

# WHEN IDEALS PROPERLY EXTEND THE CLASS OF ARBAULT SETS

PRATULANANDA DAS AND AYAN GHOSH

ABSTRACT. In this article we continue the investigation of generalized version of Arbault sets, that was initiated in [15] but look at the picture from the most general point of view where ideals come into play. While Arbault sets can be naturally associated with the Frechet ideal  $Fin$ , in [15] it was observed that when  $Fin$  is replaced by the natural density ideal  $\mathcal{I}_d$  one can obtain a strictly larger class of trigonometric thin sets containing Arbault sets. From the set theoretic point of view a natural question arises as whether one can broaden the picture and specify a class of ideals (instead of a single ideal) each of which would have the similar effect. As a natural candidate, we focus on a special class of ideals, namely, non- $snt$  ideals ( $snt$  stands for “strongly non translation invariant”) which properly contains the class of translation invariant ideals ( $\supseteq Fin$ ) and happens to contain ideals generated by simple density functions as also certain non-negative regular summability matrices (but not all) which can be seen from [16]. We consider the resulting class of  $\mathcal{I}$ -Arbault sets and it is observed that for each such ideal, the class of  $\mathcal{I}$ -Arbault sets not only properly contains the class of classical Arbault sets [1] but also a large subfamily of  $\mathbf{N}$ -sets (also called “sets of absolute convergence”) [22] while being contained in the class of weak Dirichlet sets.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND THE BACKGROUND

Throughout  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $\mathbb{N}$  will stand for the set of all real numbers, the set of all rational numbers, the set of all integers, the set of all complex numbers on the unit circle and the set of all positive natural numbers respectively. The first three are equipped with their usual abelian group structure and the circle group  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  is equipped with the operation of addition mod 1. Following [30, 31], we may identify  $\mathbb{T}$  with the interval  $[0,1]$  identifying 0 and 1. Any real valued function  $f$  defined on  $\mathbb{T}$  can be identified with a periodic function defined on the whole real line  $\mathbb{R}$  with period 1, i.e.,  $f(x+1) = f(x)$  for every real  $x$ . When referring to a set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  we assume that  $X \subseteq [0,1]$  and  $0 \in X$  if and only if  $1 \in X$ .

Our principal interest in this article is in trigonometric thin sets. These sets came into prominence initially for their association with trigonometric series [13] and then Fourier analysis.

One can have a nice and brief overview of the initial progress, several modifications and generalizations in this direction from [37, 41] and also the survey articles [10, 35] (for a general view) and one should note that though historically trigonometric thin sets came into play while trying to understand the seemingly “bad” sets

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 03E05, 43A46 Secondary: 03E15, 22B05.

*Key words and phrases*. Circle group, thin set, strongly non translation invariant ideal,  $\mathcal{I}$ -characterized subgroup,  $\mathcal{I}$ -Arbault sets,  $\mathbf{N}$ -sets (sets of absolute convergence).

beyond which absolute convergence happens, precisely the families of exceptional sets considered in trigonometric series theory or Fourier analysis, they themselves became objects of serious investigation over the years.

Of particular interest have been “thin sets” like Arbault sets, Dirichlet sets,  $\mathbf{N}$ -sets (also called a set of absolute convergence),  $\mathbf{N}_0$ -sets which have been extensively investigated in the literature. There is an axiomatic approach to define trigonometric thin sets in general parlance. Following [10] we define a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{T}$  to be a family of thin sets if the following conditions hold:

- (a) For each  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $\{x\} \in \mathcal{F}$ ,
- (b) if  $Y \subseteq X \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $Y \in \mathcal{F}$ ,
- (c)  $\mathcal{F}$  does not contain any open interval.

From the family  $\mathcal{F}$  one may construct a new family  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  by

$$\mathcal{F}_\sigma = \left\{ \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n : X_n \in \mathcal{F} \right\}.$$

A subfamily  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  is called a basis for  $\mathcal{F}$  if for any  $X \in \mathcal{F}$  there exists  $Y \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $X \subseteq Y$ . If the basis  $\mathcal{G}$  consists of  $F_\sigma$ -sets, Borel sets, etc., then the basis is called  $F_\sigma$  basis, Borel basis, etc.

The arithmetic difference of two sets  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  is defined as

$$A - B = \{z \in \mathbb{T} : z = x - y \text{ for some } x \in A, y \in B\}.$$

A family of thin sets  $\mathcal{F}$  is called trigonometric if for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $A - A \in \mathcal{F}$ .

For a real  $x$ , we denote its fractional part by  $\{x\}$  and  $\|x\|$  the distance from the integer i.e.  $\min\{\{x\}, 1 - \{x\}\}$ . It is well known that

$$2\|x\| \leq |\sin \pi x| \leq \pi\|x\|.$$

As is customary we will use the inequality by replacing  $|\sin \pi x|$  and  $\|x\|$  one by another, wherever required to serve our purpose. Let us now recall some definitions of classical thin sets which have been of much interest (some equivalent definitions can be found in [10, 11, 20, 30]).

A set  $X \subseteq [0, 1]$  is called

- (1) a  $\mathbf{D}$ -set (Dirichlet set) if there exists an increasing sequence of naturals  $(a_n)$  such that  $\|a_n x\|$  converges uniformly to 0 on  $X$ .
- (2) an  $\mathbf{A}$ -set (Arbault set) if there exists an increasing sequence of naturals  $(a_n)$  such that  $\|a_n x\|$  converges pointwise to 0 on  $X$ .
- (3) an  $\mathbf{N}$ -set if there exists a sequence of naturals  $(a_n)$  and a sequence of non-negative reals  $(r_n)$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n = \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n \|a_n x\| < \infty$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- (4) an  $\mathbf{N}_0$ -set if there exists a sequence of naturals  $(a_n)$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|a_n x\| < \infty$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- (5) a  $\mathbf{wD}$ -set (weak Dirichlet set) if  $X$  is universally measurable and for every positive Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, 1]$  there exists an increasing sequence of naturals  $(a_n)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |e^{2\pi i a_n x} - 1| d\mu(x) = 0.$$

The family of all **D**-sets, **N**-sets, **N<sub>0</sub>**-sets, **A**-sets, **wD**-sets will be denoted by  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_0$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $w\mathcal{D}$  respectively. It is known that  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_0$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  are the typical examples of families of trigonometric thin sets with a Borel basis (see [1]). There are well known results about these classical families of trigonometric thin sets (for exact references and proofs we refer to the survey paper [10], see also [1, 4, 11, 31, 32, 38] as also [15]):

$$(R1) \quad \mathcal{D} \subsetneq \mathcal{N}_0 \subsetneq \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{R} \subsetneq w\mathcal{D},$$

$$(R2) \quad \mathcal{D} \subsetneq \mathcal{N}_0 \subsetneq \mathcal{N} \subsetneq w\mathcal{D},$$

Very recently in [15] we had considered the class of trigonometric thin sets formed by statistically characterized subgroups [18] as basis, which we named, statistical Arbault sets and showed that it forms a new class of thin sets properly containing the class of classical Arbault sets among several interesting results.

