

# A comment on the impact of CMD-3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ cross section measurement on the SM $g_\mu - 2$ value

V. V. Bryzgalov\* and O. V. Zenin†

*NRC “Kurchatov Institute” – IHEP, Protvino, Russia*

## Abstract

We estimated an impact of the recent CMD-3 measurement of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  total cross section at  $0.3 < \sqrt{s} < 1.2$  GeV on the leading order hadronic contribution  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}$  to the muon anomalous magnetic moment  $a_\mu = (g_\mu - 2)/2$ , in presence of comparably precise ISR measurements of the cross section by BaBar and KLOE experiments being in significant tension with the CMD-3. Assuming that all the experiments are affected by yet unidentified systematic effects, to account for the latter, we scaled the experimental uncertainties following the PDG prescription, thus facilitating a consistent joint fit of the world data on the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  total cross section. The same procedure was applied in all  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$  channels contributing to the dispersive estimate of  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}$ . Despite an inclusion of the new CMD-3  $\pi^+\pi^-$  data, our estimate  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}(e^+e^-) = (696.2 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-10}$  is consistent with  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}(e^+e^-)$  values obtained by other authors before publication of the CMD-3 result. Including our  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}$  value into the SM prediction for  $a_\mu$ , we obtain  $a_\mu^{\text{SM}} = (11\,659\,184 \pm 4_{\text{tot}}) \times 10^{-10}$  which is by  $4.7\sigma$  smaller than the world average for the experimental value  $a_\mu^{\text{exp}} = (11\,659\,205.9 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-10}$ . We confirm the observation by the CMD-3 authors that their  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$  measurement, *when taken alone*, implies the  $a_\mu^{\text{SM}}$  prediction consistent with the  $a_\mu^{\text{exp}}$  at  $\sim 1\sigma$  level.

The  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  total cross section contributes  $\simeq 70\%$  to the dispersive estimate [1] (see [2] for a recent review) of the leading order hadronic term  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}$  in the SM prediction of the muon anomalous magnetic moment  $a_\mu^{\text{SM}} = (g_\mu - 2)/2$  and, on the other hand, introduces a major uncertainty in the  $a_\mu^{\text{SM}}$  determination.

Following the recent high precision measurement of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  cross section at  $0.3 < \sqrt{s} < 1.2$  GeV by the CMD-3 collaboration [3, 4] being in a significant tension with results previously published by BaBar [5], KLOE [6–8], CMD-2 [9–12], SND [13] and SND2k [14] experiments (Fig. 1), we attempted to assess the impact of the CMD-3 result on

---

\*Valery.Bryzgalov@ihep.ru

†zenin.o@ihep.ru

the joint fit of the available  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  total cross section data and its implications for the  $a_\mu^{\text{SM}}$ . Details of the analysis were reported in [15].<sup>1</sup>

Given that possible origins of a mutual systematic bias were not yet identified for the experiments measuring  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$  at  $\sim 1\%$  precision, all of them must be treated *as is* on an equal basis. From statistical viewpoint, this results in a low probability of the joint fit with  $\chi^2/n_{\text{dof}} = 2.18$  (Fig. 2). Before inclusion of the “high” CMD-3 data, the major source of statistical tension was the discrepancy between ISR based measurements of the cross section by BaBar [5] and KLOE [8] experiments leading to the joint fit with  $\chi^2/n_{\text{dof}} = 1.45$  (Fig. 3). For  $n_{\text{dof}} \gg 1$ , a consistent fit should yield  $\chi^2/n_{\text{dof}} \in (1 - 2\sqrt{2/n_{\text{dof}}}, 1 + 2\sqrt{2/n_{\text{dof}}})$  with  $\simeq 95\%$  probability.  $\chi^2/n_{\text{dof}}$  values outside this range indicate either an inadequate parameterization of the cross section or incompatibility between cross section values measured by different experiments. As our model-independent parameterization of the cross section is constructed so that fitting it to mutually compatible measurements should always give  $\chi^2/n_{\text{dof}} \simeq 1$  [15], the poor fit is mostly due to incompatibility between BaBar, KLOE and CMD-3 experiments discussed in [4].<sup>2</sup>

A natural approach to treatment of incompatible measurements is to assume that each experiment is affected by randomly distributed systematic bias that cannot be identified within an isolated experiment and thus unaccounted for by its systematic uncertainty. However, missing systematics can be assessed by observing the distribution of measurements of the same physical quantity made by several independent experiments. The missing systematic uncertainty affecting all the experiments becomes an extra free parameter in the joint fit, with the optimum value maximizing the probability of the fit. A widely used (though debated) PDG prescription [17] is to apply a common scale factor<sup>3</sup> to error matrices of all experiments so that the fit yields  $\chi^2/n_{\text{dof}} = 1$ . Being aware of shortcomings of this method, we still use it in our fits for the moment. Effectively, the error matrix of each experiment must be scaled by  $(\chi^2/n_{\text{dof}})^{\text{orig.}}$  obtained in the fit with the unmodified error matrices. At that, the central value of the fitted average cross section remains unchanged, while its uncertainty is scaled by the factor of  $\sqrt{(\chi^2/n_{\text{dof}})^{\text{orig.}}}$ .

The result of the joint fit to the  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$  data at  $0.3 < \sqrt{s} < 2$  GeV including CMD-3 is shown by the green band in Fig. 2. The fit with unmodified experimental uncertainties gives  $\chi^2/n_{\text{dof}} = 2.18$  due to dramatic tension between BaBar, KLOE and CMD-3 measurements at  $0.6 < \sqrt{s} < 1.0$  GeV.<sup>4</sup> As explained above, all experimental uncertainties were inflated by  $\sqrt{2.18}$  factor to make these measurements compatible. On the plots, the individual measurements are shown with their original uncertainties while the fit result is shown with the uncertainty scaled by  $\sqrt{2.18}$ . Scaling up the fit uncertainty accounts for incompatibility between the experiments, as well as for suboptimal parameterization of the

---

<sup>1</sup>The program code [16] was used by the authors for the PDG mini-review “ $\sigma$  and  $R$  in  $e^+e^-$  Collisions” [17]. Essentially the same code was used for earlier revisions of the mini-review since [18]. Details on the earliest version of the analysis are given in [19, 20]

<sup>2</sup>This situation occurs not only in the  $\pi^+\pi^-$  channel, see  $\chi^2/\text{dof}$  column in the Table 1.

<sup>3</sup>Dubbed the Birge factor in literature.

<sup>4</sup>The fit restricted to the  $0.6 < \sqrt{s} < 1.0$  GeV range would have much larger  $\chi^2/n_{\text{dof}}$  (see the lower plot in Fig. 2).

cross section.

Substituting the fitted cross section into the dispersion integral for  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}$  [1] we obtain the contribution of the  $\pi^+\pi^-$  channel in the  $0.3 < \sqrt{s} < 1.937$  GeV range:

$$a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, 0.3 \div 1.937 \text{ GeV}) = (505.1 \pm 1.4_{\text{exp.}e^+e^-} \pm 1.6_{\text{par.}} \pm 0.6_{\text{rad.}}) \times 10^{-10}, \quad (1)$$

where the first uncertainty is due to experimental uncertainties of the  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$  data, the second is the systematic uncertainty due to our cross section parameterization, and the last one is the uncertainty due to radiative corrections applied to the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  data.

Results of application of the same procedure to other hadronic final states are shown in the Table 1. The total leading order hadronic contribution to  $a_\mu^{\text{SM}}$  is then

$$a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}(e^+e^-) = (696.2 \pm 1.9_{\text{exp.}e^+e^-} \pm 2.0_{\text{par.}} \pm 0.8_{\text{rad.}}) \times 10^{-10}. \quad (2)$$

Despite an inclusion of the ‘‘high’’ CMD-3  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$  measurement, our estimate is still consistent with results obtained by the dispersive method by other authors using only pre-2021  $e^+e^-$  data [2]. Ref. [2] quotes an average value  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}(e^+e^-) = (693.1 \pm 4.0_{\text{tot}}) \times 10^{-10}$  obtained by merging results from Refs. [21–26].<sup>5</sup> The SM prediction for  $a_\mu$  including QED, electroweak, hadronic light-by-light, and NLO hadronic vacuum polarization contributions [2] and our  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}$  value (Eq. 2) is

$$a_\mu^{\text{SM}} = (11\,659\,184 \pm 4_{\text{tot}}) \times 10^{-10}, \quad (3)$$

which is smaller than the experimental value  $a_\mu^{\text{exp}} = (11\,659\,205.9 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-10}$  [27] by  $4.7\sigma$ .

