A STUDY ON VARIOUS GENERALIZATIONS OF GENERALIZED CENTERS (GC) IN BANACH SPACES

SYAMANTAK DAS AND TANMOY PAUL

Abstract. In [Generalized centers of finite sets in Banach spaces, Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. (N.S.) 66(1) (1997), 83-115, Veselý developed the idea of generalized centers for finite sets in Banach spaces. In this work, we explore the concept of restricted \mathscr{F} -center property for a triplet $(X, Y, \mathcal{F}(X))$, where Y is a subspace of a Banach space X and $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is the family of finite subsets of X. In addition, we generalize the analysis to include all closed, bounded subsets of X. Similar to how Lindenstrauss characterized n.2.I.P., we characterize n.X.I.P.. So, it is possible to figure out that Y has n.X.I.P. in X for all natural numbers n if and only if $rad_Y(F) = rad_X(F)$ for all finite subsets F of Y. It then turns out that, for all continuous, monotone functions f, the f-radii viz. $\operatorname{rad}_{Y}^{f}(F), \operatorname{rad}_{X}^{f}(F)$ are same whenever the generalized radii viz. $\operatorname{rad}_Y(F)$, $\operatorname{rad}_X(F)$ are also same, for all finite subsets F of Y. We establish a variety of characterizations of central subspaces of Banach spaces. With reference to an appropriate subfamily of closed and bounded subsets, it appears that a number of function spaces and subspaces exhibit the restricted weighted Chebyshev center property.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Objectives:** In this study, we focus on the minimization problem $\inf_{y \in Y} f(||z_1 - y||, \dots, ||z_n - y||)$ within the framework of Banach spaces X and its closed subspaces Y. The problem involves finding the minimum value of a given function $f: \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, which is continuous, monotone (point wise), coercive, corresponding to a finite subset $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\}$ of X. Additionally, we explore variations of this problem in Banach spaces. The outcomes in [16] make it clear that this phenomenon is connected to the intersection properties of balls in Banach spaces. We extend the concept

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 41A28, 41A65 Secondary 46B20 41A50 May 7, 2024 .

Key words and phrases. Chebyshev center, generalized center, weighted Chebyshev center, central subspace, n.X.I.P.

of f-centers, as introduced in the work by Veselý in [16], to encompass all closed and bounded subsets of the space X.

In [16], the author observed that the generalized radius for a finite set in a Banach space remains same when it is considered in the bidual of the space. In this paper, we investigate the following problem.

Problem 1.1. Let Y be a subspace of X. For every finite subset F of Y, under what necessary and sufficient conditions do the generalized radii of F remain the same when it is viewed as a subset of both X and Y?

Additionally, we aim to study various properties viz. \mathscr{F} -rcp, wrcp, \mathcal{A} – C-subspace, (GC), \mathcal{A} -IP (see Definition 1.3, 1.6) in various function spaces and their subspaces.

1.2. **Prerequisites:** We list some standard notations used in this study: X denotes a Banach space, and by subspace, we indicate a closed linear subspace. B_X and S_X represent the closed unit ball and the unit sphere of X, respectively. For $x \in X$ and r > 0, $B_X(x,r)$ denotes the closed ball in X, centered at x and radius r. When there is no chance of confusion, we simply write B(x,r) for the closed ball in X. We consider an element $x \in X$ to be canonically embedded in X^{**} . $\mathcal{F}(X), \mathcal{C}(X), \mathcal{K}(X), \mathcal{WC}(X), \mathcal{B}(X)$, and $\mathcal{P}(X)$ represent the set of all nonempty finite, closed and convex, compact, weakly compact, closed and bounded, and power set of X, respectively. It is assumed that the real line corresponds to the scalar field for the spaces. For a nonempty subset B of X, we consider an ordered tuple $(t_b)_{b\in B}$, indexed by the set B itself. We allow the repetitions, and by the well-ordering principle, such an ordering exists always. We consider coordinate-wise ordering on a subclass of $\Pi_{b\in B}\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, viz. $\ell_{\infty}(B) = \{\varphi : B \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} : \sup_{b\in B} \varphi(b) < \infty\},$ defined as: for $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \ell_{\infty}(B)$, $\varphi_1 \leq \varphi_2$ if and only if $\varphi_1(b) \leq \varphi_2(b)$ for all $b \in B$. For a subset B of X, we consider $\ell_{\infty}(B)$ to be endowed with the supremum norm.

Definition 1.2. For a subset B of X, and a function $f : \ell_{\infty}(B) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, we call:

- (a) f is monotone if for $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \ell_{\infty}(B)$, $\varphi_1 \leq \varphi_2$ implies $f(\varphi_1) \leq f(\varphi_2)$.
- (b) f is strictly monotone if for $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \ell_{\infty}(B)$, $\varphi_1 \leq \varphi_2$ and $\varphi_1 \neq \varphi_2$ imply $f(\varphi_1) < f(\varphi_2)$.

- (c) f is coercive if $f(\varphi) \to \infty$ as $\|\varphi\|_{\infty} \to \infty$.
- **Definition 1.3.** (a) Let Y be a subspace of X, $F \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, and a function $f: \ell_{\infty}(F) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. We define $r_f(x, F) = f((\|x a\|)_{a \in F})$ and $\operatorname{rad}_Y^f(F) = \inf_{y \in Y} r_f(y, F)$ for $x \in X$. The collection of all points in Y where the infimum that defines $\operatorname{rad}_Y^f(F)$ is achieving is referred to as the restricted f-centers of F in Y and is denoted by $\operatorname{Cent}_Y^f(F)$. That is, $\operatorname{Cent}_Y^f(F) = \{y \in Y : r_f(y, F) = \operatorname{rad}_Y^f(F)\}$. When Y = X, then the restricted f-centers are called the f-centers of F in X. If the set of f-centers (restricted f-centers) is nonempty, we say that X(Y) admits f-centers (restricted f-centers) for F.
 - (b) When f is of the form $f(a) = \sup_{t \in F} \rho(t)a(t)$, where $\rho = (\rho(t))_{t \in F} \in \ell_{\infty}(F)$, then $r_f(x,F), \operatorname{rad}_Y^{\rho}(F)$, and $\operatorname{Cent}_Y^{f}(F)$ are rewritten as $r_{\rho}(x,F), \operatorname{rad}_Y^{\rho}(F)$, and $\operatorname{Cent}_Y^{\rho}(F)$, respectively. In this case, we refer to the f-centers as the restricted weighted Chebyshev centers. In this case, we call Y admits restricted weighted Chebyshev center for F for weights $(\rho(t))_{t \in F}$. When $\rho(t) = 1$ for all t, we denote the previous quantities by $r(x,F), \operatorname{rad}_Y(F)$ and $\operatorname{Cent}_Y(F)$ respectively.
 - (c) For a subspace Y of X, $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X)$ and a family of functions \mathscr{F} consisting of $f: \ell_{\infty}(F) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for all $F \in \mathfrak{F}$, the triplet (X, Y, \mathfrak{F}) is said to have the restricted \mathscr{F} -center property (\mathscr{F} -rcp in short) if for all $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $f \in \mathscr{F}$, we have $\operatorname{Cent}_{V}^{f}(F) \neq \emptyset$.
 - (d) For a subspace Y of X and $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X)$, the triplet (X,Y,\mathfrak{F}) is said to have restricted weighted Chebyshev center property (wrcp in short) if for all $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ and bounded weights $\rho : F \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, $\operatorname{Cent}_{V}^{\rho}(F) \neq \emptyset$.

We state the following result based on [16].

