

ADDRESSING COMPLEX BOUNDARY CONDITIONS OF MISCIBLE FLOW AND TRANSPORT IN TWO AND THREE DIMENSIONS WITH APPLICATION TO OPTIMAL CONTROL

YIQUN LI*, HONG WANG[†], AND XIANGCHENG ZHENG[‡]

Abstract. We investigate complex boundary conditions of the miscible displacement system in two and three space dimensions with the commonly-used Bear-Scheidegger diffusion-dispersion tensor, which describes, e.g., the porous medium flow processes in petroleum reservoir simulation or groundwater contaminant transport. Specifically, we incorporate the no-flux boundary condition for the Darcy velocity to prove that the general no-flux boundary condition for the transport equation is equivalent to the normal derivative boundary condition of the concentration, based on which we further prove several complex boundary conditions by the Bear-Scheidegger tensor and its derivative. The derived boundary conditions not only provide new insights and distinct properties of the Bear-Scheidegger diffusion-dispersion tensor, but accommodate the coupling and the nonlinearity of the miscible displacement system and the Bear-Scheidegger tensor in deriving the first-order optimality condition of the corresponding optimal control problem for practical application.

Key words. advection-diffusion, diffusion-dispersion tensor, miscible displacement system, boundary condition, optimal control

AMS subject classifications. 35K20, 49J20, 49K20, 76S05

1. Introduction.

1.1. Problem formulation. The incompressible miscible flow has attracted increasing attentions since it is shown to provide very competitive description of porous medium flow processes in petroleum reservoir simulation and groundwater contaminant transport [11, 17, 21, 22]. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d (d \geq 2)$ be a d -dimensional bounded domain with the boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let $c(\mathbf{x}, t)$ represent the concentration of the injected fluid, which consists of surfactant or other chemicals that are mixed with water to formulate a fully miscible fluid phase [3, 8, 9] and let $p(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) = (v_1, \dots, v_d)$ be the pressure and Darcy velocity of the fluid mixture, respectively.

We consider the following incompressible miscible flow model has been widely used in describing two-component, incompressible, miscible displacement [4]

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\mathbf{x})\partial_t c - \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})\nabla c) + \nabla \cdot (c\mathbf{v}) &= \bar{c}q, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T]; \\ \mathbf{v} &= -K(\mathbf{x})\nabla p, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = q, & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, T]; \\ c(\mathbf{x}, 0) &= 0, & \text{on } \Omega; \\ \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) &= (\mathbf{D}\nabla c)(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

Here $\phi(\mathbf{x}) > 0$ and $K(\mathbf{x}) > 0$ represent the porosity and permeability of the medium, respectively, and $\nabla := (\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_d)^\top$ is the gradient operator. $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) = (D_{i,j}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}))_{i,j=1}^d$ is the well-known Bear-Scheidegger diffusion-dispersion tensor defined by [6, 15, 19, 21, 22]

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) := \phi(\mathbf{x})d_m \mathbf{I} + d_t |\mathbf{v}| \mathbf{I} + (d_l - d_t)(v_i v_j)_{i,j=1}^d / |\mathbf{v}| \quad (1.2)$$

*Department of Mathematics, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA

[†]Department of Mathematics, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA

[‡]School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China (Corresponding author, email: xzheng@sdu.edu.cn)

with $d_m, d_t, d_l > 0$ being the molecular diffusion and the transverse and longitudinal dispersivities, respectively, and \mathbf{I} is the identity tensor. The vector \mathbf{n} denotes the unit normal vector outward to $\partial\Omega$, q denotes the external source/sink term, and $\bar{c}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is specified at sources and $\bar{c}(\mathbf{x}, t) = c(\mathbf{x}, t)$ at sinks.

For the displacement processes occurring in petroleum reservoir simulation, the Darcy's law in the second equation in (1.1) typically depends on the viscosity of the fluid mixture μ , which further incorporates the gravitational effects for the three-dimensional porous medium reservoir. Here we omit the gravitational and viscosity effects and instead consider the model (1.1) for simplicity of presentation.

1.2. Main contribution. Miscible displacement system with Bear-Scheidegger tensor has been extensively studied in the literature with sophisticated mathematical or numerical analysis progresses [2, 10, 16, 15, 18, 21]. From the application point of view, there also exist some works on the optimal control of porous media flow in groundwater contaminant transport or in oil reservoir simulation [5, 13]. For more practical Bear-Scheidegger diffusion-dispersion tensor (1.2), the corresponding optimal control remains untreated due to coupling and the nonlinearity of the system and the Bear-Scheidegger tensor. Specifically, deriving the first-order optimality condition requires several complex boundary conditions that are not encountered in the forward problem (1.1) and even in the optimal control of the displacement system with scalar diffusion coefficients [5, 13], and thus necessitate substantial investigations.

In this work, we address the aforementioned issue to prove novel boundary conditions of (1.1) for both two- and three-dimensional problems by employing properties of the Bear-Scheidegger tensor and its derivative, which provide new insights and distinct properties of the Bear-Scheidegger diffusion-dispersion tensor. Specifically, we incorporate the no-flux boundary condition for the Darcy velocity to prove that the general no-flux boundary condition for the transport equation is equivalent to the normal derivative boundary condition of the concentration, based on which we further prove several complex boundary conditions by the Bear-Scheidegger tensor and its derivative. We then apply the proved boundary conditions to derive the first-order optimality condition of the optimal control problem by carefully designing the adjoint system, which demonstrates the applicability of the proposed boundary conditions. Specifically, we prove complex boundary conditions for the two-dimensional case in §2, and then apply them to derive the first-order optimality condition of the optimal control of the miscible displacement system (3.2) in §3. We finally prove analogous results for the three-dimensional case by more technical treatments in §4.

1.3. Preliminaries and assumptions. Let $L^q(\Omega)$ with $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ be the Banach space of q th power Lebesgue integrable functions on Ω . For a positive integer m , let $W^{m,q}(\Omega)$ be the Sobolev space of L^q functions with m th weakly derivatives in $L^q(\Omega)$. Let $H^m(\Omega) = W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ and $H_0^m(\Omega)$ be the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support in Ω , in $H^m(\Omega)$ [1, 7]. For a Banach space \mathcal{X} , let $W^{m,q}(0, T; \mathcal{X})$ be the space of functions in $W^{m,q}(0, T)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}}$. All spaces are equipped with standard norms [1, 7].

Recall that q denotes the external source/sink term and we impose $\int_{\Omega} q(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x} = 0$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, which states that for an incompressible flow with an impermeable boundary, the amount of injected fluid should be equal to the amount that is produced. Furthermore, the forward problem (1.1) with no-flow boundary conditions can only determine the pressure p up to an additive constant. To ensure the uniqueness of p , we enforce $\int_{\Omega} p(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x} = 0$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$. Based on these discussions, we follow [14, 20] to make the following *assumptions*:

- (a) $\partial_{v_i} D_{i,j}, \partial_{v_j} D_{i,j} \in L^\infty(L^\infty)$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq d$.
- (b) $\phi > \phi_*$ for some $\phi_* > 0$.
- (c) $\int_\Omega q \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_\Omega p \, d\mathbf{x} = 0$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$.

2. Complex boundary conditions in 2D. We prove complex boundary conditions for the two-dimensional case.

LEMMA 2.1. *For $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})$ defined in (1.2) with $d = 2$, $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ and some scalar function g , we have*

$$(\mathbf{D}\nabla g) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \iff \nabla g \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T]. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. By (1.2), we have

$$(\mathbf{D}\nabla g) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = (\phi + d_t|\mathbf{v}|)\nabla g \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{(d_l - d_t)}{|\mathbf{v}|}((v_i v_j)_{i,j=1}^2 \nabla g) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (2.2)$$

where, by $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) := (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ for some θ depending on $\mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega$, the last term could be further evaluated on $\partial\Omega$ as

$$\begin{aligned} ((v_i v_j)_{i,j=1}^2 \nabla g) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) &= \begin{bmatrix} v_1^2 \partial_x g + v_1 v_2 \partial_y g \\ v_1 v_2 \partial_x g + v_2^2 \partial_y g \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \partial_x g (v_1^2 \cos \theta + v_1 v_2 \sin \theta) + \partial_y g (v_1 v_2 \cos \theta + v_2^2 \sin \theta) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Here we have used the fact that

$$v_1 \cos \theta + v_2 \sin \theta = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

derived from $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$. Thus, we obtain from (2.2)–(2.3) that $(\mathbf{D}\nabla g) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = (\phi + d_t|\mathbf{v}|)\nabla g \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$, which, together with $\phi + d_t|\mathbf{v}| > \phi_* > 0$, completes the proof. \square

LEMMA 2.2. *Under the boundary conditions*

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla p(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{D}\nabla c) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T], \quad (2.5)$$

we have $[(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \otimes \nabla p)\nabla c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$, where $\mathbf{E} = (\partial_{\mathbf{v}} D_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^2$ is a 2-by-2 block matrix with entries given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_{v_1} D_{1,1}, \partial_{v_2} D_{1,1}) &= \partial_{\mathbf{v}} D_{1,1} = \partial_{\mathbf{v}} \left(dt|\mathbf{v}| + (d_l - d_t)v_1^2/|\mathbf{v}| \right) \\ &= dt \left(\frac{v_1}{|\mathbf{v}|}, \frac{v_2}{|\mathbf{v}|} \right) + (d_l - d_t) \left(\frac{v_1(v_1^2 + 2v_2^2)}{|\mathbf{v}|^3}, \frac{-v_1^2 v_2}{|\mathbf{v}|^3} \right), \\ (\partial_{v_1} D_{1,2}, \partial_{v_2} D_{1,2}) &= \partial_{\mathbf{v}} D_{1,2} = (d_l - d_t) \partial_{\mathbf{v}} (v_1 v_2 / |\mathbf{v}|) = (d_l - d_t) \left(\frac{v_2^3}{|\mathbf{v}|^3}, \frac{v_1^3}{|\mathbf{v}|^3} \right), \\ (\partial_{v_1} D_{2,1}, \partial_{v_2} D_{2,1}) &= (\partial_{v_1} D_{1,2}, \partial_{v_2} D_{1,2}), \\ (\partial_{v_1} D_{2,2}, \partial_{v_2} D_{2,2}) &= \partial_{\mathbf{v}} D_{2,2} = \partial_{\mathbf{v}} \left(dt|\mathbf{v}| + (d_l - d_t)v_2^2/|\mathbf{v}| \right) \\ &= dt \left(\frac{v_1}{|\mathbf{v}|}, \frac{v_2}{|\mathbf{v}|} \right) + (d_l - d_t) \left(\frac{-v_1 v_2^2}{|\mathbf{v}|^3}, \frac{v_2(2v_1^2 + v_2^2)}{|\mathbf{v}|^3} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

and \otimes is interpreted as a Kronecker type product of a 2-by-2 block matrix and a column vector in $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 1}$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \otimes \nabla c := \begin{bmatrix} (\partial_{v_1} D_{1,1}, \partial_{v_2} D_{1,1}) \nabla c & (\partial_{v_1} D_{1,2}, \partial_{v_2} D_{1,2}) \nabla c \\ (\partial_{v_1} D_{2,1}, \partial_{v_2} D_{2,1}) \nabla c & (\partial_{v_1} D_{2,2}, \partial_{v_2} D_{2,2}) \nabla c \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}. \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. We split $\partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{1,1}$ and $\partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{2,2}$ in (2.6) as

