

# LONG TIME DYNAMICS FOR HELICAL VORTEX FILAMENT IN EULER FLOWS

DENGJUN GUO AND LIFENG ZHAO

ABSTRACT. We consider the three-dimensional incompressible Euler equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \Omega + U \cdot \nabla \Omega - \Omega \cdot \nabla U = 0 \\ \Omega(x, 0) = \Omega_0(x) \end{cases}$$

under the assumption that  $\Omega^\varepsilon$  is helical and in the absence of vorticity stretching. Assuming that the initial vorticity  $\Omega_0$  is primarily concentrated within an  $\epsilon$  neighborhood of a helix  $\Gamma_0$ , we prove that its solution  $\Omega(\cdot, t)$  remain concentrated near a helix  $\Gamma(t)$  for any  $t \in [0, T)$ , where  $\Gamma(t)$  can be interpreted as  $\Gamma_0$  rotating around the  $x_3$  axis with a speed  $V = C \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + O(1)$ . It should be emphasized that the dynamics for the helical vortex filament are exhibited on the time interval  $[0, T)$ , which is longer than  $\left[0, \frac{T}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}\right)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Fix a time  $T > 0$ , we consider the three-dimensional incompressible Euler equation in  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T)$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \Omega + U \cdot \nabla \Omega - \Omega \cdot \nabla U = 0 \\ \Omega(x, 0) = \Omega_0(x). \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

The velocity  $U$  can be recovered from the vorticity  $\Omega$  by the well-known Biot-Savart law

$$U(x) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^3} \wedge \Omega(y) dy. \quad (1.2)$$

We are interested in the case when the initial vorticity is concentrated within an  $\epsilon$  neighborhood of a smooth curve  $\Gamma_0$ . Such solutions are usually called vortex filaments, and their evolution has been formally described by Kelvin [20], Levi-civita [21] and Da Rios [10]. Loosely speaking, its solution  $\Omega(\cdot, t)$  would remain concentrated near a smooth curve  $\Gamma(s, t)$ , which satisfies

$$\Gamma_t = (C_1 \log \epsilon + C_2) \Gamma_s \wedge \Gamma_{ss}. \quad (1.3)$$

The rigorous justification of this phenomenon is known as the vortex filament problem (conjecture). The only known result for general  $\Gamma_0$  is provided by Jerrad and Seis [18], who proved that if  $\Omega(\cdot, t)$  remain concentrated near a curve  $\Gamma_1(t)$ , then  $\Gamma_1(t)$  must approximate  $\Gamma(t)$  for at least  $t \lesssim \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}$ . Up to now, the vortex filaments conjecture is still open for general  $\Gamma_0$ .

However, there are numerous results available for specific choices of  $\Gamma_0$ . The vortex filament conjecture for straight vortex filament with  $\Gamma_0(s) = (0, 0, s)$  has been rigorously proven in the context of Euler equation with planar symmetry. Butta and Marchioro proved in [4] that if the initial vorticity is concentrated in an  $\epsilon$  neighborhood of  $m$  disjoint straight vortex filaments, then this concentration will persist

---

L. Zhao is supported by NSFC Grant of China No. 12271497, No. 12341102 and the National Key Research and Development Program of China No. 2020YFA0713100.

**Data Availability Statements:** Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

at least for  $0 \leq t \lesssim \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ . For more results about straight vortex filaments, we refer to [12], [22], [23], [24], [25]. Similarly, the vortex filament conjecture for vortex ring has also been rigorously justified for the axisymmetric Euler equation without swirl. In [2], Benedetto, Caglioti and Marchioro showed that when the initial vorticity is concentrated in an  $\epsilon$  neighborhood of  $\Gamma_0(s) = (R \cos s, -R \sin s, 0)$ , then its solution would be concentrated near  $\Gamma(t) = (R \cos s, -R \sin s, Ct \log \frac{1}{\epsilon})$  for any  $t \in \left[0, \frac{T}{\log \epsilon}\right)$ . In [5], Butta, Cavallaro and Marchioro extend this result to  $m$  disjoint vortex rings. Moreover, the time range is extended to the entire interval  $[0, T)$  in [9], under the assumption that  $R$  is significantly large with respect to  $\epsilon$ . Turning to helical vortex filament, which is initially concentrated near  $\Gamma_0(x) = (\cos s, -\sin s, s)$ , Adebisi [1] constructed stable solutions for helical Euler equation with constant swirl. Dávila, del Pino, Musso and Wei [11] constructed solutions that rotate around the  $x_3$  axis with a constant speed and does not change form in the context of helical Euler equation with vanishing swirl. In [6], Cao and Wan constructed multiple traveling-rotating helical vortices. See also [7], [8] and [16] for the construction of helical vortex filaments with specific choices of initial vorticity. Recently, Donati, Lacave and Miot consider helical vortex filaments for more general initial vorticity, they proved in [13] that any helical solution initially concentrated around helices of pairwise distinct radii remains concentrated close to filaments, specifically for any  $t \in \left[0, \frac{T}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}\right)$ .

The main purpose of this paper is to study the dynamics for both straight and helical vortex filament for  $t \in [0, T)$  and for more general initial vorticity, in the context of Euler equation with helical symmetry. To achieve this, we first review some known results for the Euler equation with helical symmetry. Regarding helical solutions, in [14] Dutrifoy established the global well-posedness of smooth solutions in bounded helical domains. A key observation is that the vorticity  $\Omega = (\Omega^x, \Omega^y, \Omega^z)$  can be rewritten as

$$\Omega(x, t) = \xi(x)\Omega^z(x, t),$$

where  $\xi(x) := (x_2, -x_1, 1)$  and  $\Omega^z$  satisfies the three-dimensional helical Euler equation

$$\partial_t \Omega^z + U \cdot \nabla \Omega^z = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

Setting  $w(x_1, x_2) = \Omega^z(x_1, x_2, 0)$ , Ettinger and Titi [15] reduced the three-dimensional helical Euler equation to a two-dimensional problem:

$$\partial_t w + \nabla^\perp L_H^{-1} w \cdot \nabla w = 0,$$

where  $L_H$  is a specific elliptic operator. They established the global existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to this two-dimensional problem in bounded helical domains. In the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , the global existence of weak solutions has been proved by Bronzi, Lopes and Lopes [3] for initial vorticity belonging to  $L^1 \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$  with compact support. Subsequently, the assumption of the compact support was removed by Jiu, Li and Niu [19]. In [17], the authors further reduced the three-dimensional helical Euler equation to the two-dimensional helical Euler equation:

$$\partial_t w + Hw \cdot \nabla w = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $Hw$  is a divergence-free velocity field defined as

$$Hw(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} H(x, y)w(y) dy$$

and  $H(x, y)$  is the modified Biot-Savart kernel described in Proposition 2.4. They established global well-posedness for weak solutions in  $L^1_1 \cap L^\infty_1$ . It is worth noting

that, unlike the axisymmetric or planar Euler equations, the Biot-Savar law for helical Euler equations (see, e.g. [1], [17], [19]) is usually given by

$$U(x) := -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^3} \wedge \Omega(y) dy + (0, 0, W),$$

where  $W \in \mathbb{R}$  is a constant. In [19], Jiu, Li and Niu chose

$$W = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \Omega_0^z(y) dy$$

to ensure the vanishing swirl condition. While in [1], Adebisi construct stable helical vortex filament by setting

$$W = -C_1 \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} - C_2 + o(1)$$

to eliminate the influence of the rotation induced by the helix itself. Note that our main purpose of this paper is to prove vortex filament conjecture in helical setting. So we will consistently assume  $W = 0$  and focus on the helical Euler equation as outlined in [17]. It is worth mentioning that our method would remain valid for any  $W \in \mathbb{R}$  that is independent of  $\epsilon$ .

Next we describe the vortex filament conjecture for helical Euler equation. As demonstrated in [17], if  $w(x, t)$  solves the two-dimensional helical Euler equation (1.5), then  $\Omega(x, t) := (x_2, -x_1, 1)w(R_{-x_3}(x_1, x_2), t)$  solves the three-dimensional Euler equation (1.1). Therefore, for the two-dimensional helical Euler equation (1.5), the vortex filament conjecture reads: if  $w_{\epsilon, 0}(x)$  is concentrated near  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ , then its solution  $w_\epsilon(x, t)$  will rotate uniformly around origin with a speed of  $V = C_{1, \sigma} \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + C_{2, \sigma}$ . The case  $\sigma = 0$  corresponds to straight vortex filament and  $\sigma \neq 0$  corresponds to helical vortex filament. As we will see in Section 2, the conservation of the second momentum

$$M(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 w(x, t) dx$$

directly leads to the existence of straight vortex filament (Theorem 2.9) and the convergence of the helical filament to straight filament when  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$  (Corollary 2.10). Moreover, the evolution of  $w_{\epsilon, \sigma}(\cdot)$  for different values of  $\sigma \neq 0$  can be treated similarly. Hence for simplicity, we will solely consider the case when  $\sigma = (1, 0)$  and assume

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w_{\epsilon, 0}(x) dx = 1.$$

The main result is stated as follows (for a precise definition of weak solutions and the relevant function spaces, please refer to Section 2).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Given  $T > 0$ , let  $w_\epsilon(x, t)$  be a weak solution to the two-dimensional helical Euler equation (1.5) with initial data  $w_{\epsilon, 0}(x)$ . Assuming in addition that  $w_{\epsilon, 0}(x) \in L^1_4 \cap L^\infty_4$  satisfies Assumption 4.1, then for any  $t \in [0, T)$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , there exist  $\epsilon_0 > 0$ ,  $p_\epsilon^*(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $\epsilon, \alpha$  such that*

$$\int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*(t)| > \epsilon^{1-\alpha}} \langle x \rangle^2 w_\epsilon(x, t) dx \leq \frac{C}{\alpha \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}$$

holds whenever  $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ . Furthermore, define

$$p_\epsilon(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x w_\epsilon(x, t) dx,$$

then for any  $t \in [0, T)$ , there hold

$$\begin{aligned} |p_\epsilon^*(t) - p_\epsilon(t)| &= O\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}\right), \\ |p_\epsilon(t)| &= 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$p_\epsilon(t) = (\cos(V_\epsilon t), \sin(V_\epsilon t)) + O(t),$$

where

$$V_\epsilon = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{8\pi} \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}.$$

**Remark 1.2.**

- (i) The conditions stated in Assumption 4.1 are natural and can be verified directly if the initial data has the form

$$w_{\epsilon,0}(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \eta\left(\frac{x - (1, 0)}{\epsilon}\right),$$

where  $\eta$  is a non-negative function with sufficient decay at infinity and satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta(x) dx = 1, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x\eta(x) dx = 0.$$

- (ii) To our best knowledge, except for straight vortex filament, the evolution for general initial vorticity has only been derived for a limited time interval  $t \in \left[0, \frac{T}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}\right)$ . The long time dynamic is even unknown for vortex rings. Our results demonstrate that the helical vortex filament rotates around the origin with a speed  $V = V_\epsilon + O(1)$  for  $t \in [0, T)$ , which can be regarded as a weak version of the vortex filament conjecture (1.3) in helical setting.

