand framed curves

Let (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ be framed curves.

Definition 4.1 We say that (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ are $(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{w}})$ -mates if there exists a smooth function $\lambda : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$ such that $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda(t)\mathbf{v}(t)$ and $\mathbf{v}(t) = \bar{\mathbf{w}}(t)$ for all $t \in I$, where \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} are ν_1, ν_2 or μ . We also say that (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a $(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{w}})$ -Bertrand framed curve (or, $(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{w}})$ -Bertrand-Mannheim framed curve) if there exists another framed curve $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ such that (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ are $(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{w}})$ -mates.

A little bit the difference of the sign of $\bar{\mathbf{w}}$ between the Definitions 3.1 and 4.1 comes from a flexibility of framed curves. That is, if (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a framed curve, then $(\gamma, -\nu_1, \nu_2)$ and $(\gamma, \nu_1, -\nu_2)$ are also framed curves. Therefore, we may consider $\bar{\mathbf{w}}$ up to sign.

Let $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ be a framed curve with curvature (ℓ, m, n, α) . We give all characterizations of Bertrand framed curves.

Theorem 4.2 $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a $(\mu, \bar{\mu})$ -Bertrand framed curve if and only if $m(t) = n(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$.

Proof. Suppose that $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a $(\mu, \bar{\mu})$ -Bertrand framed curve. Then there exists a framed curve $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ and a smooth function $\lambda : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$ such that $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda(t)\mu(t)$ and $\mu(t) = \bar{\mu}(t)$ for all $t \in I$. By differentiating, we have $\bar{\alpha}(t)\bar{\mu}(t) = (\alpha(t) + \dot{\lambda}(t))\mu(t) - \lambda(t)m(t)\nu_1(t) - \lambda(t)n(t)\nu_2(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$. Since $\mu(t) = \bar{\mu}(t)$, we have $\bar{\alpha}(t) = \alpha(t) + \dot{\lambda}(t)$, $\lambda(t)m(t) = 0$ and $\lambda(t)n(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$. Since $\lambda \neq 0$ and the continuous condition, we have $m(t) = n(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$.

Conversely, suppose that (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a framed curve with $m(t) = n(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$. Then μ is a constant vector \mathbf{v} . Consider a smooth map $(\bar{\gamma}, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ with $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda(t)\mathbf{v}$. Since $\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t) = (\alpha(t) + \dot{\lambda}(t))\mathbf{v}$, we have $\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t) \cdot \nu_1(t) = \dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t) \cdot \nu_2(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$. It follows that $(\bar{\gamma}, \nu_1, \nu_2)$ is a framed curve and $\bar{\mu}(t) = \mu(t) = \mathbf{v}$. Therefore, $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a $(\mu, \bar{\mu})$ -Bertrand framed curve. \square

Remark 4.3 If $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a $(\mu, \bar{\mu})$ -Bertrand framed curve, then μ is a constant vector \mathbf{v} and $\gamma(t) = (\int \alpha(t)dt)\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{c}$, where $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is a constant vector. Therefore, γ is a part of a line.

Proposition 4.4 Suppose that (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ are $(\mu, \bar{\mu})$ -mates, where $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda(t)\mu(t)$, $\bar{\nu}_1(t) = \cos \theta(t)\nu_1(t) - \sin \theta(t)\nu_2(t)$, $\bar{\nu}_2(t) = \sin \theta(t)\nu_1(t) + \cos \theta(t)\nu_2(t)$ and $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function. Then the curvature $(\bar{\ell}, \bar{m}, \bar{n}, \bar{\alpha})$ of $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ is given by $\bar{\ell}(t) = \ell(t) - \dot{\theta}(t)$, $\bar{m}(t) = 0$, $\bar{n}(t) = 0$, $\bar{\alpha}(t) = \alpha(t) + \dot{\lambda}(t)$.

Proof. By differentiating $\bar{\nu}_1(t) = \cos \theta(t)\nu_1(t) - \sin \theta(t)\nu_2(t)$, we have $\bar{\ell}(t)\bar{\nu}_2(t) + \bar{m}(t)\bar{\mu}(t) = (\ell(t) - \dot{\theta}(t))\bar{\nu}_2(t)$. Then we have $\bar{\ell}(t) = \ell(t) - \dot{\theta}(t)$. Since $\nu_1(t) = \bar{\mu}(t)$, we have $\bar{m}(t) = 0$. Moreover, by differentiating $\bar{\nu}_2(t) = \sin \theta(t)\nu_1(t) + \cos \theta(t)\nu_2(t)$, we have $-\bar{\ell}(t)\bar{\nu}_1(t) + \bar{n}(t)\bar{\mu}(t) = (\ell(t) - \dot{\theta}(t))\bar{\nu}_1(t)$. Since $\nu_1(t) = \bar{\mu}(t)$, we have $\bar{n}(t) = 0$. By $\bar{\alpha}(t)\bar{\mu}(t) = (\alpha(t) + \dot{\lambda}(t))\mu(t)$ and $\mu(t) = \bar{\mu}(t)$, we have $\bar{\alpha}(t) = \alpha(t) + \dot{\lambda}(t)$. \square