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{1,1} &= dt\left(\frac{v_1}{|\mathbf{v}|}, \frac{v_2}{|\mathbf{v}|}\right) + (d_l - d_t)\left(\frac{v_1(v_1^2 + 2v_2^2)}{|\mathbf{v}|^3}, \frac{-v_1^2v_2}{|\mathbf{v}|^3}\right) =: \mathbf{E}_d^t + \mathbf{E}_{1,1}^l, \\ \partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{2,2} &= dt\left(\frac{v_1}{|\mathbf{v}|}, \frac{v_2}{|\mathbf{v}|}\right) + (d_l - d_t)\left(\frac{-v_1v_2^2}{|\mathbf{v}|^3}, \frac{v_2(2v_1^2 + v_2^2)}{|\mathbf{v}|^3}\right) =: \mathbf{E}_d^t + \mathbf{E}_{2,2}^l,\end{aligned}\quad (2.8)$$

and thus to split $\mathbf{E} =: \mathbf{E}^t + \mathbf{E}^l$ as the sum of two 2-by-2 block matrices

$$\mathbf{E}^t := \text{diag}(\mathbf{E}_d^t, \mathbf{E}_d^t), \quad \mathbf{E}^l = (\mathbf{E}_{i,j}^l)_{i,j=1}^2 := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{E}_{1,1}^l & \partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{1,2} \\ \partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{2,1} & \mathbf{E}_{2,2}^l \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.9)$$

We first show that $[(\mathbf{E}^t \otimes \nabla p) \nabla c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$. By Lemma 2.1, $(\mathbf{D}\nabla c) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ implies that $\nabla c \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$. By (2.7) and (2.8)–(2.9), we have

$$[(\mathbf{E}^t \otimes \nabla p) \nabla c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{E}_d^t \nabla p & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{E}_d^t \nabla p \end{bmatrix} \nabla c \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{E}_d^t \nabla p) \nabla c \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad (2.10)$$

on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$. By (2.9), we then combine (2.7) to evaluate $[(\mathbf{E}^l \otimes \nabla p) \nabla c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}[(\mathbf{E}^l \otimes \nabla p) \nabla c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{E}_{1,1}^l \nabla p & \partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{1,2} \nabla p \\ \partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{2,1} \nabla p & \mathbf{E}_{2,2}^l \nabla p \end{bmatrix} \nabla c \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{E}_{1,1}^l \nabla p) \partial_x c + (\partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{1,2} \nabla p) \partial_y c \\ (\partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{2,1} \nabla p) \partial_x c + (\mathbf{E}_{2,2}^l \nabla p) \partial_y c \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \partial_x c \left[\cos \theta (\mathbf{E}_{1,1}^l \nabla p) + \sin \theta (\partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{2,1} \nabla p) \right] \\ &\quad + \partial_y c \left[\cos \theta (\partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{1,2} \nabla p) + \sin \theta (\mathbf{E}_{2,2}^l \nabla p) \right] \\ &=: \frac{d_l - d_t}{|\mathbf{v}|^3} (Q_1 \partial_x c + Q_2 \partial_y c).\end{aligned}\quad (2.11)$$

Here Q_1 could be computed by incorporating (2.4), (2.6), and (2.8) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}Q_1 &= (\cos \theta v_1) [(v_1^2 + 2v_2^2) \partial_x p - v_1 v_2 \partial_y p] + \sin \theta [v_2^3 \partial_x p + v_1^3 \partial_y p] \\ &= (-\sin \theta v_2) [(v_1^2 + 2v_2^2) \partial_x p - v_1 v_2 \partial_y p] + \sin \theta [v_2^3 \partial_x p + v_1^3 \partial_y p] \\ &= \sin \theta [-v_2 |\mathbf{v}|^2 \partial_x p + v_1 |\mathbf{v}|^2 \partial_y p] = (\cos \theta v_1) |\mathbf{v}|^2 \partial_x p + \sin \theta v_1 |\mathbf{v}|^2 \partial_y p \\ &= |\mathbf{v}|^2 v_1 [\cos \theta \partial_x p + \partial_y p \sin \theta] = 0,\end{aligned}\quad (2.12)$$

where the last equality employs $\nabla p \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$. Similarly, we evaluate Q_2 in (2.11) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}Q_2 &= \cos \theta [v_2^3 \partial_x p + v_1^3 \partial_y p] + v_2 \sin \theta [-v_1 v_2 \partial_x p + (2v_1^2 + v_2^2) \partial_y p] \\ &= \cos \theta [v_2^3 \partial_x p + v_1^3 \partial_y p] - \cos \theta v_1 [-v_1 v_2 \partial_x p + (2v_1^2 + v_2^2) \partial_y p] \\ &= \cos \theta [v_2 |\mathbf{v}|^2 \partial_x p - v_1 |\mathbf{v}|^2 \partial_y p] = |\mathbf{v}|^2 v_2 [\partial_x p \cos \theta + \partial_y p \sin \theta] = 0.\end{aligned}\quad (2.13)$$

We incorporate (2.12)–(2.13) to find that $[(\mathbf{E}^l \otimes \nabla p) \nabla c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$, which, together with (2.9) and (2.10), yields the conclusion of this lemma. \square

For the convenience of analysis, we define a 2-by-2 block matrix $\hat{\mathbf{E}}$ expressed as follows

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}} = (\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^2 =: \begin{bmatrix} (\partial_{v_1} D_{1,1}, \partial_{v_1} D_{1,2}) & (\partial_{v_1} D_{2,1}, \partial_{v_1} D_{2,2}) \\ (\partial_{v_2} D_{1,1}, \partial_{v_2} D_{1,2}) & (\partial_{v_2} D_{2,1}, \partial_{v_2} D_{2,2}) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.14)$$

LEMMA 2.3. *For some scalar function ψ_c , $\mathbf{E} = (\partial_v D_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^2$ and $\hat{\mathbf{E}}$ defined in (2.14) satisfy*

$$[K(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \otimes \nabla p) \nabla c] \cdot \nabla \psi_c = \nabla p \cdot [K(\mathbf{x})(\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \otimes \nabla c) \nabla \psi_c] \quad (2.15)$$

on $\Omega \times [0, T]$.

Proof. By (2.7) and the definition of \mathbf{E} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \otimes \nabla p) \nabla c] \cdot \nabla \psi_c &= \begin{bmatrix} \partial_v D_{1,1} \nabla p & \partial_v D_{1,2} \nabla p \\ \partial_v D_{2,1} \nabla p & \partial_v D_{2,2} \nabla p \end{bmatrix} \nabla c \cdot \nabla \psi_c \\ &= \left[(\partial_{v_1} D_{1,1} \partial_x p + \partial_{v_2} D_{1,1} \partial_y p) \partial_x c + (\partial_{v_1} D_{1,2} \partial_x p + \partial_{v_2} D_{1,2} \partial_y p) \partial_y c \right] \partial_x \psi_c \\ &\quad + \left[(\partial_{v_1} D_{2,1} \partial_x p + \partial_{v_2} D_{2,1} \partial_y p) \partial_x c + (\partial_{v_1} D_{2,2} \partial_x p + \partial_{v_2} D_{2,2} \partial_y p) \partial_y c \right] \partial_y \psi_c, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

which, after proper rearrangement, becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &[(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \otimes \nabla p) \nabla c] \cdot \nabla \psi_c \\ &= \partial_x p \left[(\partial_{v_1} D_{1,1} \partial_x c + \partial_{v_1} D_{1,2} \partial_y c) \partial_x \psi_c + (\partial_{v_1} D_{2,1} \partial_x c + \partial_{v_1} D_{2,2} \partial_y c) \partial_y \psi_c \right] \\ &\quad + \partial_y p \left[(\partial_{v_2} D_{1,1} \partial_x c + \partial_{v_2} D_{1,2} \partial_y c) \partial_x \psi_c + (\partial_{v_2} D_{2,1} \partial_x c + \partial_{v_2} D_{2,2} \partial_y c) \partial_y \psi_c \right] \\ &= \nabla p \cdot \begin{bmatrix} (\partial_{v_1} D_{1,1}, \partial_{v_1} D_{1,2}) \nabla c & (\partial_{v_1} D_{2,1}, \partial_{v_1} D_{2,2}) \nabla c \\ (\partial_{v_2} D_{1,1}, \partial_{v_2} D_{1,2}) \nabla c & (\partial_{v_2} D_{2,1}, \partial_{v_2} D_{2,2}) \nabla c \end{bmatrix} \nabla \psi_c \\ &= \nabla p \cdot [(\hat{\mathbf{E}} \otimes \nabla c) \nabla \psi_c] \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

by (2.7) and (2.14). We multiply K on both sides of (2.17) to complete the proof. \square

LEMMA 2.4. *For some scalar function ψ_c and under the boundary conditions*

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = (D \nabla c) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = (D \nabla \psi_c) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T], \quad (2.18)$$

we have $[(\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \otimes \nabla c) \nabla \psi_c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ with $\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})$ defined in (2.14).