At this particular point a prominent set theoretical object, namely “ideals” come into picture, the motivation for which is now explained below.

A family  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$  is called an ideal on  $\mathbb{N}$  whenever

- $\mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset$ ,
- $\mathbb{N} \notin \mathcal{I}$ ,
- if  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$  then  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
- $A \subset B$  and  $B \in \mathcal{I}$  then  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ .

An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is called admissible (or free) if  $\{n\} \in \mathcal{I}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal on  $\mathbb{N}$ , by  $\mathcal{I}^*$  we denote the dual filter, that is,  $\mathcal{I}^* = \{\mathbb{N} \setminus A : A \in \mathcal{I}\}$  whereas  $\mathcal{I}^+ = \{B \subseteq \mathbb{N} : B \notin \mathcal{I}\}$  is called the coideal of the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ . By  $Fin$  we denote the ideal of all finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Another very prominent ideal is defined as follows.

For  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \leq n$ , let  $[m, n]$  denotes the set  $\{m, m+1, m+2, \dots, n\}$ . By  $|A|$  we denote the cardinality of a set  $A$ . The lower and the upper natural densities of  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  are defined by [8]

$$\underline{d}(A) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap [1, n]|}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{d}(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap [1, n]|}{n}.$$

If  $\underline{d}(A) = \bar{d}(A)$ , we say that the natural density of  $A$  exists and it is denoted by  $d(A)$ . It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{I}_d = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{N} : d(A) = 0\}$  forms a free ideal (it is known that  $\mathcal{I}_d$  is also an analytic  $P$ -ideal just like  $Fin$ ).

For two subsets  $A, B$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  and an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ , we will write

- $A \subseteq_{\mathcal{I}} B$  if  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \setminus A \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
- $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  is called  $\mathcal{I}$ -translation invariant if  $A + n = \{m + n \in \mathbb{N} : m \in A\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Note that for any  $A \subseteq_{\mathcal{I}} B$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{I}^+$  implies  $A \in \mathcal{I}^+$ .  $\mathcal{I}$  is called translation invariant if every  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -translation invariant.

An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  is called a  $P$ -ideal if for every sequence  $(A_n)$  of sets in  $\mathcal{I}$  there is a set  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $A_n \subset^* A$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Further every ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  can be treated as a subset of the Cantor space  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  in view of the fact that  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$  and  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  can be identified via the characteristic functions. An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is called analytic if it corresponds to an analytic subset of the Cantor space  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ . A highly

nontrivial theorem of Solecki [39] states that each analytic  $P$ -ideal on  $\mathbb{N}$  is actually the exhaustive set for some lower semicontinuous submeasure  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Next we come to the notion of convergence defined using the notion of ideals. The following notion first appeared in the work of celebrated mathematician Henry Cartan [13] and then again reappeared in 2000.

**Definition** (cf. [33]) In a topological space  $X$ , given an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ , we say that a sequence  $(x_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $x \in X$  whenever for every open set  $U$  containing  $x$ , the set  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \notin U\} \in \mathcal{I}$  (we will write  $x_n \rightarrow x$  w.r.t  $\mathcal{I}$ ).

It is known (see [33]) that “ $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $x \iff$  there is a set  $M \in \mathcal{I}^*$  such that  $(x_n)_{n \in M}$  is convergent to  $x$ ” iff  $\mathcal{I}$  is a  $P$ -ideal. In the literature about ideal convergence, a very prominent role has been played by the class of analytic  $P$ -ideals (for example see [33, 36] and the survey article [23]) while these ideals had long been topics of much interest in set theory (see [21, 26, 39, 40] where more references can be found).

Using the notion of ideal convergence, in [16] the ideal version of characterized subgroups (Definition 2.3) was introduced which encompasses all such notions existing in the literature and is the principal tool to generate the desired class of thin sets.

Taking in particular  $\mathcal{I} = Fin$  one obtains the classical notion of characterized subgroups (Definition 2.1) which generates the class of Arbault sets as a basis while for the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_d$ , the notion of statistically characterized subgroups (Definition 2.2) comes along [18] which in turn generates the class of statistical Arbault sets as basis [15] (a little more detailed discussion about characterized subgroups is given in Section 2 in order to make the article more accessible to readers).

In this article our primary aim is to consider the ideal version of Arbault sets which automatically encompasses Arbault sets and statistically Arbault sets as special cases. However as it happens quite often, if one considers any arbitrary ideal, then the generalization may not yield any advantages, while on the contrary some of the existing results may break down. As has been the case (again one may see [33, 36] as examples of case study) when it comes to generalization using ideals, the most important fact that one has to keep in mind is “what type of ideals to consider” in order to obtain the desired results. Here our reference point is the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_d$  and the observation that it always produces a strictly bigger class of thin sets properly containing the class of Arbault sets. As a “suitable class of ideals” containing the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_d$  one natural choice is the class of non-*snt* ideals (where *snt* stands for strongly non-translation invariant) but it is not at all obvious whether every member of this class would have the desired property.

As it will be observed during the subsequent progress of this article, that for every ideal coming from the class of non-*snt* ideals, the resulting class of  $\mathcal{I}$ -Arbault sets indeed forms a new class of trigonometric thin sets properly containing the class of classical Arbault sets. The other advantage is that unlike the class of Arbault sets, it is much bigger and actually contains a large subfamily of  $\mathbf{N}$ -sets, in particular, contains types of  $\mathbf{N}$ -sets which have been extensively used in Fourier analysis. In this particular respect this new class seems much more beneficial than the class of Arbault sets which is only known to contain  $\mathbf{N}_0$ -sets.

Another very important takeaway is the following: In the rich theory of characterized subgroups (see [17]) it has been known that every countable subgroup can be characterized but for uncountable Borel subgroups there have not been much

clarity as also deficiency of examples of uncountable Borel subgroups which can not be characterized. In view of Theorem 2.10 one have lots of such examples.