Theorem 4.5 $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a $(\mu, \bar{\nu}_1)$ -Bertrand framed curve if and only if there exists a smooth function $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $m(t) \cos \theta(t) - n(t) \sin \theta(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$ and $\int \alpha(t)dt \neq 0$.

Proof. Suppose that $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a $(\mu, \bar{\nu}_1)$ -Bertrand framed curve. Then there exists a framed curve $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ and a smooth function $\lambda : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$ such that $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda(t)\mu(t)$ and $\mu(t) = \bar{\nu}_1(t)$ for all $t \in I$. By differentiating, we have $\bar{\alpha}(t)\bar{\mu}(t) = (\alpha(t) + \dot{\lambda}(t))\mu(t) - \lambda(t)m(t)\nu_1(t) - \lambda(t)n(t)\nu_2(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$. Since $\mu(t) = \bar{\nu}_1(t)$, we have $\alpha(t) + \dot{\lambda}(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$. Moreover, there exists a smooth function $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\nu}_2(t) \\ \bar{\mu}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta(t) & -\sin \theta(t) \\ \sin \theta(t) & \cos \theta(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1(t) \\ \nu_2(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have $\bar{\alpha}(t) \sin \theta(t) = -\lambda(t)m(t)$ and $\bar{\alpha}(t) \cos \theta(t) = -\lambda(t)n(t)$. It follows that

$$\lambda(t)(m(t) \cos \theta(t) - n(t) \sin \theta(t)) = 0$$

for all $t \in I$. Since $\lambda \neq 0$ and the continuous condition, we have $m(t) \cos \theta(t) - n(t) \sin \theta(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$.

Conversely, suppose that there exists a smooth function $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $m(t) \cos \theta(t) - n(t) \sin \theta(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$ and $\int \alpha(t) dt \neq 0$. Let $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ be

$$\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) - \left(\int \alpha(t) dt \right) \mu(t), \quad \bar{\nu}_1(t) = \mu(t), \quad \bar{\nu}_2(t) = \cos \theta(t) \nu_1(t) - \sin \theta(t) \nu_2(t).$$

Since $\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t) = (\int \alpha(t) dt)(m(t)\nu_1(t) + n(t)\nu_2(t))$, we have $\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t) \cdot \bar{\nu}_1(t) = \dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t) \cdot \bar{\nu}_2(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$. It follows that $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ is a framed curve. Therefore, (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a $(\mu, \bar{\nu}_1)$ -Bertrand framed curve. \square

Remark 4.6 If (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a $(\mu, \bar{\nu}_1)$ -Bertrand framed curve, then $\bar{\gamma}$ is an involute of the framed curve (γ, ν_1, ν_2) (cf. [12]).

Proposition 4.7 Suppose that (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ are $(\mu, \bar{\nu}_1)$ -mates, where $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) - (\int \alpha(t) dt)\mu(t)$, $\bar{\nu}_2(t) = \cos \theta(t)\nu_1(t) - \sin \theta(t)\nu_2(t)$ and $\bar{\mu}(t) = \sin \theta(t)\nu_1(t) + \cos \theta(t)\nu_2(t)$ and $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function. Then the curvature $(\bar{\ell}, \bar{m}, \bar{n}, \bar{\alpha})$ of $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\ell}(t) &= -m(t) \cos \theta(t) + n(t) \sin \theta(t) = 0, \\ \bar{m}(t) &= -m(t) \sin \theta(t) - n(t) \cos \theta(t), \\ \bar{n}(t) &= \ell(t) - \dot{\theta}(t), \\ \bar{\alpha}(t) &= - \left(\int \alpha(t) dt \right) (m(t) \sin \theta(t) + n(t) \cos \theta(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By differentiating $\bar{\nu}_2(t) = \cos \theta(t)\nu_1(t) - \sin \theta(t)\nu_2(t)$, we have

$$-\bar{\ell}(t)\bar{\nu}_1(t) + \bar{n}(t)\bar{\mu}(t) = (\ell(t) - \dot{\theta}(t))\bar{\mu}(t) + (m(t) \cos \theta(t) - n(t) \sin \theta(t))\mu(t).$$

