On polynomials of small range sum

Gergely Kiss[∗] Ádám Markó [†] Zoltán Lóránt Nagy[‡] Gábor Somlai §

Abstract

In order to reprove an old result of Rédei's on the number of directions determined by a set of cardinality p in \mathbb{F}_p^2 , Somlai proved that the non-constant polynomials over the field \mathbb{F}_p whose range sums are equal to p are of degree at least $\frac{p-1}{2}$. Here we characterise all of these polynomials having degree exactly $\frac{p-1}{2}$, if p is large enough. As a consequence, for the same set of primes we re-establish the characterisation of sets with few determined directions due to Lovász and Schrijver using discrete Fourier analysis.

1 Introduction

Given a set S of q points in the affine plane $AG(2, q)$, where q is a prime power. The classical direction problem, investigated first by Rédei [\[10\]](#page-18-0), aims to determine the possible size of the direction set of S, defined as $D = \{(a_i - a_j)/(b_i - b_j) : (a_i, b_i), (a_j, b_j) \in U \times U\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}.$ Rédei [\[10\]](#page-18-0) proved that if S is a set of size p in the affine plane $AG(2, p)$, where p is a prime, then S is either a line or it determines at least $\frac{p+1}{2}$ directions. This result was extended by Megyesi excluding $\frac{p+1}{2}$, namely a set of size p is either a line or determines at least $\frac{p+3}{2}$ directions. The proofs rely on the usage of R´edei's polynomial, which has become a useful tool in finite geometry. Later, the exact same result was obtained by Dress, Klin and Muzychuk [\[3\]](#page-18-1) who exploited this fact to prove an old theorem of Burnside describing transitive permutation groups of degree p .

Sets determining exactly $\frac{p+3}{2}$ directions exist and they were explicitly described by Lovász and Schrijver [\[9\]](#page-18-2). They proved that up to an affine transformation there is a unique set of this sort. Gács [\[4\]](#page-18-3) proved that there is another gap in the number of directions so a set of size p in $AG(2, p)$ is either a line or the set determined by Lovász and Schrijver or determines at least $\frac{2p}{3}$ directions.

A new method to handle this set of problems arises in a paper of Somlai [\[11\]](#page-18-4), where projection polynomials are used. The concept of projection polynomials appeared in a paper of Kiss and Somlai [\[6\]](#page-18-5). The idea of identifying the elements of \mathbb{Z}_p with integers is also used in a similar context in a paper of De Beule, Demeyer, Mattheus, and Sziklai [\[2\]](#page-18-6), where lifting functions were introduced to treat polynomials over \mathbb{F}_p as functions from \mathbb{F}_p to $\{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\} \subset \mathbb{N}$. This approach connects the direction problem to a natural general problem.

Problem 1.1. Given a multiset M of size p over \mathbb{F}_p , determine the minimum degree of a polynomial over \mathbb{F}_p with range M.

[∗]Alfr´ed R´enyi Institute of Mathematics, The author is supported by the by the J´anos Bolyai Research Fellowship, UNKP-23-5 New National Excellence Program of the Ministry for Culture and Innovation from the source of the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund, OTKA grant no. FK 142993, E-mail: kigergo57@gmail.com [†]Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary E-mail: marqadam@gmail.com

[‡]ELTE Linear Hypergraphs Research Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary. The author is supported by the Hungarian Research Grant (NKFIH) No. PD 134953 and no. 124950. E-mail: nagyzoli@cs.elte.hu

 \S Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary, supported by the János Bolyai, and Bolyai + Research Grant; OTKA grant no. SNN 132625, 138596 E-mail: gabor.somlai@ttk.elte.hu

Recall that over any finite field \mathbb{F}_q , any function can be uniquely represented by a polynomial of degree at most $q-1$, as $x^q \equiv x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$. The degree of such a polynomial is called the reduced degree of the polynomial (function). Thus for $q = p$ prime, the minimum (reduced) degree of a polynomial over \mathbb{F}_p with range M is at most $p-1$. It is easy to observe on the other hand (see also Lemma [2.1](#page-2-0) in Section 2) that the reduced degree of a polynomial over \mathbb{F}_p must be $p-1$ unless the sum of the elements in the range is divisible by p . Thus in terms of the sum of the elements, the first interesting question to study is when the sum of the elements, considered as elements from $[0, p-1]$, is equal to p. Somlai [\[11\]](#page-18-4) proved that if the sum of the values of a polynomial is p, then either the polynomial is the constant 1 or its degree is at least $\frac{p-1}{2}$.

Notice that there are polynomials of degree $\frac{p-1}{2}$ whose value sum is p. The uniqueness of these polynomials is also asked in [\[11\]](#page-18-4). We answer this question by proving some sort of uniqueness.

Theorem 1.2. Assume $p > 7408848$ is a prime. Up to affine transformations $(x \rightarrow ax + b,$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and $a \neq 0$), there are two polynomials P of degree $\frac{p-1}{2}$ over \mathbb{F}_p with $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} P(x) = p$. These are the following.

(i) $x^{\frac{p-1}{2}}+1$, $(ii) \frac{p+1}{2}$ $(x^{\frac{p-1}{2}}+1).$

Moreover, the set of polynomials satisfying the conditions of the theorem are the following.

(i) $\pm (x-a)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + 1$, $(ii) \frac{p+1}{2}$ $(\pm (x-a)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}+1).$

For further results on the connection between the multiset M and the degree of the corresponding polynomial we refer to $[1]$ (for the case when M consists of 0s and 1s), $[5]$ (for the case when the degree is at least $p-2$) and [\[8\]](#page-18-8) (for the case when the degree is at least $p-t$ for some $t > p/2$).

The second and fourth authors [\[6\]](#page-18-5) proved that if the projection polynomial (defined in the next section) of a set $S \subset \mathrm{AG}(2, p)$ is of degree d, then S determines at least $d+2$ directions if $d \geq 1$. The proof of this result uses Rédei's polynomial as a core tool. Since the investigation of this paper heavily relies on the result of Kiss and Somlai we cannot avoid the usage of the original approach. As it is observed in [\[11\]](#page-18-4), by combining the result on the sum of values in [\[11\]](#page-18-4) and the one on the degrees of the projection polynomials and the number of directions in [\[6\]](#page-18-5) we obtain a new proof for the Rédei-Megyesi result on the number of directions determined by a set of size p in $AG(2, p)$.

It is natural to hope that the characterization of polynomials of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) would also give us back the descriptive result of Lovász and Schrijver [\[9\]](#page-18-2), and indeed as a Fourier analytic application of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) we obtain a new proof for the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3 (Lovász-Schrijver). Assume p is a prime which is large enough. Then up to an affine transformation, there is a unique set in $AG(2,p)$ of cardinality p determining exactly $\frac{p+3}{2}$ directions. All of these sets are affine equivalent to

$$
\{(0,x): x^{(p-1)/2} = 1\} \cup \{(x,0): x^{(p-1)/2} = 1\} \cup \{(0,0)\}.
$$

The previous presentation is borrowed from Szőnyi [\[14\]](#page-18-9) due to its simplicity. As an additional benefit we provide an explicit description of the Lovász-Schrijver construction based on projection polynomials listed in Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0)

At the end of this section, we outline the proof of our results while presenting the organisation of the paper. In section 2, we summarize the basic facts concerning polynomials over finite fields and set the main notations of the paper. The core of the proof of our main result, Theorem [1.2,](#page-1-0) is carried out in Section 3. First we show that polynomials of degree $\frac{p-1}{2}$ with range sum p are fully reducible and have distinct roots. Then we prove a list of identities involving the leading coefficient (LC, for brief) of the polynomial, the roots and the elements of the range. Using affine transformation, we may suppose that the LC is positive and is at most $\frac{p-1}{2}$. From the identities, we will deduce that the leading coefficient cannot take a value greater than 1 and smaller than $\frac{p-1}{2}$. Here we distinguish between three cases depending on the value of the LC, and use several tricks beside a deeper result which is related to the Hasse-Weil bound. At the end of this section, we handle the cases $LC(P) = 1$ and $LC(P) = \frac{p-1}{2}$ separately and show the uniqueness of the polynomial in both cases, up to affine transformation. Next we present the proof of the aforementioned result concerning the sum of Legendre symbols evaluated on translates of a set in Section 4. This might be of independent interest as well. Finally, for large enough primes we derive the Lovász-Schrijver theorem from our result in Section 5 and pose some open problems in Section 6.