An exclusion of the CMD-3  $\pi^+\pi^-$  data (Fig. 3) leads to a lower  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}(e^+e^-) = (694.0 \pm 2.0_{\text{exp.}e^+e^-} \pm 1.4_{\text{par.}} \pm 0.4_{\text{rad.}}) \times 10^{-10}$  which translates to  $a_\mu^{\text{SM}} = (11\,659\,182 \pm 4_{\text{tot}}) \times 10^{-10}$ , lower than  $a_\mu^{\text{exp}}$  by  $5\sigma$ .

An extreme exercise would be to estimate an impact of the CMD-3  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$  measurement taken as the dominant experimental input to  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}(\pi^+\pi^-)$  at  $0.3 < \sqrt{s} < 1.2$  GeV. For this purpose, we completely excluded from the fit all the experiments measuring the cross section with  $\sim 1\%$  precision, except CMD-3 (Fig. 4).<sup>6</sup> This gives  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, 0.3 \div 1.937 \text{ GeV}) = (529.6 \pm 2.8_{\text{exp.}e^+e^-} \pm 3.3_{\text{par.}} \pm 3.3_{\text{rad.}}) \times 10^{-10}$  which corresponds to the total  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}} = (723.4 \pm 3.1_{\text{exp.}} \pm 3.1_{\text{par.}} \pm 3.5_{\text{rad.}}) \times 10^{-10}$  and, hence, to  $a_\mu^{\text{SM}} = (11\,659\,211 \pm 6_{\text{tot}}) \times 10^{-10}$  consistent with the experimental value  $a_\mu^{\text{exp}} = (11\,659\,205.9 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-10}$ , as pointed out in Ref. [4].

Summary of  $a_\mu^{\text{SM}}$  estimates including the above results confronted with the experimental  $a_\mu^{\text{exp}}$  value is shown in Fig. 5.

**In conclusion**, we estimated an impact of the recent CMD-3 measurement of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  total cross section at  $0.3 < \sqrt{s} < 1.2$  GeV on the leading order hadronic contribution

<sup>5</sup>We also have a good per final state agreement with [23].

<sup>6</sup>In a ‘‘CMD-3 only’’ fit, retaining BaBar data in the  $\sqrt{s} > 1.2$  GeV range uncovered by CMD-3 would be methodically incorrect due to strong correlations between BaBar data points at  $\sqrt{s} < 1.2$  GeV (where we ignore BaBar) and  $\sqrt{s} > 1.2$  GeV. Note that in this case the cross section parameterization uncertainty for the total  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}$  is slightly lower than the one in the  $\pi^+\pi^-$  channel due to anti-correlation between systematic variations in different channels.

$a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}$  to the muon anomalous magnetic moment  $a_\mu = (g_\mu - 2)/2$ , in presence of comparably precise ISR measurements of the cross section by BaBar and KLOE experiments being in significant tension with the CMD-3. Assuming that all the experiments are affected by yet unidentified systematic effects, to account for the latter, we scaled the experimental uncertainties following the PDG prescription, thus facilitating a consistent joint fit of the world data on the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  total cross section. The same procedure was applied in all  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$  channels contributing to the dispersive estimate of  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}$ . Despite an inclusion of the new CMD-3 data, our estimate  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}(e^+e^-) = (696.2 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-10}$  is consistent with  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}(e^+e^-)$  values obtained by other authors before publication of the CMD-3 result. Including our  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}$  value into the SM prediction for  $a_\mu$ , we obtain  $a_\mu^{\text{SM}} = (11\,659\,184 \pm 4_{\text{tot}}) \times 10^{-10}$  which is by  $4.7\sigma$  smaller than the world average for the experimental value  $a_\mu^{\text{exp}} = (11\,659\,205.9 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-10}$ . We confirm the observation made in the CMD-3 paper [4] that CMD-3  $\pi^+\pi^-$  data, when taken alone, imply the  $a_\mu^{\text{SM}}$  prediction consistent with the  $a_\mu^{\text{exp}}$  at  $\sim 1\sigma$  level.

**Acknowledgements.** The authors are indebted to V. B. Anikeev and S. I. Bityukov for valuable discussions on statistical matters.




Figure 1: (reprinted from [4]) Relative differences between previous measurements of the pion formfactor by BaBar [5], KLOE [6,7] (middle plot), CMD-2 [9–12], SND [13] and SND2k [14] (lower plot) experiments and the fit (yellow band) to the CMD-3 data [3,4] (upper plot).




Figure 2: The hadronic  $R$ -ratio  $= \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(\gamma), \text{Born}) / \left(\frac{4\pi\alpha_0^2}{3s}\right)$ . Statistical uncertainties of the data are shown by horizontal ticks on the vertical error bars. The latter correspond to the combined statistical and systematic uncertainties. Fit to the data by a continuous piecewise linear function is shown by the green band. See [15] for details of the parameterization. The fit uncertainty is scaled by the  $\sqrt{\chi^2/n_{\text{dof}}}$  factor to account for incompatibility between individual experiments as explained in the text. The lower plot is an enlarged view of the  $\rho$ - $\omega$  interference region where incompatibility between CMD-3, BaBar and KLOE measurements is most dramatic (cf. Fig. 1). References to the experimental data are listed in the  $\pi^+\pi^-(\gamma)$  row of Table 1.




Figure 3: Same as Fig.2 but without the CMD-3  $\pi^+ \pi^-$  data. The lower plot demonstrates an inconsistency between BaBar and KLOE measurements.




Figure 4: Same as Fig. 2 but without experiments measuring  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$  to  $\sim 1\%$  precision except CMD-3. This makes the fit effectively dominated by CMD-3 at  $\sqrt{s} < 1.2$  GeV. The fit results in  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, 0.3 \div 1.937 \text{ GeV}) = (529.6 \pm 2.8_{\text{exp},e^+e^-} \pm 3.3_{\text{par.}} \pm 3.3_{\text{rad.}}) \times 10^{-10}$  corresponding to the total  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}} = (723.4 \pm 3.1_{\text{exp.}} \pm 3.1_{\text{par.}} \pm 3.5_{\text{rad.}}) \times 10^{-10}$  and  $a_\mu^{\text{SM}} = (11\,659\,211 \pm 6_{\text{tot}}) \times 10^{-10}$  consistent with the experimental value  $a_\mu^{\text{exp}} = (11\,659\,205.9 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-10}$ , as first pointed out in the CMD-3 paper [4].