Our method is different from previous work on vortex rings and helical vortex filaments, which rely on a detailed analysis of the Lagrangian trajectories to Euler equations and require that the vorticity has compact support. In this article, we have developed a new method to investigate the helical vortex filament. Notably, we do not use the Lagrangian approach and make no assumptions about the support of the initial vorticity. As we will see in Section 5, this new method is well-suited for studying the long-time behavior of helical vortex filament. Our approach involves demonstrating that the energy for (1.4) behaves similarly to the 2D case,

$$E(t) \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|x - y|} w(x, t) w(y, t) dx dy.$$

To accomplish this, we first establish more delicate estimates for the Biot-Savart kernel than those previously achieved in [17]. By combining the estimates for energy, momentum and the distance function defined in Section 4, we are able to show that  $w_\epsilon(\cdot, t)$  remains concentrated near its center of gravity  $p_\epsilon(t)$  for any time  $t \geq 0$ , in the sense that

$$\int_{|x - p_\epsilon^*(t)| \geq \epsilon^{1-\alpha}} \langle x \rangle w_\epsilon(x, t) dx \lesssim \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}$$

for any  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Next we control

$$\int_{|x - p_\epsilon^*(t)| \geq \epsilon^{1-\alpha}} \langle x \rangle^2 w_\epsilon(x, t) dx \tag{1.6}$$

with the assistance of a crucial estimate for

$$M^*(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 \eta(|x|) w_\epsilon(x, t) dx,$$

where  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$  is a smooth positive cut-off function satisfies

$$\eta(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & r \leq 10 \\ 1 & r > 20. \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

Subsequently, through a functional equality linking the distance function, the second momentum, and the center of gravity, the control for (1.6) directly implies that  $|p_\epsilon(t)| = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}\right)$ . Finally, we show that the center of gravity,  $p_\epsilon(t)$ , satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{dp_\epsilon}{dt} = V_\epsilon p_\epsilon^\perp + O(1),$$

which serves as the conclusive step in establishing the long-time dynamics for helical vortex filament.

Our article is organized as follows: In Section 2, we provide a comprehensive overview of helical Euler equations. As a straightforward consequence of Theorem 2.8, we prove the existence of straight vortex filament and the convergence of helical vortex filament to the straight vortex filament when  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ . Section 3 focuses on obtaining delicate estimates for the stream function  $(-\Delta)^{-1} \Omega$  and the velocity  $Hw$ . In Section 4, we introduce and analyze several crucial quantities. Finally, in Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.1 by using the crucial estimates obtained in previous section.

## 2. MATHEMATICAL PRELIMINARIES AND MAIN RESULT.

The main purpose of this section is to fix notations and review some known results for helical Euler equations. For simplicity of presentation, we usually refer a point  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  or  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}$  to  $x = (x', x_3)$ . We adopt the Japanese bracket notation, defined as  $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$ . The notation  $a \lesssim b$  means that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $x, y, \epsilon$  (but possibly dependent on  $T$ ) such that  $a \leq Cb$ . Additionally,  $a = O(b)$  denotes that  $|a| \lesssim |b|$ .

### 2.1. Helical functions and vector fields.

**Definition 2.1** (helical function). A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called helical if for all  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,

$$f(S_\theta x) = f(x),$$

where

$$S_\theta x := R_\theta x + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$R_\theta := \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta & 0 \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Definition 2.2** (helical vector field). A vector field  $u : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is called helical if for all  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$R_{-\theta} u(S_\theta(x)) = u(x)$$

for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

Assume  $f$  is a continuous helical function and  $u$  is a continuous helical vector field. With a slight abuse of notation, we define  $R_\theta x = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . By introducing  $\mathfrak{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , we observe that

$$R_a x = e^{a\mathfrak{R}} x$$

and

$$-\mathfrak{R}x = (-x_2, x_1) := x^\perp.$$

From the definitions above, it follows that

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = f(R_{-x_3}(x_1, x_2), 0) \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$u(x_1, x_2, x_3) = R_{x_3} u(R_{-x_3}(x_1, x_2), 0). \quad (2.2)$$

Note that if  $f$  and  $u$  are only measurable function (vector field), then  $f(x', 0)$  and  $u(x', 0)$  are not well-defined, thus (2.1) and (2.2) do not make sense. However, we have the following:

**Lemma 2.3** ([17]). *Let  $f$  be a locally bounded helical function and  $u$  be a locally bounded helical vector field. Define*

$$\tilde{f}(x') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(R_a x', a) da$$

and

$$\tilde{u}(x') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} R_{-a} u(R_a x', a) da.$$

Then for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , there hold

$$f(x', x_3) = \tilde{f}(R_{-x_3} x')$$

and

$$u(x', x_3) = R_{x_3} \tilde{u}(R_{-x_3} x').$$

Motivated by (2.1), (2.2), by slight abuse of notations, we may refer to  $\tilde{f}(x')$  as  $f(x', 0)$ , and refer to  $\tilde{u}(x')$  as  $u(x', 0)$ .

Next, we summarize the following results for Euler equations with helical symmetry.

**Proposition 2.4** ([17] Two-dimensional helical Euler equation). *Let  $\Omega^z$  be a smooth helical solution to the three-dimensional helical Euler equation (1.4). We define the two-dimensional modified Biot-Savart kernel*

$$K(x, y) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(x_1, x_2, 0) - (R_a(y), a)}{|(x_1, x_2, 0) - (R_a(y), a)|^3} \wedge \xi((R_a(y), a)) da.$$

Set  $w(x_1, x_2) = \Omega^z(x_1, x_2, 0)$ ,

$$U(x_1, x_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x, y) w(y) dy$$

and

$$Hw := H_1 w + H_2 w = (U^1, U^2) + (-x_2, x_1) U^3.$$

Then  $w$  satisfies the two-dimensional helical Euler equation

$$\partial_t w + Hw \cdot \nabla w = 0$$

with  $\nabla \cdot Hw = 0$ .

**2.2. Function spaces and the definition of weak solutions.** In this subsection, we introduce functional spaces and the definition of weak solutions. The three-dimensional weighted  $L_m^p(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T})$  norm is defined by

$$\|f\|_{L_m^p(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T})} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} (1 + y_1^2 + y_2^2)^{\frac{pm}{2}} |f(y_1, y_2, y_3)|^p dy^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and

$$\|f\|_{L_m^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T})} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} (1 + x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|.$$

Similarly, the two-dimensional weighted  $L_m^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  norm is defined by

$$\|g\|_{L_m^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \langle y \rangle^{pm} |g(y_1, y_2)|^p dy^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and

$$\|f\|_{L_m^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \langle x \rangle^m |f(x_1, x_2)|.$$

The norms  $L_m^p(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T})$  and  $L_m^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  are related as follows:

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $f \in L_m^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and define  $F : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by*

$$F(x', x_3) := f(R_{-x_3} x').$$

*Then for any  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ ,*

$$\|f\|_{L_m^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} = (2\pi)^{-1/p} \|F\|_{L_m^p(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T})}.$$

We will now formulate the weak problem of the two-dimensional helical Euler equation (1.5) and the three-dimensional helical Euler equation (1.4).

**Definition 2.6.** (Weak solutions to the three-dimensional helical Euler equation.) We say that  $\Omega^z(x, t)$  is a weak solution to (1.4) if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Omega^z(x, t) \phi(x, t) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Omega^z(x, 0) \phi(x, 0) dx = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Omega(\partial_t \phi + U \cdot \nabla \phi) dx ds.$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and for all test function  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, +\infty))$ .

Similarly, we define

**Definition 2.7.** (Weak solutions to the two-dimensional helical Euler equation.) We say that  $w(x, t)$  is a weak solution to (1.5) if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w(x, t) \phi(x, t) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w(x, 0) \phi(x, 0) dx = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w(\partial_t \phi + Hw \cdot \nabla \phi) dx ds.$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and for all test function  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, +\infty))$ .