## 2. THE NOVELTY OF $\mathcal{I}$ -CHARACTERIZED SUBGROUPS FOR NON-*snt* IDEALS

Let us begin with how one can generate historically nice subgroups of the circle via the notion of usual convergence (we would like to think it as *Fin*-convergence). These subgroups were first considered in connection with distribution of sequences of multiples of a given real number mod 1 [42]. From another direction, the notion of characterized subgroups has evolved over the years as a generalization of the notion of torsion subgroup (recall that an element  $x$  of an abelian group is torsion if there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $kx = 0$ ). An element  $x$  of an abelian topological group  $G$  is [7]:

- (i) *topologically torsion* if  $n!x \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (ii) *topologically  $p$ -torsion*, for a prime  $p$ , if  $p^n x \rightarrow 0$ .

It is obvious that any  $p$ -torsion element is topologically  $p$ -torsion. Armacost [2] defined the subgroups

$$X_p = \{x \in X : p^n x \rightarrow 0\} \text{ and } X! = \{x \in X : n!x \rightarrow 0\}$$

of an abelian topological group  $X$ , started their investigation whose answers were partially given by Arbault himself and completed later by Borel [6].

We now recall the definition of, so as to speak, a “classical” characterized subgroup of  $\mathbb{T}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence of integers, the subgroup*

$$t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T}) := \{x \in \mathbb{T} : a_n x \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{T}\}.$$

*of  $\mathbb{T}$  is called a characterized (by  $(a_n)$ ) subgroup of  $\mathbb{T}$ .*

Even if the notion was inspired by the various (earlier) instances mentioned above, the term “characterized” appeared much later, coined in [5] and since then, have been of much interest in different areas of Mathematics (one must see the excellent survey article [17] where the rich history along with the results and references can be found).

The idea of, so as to speak, “differently” characterized subgroups came into existence in [18] to understand how the characterized subgroups behave when usual convergence (i.e., *Fin*-convergence) is replaced by a more general notion of convergence with respect to an ideal. For the starting the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_d$  was considered and as a consequence using  $\mathcal{I}_d$ -convergence (called statistical convergence in the literature) the statistically characterized subgroups of the circle were introduced in a similar fashion.

**Definition 2.2.** [18] *For a sequence of integers  $(a_n)$ , the subgroup*

$$t_{(a_n)}^s(\mathbb{T}) := \{x \in \mathbb{T} : a_n x \rightarrow 0 \text{ statistically in } \mathbb{T}\}$$

*of  $\mathbb{T}$  is called a statistically characterized (shortly *s*-characterized) (by  $(a_n)$ ) subgroup of  $\mathbb{T}$ .*

Recall that an increasing sequence of naturals  $(u_n)$  is called an arithmetic sequence if  $u_n$  divides  $u_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . An arithmetic sequence  $(u_n)$  is called  $q$ -bounded ( $q$ -divergent) if the sequence of ratios  $(q_n)$  defined by  $q_n = \frac{u_n}{u_{n-1}}$  is bounded (divergent).

In [18] while developing the theory of  $s$ -characterized subgroups it was established that for any arithmetic sequence  $(a_n)$ , the  $s$ -characterized subgroup  $t_{(a_n)}^s(\mathbb{T})$  is always Borel, of size  $\mathfrak{c}$  and strictly larger than the corresponding characterized subgroup  $t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})$  (even when  $t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})$  is uncountable). However this did not imply that using the notion of  $s$ -characterized subgroups one could actually obtain a new subgroup which can never be generated as in Definition 2.1., i.e., it can't be characterized by any sequence of naturals. In [15, Theorem 2.7] it was shown that one can indeed generate “new” subgroups following the process of [18].

As a natural progression,  $\mathcal{I}$ -characterized subgroups were considered for analytic  $P$ -ideals very recently in [16] by considering  $\mathcal{I}$  convergence in the above definitions.

**Definition 2.3.** For a sequence of integers  $(a_n)$ , the subgroup

$$t_{(a_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T}) := \{x \in \mathbb{T} : a_n x \rightarrow 0 \text{ w.r.t } \mathcal{I} \text{ in } \mathbb{T}\} \quad (1)$$

of  $\mathbb{T}$  is called the subgroup of  $\mathbb{T}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}$ -characterized by  $(a_n)$  (shortly, an  $\mathcal{I}$ -characterized subgroup).

Furthermore it has been identified in [16] that there is a broad class of ideals called “non-snt” ideals (containing the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_d$  and actually several classes of ideals from the literature) which have a profound impact on the behavior of the generated subgroups.

The first question that comes to mind when ideals come into picture is whether one can identify appropriate classes of ideals for which one would be able to generate new subgroups which can't be characterized by any sequence of naturals. In this section we show that class of “non-snt” ideals do fulfil that role. It should be noted that in the absence of a density function the line of proof can not be replicated from the proof of [15, Theorem 2.7] and needs much more ingenuities.

**Definition 2.4.** [16] An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  will be called strongly non-translation invariant (in short snt-ideal) if it does not contain any infinite  $\mathcal{I}$ -translation invariant set, i.e., for each infinite subset  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ , there exists at least one  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  for which  $A - k \notin \mathcal{I}$ .

Before moving to the main result we just recall some basic facts and results from the literature which will be necessary to have a better understanding of Theorem 2.10.

**Fact 2.5.** For any arithmetic sequence  $(u_n)$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$ , there exists a unique sequence of integers  $(c_n(x))$ , where  $0 \leq c_n(x) < q_n$ , such that

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n(x)}{u_n} \quad (2)$$

and  $c_n(x) < q_n - 1$  for infinitely many  $n$ .

*Proof.* For clarity we recall the construction of the sequence  $(c_n)$ . Take  $c_1 = \lfloor a_1 x \rfloor$ , where  $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$  denotes the integer part. Evidently  $x - \frac{c_1}{a_1} < \frac{1}{a_1}$ .

Suppose,  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$  are defined for some  $k \geq 1$  with  $x_k = \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{c_n}{a_n}$  and  $x - x_k < \frac{1}{a_k}$ . Then the  $(k+1)$ -th element is defined as  $c_{k+1} = \lfloor a_{k+1}(x - x_k) \rfloor$ .  $\square$

We would write  $c_n$  in place of  $c_n(x)$  when there is no confusion about  $x$ . For  $x \in [0, 1]$  with canonical representation (2), we define

$$\text{supp}_{(u_n)}(x) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : c_n(x) \neq 0\}.$$

**Corollary 2.6.** *If  $d(M) = 1$  then for any sequence of integers  $(a_n)$ ,  $t_{(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}}^s(\mathbb{T}) = t_{(a_n)_{n \in M}}^s(\mathbb{T})$ .*

The result is obvious in view of the classical observation that a sequence of real numbers  $(x_n)$  converges to a real number  $x_0$  statistically if and only if there exists a set  $M \in \mathcal{I}_d^*$  such that  $(x_n)_{n \in M}$  usually converges to  $x_0$ .

