

Asymmetric graphs with quantum symmetry

Josse van Dobben de Bruyn^{*1}, David E. Roberson^{†1,2}, and Simon Schmidt^{‡3}

¹*Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science,
Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark*

²*QMATH, Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen,
Universitetsparken 5, 2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark*

³*Faculty of Computer Science, Ruhr University Bochum, Universitätsstraße 150,
44801 Bochum, Germany*

February 5, 2024

Abstract

We present a sequence of finite graphs with trivial automorphism group and non-trivial quantum automorphism group, which are the first known examples of graphs with this property. The examples are based on solution groups to (binary) linear systems. We first show that the dual of every solution group occurs as the quantum automorphism group of some graph, and then construct an infinite sequence of systems whose solution groups are nontrivial but perfect, i.e., they have trivial abelianizations. We also prove a weak quantum analog of Frucht's theorem, namely that every classical finite group Γ can occur as the quantum automorphism group of a graph. Moreover there are graphs G_1 and G_2 such that $\text{Aut}(G_1) \cong \Gamma \cong \text{Aut}(G_2)$, but $\text{Qut}(G_1) \not\cong \text{Qut}(G_2)$ for any finite group Γ . This allows us to answer several open questions from the literature, such as proving that there do not exist any “quantum excluding groups”. Additionally, we present a procedure that allows us to decolor the vertices of any vertex-colored graph while preserving its quantum automorphism group.

1 Introduction

Quantum automorphism groups of finite graphs were introduced by Banica [Ban05] and Bichon [Bic03]. They are generalizations of the automorphism group of a graph in the framework of compact matrix quantum groups [Wor87]. A graph is said to have *quantum symmetry* if the quantum automorphism group of the graph does not coincide with its automorphism group. It is a natural question to ask which graphs have quantum symmetry. This question is for example studied in [BB07], [Sch20]. Related to this, the following question came up in the doctoral thesis of the third author [Sch20, Section 8.1] (see also [Web23, Problem 3.9]): Is there a graph with quantum symmetry and trivial automorphism group? We will answer this question in the positive in this paper by constructing a sequence of graphs having this property.

*jdob@dtu.dk

†dero@dtu.dk

‡s.schmidt@rub.de

In order to construct such examples, we use linear constraint systems and their associated solution groups. Solution groups were introduced in [CLS17] to study perfect quantum strategies of linear constraint system games. Amongst other results, they were used to disprove the strong Tsirelson conjecture [Slo19]. More recently, properties of solution groups arising from graphs were studied in [PRSS23].

The connection between quantum automorphism groups of graphs and solution groups of linear constraint systems was already recognized by the last two authors in [RS22]. In that paper, it was shown that for every homogeneous solution group, there is a vertex- and edge-colored graph whose quantum automorphism group is the dual of the solution group. We will refine the construction in this article, such that we only need vertex-colored graphs for this. We then present a general decoloring procedure that constructs an uncolored graph G' from an arbitrary vertex-colored graph G such that $\text{Qut}(G) \cong \text{Qut}(G')$. By applying some additional manipulations directly on the linear systems that do not change the corresponding solution group, we show that the dual of *any* solution group (homogeneous or inhomogeneous) can be realized as the quantum automorphism group of an uncolored graph.

The construction above in hand, we obtain a graph with quantum symmetry and trivial automorphism group whenever the homogeneous solution group is a perfect group (i.e., its abelianization is the trivial group). To find such a perfect homogeneous solution group, we construct a linear constraint system from all mutually commuting triples of elements of order 2 that multiply to the identity in the alternating group A_n , for all $n \geq 7$. This way, we obtain our main result:

Theorem 1.1. *There exist an infinite sequence of non-isomorphic graphs G_i for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that G_i has quantum symmetry but $\text{Aut}(G_i)$ is the trivial group for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.*

This resolves the question of the existence of such graphs, which was one of the most natural open questions in the study of quantum automorphism groups of graphs [Sch20, BM22, Web23]. For A_7 , the quantum automorphism group is finite dimensional, but we can additionally obtain a graph with trivial automorphism group but infinite dimensional quantum automorphism group by some straightforward manipulations.

In [BM22] they suggest investigating the quantum automorphism groups of the graphs that arise in the proof of Frucht's theorem [Fru39] as a method of ruling out certain small groups being quantum-excluding. Though the choice of these graphs are non-canonical, we show that the specific graphs used in [Fru39] always have no quantum symmetry. This proves what can be thought of as a weak quantum analog of Frucht's theorem: that every finite group can be realized as the *quantum* automorphism group of a finite graph. A stronger quantum analog of Frucht's theorem, that every quantum permutation group can be realized as the quantum automorphism group of some graph, was recently proven not to hold [BM22]. By combining this weak quantum analog of Frucht's theorem with Theorem 1.1, we are able to prove the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let Γ be a finite group. Then there exist graphs G_1 and G_2 such that $\text{Aut}(G_i) \cong \Gamma$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $\text{Qut}(G_1) = \text{Aut}(G_1)$ but G_2 has quantum symmetry.*

This allows us to answer some further open questions from the literature. Specifically, both [Sch20] and [BM22] ask if there exist any *quantum-excluding groups*, which are defined in the latter as groups Γ such that $\text{Aut}(G) \cong \Gamma$ implies $\text{Qut}(G) \cong \Gamma$; that is, every graph with automorphism group Γ has no quantum symmetry. Theorem 1.2 shows that such groups do not exist. Moreover, Theorem 1.2 additionally shows that for every finite group Γ , there are graphs G_1 and G_2 with $\text{Aut}(G_1) \cong \text{Aut}(G_2)$ but $\text{Qut}(G_1) \not\cong \text{Qut}(G_2)$, i.e., the automorphism group of a graph never determines its quantum automorphism group. This answers a question raised in [Sch20].