Proof. Recall the definition for $\hat{\mathbf{E}}$ in (2.14), i.e.,

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}} = \begin{bmatrix} (\partial_{v_1} D_{1,1}, \partial_{v_1} D_{1,2}) & (\partial_{v_1} D_{2,1}, \partial_{v_1} D_{2,2}) \\ (\partial_{v_2} D_{1,1}, \partial_{v_2} D_{1,2}) & (\partial_{v_2} D_{2,1}, \partial_{v_2} D_{2,2}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.19)$$

we then combine this with the split in (2.8) to accordingly split $\hat{\mathbf{E}} := \hat{\mathbf{E}}^t + \hat{\mathbf{E}}^l$ as follows:

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}}^t := \begin{bmatrix} ([\mathbf{E}_d^t]_1, 0) & (0, [\mathbf{E}_d^t]_1) \\ ([\mathbf{E}_d^t]_2, 0) & (0, [\mathbf{E}_d^t]_2) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{E}}^l := \begin{bmatrix} ([\mathbf{E}_{1,1}^l]_1, \partial_{v_1} D_{1,2}) & (\partial_{v_1} D_{2,1}, [\mathbf{E}_{2,2}^l]_1) \\ ([\mathbf{E}_{1,1}^l]_2, \partial_{v_2} D_{1,2}) & (\partial_{v_2} D_{2,1}, [\mathbf{E}_{2,2}^l]_2) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.20)$$

where $[\mathbf{a}]_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ refers to the i -th element of the vector $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

We first show that $[(\hat{\mathbf{E}}^t \otimes \nabla c) \nabla \psi_c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$. By (2.4), (2.7), (2.8) and (2.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(\hat{\mathbf{E}}^t \otimes \nabla c) \nabla \psi_c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{|\mathbf{v}|} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \partial_x c & v_1 \partial_y c \\ v_2 \partial_x c & v_2 \partial_y c \end{bmatrix} \nabla \psi_c \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathbf{v}|} [v_1 \cos \theta (\partial_x c \partial_x \psi_c + \partial_y c \partial_y \psi_c) \\ &\quad + v_2 \sin \theta (\partial_x c \partial_x \psi_c + \partial_y c \partial_y \psi_c)] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

We then evaluate $[(\hat{\mathbf{E}}^l \otimes \nabla c) \nabla \psi_c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ by following a similar procedure in (2.11) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} &[(\hat{\mathbf{E}}^l \otimes \nabla c) \nabla \psi_c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \left[([\mathbf{E}_{1,1}^l]_1, \partial_{v_1} D_{1,2}) \nabla c \partial_x \psi_c + (\partial_{v_1} D_{2,1}, [\mathbf{E}_{2,2}^l]_1) \nabla c \partial_y \psi_c \right] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \left[([\mathbf{E}_{1,1}^l]_2, \partial_{v_2} D_{1,2}) \nabla c \partial_x \psi_c + (\partial_{v_2} D_{2,1}, [\mathbf{E}_{2,2}^l]_2) \nabla c \partial_y \psi_c \right] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \partial_x \psi_c \left[\cos \theta ([\mathbf{E}_{1,1}^l]_1, \partial_{v_1} D_{1,2}) \nabla c + \sin \theta ([\mathbf{E}_{1,1}^l]_2, \partial_{v_2} D_{1,2}) \nabla c \right] \\ &\quad + \partial_y \psi_c \left[\cos \theta (\partial_{v_1} D_{2,1}, [\mathbf{E}_{2,2}^l]_1) \nabla c + \sin \theta (\partial_{v_2} D_{2,1}, [\mathbf{E}_{2,2}^l]_2) \nabla c \right] \\ &=: \frac{d_l - d_t}{|\mathbf{v}|^3} (\hat{Q}_1 \partial_x \psi_c + \hat{Q}_2 \partial_y \psi_c). \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Here \hat{Q}_1 could be evaluated by (2.8), (2.14), and $\partial_x c \cos \theta = -\partial_y c \sin \theta$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ derived from $(\mathbf{D} \nabla c) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ by Lemma 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Q}_1 &= \cos \theta [v_1 (v_1^2 + 2v_2^2) \partial_x c + v_2^3 \partial_y c] + \sin \theta [-v_1^2 v_2 \partial_x c + v_1^3 \partial_y c] \\ &= (-\sin \theta \partial_y c) v_1 (v_1^2 + 2v_2^2) + \cos \theta v_2^3 \partial_y c + \sin \theta [-v_1^2 v_2 \partial_x c + v_1^3 \partial_y c] \\ &= -2 \sin \theta v_1 v_2^2 \partial_y c + \cos \theta v_2^3 \partial_y c - \sin \theta v_1^2 v_2 \partial_x c, \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

and \hat{Q}_2 could be evaluated in a similar manner as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Q}_2 &= \cos \theta [v_2^3 \partial_x c - v_1 v_2^2 \partial_y c] + \sin \theta [v_1^3 \partial_x c + v_2 (2v_1^2 + v_2^2) \partial_y c] \\ &= (-\sin \theta \partial_y c) v_2^3 - \cos \theta v_1 v_2^2 \partial_y c + \sin \theta [v_1^3 \partial_x c + v_2 (2v_1^2 + v_2^2) \partial_y c] \\ &= 2 \sin \theta v_1^2 v_2 \partial_y c - \cos \theta v_1 v_2^2 \partial_y c + \sin \theta v_1^3 \partial_x c. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

We then combine (2.23)–(2.24) to further reformulate (2.22) as

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(\hat{\mathbf{E}}^l \otimes \nabla c) \nabla \psi_c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \\
&= \frac{d_l - d_t}{|\mathbf{v}|^3} \left[\partial_x \psi_c (-2 \sin \theta v_1 v_2^2 \partial_y c + \cos \theta v_2^3 \partial_y c - \sin \theta v_1^2 v_2 \partial_x c) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \partial_y \psi_c (2 \sin \theta v_1^2 v_2 \partial_y c - \cos \theta v_1 v_2^2 \partial_y c + \sin \theta v_1^3 \partial_x c) \right] \\
&= \frac{d_l - d_t}{|\mathbf{v}|^3} \left[2v_1 v_2 \partial_y c (-v_2 \partial_x \psi_c \sin \theta + v_1 \partial_y \psi_c \sin \theta) \right. \\
&\quad + v_2^2 \partial_y c (v_2 \partial_x \psi_c \cos \theta - v_1 \partial_y \psi_c \cos \theta) \\
&\quad \left. + v_1^2 \partial_x c (-v_2 \partial_x \psi_c \sin \theta + v_1 \partial_y \psi_c \sin \theta) \right] = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$. Here we have used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
v_2 \partial_x \psi_c \sin \theta &= \partial_x \psi_c (v_2 \sin \theta) = -\partial_x \psi_c (v_1 \cos \theta) = v_1 (\partial_y \psi_c \sin \theta), \\
v_2 (\partial_x \psi_c \cos \theta) &= -\partial_y \psi_c (v_2 \sin \theta) = \partial_y \psi_c (v_1 \cos \theta),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

which are derived from (2.4) and $\nabla \psi_c \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ by Lemma 2.1. We thus complete the proof. \square

3. Application to optimal control. We consider the optimal control problem for the incompressible miscible flow model (1.1) for $d = 2$ and then derive its first-order optimality condition based on the boundary conditions in §2.

We follow the conventional formulation of the optimal control [12] to modify the source terms in the first and third equations of (1.1) as $q + h$, where h denotes the control variable that adjusts the injection and extraction rate of the injecting fluid from the admissible set

$$U_{ad} := \{h \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) : \int_{\Omega} h(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x} = 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0, T]\}. \tag{3.1}$$

To facilitate the analysis, we substitute $\mathbf{v} = -K(\mathbf{x})\nabla p$ in $\nabla \cdot (c\mathbf{v})$ in the first equation in the resulting system and combine the second and the third equations to reformulate

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_c(c, p, \mathbf{v}) &:= \phi \partial_t c - \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \nabla c) - \nabla \cdot (cK(\mathbf{x}) \nabla p) = q + h, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T]; \\
\mathcal{L}_p(p) &:= -\nabla \cdot (K(\mathbf{x}) \nabla p) = q + h, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, T]; \\
c(\mathbf{x}, 0) &= 0, \quad \text{on } \Omega; \\
\nabla p(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) &= (\mathbf{D} \nabla c)(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

We note that the combination of the equation $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = q + h$ and the boundary condition $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ in (1.1) lead to the compatibility condition $\int_{\Omega} (q + h)(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x} = 0$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, which, combined with *assumption (b)*, further gives $\int_{\Omega} h(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x} = 0$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$ as imposed in the admissible set (3.1).

We consider the optimal control problem [12]

$$\min_{h \in U_{ad}} J = \frac{1}{2} \|c - c_d\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))}^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|p - p_d\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))}^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|h\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))}^2, \tag{3.3}$$

where c_d and p_d denote the prescribed target states of c and p , respectively, $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ are parameters. The control mechanism is to manipulate h to adjust the

pressure of the fluid mixture and the concentration to the ideal distributions with the relatively low cost balanced by the parameter γ .

THEOREM 3.1. *There exist adjoint states ψ_c and ψ_p with $\int_{\Omega} \psi_p(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x} = 0$ such that (c, p, ψ_c, ψ_p) satisfies the state equations in (3.2) and the adjoint state equations*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_c^*(\psi_c, p, \mathbf{v}) &= c(\mathbf{x}, t; h) - c_d(\mathbf{x}, t), & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, T]; \\ \mathcal{L}_p^*(\psi_p, c, \psi_c, \mathbf{v}) &= \alpha(p(\mathbf{x}, t; h) - p_d(\mathbf{x}, t)), & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, T]; \\ \psi_c(\mathbf{x}, T) &= 0 \text{ on } \Omega, \quad (\mathbf{D}\nabla\psi_c) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla\psi_p \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T] \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_c^*(\psi_c, p, \mathbf{v}) &:= -\phi\partial_t\psi_c - \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})\nabla\psi_c) + K(\mathbf{x})\nabla p \cdot \nabla\psi_c, \\ \mathcal{L}_p^*(\psi_p, c, \psi_c, \mathbf{v}) &:= \nabla \cdot (K(\mathbf{x})(\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \otimes \nabla c)\nabla\psi_c) - \nabla \cdot (K(\mathbf{x})\nabla\psi_p) \\ &\quad - \nabla \cdot (cK(\mathbf{x})\nabla\psi_c) \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\psi_c + \psi_p + \gamma h)(v - h) d\mathbf{x} dt = 0, \quad v \in U_{ad}. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. For any $v \in U_{ad}$ and $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, let $\delta h := v - h$. We have $h + \varepsilon\delta h \in U_{ad}$ by the convexity of U_{ad} . Let $\delta_\varepsilon c := (c(h + \varepsilon\delta h) - c(h))/\varepsilon$ and $\delta_\varepsilon p := (p(h + \varepsilon\delta h) - p(h))/\varepsilon$, we learn from the first governing equation in (3.2) that $\delta_\varepsilon \mathcal{L}_c = \delta h$ with

$$\delta_\varepsilon \mathcal{L}_c := \frac{1}{\varepsilon} [\mathcal{L}_c(c(h + \varepsilon\delta h), p(h + \varepsilon\delta h), \mathbf{v}(h + \varepsilon\delta h)) - \mathcal{L}_c(c(h), p(h), \mathbf{v}(h))]. \quad (3.7)$$