2 Basic ideas and notation

Let p denote a prime number. A multiset M of size p is called the range of a polynomial P if $M = \{P(x) : x \in \text{GF}(p)\}\$ as a multiset. We will usually identify the elements of \mathbb{F}_p with $\{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$.

Any function over a finite field $GF(p)$ can uniquely be represented by a reduced degree polynomial $P(x)$ of degree at most $p-1$.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} a_k x^k$ is a polynomial over $GF(q)$. Then

$$
\sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} x^t P(x) = -a_{q-t-1}
$$

for any $t \in [0, q-1]$.

Proof. Observe that $\sum_{x \in GF(q)} x^t = 0$ is $0 \le t \le q-2$ and $\sum_{x \in GF(q)} x^{q-1} = -1$. \Box

Corollary 2.2. Suppose that P is a reduced degree polynomial over $GF(q)$. Then the degree of P is smaller than $q - t$ iff $\sum_{y \in \text{GF}(q)} x^d P(x) = 0$ holds for all $0 \leq d \leq t - 1$. To put it differently, for every non-negative integer γ we have

$$
\sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} x^{\gamma} P(x) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \deg P \geq q - 1 - \gamma.
$$

and

$$
\deg P \ge q - 1 - \gamma \Rightarrow \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} x^{\delta} P(x) \neq 0 \text{ for some } 0 \le \delta \le \gamma.
$$

For a pair of sets A and B, $A + B$ denotes the Minkowski-sum of the sets, i.e., $x \in A + B$ iff x is of form $a + b$ for some $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. If $B = \{b\}$ is a singleton, we might omit the brackets for brevity.

Let S be a set in \mathbb{F}_p which does not contain a line, and let m be an element of $\mathbb{F}_p \cup \infty$. Then the function f_m is defined as follows. Let $f_m(i)$ be the number of elements of S on the line $y = mx + i$. If $m = \infty$, then we take the line as $x = i$. Now f_m can be viewed as a function from \mathbb{F}_p to $\{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$, which we identify here with \mathbb{F}_p . Thus we obtain a polynomial which we call the m'th projection polynomial.

3 Proof of the main result

Let us assume that P is a polynomial whose range sum is p . We have already seen that P is either at least of degree $\frac{p-1}{2}$ or constant. The main goal of this section is to characterise those P that are of degree exactly $\frac{p-1}{2}$. As a consequence of this section we establish the description of the polynomials given in Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0)

3.1 Roots of a polynomial of degree $\frac{p-1}{2}$

The main purpose of this section is to prove that P is fully reducible.

Proposition 3.1. Let P be a polynomial of degree $\frac{p-1}{2}$ with $\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_p} P(x) = p$. Then P is fully reducible. Moreover, P has $\frac{p-1}{2}$ different roots.

In order to prove Proposition [3.1](#page-3-0) we prove the following.

Proposition 3.2. Suppose that $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} P(x) = p$ and $|\{y \in \mathbb{F}_p : P(y) = 0\}| \le i < p - 1$. Then deg $P \ge p - 1 - i$. In another words, the conditions imply deg $P \ge |\text{supp}(P)| - 1$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume $P(0) = 0$. Let $0 = a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_j$ $(j \leq i)$ denote the roots of P. Since the sum of the values of P is p we can see that if $j > 1$, then there is $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that $P(x) > 1$. Now we build a multiset S of the elements of \mathbb{F}_p . The weight of S at x is $P(x) - 1$ if $P(x) \ge 1$ and 0 otherwise. The total weight of S is clearly j.

Let us calculate $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} x^k P(x)$, where $k \leq j$. We will compare this sum with $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} x^k$, which is congruent to zero except if $k = p - 1$.

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} x^k P(x) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} x^k + \sum_{l=1}^j a_l^k - \sum_{b \in S} b^k,
$$
\n(1)

where the numbers appearing in S are counted with multiplicity in the last sum. Instead we use b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_j , where b_l 's are not necessarily different.

Assume now indirectly that P is of degree less than $p-1-j$. Then we have $\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_p} x^k P(x) = 0$ for $k \leq j$. By equation [\(1\)](#page-3-1) we have $\sum_{l=1}^{j} a_l^k \equiv \sum_{l=1}^{j} b_l^k \pmod{p}$ if $k \leq j$ since $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} x^k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $k \leq j < p-1$.

Then by Newton's identities we have that the elementary symmetric polynomials of both a_i 's and b_i 's are the same. Since $a_1 = 0$ we have $\sigma_j(a_1, \ldots, a_j) = 0$. This implies that $\sigma_j(b_1, \ldots, b_j) = 0$. Then $b_{l'} = 0$ for some $1 \le l' \le j$. This leads to a contradiction since $P(b_{l'}) > 1$ while $P(0) = 0$.

In particular the argument presented below shows that the proof of Proposition [3.2](#page-3-2) essentially becomes trivial when $j \geq \frac{p-1}{2}$.

As a corollary of Proposition [3.2](#page-3-2) we obtain a proof for Proposition [3.1.](#page-3-0)

3.2 Identities for roots and large values and for the leading coefficient

We denote by c the leading coefficient of P. Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ denote the roots of P and as in the proof of Proposition [3.2](#page-3-2) let $\{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k\}$ be a multiset, where the weight of $P(x) \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$ is $P(x) - 1$ if $P(x) \ge 1$ and 0 otherwise. Since $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} P(x) = p$ we have $k = \frac{p-1}{2}$.

It follows from equation [\(1\)](#page-3-1) that

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} a_l^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} b_l^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + c \pmod{p}
$$
 (2)

Clearly $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ $\Big) \equiv a^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ for every $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Thus we obtain.

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a_l}{p}\right) \equiv \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{b_l}{p}\right) + c \pmod{p}
$$
\n(3)

It is clear that $-\frac{p-1}{2} \le \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{x_l}{p}\right)$ $\overline{ }$ $\leq \frac{p-1}{2}$ for every $(x_l)_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$. Thus we obtain that

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a_l}{p} \right) = \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{b_l}{p} \right) + r,\tag{4}
$$

where $r = c$ or $r = c - p$.

Using a suitable change of variables $(x \to x - \gamma, r \to r_{\gamma})$ of the polynomial P we obtain

$$
S_{\gamma} := \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a_l - \gamma}{p} \right) = \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{b_l - \gamma}{p} \right) + r_{\gamma}.
$$
 (5)

The following is just a technical statement.

Lemma 3.3. $\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_p} \sum_{l=1}^k \left(\frac{x_l - \gamma}{p} \right)$ $= 0$ for every $(x_l)_{l=1}^k \in \mathbb{F}_p^k$.

Proof. Since half of the nonzero elements of \mathbb{F}_p are quadratic residues we have $\sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{F}_p}$ $\left(\frac{\delta}{p}\right)$ $= 0.$ Then changing the order of the summations gives the result.

