

StreamingDialogue: Prolonged Dialogue Learning via Long Context Compression with Minimal Losses

Jia-Nan Li^{1*} Quan Tu^{1*}
Cunli Mao² Zhengtao Yu² Ji-Rong Wen¹ Rui Yan^{1†}

¹Gaoling School of Artificial Intelligence, Renmin University of China

²Kunming University of Science and Technology

jinalleejnl@gmail.com, {quantu, jrwen, ruiyan}@ruc.edu.cn,

{maocunli}@163.com, {ztyu}@hotmail.com

Abstract

Standard Large Language Models (LLMs) struggle with handling dialogues with long contexts due to efficiency and consistency issues. According to our observation, dialogue contexts are highly structured, and the special token of *End-of-Utterance* (EoU) in dialogues has the potential to aggregate information. We refer to the EoU tokens as “conversational attention sinks” (conv-attn sinks). Accordingly, we introduce StreamingDialogue, which compresses long dialogue history into conv-attn sinks with minimal losses, and thus reduces computational complexity quadratically with the number of sinks (i.e., the number of utterances). Current LLMs already demonstrate the ability to handle long context window, e.g., a window size of 200k or more. To this end, by compressing utterances into EoUs, our method has the potential to handle more than 200k of utterances, resulting in a prolonged dialogue learning. In order to minimize information losses from reconstruction after compression, we design two learning strategies of short-memory reconstruction (SMR) and long-memory reactivation (LMR). Our method outperforms strong baselines in dialogue tasks and achieves a $4 \times$ speedup while reducing memory usage by $18 \times$ compared to dense attention recomputation.

1 Introduction

Large Language Models (LLMs) (Raffel et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2023; Achiam et al., 2023; Anil et al., 2023) are rapidly advancing and finding widespread use in various conversational applications. However, LLMs’ performance is constrained by context size during pre-training, especially for dialogue tasks (Tu et al., 2022; Lee et al., 2021; Bebensee and Lee, 2023; Lv et al., 2023). For example, with a context size of 4,096, the inference capability of LLaMA2 (Touvron et al., 2023b)

sharply drops when the context length exceeds the preset limit. Moreover, the attention mechanism (Vaswani et al., 2017) incurs quadratic growth in computational complexity with text length, which leads to increased GPU memory usage and slowed generation speed. Hence, standard LLMs are infeasible to support prolonged dialogues with long conversation histories.

In order to support conversations with long contexts, a natural solution is to reduce the computation of inter-token correlations by modifying the implementation of attention. Beltagy et al. (2020) proposed local attention, which confines attention within a k window size, reducing computational complexity to linear. However, when the text length exceeds k , the generation performance substantially declines. StreamingLLM (Xiao et al., 2023) introduced the concept of “attention sinks” with initial tokens consistently attended to based on local attention to enhance long context streaming, which supports stable long-term interactions, and efficient generation. However, it is prominent that, during the auto-regressive generation process, initial tokens are blind to the subsequent tokens, and StreamingLLM continuously updates the cached information within the fixed-size window in addition to attention sinks, leading to the loss of historical information as the dialogue context grows longer.

We find an interesting phenomenon that in dialogue contexts, tokens used to separate utterances (namely End-of-Utterance, EoU), such as “</s>” and “\n”, generally aggregates more attention than other words and tokens (Figure 1 (a)). We refer to these separator tokens as “**conversational attention sinks**” (conv-attn sinks). Based on these insights, we propose StreamingDialogue, which supports the efficient conversations with high long-term memory capability. Figure 1 (b) demonstrates that, in contrast to the highly dispersed attention pattern of StreamingLLM, StreamingDialogue maintains focus on critical positions like conv-attn

* Equal contribution.

† Corresponding author.




Figure 1: Attention map visualization. (a) Llama-2-7B/Chat with “</s>” and “\n” as EoU (“</s>” counts as one token, “\n” as two). (b) StreamingLLM versus StreamingDialogue attention on Llama-2-7B with “</s>” as EoU.

sinks, thereby utilizing them to aggregate utterance information, compressing lengthy dialogues to only require caching conv-attn sinks’ key-values to improve efficiency and reduce memory consumption. However, solely preserving conv-attn sinks in long-term generation is inadequate. While they memorize historical dialogues for retrieval, they cannot ensure stable output beyond a certain inference length or smooth generation of consecutive replies. Therefore, caching the first token and the previous and current utterances is essential.

To better characterize the conv-attn sinks, we introduce two self-learning strategies: (1) we devise a reconstruction task, where the reconstruction process can only attend to the conv-attn sink of the target utterance, thereby encouraging the conv-attn sink to restore information from the target sentence, namely **short-memory reconstruction** (SMR); (2) we propose a recall task, treating the final utterance as a query and attending solely to conv-attn sinks in the dialogue history to retrieve the matching response, thus prompting the model to reactivate information from lengthy dialogues, named as **long-memory reactivation** (LMR). These two tasks will be jointly optimized before dialogue learning.