2 Preliminaries

We first give the definition of compact quantum groups ([Wor98]), which generalize compact groups. See for example [NT13] for more information on that topic.

Definition 2.1. A *compact quantum group* \mathbb{G} is a pair $(C(\mathbb{G}), \Delta)$, where $C(\mathbb{G})$ is a unital C^* -algebra and $\Delta : C(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G})$ is a unital $*$ -homomorphism with the properties

- $(\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$
- $\Delta(C(\mathbb{G}))(1 \otimes C(\mathbb{G}))$ and $\Delta(C(\mathbb{G}))(C(\mathbb{G}) \otimes 1)$ are dense in $C(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G})$.

In the previous definition, $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ denotes the minimal tensor product of the C^* -algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} . We will now give an example of a compact quantum group, coming from a discrete group.

Example 2.2 ([MVD98]). Consider a discrete group Γ . The associated group C^* -algebra $C^*(\Gamma)$ can be written as

$$C^*(\Gamma) = C^*(u_g, g \in \Gamma \mid u_g \text{ unitary, } u_{gh} = u_g u_h, u_{g^{-1}} = u_g^*).$$

Let Δ be the $*$ -homomorphism $\Delta : C^*(\Gamma) \rightarrow C^*(\Gamma) \otimes C^*(\Gamma)$, $\Delta(u_g) = u_g \otimes u_g$. Then the pair $(C^*(\Gamma), \Delta)$ is a compact quantum group known as the *dual* of Γ which we denote by $\hat{\Gamma}$.

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathbb{G} = (C(\mathbb{G}), \Delta_{\mathbb{G}})$ and $\mathbb{H} = (C(\mathbb{H}), \Delta_{\mathbb{H}})$ be compact quantum groups. We say that \mathbb{G} and \mathbb{H} are isomorphic as compact quantum groups if there exists a $*$ -isomorphism $\varphi : C(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{H})$ such that $\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \circ \varphi = (\varphi \otimes \varphi) \circ \Delta_{\mathbb{G}}$. In this case, we write $\mathbb{G} \cong \mathbb{H}$.

A special case of compact quantum groups are so called compact matrix quantum groups. Those generalize all compact matrix groups $G \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Definition 2.4 ([Wor87]). A *compact matrix quantum group* \mathbb{G} is a pair $(C(\mathbb{G}), u)$, where $C(\mathbb{G})$ is a unital C^* -algebra and $u = (u_{ij}) \in M_n(C(\mathbb{G}))$ is a matrix such that

- the elements u_{ij} , $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, generate $C(\mathbb{G})$,
- the $*$ -homomorphism $\Delta : C(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G})$, $u_{ij} \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^n u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj}$ exists,
- the matrix u and its transpose u^T are invertible.

The matrix u is usually called the *fundamental representation* of \mathbb{G} .

The following compact matrix quantum group was first discovered by Wang and can be considered as a quantum analogue of the symmetric group S_n .

Definition 2.5 ([Wan98]). The *quantum symmetric group* $S_n^+ = (C(S_n^+), u)$ is the compact matrix quantum group, where

$$C(S_n^+) := C^*(u_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n \mid u_{ij} = u_{ij}^* = u_{ij}^2, \sum_{k=1}^n u_{ik} = \sum_{k=1}^n u_{kj} = 1).$$

A matrix $u = [u_{ij}]_{i,j \in [n]}$ with entries from a *nontrivial* unital C^* -algebra satisfying $u_{ij} = u_{ij}^* = u_{ij}^2$ and $\sum_{k=1}^n u_{ik} = \sum_{k=1}^n u_{kj} = 1$, as in the definition above, is known as a *magic unitary*. We are also dealing with free products of quantum groups, thus we need the following definition.

Definition 2.6 ([Wan95]). Let $\mathbb{G} = (C(\mathbb{G}), \Delta_{\mathbb{G}})$ and $\mathbb{H} = (C(\mathbb{H}), \Delta_{\mathbb{H}})$ be compact quantum groups. Then, the *free product* $\mathbb{G} * \mathbb{H}$ is the compact quantum group consisting of the C^* -algebra $C(\mathbb{G} * \mathbb{H}) = C(\mathbb{G}) * C(\mathbb{H})$ and the comultiplication

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{G} * \mathbb{H}}(a) = \Delta_{\mathbb{G}}(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{\mathbb{G} * \mathbb{H}}(b) = \Delta_{\mathbb{H}}(b) \quad \text{for} \quad a \in C(\mathbb{G}), b \in C(\mathbb{H}).$$

Here $C(\mathbb{G}) * C(\mathbb{H})$ denotes the unital free product of C^* -algebras¹ (see e.g. [Bla06, §II.8.3.4]). In the case of two compact matrix quantum groups $\mathbb{G} = (C(\mathbb{G}), u)$ and $\mathbb{H} = (C(\mathbb{H}), v)$, we have $\mathbb{G} * \mathbb{H} = (C(\mathbb{G}) * C(\mathbb{H}), u \oplus v)$ (see [Wan95, Corollary 3.6]).