The next lemma was established in [15] to build a bridge between an arbitrary arithmetic sequence and an increasing sequence of naturals to form a potent tool to compare differently characterized subgroups with classical characterized subgroups or better Arbault sets which they generate and here again it will play a very prominent role to establish the main result of this section.

**Lemma 2.7.** [15] *Let  $(u_n)$  be an arithmetic sequence and  $(a_n)$  be an increasing sequence of naturals. If  $G = \{\frac{1}{u_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})$  then  $a_n$  must be of the form  $u_{k_n} v_n$  where  $k_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $q_{k_n+1}$  does not divide  $v_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Corollary 2.8.** [15] *Let  $G = \{\frac{1}{p^n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $(a_n)$  be an increasing sequence of naturals. If  $G \subseteq t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})$  then  $a_n$  must be of the form  $p^{k_n} v_n$  where  $k_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $p \nmid v_n$ .*

**Proposition 2.9.** [16, Lemma 3.2] *For any analytic  $P$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ , if  $\text{supp}(x) \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $\text{supp}(x)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -translation invariant, then  $x \in t_{(a_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T})$ .*

**Theorem 2.10.** *For any arithmetic sequence  $(u_n)$  and any non-snt analytic  $P$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ , the subgroup  $t_{(u_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T})$  is not an  $\mathbf{A}$ -set.*

*Proof.* Let  $(u_n)$  be an arithmetic sequence. If possible assume that there exists an increasing sequence of naturals  $(a_n)$  such that

$$t_{(u_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T}).$$

Observe that for each  $x \in S = \{\frac{1}{u_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $\text{supp}_{(u_n)}(x)$  is finite. Then Proposition 2.9 ensures that  $S \subseteq t_{(u_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T})$ . Therefore, in view of Lemma 2.7 it follows that  $a_n$  can be written as  $u_{k_n} v_n$  where  $k_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $q_{k_n+1}$  does not divide  $v_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{I}$  is a non-snt ideal, there exists an infinite subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $A$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -translation invariant. Now, we choose a subsequence  $(a_{n_i})$  of  $(a_n)$  (with  $a_{n_1} = a_1$ ) such that

- (i)  $u_{k_{n_{i+1}}} \geq 8a_{n_i}$ ,
- (ii)  $k_{n_i} \in A$ .

Let us define an element  $x \in \mathbb{T}$  in the following way.

$$\text{supp}_{(u_n)}(x) = \{k_{n_i} + 1 : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad \text{and} \quad c_r = \left\lfloor \frac{q_r}{m_r} \right\rfloor \quad \text{for all } r \in \text{supp}_{(u_n)}(x). \quad (3)$$

(where  $1 < m_r \leq q_r$ ). Now observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\{a_{n_i}x\} &= \left\{ v_{n_i} u_{k_{n_i}} \sum_{r=k_{n_i}+1}^{\infty} \frac{c_r}{u_r} \right\} \\
&= \left\{ v_{n_i} c_{k_{n_i}+1} \frac{u_{k_{n_i}}}{u_{k_{n_i}+1}} + v_{n_i} u_{k_{n_i}} \sum_{r=k_{n(i+1)}+1}^{\infty} \frac{c_r}{u_r} \right\} \\
\Rightarrow \{a_{n_i}x\} &= \left\{ c_{k_{n_i}+1} \frac{v_{n_i}}{q_{k_{n_i}+1}} + a_{n_i} \sum_{r=k_{n(i+1)}+1}^{\infty} \frac{c_r}{u_r} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $q_{k_{n_i}+1} \nmid v_{n_i}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we must have

$$\left\{ \frac{v_{n_i}}{q_{k_{n_i}+1}} \right\} = \frac{l_i}{q_{k_{n_i}+1}} \text{ for some } l_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, q_{k_{n_i}+1} - 1\}. \quad (4)$$

Next let us set

$$l'_i = q_{k_{n_i}+1} - l_i \text{ and } m_{k_{n_i}+1} = \begin{cases} 2l_i & \text{if } l_i \leq \frac{q_{k_{n_i}+1}}{2}, \\ 2l'_i & \text{if } l_i > \frac{q_{k_{n_i}+1}}{2}. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

- For  $l_i \leq \frac{q_{k_{n_i}+1}}{2}$ , from (6) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{k_{n_i}+1} &= \frac{q_{k_{n_i}+1} - e_i}{2l_i} \text{ (say) for some } e_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2l_i - 1\} \\
\Rightarrow \left\{ c_{k_{n_i}+1} \frac{v_{n_i}}{q_{k_{n_i}+1}} \right\} &= \left\{ c_{k_{n_i}+1} \frac{l_i}{q_{k_{n_i}+1}} \right\} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{l_i c_{k_{n_i}+1}}{e_i + 2l_i c_{k_{n_i}+1}} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can conclude that

$$\frac{1}{4} \leq \left\{ c_{k_{n_i}+1} \frac{v_{n_i}}{q_{k_{n_i}+1}} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

- On the other hand when  $l_i > \frac{q_{k_{n_i}+1}}{2}$ , from (6) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{k_{n_i}+1} &= \frac{q_{k_{n_i}+1} - e'_i}{2l'_i} \text{ (say) for some } e'_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2l'_i - 1\} \\
\Rightarrow \left\{ c_{k_{n_i}+1} \frac{v_{n_i}}{q_{k_{n_i}+1}} \right\} &= \left\{ c_{k_{n_i}+1} \frac{l_i}{q_{k_{n_i}+1}} \right\} \\
&= \left\{ c_{k_{n_i}+1} \frac{q_{k_{n_i}+1} - l'_i}{q_{k_{n_i}+1}} \right\} \\
&= \left\{ c_{k_{n_i}+1} - \frac{l'_i c_{k_{n_i}+1}}{q_{k_{n_i}+1}} \right\} \\
&= \left\{ c_{k_{n_i}+1} - \frac{l'_i c_{k_{n_i}+1}}{e'_i + 2l'_i c_{k_{n_i}+1}} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

from which we can conclude that

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \left\{ c_{k_{n_i}+1} \frac{v_{n_i}}{q_{k_{n_i}+1}} \right\} \leq \frac{3}{4}.$$

So for both cases we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{4} \leq \left\{ c_{k_{n_i}+1} \frac{v_{n_i}}{q_{k_{n_i}+1}} \right\} \leq \frac{3}{4}.$$

From Property (i), we also have

$$0 \leq a_{n_i} \sum_{r=k_{n(i+1)}+1}^{\infty} \frac{c_r}{u_r} \leq \frac{a_{n_i}}{u_{k_{n(i+1)}}} \leq \frac{1}{8}.$$