Experiments on widely-used dialogue datasets demonstrate that our proposed method outperforms other sparse attention and memory-enhancement methods (in terms of evaluation metrics of Perplexity, BLEU, ROUGE, and Distinct). In terms of efficiency, our method achieves a $4 \times$ speedup and an $18 \times$ reduction in memory usage compared to dense attention with recomputation. In particular, currently some LLMs support handling long contexts, such as Claude 2.1¹ with a 200K context window. In this way, leveraging our method with such long context LLMs enables the completion of numerous utterances within the conversation session, which indicates one big step towards prolonged dialogue learning with long contexts. In summary,

¹<https://www.anthropic.com/news/claude-2-1>

our main contributions are as follows:

(1) We discover that EoU tokens have the potential to aggregate utterance information. By defining these separator tokens as “conv-attn sinks”, we propose StreamingDialogue, which efficiently handles long context by only caching the first token, conv-attn sinks, and tokens from the most recent two utterances.

(2) We propose two learning strategies: short-memory reconstruction (SMR) and long-memory reactivation (LMR), enhancing the capability of conv-attn sinks to aggregate information and the ability to store historical information.

(3) We demonstrate that StreamingDialogue significantly reduces computational complexity experimentally, ensuring the efficiency of streaming conversations.

2 Related Work

StreamingDialogue efficiently handles long context, improving the model’s long-term memory for conversation history. Existing methods for processing long context in transformer-based models broadly fall into three categories: efficient transformer design, long-term memory enhancement, and length extrapolation techniques.

2.1 Efficient Transformers

Due to attention’s computational bottleneck in transformers, some methods aim to explore efficient attention mechanisms. Solutions include trading accuracy for speed, e.g., Longformer (Beltagy et al., 2020) employs sliding window attention, expanding the receptive field with a dilated sliding pattern and optionally integrating global attention. BP-Transformer (Ye et al., 2019) balances complexity and capacity with fine-to-coarse attention across multiple scales using binary partitioning. Linformer (Wang et al., 2020) approximates self-attention with a low-rank matrix, simplifying operations to linear ones. LongLoRA (Chen et al.,

2023c) uses block-wise attention and token shifting to enhance communication between blocks. Another solution lies in system-level optimizations, e.g., FlashAttention (Dao et al., 2022; Dao, 2023) optimizes memory access by perceptually reading and writing, improving efficiency without sacrificing accuracy. However, these methods don't preserve dialogue history or expand the context window sufficiently for prolonged dialogue with long-term memory.

2.2 Long-Term Memory

Some methods enhance models' long-term memory to improve long-text modeling. One approach is introducing recurrent mechanisms into attention, enabling the model to maintain information over long sequences. For example, Transformer-XL (Dai et al., 2019) introduces segment-level recurrence, reusing previous time step hidden states to model long dependencies. ∞ -former (Martins et al., 2022) employs continuous-space attention for arbitrary context modeling with fixed computational cost. Another approach is utilizing existing models as interfaces to external knowledge bases, enhancing contextual input and long-term memory through reading and writing to these bases during inference (Huang et al., 2023), e.g., MemGPT (Packer et al., 2023) employs hierarchical memory for LLMs, optimizing information transfer between context windows and external storage. However, they require retraining LLMs from scratch or additional information retrieval, lacking efficiency.

2.3 Length Extrapolation

Length extrapolation in models refers to their ability to maintain good performance beyond the training length during inference. A mainstream solution is based on position encoding. LLMs (e.g., Roziere et al., 2023; Bai et al., 2023; Touvron et al., 2023a) employ rotary position embedding (RoPE) (Su et al., 2024; Chen et al., 2023b) for length extrapolation without fine-tuning. Initially introduced by Chen et al. (2023a), position interpolation proportionally extends the inference length by reducing rotation angles. NTK-aware² and NTK-by-parts³ interpolations balance high and low-frequency information to optimize performance. YaRN (Peng et al., 2023) combines NTK-by-parts interpolation

²https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/141z7j5/ntkaware_scaled_rope_allows_llama_models_to_have/

³<https://github.com/jquesnelle/yarn/pull/1>

with an attention distribution correction strategy, reducing rotation angles for low frequencies and adjusting attention distribution. Additionally, randomized position encoding (Ruoss et al., 2023) extends context exposure by decoupling pre-training length from inference length, utilizing random positions during training for broader context coverage. Due to current methods' inability for infinite length extrapolation, they're unsuitable for prolonged dialogue in streaming applications.

3 Streaming Dialogue

3.1 Empirical Observation

StreamingLLM (Xiao et al., 2023) focuses on initial tokens as attention sinks, i.e., initial tokens attract a significant amount of attention. After visualizing the attention maps of all layers and heads for both Llama-2-7B and Llama-2-7B-Chat, we observe a similar phenomenon in structured texts such as multi-turn dialogue, where LLMs tend to attend more to tokens used to separate dialogue when speakers switch (i.e., the end symbol "</s>", newline symbol "\n", or other symbols, known as End-of-Utterance), and their attention aggregation is even greater than that of initial tokens (shown in Figure 1). Based on the attention map, we suggest that each EoU captures the information of the current utterance, and EoUs are visible to subsequent utterances. These EoUs imply the potential for information aggregation, which we refer to as "conversational attention sinks" (conv-attn sinks).