Corollary 3.4. • $m_{c-p} := |\{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_p \mid r_{\gamma} = c - p\}| = c$, • $m_c := |\{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_p \mid r_{\gamma} = c\}| = p - c.$

Proof. Lemma [3.3](#page-4-0) gives that $m_c c + m_{c-p}(c-p) = 0$. The result follows from $m_c + m_{c-p} = p$. \Box

3.3 Constraints on the leading coefficient

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem [3.5.](#page-4-1)

Let k denote an absolute constant that satisfies

$$
\#\left\{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_p; \left|\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a_l-\gamma}{p}\right)\right| \ge \frac{p}{7}\right\} \le k.
$$
\n(6)

The framework of Proposition [4.6](#page-13-0) guarantees that for any fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ inequality [\(6\)](#page-4-2) holds if $p > p_0(k)$ for some $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ depending only on k. For our purpose $k = 16$ is suitable, when $p_0 = 7408848$, but the following arguments work for any fixed $k \ge 16$ and large enough p.

Theorem 3.5. Let $p > p_0$ and P be a polynomial of degree $\frac{p-1}{2}$ with $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} P(x) = p$. Then $LC(P) = \pm 1 \text{ or } LC(P) = \pm \frac{p-1}{2}.$

We will prove this theorem using a case by case analysis. Let us first observe that if $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ $= -1,$ then $LC(P(ax)) = -LC(P(x))$ since the degree of P is equal to $\frac{p-1}{2}$. Thus we may assume $1 \leq \text{LC}(P) \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$. From now on, the leading coefficient of P will be denoted by c.

Lemma 3.6. Let $\frac{p-1}{2} > c > \frac{p-1}{2} - \frac{p}{7}$. If $p > p_0$, then $LC(P) \neq c$.

Proof. Let Γ^+ denote the elements γ of \mathbb{F}_p such that

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a_l - \gamma}{p} \right) > -\frac{p}{7} \quad \text{and} \quad r_\gamma = c - p.
$$

It does follow from Corollary [3.4](#page-4-3) and Proposition [4.6](#page-13-0) that

$$
c - k \le |\Gamma^+| \le c.
$$

For every $\gamma \in \Gamma^+$ we have that $\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a_l - \gamma}{p} \right)$ $= \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{b_l - \gamma}{p} \right)$ $\left(c-p\right)$. Using $\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\left(\frac{a_l-\gamma}{p}\right)$ $\Big) \geq -\frac{p}{7}$ we obtain

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{b_l - \gamma}{p} \right) \ge \frac{p+1}{2} - \frac{p}{7} > \frac{5}{14}p.
$$

This means

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^+} \left(\frac{b_l - \gamma}{p} \right) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^+} \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{b_l - \gamma}{p} \right) \ge \frac{5}{14} |\Gamma^+| p. \tag{7}
$$

Let $b' \in \mathbb{F}_p$ for which $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^+}$ $\left(\frac{b'-\gamma}{p}\right)$) is maximal. Let $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^+} \left(\frac{b' - \gamma}{p} \right) = \frac{p}{4} + t. \tag{8}
$$

We claim that for every $b \neq b'$

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^+} \left(\frac{b - \gamma}{p} \right) \le \frac{p}{4} - t + 2. \tag{9}
$$

Indeed, let $g := |\Gamma^+|$ and let g_+ and g_- denote the number of elements γ of Γ^+ such that $\left(\frac{b'-\gamma}{p}\right)$ $= 1$ and $\left(\frac{b'-\gamma}{p}\right)$ $= -1$, respectively. Plainly $g_{+} + g_{-} = g$ and $g_{+} - g_{-} = \frac{p}{4} + t$, which immediately gives $g_+ = \frac{g + \frac{p}{4} + t}{2}.$

It follows from Lemma [4.1,](#page-11-0) that among these g_+ elements there are at most $\frac{p}{4} + 1$ elements γ with $\left(\frac{b-\gamma}{p}\right)$) = 1 if $b \neq b'$. Thus we have at least $\frac{g+\frac{p}{4}+t}{2}-\frac{p}{4}-1$ elements of Γ^+ such that $\left(\frac{b-\gamma}{p}\right)$ $= -1$ so if $b \neq b'$, then

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^+} \left(\frac{b - \gamma}{p} \right) \le g - 2 \left(\frac{g + \frac{p}{4} + t}{2} - \frac{p}{4} - 1 \right) = \frac{p}{4} - t + 2,\tag{10}
$$

as required.

We have that

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^+} \left(\frac{b_l - \gamma}{p} \right) \leq \# \{ b_l = b' \} \left(\frac{p}{4} + t \right) + \# \{ b_l \neq b' \} \left(\frac{p}{4} - t + 2 \right). \tag{11}
$$

It follows from our assumptions that $|\Gamma^+| \geq \frac{5}{14}(p-1) - k$, which implies

$$
\frac{5}{14}|\Gamma^+|p \ge \frac{p-1}{2}\frac{25}{98}p - \frac{p-1}{2}\frac{5k}{7}.\tag{12}
$$

Note that k is a constant so for p large enough

$$
\frac{p-1}{2}\frac{25}{98}p - \frac{p-1}{2}\frac{5k}{7} > \frac{p-1}{2}\frac{p}{4} + p.
$$

Using equation (11) , (12) and (7) we obtain

$$
\# \{b_l = b'\} \left(\frac{p}{4} + t\right) + \# \{b_l \neq b'\} \left(\frac{p}{4} - t + 2\right) > \frac{p-1}{2} \frac{p}{4} + p,
$$
\n
$$
\# \{b_l = b'\} \left(\frac{p}{4} + t\right) + \# \{b_l \neq b'\} \left(\frac{p}{4} - t\right) > \frac{p-1}{2} \frac{p}{4},
$$

which gives us

$$
\#\{b_l=b'\}>\frac{p-1}{4}.
$$

Now we see that the role of b' is dominant. More precisely we have the following. If $\left(\frac{b'-\gamma}{p}\right)$ $= 1,$ then $\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{b_l - \gamma}{p}\right)$) > 0, while if $\left(\frac{b'-\gamma}{p}\right)$ $= -1$, then $\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{b_l - \gamma}{p} \right)$ $\Big)$ < 0. Finally, if $b' = \gamma$, then $\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{b_l - \gamma}{p} \right)$ $\Big| < \frac{p+1}{4}.$ If

$$
\left| \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a_l - \gamma}{p} \right) \right| \le \frac{p}{4}
$$

,

then $\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{b_l - \gamma}{p}\right)$) > 0 if and only if $r_{\gamma} = c - p$, and $\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{b_l - \gamma}{p} \right)$ $\Big) < 0$ if and only if $r_{\gamma} = c$. We have that $r_{\gamma} = c - p$ for at least $\frac{p-3}{2}$ choices of $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_p$. By Corollary [3.4](#page-4-3) we have $c \geq \frac{p-3}{2}$.

It remains to handle the case when $c = \frac{p-3}{2}$. We may strengthen our previous estimates using this assumption so we repeat the calculation.