Final state	$a_\mu(\text{had, LO}) \times 10^{10}$			$\sqrt{s}$ [GeV]	$\chi^2/\text{dof}$	References	
	(exp.)	(par.)	(rad.)				
$\pi^+\pi^-(\gamma)$	505.147	(1.367)	(1.551)	(0.606)	$0.3 \div 1.937$	2.18	[3, 5, 8–14, 28–34]
$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	48.481	(0.967)	(0.629)	(0.066)	$0.66 \div 1.937$	1.79	[9, 35–45]
$\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$	18.778	(0.431)	(0.509)	(0.067)	$0.85 \div 1.937$	1.94	[43, 46–54]
$2\pi^+2\pi^-$	15.397	(0.181)	(0.060)	(0.043)	$0.6125 \div 1.937$	2.34	[43, 46, 47, 49, 52, 55–64]
$K^+K^-$	23.211	(0.188)	(0.072)	(0.009)	$0.985 \div 1.937$	1.99	[40, 65–72]
$K_S K_L$	13.188	(0.130)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$1.00371 \div 1.937$	0.95	[38, 40, 67, 73–78]
$\pi^0\gamma$	4.359	(0.093)	(0.049)	(0.000)	$0.59986 \div 1.38$	1.70	[79–81]
$K_S K^+\pi^- + K_S K^-\pi^+$	1.814	(0.100)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$1.24 \div 1.937$	0.99	[82]
$2\pi^+2\pi^-\pi^0$	1.746	(0.043)	(0.000)	(0.009)	$1.0125 \div 1.937$	0.00	[49, 63, 83]
$2\pi^+2\pi^02\pi^-$	1.728	(0.198)	(0.034)	(0.000)	$1.3125 \div 1.937$	1.99	[63, 84, 85]
$2\pi^+2\pi^-3\pi^0$	0.099	(0.013)	(0.002)	(0.001)	$1.575 \div 1.937$	0.57	[86]
$3\pi^+3\pi^-$	0.240	(0.014)	(0.000)	(0.012)	$1.3125 \div 1.937$	0.00	[63, 84, 85, 87, 88]
$3\pi^+3\pi^-\pi^0$	0.020	(0.004)	(0.001)	(0.000)	$1.6 \div 1.937$	0.65	[89]
$\eta\gamma$	0.691	(0.051)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$0.6 \div 1.354$	1.36	[38, 79, 90, 91]
$\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	0.575	(0.019)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$1.15 \div 1.937$	1.18	[43, 83, 92–94]
$K^+K^-\pi^0$	0.202	(0.050)	(0.000)	(0.001)	$1.44 \div 1.937$	0.54	[95, 96]
$K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0$	0.100	(0.011)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$1.5 \div 1.937$	1.32	[97]
$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$	0.799	(0.033)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$1.4 \div 1.937$	0.00	[95, 97–99]
$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	0.129	(0.024)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$1.6125 \div 1.937$	1.63	[83]
$K_S K_L \eta$	0.238	(0.059)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$1.575 \div 1.937$	1.31	[100]
$K_S K_L \pi^0$	0.839	(0.114)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$1.425 \div 1.937$	1.50	[100]
$K_S K_L \pi^0\pi^0$	0.137	(0.043)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$1.35 \div 1.937$	0.00	[100]
$K_S K_L \pi^+\pi^-$	0.166	(0.028)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$1.425 \div 1.937$	0.00	[77]
$K_S K^+\pi^-\pi^0 + K_S K^-\pi^+\pi^0$	0.640	(0.044)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$1.51 \div 1.937$	1.08	[101]
$K_S K_S \pi^+\pi^-$	0.066	(0.007)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$1.63 \div 1.937$	1.37	[77]
$\omega(783)\eta$	0.035	(0.002)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$1.34 \div 1.937$	0.85	[102, 103]
$\omega(783) < \pi^0\gamma > \pi^0$	0.894	(0.021)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$0.75 \div 1.937$	1.56	[47, 104–111]
$\omega(783) < \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 > \pi^+\pi^-$	0.098	(0.005)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$1.15 \div 1.937$	1.10	[45, 83, 92, 112]
$\omega\eta\pi^0$	0.055	(0.043)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$1.5 \div 1.937$	1.16	[113]
$\phi(1020)\eta$	0.067	(0.003)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$1.56 \div 1.937$	0.98	[82, 83, 114]
$\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0\eta$	0.117	(0.019)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$1.625 \div 1.937$	0.85	[115]
$\pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0$	1.067	(0.112)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$1.125 \div 1.937$	0.68	[115]
$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta$	0.663	(0.075)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$1.394 \div 1.937$	0.82	[102]
$p\bar{p}$	0.030	(0.001)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$1.889 \div 1.937$	1.24	[116–119]
$n\bar{n}$	0.028	(0.006)	(0.000)	(0.000)	$1.89 \div 1.937$	1.24	[120, 121]
$2hadron(hadrons)$	43.509	(0.722)	(0.661)	(0.000)	$1.937 \div 11.199$	1.35	[122–156]
pQCD	2.065		(0.002)		$> 11.1990$		
ChPT $\pi\pi, \pi^0\gamma$	0.538		(0.013)		$0.2792 \div 0.3000$		
$\Psi(1S)$	6.495		(0.124)		3.0969		
$\Psi(2S)$	1.631		(0.057)		3.6861		
$\Upsilon(1S)$	0.054		(0.002)		9.4604		
$\Upsilon(2S)$	0.021		(0.003)		10.0234		
$\Upsilon(3S)$	0.014		(0.002)		10.3551		
$\Upsilon(4S)$	0.010		(0.001)		10.5794		
Total	<b>696.181</b>	(1.925)	(1.953)	(0.813)			

Table 1: Summary of  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}$  contributions from the exclusive  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$  measurements at  $\sqrt{s} < 1.937$  GeV and inclusive  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\text{hadron}(\text{hadrons}))$  measurements at  $1.937 < \sqrt{s} < 11.199$  GeV. The first uncertainty is the experimental one scaled by  $\sqrt{\chi^2/n_{\text{dof}}}$  in channels with  $\chi^2/n_{\text{dof}} > 1$ . The second uncertainty is due to our parameterization of the experimental cross section used in the  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}$  dispersion integral. The last uncertainty is due to radiative corrections applied to the experimental data. At  $\sqrt{s} < 0.3$  GeV we use ChPT parameterization for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma, \pi^+\pi^-$  cross sections. The 3-loop pQCD parameterization of the total  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$  cross section is used at  $\sqrt{s} > 11.199$  GeV. Contributions of the narrow  $\Psi(1, 2S)$  and  $\Upsilon(1 - 4S)$  resonances are accounted for using the Breit–Wigner parameterization. See details in Ref. [15].




Figure 5: Summary of  $a_\mu^{\text{SM}}$  estimates vs the  $a_\mu^{\text{exp}}$  world average currently dominated by the FNAL  $g_\mu - 2$  measurement [27]. The plot shows the  $a_\mu^{\text{SM}}$  values including our nominal (red)  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}$  estimate utilizing  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$  data including CMD-3, our  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}$  estimate without CMD-3 (green), and the tentative  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}$  value with the  $\pi^+\pi^-$  channel dominated by CMD-3 (grey). The  $a_\mu^{\text{SM}}$  value including the dispersive  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}$  value by the Muon  $g-2$  Theory Initiative [2] is shown in dark green. The  $a_\mu^{\text{SM}}$  including lattice QCD calculation of  $a_\mu^{\text{had,LO}}$  by the BMW Collaboration [157] is shown in orange.

## References

- [1] A. Petermann, “Magnetic moment of the  $\mu$  meson,” *Phys. Rev.* **105** (1957) 1931, CERN-57-27.
- [2] T. Aoyama *et al.*, “The anomalous magnetic moment of the muon in the Standard Model,” *Phys. Rept.* **887** (2020) 1–166, arXiv:2006.04822 [hep-ph].
- [3] **CMD-3** Collaboration, F. V. Ignatov *et al.*, “Measurement of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  cross section from threshold to 1.2 GeV with the CMD-3 detector,” arXiv:2302.08834 [hep-ex].
- [4] **CMD-3** Collaboration, F. V. Ignatov *et al.*, “Measurement of the pion formfactor with CMD-3 detector and its implication to the hadronic contribution to muon ( $g-2$ ),” arXiv:2309.12910 [hep-ex].
- [5] **BaBar** Collaboration, J. P. Lees *et al.*, “Precise Measurement of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(\gamma)$  Cross Section with the Initial-State Radiation Method at BABAR,” *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 032013, BABAR-PUB-12-003, arXiv:1205.2228 [hep-ex].
- [6] **KLOE** Collaboration, F. Ambrosino *et al.*, “Measurement of  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$  from threshold to 0.85 GeV<sup>2</sup> using Initial State Radiation with the KLOE detector,” *Phys. Lett. B* **700** (2011) 102–110, arXiv:1006.5313 [hep-ex].
- [7] **KLOE** Collaboration, D. Babusci *et al.*, “Precision measurement of  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma)/\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma)$  and determination of the  $\pi^+\pi^-$  contribution to the muon anomaly with the KLOE detector,” *Phys. Lett. B* **720** (2013) 336–343, arXiv:1212.4524 [hep-ex].
- [8] **KLOE-2** Collaboration, A. Anastasi *et al.*, “Combination of KLOE  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma(\gamma))$  measurements and determination of  $a_\mu^{\pi^+\pi^-}$  in the energy range  $0.10 < s < 0.95$  GeV<sup>2</sup>,” *JHEP* **03** (2018) 173, arXiv:1711.03085 [hep-ex].
- [9] **CMD-2** Collaboration, R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, “Reanalysis of hadronic cross-section measurements at CMD-2,” *Phys. Lett. B* **578** (2004) 285–289, arXiv:hep-ex/0308008.
- [10] **CMD-2** Collaboration, V. M. Aul’chenko *et al.*, “Measurement of the pion form-factor in the range 1.04 GeV to 1.38 GeV with the CMD-2 detector,” *JETP Lett.* **82** (2005) 743–747, arXiv:hep-ex/0603021.
- [11] **CMD-2** Collaboration, V. M. Aul’chenko *et al.*, “Measurement of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  cross section with the CMD-2 detector in the 370–520 MeV c.m. energy range,” *JETP Lett.* **84** (2006) 413–417, arXiv:hep-ex/0610016.