We will use the following conventions for graphs in this paper: for us, graphs are undirected and do not have loops nor multiple edges. A *vertex- and edge-colored graph* is a graph G with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G) \subseteq V(G) \times V(G)$ along with a *coloring function* $c : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow S$ for some set S . We refer to $c(x)$ as the color of the vertex or edge x and use $E_c(G)$ to refer to the set of edges of color c . We write $i \sim k$ or $(i, k) \in E(G)$ if the vertices i and k are adjacent and $i \not\sim k$ or $(i, k) \notin E(G)$ if they are not.

Definition 2.7 ([RS22]). Let G be a vertex- and edge-colored graph. The *quantum automorphism group* $\text{Qut}(G)$ is the compact matrix quantum group $(C(\text{Qut}(G)), u)$, where $C(\text{Qut}(G))$ is the universal C^* -algebra with generators u_{ij} , $i, j \in V(G)$ and relations

$$u_{ij} = u_{ij}^* = u_{ij}^2, \quad i, j \in V(G), \quad (1)$$

$$\sum_l u_{il} = 1 = \sum_l u_{li}, \quad i \in V(G), \quad (2)$$

$$u_{ij} = 0, \quad \text{for all } i, j \in V(G) \text{ with } c(i) \neq c(j), \quad (3)$$

$$u_{ij}u_{kl} = 0, \quad \text{if } (i, k) \in E_c(G) \text{ and } (j, l) \notin E_c(G) \text{ or vice versa for some edge-color } c. \quad (4)$$

Quantum isomorphisms of graphs [LMR20] generalize graph isomorphisms in a similar way as quantum automorphism groups generalize automorphism groups of graphs. We need the vertex-colored version in this paper:

Definition 2.8. Let G and H be (possibly vertex-colored) graphs with adjacency matrices A_G and A_H , respectively. We say that G and H are *quantum isomorphic*, and write $G \cong_q H$ if there is a nonzero unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} and a magic unitary $u = [u_{ij}]_{i \in V(G), j \in V(H)}$ with entries from \mathcal{A} such that $A_G u = u A_H$ and $u_{ij} = 0$ if $c(i) \neq c(j)$.

3 A vertex-colored graph whose quantum automorphism group is the dual of a solution group

Below we give the definition of a solution group associated to a linear system $Mx = b$ over \mathbb{Z}_2 from [CLS17]. We will use 1 to denote the identity element of a group.

Definition 3.1. Let $M \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ with $b \neq 0$. The *solution group* $\Gamma(M, b)$ of the linear system $Mx = b$ is the finitely presented group generated by elements x_i for $i \in [n]$ and an element γ satisfying the following relations:

1. $x_i^2 = 1$ for all $i \in [n]$;

¹Some authors also refer to this as the *free product amalgamated over the scalars*.

2. $x_i x_j = x_j x_i$ if there exists $k \in [m]$ s.t. $M_{ki} = M_{kj} = 1$;
3. $\prod_{i: M_{ki}=1} x_i = \gamma^{b_k}$ for all $k \in [m]$;
4. $\gamma^2 = 1$;
5. $x_i \gamma = \gamma x_i$ for all $i \in [n]$.

If $b = 0$, then we refer to $\Gamma(M, b)$ as the *homogeneous solution group* of the system $Mx = 0$, and define this the same as above except that we add the relation $\gamma = 1$. This is equivalent to removing γ from the list of generators, changing the righthand side of the equation in (3) to 1, and removing items (4) and (5).

Remark 3.2. Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(M, 0)$ be the homogeneous solution group of the system $Mx = 0$. By [Example 2.2](#), the group C^* -algebra $C^*(\Gamma)$ can be written as

$$C^*(\Gamma) = C^*(x_i, i \in [n] \mid x_i = x_i^*, x_i^2 = 1, x_i x_j = x_j x_i \text{ if there exists } k \in [m] \text{ s.t. } M_{ki} = M_{kj} = 1, \prod_{i: M_{ki}=1} x_i = 1 \text{ for all } k \in [m]).$$

Note that we slightly abuse the notation by writing x_i instead of u_{x_i} .

Remark 3.3. Let $M \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m \times n}$ be a linear system with some empty columns, i.e., variables that do not appear in any equation. Reordering the columns, we have

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M' & 0_{m,s} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $M' \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m \times n-s}$ and $0_{m,s} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m \times s}$ for some $s \leq n$. We see from the previous remark that

$$\begin{aligned} C^*(\Gamma(M, 0)) &= C^*(\Gamma(M', 0)) * C^*(x_i, i \in [s] \mid x_i = x_i^*, x_i^2 = 1) \\ &= C^*(\Gamma(M', 0)) * C^*(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_2^{*s}}). \end{aligned}$$

Looking at [Definition 2.6](#), we see that $\hat{\Gamma}(M, 0) = \hat{\Gamma}(M', 0) * \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_2^{*s}}$.