Combining everything we observe that

$$\frac{1}{4} \leq \{a_{n_i}x\} = \left\{ c_{k_{n_i}+1} \frac{v_{n_i}}{q_{k_{n_i}+1}} + a_{n_i} \sum_{r=k_{n(i+1)}+1}^{\infty} \frac{c_r}{u_r} \right\} \leq \frac{7}{8}.$$

This shows that  $x \notin t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})$ . As we also have  $\text{supp}_{(u_n)}(x) \subseteq A + 1 \in \mathcal{I}$  so Proposition 2.9 ensures that  $x \in t_{(u_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T})$  which is a contradiction. Consequently we must have  $t_{(u_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T}) \not\subseteq t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})$ . Since the collection of all characterized subgroups forms a basis of the family of Arbault sets  $\mathcal{A}$ , it immediately follows that  $t_{(u_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T})$  is not an  $\mathbf{A}$ -set.  $\square$

### 3. IDEAL VERSION OF ARBAULT SETS AND CERTAIN OBSERVATIONS IN RESPECT OF THE FAMILY $\mathcal{IA}$ FOR NON- $snt$ IDEALS

Following the line of [15] it is natural to introduce the notion of a  $\mathcal{I}$ -Arbault set (in short  $\mathbf{IA}$ -set) which is our prime interest in this article.

**Definition 3.1.** *A set  $X \subseteq [0, 1]$  is called a  $\mathcal{I}$ -Arbault set ( $\mathbf{IA}$ -set in short) if there exists an increasing sequence of naturals  $(a_n)$  such that  $\|a_n x\|$   $\mathcal{I}$ -converges to 0 for all  $x \in X$ .*

Throughout, the family of all  $\mathbf{IA}$ -sets will be denoted by  $\mathcal{IA}$ . We start with the known observation that the collection of all characterized subgroups form a  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  basis of the family  $\mathcal{A}$  and also the collection of all  $s$ -characterized subgroups form a  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  basis of the family  $s\mathcal{A}$ . Along the same line we have the following.

**Proposition 3.2.** *The family  $\mathcal{IA}$  has a  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  basis consisting of  $\mathcal{I}$ -characterized subgroups of  $\mathbb{T}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote the family of all  $\mathcal{I}$ -characterized subgroups of the circle, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{G} = \{t_{(a_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T}) : (a_n) \text{ is an increasing sequence of naturals}\}.$$

Since every member  $t_{(a_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T})$  of the family  $\mathcal{G}$  is an  $\mathbf{IA}$ -set by definition of an  $\mathcal{I}$ -characterized subgroup, it is obvious that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a subfamily of  $\mathcal{IA}$ .

Now consider any  $A \in \mathcal{IA}$ . Then there exists an increasing sequence of naturals  $(b_n)$  such that  $\|b_n x\| \rightarrow 0$  with respect to  $\mathcal{I}$  for all  $x \in A$ . Therefore,  $A \subseteq t_{(b_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T})$  and we conclude that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a basis for the family  $\mathcal{IA}$ . In [16] we have shown that every  $\mathcal{I}$ -characterized subgroup is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  subset of  $\mathbb{T}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{G}$  is a  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  basis for the family  $\mathcal{IA}$ .  $\square$

The following results immediately follows in line of [15].

**Proposition 3.3.** *The family  $\mathcal{IA}$  is a family of trigonometric thin sets.*

**Proposition 3.4.** *If  $A \in \mathcal{IA}$  and  $G$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{T}$  generated by  $A$ , then  $G \in \mathcal{IA}$ .*

**Proposition 3.5.** *For the family  $\mathcal{IA}$ , the following hold:*

- (i) *It cannot have a  $F_\sigma$  basis,*
- (ii) *Every basis of  $\mathcal{IA}$  has cardinality at least  $\mathfrak{c}$ .*

**Corollary 3.6.**  *$\mathcal{IA} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{L}$  where  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  denote respectively the collection of meagre sets and sets with Lebesgue measure zero.*

Our next two theorems ensure that the newly introduced family  $\mathcal{IA}$  is really new compared to already investigated classical trigonometric thin families (such as  $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{N}_0, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{N}$  etc.) and provide a clear view regarding the families  $\mathcal{IA}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** *For any arithmetic sequence  $(u_n)$  and any non-snt analytic  $P$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ , the subgroup  $t_{(u_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T})$  contains a  $\mathbf{N}$ -set which is not an  $\mathbf{A}$ -set.*

*Proof.* Let us define

$$A = \{x \in t_{(u_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T}) : \sum \frac{1}{n} |\sin u_n \pi x| < \infty\}.$$

Considering the sequence  $(r_n)$  where  $r_n = \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from definition it follows that  $A$  is a  $\mathbf{N}$ -set. Therefore, only fact left to be shown is that  $A \notin \mathcal{A}$ .

Since the class of characterized subgroups forms a basis of  $\mathcal{A}$  [3] so if possible assume that  $A \subseteq t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})$  for some sequence of naturals  $(a_n)$ . It is easy to observe that  $A$  contains  $G = \{\frac{1}{u_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then Lemma 2.7 ensures that the sequence  $(a_n)$  will be of the form  $a_n = u_{k_n} v_n$  where  $k_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $q_{k_n+1} \nmid v_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We are going to show that we can always construct an element  $x \in A$  depending on the sequence  $(a_n)$  such that  $x \notin t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})$ .

Since  $\mathcal{I}$  is a non-snt ideal, there exists an infinite subset  $B$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $B \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $B$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -translation invariant. Now, we choose a subsequence  $(a_{n_i})$  of  $(a_n)$  (with  $a_{n_1} = a_1$ ) such that

- (i)  $k_{n_i} \in B$ ,
- (ii)  $u_{k_{n_{i+1}}} \geq 8a_{n_i}$ ,
- (iii)  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k_{n_i}} < \infty$ .

Consider an element  $x$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  such that

$$\text{supp}_{(u_n)}(x) = \{k_{n_i} + 1 : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ and } c_r = \left\lfloor \frac{q_r}{m_r} \right\rfloor \text{ for all } r \in \text{supp}_{(u_n)}(x), \quad (6)$$

where  $1 < m_r \leq q_r$ , i.e.,  $x = \sum_{r \in \text{supp}_{(u_n)}(x)} \frac{c_r}{u_r}$ . Now proceeding exactly as in

Theorem 2.10, we obtain that

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{4} \leq \{a_{n_i} x\} = \left\{ c_{k_{n_i+1}} \frac{v_{n_i}}{q_{k_{n_i+1}}} + a_{n_i} \sum_{r=k_{n_{i+1}}+1}^{\infty} \frac{c_r}{u_r} \right\} \leq \frac{7}{8}.$$

Therefore, we get  $x \notin t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})$ .