As before, $|\Gamma^+| \geq c - k$ so we have that $|\Gamma^+| \geq \frac{p-3}{2} - k$. The same argument as applied to obtain equation [\(7\)](#page-5-0) gives

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^+} \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{b_l - \gamma}{p} \right) \ge \frac{5}{14} |\Gamma^+| p
$$
, and again

$$
\# \{ b_l = b' \} \left(\frac{p}{4} + t \right) + \# \{ b_l \ne b' \} \left(\frac{p}{4} - t + 2 \right) \ge \frac{5}{14} \frac{p(p-1)}{2} - (k+1)p.
$$

For p large enough

$$
\#\{b_l=b'\}\left(\frac{p}{4}+t\right)+\#\{b_l\neq b'\}\left(\frac{p}{4}-t\right)\geq \frac{2}{7}\frac{p(p-1)}{2}.
$$

Simple rearrangement of the inequality gives

$$
(\#\{b_l=b'\}-\#\{b_l\neq b'\})t\geq \frac{1}{28}\frac{p(p-1)}{2}.
$$

Since $t \leq \frac{p}{4}$ we have that

$$
\# \{b_l = b'\} - \# \{b_l \neq b'\} \ge \frac{1}{7} \frac{p-1}{2}.
$$

$$
\# \{b_l = b'\} \ge \frac{4}{7} \frac{p-1}{2}.
$$
(13)

This implies

For
$$
\gamma = b'
$$
 we get

$$
\left|\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\left(\frac{b_l-b'}{p}\right)\right|\leq \frac{3}{7}\frac{p-1}{2}.
$$

If $\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a_l - b'}{p} \right)$ p $\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p}{4} + 2 \right|$ then a simple triangle inequality gives $p-1$ $p-1$

$$
\left|\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left(\frac{a_l-b'}{p}\right)-\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left(\frac{b_l-b'}{p}\right)\right|\leq \frac{3}{7}\frac{p-1}{2}+\frac{p}{4}+2
$$

a contradiction. Thus we may assume $\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a_l - b'}{p} \right)$ p $\Big) \Big| \geq \frac{p}{4} + 2.$

By Lemma [4.1,](#page-11-0) there is only one $\gamma' \in \mathbb{F}_p$ for which $p-1$

$$
\left|\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left(\frac{a_l-\gamma'}{p}\right)\right|>\frac{p}{4}+2.
$$

Since this holds for $\gamma' = b'$, for all the other γ (i.e. $\gamma \neq b'$) we have

$$
\bigg|\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\bigg(\frac{a_l-\gamma'}{p}\bigg)\bigg|\leq \frac{p}{4}+2.
$$

Now the sign of $\left(\frac{b'-\gamma}{p}\right)$ coincides with the one of $\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{b_l-\gamma}{p}\right)$. Thus

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a_l - \gamma}{p} \right) = \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{b_l - \gamma}{p} \right) + (c - p),
$$

whenever $b' - \gamma$ is a quadratic residue, which happens exactly $\frac{p-1}{2}$ times. Corollary [3.4](#page-4-3) then gives $c = \frac{p-1}{2}$, as required. \Box

Note that the following lemma holds for every prime p.

Lemma 3.7. Assume $k < c \leq \frac{p-1}{2} - \frac{p}{7}$. Then $LC(P) \neq c$.

Proof. Let us first look at the condition that $c > k$. In this case $r_{\gamma} = c - p$ for more than k different $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_p.$ This means there exists a $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_p$ with

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a_l - \gamma}{p} \right) > -\frac{p}{7} \text{ and } \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a_l - \gamma}{p} \right) = \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{b_l - \gamma}{p} \right) + (c - p),
$$

i.e. $r_{\gamma} = c - p$. Clearly, $\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{b_l - \gamma}{p} \right)$ $\overline{ }$ $\leq \frac{p-1}{2}$, which immediately implies

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a_l - \gamma}{p} \right) = \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{b_l - \gamma}{p} \right) + (c - p) \le -\frac{p}{7},
$$

a contradiction.

Note that the following two lemma holds provided $p \geq 16 \cdot 8$.

Lemma 3.8. Assume $1 < c \leq k$. Then $LC(P) \neq c$ if p is large enough.

Proof. If p is large enough, then $k < \frac{p}{8}$ since k is an absolute constant. By Lemma [4.1,](#page-11-0) there is at most one $\gamma' \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that

$$
\bigg|\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\bigg(\frac{a_l-\gamma'}{p}\bigg)\,\bigg|\geq \frac{p+2}{4}.
$$

Hence $r_{\gamma} = c - p$ for at least 2 different $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_p$. We have already seen $c - p < -\frac{7}{8}p$. Thus there is $a \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_p \ (\gamma \neq \gamma') \text{ such that}$

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a_l - \gamma}{p} \right) = \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{b_l - \gamma}{p} \right) + (c - p) \text{ and } \left| \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a_l - \gamma}{p} \right) \right| \le \frac{p+2}{4},
$$

a contradiction.

3.4 Uniqueness

In the previous subsections we proved that if $P \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ is a reduced polynomial whose range sum is equal to p and $deg(P) = \frac{p-1}{2}$, then $LC(P) = \pm 1$ or $LC(P) = \pm \frac{p-1}{2}$. It is clear that using a change of variable $x \to ax$, where $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ $= -1$ we may assume that $LC(P) = 1$ or $LC(P) = \frac{p-1}{2}$. Now we prove that for both $c = 1$ and $c = \frac{p-1}{2}$ there is a unique reduced polynomial P of degree $\frac{p-1}{2}$ whose range sum is p and $LC(P) = c$.

3.4.1 Uniqueness if $a_{\frac{p-1}{2}} = \pm 1$

Let us assume that the sum of the values of P is p and $deg(P) = \frac{p-1}{2}$ and $a_{\frac{p-1}{2}} = 1$.

The leading coefficient of the polynomials $g_d := P(x^2 + d)$ is equal to 1. By Lemma [2.1](#page-2-0) we have

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} g_d(x) \equiv -a_{\frac{p-1}{2}} = -1 \pmod{p}
$$

for every $d \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Let us now treat the values of the polynomial g_d as integers in $\{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$. Since

$$
S_d := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} g_d(x) = P(d) + 2 \sum_{x \in d + (\mathbb{F}_p^*)^2} P(x)
$$

we have that $\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_p} g_d(x) \le 2p$. It follows that $\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_p} g_d(x)$ is either $p-1$ or $2p-1$. Now if $P(b)$ is even, then $S_b = p - 1$ and if $P(b)$ is odd, then $S_b = 2p - 1$.

 \Box

By Proposition [3.1](#page-3-0) P has exactly $\frac{p-1}{2}$ roots. If $P(x) > 1$ for every $x \in supp(P)$, then the sum of the values of P is larger than p so we may assume $P(b) = 1$ for some $b \in \mathbb{F}_p$. In this case

$$
S_b = 2p - 1 = 1 + 2 \sum_{x \in b + (\mathbb{F}_p^*)^2} P(x).
$$

This can only happen if $\sum_{x\in b+(\mathbb{F}_p^*)^2} P(x) = p-1$. Since $\sum_{x\in \mathbb{F}_p} P(x) = p$, this implies that the quadratic nonresidues are roots of $P(x + b)$. Therefore we have found all $\frac{p-1}{2}$ roots of a fully reducible polynomial with prescribed leading coefficients, proving the uniqueness of the polynomial.

Note that the roots of $P(x + b)$ are the quadratic nonresidues so the corresponding polynomial is $x^{\frac{p-1}{2}}+1.$

3.4.2 Uniqueness if $a_{\frac{p-1}{2}} = \pm \frac{p-1}{2}$

As in the previous case we assume $LC(P) = \frac{p-1}{2}$.