- [12] **CMD-2** Collaboration, R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, “High-statistics measurement of the pion form factor in the rho-meson energy range with the CMD-2 detector,” *Phys. Lett. B* **648** (2007) 28–38, [arXiv:hep-ex/0610021](#).
- [13] **SND** Collaboration, M. N. Achasov *et al.*, “Update of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  cross-section measured by SND detector in the energy region  $400 < \sqrt{s} < 1000$  MeV,” *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **103** (2006) 380–384, [arXiv:hep-ex/0605013](#).
- [14] **SND** Collaboration, M. N. Achasov *et al.*, “Measurement of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  process cross section with the SND detector at the VEPP-2000 collider in the energy region  $0.525 < \sqrt{s} < 0.883$  GeV,” *JHEP* **01** (2021) 113, [arXiv:2004.00263 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [15] O. V. Zenin and V. V. Bryzgalov, “Estimation of the hadron contribution to  $g_\mu - 2$  using the IHEP total cross section database,” in *XXXV International Workshop on High Energy Physics “From Quarks to Galaxies: Elucidating Dark Sides”, Protvino, Russia, November 28 – December 1, 2023*, <https://indico.ihep.su/event/hepftXXXV>.
- [16] V. V. Bryzgalov and O. V. Zenin. [https://glab.ihep.su/zenin\\_o/compas\\_users/-/tree/master/ee/](https://glab.ihep.su/zenin_o/compas_users/-/tree/master/ee/).
- [17] **Particle Data Group** Collaboration, R. L. Workman *et al.*, “Review of Particle Physics,” *PTEP* **2022** (2022) 083C01.
- [18] **Particle Data Group** Collaboration, K. Hagiwara *et al.*, “Review of particle physics,” *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 010001.
- [19] V. V. Ezhela, S. B. Lugovsky, and O. V. Zenin, “Hadronic part of the muon  $g - 2$  estimated on the  $\sigma_{(tot)}^{2003}(e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons)$  evaluated data compilation,” IHEP-2003-35, [arXiv:hep-ph/0312114](#).
- [20] **COMPETE** ( $e^+e^-$ ) Collaboration, K. Kang, V. V. Ezhela, S. K. Kang, S. B. Lugovsky, M. R. Whalley, and O. V. Zenin, “Total Cross Sections of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons$  and pQCD Tests,” in *6th Workshop on Non-Perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics*, pp. 120–133. 2002. [arXiv:hep-ph/0202051](#).
- [21] M. Davier, A. Hoecker, B. Malaescu, and Z. Zhang, “A new evaluation of the hadronic vacuum polarisation contributions to the muon anomalous magnetic moment and to  $\alpha(m_Z^2)$ ,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** no. 3, (2020) 241, [arXiv:1908.00921 \[hep-ph\]](#). [Erratum: *Eur.Phys.J.C* 80, 410 (2020)].
- [22] M. Davier, A. Hoecker, B. Malaescu, and Z. Zhang, “Reevaluation of the hadronic vacuum polarisation contributions to the Standard Model predictions of the muon  $g - 2$  and  $\alpha(m_Z^2)$  using newest hadronic cross-section data,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** no. 12, (2017) 827, [arXiv:1706.09436 \[hep-ph\]](#).

- [23] A. Keshavarzi, D. Nomura, and T. Teubner, “ $g - 2$  of charged leptons,  $\alpha(M_Z^2)$ , and the hyperfine splitting of muonium,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101** no. 1, (2020) 014029, MAN/HEP/2019/010, LTH 1216, KEK-TH-2165, arXiv:1911.00367 [hep-ph].
- [24] A. Keshavarzi, D. Nomura, and T. Teubner, “Muon  $g - 2$  and  $\alpha(M_Z^2)$ : a new data-based analysis,” *Phys. Rev. D* **97** no. 11, (2018) 114025, LTH 1153, KEK-TH-2035, LTH-1153, YITP-18-09, LTH 1153; KEK-TH-2035; YITP-18-09, arXiv:1802.02995 [hep-ph].
- [25] M. Hoferichter, B.-L. Hoid, and B. Kubis, “Three-pion contribution to hadronic vacuum polarization,” *JHEP* **08** (2019) 137, INT-PUB-19-030, arXiv:1907.01556 [hep-ph].
- [26] G. Colangelo, M. Hoferichter, and P. Stoffer, “Two-pion contribution to hadronic vacuum polarization,” *JHEP* **02** (2019) 006, INT-PUB-18-048, arXiv:1810.00007 [hep-ph].
- [27] **Muon g-2** Collaboration, D. P. Aguillard *et al.*, “Measurement of the Positive Muon Anomalous Magnetic Moment to 0.20 ppm,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131** no. 16, (2023) 161802, FERMILAB-PUB-23-385-AD-CSAID-PPD, arXiv:2308.06230 [hep-ex].
- [28] **BES III** Collaboration, M. Ablikim *et al.*, “Measurement of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  cross section between 600 and 900 MeV using initial state radiation,” *Phys. Lett. B* **753** (2016) 629–638, arXiv:1507.08188 [hep-ex]. [Erratum: *Phys.Lett.B* 812, 135982 (2021)].
- [29] **Frascati (ADONE)** Collaboration, D. Bollini, P. Giusti, T. Massam, L. Monari, F. Palmonari, G. Valenti, and A. Zichichi, “Search for  $\rho$ -Like Vector Mesons in the Mass Range 1.2 GeV to 3.0 GeV,” *Lett. Nuovo Cim.* **15** (1976) 393, PRINT-76-0036 (CERN).
- [30] **CMD** Collaboration, L. M. Barkov *et al.*, “Electromagnetic Pion Form-Factor in the Timelike Region,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **256** (1985) 365–384.
- [31] **DM2** Collaboration, D. Bisello *et al.*, “The Pion Electromagnetic Form-factor in the Timelike Energy Range  $1.35 \text{ GeV} \leq \sqrt{s} \leq 2.4 \text{ GeV}$ ,” *Phys. Lett. B* **220** (1989) 321–327, LAL-88-47.
- [32] **DM1** Collaboration, A. Quenzer *et al.*, “Pion Form-Factor from 480 MeV to 1100 MeV,” *Phys. Lett. B* **76** (1978) 512–516.
- [33] **CLEO-c** Collaboration, T. Xiao, S. Dobbs, A. Tomaradze, K. K. Seth, and G. Bonvicini, “Precision Measurement of the Hadronic Contribution to the Muon Anomalous Magnetic Moment,” *Phys. Rev. D* **97** no. 3, (2018) 032012, arXiv:1712.04530 [hep-ex].