Then we have $\bar{n}(t) = \ell(t) - \dot{\theta}(t)$. Since $\mu(t) = \bar{\nu}_1(t)$, we have $\bar{\ell}(t) = -m(t) \cos \theta(t) + n(t) \sin \theta(t) = 0$. Moreover, by differentiating $\bar{\mu}(t) = \sin \theta(t)\nu_1(t) + \cos \theta(t)\nu_2(t)$, we have

$$-\bar{m}(t)\bar{\nu}_1(t) - \bar{n}(t)\bar{\nu}_2(t) = (\dot{\theta}(t) - \ell(t))\bar{\nu}_2(t) + (m(t) \sin \theta(t) + n(t) \cos \theta(t))\mu(t).$$

Since $\nu_1(t) = \bar{\mu}(t)$, we have $\bar{m}(t) = -m(t) \sin \theta(t) - n(t) \cos \theta(t)$. By $\bar{\alpha}(t) \sin \theta(t) = -\lambda(t)m(t)$ and $\bar{\alpha}(t) \cos \theta(t) = -\lambda(t)n(t)$, we have $\bar{\alpha}(t) = -\lambda(t)(m(t) \sin \theta(t) + n(t) \cos \theta(t))$. \square

Theorem 4.8 $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a $(\mu, \bar{\nu}_2)$ -Bertrand framed curve if and only if $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a $(\mu, \bar{\nu}_1)$ -Bertrand framed curve.

Proof. If $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a $(\mu, \bar{\nu}_2)$ -Bertrand framed curve, then there exists a framed curve $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ and a smooth function $\lambda : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$ such that $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda(t)\mu(t)$ and $\mu(t) = \bar{\nu}_2(t)$ for all $t \in I$. Since $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_2, \bar{\nu}_1)$ is also a framed curve, (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a $(\mu, \bar{\nu}_1)$ -Bertrand framed curve and vice versa (see, Theorem 2.17 and Remark 2.18). \square

Remark 4.9 Let (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ be $(\mu, \bar{\nu}_1)$ -mates. The curvature of $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ is given by $(\bar{\ell}, \bar{m}, \bar{n}, \bar{\alpha})$. Then (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_2, \bar{\nu}_1)$ are $(\mu, \bar{\nu}_2)$ -mates and the curvature of $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_2, \bar{\nu}_1)$ is given by $(0, -\bar{n}, -\bar{m}, -\bar{\alpha})$ by Propositions 2.11 and 4.7.

Theorem 4.10 $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a $(\nu_1, \bar{\mu})$ -Bertrand framed curve if and only if $\ell(t) = 0$ and there exists a smooth function $\lambda : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$ such that $\alpha(t) + \lambda(t)m(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$.

Proof. Suppose that $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a $(\nu_1, \bar{\mu})$ -Bertrand framed curve. Then there exists a framed curve $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ and a smooth function $\lambda : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$ such that $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda(t)\nu_1(t)$ and $\nu_1(t) = \bar{\mu}(t)$ for all $t \in I$. By differentiating, we have $\bar{\alpha}(t)\bar{\mu}(t) = (\alpha(t) + \lambda(t)m(t))\mu(t) + \dot{\lambda}(t)\nu_1(t) + \lambda(t)\ell(t)\nu_2(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$. Since $\nu_1(t) = \bar{\mu}(t)$, we have $\alpha(t) + \lambda(t)m(t) = 0$ and $\lambda(t)\ell(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$. Since $\lambda \neq 0$, we have $\ell(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$.

Conversely, suppose that $\ell(t) = 0$ and there exists a smooth function $\lambda : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha(t) + \lambda(t)m(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$. Let $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ be $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda(t)\nu_1(t)$, $\bar{\nu}_1(t) = \nu_2(t)$, $\bar{\nu}_2(t) = \mu(t)$. Since $\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t) = \dot{\lambda}(t)\nu_1(t)$, we have $\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t) \cdot \bar{\nu}_1(t) = \dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t) \cdot \bar{\nu}_2(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$. It follows that $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ is a framed curve. Moreover, since $\bar{\mu}(t) = \bar{\nu}_1(t) \times \bar{\nu}_2(t)$, we have $\bar{\mu}(t) = \nu_1(t)$ for all $t \in I$. Therefore, (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a $(\nu_1, \bar{\mu})$ -Bertrand framed curve. \square

Remark 4.11 If (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a $(\nu_1, \bar{\mu})$ -Bertrand framed curve and $m(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in I$, then $\bar{\gamma}$ is a circular evolute with respect to ν_1 of the framed curve (γ, ν_1, ν_2) (cf. [12]).