Theorem 1.4. For a Banach space X and any n-tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, the following are equivalent:

- (a) If $r_1, \ldots, r_n > 0$ then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_{X^{**}}(a_i, r_i) \neq \emptyset$ implies $\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_X(a_i, r_i) \neq \emptyset$.
- (b) X admits weighted Chebyshev centers for (a_1, \dots, a_n) for all weights $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n > 0$.
- (c) X admits f-centers for (a_1, \dots, a_n) for each continuous monotone coercive function f on $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$.

A Banach space X belongs to the class (GC) if for every ordered n-tuple $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ it satisfies any one of the equivalent conditions stated in Theorem 1.4. It is denoted by $X \in (GC)$.

Bandyopadhyay and Rao in [3] introduced the notion of *central subspace*, which is a generalization of class (GC) given in [16].

Definition 1.5. A subspace Y of X is said to be a central subspace of X if for any finite family of balls in X with centers in Y which intersect in X, also intersect in Y.

Bandyopadhyay and Dutta in [2] further generalized the notion of central subspace to $\mathcal{A} - C$ -subspace, for a subfamily \mathcal{A} of $\mathcal{P}(X)$.

Definition 1.6. [2] Let Y be a subspace of Banach space X and \mathcal{A} be a family of subsets of Y.

- (a) Y is said to be an almost A C-subspace of X if for all $x \in X$, $A \in A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $y \in Y$ such that $||y a|| \le ||x a|| + \varepsilon$ for all $a \in A$.
- (b) Y is said to be a A C-subspace of X if we can take $\varepsilon = 0$ in (a).
- (c) If \mathcal{A} is a family of subsets of X, then it is referred to that X has (almost) \mathcal{A} -IP, if X is an (almost) \mathcal{A} C-subspace of X^{**} .

Note, $X \in (GC)$ if it has $\mathcal{F}(X)$ -IP. Moreover, $\mathcal{F}(Y) - C$ -subspaces are called central subspaces in [3].

- **Definition 1.7.** (a) [9] A subspace Y of X is said to have n.X.I.P. in X if for any n closed balls $\{B_X(a_i, r_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ having centers in Y and $\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_X(a_i, r_i) \neq \emptyset$, then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_Y(a_i, r_i + \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.
 - (b) [9] A subspace Y of X is said to be an *ideal* of X if there exists a projection $P: X^* \to X^*$ such that ||P|| = 1 and $\ker(P) = Y^{\perp}$.
- Remark 1.8. (a) The subspaces Y which have n.X.I.P. for all n are precisely those which are almost $\mathcal{F}(Y) C$ -subspaces of X. In general, n.X.I.P. does not imply k.X.I.P. for k > n, although under certain assumptions, n.X.I.P. for all n is equivalent to 3.X.I.P.
 - (b) A subspace Y of X which is known to be an ideal in X also satisfies n.X.I.P. (see [9, Proposition 3.2]).
 - (c) A subspace that is a range of a norm-1 projection is clearly a central subspace and also an ideal.

For a subspace Y of X, we introduce the following notions here.

Definition 1.9. A subspace Y of X is said to have restricted n.X.I.P. in X (be a restricted central subspace of X) if for any n closed balls $\{B_X(a_i,r)\}_{i=1}^n$ having centers in Y and $\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_X(a_i,r) \neq \emptyset$, then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_Y(a_i,r+\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ $(\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_Y(a_i,r) \neq \emptyset)$.

For a Banach space X, and a finite measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) and $1 \leq p < \infty$, $L_p(\Sigma, X)$ represents the space of all p-Bochner integrable functions, which are precisely $f: \Omega \to X$ strongly measurable and $\int_{\Omega} ||f(t)||^p d\mu(t) < \infty$. $||f||_p := \left(\int_{\Omega} ||f(t)||^p d\mu(t)\right)^{1/p}$ defines a norm on $L_p(\Sigma, X)$, which makes $L_p(\Sigma, X)$ a Banach space. $L_{\infty}(\Sigma, X)$ represents the set of all essentially bounded functions $f: \Omega \to X$, which are strongly measurable. [5, Ch. 2] is a standard reference for these spaces and all the properties used in this article.

Let us recall from [5, Ch. 5] that for a sub σ -algebra $\Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$, by considering $L_p(\Sigma', X)$ as a subspace of $L_p(\Sigma, X)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, the conditional expectation operator is a mapping $E: L_p(\Sigma, X) \to L_p(\Sigma', X)$ such that E(f) = g, where $\int_B f d\mu = \int_B g d\mu$ for all $B \in \Sigma'$ indicates a linear projection of norm-1.

Definition 1.10. [6]

- (a) A bounded linear projection $P: X \to X$ is said to be an L-projection if ||x|| = ||Px|| + ||x Px|| for all $x \in X$.
- (b) A Banach space X is said to be L-embedded if X, under its canonical image in X^{**} , is the range of an L-projection on X^{**} .
- (c) A closed subspace $J \subseteq X$ is an M-ideal in X if J^{\perp} is the range of an L-projection on $X^*([6])$.

Reference [6, Ch. 4] provides examples and other properties of L-embedded spaces. We call a subspace Y of X is 1-complemented if Y is a range of a norm-1 projection from X. If Y is a 1-complemented subspace of an L-embedded space X, then Y is also L-embedded (see [6, Theorem IV.1.5.]). For a sub σ -algebra $\Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$, due to the conditional expectation $E: L_1(\Sigma, X) \to L_1(\Sigma', X), L_1(\Sigma', X)$ is L-embedded if $L_1(\Sigma, X)$ is so.

A Banach space X is said to be a *Lindenstrauss space* (or L_1 -predual) if $X^* \cong L_1(\mu)$ for some measure μ . In [10], Lindenstrauss characterizes these spaces as Banach spaces, where any collection of pairwise intersecting closed balls whose centers form a compact set has a nonempty intersection.

An ideal of a Lindenstrauss space is also a Lindenstrauss space and, hence, a central subspace (see [8, Lemma 10, Theorem 15]).

In [16], Veselý derived that for spaces X with the Radon-Nikodýmproperty (RNP in short) and 1-complemented in its bidual, $L_p(\mu, X) \in$ (GC), for $1 \leq p < \infty$. Additionally, if X is a dual space that is strictly convex and has (w^*K) , then $C_b(T, X) \in (GC)$ for a Hausdorff space T.

We refer to the articles [2, 16] for various examples of the spaces that are discussed in this paper.

1.3. **Observations:** In this subsection, we give an overview of our observations. Suppose that, Y has n.X.I.P. in X and $F \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$, where card(F) = n and X admits weighted Chebyshev centers for F. In section 2, it is demonstrated that Y admits restricted f-centers for F, where card(F) = n, for all continuous, monotone functions $f : \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, if and only if for any collection of n closed balls with centers in F having a nonempty intersection in X also intersect in Y. We obtain a similar characterization to that [16, Theorem 2.7] for the family of closed and bounded subsets of Y.

Problem 1.1 is answered in Theorem 2.6, which extends a characterization to the notion n.X.I.P. in Banach spaces. Consequently, we obtain various characterizations for central subspaces in Banach spaces, as stated in Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.8. It is easy to observe that if Y is a subspace of X and if there exists $P: X \to Y$ onto, where $P(\lambda x + y) = \lambda P(x) + y$, for $x \in X, y \in Y$ and scalar λ , $||P(x)|| \le ||x||$ for all x, a quasi-linear projection $P: X \to X$, then for any $F \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$, $\operatorname{rad}_Y(F) = \operatorname{rad}_X(F)$. Veselý's example in [16, Pg.9] ensures there does not exist any quasi-linear projection $P: \ell_{\infty} \to c_0$. We do not know the solution to the question posed in Problem 1.1 for closed bounded subsets, with the exception of some trivial circumstances, such as when Y is 1-complemented in X or, more generally, a range of a quasi-linear projection. The requirement for Y being a central subspace can be more simply expressed when $Y \in (GC)$ is observed.