- [34] **TOF** Collaboration, I. B. Vasserman, P. M. Ivanov, G. Y. Kezerashvili, I. A. Koop, A. P. Lysenko, Y. N. Pestov, A. N. Skrinsky, G. V. Fedotovitch, and Y. M. Shatunov, “Pion Form-factor Measurement in the Reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  for Energies Within the Range From 0.4 GeV to 0.46 GeV,” *Yad. Fiz.* **33** (1981) 709–714.
- [35] **SND** Collaboration, M. N. Achasov *et al.*, “Study of the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  in the energy region  $s^{1/2}$  from 0.98 GeV to 1.38 GeV,” *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 032001, arXiv:hep-ex/0201040.
- [36] **CMD** Collaboration, L. M. Barkov *et al.*, “Investigation of the Process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  at the Energy Range 840 – 1020 MeV on VEPP-2M with the Help of Cryogenic Magnetic Detector,” IYF-89-15.
- [37] **DM1** Collaboration, A. Cordier *et al.*, “Cross-section of the Reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  for Center-of-mass Energies From 750 MeV to 1100 MeV,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **172** (1980) 13–24, LAL-79/1.
- [38] **CMD-2** Collaboration, R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, “Measurement of phi meson parameters with CMD-2 detector at VEPP-2M collider,” *Phys. Lett. B* **364** (1995) 199–206.
- [39] **CMD-2** Collaboration, R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, “Study of dynamics of  $\phi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  decay with CMD-2 detector,” *Phys. Lett. B* **434** (1998) 426–436.
- [40] **SND** Collaboration, M. N. Achasov *et al.*, “Measurements of the parameters of the  $\phi(1020)$  resonance through studies of the processes  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$ ,  $K_S K_L$ , and  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ ,” *Phys. Rev. D* **63** (2001) 072002, arXiv:hep-ex/0009036.
- [41] **SND** Collaboration, M. N. Achasov *et al.*, “Study of the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  in the energy region  $\sqrt{s} < 0.98$  GeV,” *Phys. Rev. D* **68** (2003) 052006, arXiv:hep-ex/0305049.
- [42] **BaBar** Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, “Study of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  process using initial state radiation with BaBar,” *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 072004, SLAC-PUB-10624, BABAR-PUB-04-034, arXiv:hep-ex/0408078.
- [43] **ND** Collaboration, S. I. Dolinsky *et al.*, “Summary of experiments with the neutral detector at the  $e^+e^-$  storage ring VEPP-2M,” *Phys. Rept.* **202** (1991) 99–170.
- [44] **CMD-2** Collaboration, V. M. Aul’chenko *et al.*, “Study of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  process in the energy range 1.05–2.00 GeV,” *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **121** no. 1, (2015) 27–34.
- [45] **DM2** Collaboration, A. Antonelli *et al.*, “Measurement of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$  reactions in the energy interval 1350 MeV–2400 MeV,” *Z. Phys. C* **56** (1992) 15–20, LAL-92-08.

- [46] **SND** Collaboration, M. N. Achasov *et al.*, “ $e^+e^- \rightarrow 4\pi$  processes investigation in the energy range 0.98 GeV to 1.38 GeV with SND detector,” BUDKER-INP-2001-34.
- [47] **DM2** Collaboration, D. Bisello *et al.*, “DM2 results on  $e^+e^-$  annihilation into multi-hadrons in the 1350 MeV - 2400 MeV energy range,” in *25th International Conference on High-energy Physics*. 6, 1990.
- [48] **MEA** Collaboration, B. Esposito *et al.*, “Measurement on  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ ,  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ ,  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ ,  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$  Production Cross-sections in  $e^+e^-$  Annihilation at 1.45 GeV - 1.80 GeV Center-of-mass Energy,” *Lett. Nuovo Cim.* **31** (1981) 445–452.
- [49] **M3N** Collaboration, G. Cosme *et al.*, “Hadronic Cross-sections Study in  $e^+e^-$  Collisions From 1.350 GeV to 2.125 GeV,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **152** (1979) 215–231, LAL-78-32.
- [50]  $\gamma\gamma 2$  Collaboration, C. Bacci *et al.*, “Measurement of Hadronic Exclusive Cross-sections in  $e^+e^-$  Annihilation From 1.42 GeV to 2.20 GeV,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **184** (1981) 31–39, LNF-80/72-P.
- [51] **CMD-2** Collaboration, R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, “ $a(1)(1260)\pi$  dominance in the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow 4\pi$  at energies 1.05 GeV – 1.38 GeV,” *Phys. Lett. B* **466** (1999) 392–402, BUDKER-INP-1998-83, [arXiv:hep-ex/9904024](#).
- [52] **ACO** Collaboration, G. Cosme *et al.*, “Multi-Pion Production Below 1.1 GeV by  $e^+e^-$  Annihilation,” *Phys. Lett. B* **63** (1976) 349–351.
- [53] **BaBar** Collaboration, J. P. Lees *et al.*, “Measurement of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$  cross section using initial-state radiation at BABAR,” *Phys. Rev. D* **96** no. 9, (2017) 092009, SLAC-PUB-17147, BABAR-PUB-17-002, [arXiv:1709.01171 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [54] **OLYA** Collaboration, L. M. Kurdadze *et al.*, “Study of the Reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$  at  $(2e)$  Up to 1.4 GeV,” *JETP Lett.* **43** (1986) 643–645.
- [55] **DM1** Collaboration, A. Cordier, D. Bisello, J. C. Bizot, J. Buon, B. Delcourt, L. Fayard, and F. Mane, “Study of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$  Reaction in the 1.4 GeV to 2.18 GeV Energy Range,” *Phys. Lett. B* **109** (1982) 129–132, LAL 81/33.
- [56] **CMD-2** Collaboration, R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, “Cross-section of the reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$  below 1 GeV at CMD-2,” *Phys. Lett. B* **475** (2000) 190–197, [arXiv:hep-ex/9912020](#).
- [57] **CMD-2** Collaboration, R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, “Observation of the  $\phi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$  decay,” *Phys. Lett. B* **491** (2000) 81–89, [arXiv:hep-ex/0008019](#).
- [58] **CMD-2** Collaboration, R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, “Total cross section of the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$  in the C.M. energy range 980 MeV to 1380 MeV,” *Phys. Lett. B* **595** (2004) 101–108, [arXiv:hep-ex/0404019](#).

- [59] **CMD-3** Collaboration, R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, “Study of the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$  in the c.m. energy range 920–1060 MeV with the CMD-3 detector,” *Phys. Lett. B* **768** (2017) 345–350, [arXiv:1612.04483 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [60] **DM1** Collaboration, A. Cordier *et al.*, “Cross-section of the Reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$  for Center-of-mass Energies From 890 MeV to 1100 MeV,” *Phys. Lett. B* **81** (1979) 389–392, LAL-78/31.
- [61]  $\gamma\gamma 2$  Collaboration, C. Bacci *et al.*, “Measurement of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$  Cross-section in the  $\rho'$  (1600) Energy Region,” *Phys. Lett. B* **95** (1980) 139–142, LNF-80/25-P.
- [62] **BaBar** Collaboration, J. P. Lees *et al.*, “Initial-State Radiation Measurement of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$  Cross Section,” *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 112009, SLAC-PUB-14857, BABAR-PUB-11-016, [arXiv:1201.5677 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [63] **CMD** Collaboration, L. M. Barkov *et al.*, “The investigation of multi - pion creation with the cryogenic magnetic detector at the VEPP-2M storage ring. (In Russian),” *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **47** (1988) 248–252.
- [64] **OLYA** Collaboration, L. M. Kurdadze, M. Y. Lelchuk, E. V. Pakhtusova, V. A. Sidorov, A. N. Skrinky, A. G. Chilingarov, Y. M. Shatunov, B. A. Shvarts, and S. I. Eidelman, “Study of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$  Reaction at 2 e Up to 1.4 GeV,” *JETP Lett.* **47** (1988) 512–515.
- [65] **CMD-2** Collaboration, R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, “Measurement of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$  cross section with the CMD-2 detector at VEPP-2M Collider,” *Phys. Lett. B* **669** (2008) 217–222, 0804.0146, [arXiv:0804.0178 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [66] **CMD-3** Collaboration, E. A. Kozyrev *et al.*, “Study of the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$  in the center-of-mass energy range 1010–1060 MeV with the CMD-3 detector,” *Phys. Lett. B* **779** (2018) 64–71, [arXiv:1710.02989 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [67] **CMD** Collaboration, G. V. Anikin *et al.*, “The Results of Experiments with CMD on VEPP-2M Storage Ring,” in *Ithaca 1983, International Symposium on Lepton and Photon Interactions at High Energies, Ithaca, N.Y., Aug 4-9, 1983*. 8, 1983.
- [68] **MEA** Collaboration, B. Esposito *et al.*, “Measurements of the EM timelike form-factors for kaon and pion at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.5$  GeV,” *Lett. Nuovo Cim.* **28** (1980) 337–342.
- [69] **OLYA** Collaboration, P. M. Ivanov *et al.*, “Measurement of the Charged Kaon Form-factor in the Energy Range 1.0 GeV to 1.4 GeV,” *Phys. Lett. B* **107** (1981) 297–300.