To further simplify (3.7), we apply the mean-value theorem to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\varepsilon} [\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}(h + \varepsilon\delta h))\nabla c(h + \varepsilon\delta h)) - \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}(h))\nabla c(h))] \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}(h + \varepsilon\delta h)) - \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}(h)))\nabla c(h + \varepsilon\delta h)) \\ &\quad + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}(h))\nabla \delta_\varepsilon c) \\ &= -\nabla \cdot (K(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}) \otimes \nabla \delta_\varepsilon p)\nabla c(h + \varepsilon\delta h)) + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}(h))\nabla \delta_\varepsilon c) \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

with the 2-by-2 block matrix $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 4}$ defined in Lemma 2.2. We incorporate this with (3.2), (3.7), and

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\varepsilon} [\nabla \cdot (c(h + \varepsilon\delta h)K(\mathbf{x})\nabla p(h + \varepsilon\delta h)) - \nabla \cdot (c(h)K(\mathbf{x})\nabla p(h))] \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} [\nabla \cdot (c(h + \varepsilon\delta h)K(\mathbf{x})\nabla p(h + \varepsilon\delta h)) - \nabla \cdot (c(h + \varepsilon\delta h)K(\mathbf{x})\nabla p(h))] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} [\nabla \cdot (c(h + \varepsilon\delta h)K(\mathbf{x})\nabla p(h)) - \nabla \cdot (c(h)K(\mathbf{x})\nabla p(h))] \\ &= \nabla \cdot (c(h + \varepsilon\delta h)K(\mathbf{x})\nabla \delta_\varepsilon p) + \nabla \cdot (\delta_\varepsilon cK(\mathbf{x})\nabla p(h)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

to deduce that $\delta_\varepsilon c$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_c(\delta_\varepsilon c, p, \mathbf{v}) &+ \nabla \cdot (K(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}) \otimes \nabla \delta_\varepsilon p)\nabla c(h + \varepsilon\delta h)) \\ &\quad - \nabla \cdot (c(h + \varepsilon\delta h)K(\mathbf{x})\nabla \delta_\varepsilon p) = \delta h, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T]; \\ \delta_\varepsilon c(\mathbf{x}, 0) &= 0, & \text{on } \Omega; \\ \nabla \delta_\varepsilon c(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) &= 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

We note that the boundary in (3.10) is derived from $(\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})\nabla c)(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ in (3.2) and thus, by Lemma 2.1, $\nabla c(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$. In addition, we note from (3.2) that $\delta_\varepsilon \mathcal{L}_p = \mathcal{L}_p(\delta_\varepsilon p)$ and $\delta_\varepsilon p$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_p(\delta_\varepsilon p) &= -\nabla \cdot (K(\mathbf{x})\nabla \delta_\varepsilon p) = \delta h, & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, T], \\ \nabla \delta_\varepsilon p(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) &= 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

We then use $c(h + \varepsilon\delta h) - c(h) = \varepsilon\delta_\varepsilon c$, $p(h + \varepsilon\delta h) - p(h) = \varepsilon\delta_\varepsilon p$, (3.1), and (3.4) to differentiate $\hat{J}(h) = J(c(h), p(h), h)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \partial_h \hat{J}(h)\delta h = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-1} (\hat{J}(h + \varepsilon\delta h) - \hat{J}(h)) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (2\varepsilon)^{-1} [\|c(h + \varepsilon\delta h) - c_d\|_{L^2(L^2)}^2 + \alpha\|p(h + \varepsilon\delta h) - p_d\|_{L^2(L^2)}^2 \\ &\quad + \gamma\|h + \varepsilon\delta h\|_{L^2(L^2)}^2 - \|c(h) - c_d\|_{L^2(L^2)}^2 - \alpha\|p(h) - p_d\|_{L^2(L^2)}^2 - \gamma\|h\|_{L^2(L^2)}^2] \\ &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega (c(h) - c_d)\delta_\varepsilon c + \alpha(p(h) - p_d)\delta_\varepsilon p \, d\mathbf{x}dt + \int_0^T \int_\Omega \gamma h\delta h \, d\mathbf{x}dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \mathcal{L}_c^*(\psi_c, p, \mathbf{v})\delta_\varepsilon c + \mathcal{L}_p^*(\psi_p, c, \psi_c, \mathbf{v})\delta_\varepsilon p + \gamma h\delta h \, d\mathbf{x}dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

We combine the boundary condition for $\delta_\varepsilon c$ in (3.10) and Lemma 2.1 to obtain $(\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})\nabla \delta_\varepsilon c)(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$. We utilize this with (3.2) and (3.5) to integrate the first term on the right-hand side of (3.12) by parts to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \int_\Omega \mathcal{L}_c^*(\psi_c, p, \mathbf{v})\delta_\varepsilon c \, d\mathbf{x}dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega (\phi\partial_t \delta_\varepsilon c - \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})\nabla \delta_\varepsilon c) - \nabla \cdot (\delta_\varepsilon c K(\mathbf{x})\nabla p))\psi_c \, d\mathbf{x}dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \mathcal{L}_c(\delta_\varepsilon c, p, \mathbf{v})\psi_c \, d\mathbf{x}dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Due to the coupling and nonlinearity of the forward problem (3.2) as well as the complexity of the Bear-Scheidegger tensor, its optimal control problem involves additional two terms in (3.10), i.e. the second and the third left-hand side terms of (3.10), that exhibit complicated structure. Consequently, several complex boundary conditions are required in the derivation of the first-order optimality condition, which is not typically encountered in the forward problem (3.2) and optimal control of its linear analogues and thus requires substantial investigations. Thus, novel lemmas are proved in §2 to accommodate this issue and are applied in the following critical derivation

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \int_\Omega \nabla \cdot (K(\mathbf{x})(\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \otimes \nabla c)\nabla \psi_c)\delta_\varepsilon p \, d\mathbf{x}dt \\ &= - \int_0^T \int_\Omega \nabla \delta_\varepsilon p \cdot (K(\mathbf{x})(\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \otimes \nabla c)\nabla \psi_c) \, d\mathbf{x}dt \\ &= - \int_0^T \int_\Omega [K(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \otimes \nabla \delta_\varepsilon p)\nabla c] \cdot \nabla \psi_c \, d\mathbf{x}dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \nabla \cdot (K(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \otimes \nabla \delta_\varepsilon p)\nabla c)\psi_c \, d\mathbf{x}dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where the first equality utilizes $[(\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \otimes \nabla c) \nabla \psi_c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ in Lemma 2.4, the second equality applies Lemma 2.3 with p replaced by $\delta_\varepsilon p$, and the last equality utilizes the relation $[(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \otimes \nabla \delta_\varepsilon p) \nabla c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ from Lemma 2.2 since $\delta_\varepsilon p$ in (3.11) has the same boundary condition as p in (3.2).

Lemma 2.1 combined with $(\mathbf{D} \nabla \psi_c) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ in (3.4) yields that $\nabla \psi_c \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$. We employ this with (3.11) and (3.14) to evaluate the second term on the right-hand side of (3.12)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \int_\Omega \mathcal{L}_p^*(\psi_p, c, \psi_c, \mathbf{v}) \delta_\varepsilon p \, d\mathbf{x} dt = \int_0^T \int_\Omega \left(\nabla \cdot (K(\mathbf{x})(\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \otimes \nabla c) \nabla \psi_c) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \nabla \cdot (K(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \psi_p) - \nabla \cdot (cK(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \psi_c) \right) \delta_\varepsilon p \, d\mathbf{x} dt \\
& = \int_0^T \int_\Omega \left(\nabla \cdot (K(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \otimes \nabla \delta_\varepsilon p) \nabla c) - \nabla \cdot (cK(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \delta_\varepsilon p) \right) \psi_c \, d\mathbf{x} dt \\
& \quad + \int_0^T \int_\Omega -\nabla \cdot (K(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \delta_\varepsilon p) \psi_p \, d\mathbf{x} dt \tag{3.15} \\
& = \int_0^T \int_\Omega \left(\nabla \cdot (K(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \otimes \nabla \delta_\varepsilon p) \nabla c) - \nabla \cdot (cK(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \delta_\varepsilon p) \right) \psi_c \, d\mathbf{x} dt \\
& \quad + \int_0^T \int_\Omega \mathcal{L}_p(\delta_\varepsilon p) \psi_p \, d\mathbf{x} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

We then incorporate the following equality resulting from (3.10)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_c(\delta_\varepsilon c, p, \mathbf{v}) & = -\nabla \cdot (K(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}) \otimes \nabla \delta_\varepsilon p) \nabla c(h + \varepsilon \delta h)) \\
& \quad + \nabla \cdot (c(h + \varepsilon \delta h)K(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \delta_\varepsilon p) + \delta h
\end{aligned}$$

with (3.11), (3.13) as well as (3.15) to rewrite the first two terms on the right-hand side terms of (3.12) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \int_\Omega \left(\mathcal{L}_c(\delta_\varepsilon c, p, \mathbf{v}) + \nabla \cdot (K(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \otimes \delta_\varepsilon p) \nabla c) - \nabla \cdot (cK(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \delta_\varepsilon p) \right) \psi_c \, d\mathbf{x} dt \\
& \quad + \int_0^T \int_\Omega \mathcal{L}_p(\delta_\varepsilon p) \psi_p \, d\mathbf{x} dt = \int_0^T \int_\Omega \delta h(\psi_c + \psi_p) \, d\mathbf{x} dt \\
& \quad + \int_0^T \int_\Omega \left[\nabla \cdot (K(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \otimes \delta_\varepsilon p) \nabla c) \right. \\
& \quad \quad \left. - \nabla \cdot (K(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}) \otimes \nabla \delta_\varepsilon p) \nabla c(h + \varepsilon \delta h)) \right] \psi_c \, d\mathbf{x} dt \\
& \quad + \int_0^T \int_\Omega \left[\nabla \cdot (c(h + \varepsilon \delta h)K(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \delta_\varepsilon p) - \nabla \cdot (cK(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \delta_\varepsilon p) \right] \psi_c \, d\mathbf{x} dt,
\end{aligned}$$

the right-hand side of which converges to $\int_0^T \int_\Omega \delta h(\psi_c + \psi_p) \, d\mathbf{x} dt$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus we combine the above relation with (3.12) to conclude that

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega \delta h(\psi_c + \psi_p + \gamma h) \, d\mathbf{x} dt = \int_0^T \int_\Omega (\psi_c + \psi_p + \gamma h)(v - h) \, d\mathbf{x} dt = 0 \tag{3.16}$$

for $v \in U_{ad}$, which completes the proof. \square

4. Complex boundary conditions in 3D. We prove complex boundary conditions for the three-dimensional case, which requires more technical derivations.