**Theorem 2.8** ([17]). *For any positive integer  $m$ , the helical Euler equation (1.5) and (1.4) are globally well-posed in  $L_m^1 \cap L_m^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $L_m^1 \cap L_m^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T})$ , respectively. Moreover, whenever  $m \geq 2$ , the second momentum*

$$M_1(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} (x_1^2 + x_2^2) \Omega^z(x, t) dx$$

*and the (pseudo) energy*

$$E(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}})^{-1} \Omega \cdot \Omega dx$$

*are conserved, where  $\Omega(x, t) := (x_2, -x_1, 1) \Omega^z(x, t)$ .*

The conservation of the second momentum leads directly to the existence of straight vortex filament.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $w_\epsilon(x, t)$  satisfy the two-dimensional helical Euler equation (1.5). Assuming that the initial vorticity  $w_{\epsilon,0}(x)$  is non-negative,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w_{\epsilon,0}(x) dx = 1$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 w_{\epsilon,0}(x) dx \lesssim \epsilon^2.$$

Then for any  $\alpha < 1$  and  $t \geq 0$ , there holds

$$\int_{|x| \geq \epsilon^\alpha} w_\epsilon(x, t) dx \lesssim \epsilon^{2-2\alpha}.$$

In particular,  $w_\epsilon(\cdot, t)$  converges to the Dirac measure in distribution as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $\Omega_\epsilon^z(x', x_3, t) = w_\epsilon(R_{x_3} x', t)$ . According to Theorem 2.8, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w_\epsilon(x, t) dx = 1$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 w_\epsilon(x, t) dx \lesssim \epsilon^2$$

for any time  $t \geq 0$ . Therefore,

$$\int_{|x| \geq \epsilon^\alpha} w_\epsilon(x, t) dx \lesssim \int_{|x| \geq \epsilon^\alpha} \frac{|x|^2}{\epsilon^{2\alpha}} w_\epsilon(x, t) dx \lesssim \epsilon^{2-2\alpha}.$$

□

As a consequence, we will show the convergence of the helical vortex filament to the straight vortex filament. We consider the initial vorticity  $w_{\epsilon,\sigma}(x, 0)$  which satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w_{\epsilon,\sigma}(x, 0) dx = 1$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 w_{\epsilon,\sigma}(x, 0) dx \lesssim \epsilon^2 + |\sigma|^2.$$

These conditions can be easily verified if  $w_{\epsilon,\sigma}$  has the form

$$w_{\epsilon,\sigma}(x, 0) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \eta \left( \frac{x - \sigma}{\epsilon} \right)$$

for  $\eta$  a non-negative function with sufficient decay at infinity and satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta(x) dx = 1.$$

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $w_{\epsilon,\sigma}(x, t)$  satisfy the two-dimensional helical Euler equation (1.5). Assuming that  $w_{\epsilon,\sigma}(x, 0)$  satisfies the conditions stated above, then for any  $\alpha < 1$  and  $t \geq 0$ , there holds*

$$\int_{|x| \geq (\epsilon^2 + |\sigma|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} w_{\epsilon,\sigma}(x, t) dx \lesssim (\epsilon^2 + |\sigma|^2)^{1-\alpha}.$$

*Proof.* This follows directly from Theorem 2.9. □



## 3. BIOT-SAVART LAW

3.1. **Stream function.** Recall that the energy

$$E(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}})^{-1} \Omega \cdot \Omega \, dx$$

is a conserved quantity, our main task in this section is to show that  $E(t)$  behaves like

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} w(x)w(y) \, dx \, dy,$$

which is already known for 2D Euler equations and axisymmetric Euler equations without swirl. Our main theorem is,

**Theorem 3.1.** *The energy  $E(t)$  admits the following decomposition*

$$E(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y| \leq 1} \langle x \rangle w(x)w(y) \, dx \, dy + O\left(\|w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2\right).$$

To prove this theorem, we start by considering the Green's function on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}$ ,

**Proposition 3.2** ([3], [17]). *The Green's function for the Laplacian in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with  $2\pi$ -periodic boundary condition in the  $x_3$ -direction is given by*

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \log \frac{1}{|\tilde{x}|} + \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_0(n|\tilde{x}|) \cos(nx_3) \quad (3.1)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}$  with  $\tilde{x} \neq 0$ , where

$$K_0(z) := \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cos(t)}{\sqrt{z^2 + t^2}} \, dt.$$

More precisely, the stream function

$$\psi(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} G(x-y) f(y) \, dy$$

is a periodic function in  $x_3$  and satisfies

$$-\Delta \psi = f.$$

Next, we analyze the behavior of  $G$  near  $|x'| = 0$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}$  with  $x' \neq 0$ , the Green's function admits the following decomposition (here  $\gamma$  denote the Euler constant)*

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi|x|} + \frac{\gamma - \log(4\pi)}{4\pi^2} + \mathcal{A}_G(x), \quad (3.2)$$

where the remainder term  $\mathcal{A}_G$  is relatively small near  $|x'| = 0$  in the sense that

$$|\mathcal{A}_G(x)| \lesssim |x|$$

and

$$|\nabla^n \mathcal{A}_G(x)| \lesssim 1$$

for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

*Proof.* As shown in [3] and [17], the series in (3.1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_0(n|x'|) \cos(nx_3) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \gamma + \log \left( \frac{1}{4\pi} \right) + \log(|x'|) \right) + \frac{\pi}{2|x|} \\ &+ \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x'|^2 + (x_3 - 2m\pi)^2}} - \frac{1}{2m\pi} \right] \\ &+ \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x'|^2 + (x_3 + 2m\pi)^2}} - \frac{1}{2m\pi} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (3.2) follows with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_G(x) &= \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x'|^2 + (x_3 - 2m\pi)^2}} - \frac{1}{2m\pi} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x'|^2 + (x_3 + 2m\pi)^2}} - \frac{1}{2m\pi} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $x_3 \in [-\pi, \pi]$ , which implies that  $|x_3 \pm 2m\pi| \approx 2m\pi$  and  $|x_3| \lesssim 2m\pi$ . A direct calculation yield

$$|\mathcal{A}_G(x)| \lesssim \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|x|}{m^2} \lesssim |x|$$

and

$$|\nabla^n \mathcal{A}_G(x)| \lesssim \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{n+1}} \lesssim 1.$$

□

As a consequence, we see that (set  $\xi(x) = (x_2, -x_1, 1)$ )

$$E(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \frac{\xi(x) \cdot \xi(y)}{4\pi|x-y|} \Omega^z(x) \Omega^z(y) dx dy + \mathcal{A}_{E,1}, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$|\mathcal{A}_{E,1}| \lesssim \|\Omega^z\|_{L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T})}^2.$$

Note that  $|\xi(x) - \xi(y)| \leq |x - y|$  and  $\Omega^z(x) = w(R_{-x_3}(x_1, x_2))$ , we finally get

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \frac{1 + x_1^2 + x_2^2}{4\pi|x-y|} \Omega^z(x) \Omega^z(y) dx dy + \mathcal{A}_{E,2} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_1(x, y) (1 + x_1^2 + x_2^2) w(x) w(y) dx dy + \mathcal{A}_{E,2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_{E,2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \frac{\xi(x) \cdot (\xi(y) - \xi(x))}{4\pi|x-y|} \Omega^z(x) \Omega^z(y) dx dy + \mathcal{A}_{E,1},$$

is also bounded by  $\|\Omega^z\|_{L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T})}^2$  and

$$K_1(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{(|R_a x - R_b y|^2 + |a - b|^2)^{1/2}} da db.$$

To estimate  $K_1(x, y)$ , we proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{(|x - R_{b-a} y|^2 + |b - a|^2)^{1/2}} db da \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi-a}^{\pi-a} \frac{1}{(|x - R_b y|^2 + |b|^2)^{1/2}} db da \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-2\pi}^{2\pi} \int \mathbf{1}_{-\pi \leq a+b \leq \pi, -\pi \leq a \leq \pi} da \frac{1}{(|x - R_b y|^2 + |b|^2)^{1/2}} db. \end{aligned}$$

Observing that

$$\int \mathbf{1}_{-\pi \leq a+b \leq \pi, -\pi \leq a \leq \pi} da = 2\pi - |b|,$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(x, y) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-2\pi}^{2\pi} \frac{2\pi - |b|}{(|x - R_b y|^2 + |b|^2)^{1/2}} db \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + |a|^2)^{1/2}} da + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.4.** *There exists a constant  $\delta_1$  independent of  $x, y$  such that*

$$K_1(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |x|^2}} \log \frac{1}{|x - y|} + O(1) \quad (3.5)$$

when  $|x - y| \leq \delta_1$  and

$$K_1(x, y) \lesssim 1 \quad (3.6)$$

when  $|x - y| \geq \delta_1$ .

*Proof.* We fixed  $\delta_0$  a small constant independent of  $x, y$ , the choice of  $\delta_0$  will be specified later. Inequality (3.6) follows directly from the estimates

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|x - R_a(y)|^2 + a^2} da \lesssim 1 + \frac{1}{|x - y|}, \quad (3.7)$$

which has been proved in [17]. So it remains to estimate

$$I(x, y) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + |a|^2)^{1/2}} da$$

in the region  $|x - y| \leq \delta_1$ . It is worth noting that  $I(x, y) = I(y, x)$ , so it suffices to consider the region

$$\left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^\perp \cdot y \geq 0 \right\}.$$

By choosing  $\delta_0$  small enough such that

$$|a^2 - 2 + 2 \cos a| \leq 1 - \cos a$$

for all  $|a| \leq \delta_0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I(x, y) &= \int_{-\delta_0}^{\delta_0} \frac{1}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + 2 - 2 \cos a + O(a^4))^{1/2}} da + O(1) \\ &= \int_{-\delta_0}^{\delta_0} \frac{1}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + 2 - 2 \cos a)^{1/2}} da + \int_{-\delta_0}^{\delta_0} \frac{O(a^2)}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + 2 - 2 \cos a)^{1/2}} da + O(1) \\ &= \int_{-\delta_0}^{\delta_0} \frac{1}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + 2 - 2 \cos a)^{1/2}} da + O(1) \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + 2 - 2 \cos a)^{1/2}} da + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Next, a direct calculation shows

$$|x - R_a y|^2 + 2 - 2 \cos a = |x|^2 + |y|^2 + 2 - 2((1 + x \cdot y) \cos a + x^\perp \cdot y \sin a).$$

Now, by choosing  $\delta_1$  small enough such that  $1 + x \cdot y \geq \frac{1}{2}$  whenever  $|x - y| \leq \delta_1$ , there holds

$$|x - R_a y|^2 + 2 - 2 \cos a = |x|^2 + |y|^2 + 2 - 2\mathfrak{g}(x, y) \cos \left( a + \phi(x, y) - \frac{\pi}{2} \right),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{g}(x, y) := \sqrt{(1 + x \cdot y)^2 + (x^\perp \cdot y)^2}$$

and

$$\phi(x, y) = \arctan \frac{1 + x \cdot y}{x^\perp \cdot y}.$$

Thus,  $0 \leq \phi(x, y) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$  since  $1 + x \cdot y \geq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $x^\perp \cdot y \geq 0$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} I(x, y) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{(|x|^2 + |y|^2 + 2 - 2\mathbf{g}(x, y) \cos(a + \phi(x, y) - \frac{\pi}{2}))^{1/2}} da + O(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\mathbf{g}(x, y)}} \int_{-\frac{3\pi}{2} + \phi(x, y)}^{\frac{\pi}{2} + \phi(x, y)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{g}_1^2(x, y)}{2} + 1 - \cos a}} da + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{\mathbf{g}_1^2(x, y)}{2} := \frac{4|x - y|^2 + (|x|^2 - |y|^2)^2}{2\mathbf{g}(x, y)(|x|^2 + |y|^2 + 2 + 2\mathbf{g}(x, y))} \geq 0.$$