- [70] **DM1** Collaboration, B. Delcourt, D. Bisello, J. C. Bizot, J. Buon, A. Cordier, and F. Mane, “Study of the Reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$  in the Total Energy Range 1400 MeV to 2060 MeV,” *Phys. Lett. B* **99** (1981) 257–260, LAL/80-36.
- [71] **BaBar** Collaboration, J. P. Lees *et al.*, “Precision measurement of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-(\gamma)$  cross section with the initial-state radiation method at BABAR,” *Phys. Rev. D* **88** no. 3, (2013) 032013, BABAR-PUB-13-006, SLAC-PUB-15487, arXiv:1306.3600 [hep-ex].
- [72] **SND** Collaboration, M. N. Achasov *et al.*, “Measurement of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$  cross section in the energy range  $\sqrt{s} = 1.05 - 2.0$  GeV” *Phys. Rev. D* **94** no. 11, (2016) 112006, arXiv:1608.08757 [hep-ex].
- [73] **CMD-2** Collaboration, R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, “Measurement of  $\phi$  meson parameters in  $K_L^0 K_S^0$  decay mode with CMD-2,” *Phys. Lett. B* **466** (1999) 385, arXiv:hep-ex/9906032. [Erratum: *Phys.Lett.B* 508, 217–218 (2001)].
- [74] **CMD-2** Collaboration, R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, “Study of the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$  in the CM energy range 1.05 GeV to 1.38 GeV with CMD-2,” *Phys. Lett. B* **551** (2003) 27–34, arXiv:hep-ex/0211004.
- [75] **CMD-3** Collaboration, E. A. Kozyrev *et al.*, “Study of the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0$  in the center-of-mass energy range 1004–1060 MeV with the CMD-3 detector at the VEPP-2000  $e^+e^-$  collider,” *Phys. Lett. B* **760** (2016) 314–319, arXiv:1604.02981 [hep-ex].
- [76] **DM1** Collaboration, F. Mane, D. Bisello, J. C. Bizot, J. Buon, A. Cordier, and B. Delcourt, “Study of the Reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0$  in the Total Energy Range 1.4 GeV to 2.18 GeV and Interpretation of the  $K^+$  and  $K^0$  Form-factors,” *Phys. Lett. B* **99** (1981) 261–264, LAL/80-37.
- [77] **BaBar** Collaboration, J. P. Lees *et al.*, “Cross sections for the reactions  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0$ ,  $K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ ,  $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ , and  $K_S^0 K_S^0 K^+ K^-$  from events with initial-state radiation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **89** no. 9, (2014) 092002, SLAC-PUB-15934, arXiv:1403.7593 [hep-ex].
- [78] **OLYA** Collaboration, P. M. Ivanov *et al.*, “Measurements of the form-factor of the neutral kaon from 1.06 GeV to 1.40 GeV,” *JETP Lett.* **36** (1982) 112–115.
- [79] **SND** Collaboration, M. N. Achasov *et al.*, “Experimental study of the processes  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$ ,  $\pi^0\gamma$  at VEPP-2M,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **12** (2000) 25–33, BUDKER-INP-1999-39.
- [80] **CMD-2** Collaboration, R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, “Study of the processes  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$ ,  $\pi^0\gamma \rightarrow 3\gamma$  in the c.m. energy range 600 MeV to 1380 MeV at CMD-2,” *Phys. Lett. B* **605** (2005) 26–36, BUDKER-INP-2004-51, arXiv:hep-ex/0409030.

- [81] **SND** Collaboration, M. N. Achasov *et al.*, “Study of the reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$  with the SND detector at the VEPP-2M collider,” *Phys. Rev. D* **93** no. 9, (2016) 092001, arXiv:1601.08061 [hep-ex].
- [82] **BaBar** Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, “Measurements of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$ ,  $K^+K^-\pi^0$  and  $K_s^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$  cross- sections using initial state radiation events,” *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 092002, SLAC-PUB-12968, BABAR-PUB-07-052, arXiv:0710.4451 [hep-ex].
- [83] **BaBar** Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, “The  $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$ ,  $2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta$ ,  $K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  and  $K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\eta$  Cross Sections Measured with Initial-State Radiation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007) 092005, BABAR-PUB-07-045, SLAC-PUB-12753, arXiv:0708.2461 [hep-ex]. [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 77, 119902 (2008)].
- [84] **BaBar** Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, “The  $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ ,  $2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$  and  $K^+K^-2(\pi^+\pi^-)$  cross sections at center-of-mass energies from production threshold to 4.5 GeV measured with initial-state radiation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 052003, SLAC-PUB-11663, BABAR-PUB-05-053, arXiv:hep-ex/0602006.
- [85] **DM2** Collaboration, M. Schioppa , ROMA-THESIS-1986-SCHIOPPA.
- [86] **BaBar** Collaboration, J. P. Lees *et al.*, “Study of the reactions  $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$  and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0\pi^0\eta$  at center-of-mass energies from threshold to 4.5 GeV using initial-state radiation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **103** no. 9, (2021) 092001, SLAC-PUB-17587, BaBar-PUB-20004, arXiv:2102.01314 [hep-ex].
- [87] **DM1** Collaboration, D. Bisello, J. C. Bizot, J. Buon, A. Cordier, B. Delcourt, and F. Mane, “Study of the Reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\pi^+3\pi^-$  in the Total Energy Range 1400 MeV to 2180 MeV,” *Phys. Lett. B* **107** (1981) 145–147, LAL 81/19.
- [88] **CMD-3** Collaboration, R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, “Study of the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$  in the c.m. energy range 1.5–2.0 GeV with the CMD-3 detector,” *Phys. Lett. B* **723** (2013) 82–89, arXiv:1302.0053 [hep-ex].
- [89] **CMD-3** Collaboration, R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, “Study of the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$  in the C.M. Energy range 1.6–2.0 GeV with the CMD-3 detector,” *Phys. Lett. B* **792** (2019) 419–423, arXiv:1902.06449 [hep-ex].
- [90] **CMD-2** Collaboration, R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, “Study of the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$  in center-of-mass energy range 600 MeV to 1380 MeV at CMD-2,” *Phys. Lett. B* **509** (2001) 217–226, arXiv:hep-ex/0103043.
- [91] **ACO** Collaboration, G. Cosme *et al.*, “New Measurements with the Orsay Electron-Positron Storage Ring of the Radiative Decay Modes of the  $\phi$ -Meson,” *Phys. Lett. B* **63** (1976) 352–356, LAL-1279.

- [92] **CMD-2** Collaboration, R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, “Study of the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  with CMD-2 detector,” *Phys. Lett. B* **489** (2000) 125–130, [arXiv:hep-ex/0009013](#).
- [93] **SND** Collaboration, V. M. Aulchenko *et al.*, “Measurement of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$  cross section in the center-of-mass energy range 1.22-2.00 GeV with the SND detector at the VEPP-2000 collider,” *Phys. Rev. D* **91** no. 5, (2015) 052013, [arXiv:1412.1971 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [94] **BaBar** Collaboration, J. P. Lees *et al.*, “Study of the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$  using initial state radiation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018) 052007, BABAR-PUB-17-003, SLAC-PUB-17204, [arXiv:1801.02960 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [95] **DM2** Collaboration, D. Bisello *et al.*, “ $e^+e^-$  annihilation into multi-hadrons in the 1350 MeV - 2400 MeV energy range,” *Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl.* **21** (1991) 111–117, LAL-90-71.
- [96] **DM2** Collaboration, D. Bisello *et al.*, “Observation of an isoscalar vector meson at approximately 1650 MeV/ $c^2$  in the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$  reaction,” *Z. Phys. C* **52** (1991) 227–230, LAL-91-24.
- [97] **BaBar** Collaboration, J. P. Lees *et al.*, “Cross Sections for the Reactions  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0$ , and  $K^+K^-K^+K^-$  Measured Using Initial-State Radiation Events,” *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 012008, SLAC-PUB-14403, BABAR-PUB-11-001, [arXiv:1103.3001 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [98] **DM1** Collaboration, A. Cordier, D. Bisello, J. C. Bizot, J. Buon, B. Delcourt, L. Fayard, and F. Mane, “Study of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$  Reaction From 1.4 GeV to 2.18 GeV,” *Phys. Lett. B* **110** (1982) 335–338, LAL-81/35.
- [99] **CMD-3** Collaboration, D. N. Shemyakin *et al.*, “Measurement of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$  cross section with the CMD-3 detector at the VEPP-2000 collider,” *Phys. Lett. B* **756** (2016) 153–160, [arXiv:1510.00654 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [100] **BaBar** Collaboration, J. P. Lees *et al.*, “Cross sections for the reactions  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0K_L^0\pi^0$ ,  $K_S^0K_L^0\eta$ , and  $K_S^0K_L^0\pi^0\pi^0$  from events with initial-state radiation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **95** no. 5, (2017) 052001, BABAR-PUB-16-004, SLAC-PUB-16918, [arXiv:1701.08297 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [101] **BaBar** Collaboration, J. P. Lees *et al.*, “Measurement of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0$  and  $K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp\eta$  cross sections using initial-state radiation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **95** no. 9, (2017) 092005, BABAR-PUB-15-005, SLAC-PUB-16940, [arXiv:1704.05009 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [102] **CMD-3** Collaboration, R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, “Study of the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta$  in the c.m. energy range 1394-2005 MeV with the CMD-3 detector,” *Phys. Lett. B* **773** (2017) 150–158, [arXiv:1706.06267 \[hep-ex\]](#).

