

NLCG-Net: A Model-Based Zero-Shot Learning Framework for Undersampled Quantitative MRI Reconstruction

Authors: Xinrui Jiang¹, Yohan Jun^{2,3}, Jaejin Cho^{2,3}, Mengze Gao⁴, Xingwang Yong⁵, Berkin Bilgic^{2,3}

¹ School of Information Science and Technology, Fudan University, Shanghai, China

² Department of Radiology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

³ Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Massachusetts General Hospital, Charlestown, MA, United States

⁴ Department of Radiology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

⁵ Key Laboratory for Biomedical Engineering of Ministry of Education, Department of Biomedical Engineering, College of Biomedical Engineering & Instrument Science, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

Synopsis

•**Motivation:** Typical quantitative MRI (qMRI) methods estimate parameter maps after image reconstructing, which is prone to biases and error propagation

•**Goal(s):** To propose an end-to-end method to directly estimate qMRI maps from undersampled k-space data using model-based reconstruction and zero-shot network regularization

•**Approach:** We propose a Nonlinear Conjugate Gradient (NLCG) optimizer for model-based T2/T1 estimation, which incorporates U-Net regularization trained in a scan-specific manner

•**Results:** T2 and T1 mapping results demonstrate the ability of the proposed NLCG-Net to improve estimation quality compared to subspace reconstruction at high accelerations

•**Impact:** We propose a model-based qMRI technique, NLCG-Net, that incorporates mono-exponential signal modeling with zero-shot scan-specific neural network regularization to enable high fidelity T1 and T2 mapping

Introduction

Standard quantitative MRI (qMRI) techniques rely on a two step process whereby undersampled k-space data are reconstructed first, and then used in model fitting to estimate parameters of interest. Model based approaches [1,2] have been developed to incorporate mono-exponential signal models into the reconstruction, so that parameter maps can be directly estimated from undersampled data.

In this work, we propose a Nonlinear Conjugate Gradient (NLCG) optimization to solve the arising optimization problem and use a scan-specific Neural Network as regularizer. Experiments show the ability of the proposed NLCG-Net to improve T1 and T2 mapping relative

to subspace modeling at high accelerations, while obviating the need for an external training dataset.

Methods

•Problem Formulation

We formulate qMRI reconstruction as an optimization problem by solving the following objective function:

$$\arg \min_{\vec{x}} \|\mathbf{PFCM}(\vec{x}) - \vec{y}\|^2 + \lambda \|\vec{x} - \vec{z}\|^2 \quad (1)$$

Where

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} M_x \\ M_y \\ R \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} M_x \\ M_y \\ \frac{1}{T} \end{bmatrix}$$

Here M_x , M_y real and imaging components of transverse magnetization, R refers to R_1 or R_2 representing parameter values, \vec{y} denotes acquired kspace data, \vec{z} refers to regularized \vec{x} and λ is regularization coefficient. \mathbf{PFCM} are forward operators illustrated in Fig.1, \mathbf{M} denotes the mono-exponential signal model, which has different expressions for T1 and T2 mapping; \mathbf{C} denotes coil sensitivity maps, \mathbf{F} denotes Fast Fourier transform, and \mathbf{P} denotes k-space sampling mask.

To solve this, we can unroll the target function and solve it separately and iteratively. Specifically[3],

$$\vec{z}_n = \mathbf{R}(\vec{x}_n) \quad (2)$$

$$\vec{x}_{n+1} = \arg \min_{\vec{x}} \|\mathbf{PFCM}(\vec{x}) - \vec{y}\|^2 + \lambda \|\vec{x} - \vec{z}_n\|^2 \quad (3)$$

Where \mathbf{R} refers to regularization operation by Neural Network.

•Proposed Model

We propose NLGG-Net to solve the iterative optimization problem. The detailed architecture of NLGG-Net is presented in Fig.2. NLGG-Net directly takes k-space data as input, and unrolls the optimization into several unroll blocks. Each block has one regularization layer and one data consistency (DC), which correspond to (2) and (3). For the regularizer, we deploy a light U-Net

model with only three downsample and upsample layers to restrict the parameter number and facilitate training. In the data consistency layer, we deploy NLCG to solve (3).

•Implementation Details

NLCG-Net contains 3 Unroll blocks. A DC layer performs 20 iterations with NLCG and takes \mathbf{x} from the last layer as iteration initialization. In the beginning, input \mathbf{x} is acquired by performing NLCG without regularization to k-space input for 800 iterations, which can be done within 1 minute. To achieve zero-shot training, we use different sampling masks to divide data into training and validation sets, and the splitting strategy is the same as [4]. Before feeding into the network, M_x , M_y , and R are scaled[5] by 3 trainable parameters due to their different dynamic ranges. Overall training process was performed on an NVIDIA 4090 GPU, and 300 epochs were finished within 5 hours.