Proposition 3.9. If $c = \frac{p-1}{2}$, then

$$
P(x) = \frac{p-1}{2}(x - \beta')^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + \frac{p+1}{2}
$$

for some $\beta' \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

Proof. We have already seen that P is fully reducible. Thus we write $P(x) = \frac{p-1}{2} \prod_{k=1}^{l} (x-a_l)$ and $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} P(x) = p$. Further we have that $a_i \neq a_i$ if $i \neq j$. As in the previous part let $\{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{p-1}\}$ $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$ $P(x) = p$. Further we have that $a_i \neq a_j$ if $i \neq j$. As in the previous part let $\{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{\frac{p-1}{2}}\}$ the multiset such that the multiplicity of b_i is $|x \in \mathbb{F}_p : P(x) = b_i | -1$. Notice that most of the proof of Lemma [3.6](#page-5-1) uses that the leading coefficient c is large enough so equation [\(13\)](#page-7-0) holds in this case as well. Thus there exists $b' \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that

$$
\left| \{ 1 \le l \le \frac{p-1}{2} \mid b_l = b' \} \right| \ge \frac{4}{7} \frac{p-1}{2}.
$$

Notice first that $c = \frac{p-1}{2}$ and $c - p = -\frac{p+1}{2}$. Further if $b' - \gamma$ is a quadratic residue, then $\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{b_l-\gamma}{p}\right)$) > 0 and then $r = -\frac{p+1}{2} = c - p$ while if $b' - \gamma$ is a quadratic residue, then $r = \frac{p-1}{2} = c$. By Corollary [3.4](#page-4-3) we have that the multiplicity of $r = c - p$ is equal to $c = \frac{p-1}{2}$ so we have found all the occasions when this happens. Then in the remaining cases we need to have $r = c$. In particular this happens if $\gamma = b'$ so we have

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a_l - b'}{p} \right) = \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{b_l - b'}{p} \right) + \frac{p-1}{2}.
$$

By the special choice of γ

$$
\left| \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{b_l - b'}{p} \right) \right| \leq #\{\beta_l \neq \beta'\} \leq \frac{3}{7} \frac{p-1}{2},
$$

we have that

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{a_l - b'}{p} \right) \ge \frac{4}{7} \frac{p-1}{2}.
$$

The set of $\{a_l\}_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ and the multiset $\{b_l\}_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ are disjoint so $(\frac{a_l-b'}{p})$ $\frac{-b'}{p}$ $\neq 0$ for $1 \leq l \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$. Thus we obtain

$$
\left| \left\{ 1 \le l \le \frac{p-1}{2} \mid \left(\frac{a_l - b'}{p} \right) = 1 \right\} \right| \ge \frac{11}{14} \frac{p-1}{2}.
$$
\n(14)

\nwhere of $x \in \mathbb{F}$, such that $P(x) \neq 1$.

We now estimate the number of $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that $P(x) \neq 1$.

$$
\#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_p \mid P(x) > 1\} \le 1 + \{x \in \mathbb{F}_p \mid P(x) > 1, \ x \ne b'\} \le \frac{3}{7} \frac{p-1}{2} + 1.
$$

The support of P is of cardinality is $\frac{p+1}{2}$ so

$$
#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_p \mid P(x) = 1\} \ge \frac{p-1}{2} - (1 + \frac{3}{7}\frac{p-1}{2}) = \frac{4}{7}\frac{p-1}{2}.
$$

Let $G: \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathbb{F}_p$ be defined in the following way:

$$
G(x) := \frac{p-1}{2}(x-b')^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + \frac{p+1}{2}.
$$

It follows that $G(x) = 0$ exactly when $\left(\frac{x-b'}{n}\right)$ $\frac{(-b)}{p}$ = 1. Then we obtain from equation [\(14\)](#page-10-0) that

$$
#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_p \mid P(x) = G(x) = 0\} \ge \frac{11}{14} \frac{p-1}{2}.
$$

Therefore

$$
#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_p \mid G(x) = 0 \text{ and } P(x) \neq 0\} \le \frac{3}{14} \frac{p-1}{2}.
$$

Clearly,

$$
\# \{ x \in \mathbb{F}_p \mid P(x) = G(x) = 1 \} = \# \left\{ x \in \mathbb{F}_p \mid \left(\frac{x - b'}{p} \right) = -1 \text{ and } P(x) = 1 \right\} \ge
$$

$$
\geq \# \{ x \in \mathbb{F}_p \mid P(x) = 1 \} - \frac{3}{14} \frac{p - 1}{2} \ge
$$

$$
\geq \frac{4}{7} \frac{p - 1}{2} - \frac{3}{14} \frac{p - 1}{2} = \frac{5}{14} \frac{p - 1}{2}.
$$

We have that

$$
#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_p \mid P(x) = G(x)\} \ge \frac{11}{14} \frac{p-1}{2} + \frac{5}{14} \frac{p-1}{2} = \frac{16}{14} \frac{p-1}{2}.
$$

This means that the polynomial $H(x) := P(x) - G(x)$ has at least $\frac{4}{7}(p-1)$ roots. Also the polynomials P and G have equal leading coefficients so deg $H < \frac{p-1}{2}$. This is only possible if $H \equiv 0.$ \Box

4 Reformulation of Szőnyi's result

In this section we prove the previously used combinatorial statement that if, generally speaking, a system of sets over a given ground set is given which has a relatively rigid intersection pattern, meaning that their k-wise intersections are bounded from above via their sizes, then for any large enough set over the ground set we can find a representative from the set system which intersects it in a small subset.

This idea will be applied to a system of translates of the quadratic residues over a finite field \mathbb{F}_p . We begin with a lemma that is used several times in the previous sections. The lemma relies on the knowledge of the number of solutions of any quadratic equations, which in particular, gives the parameters $(p, \frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{p-5}{4}, \frac{p-1}{4})$ of the (strongly regular) Paley graphs, provided that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. This can also be considered as the preliminary version of the results of this section.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{\frac{p-1}{2}}\}$ be a subset of \mathbb{F}_p . Then there is at most one $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_p$ with

$$
\left|\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\left(\frac{c_l-\gamma}{p}\right)\right|\geq \frac{p-1}{4}.
$$

Moreover if

$$
\left| \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{c_l - \gamma'}{p} \right) \right| = \frac{p-1}{4} + t
$$

for some $t > 0$, then for any $\gamma \neq \gamma'$ we have

$$
\left|\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\left(\frac{c_l-\gamma'}{p}\right)\right|\leq \frac{p-1}{4}-t+1.
$$

Proof. Let

$$
A_{e_1,e_2} = \left\{ a \in \mathbb{F}_p \mid \left(\frac{a}{p} \right) = e_1, \left(\frac{a + \gamma}{p} \right) = e_2 \right\},\
$$

where $e_1, e_2 \in {\{\pm 1\}}$.

The following properties can be derived from elementary knowledge on quadratic residues just like the parameters of Paley graphs.

$=1$ $\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)$	(mod 4) $p \equiv 1$	$p \equiv 3$ $\pmod{4}$	$\left(\frac{\gamma}{p}\right)$	$\pmod{4}$ $p\equiv 1$	$p \equiv 3$ $\pmod{4}$
$ A_{1,1} $			$ A_{1,1} $		
$ A_{1,-1} $			$ A_{1,-1} $		
$ A_{-1,1} $			$ A_{-1,1} $		
$ A_{-1,-1} $			$ A_{-1,-1} $		

Table 1: Intersection size of translates of quadratic (non)residues.

Without loss of generality we can suppose that $\left| \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{c_l - \gamma}{p} \right) \right|$) is maximal for a given γ . Let $t := \left| \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{c_l - \gamma}{p} \right) \right|$) | − $\frac{p-1}{4}$. First let $\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{c_l}{p} \right)$ $\left(\frac{p-1}{2} \left(\frac{c_l - \gamma'}{p} \right) \right) \geq 0$ and $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c_l - \gamma'}{p} \right)$ p) be maximal on $\gamma' \in \mathbb{F}_p \setminus \gamma$. We can suppose that all $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$ elements for which $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$) = 1 and $\left(\frac{a-\gamma'}{n}\right)$ p) = 1 are in {c₁, ..., $c_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ }, since replacing any c_i by a could only increase both sums. Note that there is at most one c_i element for which either $\left(\frac{c_i}{p}\right)$) = 1 and $\left(\frac{c_i-\gamma'}{n}\right)$ p $= 0$ or $\left(\frac{c_i}{p}\right)$) = 0 and $\left(\frac{c_i - \gamma'}{n}\right)$ p $= 1.$ For the rest of elements of $\{c_1, \ldots, c_{\frac{p-1}{2}}\}$ we have that at least one of $\left(\frac{c_i}{p}\right)$) and $\left(\frac{c_i-\gamma}{p}\right)$ ′) is equal to -1 . If $\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{c_l - \gamma}{p} \right)$ $\left| \frac{p-1}{4} + t \right|$, then there are at least $\frac{p-1}{4} + \frac{t-1}{2}$ elements c_i for which $\left(\frac{c_i}{p} \right)$ $= 1$ and $\left(\frac{c_i-\gamma'}{n}\right)$ p $= -1$ hold. In general, this follows from the fact that the number of l's such that $\left(\frac{c_l - \gamma}{p}\right)$) = 1 can be explicitly calculated (approximately $\frac{3p}{8} + \frac{t}{2}$) and the number of c_l 's such that both $\left(\frac{c_l}{p}\right)$ $=\left(\frac{c_l-\gamma}{p}\right)$) = 1 can be bounded (by approximately $\frac{p}{4}$) above using Table [1.](#page-11-1)

This means that even if for the rest of elements in $\{c_1, \ldots, c_{\frac{p-1}{2}}\}$ we have $\left(\frac{c_i}{p}\right)$ $= -1$ and $\left(\frac{c_i - \gamma'}{p}\right)$ p $=$ 1, then

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{c_l - \gamma'}{p} \right) \le \frac{p-1}{4} - t + 1.
$$

The proof for the other 3 cases goes similarly.