Note that  $0 \leq \phi(x, y) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $\mathbf{g}(x, y) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$I(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\mathbf{g}(x, y)}} \int_{-\delta_0}^{\delta_0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{g}_1^2(x, y)}{2} + 1 - \cos a}} da + O(1).$$

Choosing  $\delta_0$  small enough such that  $\cos a - 1 + \frac{a^2}{2} \leq \frac{1 - \cos a}{2}$  for all  $|a| \leq \delta_0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\mathbf{g}(x, y)}} \int_{-\delta_0}^{\delta_0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{g}_1^2(x, y)}{2} + \frac{a^2}{2}}} da + O(1) \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}(x, y)}} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{g}_1^2(x, y)}{2} + a^2}} da + O(1) \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}(x, y)}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\mathbf{g}_1(x, y)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + a^2}} da + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

The fact  $\mathbf{g}_1(x, y) \lesssim |x - y|$  allows us to choose  $\delta_1$  small enough such that  $\mathbf{g}_1(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{100}$ . Consequently, we have

$$I(x, y) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}(x, y)}} \log \frac{1}{\mathbf{g}_1(x, y)} + O(1).$$

A direct calculation yields

$$\log \frac{1}{\mathbf{g}_1(x, y)} = \log \frac{1}{|x - y|} + O(|x| + |y| + 1)$$

and since  $|x - y| \leq \delta_1$  is small, we also have

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}(x, y)}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}(x, x)}} + O(1) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1 + |x|^2}} + O(1).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$I(x, y) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1 + |x|^2}} \log \frac{1}{|x - y|} + O(1)$$

when  $|x - y| \leq \delta_1$ , which completes the proof of the Lemma.  $\square$

It follows from (3.4) and Lemma 3.4 that

$$E(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|x - y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x - y| \leq \delta_1} \langle x \rangle w(x) w(y) dx dy + O\left(\|\Omega^z\|_{L^1_2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T})}^2\right).$$

Noting that  $\log \frac{1}{|x - y|} \approx 1$  whenever  $\delta_1 \leq |x - y| \leq 1$ , we finally proved Theorem 3.1.

**3.2. Velocity field.** Let  $w(x, t)$  be a solution to the two-dimensional helical Euler equation (1.5). We define

$$p(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} xw(x) dx,$$

we aim to estimate the derivative of  $p(t)$ , which has the form

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w(x, t)Hw(x, t) dx.$$

Our main theorem in this subsection is

**Theorem 3.5.** *For any  $w \in L^1_4 \cap L^\infty_4$ , there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w(x)Hw(x) dx &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{x^\perp}{\langle x \rangle} w(x)w(y) dx dy \\ &\quad + O\left(\|w\|_{L^1_4(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Utilizing Proposition 2.4, first we need to estimate

$$I_1(x, y) := \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{(x_1, x_2, 0) - (R_a(y), a)}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \wedge \xi((R_a(y), a)) da.$$

**Lemma 3.6.** *For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $x \neq y$ , there holds*

$$I_1(x, y) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{A_0^*(x, y) + a^2 A_2^*(x, y)}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da + O(1 + |x|^3 + |y|^3),$$

where

$$A_0^*(x, y) := (-(x - y)^\perp, -y \cdot (x - y)),$$

and

$$A_2^*(x, y) = \left(\frac{x^\perp}{2}, \frac{|x|^2}{2}\right).$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|a|^3}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \lesssim 1.$$

By Taylor expansions, we obtain

$$R_a y = e^{a\mathfrak{R}} x = y + a\mathfrak{R}x - \frac{a^2}{2}y + O(|a|^3|y|).$$

Direct computation gives

$$I_1(x, y) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{A_0(x, y) + aA_1(x, y) + a^2 A_2(x, y)}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da + O(1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2),$$

where the coefficients are given by

$$A_0(x, y) := (-(x - y)^\perp, -y \cdot (x - y)),$$

$$A_1(x, y) = (0, 0, y^\perp \cdot (x - y))$$

and

$$A_2(x, y) = \left(\frac{y^\perp}{2}, \frac{|y|^2}{2}\right) + \left(0, 0, \frac{y \cdot (x - y)}{2}\right).$$

By comparing  $A_i$  with  $A_i^*$ , it remains to show that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|a| |y| |x - y| + a^2 |y| |x - y| + a^2 |x - y|}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \lesssim 1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2. \quad (3.8)$$

Observing that for  $|a| \leq \pi$ ,

$$\frac{|a| |y| |x - y| + a^2 |y| |x - y| + a^2 |x - y|}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \lesssim \frac{(1 + |y|) |x - y|}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)},$$

which gives (3.8) by using (3.7).  $\square$

Recalling that  $Hw(x) = (U^1, U^2) + x^\perp U^3$ , the lemma above then implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w(x) Hw(x) dx &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{(x - y)^\perp + (y \cdot (x - y)) x^\perp}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da w(x) w(y) dx dy \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{a^2}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \langle x \rangle^2 w(x) w(y) x^\perp dx dy \\ &\quad + O\left(\|w\|_{L^1_4} \cap L^\infty_4\right) \\ &:= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi(x, y) K_0^*(x, y) w(x) w(y) dx dy \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_2(x, y) \langle x \rangle^2 w(x) w(y) x^\perp dx dy \\ &\quad + O\left(\|w\|_{L^1_4} \cap L^\infty_4\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x, y) &:= (x - y)^\perp + (y \cdot (x - y)) x^\perp, \\ K_0^*(x, y) &:= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \end{aligned}$$

and

$$K_2(x, y) := \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{a^2}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da.$$

Observe that  $K_0^*(x, y) = K_0^*(y, x)$ , we can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi(x, y) K_0^*(x, y) w(x) w(y) dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\varphi(x, y) + \varphi(y, x)) K_0^*(x, y) w(x) w(y) dx dy. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Direct calculation shows that

$$\varphi(x, y) + \varphi(y, x) = -|x - y|^2 x^\perp + (x \cdot (x - y)) (x - y)^\perp. \tag{3.11}$$

To further simplify the expression of (3.9), we will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.7.** *For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $x \neq y$ , there holds*

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \lesssim 1 + \frac{1 + \min\{|x|, |y|\}}{|x - y|^2}.$$

Combined this lemma with (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int w(x) Hw(x) dx &= -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_2(x, y) \langle x \rangle^2 w(x) w(y) x^\perp dx dy \\ &\quad + O\left(\|w\|_{L^1_4} \cap L^\infty_4\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Before proving the lemma, we see that Theorem 3.5 follows directly from (3.12) and Lemma 3.7 once we establish the following:

**Lemma 3.8.** *There exists a constant  $\delta_1$  independent of  $x, y$  such that*

$$K_2(x, y) = \frac{2}{\langle x \rangle^3} \log \frac{1}{|x - y|} + O(\langle y \rangle) \quad (3.13)$$

when  $|x - y| \leq \delta_1$  and

$$K_2(x, y) \lesssim \langle y \rangle \quad (3.14)$$

when  $|x - y| \geq \delta_1$ .

Therefore, it remains to prove Lemma 3.7 and 3.8.

*Proof of Lemma 3.7.* We assume without loss of generality that  $|y| \geq |x|$  (the case then  $|y| < |x|$  can be treated similarly). First, we consider the case when  $|y| \geq 2|x|$ . In this case

$$\frac{|x - y|}{3} \leq |x - R_a y| \leq 3|x - y|,$$

so we have

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \lesssim \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(|x - y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \approx \frac{1}{|x - y|^2}.$$

Next, we consider the case when  $2|x| \geq |y| \geq |x|$ . On one hand, it follows from the Triangle inequality that

$$|x - y| \leq \left| x - \frac{y}{|y|}|x| \right| + |y| - |x|.$$

On the other hand, the fact  $|y| \geq |x|$  implies

$$\left| x - \frac{y}{|y|}|x| \right| \leq |x - y|$$

and

$$|y| - |x| \leq |x - y|,$$

which yield

$$\left| x - \frac{y}{|y|}|x| \right| + |y| - |x| \lesssim |x - y|.$$

Gathering the estimates above, we finally obtain

$$|x - y| \approx \left| x - \frac{y}{|y|}|x| \right| + |y| - |x|. \quad (3.15)$$

Next we set  $\theta_{x,y} = \angle xoy$  and assume without loss of generality that  $\theta_{x,y} \in [0, \pi]$ , then

$$\theta_{x,y} \approx \frac{\left| x - \frac{y}{|y|}|x| \right|}{|x|}. \quad (3.16)$$

*Case 1:*  $\theta_{x,y} \geq \theta_0 := 10^{-4}$ . In view of (3.15) and (3.16), there holds

$$|x - R_a y| \approx |x - y|$$

when  $a \leq \frac{\theta_0}{2}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da &\lesssim \int_0^{\frac{\theta_0}{2}} \frac{1}{(|x - y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da + \int_{\frac{\theta_0}{2}}^\infty \frac{1}{a^3} da \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{|x - y|^2} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2:  $\theta_{x,y} \leq \theta_0$ , the integral under consideration can be estimated as follows:

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \lesssim \int_{\frac{\pi}{3}}^\infty \frac{1}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \quad (3.17)$$

$$+ \int_{2\theta_{x,y}}^{\frac{\pi}{3}} \frac{1}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \quad (3.18)$$

$$+ \int_0^{2\theta_{x,y}} \frac{1}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da. \quad (3.19)$$

For (3.17), a direct calculation shows that

$$\int_{\frac{\pi}{3}}^\infty \frac{1}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \leq \int_{\frac{\pi}{3}}^\infty \frac{1}{a^3} da \lesssim 1.$$