Let us give an example of a space X such that $L_1(\mu, X) \notin (GC)$. Let $f \in \ell_1$ be such that support of f is infinite and $2||f||_{\infty} > ||f||_1$. Now identify f as a linear functional on c_0 and suppose that $X = \ker(f)$. Thus, $X \notin (GC)$ (see [15]). Since X is 1-complemented in $L_1(\mu, X)$, $L_1(\mu, X) \notin (GC)$.

In section 3, we investigate the restricted \mathscr{F} -center property for various triplets (X, Y, \mathfrak{F}) , where Y represents a subspace of X and $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X)$. It is

evident that when a given subspace $Y \subseteq X$, $(X, Y, \mathcal{F}(X))$ possesses **wrcp**, then $Y \in (GC)$. On the other hand, from Theorem 2.3 one can conclude in the above instances that Y is a central subspace of X whenever X admits f-centers for $F \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$, for all continuous, monotone functions $f : \ell_{\infty}(F) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Methods for dealing with the aforementioned ideas, including (GC), central subspace, n.X.I.P., $\mathcal{A}-C$ -subspace are adapted from [2, 3, 14, 15, 16]. In section 3, we use certain measure-theoretic tools from [5] to derive our observations.

2. A - C-Subspaces of Banach spaces

The following is obtained by employing justifications similar to those given in [16, Theorem 2.6]. For an $F \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, by card(F), we mean the cardinality of the ordered tuple.

Theorem 2.1. Let Y be a subspace of X and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose Y has n.X.I.P. in X, and $f : \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a continuous, monotone function. Then, for all $F \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$ with card(F) = n, we have $\operatorname{rad}_Y^f(F) = \operatorname{rad}_X^f(F)$.

Proof. Suppose that $F = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ be an ordered *n*-tuple. Let $\mathbf{t_0} = (\|x - z_1\|, \ldots, \|x - z_n\|)$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $\delta > 0$ be such that $|f(\mathbf{t}) - f(\mathbf{t_0})| \le \varepsilon$ whenever $d(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t_0}) \le \delta$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\delta < \varepsilon$.

Let $x \in X$ be such that, $f(\|x - z_1\|, \dots, \|x - z_n\|) < \operatorname{rad}_X^f(F) + \delta$. Since Y has n.X.I.P. in X, there exists $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n B_Y(z_i, \|x - z_i\| + \delta)$.

Now
$$\operatorname{rad}_{Y}^{f}(F) \leq f(\|y - z_{1}\|, \dots, \|y - z_{n}\|)$$

$$\leq f(\|x - z_{1}\| + \delta, \dots, \|x - z_{n}\| + \delta)$$

$$\leq f(\|x - z_{1}\|, \dots, \|x - z_{n}\|) + \varepsilon$$

$$< \operatorname{rad}_{Y}^{f}(F) + \delta + \varepsilon < \operatorname{rad}_{Y}^{f}(F) + 2\varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, the result follows.

The proof of the following theorem follows in the same manner as the proof of [16, Theorem 2.7].

Theorem 2.2. Let A be one of the families \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{B} . Assume that $F \in \mathcal{A}(Y)$, where $F = (y_i)_{i \in F}$. Suppose that for each continuous, monotone function $f : \ell_{\infty}(F) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, $\operatorname{rad}_{Y}^{f}(F) = \operatorname{rad}_{X}^{f}(F)$ and X admits f-centers for F. Then the following are equivalent.

- (a) If for $i \in F$, $r_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $\bigcap_{i \in F} B_X(y_i, r_i) \neq \emptyset$, then $\bigcap_{i \in F} B_Y(y_i, r_i) \neq \emptyset$.
- (b) Y admits restricted weighted Chebyshev centers for F for all weights $\rho: F \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.
- (c) Y admits restricted f-centers for F for all continuous, monotone functions $f: \ell_{\infty}(F) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.
- Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (c). Let $f: \ell_{\infty}(F) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a continuous, monotone function and $x \in \operatorname{Cent}_X^f(F)$. As $x \in \cap_{i \in F} B_X(y_i, \|x y_i\|)$, there exists $y \in \cap_{i \in F} B_Y(y_i, \|x y_i\|)$. Since $\operatorname{rad}_Y^f(F) = \operatorname{rad}_X^f(F)$, it can be clearly understood that $y \in \operatorname{Cent}_Y^f(F)$.
 - $(c) \Rightarrow (b)$. This is obvious.
- $(b)\Rightarrow (a)$. Let $x\in \cap_{i\in F}B_X(y_i,r_i)$. Define $\rho_i=\frac{1}{r_i}$ for all $i\in F$. Accordingly, $r_\rho(x,F)\leq 1$. Based on our assumption, we obtain $\operatorname{rad}_Y^\rho(F)=\operatorname{rad}_X^\rho(F)\leq r_\rho(x,F)\leq 1$. Moreover, there exists $y\in Y$ such that $y\in \operatorname{Cent}_Y^\rho(F)$. Thus $\frac{1}{r_i}\|y-y_i\|\leq 1$ for all $i\in F$. Thus $y\in \cap_{i\in F}B_Y(y_i.r_i)$. \square

By varying $F \in \mathcal{A}(Y)$ in Theorem 2.2 we obtain the following.

Theorem 2.3. Let A be one of the families F, K and B. Let Y be a subspace of X and for all $F \in A(Y)$, $\operatorname{rad}_Y^f(F) = \operatorname{rad}_X^f(F)$ and X admit f-centers for F, for all continuous monotone $f : \ell_{\infty}(F) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Then the following are equivalent.

- (a) Y is a A-C-subspace of X.
- (b) The triplet $(X, Y, \mathcal{A}(Y))$ has wrcp.
- (c) $\operatorname{Cent}_Y^f(F) = \operatorname{Cent}_X^f(F) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, for all $F \in \mathcal{A}(Y)$ and each continuous, monotone $f : \ell_{\infty}(F) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

We now focus on the family $\mathcal{F}(Y)$ for a subspace Y of X. Theorem 2.3 reduces to the characterizations of central subspaces by taking $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}$. Some other characterizations of central subspaces, (GC) are also obtained in the subsequent part of this section.

Lemma 2.4. Let Y be a subspace of X. If Y has restricted n.X.I.P. in X, then Y has n.X.I.P. in X.

Proof. Let $\{B_X(y_i, r_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ be a collection of n closed balls in X, where $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$ and $r_1, \dots, r_n > 0$ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_X(y_i, r_i) \neq \emptyset$.

Suppose there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ for which $\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_Y(y_i, r_i + \varepsilon) = \emptyset$. Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $r - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > r_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. We now construct n closed balls

 $\{B_X(w_i, r - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})\}_{i=1}^n$ with $w_1 \cdots, w_n \in Y$ such that $B_X(w_i, r - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \supseteq B_X(y_i, r_i)$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_Y(w_i, r) = \emptyset$, which contradict our assumption. We now choose w_i inductively.

We define $L_1 = \bigcap_{i=2}^n B_Y(y_i, r_i + \varepsilon)$ and $L_2 = B_Y(y_1, r_1 + \varepsilon)$.

CASE 1: Suppose $L_1 = \emptyset$. Then we chose $w_1 = y_1$ and obtain $B_Y(w_1, r) \cap (\bigcap_{i=2}^n B_Y(y_i, r_i + \varepsilon)) = \emptyset$ and proceed further to obtain w_2 .