According to the observation, rather than caching entire utterances to retain information as done in dense attention, we cache conv-attn sinks as a replacement. Let T represent the number of utterances, and L denote the average length of each utterance. By caching only the corresponding conv-attn sinks, the space complexity reduces from $O(TL)$ in dense attention to $O(T)$, and the time complexity from $O(T^2L^2)$ to $O(T^2)$. Moreover, given the infrastructure of LLMs based long context modeling, our method is capable of efficiently handling dialogues with prolonged conversational histories. To this end, conv-attn sinks matter because they memorize the context information not only effectively, but also efficiently.

3.2 Framework Overview

3.2.1 Fine-tuning LLMs with Conv-Attn Sinks

We compress the content of each utterance into the subsequent conv-attn sink and recall histori-




Figure 2: StreamingDialogue Framework. During SMR & LMR, both strategies co-train the model. SMR attention spans from all tokens to the initial token, from u to itself, and from u' to itself and to the conv-attn sink in u . LMR attention spans from all tokens to the initial token, from all tokens to the conv-attn sinks before themselves, from each utterance to itself, and from r (excluding its conv-attn sink) to the conv-attn sink in its corresponding q . In supervised learning, the model from SMR & LMR is fine-tuned using dialogue datasets. During inference, only tokens allowed to attend are cached. Key historical dialogue information awakened in subsequent conversations is highlighted in bold italics.

cal information during the dialogue by attending to conv-attn sinks. To achieve this, we adjust the attention pattern $A \in \{0, 1\}^{N \times N}$, where N represents the conversation length and 0 indicates masked attention values, i.e., specifying the specific keys and values that a query can attend to. Each token within an utterance can focus on the first token to uphold stable output during extended conversations, all preceding conv-attn sinks to extract historical context, and tokens from both the previous and current utterances to ensure continuity with the preceding utterance. Formally, we denote an utterance as $u = d\langle s \rangle$, where d represents the dialogue content and $\langle s \rangle$ denotes the EoU token, a.k.a., conv-attn sink. Thus, a conversation can be organized as $D = \langle s \rangle u_1 u_2 \dots u_t$, where t is the number of utterances. Attention mask matrix A is defined as:

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & j = kl \leq i \ (k \in \mathbb{N}), \ 0 \leq i < N \\ 1, & 1 \leq j \leq i \leq l \\ 1, & j \neq kl \ (k \in \mathbb{N}), \\ & (\lceil \frac{i}{l} \rceil - 2) \cdot l < j \leq i < N \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where l denotes the average length of each utterance, \mathbb{N} represents a non-negative integer, and $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ represents the ceiling function. During fine-tuning, all tokens are treated as predicted tokens to participate in the loss calculation.

While this method excels in managing long contexts compared to sparse attention methods like StreamingLLM, it falls short in characterizing short-term memories. To learn towards a more robust model with balanced memory capacity for both long and short memories, we propose two learning strategies to co-train the model and address these issues: short-memory reconstruction (SMR) and long-memory reactivation (LMR). The final version of StreamingDialogue is conducted in three stages, including SMR & LMR, supervised learning, and inference, as illustrated in Figure 2.

3.2.2 Short-Memory Reconstruction

We propose a learning strategy to guide model behavior, enabling conv-attn sinks to consciously aggregate information through short-memory reconstruction (SMR). We reorganize data formats, modify the attention pattern, and adjust the loss function. More specifically, training samples are organized as $D = \langle s \rangle u_1 u_1' u_2 u_2' \dots u_s u_s'$, where u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s are randomly selected from the original dataset, and s represents the number of randomly selected utterances. Each “ uu' ” pair can be regarded as a reconstruction task, where tokens in u can attend to $\langle s \rangle$ and tokens that appear before the token in the current utterance. u' can additionally attend to the conv-attn sink in u . The task objective

Data	Data Type	Train		Test	
		Utts.	Avg. L	Utts.	Avg. L
PersonaChat	Total	122499	13.59	14602	13.85
MSC	Session 1	59894	14.16	6572	15.47
	Session 2	46420	31.44	5939	30.86
	Session 3	47259	32.90	5924	32.94
	Session 4	11870	32.25	5940	34.67
	Session 5	-	-	5945	36.43
	Total	165443	25.66	30320	29.77

Table 1: Details of dialogue datasets. We present the number of utterances (Utts.) and the average length per utterance (Avg. L) for each session in the training and test sets.

is to reconstruct u in u' , encouraging the conv-attn sink in u to aggregate information from u for utterance reconstruction. The attention pattern A in SMR is defined as:

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & j = 0 \\ 1, & \lceil \frac{i}{l} \rceil = 2k \ (k \in \mathbb{N}^*), \\ (\lceil \frac{i}{l} \rceil - 1) \cdot l \leq j \leq i < N \\ 1, & \lceil \frac{i}{l} \rceil = 2k + 1 \ (k \in \mathbb{N}), \\ (\lceil \frac{i}{l} \rceil - 1) \cdot l < j \leq i < N \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & j = 0 \\ 1, & \lceil \frac{i}{l} \rceil = 2k \ (k \in \mathbb{N}^*), \\ (\lceil \frac{i}{l} \rceil - 2) \cdot l < j \leq i < N \\ 1, & j = kl \ (k \in \mathbb{N}^*) \text{ or} \\ & j > \lceil \frac{i}{l} \rceil - 1, \ j \leq i < N \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where \mathbb{N}^* represents a positive integer.

Since the goal is to reconstruct the contents of u'_1, u'_2, \dots, u'_s into u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s , the loss calculation is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{SMR} = - \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{Z}} \sum_{t=1}^{|y|} \log(P_{\Phi}(y_t | x, y_{<t})),$$

where $\mathcal{Z} = \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1, \dots, N}$ denotes the set of (u, u') pairs. x denotes the target utterance u , and y represents the reconstructed utterance u' . The model learns to aggregate information into conv-attn sinks during SMR with minimal training.

3.2.3 Long-Memory Reactivation

The model is required to both aggregate dialogue information into conv-attn sinks and retrieve information from them. To ensure consistency in multi-turn dialogue, our proposed model must efficiently extract long context information during dialogue generation. Therefore, we introduce long-memory reactivation (LMR) to enhance its long-term memory capability.

Each pair of utterances in the dialogue dataset, denoted as qr , represents a query-response pair with q and r from distinct roles. We organize training samples as $D = \langle s \rangle q_1 r_1 \dots q_x r_x \dots q_l r_l q'_x r'_x$,

with l denoting the number of training pairs. Each pair $q'_x r'_x$ at the end of the sample is randomly selected from historical dialogues.

We design a response recall task where the goal is to recall r'_x from the historical context $q_x r_x$ given query q'_x . Concurrently, we adjust A so that each utterance can only attend to the first token, all conv-attn sinks, and itself. Moreover, each response in a training pair can attend to the corresponding query, while the conv-attn sink of the response is restricted to attending only to the response itself, ensuring that the conv-attn sink aggregates information solely from its associated utterance, i.e.,

Since the objective is to evoke historical dialogue within the response of the last training pair in the sample, the loss function of LMR is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{LMR} = - \sum_{(m,n)} \sum_{t=1}^{|n|} \log(P_{\Phi}(n_t | m, n_{<t})),$$

where m represents $\langle s \rangle q_1 r_1 \dots q_x r_x \dots q_l r_l q'_x$, and n denotes r'_x .

The model now effectively utilizes historical information through LMR. We co-train the model using SMR and LMR, fully harnessing the information aggregation potential of conv-attn sinks and enhancing both short and long-term memory capability of the proposed model.

Following SMR & LMR, the model requires additional refinement through fine-tuning on dialogues using the methods outlined in Section 3.2.1.

4 Experiments

4.1 Experimental Setup

Datasets & Baselines We conduct experiments on PersonaChat (Zhang et al., 2018) and Multi-Session Chat (MSC) (Xu et al., 2022) datasets. MSC, known for its extended conversational context, differs from PersonaChat, which is single-session. MSC’s training set includes up to 4 sessions, and the test set comprises 5 sessions. Readers please refer to Table 1 for details.

Data	Method	PPL	BLEU (%)	ROUGE (%)			Distinct (%)		
		↓	↑	R-1 ↑	R-2 ↑	R-L ↑	D-1 ↑	D-2 ↑	D-3 ↑
PersonaChat	Dense	8.41 ●	13.15 ○	13.98 ○	3.07 ○	13.44 ○	16.37 ●	41.61 ●	63.36 ●
	Local	11.59 ●	13.01 ○	13.83 ○	2.69 ○	13.29 ○	12.49 ●	32.17 ●	51.12 ●
	Big Bird	9.00 ●	12.93 ●	13.78 ○	2.64 ○	13.33 ○	11.83 ●	32.46 ●	52.17 ●
	StreamingLLM	8.96 ○	<u>13.16</u> ○	13.94 ○	2.73 ○	13.36 ○	12.00 ●	32.64 ●	52.36 ●
	MemBART	13.15 ●	11.18 ●	13.11 ○	2.56 ○	12.78 ○	12.86 ●	30.87 ●	48.86 ●
	Ours	<u>8.71</u>	13.63	<u>13.96</u>	<u>3.05</u>	<u>13.43</u>	<u>14.43</u>	<u>37.23</u>	<u>58.07</u>
MSC	Dense	7.58 ○	19.47 ○	<u>16.93</u> ○	2.92 ○	<u>15.48</u> ○	12.85 ●	37.75 ●	57.51 ●
	Local	8.92 ●	13.34 ●	13.48 ●	1.88 ●	12.61 ●	7.89 ●	22.71 ●	35.89 ●
	Big Bird	8.42 ●	16.54 ●	15.32 ●	2.34 ○	14.15 ●	8.72 ●	25.81 ●	40.34 ●
	StreamingLLM	8.38 ●	16.76 ●	15.25 ●	2.44 ○	14.21 ●	9.18 ●	26.93 ●	41.62 ●
	MemBART	13.73 ●	17.11 ●	14.93 ●	2.61 ○	13.76 ●	10.86 ●	30.55 ●	47.37 ●
	Ours	<u>7.99</u>	<u>19.33</u>	17.18	<u>2.77</u>	15.86	<u>11.54</u>	<u>32.58</u>	<u>50.27</u>