- [103] **SND** Collaboration, M. N. Achasov *et al.*, “Measurement of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$  cross section below  $\sqrt{s} = 2$  GeV,” *Phys. Rev. D* **94** no. 9, (2016) 092002, [arXiv:1607.00371 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [104] **KLOE** Collaboration, F. Ambrosino *et al.*, “Study of the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$  in the  $\phi$ -meson mass region with the KLOE detector,” *Phys. Lett. B* **669** (2008) 223–228, [arXiv:0807.4909 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [105] **SND** Collaboration, V. M. Aulchenko *et al.*, “Study of the Reactions  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$  and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$  with SND Detector at VEPP-2M,” BUDKER-INP-2000-35.
- [106] **CMD-2** Collaboration, R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, “Study of the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$  in c.m. energy range 920 MeV – 1380 MeV at CMD-2,” *Phys. Lett. B* **562** (2003) 173–181, [arXiv:hep-ex/0304009](#).
- [107] **SND** Collaboration, M. N. Achasov *et al.*, “Measurement of the cross section for the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$  process in the energy range of 1.1 GeV – 1.9 GeV,” *JETP Lett.* **94** (2012) 734–737.
- [108] **SND** Collaboration, M. N. Achasov *et al.*, “Investigation of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$  reaction in the energy domain near the  $\phi$  meson,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **569** (2000) 158–182, [arXiv:hep-ex/9907026](#).
- [109] **ND** Collaboration, S. I. Dolinsky *et al.*, “The Reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Omega\pi^0$  in the Center-of-mass Energy Range From 1.0 GeV to 1.4 GeV,” *Phys. Lett. B* **174** (1986) 453–457, NOVOSIBIRSK-86-69.
- [110] **CMD-2** Collaboration, R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, “Study of the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$  in c.m. energy range 600 MeV to 970 MeV at CMD2,” *Phys. Lett. B* **580** (2004) 119–128, [arXiv:hep-ex/0310012](#).
- [111] **SND** Collaboration, M. N. Achasov *et al.*, “Updated measurement of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$  cross section with the SND detector,” *Phys. Rev. D* **94** no. 11, (2016) 112001, [arXiv:1610.00235 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [112] **DM1** Collaboration, A. Cordier, D. Bisello, J. C. Bizot, J. Buon, B. Delcourt, and F. Mane, “Observation of a New Isoscalar Vector Meson in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$  Annihilation at 1.65 GeV,” *Phys. Lett. B* **106** (1981) 155–158, LAL 81/20.
- [113] **SND** Collaboration, M. N. Achasov *et al.*, “Study of the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta\pi^0$  in the energy range  $\sqrt{s} < 2$  GeV with the SND detector,” *Phys. Rev. D* **94** no. 3, (2016) 032010, [arXiv:1606.06481 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [114] **CMD-3** Collaboration, V. L. Ivanov *et al.*, “Study of the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$  with the CMD-3 detector at the VEPP-2000 collider,” *Phys. Lett. B* **798** (2019) 134946, [arXiv:1906.08006 \[hep-ex\]](#).

- [115] **BaBar** Collaboration, J. P. Lees *et al.*, “Study of the reactions  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$  and  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\eta\gamma$  at center-of-mass energies from threshold to 4.35 GeV using initial-state radiation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98** no. 11, (2018) 112015, SLAC-PUB-17344, arXiv:1810.11962 [hep-ex].
- [116] **DM2** Collaboration, D. Bisello *et al.*, “A Measurement of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \bar{p}p$  for 1975 MeV  $\leq \sqrt{s} \leq 2250$  MeV,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **224** (1983) 379, LAL 83/15.
- [117] **CMD-3** Collaboration, R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, “Study of the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}$  in the c.m. energy range from threshold to 2 GeV with the CMD-3 detector,” *Phys. Lett. B* **759** (2016) 634–640, arXiv:1507.08013 [hep-ex].
- [118] **DM1** Collaboration, B. Delcourt *et al.*, “Study of the Reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}$  in the Total Energy Range 1925 MeV – 2180 MeV,” *Phys. Lett. B* **86** (1979) 395–398, LAL-79/12.
- [119] **BaBar** Collaboration, J. P. Lees *et al.*, “Study of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}$  via initial-state radiation at BABAR,” *Phys. Rev. D* **87** no. 9, (2013) 092005, BABAR-PUB-12-030, SLAC-PUB-15324, arXiv:1302.0055 [hep-ex].
- [120] **FENICE** Collaboration, A. Antonelli *et al.*, “The first measurement of the neutron electromagnetic form-factors in the timelike region,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **517** (1998) 3–35.
- [121] **SND** Collaboration, M. N. Achasov *et al.*, “Study of the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow n\bar{n}$  at the VEPP-2000  $e^+e^-$  collider with the SND detector,” *Phys. Rev. D* **90** no. 11, (2014) 112007, arXiv:1410.3188 [hep-ex].
- [122] **BES** Collaboration, M. Ablikim *et al.*, “R value measurements for  $e^+e^-$  annihilation at 2.60 GeV, 3.07 GeV and 3.65 GeV,” *Phys. Lett. B* **677** (2009) 239–245, arXiv:0903.0900 [hep-ex].
- [123] **BES** Collaboration, J. Z. Bai *et al.*, “Measurements of the cross-section for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$  at center-of-mass energies from 2 GeV to 5 GeV,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88** (2002) 101802, SLAC-PUB-8938, arXiv:hep-ex/0102003.
- [124] **BES II** Collaboration, M. Ablikim *et al.*, “Measurements of the continuum R(uds) and R values in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation in the energy region between 3.650 and 3.872 GeV,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** (2006) 262001, arXiv:hep-ex/0612054.
- [125] **Mark-J** Collaboration, D. P. Barber *et al.*, “Measurement of Hadron Production and Three Jet Event Properties at PETRA MIT,” *Phys. Lett. B* **108** (1982) 63–66, MIT-LNS-115.
- [126] **DASP** Collaboration, H. Albrecht *et al.*, “The Hadronic Cross-section of Electron - Positron Annihilation at 9.5 GeV and the  $\Upsilon$  and  $\Upsilon'$  Resonance Parameters,” *Phys. Lett. B* **116** (1982) 383–386, DESY-82-037.