Let $\omega(x) = +1$ if $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ is a non-zero square, $\omega(x) = -1$ if x is a non-square and $\omega(0) = 0$. The lemma below is due to Szőnyi and is proven via the estimation of sums of multiplicative characters.

Lemma 4.2 (Szőnyi, [\[13\]](#page-18-10)). Let $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_m \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ be polynomials for which no partial product of form \prod $i \in I, I \subseteq [1,m]$ $f_i(x)$ can be written as a constant multiple of a square of a polynomial.

Suppose that $2^{m-1}\sum_{i=1}^m \deg(f_i) < \sqrt{q}-1$. Let $v \in \{+1, -1\}^m$ and $N(v)$ denote the number of elements $y \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for which $\omega(f_i(y)) = v_i$ for every $i = 1, ..., m$. Then

$$
|N(v) - \frac{q}{2^m}| < \sum_{i=1}^m \deg(f_i) \frac{\sqrt{q} + 1}{2}.
$$

We apply the result to linear polynomials $f_i(x) = x + r_i$. Let Q denote the non-zero square elements in \mathbb{F}_q . Then the lemma states that we can estimate not only the intersection of translates $\bigcap_i (Q - r_i)$ but we can take the complement of each translate independently from each other.

Lemma 4.3. Let A_i be the square elements of \mathbb{F}_p translated by r_i for $i = 1 \ldots t$, where $t < 0.5 \log p$. Then \mathbf{L} $\overline{1}$

$$
\left|\bigcap_{i\in I} A_i \bigcap_{j\in \overline{I}} \overline{A_j}\right| < \frac{p}{2^t} + t \frac{\sqrt{p} + 1}{2}.
$$

Proof. Direct consequence of Lemma [4.2.](#page-12-0)

Lemma 4.4. Let A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_t and B be finite sets. Then

$$
\min_{i \in [t]} |A_i \cap B| \le \frac{1}{t} \left(\sum_{r \ge \hat{r}} \left| \bigcup_{|I| \ge r} \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \bigcap_{j \in \overline{I}} \overline{A_j} \right| + (\hat{r} - 1)|B| \right),
$$

provided that

$$
\left|\bigcup_{|I|\geq \hat{r}} \bigcap_{i\in I} A_i \bigcap_{j\in \overline{I}} \overline{A_j}\right| \leq |B|.
$$

Proof. We apply the identity below,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^t |A_i \cap B| = \sum_{I \subseteq [t]} \left| \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \bigcap_{j \in \overline{I}} \overline{A_j} \cap B \right| \cdot |I|
$$

which relies on the fact that each of

$$
\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \bigcap_{j \in \overline{I}} \overline{A_j} \cap B
$$

 \Box

 \Box

appears I times in the sum of the left hand side, notable exactly for all $A_i \cap B$ where $i \in I$; while

$$
A_k = \bigcup_{\substack{I \ni k \\ I \subseteq [t]}} \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \bigcap_{j \in \overline{I}} \overline{A_j}.
$$

In order to bound the sum from above, we introduce another summation.

$$
\sum_{i=1}^t |A_i \cap B| = \sum_{I \subseteq [t]} \left| \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \bigcap_{j \in \overline{I}} \overline{A_j} \cap B \right| \cdot |I| = \sum_{r=1}^t \sum_{\substack{|I| \ge r \\ I \subseteq [t]}} \left| \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \bigcap_{j \in \overline{I}} \overline{A_j} \cap B \right|
$$

Now we use the simple bound $|H \cap B| \leq \min\{|H|, |B|\}$ for $H = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ $|I|\geq r$ $\bigcap_{i\in I} A_i \bigcap_{j\in \overline{I}} \overline{A_j}$ for every r.

Suppose that

$$
|\bigcup_{|I|\geq \hat{r}} \bigcap_{i\in I} A_i \bigcap_{j\in \overline{I}} \overline{A_j}| \leq |B| \leq |\bigcup_{|I|\geq \hat{r}-1} \bigcap_{i\in I} A_i \bigcap_{j\in \overline{I}} \overline{A_j}|
$$

Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{t} |A_i \cap B| \le \sum_{r \ge \hat{r}} \left| \bigcup_{|I| \ge r} \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \bigcap_{j \in \overline{I}} \overline{A_j} \right| + (\hat{r} - 1)|B|.
$$
\n(15)

 \Box

This in turn implies the statement of the lemma.

Corollary 4.5. Taking $t = 8$, $\hat{r} = 5$ in Lemma [4.4,](#page-12-1) we get

$$
\min_{i \in [8]} |A_i \cap B| \le \frac{1}{8} \left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^3 {8 \choose i} + \sum_{i=0}^2 {8 \choose i} + \sum_{i=0}^1 {8 \choose i} + 1 \right) \left(\frac{p}{2^8} + 8 \frac{\sqrt{p} + 1}{2} \right) + 4 \frac{p-1}{2} \right),
$$

provided that $p > 7408848$.

Proof. We only have to verify that

$$
\left| \bigcup_{|I| \geq \hat{r}} \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \bigcap_{j \in \overline{I}} \overline{A_j} \right| \leq |B|
$$

holds for the choice B being the set of square elements of the field. This is equivalent to check that $(\sum_{i=0}^{3} {8 \choose i}) \left(\frac{p}{2^{8}} + 8 \frac{\sqrt{p+1}}{2}\right)$ 2 $\left\langle \frac{p-1}{2}\right\rangle$

Proposition 4.6. Let $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_{(p-1)/2}\}\)$ denote a subset of the elements of \mathbb{F}_p , where $p > 7408848$ Then 7408848. Then $p-1$

$$
\#\bigg\{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_p\colon \bigg|\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\bigg(\frac{\alpha_l-\gamma}{p}\bigg)\bigg|\geq \frac{p}{7}\bigg\}\leq 16.
$$

Proof. We first show that

$$
\#\bigg\{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_p\colon\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\bigg(\frac{\alpha_l-\gamma}{p}\bigg)\geq\frac{p}{7}\bigg\}\leq 8
$$

holds.

Let Q denote the square elements in \mathbb{F}_p . Then observe that the sum of the Legendre symbols measure the intersection of the translate of Q with Q. More precisely,

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\left(\frac{\alpha_l-\gamma}{p}\right)\geq\mu(p-1)\Leftrightarrow|(Q+\gamma)\cap Q|\geq\left(\frac{1}{2}+\mu\right)\frac{p-1}{2}
$$

holds if $-\gamma$ is not a quadratic residue and

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\left(\frac{\alpha_l-\gamma}{p}\right)\geq \mu(p-1)+1\Leftrightarrow |(Q+\gamma)\cap Q|\geq \left(\frac{1}{2}+\mu\right)\frac{p-1}{2}
$$

holds if $-\gamma$ is a quadratic residue.