For (3.18), since  $\frac{\pi}{3} \geq a \geq 2\theta_{x,y}$  implies  $|x - R_a y| \geq |x - y|$ , we have

$$\int_{2\theta_{x,y}}^{\frac{\pi}{3}} \frac{1}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \lesssim \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(|x - y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \approx \frac{1}{|x - y|^2}.$$

For (3.19), due to the fact  $|x - R_a y| = |x - R_{(2\theta_{x,y}-a)y}|$ , we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\theta_{x,y}} \frac{1}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da &\leq \int_0^{\theta_{x,y}} \frac{1}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \\ &\quad + \int_{\theta_{x,y}}^{2\theta_{x,y}} \frac{1}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^{\theta_{x,y}} \frac{1}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the right hand side, first we use (3.15) and (3.16) to conclude that

$$|y - x| \approx |x - \frac{y}{|y|}|x| + |y| - |x| \approx |x|\theta_{x,y} + |y| - |x|,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} |x - R_a y| &\approx |x|\theta_{x,R_a y} + |R_a y| - |x| \\ &\approx (\theta_{x,y} - a)|x| + |y| - |x| \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 \leq a \leq \theta_{x,y}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\theta_{x,y}} \frac{1}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da &\lesssim \int_0^{\theta_{x,y}} \frac{1}{((\theta_{x,y} - a)^2|x|^2 + (|y| - |x|)^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \\ &= \theta_{x,y} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{((1-a)^2|\theta_{x,y}x|^2 + (|y| - |x|)^2 + |\theta_{x,y}a|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2.1,  $|y| - |x| \leq \theta_{x,y}|x|$ . From (3.15) and (3.16), we see that  $|y - x| \approx \theta_{x,y}|x|$  and consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\theta_{x,y}} \frac{1}{(|x - R_a y|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da &\lesssim \theta_{x,y} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{((1-a)^2|\theta_{x,y}x|^2 + |\theta_{x,y}a|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta_{x,y}^2} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{((1-a)^2|x|^2 + a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da. \end{aligned}$$



Then a direct calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{((1-a)^2|x|^2+a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da &\approx \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{(|x|^2+a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \frac{1}{((1-a)^2|x|^2+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{(|x|^2+a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da + \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{(a^2|x|^2+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \\ &\approx \frac{1+|x|}{|x|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (recalling that  $|y-x| \approx \theta_{x,y}|x|$  and  $|y| \approx |x|$ )

$$\int_0^{2\theta_{x,y}} \frac{1}{(|x-R_a y|^2+a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \lesssim \frac{1+|x|}{\theta_{x,y}^2|x|^2} \lesssim \frac{\langle y \rangle}{|x-y|^2}.$$

*Case 2.2*,  $|y|-|x| \geq \theta_{x,y}|x|$ . It follows from (3.15) and (3.16) that  $|y-x| \approx |y|-|x|$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\theta_{x,y}} \frac{1}{(|x-R_a y|^2+a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da &\lesssim \theta_{x,y} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{((|y|-|x|)^2+|\theta_{x,y}a|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \\ &\lesssim \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{((|y|-|x|)^2+a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{(|y|-|x|)2} \lesssim \frac{1}{|y-x|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Gathering these estimates, we obtain the desired conclusion.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 3.8.* We adopt a similar argument to that used in the proof of Lemma 3.4. Let  $\delta_0, \delta_1$  be the small constants chosen in Lemma 3.4, then (3.6) follows directly from Lemma 3.7. Assuming that  $|x-y| \leq \delta_1$ ,  $x^\perp \cdot y \geq 0$  and define

$$\mathfrak{g}(x, y) := \sqrt{(1+x \cdot y)^2 + (x^\perp \cdot y)^2},$$

$$\phi(x, y) = \arctan \frac{1+x \cdot y}{x^\perp \cdot y}.$$

and

$$\frac{\mathfrak{g}_1^2(x, y)}{2} := \frac{4|x-y|^2 + (|x|^2 - |y|^2)^2}{2\mathfrak{g}(x, y)(|x|^2 + |y|^2 + 2 + 2\mathfrak{g}(x, y))} \geq 0.$$

First we note that

$$\left| \frac{\pi}{2} - \phi(x, y) \right| \lesssim \frac{x^\perp \cdot y}{1+x \cdot y},$$

together with the fact

$$\frac{1}{1+x \cdot y} = \frac{1}{1+|x|^2} + O\left(\frac{|x-y|}{1+|x|}\right),$$

we see that

$$\left| \frac{\pi}{2} - \phi(x, y) \right| \lesssim |x-y|. \quad (3.20)$$

Therefore, we obtain the following expression for  $a^2$ ,

$$a^2 = \sin^2(a + \phi(x, y) - \frac{\pi}{2}) + O(a|x-y|) + O(|x-y|^2).$$

Utilizing Lemma 3.4, we arrive at

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|a||x-y|}{(|x-R_a y|^2+a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \lesssim \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|x-y|}{|x-R_a y|^2+a^2} da \lesssim 1.$$

Moreover, Lemma 3.7 gives

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|x-y|^2}{(|x-R_a y|^2+a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da \lesssim \langle y \rangle,$$

which implies

$$K_2(x, y) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{a^2}{(|x-R_a y|^2+a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sin^2(a+\phi(x, y)-\frac{\pi}{2})}{(|x-R_a y|^2+a^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da + O(\langle y \rangle).$$

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.4, we obtain

$$K_2(x, y) = \int_{-\delta_0}^{\delta_0} \frac{\sin^2(a+\phi(x, y)-\frac{\pi}{2})}{(|x-R_a y|^2+2-2\cos a)^{3/2}} da + \int_{-\delta_0}^{\delta_0} \frac{O(a^2 \sin^2(a+\phi(x, y)-\frac{\pi}{2}))}{(|x-R_a y|^2+2-2\cos a)^{3/2}} da + O(\langle y \rangle).$$

Observe that (using (3.20))

$$\frac{a^2 \sin^2(a+\phi(x, y)-\frac{\pi}{2})}{(|x-R_a y|^2+2-2\cos a)^{3/2}} \lesssim 1 + \frac{|x-y|}{(|x-R_a y|^2+2-2\cos a)^{1/2}},$$

which, combined with (3.7), gives

$$K_2(x, y) = \int_{-\delta_0}^{\delta_0} \frac{\sin^2(a+\phi(x, y)-\frac{\pi}{2})}{(|x-R_a y|^2+2-2\cos a)^{3/2}} da + O(\langle y \rangle).$$

Again, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.4, we see

$$\begin{aligned} K_2(x, y) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sin^2(a+\phi(x, y)-\frac{\pi}{2})}{(|x|^2+|y|^2+2-2\mathfrak{g}(x, y)\cos(a+\phi(x, y)-\frac{\pi}{2}))^{3/2}} da + O(\langle y \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\mathfrak{g}(x, y))^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{-\frac{3\pi}{2}+\phi(x, y)}^{\frac{\pi}{2}+\phi(x, y)} \frac{\sin^2 a}{\left(\frac{\mathfrak{g}_1^2(x, y)}{2}+1-\cos a\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da + O(\langle y \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\mathfrak{g}(x, y))^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{a^2 + O(|a|^3)}{\left(\frac{\mathfrak{g}_1^2(x, y)}{2} + \frac{a^2}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da + O(\langle y \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\mathfrak{g}(x, y))^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{a^2}{\left(\frac{\mathfrak{g}_1^2(x, y)}{2} + \frac{a^2}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} da + O(\langle y \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Following the same calculation as that in Lemma 3.4, we get the desired conclusion.  $\square$

#### 4. PHYSICAL QUANTITIES FOR HELICAL EULER EQUATION

In this section, we will introduce the energy, momentum and the distance function associated with the helical Euler equation. Let  $w_\epsilon(x, t)$  solve the helical Euler equation (1.5) with initial data  $w_{\epsilon, 0}$ . We define the energy

$$E(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}})^{-1} \Omega \cdot \Omega dx,$$

the modified energy

$$E^*(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y| \leq 1} \langle x \rangle w(x) w(y) dx dy,$$

the second momentum

$$M_1(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 w_\epsilon(x, t) dx,$$

the high order momentum

$$M_2(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^4 w_\epsilon(x, t) dx,$$

the center of gravity (assuming that  $\int w_\epsilon(x, t) dx = 1$ )

$$p(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x w_\epsilon(x, t) dx,$$

the distance function

$$D_1(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x - p(t)|^2 w_\epsilon(x, t) dx,$$

and

$$D_2(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x - y|^2 w_\epsilon(x, t) w_\epsilon(y, t) dx dy.$$

We also define the following quantity which will be used to control the momentum outside origin

$$M^*(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 \eta(|x|) w_\epsilon(x, t) dx,$$

where  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$  is a smooth non-negative cut-off function satisfies

$$\eta(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & r \leq 10 \\ 1 & r > 20. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

For  $t = 0$ , we assume that the initial vorticity  $w_{\epsilon,0}(x)$  satisfies following conditions, (these conditions are natural and can be verified directly if the initial data has the form  $w_{\epsilon,0}(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \eta(\frac{x-(1,0)}{\epsilon})$ ).