CASE 2: Suppose that $L_1 \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$ and $(L_1 - y_1) \cap B_Y(0, r_1 + \varepsilon) = \emptyset$. Thus, we get $g \in Y^*$ such that $g(y - y_1) \geq 1 \geq g(x)$ for all $y \in L_1$ and $x \in B_Y(0, r_1 + \varepsilon)$.

Now, $||g|| = \sup_{y \in B_Y} g(y) = \frac{1}{r_1 + \varepsilon} \sup_{y \in B_Y(0, r_1 + \varepsilon)} g(y) \le \frac{1}{r_1 + \varepsilon}$.

Choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\delta < \frac{1}{r - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - r_1} \left(1 - \frac{r_1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}}{r_1 + \varepsilon} \right)$. Let $z \in S_Y$ be such that $g(z) \leq -\|g\| + \delta$. Let $w_1 = y_1 + (r - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - r_1)z \in Y$.

Let $x \in B_X(y_1, r_1)$. Thus,

$$||x - w_1|| \leq ||x - y_1|| + (r - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - r_1)||z||$$

$$\leq r_1 + (r - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - r_1)$$

$$= r - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Thus, $x \in B_X(w_1, r - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ and hence $B_X(y_1, r_1) \subseteq B_X(w_1, r - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$. Let $y \in B_Y(w_1, r)$. Thus,

$$g(y - y_1) = g(y - w_1) + g(w_1 - y_1)$$

$$\leq ||g||r + (r - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - r_1)g(z)$$

$$\leq ||g||r + (r - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - r_1)(-||g|| + \delta)$$

$$\leq \frac{r_1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}}{r_1 + \varepsilon} + \delta(r - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - r_1) < 1.$$

Thus, for all $y \in B_Y(w_1, r)$, $y \notin L_1$. Hence, $L_1 \cap B_Y(w_1, r) = \emptyset$. Now, suppose that we have w_i for $i \leq j (< n)$ such that,

$$B_X(w_i, r - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \supseteq B_X(y_i, r_i) \text{ for } i \le j \text{ and }$$

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^j B_Y(w_i, r) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=j+1}^n B_Y(y_i, r_i + \varepsilon)\right) = \emptyset.$$

Let us define $K_1=\cap_{i=1}^j B_Y(w_i,r)\cap\left(\cap_{i=j+2}^n B_Y(y_i,r_i+\varepsilon)\right)$ and $K_2=B_Y(y_{j+1},r_{j+1}+\varepsilon)$.

We follow the similar techniques that are used in order to obtain w_1 . The two sets K_1 and K_2 play similar roles as the sets L_1 and L_2 like before, and hence we get w_{j+1} . This completes the induction, and the proof follows. \square

Corollary 2.5. Let Y be a subspace of X such that $\operatorname{rad}_Y(F) = \operatorname{rad}_X(F)$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Y possesses n.X.I.P. in X.

Proof. Let $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in Y$ and $\{B_X(y_i, r)\}_{i=1}^n$ be a finite family of closed balls in X, where $\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_X(y_i, r) \neq \emptyset$ in X. Hence, $\operatorname{rad}_Y(F) = \operatorname{rad}_X(F) \leq r$. As $\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_Y(y_i, \operatorname{rad}_Y(F) + \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$ holds for all $\varepsilon > 0$, we have that the balls $\{B_X(y_i, r)\}_{i=1}^n$ almost intersect in Y. The result now follows from Lemma 2.4.

Combining Theorem 2.1, Lemma 2.4, and Corollary 2.5, we obtain the following.

Theorem 2.6. Let Y be a subspace of X. Then the following are equivalent.

- (a) Y has n.X.I.P. in X, for all n.
- (b) Y has restricted n.X.I.P. in X, for all n.
- (c) $\operatorname{rad}_Y(F) = \operatorname{rad}_X(F)$, for all $F \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$.
- (d) $\operatorname{rad}_Y^f(F) = \operatorname{rad}_X^f(F)$, for all $F \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$, for all continuous, monotone functions $f : \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, $n \geq 1$.

We now derive a few characterizations of central subspaces of Banach spaces.

Theorem 2.7. Let Y be a subspace of X and $Y \in (GC)$. Thus, Y is a restricted central subspace of X if and only if Y is a central subspace of X.

Proof. Let $\{B_X(y_i, r_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ be a collection of n closed balls in X, where $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$ and $r_1, \dots, r_n > 0$ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_X(y_i, r_i) \neq \emptyset$.

CLAIM: $\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_Y(y_i, r_i) \neq \emptyset$.

By [3, Proposition 2.9], it can be sufficiently shown that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} B_Y(y_i, r_i + \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Accordingly, from Lemma 2.4, we conclude the proof.

Theorem 2.8. Let Y be a subspace of X and X admit weighted Chebyshev centers for all finite subsets of Y. Suppose that Y has n.X.I.P. in X for all n. Then, Y is a central subspace of X if and only if $Y \in (GC)$.

Proof. Suppose that Y is a central subspace of X. Thus, from Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we obtain $(X, Y, \mathcal{F}(Y))$ has **wrcp**.

Conversely, assume that $Y \in (GC)$. Hence, by Theorem 2.7, it is sufficient to consider balls with centers in Y of equal radii. Let $\{B_X(y_i,r)\}_{i=1}^n$ be a collection of n balls with $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$, r > 0 such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_X(y_i,r) \neq \emptyset$. Let $F = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$. Thus, $\operatorname{rad}_X(F) \leq r$ and by our assumption, $\operatorname{rad}_Y(F) \leq r$. Owing to the fact that $(X,Y,\mathcal{F}(Y))$ has **wrcp**, $\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_Y(y_i,\operatorname{rad}_Y(F)) \neq \emptyset$ and consequently $\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_Y(y_i,r) \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.9. Let A be any of the families $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{B}$, or \mathcal{P}, P be a projection on X of norm-1, $Y = \ker(P)$, and $Z \subseteq P(X)$ be a subspace. If Y + Z is an (almost) A(Y + Z) - C-subspace of X, then Z is an (almost) A(Z) - C-subspace of P(X).

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}(Z)$. Suppose $\bigcap_{a \in A} B_X(a, r_a) \cap P(X) \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $\bigcap_{a \in A} B_X(a, r_a) \cap X \neq \emptyset$. Based on our assumption, $\bigcap_{a \in A} B_X(a, r_a) \cap (Y + Z) \neq \emptyset$. Let $y_0 + z_0 \in \bigcap_{a \in A} B_X(a, r_a) \cap (Y + Z) \neq \emptyset$. Accordingly, for all $a \in A$,

$$||z_0 - a|| = ||P(z_0 - y_0 - a)|| \le ||z_0 - y_0 - a|| \le r_a.$$

This proves Z is an $\mathcal{A}(Z)-C$ -subspace of P(X). The remaining follows in a similar way as stated above.

Proposition 2.10. Let A be any of the families $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{B}$, or \mathcal{P} . Let $Z \subseteq Y \subseteq X$ represent subspaces of X such that Y is an M-ideal in X. If Z is an $(almost) \mathcal{A}(Z) - C$ -subspace of Y and Y has $(almost) \mathcal{A}(Y)$ -IP, then Z is an $(almost) \mathcal{A}(Z) - C$ -subspace of X.