Since  $k_{n_i} \in B$  and  $B$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -translation invariant then we must have  $\text{supp}_{(u_n)}(x) \in \mathcal{I}$ . Consequently, Proposition 2.9 ensures that  $x \in t_{(u_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T})$ . Let  $j$  be any integer

such that  $k_{n(i-1)} < j \leq k_{n_i}$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \{u_j x\} &= u_j \sum_{r=i}^{\infty} \frac{c_r}{u_{k_{n_r}+1}} \leq \frac{u_j}{u_{k_{n_i}}} \\ \Rightarrow |\sin u_j \pi x| &\leq \sin \frac{\pi u_j}{u_{k_{n_i}}} \leq \frac{\pi u_j}{u_{k_{n_i}}} \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{j=k_{n(i-1)}+1}^{k_{n_i}} \frac{|\sin u_j \pi x|}{j} &< \pi \sum_{j=k_{n(i-1)}+1}^{k_{n_i}} \frac{u_j}{j u_{k_{n_i}}} \\ &< \frac{\pi}{k_{n(i-1)}} \sum_{j=k_{n(i-1)}+1}^{k_{n_i}} \frac{u_j}{u_{k_{n_i}}} < \frac{2\pi}{k_{n(i-1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we have  $\sum \frac{|\sin u_n \pi x|}{n} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\pi}{k_{n_i}} < \infty$  and so  $x \in A$  – which is a contradiction since  $A \subseteq t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})$  and we have already shown that  $x \notin t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})$ . Thus, there does not exist any increasing sequence  $(a_n)$  for which  $A \subseteq t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})$ . Therefore,  $A \notin \mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.**  $\mathcal{IA} \cap \mathcal{N} \not\subseteq \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* The assertion follows directly from Theorem 3.7.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.9.**  $\mathcal{A} \subsetneq \mathcal{IA}$ .

*Proof.* The assertion follows directly from Theorem 2.10.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.10.**  $\mathcal{IA} \not\subseteq \mathcal{N}_\sigma$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{A} \not\subseteq \mathcal{N}_\sigma$  [31], the result follows directly from Corollary 3.9.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.11.**  $\mathcal{IA} \not\subseteq \mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* In order to establish the result we are going to find an  $A \in \mathcal{IA} \setminus \mathcal{A}$  which cannot be contained in an  $F_\sigma$  set which in turn would imply that  $A$  cannot be an  $\mathbf{N}$ -set since the family  $\mathcal{N}$  has a  $F_\sigma$ -basis.

Consider  $A = t_{(2^{2^n})}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T})$ . Then Theorem 2.10 ensures that  $A \in \mathcal{IA} \setminus \mathcal{A}$ . Arbault had already shown that the set  $t_{(2^{2^n})}(\mathbb{T})$  cannot be contained in any  $F_\sigma$  set [1]. Since  $t_{(2^{2^n})}(\mathbb{T}) \subsetneq t_{(2^{2^n})}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T}) = A$  (by [16, Theorem 3.6]), we can conclude that  $A$  also cannot be contained in any  $F_\sigma$  set. Therefore,  $A \in \mathcal{IA} \setminus \mathcal{N}$ .  $\square$

It is well known that a subfamily of  $\mathcal{N}$ , namely  $\mathcal{N}_0$  is contained in  $\mathcal{A}$ . When it comes to the larger class  $\mathcal{IA}$ , one can again find a suitable subfamily of  $\mathcal{N}$  which we denote by  $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{I}}$  (containing  $\mathcal{N}_0$ ) which is contained in  $\mathcal{IA}$ .

**Definition 3.12.**  $X \subseteq [0, 1]$  is in  $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{I}}$  if there exists an increasing sequence of naturals  $(a_n)$  and a decreasing sequence of positive reals  $(r_n)$  with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n = \infty$  and

$\sum_{n \in A} r_n < \infty$  implies  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n \|a_n x\| < \infty$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Remark 3.13.** The class  $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{I}}$  happens to contain certain members of the family  $\mathcal{N}$ , in particular  $\mathbf{N}$ -sets constructed for counterexamples. In [1], in order to construct a  $\mathbf{N}$ -set which is not an  $\mathbf{A}$ -set, Arbault had chosen the set  $O_{(2^n)}(\mathbb{T})$ . Again the  $\mathbf{N}'$ -set  $O_{(2^n)}(\mathbb{T})$  was used in [31] to give an example of a  $\mathbf{N}$ -set which is not a  $L_0^\sigma$ -set. The  $\mathbf{N}'$ -set  $O_{(n!)}(\mathbb{T})$  is a  $\mathbf{N}$ -set which is not  $\sigma$ -porous [32] etc. (for details see also [15]).

**Proposition 3.14.**  $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ .

**Corollary 3.15.**  $\mathcal{A} \not\subseteq \mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{I}}$ .

**Theorem 3.16.**  $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{I}} \subsetneq \mathcal{IA}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B \in \mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{I}}$ . Then there exists an increasing sequence of naturals  $(a_n)$  and a decreasing sequence of positive reals  $(r_n)$  with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n = \infty$  and  $\sum_{n \in A} r_n < \infty$  implies  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n \|a_n x\| < \infty$  for all  $x \in B$ . First we show that  $B \subseteq t_{(a_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T})$ .

Take any  $x \in B$ . From the fact  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n \|a_n x\| < \infty$  it readily follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n \|a_n x\| = 0$ . If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a_n x\| = 0$  then  $x \in t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq t_{(a_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T})$  and we are done. Now assume that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a_n x\| \neq 0$ . Consequently, there exists a subsequence  $(a_{n_k})$  of  $(a_n)$  such that  $\|a_{n_k} x\| \geq \epsilon_0$  for some  $\epsilon_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ .

Observe that

$$\epsilon_0 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_{n_k} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_{n_k} \|a_{n_k} x\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n \|a_n x\| < \infty \quad (7)$$

which shows that the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_{n_k}$  is convergent. Therefore we must have

$$\{n_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

So we can conclude that there does not exist any subsequence  $(a_{n_k})$  of  $(a_n)$  with  $\{n_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \notin \mathcal{I}$  for which  $\|a_{n_k} x\| \geq \epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Hence  $(a_n x)$  must converge to 0 w.r.t.  $\mathcal{I}$  and so  $x \in t_{(a_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T})$ . Since  $x$  was chosen arbitrarily, we obtain  $B \subseteq t_{(a_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T})$ . Therefore Proposition 3.2 entails that  $B \in \mathcal{IA}$  and we get  $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq \mathcal{IA}$ . Since  $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ , the strictness of the inclusion follows from Theorem 3.11.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.17.**  $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{I}} \not\subseteq \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(r_n)$  be a decreasing sequence of positive reals such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n = \infty$  and  $\sum_{n \in A} r_n < \infty$  implies  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ . We define

$$B = \{x \in \mathbb{T} : \sum r_n |\sin p^n \pi x| < \infty\}.$$

Clearly  $B \in \mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{I}}$ . We are going to show that  $B \notin \mathcal{A}$ .