We will denote by $S_k(M)$ the set $\{i \in [n] : M_{ki} = 1\}$ and by $T_i(M)$ the set $\{k \in [m] : M_{ki} = 1\}$, often writing simply S_k and T_i when M is clear from context. We use $\pm 1^S$ to denote the set of functions $\alpha : S \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$, and will typically write α_i instead of $\alpha(i)$. We will also use $\pm 1_0^S$ to denote the subset of such functions satisfying $\prod_{i \in S} \alpha_i = 1$, and similarly use $\pm 1_1^S$ for the set of such functions satisfying $\prod_{i \in S} \alpha_i = -1$. Lastly, given $k, l \in [m]$ such that $S_k \cap S_l \neq \emptyset$, for any $\alpha \in \pm 1^{S_k}$ and $\beta \in \pm 1^{S_l}$ we define the function $\alpha \Delta \beta \in \pm 1^{S_k \cap S_l}$ as $(\alpha \Delta \beta)_i = \alpha_i \beta_i$. Note that

$$(\alpha \Delta \beta)_i = \alpha_i \beta_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha_i = \beta_i \\ -1 & \text{if } \alpha_i \neq \beta_i \end{cases}$$

In [\[RS22\]](#), the authors introduced a vertex- and edge-colored graph $\widehat{G}(M, b)$ whose quantum automorphism group is isomorphic to the dual of $\Gamma(M, 0)$. In order to get an uncolored graph whose quantum automorphism group is isomorphic to the dual of $\Gamma(M, 0)$, the authors of [\[RS22\]](#) use a vertex and edge decoloring procedure that produces an uncolored graph with quantum automorphism group isomorphic to that of the colored graph. However, the edge decoloring procedure was quite limited in that it only worked on graphs G where certain entries of the fundamental representation of $\text{Qut}(G)$ satisfy an additional nontrivial commutativity requirement. In this work we remedy this limitation by defining a similar graph $G(M, b)$ which is only vertex- but not

edge-colored but whose quantum automorphism group is isomorphic to the dual of $\Gamma(M, 0)$. Since the vertex decoloring procedure of [RS22] works on every vertex-colored graph with minimum degree at least three, we can apply this to $G(M, b)$ for all linear systems $Mx = b$ with $|S_k| \geq 3$ for all k .

We now give the definition of $\widehat{G}(M, b)$ from [RS22]²:

Definition 3.4. Let $M \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ such that $|T_i| \geq 1$ for all $i \in [n]$. Define the colored graph $\widehat{G} := \widehat{G}(M, b)$ as follows. The vertex set of \widehat{G} is $\{(k, \alpha) : k \in [m], \alpha \in \pm 1_{b_k}^{S_k}\}$. The color of a vertex $v = (k, \alpha)$, denoted $c(v)$, is k . We also define the subsets $Q_k = \{v \in V(\widehat{G}) : c(v) = k\}$ for all $k \in [m]$, and these partition the vertex set. The vertices of Q_k correspond to the *satisfying* assignments of values to the variables appearing (with nonzero coefficient) in equation k of $Mx = b$ (except that values of 1 and -1 are used instead of 0 and 1). For any $l, k \in [m]$ such that $S_l \cap S_k \neq \emptyset$, the graph \widehat{G} contains all (non-loop) edges between Q_l and Q_k (thus each Q_k induces a complete subgraph). Given an edge e between adjacent vertices (l, α) and (k, β) , the color of e , denoted $c(e)$, is equal to the function $\alpha \Delta \beta \in \pm 1_{S_l \cap S_k}$.

Remark 3.5. We need the assumption $|T_i| \geq 1$ for all $i \in [n]$ (i.e., that M has no zero columns), since our graph construction does not keep track of any variable that does not appear in any equation. This assumption should have already been mentioned explicitly in [RS22]. We will give a different graph construction later in this section, which also keeps track of variables that do not appear in any equation.

The following theorem was proven in [RS22] and states that $\text{Qut}(\widehat{G}(M, b))$ is the dual of the solution group $\Gamma(M, 0)$.

Theorem 3.6 ([RS22]). *Let $M \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ such that $|T_i| \geq 1$ for all $i \in [n]$. Set $\widehat{G} = \widehat{G}(M, b)$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma(M, 0)$. Let Δ_Γ and $\Delta_{\widehat{G}}$ denote the comultiplications on $C^*(\Gamma)$ and $C(\text{Qut}(\widehat{G}))$ respectively. Then there exists an isomorphism $\varphi : C^*(\Gamma) \rightarrow C(\text{Qut}(\widehat{G}))$ such that $\Delta_G \circ \varphi = (\varphi \otimes \varphi) \circ \Delta_\Gamma$. In other words, $\text{Qut}(\widehat{G})$ is isomorphic to the dual of the solution group Γ .*

It is easy to see that due to the vertex colors, the fundamental representation of $\text{Qut}(\widehat{G}(M, b))$ has a block diagonal form as expressed in the following lemma from [RS22]:

Lemma 3.7. *Let $M \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ such that $|T_i| \geq 1$ for all $i \in [n]$. Set $\widehat{G} = \widehat{G}(M, b)$. If \hat{u} is the fundamental representation of $\text{Qut}(\widehat{G})$, then \hat{u} has the form*

$$\bigoplus_{k \in [m]} \hat{q}^{(k)}$$

where each $\hat{q}^{(k)}$ is a magic unitary indexed by Q_k .

Note that we will consistently use \hat{u} to denote the fundamental representation of $\text{Qut}(\widehat{G}(M, b))$, and we will use $\hat{q}^{(k)}$ to denote the sub magic unitaries from the lemma above.

We now define our vertex-colored graph $G(M, b)$ which is similar to $\widehat{G}(M, b)$, but has additional vertices and a different edge set.

Definition 3.8. Let $M \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$. Define the vertex-colored graph $G := G(M, b)$ as follows. The vertex set of G is

$$\{(i, s) : i \in [n], s \in \{\pm 1\}\} \cup \{(k, \alpha) : k \in [m], \alpha \in \pm 1_{b_k}^{S_k}\}.$$

²In [RS22] the graph was denoted $G(M, b)$.