Proposition 4.12 Suppose that (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ are $(\nu_1, \bar{\mu})$ -mates, where $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda(t)\nu_1(t)$, $\bar{\nu}_1(t) = \cos \theta(t)\nu_2(t) - \sin \theta(t)\mu(t)$, $\bar{\nu}_2(t) = \sin \theta(t)\nu_2(t) + \cos \theta(t)\mu(t)$ and $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function. Then the curvature $(\bar{\ell}, \bar{m}, \bar{n}, \bar{\alpha})$ of $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ is given by

$$\bar{\ell}(t) = n(t) - \dot{\theta}(t), \quad \bar{m}(t) = m(t) \sin \theta(t), \quad \bar{n}(t) = -m(t) \cos \theta(t), \quad \bar{\alpha}(t) = \dot{\lambda}(t).$$

Proof. By differentiating $\bar{\nu}_1(t) = \cos \theta(t)\nu_2(t) - \sin \theta(t)\mu(t)$, we have

$$\bar{\ell}(t)\bar{\nu}_2(t) + \bar{m}(t)\bar{\mu}(t) = (n(t) - \dot{\theta}(t))\bar{\nu}_2(t) + m(t) \sin \theta(t)\nu_1(t).$$

Then we have $\bar{\ell}(t) = n(t) - \dot{\theta}(t)$. Since $\nu_1(t) = \bar{\mu}(t)$, we have $\bar{m}(t) = m(t) \sin \theta(t)$. Moreover, by differentiating $\bar{\nu}_2(t) = \sin \theta(t)\nu_2(t) + \cos \theta(t)\mu(t)$, we have

$$-\bar{\ell}(t)\bar{\nu}_1(t) + \bar{n}(t)\bar{\mu}(t) = (\dot{\theta}(t) - n(t))\bar{\nu}_1(t) - m(t) \cos \theta(t)\nu_1(t).$$

Since $\nu_1(t) = \bar{\mu}(t)$, we have $\bar{n}(t) = -m(t) \cos \theta(t)$. By $\bar{\alpha}(t)\bar{\mu}(t) = (\alpha(t) + \lambda(t)m(t))\mu(t) + \dot{\lambda}(t)\nu_1(t) + \lambda(t)\ell(t)\nu_2(t) = 0$ and $\nu_1(t) = \bar{\mu}(t)$, we have $\bar{\alpha}(t) = \dot{\lambda}(t)$. \square

Theorem 4.13 $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a $(\nu_2, \bar{\nu}_1)$ -Bertrand framed curve if and only if there exists a non-zero constant λ and a smooth function $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lambda \ell(t) \sin \theta(t) + (\alpha(t) + \lambda n(t)) \cos \theta(t) = 0$$

for all $t \in I$.

Proof. Suppose that $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a $(\nu_2, \bar{\nu}_1)$ -Bertrand framed curve. Then there exists a framed curve $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ and a smooth function $\lambda : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$ such that $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda(t)\nu_2(t)$ and $\nu_2(t) = \bar{\nu}_1(t)$ for all $t \in I$. By differentiating, we have $\bar{\alpha}(t)\bar{\mu}(t) = (\alpha(t) + \lambda(t)n(t))\mu(t) - \lambda(t)\ell(t)\nu_1(t) + \dot{\lambda}(t)\nu_2(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$. Since $\nu_2(t) = \bar{\nu}_1(t)$,

we have $\dot{\lambda}(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$. Therefore λ is a constant. If $\lambda = 0$, then $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t)$ for all $t \in I$. Hence, λ is a non-zero constant. Moreover, there exists a smooth function $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\nu}_2(t) \\ \bar{\mu}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta(t) & -\sin \theta(t) \\ \sin \theta(t) & \cos \theta(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1(t) \\ \nu_2(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have $\bar{\alpha}(t) \sin \theta(t) = \alpha(t) + \lambda n(t)$ and $\bar{\alpha}(t) \cos \theta(t) = -\lambda \ell(t)$. It follows that

$$\lambda \ell(t) \sin \theta(t) + (\alpha(t) + \lambda n(t)) \cos \theta(t) = 0$$

for all $t \in I$.