**Assumption 4.1.** We assume that  $0 \leq w_{\epsilon,0}(x) \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^2}$ ,  $\int w_{\epsilon,0}(x) dx = 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} E(0) &= \sqrt{2} \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + O(1) \\ M_1(0) &= 1 + O(\epsilon) \\ M_2(0) &= 1 + O(\epsilon) \\ p(0) &= (1, 0) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$M^*(0) = O(\epsilon). \quad (4.2)$$

Then we will consider these physical quantities for  $t \in [0, T)$ . It is worth noting that the time  $T > 0$  is a fixed constant, so we have  $e^{Ct} = 1 + O(t)$ , which will be frequently used in our subsequent discussions. Now we present the main theorem of this section

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $w_\epsilon(x, t)$  solve the helical Euler equation (1.5) with initial data  $w_{\epsilon,0}$ . Assuming that  $w_{\epsilon,0}$  satisfies Assumption 4.1, then there hold*

$$0 \leq w_\epsilon(x, t) \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^2}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w_\epsilon(x, t) dx = 1 \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$E(t) = \sqrt{2} \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + O(1) \quad (4.4)$$

$$E^*(t) = \sqrt{2} \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + O(1) \quad (4.5)$$

$$M_1(t) = 1 + O(\epsilon) \quad (4.6)$$

$$M_2(t) = 1 + O(t). \quad (4.7)$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $\Omega_\epsilon^z(x', x_3, t) := w_\epsilon(R_{-x_3}x', t)$  satisfies the three-dimensional helical Euler equation (1.4) and it follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi(|x|) w_\epsilon(x, t) dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \phi(|x'|) \Omega_\epsilon^z(x, t) dx$$

holds for any smooth function  $\phi$ . Therefore, (4.4) and (4.6) follow directly from Theorem 2.8 and Assumption 4.1. Moreover, (4.3) holds trivially since  $\Omega_\epsilon^z(x, t)$  satisfies a transport equation and the velocity field is divergence free, as stated in [17]. By utilizing (4.4), (4.6) and Theorem 3.1, we obtain (4.5). Now it remains to prove (4.7). First, for any smooth function  $\phi$ , there hold

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \phi(|x'|) \Omega^z(x, t) dx &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \phi(|x'|) \nabla \cdot (U(x, t) \Omega^z(x, t)) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \dot{\phi}(|x'|) \frac{(x', 0)}{|x'|} \cdot U(x, t) \Omega^z(x, t) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$U(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \nabla_x G(x - y) \wedge \xi(y) \Omega^z(y, t) dy,$$

which allows us to rewrite the integral in the following form:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \phi(|x'|) \Omega^z(x, t) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \frac{\dot{\phi}(|x'|)}{|x'|} \mathbf{g}(x, y) \Omega^z(y, t) \Omega^z(x, t) dy dx,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}(x, y) &:= (x', 0) \cdot \nabla G(x - y) \wedge \xi(y) \\ &= \left( x_1 \partial_2 G(x - y) - x_2 \partial_1 G(x - y) \right) + (x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2) \partial_3 G(x - y). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

It has been shown in [17] that

$$\mathbf{g}(x, y) + \mathbf{g}(y, x) \equiv 0,$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \phi(|x'|) \Omega^z(x, t) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \left( \frac{\dot{\phi}(|x'|)}{|x'|} - \frac{\dot{\phi}(|y'|)}{|y'|} \right) \mathbf{g}(x, y) \Omega^z(y, t) \Omega^z(x, t) dy dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Indeed, conservation of the second momentum is obtained by setting  $\phi(r) = r^2$ . Now, if we set  $\phi(r) = r^4$ , (4.9) implies

$$\left| \frac{dM_2(t)}{dt} \right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} |x - y| (|x| + |y|) |\mathbf{g}(x, y)| \Omega_\epsilon^z(y, t) \Omega_\epsilon^z(x, t) dy dx. \quad (4.10)$$

Using Lemma 3.3, we observe that

$$|\nabla G(x - y)| \lesssim 1 + \frac{1}{|x - y|}. \quad (4.11)$$

Combined with (4.8), there holds

$$|x - y| (|x| + |y|) |\mathbf{g}(x, y)| \lesssim 1 + |x|^4 + |y|^4.$$

Therefore, we use (4.3) and (4.10) to conclude that

$$\left| \frac{dM_2(t)}{dt} \right| \lesssim 1 + M_2(t),$$

which gives (4.7) by using Gronwall's inequality.  $\square$

To control the momentum outside origin, we require the following useful lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.** *For any  $t \in [0, T)$ , there holds*

$$\frac{dM^*}{dt} \lesssim M^*(t) + \int_{|x| \geq 10} \langle x \rangle w(x, t) dx. \quad (4.12)$$

*Proof.* By setting  $\phi(r) = r^2 \eta(r)$  in (4.9), we obtain

$$\frac{dM^*}{dt} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} (F(|x'|) - F(|y'|)) \mathbf{g}(x, y) \Omega^z(y, t) \Omega^z(x, t) dy dx$$

where

$$F(r) := 2\eta(r) + r\dot{\eta}(r).$$

Using (4.3), (4.8) and (4.11), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dM^*}{dt} &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \left| F(|x'|) - F(|y'|) \right| \left( 1 + \frac{1}{|x - y|} \right) |x'| (1 + |y'|) \Omega^z(x, t) \Omega^z(y, t) dx dy \\ &:= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T})^2} I(x, y, t) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

To proceed, we first consider the integral in the region  $|x - y| \leq 1$ . In this region,  $F(|x'|) - F(|y'|) \equiv 0$  if  $|x'| \leq 10$  and  $|y'| \leq 10$ , which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x-y| \leq 1} I(x, y, t) dx dy &\leq \int_{|x-y| \leq 1, |x'| \leq 10, |y'| \geq 10} I(x, y, t) dx dy \\ &\quad + \int_{|x-y| \leq 1, |x'| \geq 10} I(x, y, t) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $\dot{F}$  is bounded, we obtain the following estimate:

$$\left| F(|x'|) - F(|y'|) \right| \left( 1 + \frac{1}{|x - y|} \right) \lesssim \frac{\left| F(|x'|) - F(|y'|) \right|}{\left| |x'| - |y'| \right|} \lesssim 1.$$

Recall that  $\Omega^z(x, t) = w(R_{-x_3} x')$ , and utilizing (4.3) and (4.7), we can further deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x-y| \leq 1} I(x, y, t) dx dy &\lesssim \int_{|y'| \geq 10} (1 + |y'|) \Omega^z(y, t) dy \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} |x'| \Omega^z(x, t) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} (1 + |y'|) \Omega^z(y, t) dy \int_{|x'| \geq 10} |x'| \Omega^z(x, t) dx \quad (4.13) \\ &\lesssim \int_{|x| \geq 10} \langle x \rangle w(x, t) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Next, considering the region where  $|x - y| \geq 1$ , we see that  $1 + \frac{1}{|x-y|} \lesssim 1$ , which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x-y| \geq 1} I(x, y, t) dx dy &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} |F(|x'|)| |x'| \Omega^z(x, t) dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} \langle y \rangle \Omega^z(y, t) dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} |x'| \Omega^z(x, t) dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}} |F(|y'|)| \langle y \rangle \Omega^z(y, t) dy \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |F(|x|)| \langle x \rangle w(x, t) dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta(|x|) \langle x \rangle w(x, t) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\dot{\eta}(x)| \langle x \rangle^2 w(x, t) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Since  $\eta(|x|)$  is supported in the region  $|x| \geq 10$  and  $\dot{\eta}(|x|)$  is supported in the region  $10 \leq |x| \leq 20$ , we have

$$\langle x \rangle \eta(|x|) \leq |x|^2 \eta(|x|)$$

and

$$|\dot{\eta}(x)| \langle x \rangle^2 \lesssim \mathbf{1}_{|x| \geq 10}(x),$$

which, combined with (4.14), yields

$$\int_{|x-y| \geq 1} I(x, y, t) dx dy \lesssim M^*(t) + \int_{|x| \geq 10} \langle x \rangle w(x, t) dx$$

and hence completes the proof.  $\square$

Finally, we establish several straightforward equalities concerning the second momentum, center of gravity and distance function. These equalities are crucial for us to understand the long-time dynamics for helical vortex filament.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Under Assumption 4.1, we have*

$$D_1(t) + |p(t)|^2 = M_1(t), \tag{4.15}$$

and

$$D_2(t) + 2|p(t)|^2 = 2M_1(t). \tag{4.16}$$

In particular, there holds

$$|p(t)| \leq 1 + O(\epsilon). \tag{4.17}$$

*Proof.* Equation (4.15) and (4.16) can be checked directly. Equation (4.17) follows directly from (4.3), (4.6) and (4.15).  $\square$

## 5. LONG TIME DYNAMICS FOR HELICAL VORTEX FILAMENT

Our main task of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1. Let  $w_\epsilon(\cdot, t) \in L^1_4 \cap L^\infty_4$  be a solution to the two-dimensional helical Euler equation (1.5). We assume throughout this section that its initial data  $w_{\epsilon,0}(x)$  satisfies Assumption 4.1.

**5.1. Concentration of the vorticity.** Firstly, we demonstrate the existence of a point  $p_\epsilon^*(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $w_\epsilon(\cdot, t)$  concentrated near  $p_\epsilon^*(t)$ . Inspired by the argument in [25], we define the set

$$\Omega_\lambda^\epsilon(t) := \left\{ x \left| Gw_\epsilon(x, t) > \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \frac{A}{\lambda_\epsilon} \right. \right\},$$

where  $A$  is a fixed constant that we will specified later. Here  $Gw_\epsilon(x, t)$  is defined by

$$Gw_\epsilon(x, t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y| \leq 1} w_\epsilon(y, t) dy.$$

We aim to choose suitable  $\lambda_\epsilon \approx \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}$  such that when  $\epsilon$  is small enough, there hold

$$\int_{\Omega_\lambda^\epsilon(t)} w_\epsilon(x, t) dx \geq 1 - \lambda_\epsilon \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$\text{diam } \Omega_\lambda^\epsilon(t) \leq \epsilon^{1-\alpha} \quad (5.2)$$

for any  $1 \geq \alpha > 0$ . Once (5.1) and (5.2) are established, we can choose  $p_\epsilon^*(t) \in \Omega_\lambda^\epsilon(t)$  and it holds that

$$\int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*(t)| \leq \epsilon^{1-\alpha}} w_\epsilon(x, t) dx \geq 1 - \frac{C}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}. \quad (5.3)$$

We begin with the estimates on  $Gw_\epsilon(x)$  and we will omit the time parameter to simplify the notation.

$$\begin{aligned} Gw_\epsilon(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y| \leq 1} w_\epsilon(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \log \frac{1}{|\frac{x}{\epsilon} - y|} \right) \mathbf{1}_{|x-\epsilon y| \leq 1} \tilde{w}_\epsilon(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{w}_\epsilon(x) := \epsilon^2 w_\epsilon(\epsilon x).$$