LEMMA 4.1. *The equivalent relation (2.1) in Lemma 2.1 is valid for \mathbf{D} defined in (1.2) with $d = 3$.*

Proof. Recall the relation (2.1), we first prove that $(\mathbf{D}\nabla g) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ implies $\nabla g \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ for some scalar function g . By (1.2), we have

$$(\mathbf{D}\nabla g) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = (\phi + d_t|\mathbf{v}|)\nabla g \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{(d_l - d_t)}{|\mathbf{v}|}((v_i v_j)_{i,j=1}^3 \nabla g) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}). \quad (4.1)$$

By the spherical polar coordinates, the outward unit normal vector \mathbf{n} could be expressed as $\mathbf{n} := (\cos \theta \sin \varphi, \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \cos \varphi)$ for some θ and φ depending on $\mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega$. We incorporate this to reformulate

$$\begin{aligned} ((v_i v_j)_{i,j=1}^3 \nabla g) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) &= \begin{bmatrix} v_1^2 \partial_x g + v_1 v_2 \partial_y g + v_1 v_3 \partial_z g \\ v_1 v_2 \partial_x g + v_2^2 \partial_y g + v_2 v_3 \partial_z g \\ v_1 v_3 \partial_x g + v_2 v_3 \partial_y g + v_3^2 \partial_z g \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \partial_x g v_1 (v_1 \cos \theta \sin \varphi + v_2 \sin \theta \sin \varphi + v_3 \cos \varphi) \\ &\quad + \partial_y g v_2 (v_1 \cos \theta \sin \varphi + v_2 \sin \theta \sin \varphi + v_3 \cos \varphi) \\ &\quad + \partial_z g v_3 (v_1 \cos \theta \sin \varphi + v_2 \sin \theta \sin \varphi + v_3 \cos \varphi) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where we have used the fact that

$$v_1 \cos \theta \sin \varphi + v_2 \sin \theta \sin \varphi + v_3 \cos \varphi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T] \quad (4.3)$$

derived from $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ in (1.1). By (4.1)–(4.2), $(\mathbf{D}\nabla g) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ implies $(\phi + d_t|\mathbf{v}|)\nabla g \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$, which further gives $\nabla g \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ since $\phi + d_t|\mathbf{v}| \geq \phi > \phi_* > 0$ by *assumption (b)*.

Conversely, if $\nabla g \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$, (4.1) combined with (4.2) gives $(\mathbf{D}\nabla g) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$, and we thus complete the proof. \square

LEMMA 4.2. *Under the boundary conditions (2.5) in Lemma 2.2, we arrive at $[(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \otimes \nabla p) \nabla c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$, where we similarly denote the 3-by-3 block matrix by $\mathbf{E} := (\partial_{\mathbf{v}} D_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^3$ for the sake of simplicity with its entries given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\mathbf{v}} D_{1,1} &:= \partial_{\mathbf{v}}(dt|\mathbf{v}| + (d_l - d_t)v_1^2/|\mathbf{v}|) = \mathbf{E}_d + \mathbf{E}_{l,1}, \\ \partial_{\mathbf{v}} D_{1,2} &:= (d_l - d_t)\partial_{\mathbf{v}}(v_1 v_2/|\mathbf{v}|) = \frac{(d_l - d_t)}{|\mathbf{v}|^3}(v_2(v_2^2 + v_3^2), v_1(v_1^2 + v_3^2), -v_1 v_2 v_3), \\ \partial_{\mathbf{v}} D_{1,3} &:= (d_l - d_t)\partial_{\mathbf{v}}(v_1 v_3/|\mathbf{v}|) = \frac{(d_l - d_t)}{|\mathbf{v}|^3}(v_3(v_2^2 + v_3^2), -v_1 v_2 v_3, v_1(v_1^2 + v_2^2)), \\ \partial_{\mathbf{v}} D_{2,2} &:= \partial_{\mathbf{v}}(dt|\mathbf{v}| + (d_l - d_t)v_2^2/|\mathbf{v}|) = \mathbf{E}_d + \mathbf{E}_{l,2}, \\ \partial_{\mathbf{v}} D_{2,3} &:= (d_l - d_t)\partial_{\mathbf{v}}(v_2 v_3/|\mathbf{v}|) = \frac{(d_l - d_t)}{|\mathbf{v}|^3}(-v_1 v_2 v_3, v_3(v_1^2 + v_3^2), v_2(v_1^2 + v_2^2)), \\ \partial_{\mathbf{v}} D_{3,3} &:= \partial_{\mathbf{v}}(dt|\mathbf{v}| + (d_l - d_t)v_3^2/|\mathbf{v}|) = \mathbf{E}_d + \mathbf{E}_{l,3}, \\ \partial_{\mathbf{v}} D_{2,1} &= \partial_{\mathbf{v}} D_{1,2}, \quad \partial_{\mathbf{v}} D_{3,1} = \partial_{\mathbf{v}} D_{1,3}, \quad \partial_{\mathbf{v}} D_{3,2} = \partial_{\mathbf{v}} D_{2,3}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

and \otimes is accordingly defined as a Kronecker type product of a 3-by-3 block matrix and a column vector in $\mathbb{R}^{3 \times 1}$. Here \mathbf{E}_d , $\mathbf{E}_{l,1}$, $\mathbf{E}_{l,2}$ and $\mathbf{E}_{l,3}$ are defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}_d &:= \partial_{\mathbf{v}}(d_t|\mathbf{v}|) = \frac{dt}{|\mathbf{v}|}(v_1, v_2, v_3), \\ \mathbf{E}_{l,1} &:= (d_l - d_t)\partial_{\mathbf{v}}(v_1^2/|\mathbf{v}|) = \frac{(d_l - d_t)}{|\mathbf{v}|^3}(v_1(v_1^2 + 2v_2^2 + 2v_3^2), -v_1^2v_2, -v_1^2v_3), \\ \mathbf{E}_{l,2} &:= (d_l - d_t)\partial_{\mathbf{v}}(v_2^2/|\mathbf{v}|) = \frac{(d_l - d_t)}{|\mathbf{v}|^3}(-v_1v_2^2, v_2(2v_1^2 + v_2^2 + 2v_3^2), -v_2^2v_3), \\ \mathbf{E}_{l,3} &:= (d_l - d_t)\partial_{\mathbf{v}}(v_3^2/|\mathbf{v}|) = \frac{(d_l - d_t)}{|\mathbf{v}|^3}(-v_1v_3^2, -v_2v_3^2, v_3(2v_1^2 + 2v_2^2 + v_3^2)).\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

Proof. We incorporate (4.4) to split $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}^1 + \mathbf{E}^2$ as the sum of two 3-by-3 block matrices with $\mathbf{E}^1 := \text{diag}(\mathbf{E}_d, \mathbf{E}_d, \mathbf{E}_d)$ and

$$\mathbf{E}^2 = (\mathbf{E}_{i,j}^2)_{i,j=1}^3 := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{E}_{l,1} & \partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{1,2} & \partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{1,3} \\ \partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{2,1} & \mathbf{E}_{l,2} & \partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{2,3} \\ \partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{3,1} & \partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{3,2} & \mathbf{E}_{l,3} \end{bmatrix}.\tag{4.6}$$

We first show that $[(\mathbf{E}^1 \otimes \nabla p)\nabla c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$. By Lemma 4.1, $(\mathbf{D}\nabla c) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ implies that $\nabla c \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$. By (2.7) and (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}[(\mathbf{E}^1 \otimes \nabla p)\nabla c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) &= [\text{diag}(\mathbf{E}_d\nabla p, \mathbf{E}_d\nabla p, \mathbf{E}_d\nabla p)\nabla c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= (\mathbf{E}_d\nabla p)\nabla c \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$. By (4.6), we evaluate $[(\mathbf{E}^2 \otimes \nabla p)\nabla c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}[(\mathbf{E}^2 \otimes \nabla p)\nabla c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{E}_{l,1}\nabla p & \partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{1,2}\nabla p & \partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{1,3}\nabla p \\ \partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{2,1}\nabla p & \mathbf{E}_{l,2}\nabla p & \partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{2,3}\nabla p \\ \partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{3,1}\nabla p & \partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{3,2}\nabla p & \mathbf{E}_{l,3}\nabla p \end{bmatrix} \nabla c \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{E}_{l,1}\nabla p)\partial_x c + (\partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{1,2}\nabla p)\partial_y c + (\partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{1,3}\nabla p)\partial_z c \\ (\partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{2,1}\nabla p)\partial_x c + (\mathbf{E}_{l,2}\nabla p)\partial_y c + (\partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{2,3}\nabla p)\partial_z c \\ (\partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{3,1}\nabla p)\partial_x c + (\partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{3,2}\nabla p)\partial_y c + (\mathbf{E}_{l,3}\nabla p)\partial_z c \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}),\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

which could be reformulated by

$$\begin{aligned}[(\mathbf{E}^2 \otimes \nabla p)\nabla c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) &= \partial_x c \left[\cos\theta \sin\varphi(\mathbf{E}_{l,1}\nabla p) + \sin\theta \sin\varphi(\partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{2,1}\nabla p) + \cos\varphi(\partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{3,1}\nabla p) \right] \\ &\quad + \partial_y c \left[\cos\theta \sin\varphi(\partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{1,2}\nabla p) + \sin\theta \sin\varphi(\mathbf{E}_{l,2}\nabla p) + \cos\varphi(\partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{3,2}\nabla p) \right] \\ &\quad + \partial_z c \left[\cos\theta \sin\varphi(\partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{1,3}\nabla p) + \sin\theta \sin\varphi(\partial_{\mathbf{v}}D_{2,3}\nabla p) + \cos\varphi(\mathbf{E}_{l,3}\nabla p) \right] \\ &=: \frac{d_l - d_t}{|\mathbf{v}|^3} (H_1\partial_x c + H_2\partial_y c + H_3\partial_z c).\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