Conversely, suppose that there exists a non-zero constant λ and a smooth function $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lambda \ell(t) \sin \theta(t) + (\alpha(t) + \lambda n(t)) \cos \theta(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$. Let $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ be $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda \nu_2(t)$, $\bar{\nu}_1(t) = \nu_2(t)$, $\bar{\nu}_2(t) = \cos \theta(t) \mu(t) - \sin \theta(t) \nu_1(t)$. Since $\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t) = (\alpha(t) + \lambda n(t)) \mu(t) - \lambda \ell(t) \nu_1(t)$, we have $\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t) \cdot \bar{\nu}_1(t) = \dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t) \cdot \bar{\nu}_2(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$. It follows that $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ is a framed curve. Therefore, (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a $(\nu_2, \bar{\nu}_1)$ -Bertrand framed curve. \square

Proposition 4.14 *Suppose that (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ are $(\nu_2, \bar{\nu}_1)$ -mates, where $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda \nu_2(t)$, $\bar{\nu}_2(t) = \cos \theta(t) \mu(t) - \sin \theta(t) \nu_1(t)$, $\bar{\mu}(t) = \sin \theta(t) \mu(t) + \cos \theta(t) \nu_1(t)$ and $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function. Then the curvature $(\bar{\ell}, \bar{m}, \bar{n}, \bar{\alpha})$ of $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\ell}(t) &= \ell(t) \sin \theta(t) + n(t) \cos \theta(t), \\ \bar{m}(t) &= -\ell(t) \cos \theta(t) + n(t) \sin \theta(t), \\ \bar{n}(t) &= -\dot{\theta}(t) - m(t), \\ \bar{\alpha}(t) &= (\alpha(t) + \lambda n(t)) \sin \theta(t) - \lambda \ell(t) \cos \theta(t). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By differentiating $\bar{\nu}_2(t) = \cos \theta(t) \mu(t) - \sin \theta(t) \nu_1(t)$, we have

$$-\bar{\ell}(t) \bar{\nu}_1(t) + \bar{n}(t) \bar{\mu}(t) = (-\dot{\theta}(t) - m(t)) \bar{\mu}(t) + (-n(t) \cos \theta(t) - \ell(t) \sin \theta(t)) \nu_2(t).$$

Then we have $\bar{n}(t) = -\dot{\theta}(t) - m(t)$. Since $\nu_2(t) = \bar{\nu}_1(t)$, we have $\bar{\ell}(t) = \ell(t) \sin \theta(t) + n(t) \cos \theta(t)$. Moreover, by differentiating $\bar{\mu}(t) = \sin \theta(t) \mu(t) + \cos \theta(t) \nu_1(t)$, we have

$$-\bar{m}(t) \bar{\nu}_1(t) - \bar{n}(t) \bar{\nu}_2(t) = (\dot{\theta}(t) + m(t)) \bar{\nu}_2(t) + (-n(t) \sin \theta(t) + \ell(t) \cos \theta(t)) \nu_2(t).$$

Since $\nu_2(t) = \bar{\nu}_1(t)$, we have $\bar{m}(t) = -\ell(t) \cos \theta(t) + n(t) \sin \theta(t)$. By $\bar{\alpha}(t) \sin \theta(t) = \alpha(t) + \lambda n(t)$ and $\bar{\alpha}(t) \cos \theta(t) = -\lambda \ell(t)$, we have $\bar{\alpha}(t) = (\alpha(t) + \lambda n(t)) \sin \theta(t) - \lambda \ell(t) \cos \theta(t)$. \square

Theorem 4.15 *$(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a $(\nu_2, \bar{\nu}_2)$ -Bertrand framed curve if and only if $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a $(\nu_2, \bar{\nu}_1)$ -Bertrand framed curve.*

Proof. If $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a $(\nu_2, \bar{\nu}_2)$ -Bertrand framed curve, then there exists a framed curve $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ and a smooth function $\lambda : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$ such that $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda(t) \nu_2(t)$ and $\nu_2(t) = \bar{\nu}_2(t)$ for all $t \in I$. Since $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_2, \bar{\nu}_1)$ is also a framed curve, (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a $(\nu_2, \bar{\nu}_1)$ -Bertrand framed curve and vice versa (see, Theorem 2.17 and Remark 2.18). \square

Remark 4.16 Let (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ be $(\nu_2, \bar{\nu}_1)$ -mates. The curvature of $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ is given by $(\bar{\ell}, \bar{m}, \bar{n}, \bar{\alpha})$. Then (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_2, \bar{\nu}_1)$ are $(\nu_2, \bar{\nu}_2)$ -mates and the curvature of $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_2, \bar{\nu}_1)$ is given by $(-\bar{\ell}, -\bar{n}, -\bar{m}, -\bar{\alpha})$ by Propositions 2.11 and 4.14.