Utilizing the assumption (4.3), we obtain

$$\|\tilde{w}_\epsilon\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty} \lesssim 1. \quad (5.4)$$

Consequently,

$$Gw_\epsilon(x) \leq \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|\frac{x}{\epsilon} - y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x-\epsilon y| \leq 1} \tilde{w}_\epsilon(y) dy.$$

Note that

$$\log \frac{1}{|\frac{x}{\epsilon} - y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x-\epsilon y| \leq 1} \leq \frac{1}{|\frac{x}{\epsilon} - y|},$$

we have

$$Gw_\epsilon(x) \leq \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + C \|\tilde{w}_\epsilon\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty} \leq \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + C_1. \quad (5.5)$$

Next we use (4.5) to conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \langle x \rangle w_\epsilon(x) Gw_\epsilon(x) dx \geq \sqrt{2} \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} - C_2$$

for some  $C_2 > 0$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \langle x \rangle w_\epsilon(x) Gw_\epsilon(x) dx - \sqrt{2} \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + C_2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \langle x \rangle w_\epsilon(x) \left( Gw_\epsilon(x) - \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) dx + \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \langle x \rangle w_\epsilon(x) dx - \sqrt{2} \right) + C_2. \end{aligned}$$

A key observation is that, by applying Jensen's inequality, (4.3) and (4.6), there holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \langle x \rangle w_\epsilon(x) dx - \sqrt{2} \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |x|^2) w_\epsilon(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \sqrt{2} \leq C\epsilon.$$

Thus,

$$0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \langle x \rangle w_\epsilon(x) \left( Gw_\epsilon(x) - \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) dx + C_3,$$

which implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_\lambda^\epsilon} \langle x \rangle w_\epsilon(x) \left( \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} - Gw_\epsilon(x) \right) dx \leq \int_{\Omega_\lambda^\epsilon} \langle x \rangle w_\epsilon(x) \left( Gw_\epsilon(x) - \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) dx + C_3.$$

Using (5.5) and the definition of  $\Omega_\lambda^\epsilon$ , we arrive at

$$\frac{A}{\lambda_\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_\lambda^\epsilon} \langle x \rangle w_\epsilon(x) dx \leq C_3 + C_1 \|w_\epsilon\|_{L^1} \leq C_4.$$

By setting  $A = C_4$ , it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_\lambda^\epsilon} \langle x \rangle w_\epsilon(x) dx \leq \lambda_\epsilon, \quad (5.6)$$

which proves (5.1) by invoking (4.3).

Now it remains to show that for any  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , there holds

$$\text{diam } \Omega_\lambda^\epsilon \leq 2\epsilon^{1-\alpha}$$

when  $\epsilon$  is small enough. By definition of  $\Omega_\lambda^\epsilon$ , there holds

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< Gw_\epsilon(x) - \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \frac{A}{\lambda_\epsilon} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y| \leq 1} - \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) w_\epsilon(y) dy + \frac{A}{\lambda_\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $x \in \Omega_\lambda^\epsilon$ . Thus, for any  $\frac{1}{\epsilon} \geq R \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{|y-x| \geq R\epsilon} \left( \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y| \leq 1} \right) w_\epsilon(y) dy \\ &\leq \int_{|y-x| \leq R\epsilon} \left( \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y| \leq 1} - \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) w_\epsilon(y) dy + \frac{A}{\lambda_\epsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

On one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{|y-x| \geq R\epsilon} \left( \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y| \leq 1} \right) w_\epsilon(y) dy \\ &= \int_{R\epsilon \leq |x-y| \leq 1} \left( \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \right) w_\epsilon(y) dy \\ &\quad + \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{|x-y| \geq 1} w_\epsilon(y) dy \\ &\geq \log R \int_{R\epsilon \leq |x-y| \leq 1} w_\epsilon(y) dy + \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{|x-y| \geq 1} w_\epsilon(y) dy \\ &\geq \log R \int_{R\epsilon \leq |x-y|} w_\epsilon(y) dy \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

since  $\log R \leq \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{|y-x| \leq R\epsilon} \left( \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y| \leq 1} - \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) w_\epsilon(y) dy \\ &\leq \int_{|x-y| \leq R\epsilon} \left( \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} - \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) \mathbf{1}_{|x-y| \leq 1} w_\epsilon(y) dy \\ &= \int_{|x-\epsilon y| \leq R\epsilon} \log \frac{1}{\left| \frac{x}{\epsilon} - y \right|} \mathbf{1}_{|x-\epsilon y| \leq 1} \tilde{w}_\epsilon(y) dy \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\tilde{w}_\epsilon(y)}{\left| \frac{x}{\epsilon} - y \right|} dy \leq \|\tilde{w}_\epsilon\|_{L^1} \cap L^\infty \leq C_6. \end{aligned}$$

Together with (5.7) and (5.8), we see that

$$\int_{|x-y| \geq R\epsilon} w_\epsilon(y) dy \leq \frac{C_6 + \frac{A}{\lambda_\epsilon}}{\log R} \leq \frac{C_7}{\lambda_\epsilon \log R}$$



provided that  $\lambda_\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . By setting  $\lambda_\epsilon = \frac{4C_7}{\alpha \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}$  and  $R = e^{\frac{4C_7}{\lambda_\epsilon}} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^\alpha}$ , (which satisfies  $1 \leq R \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ ) there holds

$$\int_{|x-y| \geq \epsilon^{1-\alpha}} w_\epsilon(y) dy \leq \frac{1}{4}, \quad (5.9)$$

which implies

$$\int_{|x-y| \leq \epsilon^{1-\alpha}} w_\epsilon(y) dy \geq \frac{3}{4}$$

for any  $x \in \Omega_\lambda^\epsilon$ . Assuming that  $\text{diam } \Omega_\lambda^\epsilon \geq 2\epsilon^{1-\alpha}$ , then there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega_\lambda^\epsilon$  such that

$$B_{\epsilon^{1-\alpha}}(x_1) \cap B_{\epsilon^{1-\alpha}}(x_2) = \emptyset.$$

Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w_\epsilon(y) dy \geq \int_{B_{\epsilon^{1-\alpha}}(x_1)} w_\epsilon(y) dy + \int_{B_{\epsilon^{1-\alpha}}(x_2)} w_\epsilon(y) dy \geq \frac{3}{2},$$

which contradicts the assumption (4.3) and hence completes the proof. Moreover, (5.6) gives

$$\int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*(t)| \geq \epsilon^{1-\alpha}} \langle x \rangle w_\epsilon(x, t) dx \leq \frac{C_8}{\alpha \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}} \quad (5.10)$$

for any  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $t > 0$ , provided that  $\epsilon$  is chosen small enough.

**5.2. Dynamics for  $p_\epsilon^*(t)$ .** The main purpose of this subsection is to show that

**Theorem 5.1.** *For any  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , by choosing  $\epsilon$  small enough, there hold*

$$|p_\epsilon(t) - p_\epsilon^*(t)| \leq \frac{C}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}, \quad (5.11)$$

$$\int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*(t)| \geq \epsilon^{1-\alpha}} \langle x \rangle^2 w_\epsilon(x, t) dx \lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}, \quad (5.12)$$

$$|p_\epsilon(t)| = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}\right) \quad (5.13)$$

and

$$D_2(t) = O\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}\right). \quad (5.14)$$

*Proof.* First we show that  $|p_\epsilon^*(t)| \leq 100$ . Otherwise, we have

$$p_\epsilon = \int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*| \geq 1} x w_\epsilon(x) dx + \int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*| < 1} x w_\epsilon(x) dx. \quad (5.15)$$

For the first term on the right-hand side, Jensen's inequality yields

$$\left| \int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*| \geq 1} x w_\epsilon(x) dx \right| \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 w_\epsilon(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2. \quad (5.16)$$

For the second term, we have

$$\int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*| < 1} x w_\epsilon(x) dx = \int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*| < 1} (x - p_\epsilon^*) w_\epsilon(x) dx + p_\epsilon^* \int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*| < 1} w_\epsilon(x) dx. \quad (5.17)$$

By utilizing (4.3), it follows that

$$\left| \int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*| < 1} (x - p_\epsilon^*) w_\epsilon(x) dx \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w_\epsilon(x) dx \leq 2.$$

Using (5.3) and our assumption  $|p_\epsilon^*| \geq 100$ , we obtain

$$\left| p_\epsilon^* \int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*| < 1} w_\epsilon(x) dx \right| \geq 50,$$

which, together with (5.15)-(5.17), implies

$$|p_\epsilon| \geq 40.$$

This leads to a contradiction since (4.17) states that

$$|p_\epsilon| \leq 1 + O(\epsilon). \quad (5.18)$$

Therefore, we have shown that  $|p_\epsilon^*| \leq 100$ . Next we prove (5.11), we begin with the following decomposition

$$p_\epsilon = \int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*| \leq \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} x w_\epsilon(x) dx + \int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*| > \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} x w_\epsilon(x) dx. \quad (5.19)$$

It follows from (4.3) and (5.10) that (recall that  $|p_\epsilon^*| \leq 100$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*| \leq \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} x w_\epsilon(x) dx &= \int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*| \leq \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} (x - p_\epsilon^*) w_\epsilon(x) dx + p_\epsilon^* \int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*| \leq \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} w_\epsilon(x) dx \\ &= O(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}) + p_\epsilon^* \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}\right) \right) \\ &= p_\epsilon^* + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

and (again using (5.10))

$$\left| \int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*| > \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} x w_\epsilon(x) dx \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}\right).$$

Thus, (5.19) gives

$$p_\epsilon = p_\epsilon^* + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}\right),$$

which proves (5.11). Next we prove (5.12), the fact  $|p_\epsilon^*| \leq 100$  implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*| \geq \epsilon^{1-\alpha}} |x|^2 w_\epsilon(x) dx &\lesssim \int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*| \geq 200} |x|^2 w_\epsilon(x) dx + \int_{\epsilon^{1-\alpha} \leq |x-p_\epsilon^*| \leq 200} |x|^2 w_\epsilon(x) dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{|x| \geq 100} |x|^2 w_\epsilon(x) dx + \int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*| \geq \epsilon^{1-\alpha}} |x| w_\epsilon(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