•Experiments

We used a set of fully sampled spin echo multi-coil data with FOV 256×208 for T2 mapping. 8 echo data are deployed from TE = 23 ms to 184 ms, with an echo spacing of 23ms. We simulate Acceleration by creating sampling masks, with acceleration factor $R = 4$ and 6. Central ACS region was used with width = 24.

We also validated T1 mapping on in vivo data, which has been prospectively accelerated by $R = 2$. 5 sets of inversion recovery TSE data with TI from 35 ms to 3000 ms were acquired. We furthermore simulated the $R = 4$ condition without utilizing ACS region data for reconstruction. For metric consideration, we used normalized root mean squared error (NRMSE).

Results

We present T2, T1, and corresponding M0 map reconstruction results in Figs. 3-5. For comparison, we also presented T2 estimation using SENSE and Subspace. We observe that for T2 mapping, unregularized NLCG can readily achieve a good fit when considering NRMSE. However, as R increases, aliasing artifacts emerge, which were better mitigated using NLCG-Net. The proposed model could still retain the lowest NRMSE and address artifacts. For T1 mapping condition, it is observed that NLCG-Net has a similar performance.

Discussion and Conclusion

We proposed a model-based zero-shot self-supervised learning framework, NLCG-Net for qMRI reconstruction, which is able to reach high acceleration factors with high fidelity. Its nonlinear estimation is flexible enough for both T2 and T1 mapping, and iterative optimization problem formulation allows neural network regularization while obviating the need for an external training datasets.

References

[1] Sumpf, T.J., Uecker, M., Boretius, S. and Frahm, J., 2011. Model-based nonlinear inverse reconstruction for T2 mapping using highly undersampled spin-echo MRI. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 34(2), pp.420-428.

[2] Wang, X., Roeloffs, V., Klosowski, J., Tan, Z., Voit, D., Uecker, M. and Frahm, J., 2018. Model-based T 1 mapping with sparsity constraints using single-shot inversion-recovery radial FLASH. *Magnetic resonance in medicine*, 79(2), pp.730-740.


[3] Aggarwal, Hemant K et al. "MoDL: Model-Based Deep Learning Architecture for Inverse Problems." *IEEE Transactions on medical imaging* vol. 38,2 (2019): 394-405. doi:10.1109/TMI.2018.2865356

[4] Yaman, Burhaneddin, Seyed Amir Hossein Hosseini, and Mehmet Akçakaya. "Zero-shot self-supervised learning for MRI reconstruction." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.07737* (2021).

[5] Blumenthal, Moritz et al. "NLINV-Net: Self-Supervised End-2-End Learning for Reconstructing Undersampled Radial Cardiac Real-Time Data." *ISMRM Annual Meeting*: n. pag.

[6] Jun, Y, Cho, J, Wang, X, et al. SSL-QALAS: Self-Supervised Learning for rapid multiparameter estimation in quantitative MRI using 3D-QALAS. *Magn Reson Med*. 2023; 90(5): 2019-2032. doi: 10.1002/mrm.29786

Figures



$$M: \begin{bmatrix} M_x \\ M_y \\ R_2 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} M_x e^{-TE * R_2} \\ M_y e^{-TE * R_2} \end{bmatrix} \text{ For T2 mapping, and } M: \begin{bmatrix} M_x \\ M_y \\ R_1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} M_x (1 - 2e^{-TI * R_1}) \\ M_y (1 - 2e^{-TI * R_1}) \end{bmatrix} \text{ For T1 mapping}$$

Fig.1: **PFCM** forward operators. To derive the k-space expression, \mathbf{x} is firstly converted to signal intensity following T2/T1 quality, then multiplied with coil sensitivity maps to form each coil image. After that, Fast Fourier Transform \mathbf{F} is performed to transform data into k-space, then finally applying mask \mathbf{P} to reproduce downsample process.




Fig.2: a) NLCG-Net framework. The model performs 800 NLCG iterations first to initialize, then passes to Unroll blocks. A NLCG data consistency layer and U-Net are deployed in each block to perform iterative optimization. b) Self-supervised training strategy for NLCG-Net. Acquired data are divided into training and validation sets by masking. c) NLCG-Net deploys a light U-Net model with fewer convolutional layers and jointly regularizes all desired maps.




Fig.3: T2 mapping reconstruction results under acceleration rate R = 4.




Fig.4: T2 mapping reconstruction results under acceleration rate R = 6.




Fig.5: T1 mapping reconstruction results under acceleration rate $R = 4$.