Remark 4.17 Let $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a framed curve with an adapted frame. Then curvature of (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is given by $(0, m, n, \alpha)$ by (7). By Theorems 4.13 and 4.15, (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is automatically not only $(\nu_2, \bar{\nu}_1)$ -Bertrand framed curve, but also $(\nu_2, \bar{\nu}_2)$ -Bertrand framed curve.

Theorem 4.18 $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a $(\nu_2, \bar{\mu})$ -Bertrand framed curve if and only if $\ell(t) = 0$ and there exists a smooth function $\lambda : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$ such that $\alpha(t) + \lambda(t)n(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$.

Proof. Suppose that $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ is a $(\nu_2, \bar{\mu})$ -Bertrand framed curve. Then there exists a framed curve $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ and a smooth function $\lambda : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$ such that $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda(t)\nu_2(t)$ and $\nu_2(t) = \bar{\mu}(t)$ for all $t \in I$. By differentiating, we have $\bar{\alpha}(t)\bar{\mu}(t) = (\alpha(t) + \lambda(t)n(t))\mu(t) - \lambda(t)\ell(t)\nu_1(t) + \lambda(t)\nu_2(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$. Since $\nu_2(t) = \bar{\mu}(t)$, we have $\alpha(t) + \lambda(t)n(t) = 0$ and $-\lambda(t)\ell(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$. Since $\lambda \neq 0$ and the continuous condition, we have $\ell(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$.

Conversely, suppose that $\ell(t) = 0$ and there exists a smooth function $\lambda : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha(t) + \lambda(t)n(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$. Let $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ be $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda(t)\nu_2(t)$, $\bar{\nu}_1(t) = \mu(t)$, $\bar{\nu}_2(t) = \nu_1(t)$. Since $\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t) = \dot{\lambda}(t)\nu_2(t)$, we have $\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t) \cdot \bar{\nu}_1(t) = \dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t) \cdot \bar{\nu}_2(t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$. It follows that $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ is a framed curve. Moreover, since $\bar{\mu}(t) = \bar{\nu}_1(t) \times \bar{\nu}_2(t)$, we have $\bar{\mu}(t) = \nu_2(t)$ for all $t \in I$. Therefore, (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a $(\nu_2, \bar{\mu})$ -Bertrand framed curve. \square

Remark 4.19 If (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a $(\nu_2, \bar{\mu})$ -Bertrand framed curve and $n(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in I$, then $\bar{\gamma}$ is a circular evolute with respect to ν_2 of the framed curve (γ, ν_1, ν_2) (cf. [12]).

Proposition 4.20 Suppose that (γ, ν_1, ν_2) and $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ are $(\nu_2, \bar{\mu})$ -mates, where $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda(t)\nu_2(t)$, $\bar{\nu}_1(t) = \cos \theta(t)\mu(t) - \sin \theta(t)\nu_1(t)$, $\bar{\nu}_2(t) = \sin \theta(t)\mu(t) + \cos \theta(t)\nu_1(t)$ and $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function. Then the curvature $(\bar{\ell}, \bar{m}, \bar{n}, \bar{\alpha})$ of $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ is given by

$$\bar{\ell}(t) = -\dot{\theta}(t) - m(t), \quad \bar{m}(t) = -n(t) \cos \theta(t), \quad \bar{n}(t) = -n(t) \sin \theta(t), \quad \bar{\alpha}(t) = \dot{\lambda}(t).$$

Proof. By differentiating $\bar{\nu}_1(t) = \cos \theta(t)\mu(t) - \sin \theta(t)\nu_1(t)$, we have

$$\dot{\bar{\ell}}(t)\bar{\nu}_2(t) + \bar{m}(t)\bar{\mu}(t) = (-\dot{\theta}(t) - m(t))\bar{\nu}_2(t) - n(t) \cos \theta(t)\nu_2(t).$$

Then we have $\bar{\ell}(t) = -\dot{\theta}(t) - m(t)$. Since $\nu_2(t) = \bar{\mu}(t)$, we have $\bar{m}(t) = -n(t) \cos \theta(t)$. Moreover, by differentiating $\bar{\nu}_2(t) = \sin \theta(t)\mu(t) + \cos \theta(t)\nu_1(t)$, we have

$$-\dot{\bar{\ell}}(t)\bar{\nu}_1(t) + \bar{n}(t)\bar{\mu}(t) = (\dot{\theta}(t) + m(t))\bar{\nu}_1(t) - n(t) \sin \theta(t)\nu_2(t).$$

Since $\nu_2(t) = \bar{\mu}(t)$, we have $\bar{n}(t) = -n(t) \sin \theta(t)$. By $\bar{\alpha}(t)\bar{\mu}(t) = (\alpha(t) + \lambda(t)n(t))\mu(t) - \lambda(t)\ell(t)\nu_1(t) + \lambda(t)\nu_2(t) = 0$ and $\nu_2(t) = \bar{\mu}(t)$, we have $\bar{\alpha}(t) = \dot{\lambda}(t)$. \square

Finally, we give concrete examples of Bertrand framed curves.