By (4.4)–(4.5) and (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= (v_1 \cos \theta \sin \varphi) [(v_1^2 + 2v_2^2 + 2v_3^2) \partial_x p - v_1 v_2 \partial_y p - v_1 v_3 \partial_z p] \\ &\quad + \sin \theta \sin \varphi [v_2 (v_2^2 + v_3^2) \partial_x p + v_1 (v_1^2 + v_3^2) \partial_y p - v_1 v_2 v_3 \partial_z p] \\ &\quad + \cos \varphi [v_3 (v_2^2 + v_3^2) \partial_x p - v_1 v_2 v_3 \partial_y p + v_1 (v_1^2 + v_2^2) \partial_z p], \end{aligned}$$

which could be further simplified as follows

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &\stackrel{(i)}{=} -(v_2 \sin \theta \sin \varphi) [(v_1^2 + 2v_2^2 + 2v_3^2) \partial_x p - v_1 v_2 \partial_y p - v_1 v_3 \partial_z p] \\ &\quad - (v_3 \cos \varphi) [(v_1^2 + 2v_2^2 + 2v_3^2) \partial_x p - v_1 v_2 \partial_y p - v_1 v_3 \partial_z p] \\ &\quad + \sin \theta \sin \varphi [v_2 (v_2^2 + v_3^2) \partial_x p + v_1 (v_1^2 + v_3^2) \partial_y p - v_1 v_2 v_3 \partial_z p] \\ &\quad + \cos \varphi [v_3 (v_2^2 + v_3^2) \partial_x p - v_1 v_2 v_3 \partial_y p + v_1 (v_1^2 + v_2^2) \partial_z p] \\ &= \sin \theta \sin \varphi [-v_2 |\mathbf{v}|^2 \partial_x p + v_1 |\mathbf{v}|^2 \partial_y p] + \cos \varphi [-v_3 |\mathbf{v}|^2 \partial_x p + v_1 |\mathbf{v}|^2 \partial_z p] \\ &\stackrel{(ii)}{=} (v_1 \cos \theta \sin \varphi + v_3 \cos \varphi) |\mathbf{v}|^2 \partial_x p + \sin \theta \sin \varphi v_1 |\mathbf{v}|^2 \partial_y p \\ &\quad + \cos \varphi [-v_3 |\mathbf{v}|^2 \partial_x p + v_1 |\mathbf{v}|^2 \partial_z p] \\ &= v_1 |\mathbf{v}|^2 [\partial_x p \cos \theta \sin \varphi + \partial_y p \sin \theta \sin \varphi + \partial_z p \cos \varphi] = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where (i) and (ii) are by the boundary condition (4.3) and the last equality employs $\nabla p \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ in (3.2). Similarly, we evaluate H_2 in (4.9) by (4.4)–(4.5), and utilize the boundary condition (4.3) to derive (iii) and (iv) to find

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 &= \cos \theta \sin \varphi [v_2 (v_2^2 + v_3^2) \partial_x p + v_1 (v_1^2 + v_3^2) \partial_y p - v_1 v_2 v_3 \partial_z p] \\ &\quad + (v_2 \sin \theta \sin \varphi) [-v_1 v_2 \partial_x p + (2v_1^2 + v_2^2 + 2v_3^2) \partial_y p - v_2 v_3 \partial_z p] \\ &\quad + \cos \varphi [-v_1 v_2 v_3 \partial_x p + v_3 (v_1^2 + v_3^2) \partial_y p + v_2 (v_1^2 + v_2^2) \partial_z p] \\ &\stackrel{(iii)}{=} \cos \theta \sin \varphi [v_2 |\mathbf{v}|^2 \partial_x p - v_1 |\mathbf{v}|^2 \partial_y p] + \cos \varphi [-v_3 |\mathbf{v}|^2 \partial_y p + v_2 |\mathbf{v}|^2 \partial_z p] \\ &\stackrel{(iv)}{=} v_2 |\mathbf{v}|^2 [\partial_x p \cos \theta \sin \varphi + \partial_y p \sin \theta \sin \varphi + \partial_z p \cos \varphi] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

We finally incorporate (4.4)–(4.5) to reformulate H_3 in (4.9) by incorporating the boundary condition (4.3) in (v) and (vi) to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} H_3 &= \cos \theta \sin \varphi [v_3 (v_2^2 + v_3^2) \partial_x p - v_1 v_2 v_3 \partial_y p + v_1 (v_1^2 + v_2^2) \partial_z p] \\ &\quad + \sin \theta \sin \varphi [-v_1 v_2 v_3 \partial_x p + v_3 (v_1^2 + v_3^2) \partial_y p + v_2 (v_1^2 + v_2^2) \partial_z p] \\ &\quad + (v_3 \cos \varphi) [-v_1 v_3 \partial_x p - v_2 v_3 \partial_y p + (2v_1^2 + 2v_2^2 + v_3^2) \partial_z p] \\ &\stackrel{(v)}{=} \cos \theta \sin \varphi [v_3 |\mathbf{v}|^2 \partial_x p - v_1 |\mathbf{v}|^2 \partial_z p] + \sin \theta \sin \varphi [v_3 |\mathbf{v}|^2 \partial_y p - v_2 |\mathbf{v}|^2 \partial_z p] \\ &\stackrel{(vi)}{=} v_3 |\mathbf{v}|^2 [\partial_x p \cos \theta \sin \varphi + \partial_y p \sin \theta \sin \varphi + \partial_z p \cos \varphi] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

We invoke (4.10)–(4.12) to find that $[(\mathbf{E}^2 \otimes \nabla p) \nabla c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$, which, combined with (4.7), yields that $[(\mathbf{E} \otimes \nabla p) \nabla c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$, and we thus complete the proof. \square

We define a 3-by-3 block matrix $\hat{\mathbf{E}} = (\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^3$ which exhibits a similar structure as its two-dimensional analogue (2.14)

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}} := \begin{bmatrix} (\partial_{v_1} D_{1,1}, \partial_{v_1} D_{1,2}, \partial_{v_1} D_{1,3}) (\partial_{v_1} D_{2,1}, \partial_{v_1} D_{2,2}, \partial_{v_1} D_{2,3}) (\partial_{v_1} D_{3,1}, \partial_{v_1} D_{3,2}, \partial_{v_1} D_{3,3}) \\ (\partial_{v_2} D_{1,1}, \partial_{v_2} D_{1,2}, \partial_{v_2} D_{1,3}) (\partial_{v_2} D_{2,1}, \partial_{v_2} D_{2,2}, \partial_{v_2} D_{2,3}) (\partial_{v_2} D_{3,1}, \partial_{v_2} D_{3,2}, \partial_{v_2} D_{3,3}) \\ (\partial_{v_3} D_{1,1}, \partial_{v_3} D_{1,2}, \partial_{v_3} D_{1,3}) (\partial_{v_3} D_{2,1}, \partial_{v_3} D_{2,2}, \partial_{v_3} D_{2,3}) (\partial_{v_3} D_{3,1}, \partial_{v_3} D_{3,2}, \partial_{v_3} D_{3,3}) \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.13)$$

LEMMA 4.3. *The 3-by-3 block matrices $\mathbf{E} = (\partial_{\mathbf{v}} D_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^3$ and $\hat{\mathbf{E}}$ defined in (4.13) satisfy the relation (2.15) in Lemma 2.3 in $\Omega \times [0, T]$.*

Proof. The proof of this lemma follows from that of Lemma 2.3 and is thus omitted. \square

LEMMA 4.4. *For some scalar function ψ_c and under the boundary conditions*

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{D}\nabla\psi_c) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T], \quad (4.14)$$

the following relations hold

$$\begin{aligned} & \cos\theta \sin\varphi ((v_2^2 + v_3^2)\partial_x\psi_c - v_1v_2\partial_y\psi_c - v_1v_3\partial_z\psi_c) \\ & \quad = (v_2 \cos\varphi - v_3 \sin\theta \sin\varphi)(v_3\partial_y\psi_c - v_2\partial_z\psi_c), \\ & \sin\theta \sin\varphi (-v_1v_2\partial_x\psi_c + (v_1^2 + v_3^2)\partial_y\psi_c - v_2v_3\partial_z\psi_c) \\ & \quad = (v_1 \cos\varphi - v_3 \cos\theta \sin\varphi)(v_3\partial_x\psi_c - v_1\partial_z\psi_c), \\ & \cos\varphi (-v_1v_3\partial_x\psi_c - v_2v_3\partial_y\psi_c + (v_1^2 + v_2^2)\partial_z\psi_c) \\ & \quad = (v_1 \sin\theta \sin\varphi - v_2 \cos\theta \sin\varphi)(v_2\partial_x\psi_c - v_1\partial_y\psi_c) \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$. Here the angles θ and φ are determined by the outward unit normal vector \mathbf{n} as described below (4.1).

Proof. We prove the first relation in (4.15) for demonstration, and the proofs of the remaining two equalities are omitted due to similarity.