Table 2: Main results on the PersonaChat and MSC datasets. PPL: perplexity scores. BLEU: average BLEU-1 to BLEU-4 scores. R-1/2/L: ROUGE scores for generated dialogues (unigram, bigram, and longest common subsequence (LCS)). D-1/2/3: Distinct scores for generated dialogues (unigrams, bigrams, and trigrams). ↓ indicates lower values are better, while ↑ indicates the opposite. The best result for each metric is presented in bold, while the second-best one is underlined. ●/○ indicates significance ($p < 0.05$) or insignificance via pairwise t -test compared to other methods.

Baseline methods include dense attention (Vaswani et al., 2017) for capturing all information, local attention (Beltagy et al., 2020) with a fixed window size restriction, Big Bird (Zaheer et al., 2020) combining sliding window, global, and random attention, and StreamingLLM (Xiao et al., 2023) attending to attention sinks alongside the recent fixed window. Additionally, we compare our method with MemBART (Wu and Yu, 2022), a memory-augmented Transformer encoder-decoder model⁴.

Evaluation Metrics We report the model performance in the dialogue generation task using BLEU (Papineni et al., 2002), ROUGE (R-1 / R-2 / R-L) (Lin, 2004), Distinct (D-1 / D-2 / D-3) (Li et al., 2016), and compute perplexity (PPL).

4.2 Main Results

Table 2 shows evaluation results for each method on the test sets. For generation, we use the last utterance from each test set episode as the target for the model to generate. We also calculate the overall PPL for the entire test sets.

Our method outperforms sparse attention baselines and memory-augmented baselines, achieving higher scores in BLEU, ROUGE, and Distinct while maintaining a lower PPL. For exam-

ple, in MSC, StreamingDialogue demonstrates significant improvements over the second-best baseline, StreamingLLM, with BLEU increasing from 16.76% to 19.33% and R-L rising from 14.21% to 15.86%. In PersonaChat, specifically in D-2, StreamingDialogue increases from 32.64% to 37.23% compared to StreamingLLM. The notable superiority of StreamingDialogue can be attributed to its focus on conv-attn sinks, which compress historical information into them and cache them to enhance long-term memory, unlike baselines that rely on local attention windows and cannot handle extended dialogues effectively.

Furthermore, StreamingDialogue exhibits comparable performance to dense attention, e.g., in PersonaChat, the difference in ROUGE is less than 0.02%. It also outperforms dense attention in terms of R-1 and R-L in MSC and achieves better BLEU scores on PersonaChat. This validation highlights the more accurate information conveyance capabilities of text generated by our method.

4.3 Human Evaluation




Figure 3: Fluency, coherence, and consistency distribution in human evaluation for ours vs StreamingLLM.

In human evaluation, we generate dialogues

⁴Appendix A provides attention features and implementation details for both the baselines and StreamingDialogue

from 100 randomly selected episodes of the MSC test set. Four crowdsourced evaluators compare our method with StreamingLLM in fluency, coherence, and consistency, categorizing the outcome as win, tie, or loss. Figure 3 demonstrates our method’s superiority across all metrics, particularly in consistency, showcasing StreamingDialogue’s superior long-term memory capacity.

4.4 Ablation Results

Model	PPL	BLEU	R-L	D-3
Ours	7.99	19.33	15.86	50.27
Base	8.21	17.32	10.25	46.15
LMR	8.01	18.87	15.66	49.44
SMR	8.40	18.25	15.24	48.57

Table 3: Results of ablation experiments with different learning strategies on MSC. “Base” denotes the model fine-tuned without SMR and LMR learning.

We conduct an experiment to test the effectiveness of SMR and LMR. Results, shown in Table 3, highlight a significant decline in model performance when either strategy is ablated, indicating the importance of both strategies. The absence of SMR results in prominent declines in BLEU and ROUGE scores, indicating inadequate information aggregation in conv-attn sinks. Consequently, the model struggles to extract valuable information from conv-attn sinks during lengthy conversations, resulting in reduced text quality.

Similarly, without LMR, the model’s performance declines significantly, indicating that relying solely on SMR leads to excessive guidance, limiting the model’s ability in extended conversations. Thus, both SMR and LMR are crucial for enhancing information gathering and text extraction across conversations of long contexts.