As in the definition of $\widehat{G}(M, b)$, the vertices on the right above represent the satisfying assignments of values to the variables appearing with nonzero coefficient in a given equation of $Mx = b$, whereas the vertices in the set on the left represent the possible assignments of values to a given variable x_i in the system $Mx = b$. The color of a vertex (i, s) of the latter type denoted is $(i, 0)$ and the color of a vertex (k, α) of the former type is $(k, 1)$. We denote the color of a vertex v by $c(v)$. We also define the subsets $Q_k = \{v \in V(G) : c(v) = (k, 1)\}$ for all $k \in [m]$ and $V_i = \{v \in V(G) : c(v) = (i, 0)\}$ for all $i \in [n]$, and these partition the vertex set. The notation V_i is meant to refer to variable x_i , and Q_k is meant to refer to the k^{th} equation in the system $Mx = b$. For any $k \in [m]$ and $i \in S_k$, the graph G has an edge between (k, α) and (i, α_i) . In other words, there is an edge between a vertex corresponding to an assignment to variable x_i and a vertex corresponding to an assignment for equation k if they agree on the value assigned to x_i .

Remark 3.9. Note that the sets Q_k defined above are precisely the sets Q_k from the definition of $\widehat{G}(M, b)$. Thus the vertices of $\widehat{G}(M, b)$ are simply the vertices of $G(M, b)$ that correspond to equations.

Before working with $G(M, b)$, we state a lemma and a corollary we need later on.

Lemma 3.10. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra and suppose that $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{A}$ are all projections such that $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i = 1$. Then the following are equivalent*

1. $a_i b_i = a_i$ for all $i \in [n]$;
2. $a_i b_i = b_i$ for all $i \in [n]$;
3. $a_i b_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$;
4. $a_i = b_i$ for all $i \in [n]$.

The proof is routine and follows from basic properties of projections in C^* -algebras.

Corollary 3.11. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra and suppose that $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ are projections such that $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$ and $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{A}$ are pairwise orthogonal projections such that $a_i b_i = a_i$ for all $i \in [n]$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i = 1$ and therefore $a_i = b_i$ for all $i \in [n]$.*

Proof. First, for $i \neq j$ we have that $a_i b_j = a_i b_i b_j = 0$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n b_j = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1.$$

Thus it follows from [Lemma 3.10](#) that $a_i = b_i$ for all $i \in [n]$. □

We will now have a look at the quantum automorphism group of $G(M, b)$. As with $\widehat{G}(M, b)$, it is immediate from the vertex colors of $G(M, b)$ that the fundamental representation of its quantum automorphism group has a block diagonal form described as follows:

Lemma 3.12. *Let $M \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$. Set $G = G(M, b)$. If u is the fundamental representation of $\text{Qut}(G)$, then u has the form*

$$\left(\bigoplus_{i \in [n]} v^{(i)} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k \in [m]} q^{(k)} \right)$$

where $v^{(i)}$ and $q^{(k)}$ are magic unitaries indexed by V_i and Q_k respectively.

Note that the magic unitaries $v^{(i)}$ for $i \in [m]$ are 2×2 magic unitaries since $|V_i| = 2$. Therefore, we have that

$$v_{(i,1),(i,1)}^{(i)} = v_{(i,-1),(i,-1)}^{(i)} = 1 - v_{(i,1),(i,-1)}^{(i)} = 1 - v_{(i,-1),(i,1)}^{(i)}. \quad (5)$$

To shorten our notation we will thus use $v_1^{(i)}$ to refer to the above and we will set

$$v_{-1}^{(i)} := 1 - v_1^{(i)} = v_{(i,1),(i,-1)}^{(i)} = v_{(i,-1),(i,1)}^{(i)}.$$

Note that this means that

$$v_s^{(i)} = v_{(i,t),(i,st)}^{(i)} \text{ for all } s, t \in \{\pm 1\}. \quad (6)$$

We will also use $q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}$ to denote $u_{(k,\alpha),(k,\beta)}$.

We now show several relations among the generators of $\text{Qut}(G(M, b))$.

Lemma 3.13. *Let $M \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$. Set $G = G(M, b)$ and let u be the fundamental representation of $\text{Qut}(G)$. For any $k \in [m]$ and $i \in S_k$, we have that*

$$q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} v_s^{(i)} = v_s^{(i)} q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} & \text{if } \alpha_i \beta_i = s \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Proof. Suppose that $\alpha_i \beta_i \neq s$. Then $\beta_i \neq s\alpha_i$. We have that $(k, \alpha) \sim (i, \alpha_i)$, $(k, \beta) \sim (i, \beta_i)$, but $(k, \beta) \not\sim (i, s\alpha_i)$. Thus, applying Equation (6) with $t = \alpha_i$, we obtain

$$q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} v_s^{(i)} = q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} v_{(i,\alpha_i),(i,s\alpha_i)}^{(i)} = 0.$$

This proves the second case of the lemma (to obtain the result for $v_s^{(i)} q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}$ simply apply the $*$ operation to the above result), and the first case follows from this and the fact that $v_s^{(i)} + v_{-s}^{(i)} = 1$. \square

Lemma 3.14. *Let $M \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$. Set $G = G(M, b)$ and let u be the fundamental representation of $\text{Qut}(G)$. Then $q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}$ depends only on k and the value of $\alpha \triangle \beta$ and therefore the entries of $q^{(k)}$ pairwise commute for each $k \in [m]$.*