Since the class of characterized subgroups forms a basis of  $\mathcal{A}$  [3] so if possible assume that  $B \subseteq t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})$  for some sequence of naturals  $(a_n)$ . It is easy to observe that  $G = \{\frac{1}{p^n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq B$ . Then Corollary 2.8 ensures that the sequence  $(a_n)$  will be of the form  $a_n = p^{k_n} u_n$  where  $k_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $p \nmid u_n$ . We are going to show

that we can always construct an element  $x \in B$  depending on the sequence  $(a_n)$  such that  $x \notin t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})$ .

Now, we choose a subsequence  $(a_{n_i})$  of  $(a_n)$  (with  $a_{n_1} = a_1$ ) such that

- (i)  $k_{n_i} \geq k_{n_{(i-1)}} + (2n_{(i-1)} + 1)u_{n_{(i-1)}}$ ,
- (ii)  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_{k_{n_i}} < \infty$ .

Consider an element  $x$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  such that

$$\text{supp}_{(p^n)}(x) = \{k_{n_i} + 1 : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

and  $c_t(x) = 1$  for all  $t \in \text{supp}_{(p^n)}(x)$  i.e.  $x = \sum_{t \in \text{supp}_{(p^n)}(x)} \frac{1}{p^t}$ . Let  $j$  be any integer such that  $k_{n_{(i-1)}} < j \leq k_{n_i}$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \{p^j x\} &= p^j \sum_{t=i}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{k_{n_i}+1}} \leq \frac{1}{p^{k_{n_i}-j}} \\ \Rightarrow |\sin p^j \pi x| &\leq \sin \frac{\pi}{p^{k_{n_i}-j}} \leq \frac{\pi}{p^{k_{n_i}-j}} \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{j=k_{n_{(i-1)}}+1}^{k_{n_i}} r_j |\sin p^j \pi x| &< \pi \sum_{j=k_{n_{(i-1)}}+1}^{k_{n_i}} r_j p^{k_{n_i}-j} < 2\pi r_{k_{n_{(i-1)}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we have  $\sum r_n |\sin p^n \pi x| \leq 2\pi \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_{k_{n_i}} < \infty$  and so  $x \in B$ .

Now observe that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n_i} x &\equiv_{\mathbb{Z}} u_{n_i} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{k_{n_i}+t}(x)}{p^t} \\ \Rightarrow \{a_{n_i} x\} &= \left\{ \frac{u_{n_i}}{p} \right\} + u_{n_i} \sum_{t=b_i+1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{k_{n_i}+t}(x)}{p^t} \text{ where } b_i = k_{n_{(i+1)}} - k_{n_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $b_i > n_i u_{n_i}$  implies  $p^{b_i} > n_i u_{n_i}$ , we must have  $\frac{u_{n_i}}{p^{b_i}} < \frac{1}{p^2}$ .

So it is evident that

$$\frac{1}{p} \leq \{a_{n_i} x\} \leq \frac{p-1}{p} + \frac{u_{n_i}}{p^{b_i}} < 1 - \frac{p-1}{p^2}$$

which implies  $\|a_{n_i} x\| > \frac{p-1}{p^2}$ . This shows that  $x \notin t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})$  – which is a contradiction since  $B \subseteq t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})$  and we have already shown that  $x \in B$ . Thus, there does not exist any increasing sequence  $(a_n)$  for which  $B \subseteq t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})$ . Therefore,  $B \notin \mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

Recall that an uncountable set  $X$  is a Luzin set if every meager subset of  $X$  is countable.

**Proposition 3.18.**  $\mathcal{IA} \subseteq w\mathcal{D}$ .

*Proof.* Take a  $\mathcal{I}$ -characterized subgroup  $t_{(a_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T})$  of  $\mathbb{T}$ . In [25] it has been shown that  $t_{(a_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T})$  is closed and therefore a complete subgroup of  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $\mu(t_{(a_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T}) \setminus t_{(a_n)}(\mathbb{T})) =$

0. Therefore,  $(e^{2\pi ia_n x})$  converges to 1  $\mu$ -almost every where on  $t_{(a_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T})$ . Since  $|e^{2\pi ia_n x}| \leq 1$ , in view of Dominated Convergence Theorem we observe that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{(a_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T})} |e^{2\pi ia_n x} - 1| d\mu = 0.$$

Consequently  $t_{(a_n)}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T})$  is a **wD**-set. Therefore, from Proposition 3.2 we conclude that  $\mathcal{IA} \subseteq w\mathcal{D}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.19.** *Under CH (the continuum hypothesis),  $\mathcal{IA} \subsetneq w\mathcal{D}$ .*

*Proof.* Since every Luzin set which is non-meager while having strong measure zero is a **wD**-set [10] (for example see [29]), Corollary 3.6 ensures that these sets do not belong to the family  $\mathcal{IA}$ . Thus we get  $\mathcal{IA} \subsetneq w\mathcal{D}$ .  $\square$

The following three corollaries give a clearer picture about the class  $\mathcal{IA}$  as it is seen that though the classes  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  are contained in  $\mathcal{IA}$  the same do not remain true when countable unions of **D**-sets and **A**-sets come into consideration. Further it is also noted that the class  $\mathcal{N}_\sigma$  is definitely not contained in the class  $\mathcal{IA}$ .

**Proposition 3.20.** [10, Corollary 8.13] *There are two perfect **D**-sets whose union is not a **wD**-set. Consequently,  $\mathcal{D}_\sigma \not\subseteq w\mathcal{D}$ .*

**Corollary 3.21.**  $\mathcal{D}_\sigma \not\subseteq \mathcal{IA}$ .

*Proof.* Follows directly from Proposition 3.18 and Proposition 3.20.  $\square$

Our next two corollaries follow from Corollary 3.21 and from the fact that a **D**-set is an **A**-set as well as a **N**-set i.e.  $\mathcal{D}_\sigma \subseteq \mathcal{A}_\sigma \cap \mathcal{N}_\sigma$ .