4.5 Performance on Different Context Length




Figure 4: Average perplexity and BLEU of StreamingLLM and StreamingDialogue on the MSC test set under different numbers of utterances.




Figure 5: The generated dialogues by StreamingLLM and StreamingDialogue for the same input dialogue history from an MSC test set episode, with an average utterance length of $L = 32$ tokens. Bold italic indicates key information in the dialogue and its latest occurrence.

We evaluate the model performance across different conversation lengths in terms of perplexity and BLEU under varying context length (i.e., the number of utterances in the dialogue context) during inference, as shown in Figure 4. As dialogue length increases, StreamingDialogue exhibits greater superiority over StreamingLLM, with perplexity stabilizing and nearing convergence, and BLEU improving. Furthermore, StreamingDialogue maintains stable perplexity even with prolonged conversations over 25K tokens in inference (see Appendix B). This highlights our method’s stability in handling long dialogues and emphasizes the importance of conv-attn sinks in enhancing long-term memory.

4.6 Information Preservation

BLEU-1	ROUGE-1	ROUGE-L
89.19	76.79	72.94

Table 4: Dialogue reconstruction performance.

To assess our method’s information compression loss, we use SMR-trained models to reconstruct dialogue content from the MSC test set, leveraging only the conv-attn sink of each utterance. Randomly selecting 6,000 utterances from the MSC test set, we present the average results in Table 4.




Figure 6: Comparison of attention maps before and after learning. “Base” denotes Llama-2-7B, while “SMR & LMR” represents the model obtained post co-training with SMR and LMR on Llama-2-7B. The “</s>” positions in the encoded sentences are: 3, 6, 13, and 21.




Figure 7: Average per-token latency and memory usage during generation of different methods on the MSC test set for various input lengths. Reported memory usage values are calculated by subtracting fixed memory usage from the total memory usage.

Our method achieves a BLEU-1 score of 89.19%, signifying effective compression of dialogue information with minimal losses.

4.7 Case Study: Memory Capacity

To validate StreamingDialogue’s effectiveness in enhancing long-term memory, we conduct a case study comparing it with StreamingLLM. Figure 5 illustrates content generated by both methods. StreamingLLM responds solely based on recent utterances, lacking connection to distant context and coherence, thus reaffirming its unsuitability for open-domain dialogue. In contrast, StreamingDialogue effectively recalls distant historical information (e.g., 44 utterances ago), demonstrating the model’s enhanced ability to remember long conversations through SMR and LMR.

4.8 Impacts of SMR & LMR Learning

Since the motivation of SMR and LMR learning is to improve conv-attn sinks aggregation capability, we examine the attention maps after SMR and LMR co-training, comparing them with the base model to confirm enhancement.

Results are illustrated in Figure 6. Guided by

SMR and LMR, the model’s attention patterns transform into maps that sharply concentrate on conv-attn sinks, showcasing our effective enhancement of their information aggregation ability.

4.9 Speedup for Inference

Figure 7 depicts the average per-token latency and memory usage during dialogue generation with NVIDIA A100 GPU using various methods. As input lengths increase, StreamingDialogue shows minimal growth in memory usage for caching conv-attn sinks, with latency exhibiting linear growth. This suggests that as dialogue length increases, StreamingDialogue’s advantage becomes more promising. At an input length of 2,048, our method demonstrates a $6 \times$ improvement in memory usage compared to dense attention and an $18 \times$ improvement compared to dense attention with re-computation. In terms of per-token latency, our method shows a $4 \times$ improvement compared to dense attention with re-computation. Moreover, our method maintains similar latency and memory usage as StreamingLLM as context length varies.

5 Conclusion

Generating high-quality open-domain dialogues with prolonged contexts is quite challenging. Existing solutions, like dense attention, have efficiency issues. While StreamingLLM supports efficient language modeling, it struggles to preserve historical information, leading to low-quality generation in prolonged conversations. In this paper, we introduce StreamingDialogue, a framework capable of facilitating efficient and prolonged dialogue. By identifying separator tokens EoU as “conv-attn sinks” and compressing dialogue information into them with minimal losses, StreamingDialogue conserves memory, enhances efficiency, and augments long-term memory capabilities. Additionally, we propose two learning strategies to enhance conv-attn sink aggregation and memory reactivation. Our

method shows better performance compared to strong baselines. In the future, we will explore extending StreamingDialogue towards never-ending dialogue in the context of lifelong learning.

Limitations

While StreamingDialogue effectively enables prolonged conversations with long-term memory, there’s merit in exploring selective caching of conv-attn sinks, focusing only on those aggregating key information. This will further enhance inference speed and reduce memory usage. Additionally, our utilization of dialogue structure is somewhat limited, and we aim to leverage conv-attn sinks to explore more intricate dialogue features in the future. Furthermore, evaluating our method across a wider range of structured texts will offer a more comprehensive assessment.