Proof. Let $k \in [m]$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \pm 1_{b_k}^{S_k}$. We first show that $q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} q_{\alpha',\beta'}^{(k)} = 0$ for any $\alpha', \beta' \in \pm 1_{b_k}^{S_k}$ such that $\alpha \triangle \beta \neq \alpha' \triangle \beta'$. In this case, there exists $i \in S_k$ such that $\alpha_i \beta_i \neq \alpha'_i \beta'_i$. We then have that

$$q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} q_{\alpha',\beta'}^{(k)} = q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} (v_1^{(i)} + v_{-1}^{(i)}) q_{\alpha',\beta'}^{(k)} = q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} v_1^{(i)} q_{\alpha',\beta'}^{(k)} + q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} v_{-1}^{(i)} q_{\alpha',\beta'}^{(k)}.$$

But since $\alpha_i \beta_i \neq \alpha'_i \beta'_i$, each of the terms in the last sum is zero by Lemma 3.13. Thus we have proven our claim.

Now suppose that $\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \in \pm 1_{b_k}^{S_k}$ are such that $\alpha \triangle \beta = \tilde{\alpha} \triangle \tilde{\beta}$. Note then that $q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} q_{\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta}}^{(k)} = 0$ for any $\beta' \neq \tilde{\beta}$ by the above. Therefore,

$$q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} = q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} \left(\sum_{\beta' \in \pm 1_{b_k}^{S_k}} q_{\tilde{\alpha},\beta'}^{(k)} \right) = q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} q_{\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta}}^{(k)} = \left(\sum_{\beta' \in \pm 1_{b_k}^{S_k}} q_{\alpha,\beta'}^{(k)} \right) q_{\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta}}^{(k)} = q_{\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta}}^{(k)},$$

as desired. It follows from this that the magic unitary $q^{(k)}$ has the same set of entries in every row (just in different order) and therefore they all commute. \square

Definition 3.15. Since $q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}$ depends only on the value of $\alpha \triangle \beta \in \pm 1_0^{S_k}$, for each $\delta \in \pm 1_0^{S_k}$ we define $q_\delta^{(k)}$ to be equal to $q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \pm 1_{b_k}^{S_k}$ such that $\alpha \triangle \beta = \delta$ (note that such α and β always exist, since we may take arbitrary α and let $\beta = \alpha \triangle \delta$).

Remark 3.16. Note that the set $\{q_\delta^{(k)} : \delta \in \pm 1_0^{S_k}\}$ is precisely the set of elements in every row/column of $q^{(k)}$, and thus

$$\sum_{\delta \in \pm 1_0^{S_k}} q_\delta^{(k)} = 1. \quad (8)$$

Lemma 3.17. Let $M \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ such that $|T_i| \geq 1$ for all $i \in [n]$. Set $G = G(M, b)$ and let u be the fundamental representation of $\text{Qut}(G)$. For any $k \in [m]$, $i \in S_k$,

$$v_s^{(i)} = \sum_{\delta \in \pm 1_0^{S_k} : \delta_i = s} q_\delta^{(k)}, \quad (9)$$

where $q_\delta^{(k)}$ is as defined in [Definition 3.15](#). It follows that $v_s^{(i)}$ and $v_t^{(j)}$ commute for all $i, j \in S_k$ and $s, t \in \{\pm 1\}$. Furthermore, for any $\delta \in \pm 1_0^{S_k}$,

$$q_\delta^{(k)} = \prod_{i \in S_k} v_{\delta_i}^{(i)}. \quad (10)$$

Therefore, the C^* -algebra $C(\text{Qut}(G))$ is generated by each of the sets $\{q_\delta^{(k)} : k \in [m], \delta \in \pm 1_0^{S_k}\}$ and $\{v_s^{(i)} : i \in [n], s \in \{\pm 1\}\}$.

Proof. Let $k \in [m]$, $i \in S_k$, and $s \in \{\pm 1\}$. If $\delta' \in \pm 1_0^{S_k}$ is such that $\delta'_i \neq s$, then for any $\alpha, \beta \in \pm 1_{b_k}^{S_k}$ such that $\alpha \triangle \beta = \delta'$, we have that $\alpha_i \beta_i \neq s$ and thus by [Lemma 3.13](#) we have that

$$v_s^{(i)} q_{\delta'}^{(k)} = v_s^{(i)} q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$v_s^{(i)} \sum_{\delta \in \pm 1_0^{S_k} : \delta_i \neq s} q_\delta^{(k)} = 0.$$

Applying [Lemma 3.10](#) to

$$a_1 = v_1^{(i)}, \quad a_2 = v_{-1}^{(i)}, \quad b_1 = \sum_{\delta \in \pm 1_0^{S_k} : \delta_i = 1} q_\delta^{(k)}, \quad b_2 = \sum_{\delta \in \pm 1_0^{S_k} : \delta_i = -1} q_\delta^{(k)},$$

we obtain Equation (9). We remark that this shows that the summation on the righthand side of Equation (9) does not depend on the particular $k \in [m]$ such that $i \in S_k$.

Now suppose that $i, j \in S_k$ and $s, t \in \{\pm 1\}$. By the above we have that both $v_s^{(i)}$ and $v_t^{(j)}$ are the sums of terms contained in the set $\{q_\delta^{(k)} : \delta \in \pm 1_0^{S_k}\}$. Since all terms in this set pairwise commute, so do $v_s^{(i)}$ and $v_t^{(j)}$. This means that the product on the righthand side of Equation (10) is well-defined.