We utilize the following relation

$$\partial_x\psi_c \cos\theta \sin\varphi + \partial_y\psi_c \sin\theta \sin\varphi + \partial_z\psi_c \cos\varphi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T] \quad (4.16)$$

which is obtained from $(\mathbf{D}\nabla\psi_c) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ by Lemma 4.1, to reformulate the left-hand side term in the first equality in (4.15) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \cos\theta \sin\varphi ((v_2^2 + v_3^2)\partial_x\psi_c - v_1v_2\partial_y\psi_c - v_1v_3\partial_z\psi_c) \\ & \quad = (-\sin\theta \sin\varphi \partial_y\psi_c)(v_2^2 + v_3^2) + (-\cos\varphi \partial_z\psi_c)(v_2^2 + v_3^2) \\ & \quad \quad + \cos\theta \sin\varphi (-v_1v_2\partial_y\psi_c - v_1v_3\partial_z\psi_c) \\ & \quad = -\partial_y\psi_c [(v_2^2 + v_3^2) \sin\theta \sin\varphi + v_1v_2 \cos\theta \sin\varphi] \\ & \quad \quad - \partial_z\psi_c [(v_2^2 + v_3^2) \cos\varphi + v_1v_3 \cos\theta \sin\varphi] \\ & \quad = -\partial_y\psi_c [v_3^2 \sin\theta \sin\varphi - v_2v_3 \cos\varphi] - \partial_z\psi_c [v_2^2 \cos\varphi - v_2v_3 \sin\theta \sin\varphi] \\ & \quad = (v_2 \cos\varphi - v_3 \sin\theta \sin\varphi)(v_3\partial_y\psi_c - v_2\partial_z\psi_c), \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where we utilize the boundary condition (4.3) to obtain the third equality, and we thus prove the first equality in (4.15). \square

LEMMA 4.5. *Under the boundary conditions (2.18) in Lemma 2.4, we have $[(\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \otimes \nabla c) \nabla \psi_c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ with $\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})$ defined in (4.13).*

Proof. We combine (4.13) with the split in (4.4) to accordingly split $\hat{\mathbf{E}} := \hat{\mathbf{E}}^1 + \hat{\mathbf{E}}^2$ with $\hat{\mathbf{E}}^1 = (\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{i,j}^1)_{i,j=1}^3$ and $\hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 = (\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{i,j}^2)_{i,j=1}^3$ defined as follows

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}}^1 := \begin{bmatrix} ([\mathbf{E}_d]_1, 0, 0) & (0, [\mathbf{E}_d]_1, 0) & (0, 0, [\mathbf{E}_d]_1) \\ ([\mathbf{E}_d]_2, 0, 0) & (0, [\mathbf{E}_d]_2, 0) & (0, 0, [\mathbf{E}_d]_2) \\ ([\mathbf{E}_d]_3, 0, 0) & (0, [\mathbf{E}_d]_3, 0) & (0, 0, [\mathbf{E}_d]_3) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.18)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 := \begin{bmatrix} ([\mathbf{E}_{l,1}]_1, \partial_{v_1} D_{1,2}, \partial_{v_1} D_{1,3}) (\partial_{v_1} D_{2,1}, [\mathbf{E}_{l,2}]_1, \partial_{v_1} D_{2,3}) (\partial_{v_1} D_{3,1}, \partial_{v_1} D_{3,2}, [\mathbf{E}_{l,3}]_1) \\ ([\mathbf{E}_{l,1}]_2, \partial_{v_2} D_{1,2}, \partial_{v_2} D_{1,3}) (\partial_{v_2} D_{2,1}, [\mathbf{E}_{l,2}]_2, \partial_{v_2} D_{2,3}) (\partial_{v_2} D_{3,1}, \partial_{v_2} D_{3,2}, [\mathbf{E}_{l,3}]_2) \\ ([\mathbf{E}_{l,1}]_3, \partial_{v_3} D_{1,2}, \partial_{v_3} D_{1,3}) (\partial_{v_3} D_{2,1}, [\mathbf{E}_{l,2}]_3, \partial_{v_3} D_{2,3}) (\partial_{v_3} D_{3,1}, \partial_{v_3} D_{3,2}, [\mathbf{E}_{l,3}]_3) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.19)$$

Here $[\mathbf{b}]_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ refers to the i -th element of the vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

We first show that $[(\hat{\mathbf{E}}^1 \otimes \nabla c) \nabla \psi_c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$. By (4.18), we evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} [(\hat{\mathbf{E}}^1 \otimes \nabla c) \nabla \psi_c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{|\mathbf{v}|} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \partial_x c & v_1 \partial_y c & v_1 \partial_z c \\ v_2 \partial_x c & v_2 \partial_y c & v_2 \partial_z c \\ v_3 \partial_x c & v_3 \partial_y c & v_3 \partial_z c \end{bmatrix} \nabla \psi_c \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \frac{(\nabla c \cdot \nabla \psi_c)}{|\mathbf{v}|} [v_1 \cos \theta \sin \varphi + v_2 \sin \theta \sin \varphi + v_3 \cos \varphi] \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

in which the last equality is by the boundary condition (4.3). We then evaluate $[(\hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 \otimes \nabla c) \nabla \psi_c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$ by following the similar procedures in (4.8)–(4.9) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & [(\hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 \otimes \nabla c) \nabla \psi_c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \partial_x \psi_c \left[\cos \theta \sin \varphi (\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{1,1}^2 \nabla c) + \sin \theta \sin \varphi (\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{2,1}^2 \nabla c) + \cos \varphi (\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{3,1}^2 \nabla c) \right] \\ & \quad + \partial_y \psi_c \left[\cos \theta \sin \varphi (\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{1,2}^2 \nabla c) + \sin \theta \sin \varphi (\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{2,2}^2 \nabla c) + \cos \varphi (\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{3,2}^2 \nabla c) \right] \\ & \quad + \partial_z \psi_c \left[\cos \theta \sin \varphi (\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{1,3}^2 \nabla c) + \sin \theta \sin \varphi (\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{2,3}^2 \nabla c) + \cos \varphi (\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{3,3}^2 \nabla c) \right] \\ &=: \frac{d_l - d_t}{|\mathbf{v}|^3} (G_1 \partial_x \psi_c + G_2 \partial_y \psi_c + G_3 \partial_z \psi_c), \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

in which G_1 could be evaluated by incorporating (4.4)–(4.5) and (4.19) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= \cos \theta \sin \varphi [v_1 (v_1^2 + 2v_2^2 + 2v_3^2) \partial_x c + v_2 (v_2^2 + v_3^2) \partial_y c + v_3 (v_2^2 + v_3^2) \partial_z c] \\ & \quad + \sin \theta \sin \varphi [-v_1^2 v_2 \partial_x c + v_1 (v_1^2 + v_3^2) \partial_y c - v_1 v_2 v_3 \partial_z c] \\ & \quad + \cos \varphi [-v_1^2 v_3 \partial_x c - v_1 v_2 v_3 \partial_y c + v_1 (v_1^2 + v_2^2) \partial_z c] \\ & \stackrel{\text{(I)}}{=} \cos \theta \sin \varphi [v_2 (v_2^2 + v_3^2) \partial_y c + v_3 (v_2^2 + v_3^2) \partial_z c] \\ & \quad + \sin \theta \sin \varphi [-v_1^2 v_2 \partial_x c - v_1 (2v_2^2 + v_3^2) \partial_y c - v_1 v_2 v_3 \partial_z c] \\ & \quad + \cos \varphi [-v_1^2 v_3 \partial_x c - v_1 v_2 v_3 \partial_y c - v_1 (v_2^2 + 2v_3^2) \partial_z c], \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

where (I) utilizes

$$\partial_x c \cos \theta \sin \varphi + \partial_y c \sin \theta \sin \varphi + \partial_z c \cos \varphi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T] \quad (4.23)$$

derived from the boundary condition $(\mathbf{D}\nabla c) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ by Lemma 4.1 to cancel the term $\cos \theta \sin \varphi \partial_x c$ on the right hand side of the first equality. We evaluate G_2 in (4.21) by (4.4)–(4.5) and (4.19) in a similar manner to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G_2 &= \cos \theta \sin \varphi [v_2(v_2^2 + v_3^2)\partial_x c - v_1v_2^2\partial_y c - v_1v_2v_3\partial_z c] \\ &\quad + \sin \theta \sin \varphi [v_1(v_1^2 + v_3^2)\partial_x c + v_2(2v_1^2 + v_2^2 + 2v_3^2)\partial_y c + v_3(v_1^2 + v_3^2)\partial_z c] \\ &\quad + \cos \varphi [-v_1v_2v_3\partial_x c - v_2^2v_3\partial_y c + v_2(v_1^2 + v_2^2)\partial_z c] \\ &\stackrel{\text{(II)}}{=} \cos \theta \sin \varphi [-v_1v_2^2\partial_y c - v_1v_2v_3\partial_z c] \\ &\quad + \sin \theta \sin \varphi [v_1(v_1^2 + v_3^2)\partial_x c + v_2(2v_1^2 + v_3^2)\partial_y c + v_3(v_1^2 + v_3^2)\partial_z c] \\ &\quad + \cos \varphi [-v_1v_2v_3\partial_x c - v_2^2v_3\partial_y c + v_2(v_1^2 - v_3^2)\partial_z c], \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

where (II) similarly utilizes (4.23) to cancel the term $\cos \theta \sin \varphi \partial_x c$ on the right hand side of the first equality. We finally evaluate G_3 in (4.21) and incorporate (4.23) in (III) to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} G_3 &= \cos \theta \sin \varphi [v_3(v_2^2 + v_3^2)\partial_x c - v_1v_2v_3\partial_y c - v_1v_3^2\partial_z c] \\ &\quad + \sin \theta \sin \varphi [-v_1v_2v_3\partial_x c + v_3(v_1^2 + v_3^2)\partial_y c - v_2v_3^2\partial_z c] \\ &\quad + \cos \varphi [v_1(v_1^2 + v_2^2)\partial_x c + v_2(v_1^2 + v_2^2)\partial_y c + v_3(2v_1^2 + 2v_2^2 + v_3^2)\partial_z c] \\ &\stackrel{\text{(III)}}{=} \cos \theta \sin \varphi [-v_1v_2v_3\partial_y c - v_1v_3^2\partial_z c] \\ &\quad + \sin \theta \sin \varphi [-v_1v_2v_3\partial_x c + v_3(v_1^2 - v_2^2)\partial_y c - v_2v_3^2\partial_z c] \\ &\quad + \cos \varphi [v_1(v_1^2 + v_2^2)\partial_x c + v_2(v_1^2 + v_2^2)\partial_y c + v_3(2v_1^2 + v_2^2)\partial_z c]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

We then combine (4.22)–(4.25) to rearrange $[(\hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 \otimes \nabla c)\nabla \psi_c]$ in (4.21) by collecting the terms with $\partial_x cv_1$, $\partial_y cv_2$, or $\partial_z cv_3$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{|\mathbf{v}|^3}{d_l - d_t} [(\hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 \otimes \nabla c)\nabla \psi_c] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= (\partial_y cv_2 + \partial_z cv_3) \cos \theta \sin \varphi [(v_2^2 + v_3^2)\partial_x \psi_c - v_1v_2\partial_y \psi_c - v_1v_3\partial_z \psi_c] \\ &\quad + (\partial_x cv_1 + \partial_z cv_3) \sin \theta \sin \varphi [-v_1v_2\partial_x \psi_c + (v_1^2 + v_3^2)\partial_y \psi_c - v_2v_3\partial_z \psi_c] \\ &\quad + (\partial_x cv_1 + \partial_y cv_2) \cos \varphi [-v_1v_3\partial_x \psi_c - v_2v_3\partial_y \psi_c + (v_1^2 + v_2^2)\partial_z \psi_c] \\ &\quad + \sin \theta \sin \varphi \partial_y c [-v_1(v_3^2 + 2v_2^2)\partial_x \psi_c + v_2(2v_1^2 + v_3^2)\partial_y \psi_c + v_3(v_1^2 - v_2^2)\partial_z \psi_c] \\ &\quad + \cos \varphi \partial_z c [-v_1(v_2^2 + 2v_3^2)\partial_x \psi_c + v_2(v_1^2 - v_3^2)\partial_y \psi_c + v_3(2v_1^2 + v_2^2)\partial_z \psi_c]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