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}(Z)$ and $\{B_X(a, r_a)\}_{a \in A}$ be a collection of closed balls, where $r_a > 0$ for all $a \in A$, such that $\cap_{a \in A} B_X(a, r_a) \neq \emptyset$. Since Y is an M-ideal in X, we obtain $X^{**} = Y^{\perp \perp} \oplus_{\infty} N^{\perp}$ for a subspace N of X^* . If $x \in \cap_{a \in A} B_X(a, r_a)$, then it can be assumed that x = y + z, where $y \in Y^{\perp \perp}$ and $z \in N^{\perp}$. Now $\max\{\|y - a\|, \|z\|\} \leq r_a$ for all $a \in A$. Since Y has $\mathcal{A}(Y)$ -IP, there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that $\|y_0 - a\| \leq r_a$ for all $a \in A$. Thus, based on our assumption, $\cap_{a \in A} B_Z(a, r_a) \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.11 and Corollary 2.12 indicate the consequences of our previous observations and are derived from the techniques employed in [17, Theorem 2.1, Corollary 2.2]. We now use the well-known Michael selection theorem ([11, Theorem 3.2"]) several times to obtain our observations.

Theorem 2.11. Let X be a Lindenstrauss space, K and S compact Hausdorff spaces, and $\psi: K \to S$ a continuous onto map. Let $\psi^*: C(S, X) \to C(K, X)$ be a continuous isometric embedding expressed as $\psi^* f = f \psi$. Accordingly, $\psi^* C(S, X)$ is a $K(\psi^* C(S, X)) - C$ -subspace of C(K, X).

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{K}(\psi^*C(S,X))$ and $B = \{f \in C(S,X) : \psi^*f \in A\}$. Suppose $\bigcap_{f \in B} B(\psi^*f, r_f) \neq \emptyset$, where $r_f > 0$ for all $f \in B$. We define a multivalued map $F : S \to \mathcal{C}(X)$ by $F(y) = \bigcap_{f \in B} B(f(y), r_f)$ for all $y \in S$. Evidently, F(y) is closed, convex, and $F(y) \neq \emptyset$ for all $y \in S$.

CLAIM: F is lower semicontinuous.

Let $G \subseteq X$ be open and $y_0 \in \{y \in S : F(y) \cap G \neq \emptyset\}$. Let $a \in F(y_0) \cap G$. Thus, we have $a \in \bigcap_{f \in B} B(f(y_0), r_f)$ and $B(a, \varepsilon) \subseteq G$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Accordingly, there exists an open neighbourhood N of y_0 such that $||f(y) - f(y_0)|| < \varepsilon$ for all $y \in N$ and $f \in B$. Now for all $y \in N$, we have $B(f(y), r_f) \cap B(a, \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$ for all $f \in B$ and since X is a Lindenstrauss space, $F(y) \cap G \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $N \subseteq \{y \in S : F(y) \cap G \neq \emptyset\}$ and F is lower semicontinuous. Thus, in accordance with Michael's selection theorem, there exists a continuous $h: S \to X$ such that $h(y) \in F(y)$ for all $y \in S$. It is evident that $\psi^*h \in \bigcap_{f \in B} B(\psi^*f, r_f) \cap \psi^*C(S, X)$.

Corollary 2.12. Let S, K, ψ and X be as in Theorem 2.11. Furthermore, fix $y_0 \in S$ and let $M = \{\psi^* f : f \in C(S, X) \text{ and } f(y_0) = 0\}$. Then, M is a $\mathcal{K}(M) - C$ -subspace of C(K, X).

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{K}(M)$ and $B = \{f \in C(S,X) : \psi^* f \in A\}$. Suppose $\bigcap_{f \in B} B(\psi^* f, r_f) \neq \emptyset$, where $r_f > 0$ for all $f \in B$. Define $F : S \to \mathcal{C}(X)$ by $F(y) = \bigcap_{f \in B} B(f(y), r_f)$ for all $y \in S$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.11, we obtain $F(y) \neq \emptyset$ for all $y \in S$. Now we define $F_0 : S \to \mathcal{C}(X)$ by:

$$F_0(y) = \begin{cases} F(y) & \text{if } y \neq y_0 \\ \{0\} & \text{if } y = y_0 \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $F_0(y)$ is closed, convex and $F_0(y) \neq \emptyset$ for all $y \in S$. Similarly, as done in the proof of Theorem 2.11, it can be shown that F_0 is lower semicontinuous. Thus, by Michael's selection theorem, we obtain $h: S \to X$ such that $h(y) \in F_0(y)$ for all $y \in S$. Thus, $\psi^* h \in \cap_{f \in B} B(\psi^* f, r_f) \cap M$. \square

Proceeding similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.11, the following can be obtained.

Corollary 2.13. (a) Let X be a Lindenstrauss space, T compact Hausdorff space and S be a closed subset of T. If $M = \{f \in C(T,X): f|_S = 0\}$, then M is a K(M) - C-subspace of C(T,X). (b) Let X be a Lindenstrauss space and T be a compact Hausdorff space. Then, C(T,X) is a K(C(T,X)) - C-subspace of $\ell_{\infty}(T,X)$.

Our following observation shows that the characteristic of being a central subspace is stable in the spaces of continuous functions. Let us recall the manner in which the Lindenstrauss spaces are characterized in the introduction.

Theorem 2.14. Let A be any of the families F, K. Let X be a Lindenstrauss space, Y a A(Y)-C-subspace of X, and T a compact Hausdorff space. Then, C(T,Y) is a A(C(T,Y))-C-subspace of $\ell_{\infty}(T,X)$.

Proof. We follow the similar techniques that are used in Theorem 2.11.

Let $A \in \mathcal{A}(C(T,Y))$ and $\bigcap_{f \in A} B(f,r_f) \cap \ell_{\infty}(T,X) \neq \emptyset$, where $r_f > 0$ for all $f \in A$. Define $F: T \to \mathcal{C}(Y)$ by $F(t) = \bigcap_{f \in A} B(f(t),r_f) \cap Y$. Now since for all $t \in T$, $\bigcap_{f \in A} B(f(t),r_f) \cap X \neq \emptyset$, based on our assumption, $\bigcap_{f \in A} B(f(t),r_f) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ for all $t \in T$. Clearly, F(t) is closed and convex for all $t \in T$.

By using similar arguments stated in Theorem 2.11 it follows that F is lower semicontinuous.

By Michael's selection theorem, we obtain $h: T \to Y$ such that $h(t) \in F(t)$ for all $t \in T$. This completes the proof.

We end this section by providing a straightforward application of the conditional expectation projection $E: L_p(\Sigma, X) \to L_p(\Sigma', X)$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$. In the following (Ω, Σ, μ) denotes a finite measure space and Σ' is a sub σ -algebra of Σ .

Proposition 2.15. Let Y be a subspace of X and A be any of the families $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{B}$, or \mathcal{P} . Let $L_1(\Sigma, Y)$ be a $\mathcal{A}(L_1(\Sigma, Y)) - C$ -subspace of $L_1(\Sigma, X)$. Consequently, $L_1(\Sigma', Y)$ is an $\mathcal{A}(L_1(\Sigma', Y)) - C$ -subspace of $L_1(\Sigma', X)$.

Remark 2.16. In section 3, we encounter cases in Theorem 3.9 and 3.11 when $L_1(\Sigma, Y)$ is a $\mathcal{A}(L_1(\Sigma, Y)) - C$ -subspace of $L_1(\Sigma, X)$, for subspaces Y of X.

3. Weighted restricted Chebyshev centers in spaces of vector-valued functions

First, we note that the following may be established by the arguments given in [1, Theorem 2].

Theorem 3.1. Let X be a Banach space which is uniformly convex and let T be a topological space. The Banach space $C_b(T, X)$ admits weighted Chebyshev centers for all closed bounded subsets of $C_b(T, X)$ and bounded weights ρ .