Let $\delta \in \pm 1_0^{S_k}$ and pick $\alpha, \beta \in \pm 1_{b_k}^{S_k}$ so that $\delta = \alpha \triangle \beta$. From [Lemma 3.13](#), we have that

$$q_\delta^{(k)} v_{\delta_i}^{(i)} = q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} v_{\delta_i}^{(i)} = q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} = q_\delta^{(k)},$$

for all $i \in S_k$. From this it follows that

$$q_\delta^{(k)} \prod_{i \in S_k} v_{\delta_i}^{(i)} = q_\delta^{(k)}.$$

Now suppose that $\delta, \delta' \in \pm 1_0^{S_k}$ are not equal. Then there exists $j \in S_k$ such that $\delta_j \neq \delta'_j$. It follows that

$$\left(\prod_{i \in S_k} v_{\delta_i}^{(i)} \right) \left(\prod_{i \in S_k} v_{\delta'_i}^{(i)} \right) = \left(\prod_{i \in S_k \setminus \{j\}} v_{\delta_i}^{(i)} \right) v_{\delta_j}^{(j)} v_{\delta'_j}^{(j)} \left(\prod_{i \in S_k \setminus \{j\}} v_{\delta'_i}^{(i)} \right) = 0.$$

The above two equations allow us to apply [Corollary 3.11](#) and conclude that Equation (10) holds.

Lastly, it is clear that union of the two sets of generators from the lemma statement generate $C(\text{Qut}(G))$, and by Equations (9) and (10) and the fact that $|T_i| \geq 1$ for all i , we see that each set individually generates the other. Therefore each set generates the full algebra $C(\text{Qut}(G))$. Note that if $|T_i| = 0$ then the element $v_s^{(i)}$ could not be generated by the elements $\{q_\delta^{(k)} : k \in [m], \delta \in \pm 1_0^{S_k}\}$. \square

In order to show that $\text{Qut}(G(M, b))$ is isomorphic to the dual of the solution group $\Gamma(M, 0)$, we will show that $\text{Qut}(G(M, b))$ is isomorphic to $\text{Qut}(\widehat{G}(M, b))$ in the theorem below and then apply [Theorem 3.6](#).

Theorem 3.18. *Let $M \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ such that $|T_i| \geq 1$ for all $i \in [n]$. Set $G = G(M, b)$ and $\widehat{G} = \widehat{G}(M, b)$. Let Δ_G and $\Delta_{\widehat{G}}$ denote the comultiplications of $\text{Qut}(G)$ and $\text{Qut}(\widehat{G})$ respectively. Then there exists an isomorphism $\varphi : C(\text{Qut}(G)) \rightarrow C(\text{Qut}(\widehat{G}))$ such that $\Delta_{\widehat{G}} \circ \varphi = (\varphi \otimes \varphi) \circ \Delta_G$. In other words, $\text{Qut}(G)$ and $\text{Qut}(\widehat{G})$ are isomorphic as compact quantum groups.*

Proof. The proof is structured as follows: we use the universal properties of $C(\text{Qut}(G))$ and $C(\text{Qut}(\widehat{G}))$ respectively to first obtain a surjective $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi_1 : C(\text{Qut}(\widehat{G})) \rightarrow C(\text{Qut}(G))$, and then a surjective $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi_2 : C(\text{Qut}(G)) \rightarrow C(\text{Qut}(\widehat{G}))$. We will then show that φ_1 and φ_2 are inverses of each other thus proving that they are in fact isomorphisms. Lastly, we will prove that φ_2 intertwines the comultiplications as described in the theorem statement.

Step 1: Construction of a $$ -homomorphism $\varphi_1 : C(\text{Qut}(\widehat{G})) \rightarrow C(\text{Qut}(G))$.*

We let u and \hat{u} denote the fundamental representations of $\text{Qut}(G)$ and $\text{Qut}(\widehat{G})$ respectively. We also use $q_{\alpha, \beta}^{(k)}$ and $\hat{q}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(k)}$ to denote $u_{(k, \alpha), (k, \beta)}$ and $\hat{u}_{(k, \alpha), (k, \beta)}$ respectively. We will show that the elements $q_{\alpha, \beta}^{(k)}$ satisfy the same relations as the $\hat{q}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(k)}$, thus proving the existence of the $*$ -homomorphism φ_1 that maps $\hat{q}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(k)}$ to $q_{\alpha, \beta}^{(k)}$.

We must show that $q_{\alpha, \beta}^{(k)} q_{\alpha', \beta'}^{(l)} = 0$ whenever the edge in \widehat{G} between (k, α) and (l, α') is a different color than the edge between (k, β) and (l, β') , or when one is a non-edge and the other is an edge. If $k \neq l$, then the latter case never occurs by definition of the graph \widehat{G} . If $k = l$, then it is possible that one pair is a non-edge and one pair is an edge, but in this case the non-edge pair must be equal (and the edge pair will not be equal), and thus the required orthogonality is immediate. Thus we may assume that they are both edges and thus $S_l \cap S_k \neq \emptyset$. Since the edges have different colors, we have that $\alpha \triangle \alpha' \neq \beta \triangle \beta'$, and thus there exists $i \in S_l \cap S_k$ such that $\alpha_i \alpha'_i \neq \beta_i \beta'_i$ which is equivalent to $\alpha_i \beta_i \neq \alpha'_i \beta'_i$. Therefore,