By splitting the fourth term on the right hand side of (4.26), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sin \theta \sin \varphi \partial_y c [-v_1(v_3^2 + 2v_2^2)\partial_x \psi_c + v_2(2v_1^2 + v_3^2)\partial_y \psi_c + v_3(v_1^2 - v_2^2)\partial_z \psi_c] \\ &= \sin \theta \sin \varphi (\partial_y cv_2) [-v_1v_2\partial_x \psi_c + (v_1^2 + v_3^2)\partial_y \psi_c - v_2v_3\partial_z \psi_c] \\ &\quad + \sin \theta \sin \varphi (\partial_y cv_1) [-v_1^2\partial_x \psi_c + v_1v_2\partial_y \psi_c + v_1v_3\partial_z \psi_c], \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

where the last term in (4.27) could be further simplified by (4.23) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \sin \theta \sin \varphi \partial_y c v_1 \left[- (v_2^2 + v_3^2) \partial_x \psi_c + v_1 v_2 \partial_y \psi_c + v_1 v_3 \partial_z \psi_c \right] \\ &= \cos \theta \sin \varphi (\partial_x c v_1) \left[(v_2^2 + v_3^2) \partial_x \psi_c - v_1 v_2 \partial_y \psi_c - v_1 v_3 \partial_z \psi_c \right] \\ &+ \cos \varphi (\partial_z c v_1) \left[(v_2^2 + v_3^2) \partial_x \psi_c - v_1 v_2 \partial_y \psi_c - v_1 v_3 \partial_z \psi_c \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

We similarly split the fifth term on the right hand side of (4.26) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \cos \varphi \partial_z c \left[- v_1 (v_2^2 + 2v_3^2) \partial_x \psi_c + v_2 (v_1^2 - v_3^2) \partial_y \psi_c + v_3 (2v_1^2 + v_2^2) \partial_z \psi_c \right] \\ &= \cos \varphi (\partial_z c v_3) \left[- v_1 v_3 \partial_x \psi_c - v_2 v_3 \partial_y \psi_c + (v_1^2 + v_2^2) \partial_z \psi_c \right] \\ &- \cos \varphi (\partial_z c v_1) \left[(v_2^2 + v_3^2) \partial_x \psi_c - v_1 v_2 \partial_y \psi_c - v_1 v_3 \partial_z \psi_c \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

We invoke (4.27)–(4.29) into (4.26) and cancel the like terms to reformulate (4.26) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|\mathbf{v}|^3}{d_l - d_t} \left[(\hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 \otimes \nabla c) \nabla \psi_c \right] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= (\nabla c \cdot \mathbf{v}) \left[\cos \theta \sin \varphi ((v_2^2 + v_3^2) \partial_x \psi_c - v_1 v_2 \partial_y \psi_c - v_1 v_3 \partial_z \psi_c) \right. \\ &+ \sin \theta \sin \varphi (-v_1 v_2 \partial_x \psi_c + (v_1^2 + v_3^2) \partial_y \psi_c - v_2 v_3 \partial_z \psi_c) \\ &+ \left. \cos \varphi (-v_1 v_3 \partial_x \psi_c - v_2 v_3 \partial_y \psi_c + (v_1^2 + v_2^2) \partial_z \psi_c) \right] \\ &= (\nabla c \cdot \mathbf{v}) \left[(v_2 \cos \varphi - v_3 \sin \theta \sin \varphi) (v_3 \partial_y \psi_c - v_2 \partial_z \psi_c) \right. \\ &+ (v_1 \cos \varphi - v_3 \cos \theta \sin \varphi) (v_3 \partial_x \psi_c - v_1 \partial_z \psi_c) \\ &+ \left. (v_1 \sin \theta \sin \varphi - v_2 \cos \theta \sin \varphi) (v_2 \partial_x \psi_c - v_1 \partial_y \psi_c) \right] =: (\nabla c \cdot \mathbf{v}) \hat{J}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

where the second equality is by Lemma 4.4. We evaluate $\hat{J} := \hat{J}_1 + \hat{J}_2$ in (4.30) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{J}_1 &:= -v_1^2 [\partial_y \psi_c \sin \theta \sin \varphi + \partial_z \psi_c \cos \varphi] - v_2^2 [\partial_x \psi_c \cos \theta \sin \varphi + \partial_z \psi_c \cos \varphi] \\ &- v_3^2 [\partial_x \psi_c \cos \theta \sin \varphi + \partial_y \psi_c \sin \theta \sin \varphi] \\ &= v_1^2 \partial_x \psi_c \cos \theta \sin \varphi + v_2^2 \partial_y \psi_c \sin \theta \sin \varphi + v_3^2 \partial_z \psi_c \cos \varphi \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

by (4.16) and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{J}_2 &:= v_1 \partial_x \psi_c [v_2 \sin \theta \sin \varphi + v_3 \cos \varphi] + v_2 \partial_y \psi_c [v_1 \cos \theta \sin \varphi + v_3 \cos \varphi] \\ &+ v_3 \partial_z \psi_c [v_1 \cos \theta \sin \varphi + v_2 \sin \theta \sin \varphi] \\ &= -v_1^2 \partial_x \psi_c \cos \theta \sin \varphi - v_2^2 \partial_y \psi_c \sin \theta \sin \varphi - v_3^2 \partial_z \psi_c \cos \varphi \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

by (4.3). By (4.31)–(4.32), we thus have $\hat{J} = 0$ in (4.30), which, combined with (4.20), completes the proof. \square

REMARK 4.1. *With the assistance of the complex boundary conditions in §4, we could follow the proof of Theorem 3.1 to accordingly derive the first-order optimality condition for the three-dimensional optimal control problem (3.2)–(3.3).*

Acknowledgments. This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12301555), the National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2023YFA1008903), the Taishan Scholars Program of Shandong Province (No. tsqn202306083), the National Science Foundation under Grants DMS-2012291 and DMS-2245097.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Adams and J. Fournier, *Sobolev Spaces*, Elsevier, San Diego, 2003.
- [2] T. Arbogast, On the simulation of incompressible, miscible displacement in a naturally fractured petroleum reservoir, *ESAIM: M2AN*, 23 (1989), 1–51.
- [3] M. Balhoff, *An Introduction to Multiphase, Multicomponent Reservoir Simulation*, Developments in Petroleum Science 75, Elsevier, 2022.
- [4] J. Bear, *Dynamics of Fluids in Porous Media*, Elsevier, New York, 1972.
- [5] Y. Chang, W. Cao, D. Yang, T. Sun, and W. Liu, Finite element approximation of optimal control governed by immiscible displacement in porous media, *Int. J. Numer. Anal. Model.*, 11 (2014), 688–714.
- [6] Z. Chen and R. Ewing, Mathematical analysis for reservoir models, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 30 (1999), 431–453.
- [7] L. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, V 19, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 1998.
- [8] R. Ewing, *The Mathematics of Reservoir Simulation*, Research Frontiers in Applied Mathematics, 1, SIAM Philadelphia, 1984.
- [9] X. Feng, Strong solutions to a nonlinear parabolic system modeling compressible miscible displacement in porous media, *Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl.*, 23 (1994), 1515–1531.
- [10] X. Feng, On existence and uniqueness results for a coupled system modeling miscible displacement in porous media, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 194 (1995), 883–910.
- [11] L. Gandossi and U. Von Estorff, An overview of hydraulic fracturing and other formation stimulation technologies for shale gas production, Scientific and Technical Research Reports, Joint Research Centre of the European Commission; Publications Office of the European Union, 2015. doi:10.2790/379646.
- [12] M. Gunzburger, *Perspectives in Flow Control and Optimization*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 2002.
- [13] S. Kumar, R. Ruiz-Baier, and R. Sandilya, Mixed and discontinuous finite volume element schemes for the optimal control of immiscible flow in porous media, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 76 (2018), 923–937.
- [14] B. Li and W. Sun, Unconditional convergence and optimal error estimates of a Galerkin-mixed FEM for incompressible miscible flow in porous media, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 51 (2013), 1959–1977.
- [15] B. Li and W. Sun, Regularity of the diffusion-dispersion tensor and error analysis of Galerkin FEMs for a porous media flow, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 53 (2015), 1418–1437.
- [16] B. Li and W. Sun, W, Regularity of the diffusin–dispersion tensor and error analysis of FEMs for a porous media flow, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 53 (2015), 1418–1437.
- [17] R. Maxwell, S. Carle, and A. Tompson, Contamination, risk, and heterogeneity: on the effectiveness of aquifer remediation, *Environ. Geol.*, 54 (2008), 1771–1786.
- [18] B. Riviere and N. Walkington, Convergence of a discontinuous Galerkin method for the miscible displacement equation under low regularity, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 49 (2011), 1085–1110.
- [19] S. Sun and M. Wheeler, Discontinuous Galerkin methods for coupled flow and reactive transport problems, *Appl. Numer. Math.*, 52 (2005), 273–298.
- [20] J. Wang, Z. Si and W. Sun, A new error analysis of characteristics-mixed FEMs for miscible displacement in porous media, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 52 (2014), 3000–3020.
- [21] H. Wang, An optimal-order error estimate for a family of ELLAM-MFEM approximations to porous medium flow, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 46 (2008), 2133–2152.
- [22] H. Wang, D. Liang, R. Ewing, S. Lyons, and G. Qin, An approximation to miscible fluid flows in porous media with point sources and sinks by an Eulerian–Lagrangian localized adjoint method and mixed finite element methods, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 46 (2008), 2133–2152.
- [23] H. Wang, W. Zhao, R. Ewing, M. Al-Lawatia, M. Espedal, and A. Telyakovskiy, An Eulerian-Lagrangian solution technique for single-phase compositional flow in three-dimensional porous media, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 52 (2006), 607–624.