Ethics Statement

The datasets we utilized, including PersonaChat and MSC, along with the pre-trained models Llama-2-7B and Llama-2-7B-Chat, were sourced from their respective publishers via official open-source channels. We strictly adhere to ethical guidelines and legal regulations governing the use of these datasets and models.

References

- Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. 2023. Gpt-4 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*.
- Rohan Anil, Andrew M Dai, Orhan Firat, Melvin Johnson, Dmitry Lepikhin, Alexandre Passos, Siamak Shakeri, Emanuel Taropa, Paige Bailey, Zhifeng Chen, et al. 2023. Palm 2 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.10403*.
- Jinze Bai, Shuai Bai, Yunfei Chu, Zeyu Cui, Kai Dang, Xiaodong Deng, Yang Fan, Wenbin Ge, Yu Han, Fei Huang, et al. 2023. Qwen technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.16609*.
- Björn Bembensee and Haejun Lee. 2023. [Span-selective linear attention transformers for effective and robust schema-guided dialogue state tracking](#). In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 78–91, Toronto, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Iz Beltagy, Matthew E Peters, and Arman Cohan. 2020. Longformer: The long-document transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.05150*.
- Shouyuan Chen, Sherman Wong, Liangjian Chen, and Yuandong Tian. 2023a. Extending context window of large language models via positional interpolation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.15595*.

- Yuhan Chen, Ang Lv, Ting-En Lin, Changyu Chen, Yuchuan Wu, Fei Huang, Yongbin Li, and Rui Yan. 2023b. Fortify the shortest stave in attention: Enhancing context awareness of large language models for effective tool use. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.04455*.
- Yukang Chen, Shengju Qian, Haotian Tang, Xin Lai, Zhijian Liu, Song Han, and Jiaya Jia. 2023c. Longlora: Efficient fine-tuning of long-context large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.12307*.
- Zihang Dai, Zhilin Yang, Yiming Yang, Jaime G Carbonell, Quoc Le, and Ruslan Salakhutdinov. 2019. Transformer-xl: Attentive language models beyond a fixed-length context. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 2978–2988.
- Tri Dao. 2023. Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.08691*.
- Tri Dao, Dan Fu, Stefano Ermon, Atri Rudra, and Christopher Ré. 2022. Flashattention: Fast and memory-efficient exact attention with io-awareness. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:16344–16359.
- Yunpeng Huang, Jingwei Xu, Zixu Jiang, Junyu Lai, Zenan Li, Yuan Yao, Taolue Chen, Lijuan Yang, Zhou Xin, and Xiaoxing Ma. 2023. Advancing transformer architecture in long-context large language models: A comprehensive survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.12351*.
- Chia-Hsuan Lee, Hao Cheng, and Mari Ostendorf. 2021. Dialogue state tracking with a language model using schema-driven prompting. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 4937–4949, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jiwei Li, Michel Galley, Chris Brockett, Jianfeng Gao, and Bill Dolan. 2016. A diversity-promoting objective function for neural conversation models. In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 110–119, San Diego, California. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Chin-Yew Lin. 2004. ROUGE: A package for automatic evaluation of summaries. In *Text Summarization Branches Out*, pages 74–81, Barcelona, Spain. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ang Lv, Jinpeng Li, Yuhan Chen, Gao Xing, Ji Zhang, and Rui Yan. 2023. DialoGPS: Dialogue path sampling in continuous semantic space for data augmentation in multi-turn conversations. In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1267–1280, Toronto, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Pedro Henrique Martins, Zita Marinho, and Andre Martins. 2022. ∞ -former: Infinite memory transformer. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 5468–5485, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Charles Packer, Vivian Fang, Shishir G Patil, Kevin Lin, Sarah Wooders, and Joseph E Gonzalez. 2023. Memgpt: Towards llms as operating systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.08560*.
- Kishore Papineni, Salim Roukos, Todd Ward, and Wei-Jing Zhu. 2002. Bleu: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 40th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 311–318, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Bowen Peng, Jeffrey Quesnelle, Honglu Fan, and Enrico Shippole. 2023. Yarn: Efficient context window extension of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.00071*.
- Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J Liu. 2020. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 21(1):5485–5551.
- Baptiste Roziere, Jonas Gehring, Fabian Gloeckle, Sten Sootla, Itai Gat, Xiaoqing Ellen Tan, Yossi Adi, Jingyu Liu, Tal Remez, Jérémy Rapin, et al. 2023. Code llama: Open foundation models for code. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.12950*.
- Anian Ruoss, Grégoire Delétang, Tim Genewein, Jordi Grau-Moya, Róbert Csordás, Mehdi Bannani, Shane Legg, and Joel Veness. 2023. Randomized positional encodings boost length generalization of transformers. In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 1889–1903, Toronto, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jianlin Su, Murtadha Ahmed, Yu Lu, Shengfeng Pan, Wen Bo, and Yunfeng Liu. 2024. Riformer: Enhanced transformer with rotary position embedding. *Neurocomputing*, 568:127063.
- Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, et al. 2023a. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*.
- Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shruti Bhosale, et al. 2023b. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*.