- [127] **TASSO** Collaboration, M. Althoff *et al.*, “Measurement of  $r$  and Search for the Top Quark in  $e^+e^-$  Annihilation Between 39.8 GeV and 45.2 GeV,” *Phys. Lett. B* **138** (1984) 441–448, DESY-84-001.
- [128] **CELLO** Collaboration, H. J. Behrend *et al.*, “Determination of  $\alpha_s$  and  $\sin^2\theta(w)$  from Measurements of the Total Hadronic Cross-Section in  $e^+e^-$  Annihilation,” *Phys. Lett. B* **183** (1987) 400–411, DESY-86-133.
- [129] **VENUS** Collaboration, H. Yoshida *et al.*, “Measurement of  $R$  and Search for New Heavy Quarks in  $e^+e^-$  Annihilation at 50 GeV and 52 GeV Center-of-mass Energies,” *Phys. Lett. B* **198** (1987) 570, KEK-PREPRINT-87-81, KOBE-HEP-87-03, KUNS-893, OULNS-87-5, TMUP-HEL-87-22.
- [130] **TOPAZ** Collaboration, I. Adachi *et al.*, “Measurement of the Total Hadronic Cross-sections in  $e^+e^-$  Annihilation and Determination of the Standard Model Parameters,” *Phys. Lett. B* **234** (1990) 525–533, KEK-PREPRINT-89-136, DPNU-89-51, INS-779, KOBE-HEP-89-10, NWU-HEP-89-04, OCU-HEP-89-04, PU-89-636, TU-HEP-89-03, TUAT-HEP-89-03, UT-HE-89-08.
- [131] **VENUS** Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, “Experimental limits on extra  $Z$  bosons from  $e^+e^-$  annihilation data with the VENUS detector at  $\sqrt{s} = 50$  GeV to approximately 64 GeV,” *Phys. Lett. B* **246** (1990) 297–305, KEK-PREPRINT-90-34.
- [132] **TOPAZ** Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, “Search for a narrow resonance in  $e^+e^-$  collisions between  $E(\text{cm}) = 58$  GeV and 60 GeV,” *Phys. Lett. B* **304** (1993) 373–380, KEK-PREPRINT-92-205, TUAT-HEP-93-01, NWU-HEP-9302, DPNU-93-08, OCU-HEP-93-1, PU-93-666, INS-966, KOBE-HEP-93-04, TIT-HPE-93-03.
- [133] **TOPAZ** Collaboration, K. Miyabayashi *et al.*, “Measurement of the total hadronic cross-section and determination of  $\gamma - Z$  interference in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation,” *Phys. Lett. B* **347** (1995) 171–178, DPNU-94-47, NWU-HEP-94-06, KEK-PREPRINT-94-152, TUAT-HEP-94-05, TIT-HPE-94-11, OCU-HEP-94-09, PU-94-688, INS-1074, KOBE-HEP-94-08.
- [134] **KEDR** Collaboration, V. V. Anashin *et al.*, “Precise measurement of  $R_{uds}$  and  $R$  between 1.84 and 3.72 GeV at the KEDR detector,” *Phys. Lett. B* **788** (2019) 42–51, arXiv:1805.06235 [hep-ex].
- [135] **Aachen-DESY-Annecy(LAPP)-MIT-NIKHEF-Beijing** Collaboration, D. P. Barber *et al.*, “Study of Electron - Positron Collisions at the Highest PETRA Energy,” *Phys. Lett. B* **85** (1979) 463–466, MIT-LNS-104.
- [136] **CLEO** Collaboration, R. Giles *et al.*, “The Total Cross-section for Electron - Positron Annihilation Into Hadron Final States in the  $\Upsilon$  Energy Region,” *Phys. Rev. D* **29** (1984) 1285, CLNS-83/586, CLEO-83-10.

- [137] **SLAC-PEP-MAC** Collaboration, E. Fernandez *et al.*, “Precision Measurement of the Total Cross-section for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow$  Hadrons at a Center-of-mass Energy of 29 GeV,” *Phys. Rev. D* **31** (1985) 1537, SLAC-PUB-3479.
- [138] **Mark-J** Collaboration, B. Adeva *et al.*, “Study of Hadron and Inclusive Muon Production From Electron Positron Annihilation at  $39.79 \text{ GeV} \leq \sqrt{s} \leq 46.78 \text{ GeV}$ ,” *Phys. Rev. D* **34** (1986) 681–691, MIT-LNS-146.
- [139] **AMY** Collaboration, T. Kumita *et al.*, “Measurements of  $R$  for  $e^+e^-$  annihilation at TRISTAN,” *Phys. Rev. D* **42** (1990) 1339–1349, KEK-PREPRINT-89-188, AMY-89-21.
- [140] **MARK-II** Collaboration, C. Von Zanthier *et al.*, “Measurement of the Total Hadronic Cross-section in  $e^+e^-$  Annihilation at  $\sqrt{s} = 29 \text{ GeV}$ ,” *Phys. Rev. D* **43** (1991) 34–45, SLAC-PUB-5213, LBL-28859.
- [141] **CLEO** Collaboration, R. Ammar *et al.*, “A Measurement of the total cross-section for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow$  hadrons at  $\sqrt{s} = 10.52 \text{ GeV}$ ,” *Phys. Rev. D* **57** (1998) 1350–1358, SLAC-PUB-9765, CLNS-97-1493, CLEO-97-14, arXiv:hep-ex/9707018.
- [142] **SLAC-SPEAR** Collaboration, P. A. Rapidis *et al.*, “Observation of a Resonance in  $e^+e^-$  Annihilation Just Above Charm Threshold,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **39** (1977) 526, SLAC-PUB-1959, LBL-6484. [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 39, 974 (1977)].
- [143] **CUSB** Collaboration, E. Rice *et al.*, “Search for structure in  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow$  hadrons) between  $\sqrt{s} = 10.34 \text{ GeV}$  and  $11.6 \text{ GeV}$ ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48** (1982) 906–910.
- [144] **BES** Collaboration, J. Z. Bai *et al.*, “Measurement of the total cross-section for hadronic production by  $e^+e^-$  annihilation at energies between  $2.6 \text{ GeV} - 5 \text{ GeV}$ ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84** (2000) 594–597, SLAC-REPRINT-1999-087, arXiv:hep-ex/9908046.
- [145] **JADE** Collaboration, B. Naroska, “ $e^+e^-$  Physics with the JADE Detector at PETRA,” *Phys. Rept.* **148** (1987) 67, DESY-86-113.
- [146] **Mark-II** Collaboration, R. H. Schindler, “Charmed meson production and decay properties at the  $\Psi''(3770)$ ,” SLAC-R-0219.
- [147] **SLAC-SPEAR** Collaboration, A. Osterheld *et al.*, “Measurements of Total Hadronic and Inclusive  $D^*$  Cross-sections in  $e^+e^-$  Annihilations Between  $3.87 \text{ GeV}$  and  $4.5 \text{ GeV}$ ,” SLAC-PUB-4160.
- [148] **Crystal Ball** Collaboration, C. Edwards *et al.*, “Hadron Production in  $e^+e^-$  Annihilation From  $\sqrt{s} = 5 \text{ GeV}$  to  $7.4 \text{ GeV}$ ,” SLAC-PUB-5160.
- [149] **LENA** Collaboration, B. Niczyporuk *et al.*, “Measurement of  $R$  in  $e^+e^-$  Annihilation for  $\sqrt{s}$  Between  $7.4 \text{ GeV}$  and  $9.4 \text{ GeV}$ ,” *Z. Phys. C* **15** (1982) 299, DESY-82-052.

- [150] **TASSO** Collaboration, M. Althoff *et al.*, “Jet Production and Fragmentation in  $e^+e^-$  Annihilation at 12 GeV to 43 GeV,” *Z. Phys. C* **22** (1984) 307–340, DESY-83-130.
- [151] **Crystal Ball** Collaboration, Z. Jakubowski *et al.*, “Determination of  $\Gamma(ee)$  of the  $\Upsilon$  (1s) and  $\Upsilon$  (2s) Resonances and Measurement of R at  $W=9.39$  GeV,” *Z. Phys. C* **40** (1988) 49, SLAC-PUB-4567, DESY-88-032.
- [152] **TASSO** Collaboration, W. Braunschweig *et al.*, “Global Jet Properties at 14 GeV to 44 GeV Center-of-mass Energy in  $e^+e^-$  Annihilation,” *Z. Phys. C* **47** (1990) 187–198, DESY-90-013.
- [153] **TASSO** Collaboration, R. Brandelik *et al.*, “ $e^+e^-$  Annihilation at High-Energies and Search for the t-Quark Continuum Contribution,” *Z. Phys. C* **4** (1980) 87, DESY-79/74.
- [154] **ARGUS** Collaboration, H. Albrecht *et al.*, “Measurement of R and determination of the charged particle multiplicity in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation at  $\sqrt{s}$  around 10 GeV,” *Z. Phys. C* **54** (1992) 13–20, DESY-91-092.
- [155] **DESY-Hamburg-Heidelberg-Munich** Collaboration, P. Bock *et al.*, “Total Cross-section for Hadron Production by  $e^+e^-$  Annihilation Between 9.4 GeV and 9.5 GeV,” *Z. Phys. C* **6** (1980) 125, DESY-80-58.
- [156] **MD-1** Collaboration, A. E. Blinov *et al.*, “The Measurement of R in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation at center-of-mass energies between 7.2 GeV and 10.34 GeV,” *Z. Phys. C* **70** (1996) 31–38, BUDKER-INP-1993-54.
- [157] S. Borsanyi *et al.*, “Leading hadronic contribution to the muon magnetic moment from lattice QCD,” *Nature* **593** no. 7857, (2021) 51–55, arXiv:2002.12347 [hep-lat].