The following result demonstrates that in the spaces of Bochner integrable functions $L_p(\Sigma, X)$, where (Ω, Σ, μ) is a finite measure space, the property \mathscr{F} -rcp is stable for a particular class of functions \mathscr{F} . For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, \mathscr{F}_p denotes a countable family of functions viz. $(f_p^n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, where $f_p^n : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is defined by $f_p^n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = (\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

Theorem 3.2. Consider the measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) as stated above. Let Y be a separable subspace of X and consider the corresponding function spaces $L_p(\Sigma, Y) \subseteq L_p(\Sigma, X)$. Let \mathscr{F}_p be the family of functions as stated above, then:

- (a) $(X, Y, \mathcal{F}(X))$ has **wrcp** if and only if $(L_{\infty}(\Sigma, X), L_{\infty}(\Sigma, Y), \mathcal{F}(L_{\infty}(\Sigma, X)))$ has **wrcp**.
- (b) for $1 \leq p < \infty$, $(X,Y,\mathcal{F}(X))$ has \mathscr{F}_p -rcp if and only if $(L_p(\Sigma,X),L_p(\Sigma,Y),\mathcal{F}(L_p(\Sigma,X)))$ has \mathscr{F}_p -rcp.

Proof. (a). Let $F \in \mathcal{F}(L_{\infty}(\Sigma, X))$. We prove the result when card(F) = 2 because new ideas are not involved for higher values of card(F). Let $F = \{f_1, f_2\}$ and $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2)$ be the corresponding weights. Now, there exists a measurable subset $E \subseteq \Omega$ such that $\mu(E) = 0$ and f_1, f_2 are bounded on $\Omega \setminus E$. Define for all $t \in \Omega \setminus E$, $F_t = \{f_1(t), f_2(t)\}$. Let $G = \{(t, y) \in (\Omega \setminus E) \times Y : r_{\rho}(y, F_t) = \operatorname{rad}_Y^{\rho}(F_t)\}$. Since $(X, Y, \mathcal{F}(X))$ has **wrcp**, the projection of G on $\Omega \setminus E$ is $\Omega \setminus E$.

Suppose (y_n) is dense in Y. Then, $G = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{(t, y) \in (\Omega \setminus E) \times Y : r_{\rho}(y, F_t) \leq r_{\rho}(y_n, F_t)\}$. Since all the involved functions are measurable, G is a measurable set. Thus, as a consequence of the von Neumann selection theorem [13, Theorem 7.2], we obtain a measurable function $g_0 : (\Omega \setminus E) \to Y$ such that $(t, g_0(t)) \in G$ for μ -a.e t. Then, $g_0(t) \in \text{Cent}_Y^{\rho}(F_t)$ for μ -a.e.

 $t \in \Omega \setminus E$. Clearly, $g_0 \in L_{\infty}(\Sigma, Y)$. For $g \in L_{\infty}(\Sigma, Y)$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} r_{\rho}(g,F) &= \max_{i=1,2} \underset{t \in \Omega}{\operatorname{ess sup}} \rho_{i} \| g(t) - f_{i}(t) \| \\ &= \underset{t \in \Omega}{\operatorname{ess sup}} \max_{i=1,2} \rho_{i} \| g(t) - f_{i}(t) \| \\ &\geq \underset{t \in \Omega}{\operatorname{ess sup}} \max_{i=1,2} \rho_{i} \| g_{0}(t) - f_{i}(t) \| \\ &= \underset{i=1,2}{\operatorname{max}} \underset{t \in \Omega}{\operatorname{ess sup}} \rho_{i} \| g_{0}(t) - f_{i}(t) \| \\ &= r_{\rho}(g_{0}, F). \end{split}$$

Hence, $g_0 \in \operatorname{Cent}_{L_{\infty}(\Sigma,Y)}^{\rho}(F)$.

(b). Let $F \in \mathcal{F}(L_p(\Sigma, X))$. We prove the result when card(F) = 2, as no new ideas are involved for higher values of card(F). Let $F = \{f_1, f_2\}$ and $f_p^2 : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2 \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ represent the function in \mathscr{F}_p as stated above. Accordingly, there exists a measurable subset $E \subseteq \Omega$ such that $\mu(E) = 0$ and f_1, f_2 are finite valued on $\Omega \setminus E$. Define for all $t \in \Omega \setminus E$, $F_t = \{f_1(t), f_2(t)\}$. Let $G = \{(t, y) \in (\Omega \setminus E) \times Y : r_{f_p^2}(y, F_t) = \operatorname{rad}_Y^{f_p^2}(F_t)\}$. Since $(X, Y, \mathcal{F}(X))$ has \mathscr{F}_p -rcp, the projection of G on $\Omega \setminus E$ is $\Omega \setminus E$.

Thus, in a similar manner as the proof of (a), we obtain a measurable function $g_0: (\Omega \setminus E) \to Y$ such that $(t, g_0(t)) \in G$ μ -a.e. t. Clearly, $g_0 \in L_p(\Sigma, Y)$. It is easy to see that $g_0 \in \operatorname{Cent}_{L_p(\Sigma, Y)}^{f_p^2}(F)$.

Our next few results are derived under an assumption that the function $r_f(.,F)$ is lower semicontinuous w.r.t. a suitable topology on X, for a given bounded set $F \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, which is now stated in the following remark. For an arbitrary set Γ , we define $\ell_1(\Gamma) := \{\vartheta : \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} : \sum_{\gamma} \vartheta(\gamma) < \infty\}$.

- **Remark 3.3.** (a) Clearly $r_f(.,F): X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is a continuous and coercive function, where $r_f(x,F) = f((\|x-a\|)_{a\in F})$, when $F \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and $f: \ell_{\infty}(F) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is a continuous, monotone, and coercive function.
 - (b) In (a), if we choose $\rho: F \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ a bounded weight then $r_{\rho}(.,F): (X,w) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is lower semicontinuous. Moreover $r_{\rho}(.,F): (X,w^*) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is also lower semicontinuous, when X is a dual space.
 - (c) For $F \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and for any $\vartheta \in \ell_1(F)$, since ϑ induces a continuous, monotone functional on $\ell_{\infty}(F)$, it is easy to observe that $r_{\vartheta}(.,F):(X,w)\to\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is lower semicontinuous and so is when X is a dual space endowed with the w^* -topology.

Proposition 3.4. Suppose that X is a dual space, Y is a w^* -closed subspace of X, $F \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and $f : \ell_{\infty}(F) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a monotone, coercive function such that $r_f(.,F) : X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is w^* -lower semicontinuous. Then, $\operatorname{Cent}_Y^f(F) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $(y_n) \subseteq Y$ be such that $r_f(y_n, F) \to \operatorname{rad}_Y^f(F)$. As f is coercive, the sequence (y_n) is bounded. Hence there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that $y_\alpha \to y_0$ in w^* -topology, for some subnet (y_α) of (y_n) . It is clear that $r_f(y_0, F) = \operatorname{rad}_Y^f(F)$ and hence $y_0 \in \operatorname{Cent}_Y^f(F)$.

The following is a variation of [6, Proposition IV.1.2]. For a $B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and $f: \ell_{\infty}(B) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, f is said to be weakly strictly monotone if $f(\varphi_1) < f(\varphi_2)$, whenever $\varphi_1(t) < \varphi_2(t)$, for all $t \in B$.