- Quan Tu, Yanran Li, Jianwei Cui, Bin Wang, Ji-Rong Wen, and Rui Yan. 2022. [MISC: A mixed strategy-aware model integrating COMET for emotional support conversation](#). In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 308–319, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30.
- Sinong Wang, Belinda Z Li, Madian Khabsa, Han Fang, and Hao Ma. 2020. Linformer: Self-attention with linear complexity. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.04768*.
- Qingyang Wu and Zhou Yu. 2022. Stateful memory-augmented transformers for dialogue modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.07634*.
- Guangxuan Xiao, Yuandong Tian, Beidi Chen, Song Han, and Mike Lewis. 2023. Efficient streaming language models with attention sinks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.17453*.
- Jing Xu, Arthur Szlam, and Jason Weston. 2022. [Beyond goldfish memory: Long-term open-domain conversation](#). In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 5180–5197, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Aiyuan Yang, Bin Xiao, Bingning Wang, Borong Zhang, Ce Bian, Chao Yin, Chenxu Lv, Da Pan, Dian Wang, Dong Yan, et al. 2023. Baichuan 2: Open large-scale language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.10305*.
- Zihao Ye, Qipeng Guo, Quan Gan, Xipeng Qiu, and Zheng Zhang. 2019. Bp-transformer: Modelling long-range context via binary partitioning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.04070*.
- Manzil Zaheer, Guru Guruganesh, Kumar Avinava Dubey, Joshua Ainslie, Chris Alberti, Santiago Ontanon, Philip Pham, Anirudh Ravula, Qifan Wang, Li Yang, et al. 2020. Big bird: Transformers for longer sequences. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:17283–17297.
- Saizheng Zhang, Emily Dinan, Jack Urbanek, Arthur Szlam, Douwe Kiela, and Jason Weston. 2018. [Personalizing dialogue agents: I have a dog, do you have pets too?](#) In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 2204–2213, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.

A Attention Patterns & Implementation Details

We visualize the attention maps of StreamingDialogue and several other baselines focusing on differ-

ent attention patterns, as shown in Figure 8. Below are the details of the experiments for each method.

During the SMR & LMR phase, we construct a short-memory reconstruction dataset comprising $n_s = 6857$ samples, each containing a random selection of $s = 28$ utterances from dialogue datasets. Simultaneously, for the long-memory reactivation dataset, we generate $n_l = 8000$ samples, each consisting of a random selection of $l = 24$ query-response pairs from the full set of query-response pairs in dialogue datasets, with one additional pair randomly chosen from the l pairs appended to the end of each sample. The SMR and LMR datasets are merged and shuffled for co-training Llama-2-7B. We only train the attention layer for 1 epoch, with the learning rate set to $5e-5$, utilizing cosine annealing for adjusting the learning rate, and setting the warm-up step to 0.

In the supervised learning phase, StreamingDialogue undergoes fine-tuning based on the model trained with SMR & LMR, while baselines focusing on different attention patterns are fine-tuned on Llama-2-7B. All models fine-tune only the attention layer for 2 epochs, with the learning rate set to $5e-5$, utilizing cosine annealing for adjusting the learning rate, and setting the warm-up step to 0. MemBART can be fine-tuned directly on dialogue datasets.

In inference, we set the maximum generation length to 120 and report the average results of all episodes.

B Experiments on Super Long Dialogues

We prove StreamingDialogue’s capability in reliably processing exceptionally lengthy dialogue texts. By concatenating the MSC test set, we showcase StreamingDialogue’s perplexity under a 25K token dialogue in Figure 9. Aside from fluctuations stemming from conversation switches, its performance remains consistently stable, affirming our method’s support for prolonged dialogue.

C Hyper-parameter Sensitives

We investigate the impact of two hyper-parameters in our method: the number of utterances in SMR samples (s) and the number of query-response pairs in LMR samples (l). Both s and l range from $\{8, 12, 16, 20, 24, 28, 32\}$, while ensuring the total number of utterances in the training set remains constant, e.g., $s = 8 \times \text{samples} = 24,000$, $s = 12 \times \text{samples} = 16,000$, etc. Results in Fig-




Figure 8: Attention maps' visualization of StreamingDialogue and various other methods. In a dialogue with T utterances, each averaging L tokens, dense attention caches TL tokens, local attention caches R tokens (where R is the window size), Big Bird caches global size + random size + R tokens, StreamingLLM caches $R + 1$ tokens, and StreamingDialogue requires caching up to $1 + T + 2L$ tokens.




Figure 9: The perplexity for StreamingDialogue under the concatenated MSC test set, evaluating approximately 25K tokens.




Figure 10: Normalized performance scores (PPL, BLEU, R-L, and D-3) on MSC for various l with s fixed at 28 and various s with l fixed at 24.

Figure 10 suggest that StreamingDialogue performs optimally with relatively high values of s and l , specifically when s is between $\{28, 32\}$ and l is between $\{20, 24, 28\}$. These results indicate that longer texts positively influence the model's learning.