Using (5.10) and our definition of  $M^*(t)$  in Section 4, we get

$$\int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*| \geq \epsilon^{1-\alpha}} |x|^2 w_\epsilon(x) dx \lesssim M^*(t) + \frac{1}{\alpha \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}. \quad (5.22)$$

As a consequence (4.2), (5.11) and Lemma 4.3, Gronwall's inequality then gives

$$M^*(t) \lesssim \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}.$$

Together with (5.22), we obtain (5.12), which leads directly to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x - p_\epsilon^*|^2 w_\epsilon(x) dx &= \int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*| \geq \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} |x - p_\epsilon^*|^2 w_\epsilon(x) dx + \int_{|x-p_\epsilon^*| < \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} |x - p_\epsilon^*|^2 w_\epsilon(x) dx \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}\right) + O(\epsilon) = O\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

It is worth noting that the center of gravity  $p_\epsilon$  is the minimizer of the functional

$$F(p) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x - p|^2 w_\epsilon(x) dx.$$

Thus, (5.23) yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x - p_\epsilon|^2 w_\epsilon(x) dx = O\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}\right)$$

and (5.13), (5.14) follows directly from (4.6), (4.15) and (4.16).  $\square$

**5.3. Dynamics for  $p_\epsilon(t)$ .** We will consider the movement of  $p_\epsilon(t)$  in this subsection. Our main theorem is stated as follows:

**Theorem 5.2.** *For any  $t \in [0, T)$ , by choosing  $\epsilon$  small enough, there holds*

$$p_\epsilon(t) = (\cos(V_\epsilon t), \sin(V_\epsilon t)) + O(t),$$

where

$$V_\epsilon = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{8\pi} \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}.$$

To prove the theorem, it suffices to show that

$$\frac{dp_\epsilon}{dt} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{8\pi} \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} p_\epsilon^\perp + O(1). \quad (5.24)$$

We begin with the following useful lemma,

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $f(x, t)$  be a non-negative function satisfying*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x, t) dx < \infty$$

for all  $t \in [0, T)$ . Furthermore, assuming that  $w_\epsilon(x, t)$  satisfies Assumption 4.1. Then there holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|x - y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x - y| \leq 1} f(x, t) w_\epsilon(y, t) dx dy \leq C \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x, t) dx. \quad (5.25)$$

*Proof.* By setting  $\tilde{w}_\epsilon(x, t) := \epsilon^2 w_\epsilon(\epsilon x, t)$ , a direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|x - y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x - y| \leq 1} f(x, t) w_\epsilon(y, t) dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \log \frac{1}{|\frac{x}{\epsilon} - y|} \right) \mathbf{1}_{|x - \epsilon y| \leq 1} f(x, t) \tilde{w}_\epsilon(y, t) dx dy \\ &\leq \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x, t) \tilde{w}_\epsilon(y, t) dx dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\tilde{w}_\epsilon(y, t)}{|\frac{x}{\epsilon} - y|} dy f(x, t) dx \\ &\lesssim \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x, t) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\tilde{w}_\epsilon(y, t)}{|\frac{x}{\epsilon} - y|} dy \lesssim \|\tilde{w}_\epsilon\|_{L^1} \cap L^\infty \lesssim 1.$$

$\square$

Now we are ready to prove our main theorem

*Proof of Theorem 5.2.* To simplify the notation, we will omit the time variable  $t$  and the subscript  $\epsilon$  throughout the proof. Recalling that

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w(x)Hw(x) dx$$

and it suffices to prove (5.24). Therefore, we use (4.3), (4.7) and Theorem 3.5 to compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dp}{dt} &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y|\leq 1} \frac{x^\perp}{\langle x \rangle} w(x)w(y) dx dy + O(1) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y|\leq 1} \frac{(x-p)^\perp}{\langle x \rangle} w(x)w(y) dx dy + O(1) \\ &\quad - \frac{p^\perp}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y|\leq 1} \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} w(x)w(y) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Utilizing (4.3), (5.10), (5.11) and (5.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|x-p|}{\langle x \rangle} w(x) dx &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|x-p^*|}{\langle x \rangle} w(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|p^*-p|}{\langle x \rangle} w(x) dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{|x-p^*|\leq \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{|x-p^*|}{\langle x \rangle} w(x) dx + \int_{|x-p^*|> \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{|x-p^*|}{\langle x \rangle} w(x) dx \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}\right) \\ &\lesssim O\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which, combined with Lemma 5.3, implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y|\leq 1} \frac{(x-p)^\perp}{\langle x \rangle} w(x)w(y) dx dy = O(1).$$

Therefore, it remains to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y|\leq 1} \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} w(x)w(y) dx dy = \frac{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{2}} + O(1).$$

To this end, we utilize (4.5) to compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y|\leq 1} \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} w(x)w(y) dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y|\leq 1} \frac{1-|x|^2}{2\langle x \rangle} w(x)w(y) dx dy + \frac{E^*}{2} \\ &= \frac{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{2}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y|\leq 1} \frac{1-|x|^2}{2\langle x \rangle} w(x)w(y) dx dy + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, to completes the proof, it suffices to prove that (using Lemma 5.3)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |1-|x|| w(x) dx = O\left(\left(\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{-1}\right). \quad (5.26)$$

So we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |1-|x|| w(x) dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |1-|p^*|| w(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |p^*-x| w(x) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |1-|p^*|| w(x) dx + \int_{|x-p^*|\geq \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} |p^*-x| w(x) dx + O(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by utilizing (4.3), (5.10), (5.11) and (5.13), we arrive at

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| 1 - |x| \right| w(x) dx = O \left( \left( \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right)^{-1} \right),$$

which proves (5.26) and hence completes the proof.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Adebisi, *On the existence of steady helical vortex tubes of small cross section*. Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math. 34 (1981), no. 2, 153–177.
- [2] D. Benedetto, E. Caglioti and C. Marchioro, *On the motion of a vortex ring with a sharply concentrated vorticity*. Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 23, 147–168 (2000)
- [3] A. Bronzi, M. Lopes and H. Nussenzweig Lopes, *Global existence of a weak solution of the incompressible Euler equations with helical symmetry and  $L^p$  vorticity*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 64 (1) (2015) 309–341.
- [4] P. Buttà and C. Marchioro, *Long time evolution of concentrated Euler flows with planar symmetry*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **50** (2018), 735–760.
- [5] P. Buttà, G. Cavallaro and C. Marchioro, *Global time evolution of concentrated vortex rings*. Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 73 (2) 2022,
- [6] D. Cao and J. Wan, *Helical vortices with small cross-section for 3D incompressible Euler equation*, J. Funct. Anal., 284(2023), Paper No. 109836.
- [7] D. Cao and J. Wan, *Structure of Green's function of elliptic equations and helical vortex patches for 3D incompressible Euler equations*, Math. Ann., 2023.
- [8] D. Cao and J. Wan, *Clustered helical vortices for 3D incompressible Euler equation in infinite cylinders*, arXiv:2311.02676, 2023.
- [9] G. Cavallaro and C. Marchioro, *Time evolution of vortex rings with large radius and very concentrated vorticity*. J. Math. Phys. **62**, 053102, 20 pp. (2021).
- [10] L. S. Da Rios, *Sul moto d'un liquido indefinito con un filetto vorticoso di forma qualunque*, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo (1884-1940) 22 (1906), no. 1, 117–135.
- [11] J. Dávila, M. del Pino, M. Musso, J. Wei, *Travelling helices and the vortex filament conjecture in the incompressible Euler equations*. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 61 (2022), no. 4.
- [12] M. Donati and D. Iftimie, *Long time confinement of vorticity around a stable stationary point vortex in a bounded planar domain*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **38** (5) (2021), 1461–1485.
- [13] M. Donati, C. Lacave and E. Miot, *Dynamics of helical vortex filaments in non viscous incompressible flows*. arXiv:2403.00389, 2024.
- [14] A. Dutrifoy, *Existence globale en temps de solutions hélicoïdales des équations d'Euler*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 329 (1999), pp. 653–656.
- [15] B. Ettinger and E. Titi, *Global existence and uniqueness of weak solutions of three-dimensional Euler equations with helical symmetry in the absence of vorticity stretching*. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 41(1):269–96, 2009.
- [16] I. Guerra and M. Musso, *Cluster of vortex helices in the incompressible 3d Euler equations*, arXiv:2304.14025, 2023.
- [17] D. Guo and L. Zhao, *Global well-posedness of weak solutions to the incompressible Euler equations with helical symmetry in  $\mathbb{R}^3$* , arXiv:2301.01399, 2024.
- [18] R. L. Jerrard and C. Seis, *On the vortex filament conjecture for Euler flows*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 224 (2017), no. 1, 135–172.
- [19] Q. Jiu, J. Li, D. Niu, *Global existence of weak solutions to the three-dimensional Euler equations with helical symmetry*. J. Differ. Equ. 262, 5179–5205 (2017).
- [20] Lord Kelvin (W. Thomson), *The translatory velocity of a circular vortex ring*, Phil. Mag. (4) 35 (1867), 511–512.
- [21] T. Levi-Civita, *Sull'attrazione esercitata da una linea materiale in punti prossimi alla linea stessa*, Rend. R. Acc. Lincei 17 , 3–15, 1908.
- [22] C. Marchioro, *On the localization of the vortices*. Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat. (8), 1(3):571–584, (1998).
- [23] C. Marchioro, M. Pulvirenti, *Mathematical theory of incompressible nonviscous fluids*, vol. 96 of Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer-Verlag, New York 1994.
- [24] C. Marchioro and M. Pulvirenti, *Euler evolution for singular initial data and vortex theory*. Commun. Math. Phys. **91**(4), 563–572 (1983).
- [25] B. Turkington, *On the Evolution of a Concentrated Vortex in an Ideal Fluid*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. (1), 97 (1987), 75–87.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA,  
HEFEI 230026, ANHUI, CHINA  
*Email address:* `guodeng@mail.ustc.edu.cn`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA,  
HEFEI 230026, ANHUI, CHINA  
*Email address:* `zhaolf@ustc.edu.cn`