Theorem 3.5. Let X be an L-embedded space and Y be a subspace of X, which is also L-embedded and $F \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Then,

- (a) for all monotone, coercive functions $f: \ell_{\infty}(F) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, such that $r_f(.,F): X^{**} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is w^* -lower semicontinuous, $\operatorname{Cent}_Y^f(F) \neq \emptyset$.
- (b) for all weakly strictly monotone, coercive functions $f: \ell_{\infty}(F) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, such that $r_f(.,F): X^{**} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is w^* -lower semicontinuous, $\operatorname{Cent}_Y^f(F)$ is weakly compact.
- Proof. (a). Let $f: \ell_{\infty}(F) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a monotone, coercive function such that $r_f(.,F)$ is w^* -lower semicontinuous. Let $P: X^{**} \to X$ be the L-projection. Thus, from [6, Theorem IV.1.2], we have $P(\overline{Y}^{w^*}) \subseteq Y$. By Proposition 3.4 there exists $y^{**} \in \overline{Y}^{w^*}$ such that $r_f(y^{**},F) = \operatorname{rad}_{\overline{Y}^{w^*}}^f(F)$. Based on the monotonicity of f, we obtain,
- (1) $\operatorname{rad}_{Y}^{f}(F) \ge \operatorname{rad}_{\overline{Y}^{w^{*}}}^{f}(F) = r_{f}(y^{**}, F) \ge r_{f}(Py^{**}, F) \ge \operatorname{rad}_{Y}^{f}(F).$

Since $Py^{**} \in Y$, our first assertion follows.

(b). Let $f: \ell_{\infty}(F) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a weakly strictly monotone, coercive function such that $r_f(.,F)$ is w^* -lower semicontinuous. By (1), we obtain a $y^{**} \in \overline{Y}^{w^*}$ such that, $r_f(Py^{**},F) = r_f(y^{**},F)$. Now for all $x \in F$,

$$||y^{**} - x|| = ||Py^{**} - x + (Id - P)y^{**}|| = ||Py^{**} - x|| + ||y^{**} - Py^{**}||.$$

This leads to $r_f(Py^{**}, F) = f((\|Py^{**} - x\| + \|y^{**} - Py^{**}\|)_{x \in F}).$

Since f is weakly strictly monotone, we have $y^{**} = Py^{**}$ and consequently, $\operatorname{Cent}_Y^f(F) = \operatorname{Cent}_{\overline{Y}^{w^*}}^f(F)$. Hence, the w^* -closure of $\operatorname{Cent}_Y^f(F)$ is contained in X, so it is weakly compact.

As a corollary of the Theorem 3.5, we have,

- Corollary 3.6. (a) Let X be an L-embedded space and Y be a subspace of X, which is also L-embedded. Suppose that Y has n.X.I.P. in X for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, Y is a central subspace of X.
 - (b) Let $L_1(\Sigma, X)$ be an L-embedded space. Let $F \in \mathcal{B}(L_1(\Sigma, X))$ and $f: \ell_{\infty}(F) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a monotone, coercive function such that $r_f(., F): L_1(\Sigma, X)^{**} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is w^* -lower semicontinuous. Then, for all sub σ -algebra $\Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$, $\operatorname{Cent}_{L_1(\Sigma', X)}^f(F) \neq \emptyset$.
- *Proof.* (a). From our assumption, it follows that both X and Y admit weighted Chebyshev center for finite subsets of Y. The result now follows from Theorem 2.8, and Theorem 3.5.
- (b). Since $L_1(\Sigma', X)$ is one complemented in $L_1(\Sigma, X)$, it follows from [6, Proposition IV.1.5], that $L_1(\Sigma', X)$ is also L-embedded. Thus, our conclusion follows from Theorem 3.5.

Similar arguments stated in the proof of Theorem 3.5(b) also lead to the following.

Lemma 3.7. Let X be an L-embedded space and Y be a subspace of X, which is also L-embedded. Let $F \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and $f : \ell_{\infty}(F) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a strictly monotone, coercive function such that $r_f(.,F) : X^{**} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is w^* -lower semicontinuous,. Suppose that (y_n) is a sequence in Y such that $\lim_n r_f(y_n,F) = \operatorname{rad}_Y^f(F)$. Then, (y_n) is relatively weakly compact.

As a consequence of Lemma 3.7, we have the following.

Theorem 3.8. Let X be a Banach space such that $L_1(\Sigma, X)$ is L-embedded. Let $\{\Sigma_n\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be an increasing sequence of sub σ -algebras. Let Σ_{∞} be the σ -algebra generated by $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\Sigma_n$. Let $F\in\mathcal{B}(L_1(\Sigma,X))$ and $f:\ell_{\infty}(F)\to\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a strictly monotone, coercive function such that $r_f(.,F):L_1(\Sigma,X)^{**}\to\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is w^* -lower semicontinuous. Suppose that $\mathrm{rad}_{L_1(\Sigma_n,X)}^f(F)=r_f(f_n,F)$ for some $f_n\in L_1(\Sigma_n,X)$. Then, (f_n) is relatively weakly compact, and any weak limit point of this sequence belongs to $\mathrm{Cent}_{L_1(\Sigma_\infty,X)}^f(F)$.

Proof. We follow the techniques similar to those used in [14, Theorem 12]. Let E_n be the conditional expectation projection of $L_1(\Sigma_\infty, X)$ onto $L_1(\Sigma_n, X)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is known that $E_n(g) \to g$ for all $g \in L_1(\Sigma_\infty, X)$.

We have for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\operatorname{rad}_{L_1(\Sigma_{\infty},X)}^f(F) \leq \operatorname{rad}_{L_1(\Sigma_n,X)}^f(F) \leq r_f(f_n,F)$ and hence $\operatorname{rad}_{L_1(\Sigma_{\infty},X)}^f(F) \leq \liminf_n r_f(f_n,F)$.

Now for any $g \in L_1(\Sigma_{\infty}, X)$, we have,

$$\limsup_{n} \operatorname{rad}_{L_{1}(\Sigma_{n},X)}^{f}(F) \leq \lim_{n} r_{f}(E_{n}(g),F) \leq r_{f}(g,F).$$

Hence, $\limsup_{n} r_f(f_n, F) = \limsup_{n} \operatorname{rad}_{L_1(\Sigma_n, X)}^f(F) \le \operatorname{rad}_{L_1(\Sigma_\infty, X)}^f(F)$.

Thus, $\operatorname{rad}_{L_1(\Sigma_\infty,X)}^f(F) = \lim_n r_f(f_n,F) = \lim_n \operatorname{rad}_{L_1(\Sigma_n,X)}^f(F)$. Hence, by Lemma 3.7, (f_n) is relatively weakly compact. Using the w^* -lower semi-continuity of $r_f(.,F)$, we have the weak limit point of (f_n) belonging to $\operatorname{Cent}_{L_1(\Sigma_\infty,X)}^f(F)$.

Our next observation follows from [16, Theorem 5.1] and the existence of the conditional expectation operator.

Theorem 3.9. Let X denote a Banach space such that X^* has RNP. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a finite measure space. Let $F \in \mathcal{B}(L_1(\Sigma, X^*))$ and $f : \ell_{\infty}(F) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a monotone, coercive function such that $r_f(., F) : L_1(\Sigma, X^*)^{**} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is w^* -lower semicontinuous. Then, for all sub σ -algebra $\Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$, $\operatorname{Cent}_{L_1(\Sigma', X^*)}(F) \neq \emptyset$.

Let us recall that WC(X) denotes the set of all weakly compact subsets of X. Additionally, let us also recall the following theorem of Dunford (see [5, pg. 101]).

Theorem 3.10. Let X be a reflexive Banach space. Then, $K \subseteq L_1(\mu, X)$ is relatively weakly compact if and only if K is bounded and uniformly integrable.

It is apparent from the proof of the above Theorem that for any Banach space X, a relatively weakly compact subset of $L_1(\mu, X)$ is bounded and uniformly integrable.

Theorem 3.11. Let Y be a reflexive subspace of X and (Ω, Σ, μ) be a finite measure space.