**Corollary 3.22.**  $\mathcal{A}_\sigma \not\subseteq \mathcal{IA}$ .

**Corollary 3.23.**  $\mathcal{N}_\sigma \not\subseteq \mathcal{IA}$ .

**Proposition 3.24.** [28] *Every  $F_\sigma$  **wD**-set is an **N**-set.*

**Corollary 3.25.** *Every  $F_\sigma$  **IA**-set is an **N**-set.*

**Acknowledgement:** The first author as PI and the second author as RA are thankful to SERB(DST) for the CRG project (No. CRG/2022/000264) during the tenure of which this work has been done.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Arbault, Sur l'ensemble de convergence absolue d'une s erie trigonom etrique, Bull. Soc. Math. France 80 (1952), 253-317.
- [2] D. Armacost, *The structure of locally compact abelian groups*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker Inc. New York 68 (1981).
- [3] G. Barbieri, D. Dikranjan, A. G. Bruno, H. Weber, Dirichlet sets vs characterized subgroups, Topology Appl., 231 (2017), 50-76.
- [4] T. Bartoszyński, M. Scheepers, Remarks on sets related to trigonometric series, Topology Appl. 64 (1995), no. 2, 133-140.
- [5] A. B ır o, J.M. Deshouillers, V.T. S os, Good approximation and characterization of subgroups of  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , Studia Sci. Math. Hung. 38 (2001), 97-113.
- [6] J.-P. Borel, Sur certains sous-groupes de  $\mathbb{R}$  li es   la suite des factorielles, Colloq. Math. 62(1) (1991), 21-30.
- [7] J. Braconnier, Sur les groupes topologiques primaires, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 218 (1944), 304-305.

- [8] R.C. Buck, The measure theoretic approach to density, *Amer. J. Math.*, 68 (1946), 560-580.
- [9] L. Bukovský, Families of trigonometric thin sets and related exceptional sets, *Note di Matematica* 27, 2007, 17-24.
- [10] L. Bukovský, N.N. Kholshchevikova, M. Repický, Thin sets in harmonic analysis and infinite combinatorics, *Real Anal. Exch.* 20 (1994/95) 454-509.
- [11] Z. Bukovská, L. Bukovský, Adding small sets to an  $N$ -set, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 123 (1995), no. 12, 3867-3873.
- [12] G. Cantor, Beweis, daß eine für jeden reellen Wert von  $x$  durch eine trigonometrische Reihe gegebene Funktion  $f(x)$  sich nur auf eine einzige Weise in dieser Form darstellen läßt, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 72 (1870), 139-142.
- [13] H. Cartan, Théorie des filtres, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 205 (1937), 595-598.
- [14] P. Das, A. Ghosh, Generating subgroups of the circle using a generalized class of density functions, *Indag. Math.*, 32(3) (2021), 598-618.
- [15] P. Das, A. Ghosh, On a new class of trigonometric thin sets extending Arbault sets, *Bul. Sci. Math.*, 179 (2022), 103157.
- [16] P. Das, A. Ghosh, Eggleston's dichotomy for characterized subgroups and the role of ideals, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 174 (2023).
- [17] R. Di Santo, D. Dikranjan and A. Giordano Bruno, Characterized subgroups of the circle group, *Ric. Mat.*, 67(2) (2018), 625-655.
- [18] D. Dikranjan, P. Das, K. Bose, Statistically characterized subgroups of the circle, *Fund. Math.*, 249 (2020), 185-209.
- [19] P. Eliaš, A classification of trigonometrical thin sets and their interrelations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125(4) (1997), 1111-1121.
- [20] P. Eliaš, On inclusions between Arbault sets, *Acta Univ. Carolin. Math. Phys.* 44 (2003), no. 2, 65-72.
- [21] I. Farah, Analytic quotients: Theory of lifting for quotients over analytic ideals on integers, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 148 (2000).
- [22] P. Fatou, Séries trigonométriques et séries de Taylor, *Acta Math.*, 30 (1906), 335-400.
- [23] R. Filipow, T. Natkaniec, P. Szuca, Ideal convergence, a chapter in the monograph *Traditional and present-day topics in real analysis*, Łódź University Press, (2013), Editors: M. Filipczak, E. Wagner-Bojakowska.
- [24] R. Filipow, J. Tryba, Ideal convergence versus matrix summability, *Studia Math.*, 245 (2) (2019), 101-127.
- [25] A. Ghosh, Topologically I-torsion elements of the circle, *Ricerche di Matematica*, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11587-022-00751-z>.
- [26] F. Hernández-Hernández, M. Hrušák, Cardinal invariants of analytic  $P$ -ideals, *Canad. J. Math.*, 59 (3) (2007), 575-595.
- [27] M. Hrušák, Combinatorics of filters and ideals, *Contemporary mathematics*, 533 (8) (2011), 29-69.
- [28] B. Host, J.F. Mélé, F. Parreau, Non singular transformations and spectral analysis of measures, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 119 (1991), 33-90.
- [29] T. Jech, *Set Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [30] S. Kahane, Ensembles de convergence absolue, ensembles de Dirichlet faibles et  $\uparrow$ -idéaux, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 310 (1990), no. 6, 355-357.
- [31] S. Kahane, Antistable classes of thin sets in harmonic analysis, *Illinois J. Math.* 37 (1993), 186-223.
- [32] S.V. Konyagin, Every set of resolution is an Arbault set, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 314 (1992), no. 2, 101-104.
- [33] P. Kostyrko, T. Salát and W. Wilczyński,  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence, *Real Anal. Exchange*, 26 (2000/2001), 669-685.
- [34] K. Kunen, Lusin spaces, *Topology Proceedings*, Vol. I (1976), pp. 191-199.
- [35] L. Lindahl, F. Poulsen, *Thin sets in Harmonic Analysis*, Lecture Notes, Marcel Dekker, 1971.
- [36] M. Laczkovich, I. Reclaw, Ideal limits of sequences of continuous functions, *Fund. Math.*, 203 (2009), 39-46.
- [37] N. N. Luzin, Sur l'absolue convergence des séries trigonométriques, *C. R. Acad. Sci.* 155 (1912), 580-582.
- [38] A. Rajchman, Sur l'unicité de développement trigonométrique, *Fund. Math.* 3 (1922), 286-302.

- [39] S. Solecki, Analytic ideals, *Bull. Symbolic Logic*, 2 (1996), 339–348.
- [40] S. Solecki, Analytic ideals and their applications, *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic*, 99 (1999), 51–71.
- [41] W. H. Young, A note on trigonometrical series, *Mess. of Math.* 38 (1909), 44–48.
- [42] H. Weyl, Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins, *Math. Ann.* 77(3) (1916), 313–352.
- [43] L. Zajíček, Porosity and  $\sigma$ -porosity, *Real Anal. Exchange* 13 (1987–88), 314–350.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JADAVPUR UNIVERSITY, KOLKATA-700032, INDIA  
*Email address:* pratulananda@yahoo.co.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JADAVPUR UNIVERSITY, KOLKATA-700032, INDIA  
*Email address:* ayanghosh.jumath@gmail.com