Example 4.21 Let $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) : [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ be

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(t) = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+p^2}} \left(-\frac{p}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{q+\sqrt{1+p^2}} \cos(q+\sqrt{1+p^2})t - \frac{1}{q-\sqrt{1+p^2}} \cos(q-\sqrt{1+p^2})t \right), \right. \\ & \frac{p}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q+\sqrt{1+p^2}} \sin(q+\sqrt{1+p^2})t - \frac{1}{q-\sqrt{1+p^2}} \sin(q-\sqrt{1+p^2})t \right), \\ & \left. -\frac{1}{q} \cos qt \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_1(t) &= \frac{p}{\sqrt{1+p^2}} \left(-\frac{p}{2} \left(\frac{1}{1+\sqrt{1+p^2}} \sin(1+\sqrt{1+p^2})t + \frac{1}{1-\sqrt{1+p^2}} \sin(1-\sqrt{1+p^2})t \right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{p}{2} \left(\frac{1}{1+\sqrt{1+p^2}} \cos(1+\sqrt{1+p^2})t - \frac{1}{1-\sqrt{1+p^2}} \cos(1-\sqrt{1+p^2})t \right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sin t \right), \\ \nu_2(t) &= \frac{p}{\sqrt{1+p^2}} \left(-\frac{p}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{1+\sqrt{1+p^2}} \cos(1+\sqrt{1+p^2})t - \frac{1}{1-\sqrt{1+p^2}} \cos(1-\sqrt{1+p^2})t \right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{p}{2} \left(\frac{1}{1+\sqrt{1+p^2}} \sin(1+\sqrt{1+p^2})t - \frac{1}{1-\sqrt{1+p^2}} \sin(1-\sqrt{1+p^2})t \right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. -\cos t \right),\end{aligned}$$

where $p, q \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ with $q \neq \pm\sqrt{1+p^2}$. By a direct calculation,

$$\mu(t) = \nu_1(t) \times \nu_2(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+p^2}} \left(-p \cos \sqrt{1+p^2}t, -p \sin \sqrt{1+p^2}t, 1 \right)$$

and (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a framed curve with the curvature

$$(\ell(t), m(t), n(t), \alpha(t)) = (0, p \cos t, p \sin t, \sin qt).$$

If we take $\theta(t) = n\pi$ where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the condition of Theorem 2.13 is satisfied. Hence, (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a $(\nu_1, \bar{\nu}_1)$ and $(\nu_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$ -Bertrand framed curve. Similarly, if we take $\theta(t) = \pi/2 + n\pi$, the conditions of Theorems 2.16 and 4.13 are satisfied. Hence, (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a $(\nu_2, \bar{\nu}_1)$ and $(\nu_2, \bar{\nu}_2)$ -Bertrand framed curve. Moreover, if we take $\theta(t) = \pi/2 + n\pi - t$, the conditions of Theorems 4.5 and 4.8 are satisfied. Hence, (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a $(\mu, \bar{\nu}_1)$ and $(\mu, \bar{\nu}_2)$ -Bertrand framed curve.

If we take $p \neq 0, \pm\sqrt{3}$, $q = 2$ and $\lambda(t) = -(2/p) \sin t$ (respectively, $\lambda(t) = -(2/p) \cos t$), then the condition of Theorem 4.10 (respectively, Theorem 4.18) is satisfied. Hence, (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a $(\nu_1, \bar{\mu})$ (respectively, $(\nu_2, \bar{\mu})$)-Bertrand framed curve.

Example 4.22 (Spherical Legendre curves [22]) Let $(\gamma, \nu) : I \rightarrow \Delta$ be a spherical Legendre curve with curvature (m, n) . If we denote $\mu = \gamma \times \nu$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\gamma}(t) \\ \dot{\nu}(t) \\ \dot{\mu}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & m(t) \\ 0 & 0 & n(t) \\ -m(t) & -n(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma(t) \\ \nu(t) \\ \mu(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that (γ, γ, ν) is a framed curve with curvature $(0, m, n, m)$. Since $\ell = 0$, $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) = (\gamma, \gamma, \nu)$ is a $(\nu_1, \bar{\nu}_1)$ (respectively, $(\nu_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$, $(\nu_2, \bar{\nu}_1)$, $(\nu_2, \bar{\nu}_2)$)-Bertrand framed curve. Moreover, if we take $\lambda = -1$, then the condition of Theorem 4.10 is satisfied. Hence, (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a $(\nu_1, \bar{\mu})$ -Bertrand framed curve.