Hence what we aim for is to apply Corollary [4.5](#page-13-1) and show that the minimum is smaller than $\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{7}\right)\frac{p-1}{2} = \frac{9}{14}\frac{p-1}{2}$. If we simplify the expression we get

$$
\min_{i \in [8]} |A_i \cap B| \le \frac{1}{8} \left(140 \left(\frac{p}{2^8} + 8 \frac{\sqrt{p} + 1}{2} \right) + 2(p - 1) \right),\,
$$

and it is easy to verify that this will be smaller than $\frac{9}{14} \frac{p-1}{2}$ provided that $p > 26910$.

The inequality

$$
\#\bigg\{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_p \colon \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \bigg(\frac{\alpha_l - \gamma}{p}\bigg) \le -\frac{p}{7}\bigg\} \le 8
$$

follows similarly, which in turn proves the statement.

5 Lovász-Schrijver construction

Lovász and Schrijver showed that up to affine transformations (elements of $AGL(2,p)$) there is only one set in \mathbb{F}_p^2 determining exactly $\frac{p+3}{2}$ directions. Such a set can be described due to [\[14\]](#page-18-9) as follows.

Let $\{(0, x) \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$ and $\{(x, 0) \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$ be identified with \mathbb{F}_p . Then we may also identify \mathbb{F}_p^* with $\{(0, x) \mid 0 \neq x \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$ and $\{(x, 0) \mid 0 \neq x \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$. Let

$$
L := \left\{ (0, x) \mid \left(\frac{x}{p} \right) = 1 \right\} \bigcup \left\{ (x, 0) \mid \left(\frac{x}{p} \right) = 1 \right\} \bigcup \left\{ (0, 0) \right\}.
$$

It is clear that $|L| = p$ and it determines exactly $\frac{p+3}{2}$ directions. Notice that the projection polynomials determined by L are described in Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0) Up to affine transformations there are two polynomials listed in Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0) We may see that both types appear as projection polynomials of L. Moreover, the ones listed in Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) [\(ii\)](#page-1-1) appears exactly twice. Since L is equidistributed in the set of parallel lines not determining a direction of L we may see that there are exactly $(p-1)-\frac{p+3}{2}$ projection polynomials that are constant. The remaining projection polynomials are of type 1.2 [\(i\)](#page-1-2)

The purpose of this section is to show that for large enough primes this result follows from Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0) In order to do so we have to introduce the basic notion and some technique of the discrete Fourier transformation. We will also reformulate it in terms of the projection polynomials.

Let us denote by 1_S the characteristic function of a set S of cardinality p which determines exactly $\frac{p+3}{2}$ directions. For a function $f: \mathbb{F}_p^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ and a character ξ let $\hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} f(x) \overline{\xi(x)}$. Then \hat{f}

 \Box

is called the Fourier transform of f . Any character of an elementary abelian p -group can be written as $\xi_v(x) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p} \langle x, v \rangle}$, where $\langle x, v \rangle$ denotes the standard modp scalar product of the vectors v and x in \mathbb{F}_p^* . Thus the set of characters can be endowed with a group structure that is isomorphic to the original group \mathbb{F}_p^2 . This identification also assigns to each nontrivial representation a direction in \mathbb{F}_p^2 . The set of irreducible characters of \mathbb{F}_p^2 will be denoted by F_p^2 .

The Plancherel formula says that

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^2} |f(x)|^2 = \sum_{\xi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p^2}} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2.
$$
 (16)

In particular, for the characteristic function 1_S of the set S we have

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^2} |1_S|^2 = \sum_{\xi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p^2}} |\widehat{1_S}(\xi)|^2.
$$

Clearly, $\sum |1_S|^2 = p$.

It is easy to see that if ξ is not the trivial representation, then

$$
p\hat{1}_S(\xi) = \sum m(i)\sigma^i,\tag{17}
$$

where m denotes an affine transformation of the projection polynomial corresponding to ξ and σ is a primitive p'th root of unity.

Let m be a projection polynomial of a set of cardinality p. It was proved in $[6,$ Proposition 3.1] that if m is of degree d with $1 \leq d \leq p-2$, then the number of directions determined by the set is at least $d + 2$. It follows that m is either the constant 1 polynomial or of degree at most $\frac{p-1}{2}$. It was showed in [\[11,](#page-18-4) Theorem 1.1] that if m is not constant, then m is of degree at least $\frac{p-1}{2}$ so we obtain that m is either constant or of degree $\frac{p-1}{2}$. The polynomials of degree $\frac{p-1}{2}$ whose range sum is p are described in Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0)

Lemma 5.1. Let m denotes an affine transformation of the projection polynomial corresponding to ξ .

- 1. If m is constant, then $\hat{1}_S(\xi) = 0$.
- 2. If the leading coefficient of m is ± 1 , then $|\hat{1}_S(\xi)| = \frac{\sqrt{p}}{p}$ $\frac{\ell P}{p}$.
- 3. If the leading coefficient of m is $\pm \frac{p-1}{2}$, then $\frac{p}{2}$ $\frac{\sqrt{p}}{2} \leq p|\hat{1}_S(\xi)| \leq \frac{p}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{p}}{2}$ $\frac{p}{2}$.
- *Proof.* 1. Follows trivially from the fact that the sum of the n' th roots of unities is 0 for every positive integer n.
	- 2. It is well-known [\[7\]](#page-18-11) that the Gauss sum $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}x^2}$ is of absolute value \sqrt{p} .

As we have seen in equation [\(17\)](#page-15-0), $\hat{1}_S(\xi) = \sum m(i)\sigma^i$. If the leading coefficient of m is ± 1 , then $m(x)$ can be obtained from $x^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + 1$ by a change of variable $x \to ax + b$.

Now $m(x) = x^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + 1$ is 0 at the quadratic nonresidues, 2 at the quadratic residues and 1 at 0. This means that 2

$$
\hat{1}_S(\xi) = \frac{1}{p} \sum m(i)\sigma^i = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}x^i}
$$

so

$$
|\hat{1}_S(\xi)| = \frac{\sqrt{p}}{p}.
$$

Now $\sum m(ai+b)\sigma^i$ can also be expressed as $\sum m(i)\sigma^{a'i+b'}$ for some $a' \in \mathbb{F}_p^*, b' \in \mathbb{F}_p$. The effect by the translation by b' is just a multiplication with a number of absolute value 1 while $\sigma^x \to \sigma^{a'x}$ can be obtained using a Galois automorphism in $Gal(\mathbb{Q}(\sigma)|\mathbb{Q})$. This is an abelian group containing the complex conjugation so each automorphism preserves the absolute value of the sum, finishing the proof of this case.

3. As we have seen in the previous case we only have to verify it when $m(x) = \frac{p+1}{2}(x^{\frac{p-1}{2}}+1)$. Now treating the values of $m(x)$ as nonnegative rational numbers in $\{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$ we obtain that

$$
m(x) = \frac{p+1}{2} \left(x^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + 1 \right) = \frac{p}{2} 1_0 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\frac{x}{p} \right) + 1 \right).
$$

Using Lemma [5.1](#page-15-1) we are able to estimate the right hand side of equation [\(5\)](#page-15-2) for $f = 1_S$.

If ξ is the trivial representation, then $\hat{f}(\xi) = p$ so $|\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 = p^2$.

If the polynomial m in equation [\(17\)](#page-15-0) is constant, then $\hat{f}(\xi) = 0$. Since $|S| = p$ we have that in this case, each line orthogonal to ξ (the representations of \mathbb{F}_p^2 are identified with elements of \mathbb{F}_p^2 so they are considered vectors in this vector space) contains exactly 1 element of S so S does not determine the direction orthogonal to ξ . We have exactly $(p+1) - \frac{p+3}{2} = \frac{p-1}{2}$ directions not determined by S so we have exactly $(p-1)\frac{p-1}{2}$ roots of $\hat{1}_S$, the representations corresponding to these directions.