- (a) Then for $F \in \mathcal{WC}(L_1(\Sigma, X))$, for all sub σ -algebra $\Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$ and for all monotone, coercive function $f : \ell_{\infty}(F) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $r_f(., F) : L_1(\Sigma, X) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is w-lower semicontinuous, $\operatorname{Cent}_{L_1(\Sigma', Y)}^f(F) \neq \emptyset$.
- (b) Then for all $F \in \mathcal{B}(L_{\infty}(\Sigma, X))$, monotone, coercive function f: $\ell_{\infty}(F) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $r_f(.,F): L_1(\Sigma, X^*)^* \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is w^* -lower semicontinuous, $\operatorname{Cent}_{L_{\infty}(\Sigma,Y)}^f(F) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. (a). Let $(g_n) \subseteq L_1(\Sigma',Y)$ be a such that $r_f(g_n,F) \to \operatorname{rad}_{L_1(\Sigma',Y)}^f(F)$. Clearly, (g_n) is bounded. Hence by a vector-valued version of Kadec-Pełczynski-Rosenthal theorem ([4, Lemma 2.1.3]), there exists a subsequence (g_{n_k}) of (g_n) and a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets $(A_k) \subseteq \Sigma'$ such that $(g_{n_k}\chi_{A_k^c})$ is uniformly integrable in $L_1(\Sigma',Y)$. Since, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_k) = \mu(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k) \le \mu(\Omega) < \infty$, we have $\mu(A_k) \to 0$.

Let us choose $h \in F$ then,

$$||g_{n_k}\chi_{A_k^c} - h||_1 = \int_{A_k} ||h|| d\mu + \int_{A_k^c} ||g_{n_k} - h|| d\mu \le \int_{A_k} ||h|| d\mu + ||g_{n_k} - h||_1.$$

As F is uniformly integrable, we get $\lim_k \int_{A_k} \|h\| d\mu = 0$ uniformly for all $h \in F$. Now, it is easy to see that $r_f(g_{n_k}\chi_{A_k^c}, F) \to \operatorname{rad}_{L_1(\Sigma',Y)}^f(F)$. Also since $(g_{n_k}\chi_{A_k^c})$ is a bounded sequence in $L_1(\Sigma',Y)$, by Theorem 3.10, $(g_{n_k}\chi_{A_k^c})$ is relatively weakly compact in $L_1(\Sigma',Y)$. Let us denote by $(g_{n_{k(j)}})$, a weakly convergent subsequence of $(g_{n_k}\chi_{A_k^c})$ converging weakly to $g \in L_1(\Sigma',Y)$. Then $r_f(g,F) \leq \liminf_j r_f(g_{n_{k(j)}},F) = \operatorname{rad}_{L_1(\Sigma',Y)}^f(F)$. Hence $r_f(g,F) = \operatorname{rad}_{L_1(\Sigma',Y)}^f(F)$.

(b). We consider $L_{\infty}(\Sigma, X)$ to be canonically embedded in $L_1(\Sigma, X^*)^*$. It follows from Proposition 3.1 of [12] that $L_{\infty}(\Sigma, Y)$ is w^* -closed in $L_1(\Sigma, X^*)^*$. Hence by the w^* -lower semicontinuity of $r_f(., F)$, we have an $g \in L_{\infty}(\Sigma, Y)$ such that $r_f(g, F) = \operatorname{rad}_{L_{\infty}(\Sigma, Y)}^f(F)$.

Remark 3.12. Using similar arguments, it can be shown that for a reflexive subspace Y of X and for a sub- σ -algebra $\Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$, $(L_1(\Sigma, X), B_{L_1(\Sigma', Y)}, \mathcal{WC}(L_1(\Sigma, X)))$ has \mathbf{rcp} .

Let \mathscr{F} be the family of all monotone functions $f:(\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0},\|.\|_{\infty})\to\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, where $n\geq 1$.

Proposition 3.13. Let P be a projection on X of norm-1 and $Y = \ker(P)$. Let $Z \subseteq P(X)$ be a subspace. If $(X, Y + Z, \mathcal{F}(X))$ has \mathscr{F} -rcp, then $(P(X), Z, \mathcal{F}(P(X)))$ has \mathscr{F} -rcp.

Proof. Let $F \in \mathcal{F}(P(X))$ and card(F) = n. Suppose that, $f \in \mathcal{F}$, where $f: \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be monotone. Based on our assumption, there exists $y_0 + z_0 \in Y + Z$ such that $r_f(y_0 + z_0, F) = \operatorname{rad}_{Y+Z}^f(F) \leq \operatorname{rad}_Z^f(F)$. Then, $r_f(z_0, F) = r_f(P(y_0 + z_0), F) \leq r_f(y_0 + z_0, F) \leq \operatorname{rad}_Z^f(F)$.

- Remark 3.14. (a) An identical arguments stated in the proof of Proposition 3.13 also work to conclude that F has \mathscr{F} -rcp in Z whenever $F \in \mathcal{B}(P(X))$ and F has \mathscr{F} -rcp in Y + Z. Here we consider \mathscr{F} to be the family $\ell_{\infty}(F)$.
 - (b) Similar conditions as that in Proposition 3.13, it can be used to prove that if $(X, B_{Y+Z}, \mathcal{B}(X))$ has \mathbf{rcp} , then $(P(X), B_Z, \mathcal{B}(P(X)))$ has \mathbf{rcp} .

References

- [1] Amir Dan, Chebyshev centers and uniform convexity, Pacific J. Math. 77(1), (1978) 1–6.
- [2] Bandyopadhyay Pradipta, Dutta S., Weighted Chebyshev centers and intersection properties of balls in Banach spaces, Function spaces (Edwardsville, IL, 2002), 43–58, Contemp. Math., 328, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [3] Bandyopadhyay Pradipta, Rao T. S. S. R. K., Central subspaces of Banach spaces,J. Approx. Theory 103(2) (2000), 206–222.
- [4] Cembranos Pilar, Mendoza José, Banach spaces of vector-valued functions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1676**, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [5] Diestel J., Uhl J.J., Vector measures, Mathematical Surveys, No. 15, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1977.
- [6] Harmand P., Werner D., Werner W., M-ideals in Banach spaces and Banach algebras, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1547, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1993.
- [7] Indumathi V., Lalithambigai S., *Ball proximinal spaces*, J. Convex Anal. **18**(2), (2011) 353–366.
- [8] Jayanarayanan C.R., Intersection properties of balls in Banach spaces, J. Funct. Spaces Appl. (2013), Article ID 902694.
- [9] Lima Å., Uniqueness of Hahn-Banach extensions and liftings of linear dependences, Math. Scand. 53(1) (1983), 97–113.
- [10] Lindenstruass J., Extension of compact operators. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 48 (1964).
- [11] Michael E., Continuous selections. I, Ann. of Math. 63(2) (1956), 361-382.
- [12] Pakhrou Tijani, Best simultaneous approximation in $L_{\infty}(\mu, X)$. Math. Nachr. **281**(3) (2008), 396–401.
- [13] Parthasarathy T., Selection theorems and their applications, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 263. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.
- [14] Rao T.S.S.R.K., Approximation properties for spaces of Bochner integrable functions,
 J. Math. Anal. Appl. 423(2) (2015) 1540–1545.
- [15] Veselý Libor, Chebyshev centers in hyperplanes of c_0 , Czechoslovak Math. J. 52(127) (2002), 721–729.
- [16] Veselý Libor, Generalized centers of finite sets in Banach spaces Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. (N.S.) 66(1) (1997), 83–115.

[17] Yost D.T., Best approximation and intersections of balls in Banach spaces. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **20**(2), (1979) 285–300.

Dept. of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, India $Email\ address: \verb|ma20resch11006@iith.ac.in||\& tanmoy@math.iith.ac.in||$