It also follows that (γ, ν, γ) is a framed curve with curvature $(0, -n, -m, -m)$. Since $\ell = 0$, $(\gamma, \nu_1, \nu_2) = (\gamma, \nu, \gamma)$ is a $(\nu_1, \bar{\nu}_1)$ (respectively, $(\nu_1, \bar{\nu}_2)$, $(\nu_2, \bar{\nu}_1)$, $(\nu_2, \bar{\nu}_2)$)-Bertrand framed curve. Moreover, if we take $\lambda = -1$, then the condition of Theorem 4.18 is satisfied. Hence, (γ, ν_1, ν_2) is a $(\nu_2, \bar{\mu})$ -Bertrand framed curve.

References

- [1] Y. Aminov, *Differential geometry and the topology of curves*. Translated from the Russian by V. Gorkavy. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 2000.
- [2] Y. Banchoff, S. Lovett, *Differential geometry of curves and surfaces*. A K Peters, Ltd., Natick, MA, 2010.
- [3] M. Berger, B. Gostiaux, *Differential geometry: manifolds, curves, and surfaces*. Translated from the French by Silvio Levy. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 115. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.
- [4] J. Bertrand, Mémoire sur la théorie des courbes à double courbure. *J. de mathématiques pures et appliquées*. **15** 1850, 332–350.
- [5] R. L. Bishop, There is more than one way to frame a curve. *American Mathematical Monthly*. **82** 1975, 246–251.
- [6] J. W. Bruce, P. J. Giblin, *Curves and singularities. A geometrical introduction to singularity theory. Second edition*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [7] M. P. do Carmo, *Differential geometry of curves and surfaces*. Translated from the Portuguese. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1976.
- [8] T. Fukunaga, M. Takahashi, Evolutes and involutes of frontals in the Euclidean plane. *Demonstr. Math.* **48** (2015), 147–166.
- [9] T. Fukunaga, M. Takahashi, Existence conditions of framed curves for smooth curves. *Journal of Geometry*. **108**, 2017, 763–774. doi: 10.1007/s00022-017-0371-5
- [10] S. Honda, M. Takahashi, Framed curves in the Euclidean space. *Advances in Geometry*. **16**, 2017, 265–276. doi: 10.1515/advgeom-2015-0035
- [11] S. Honda, M. Takahashi, Bertrand and Mannheim curves of framed curves in the 3-dimensional Euclidean space. *Turkish J. Math.* **44**, 2020, 883–899.
- [12] S. Honda, M. Takahashi, Circular evolutes and involutes of framed curves in the 3-dimensional Euclidean space. Preprint, 2024.
- [13] C. G. Gibson, *Elementary geometry of differentiable curves. An undergraduate introduction*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [14] A. Gray, E. Abbena and S. Salamon, *Modern differential geometry of curves and surfaces with Mathematica. Third edition*. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006.
- [15] J. Huang, L. Chen, S. Izumiya, D. Pei, Geometry of special curves and surfaces in 3-space form. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*. **136**, 2019, 31–38.
- [16] S. Izumiya, N. Takeuchi, Generic properties of helices and Bertrand curves. *Journal of Geometry*. **74**, 2002, 97–109.
- [17] W. Kühnel, *Differential geometry. Curves-surfaces-manifolds*. Translated from the 1999 German original by Bruce Hunt. Student Mathematical Library, 16. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.

- [18] H. Liu, F. Wang, Mannheim partner curves in 3-space. *Journal of Geometry*. **88**, 2008, 120–126.
- [19] N. Nakatsuyama, M. Takahashi, On vertices of frontals in the Euclidean plane. Preprint, 2024.
- [20] S. G. Papaioannou, D. Kiritsis, An application of Bertrand curves and surfaces to CAD/CAM. *Computer-Aided Design* **17**, 1985, 348–352.
- [21] D. J. Struik, Lectures on classical differential geometry. Reprint of the second edition. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1988.
- [22] M. Takahashi, Legendre curves in the unit spherical bundle over the unit sphere and evolutes. *Contemp. Math.* **675**, 2016, 337–355.

Nozomi Nakatsuyama,
Muroran Institute of Technology, Muroran 050-8585, Japan,
E-mail address: 23043042@muroran-it.ac.jp

Masatomo Takahashi,
Muroran Institute of Technology, Muroran 050-8585, Japan,
E-mail address: masatomo@muroran-it.ac.jp