Let M denote the number of directions such that the corresponding projection polynomial m is of leading coefficient ± 1 . Note that the projection polynomial towards a direction is only determined up to an element of $AGL(1, p)$ but these transformations can only change the leading coefficient of m up to a ± 1 factor.

Then it does follow from equation [\(5\)](#page-15-2) and by Lemma [5.1](#page-15-1) that

$$
\frac{1}{p^2} \left(p^2 + (p-1) \left(\frac{p-1}{2} 0 + M \sqrt{p}^2 + \left(\frac{p+3}{2} - M \right) \left(\frac{p}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{p}}{2} \right)^2 \right) \right) \le
$$
\n
$$
p = \sum |\widehat{1}_S(\xi)|^2 \le \frac{1}{p^2} \left(p^2 + (p-1) \left(\frac{p-1}{2} 0 + M \sqrt{p}^2 + \left(\frac{p+3}{2} - M \right) \left(\frac{p}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{p}}{2} \right)^2 \right) \right).
$$

From the lower bound we immediately get that $\frac{p+3}{2} - M \le 2$ if $p > 9$. If $\frac{p+3}{2} - M = 0$, then $p^2 + (p-1)\frac{p+3}{2}p = p^3$, which holds only for $p = 3$. If $\frac{p+3}{2} - M = 1$, then the reduced equation satisfies that

$$
\left(\frac{p}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{p}}{2}\right)^2 \le \frac{(p-1)p}{2} \le \left(\frac{p}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{p}}{2}\right)^2.
$$

Here we get that the lower bound holds if $p > 2$, but the upper bound holds only for $3 \leq p$. These implies that $\frac{p+3}{2} - M = 2$ if p large enough $(p > 9)$.

It remains to translate this calculation on the Fourier coefficients to a combinatorial result. We have obtained that there are two directions when the corresponding projection polynomials m_1 and m_2 are of degree $\frac{p-1}{2}$ with leading coefficient $\pm \frac{p-1}{2}$. Then it follows from Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) that there is an $y_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$ for $i = 1, 2$ such that $m_i(y_i) = \frac{p+1}{2}$ (and $m_i(y_i + z) = 0$ or 1 depending only on whether $z \neq 0$ is a quadratic residue or not).

It does simply mean that there are two non-parallel lines, each of them containing $\frac{p+1}{2}$ elements of S. Then the intersection of these lines must belong to S since $|S| = p$, moreover there can not be further points of S outside the two lines in view. Note that the nonzero elements of the support

of $\frac{p+1}{2}(x^{\frac{p-1}{2}}+1)$ and $\frac{p+1}{2}(-x^{\frac{p-1}{2}}+1)$ are the set of quadratic residues and the set of quadratic nonresidues, respectively. This simply means that the we have two parallel classes of lines and on both of these classes, $p + 1$ points lie on the lines indexed by 0. The remaining points are found on the lines indexed by quadratic residues or quadratic nonresidues.

Thus, after applying a suitable identifications of these two lines with \mathbb{F}_p we may assume that the point in the intersection of the lines coincide with 0 on both lines and the remaining points of S can be identified with the quadratic residues or quadratic nonresidues, just as it is described in Theorem [1.3.](#page-1-3) 口

Note that the previous argument shows that if for a prime $p > 9$ (practically all) the statement of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) holds, then for the same prime p we can derive the uniqueness result of Lovász and Schrijver.

Further, note that Szőnyi [\[12\]](#page-18-12) classified the sets contained the union of two lines, with minimal possible number of directions. This result could also have been applied to finish the proof of Theorem [1.3.](#page-1-3)

6 Concluding remarks and open problems

In this paper we characterised those polynomials P over \mathbb{F}_p for which $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} P(x) = p$, and deg(P) is minimal; provided that p is large enough. We strongly believe that the latter condition is only technical, and one can get rid of it.

Several natural questions arise here. The first is concerning stability.

Problem 6.1. Suppose that $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} P(x) = p$ for a polynomial P over \mathbb{F}_p , but P is not an affine translate of either $x^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + 1$ or $\frac{p+1}{2}$ $\left(x^{\frac{p-1}{2}}+1\right)$. Does this imply $\deg(P) \geq C \cdot (p-1)$ for some constant $C > \frac{1}{2}$, independent of p^o ?

We conjecture that for p large enough, this holds even for $C = \frac{2}{3}$. This would imply the result of Gács concerning the number of determined directions [\[4\]](#page-18-3).

The next question is concerning further results on small range sums.

Problem 6.2. Fix a positive integer $k > 1$. Suppose that $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} P(x) = k \cdot p$ for a polynomial P over \mathbb{F}_p . Is it true that apart from finitely many primes p, either P is an affine translate of $c \cdot (x^{\frac{p-1}{2}}+1) + d$ for some constants c, d, or $deg(P) > \frac{p-1}{2}$ holds?

Notice that the range sum of the polynomials $(x - 1)(x - 2) + 1$, $(x - 1)(x - 2) + 2 \in \mathbb{F}_7$ is 14. Moreover, if $p = 5$, then the non-constant polynomials of degree less than $\frac{p-1}{2}$ are linear. These are permutation polynomials so the range sum is 10 in this case. These examples show that we may only expect an affirmative answer for the question raised in Problem 6.2 when p is large enough.

Finally, we are interested in general in the relation between $h(p) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} P(x)$ and

$$
\min \deg P : \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} P(x) = h(p) \text{ and } P \neq 1.
$$

References

[1] Bir´o, A. (2000). On polynomials over prime fields taking only two values on the multiplicative group. Finite Fields and Their Applications, 6(4), 302-308.

- [2] De Beule, J., Demeyer, J., Mattheus, S., Sziklai, P. (2019). On the cylinder conjecture. Designs, Codes and Cryptography, 87, 879-893.
- [3] Dress, A., Klin, M., Muzychuk, M. (1991). On P-configurations with Few Slopes in the Affine Plane Over \mathbb{F}_p and a Theorem of W. Burnside's. Universität Bielefeld. SFB 343. Diskrete Strukturen in der Mathematik.
- [4] Gács, A. (2003). On a generalization of Rédei's theorem. Combinatorica, $23(4)$, $585-598$.
- [5] Gács, A., Héger, T., Nagy, Z. L., Pálvölgyi, D. (2010). Permutations, hyperplanes and polynomials over finite fields. Finite Fields and Their Applications, 16(5), 301-314.
- [6] Kiss, G., Somlai, G. (2021). Special directions on the finite affine plane. ArXiv preprint [arXiv:2109.13992.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2109.13992)
- [7] Lang, S. (1994). Algebraic Number Theory, Second Edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 87–90.
- [8] Muratović-Ribić, A., Wang, Q. (2012). On a conjecture of polynomials with prescribed range. Finite Fields and Their Applications, 18(4), 728-737.
- [9] Lovász, L., Schrijver, A. (1981). Remarks on a theorem of Rédei. Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica, 16, 449-454.
- [10] Rédei, L. (1970). Lückenhafte Polynome über endlichen Körpern (Vol. 42). Springer, Basel.
- [11] Somlai, G. (2022). A new proof of Rédei's theorem on the number of directions. ArXiv preprint [arXiv:2212.12823.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2212.12823)
- [12] Szőnyi T. (1991). Combinatorial problems for Abelian groups arising from geometry, Periodica Polytechnica, (19), 91–100.
- [13] Szőnyi, T. (1992). Note on the existence of large minimal blocking sets in Galois planes. Combinatorica, 12(2), 227-235.
- [14] Sz˝onyi, T. (1999). Around R´edei's theorem. Discrete mathematics, 208, 557-575.