$$q_{\alpha, \beta}^{(k)} q_{\alpha', \beta'}^{(l)} = q_{\alpha, \beta}^{(k)} (v_1^{(i)} + v_{-1}^{(i)}) q_{\alpha', \beta'}^{(l)} = q_{\alpha, \beta}^{(k)} v_1^{(i)} q_{\alpha', \beta'}^{(l)} + q_{\alpha, \beta}^{(k)} v_{-1}^{(i)} q_{\alpha', \beta'}^{(l)} = 0 + 0$$

by [Lemma 3.13](#). By the universality property of $C(\text{Qut}(\widehat{G}))$, there is a $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi_1 : C(\text{Qut}(\widehat{G})) \rightarrow C(\text{Qut}(G))$ such that $\varphi_1(\hat{q}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}) = q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}$ for all $k \in [m]$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \pm 1_{b_k}^{S_k}$. Since the elements $q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}$ for $k \in [m]$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \pm 1_{b_k}^{S_k}$ generate $C(\text{Qut}(G))$ by [Lemma 3.17](#), the $*$ -homomorphism φ_1 is surjective.

Step 2: Construction of a $$ -homomorphism $\varphi_2 : C(\text{Qut}(G)) \rightarrow C(\text{Qut}(\widehat{G}))$.*

We construct elements that generate $C(\text{Qut}(\widehat{G}))$ and satisfy the same relations as the entries of the fundamental representation of $\text{Qut}(G)$ and thus by the universality of $C(\text{Qut}(G))$ there is a surjective $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi_2 : C(\text{Qut}(G)) \rightarrow C(\text{Qut}(\widehat{G}))$. We will have that $\varphi_2(q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}) = \hat{q}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}$, and we will define elements $\hat{v}_{s,t}^{(i)}$ of $C(\text{Qut}(\widehat{G}))$ such that $\varphi_2(v_{s,t}^{(i)}) = \hat{v}_{s,t}^{(i)}$.

Analogously to this work, in [\[RS22\]](#) it was shown that $\hat{q}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}$ (denoted $u_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}$ in [\[RS22\]](#)) depends only on $\alpha \triangle \beta$, and therefore we can define $\hat{q}_\delta^{(k)}$ for all $\delta \in \pm 1_0^{S_k}$ analogously to $q_\delta^{(k)}$. Now for $k \in [m]$, $i \in S_k$, and $s \in \{\pm 1\}$, define

$$\hat{v}_s^{(i,k)} = \sum_{\delta \in \pm 1_0^{S_k} : \delta_i = s} \hat{q}_\delta^{(k)}.$$

It is easy to see that $\hat{v}_s^{(i,k)} = (\hat{v}_s^{(i,k)})^* = (\hat{v}_s^{(i,k)})^2$ and that $\hat{v}_1^{(i,k)} + \hat{v}_{-1}^{(i,k)} = 1$. In [\[RS22\]](#), it was shown that the element $y_i^{(k)} := \hat{v}_1^{(i,k)} - \hat{v}_{-1}^{(i,k)}$ does not depend on the $k \in [m]$ such that $i \in S_k$. Since $\hat{v}_s^{(i,k)} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + sy_i^{(k)})$, it follows that $\hat{v}_s^{(i,k)}$ also does not depend on k and so we can simply write $\hat{v}_s^{(i)}$. Finally, we define $\hat{v}_{s,t}^{(i)} = \hat{v}_{st}^{(i)}$ for $s, t \in \{\pm 1\}$, and let $\hat{v}^{(i)}$ denote the magic unitary $(\hat{v}_{s,t}^{(i)})_{s,t \in \{\pm 1\}}$.

It is easy to see that

$$\hat{u} := \left(\bigoplus_{i \in [n]} \hat{v}^{(i)} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k \in [m]} \hat{q}^{(k)} \right)$$

is a magic unitary indexed by the vertices of G . Therefore, it is only left to show that its entries satisfy the same relations as the entries of the fundamental representation of $\text{Qut}(G)$. The relations corresponding to the vertex colors are satisfied simply due to the block form of \hat{u} . Therefore, it suffices to show that $\hat{u}_{a,b} \hat{u}_{a',b'} = 0$ whenever $a \sim a'$ and $b \not\sim b'$. Since $a \sim a'$, we may assume without loss of generality that $a = (i, s)$ for some $i \in [n]$ and $s \in \{\pm 1\}$, and $a' = (k, \alpha)$ for some $k \in [m]$ such that $i \in S_k$ and $\alpha \in \pm 1_{b_k}^{S_k}$. If $\hat{u}_{a,b} = 0$, then we are done, so we may assume that $b = (i, t)$ for some $t \in \{\pm 1\}$. Similarly, we may assume that $b' = (k, \beta)$ for some $\beta \in \pm 1_{b_k}^{S_k}$. Thus we must show that $\hat{v}_{st}^{(i)} \hat{q}_{\alpha \triangle \beta}^{(k)} = 0$. Since $a \sim a'$, we have that $\alpha_i = s$, and since $b \not\sim b'$ we have that $\beta_i \neq t$. Letting $\delta = \alpha \triangle \beta$, we see that $\delta_i \neq st$. Using the definition of $\hat{v}_{st}^{(i)}$, we have that

$$\hat{v}_{st}^{(i)} \hat{q}_\delta^{(k)} = \left(\sum_{\delta' \in \pm 1_0^{S_k} : \delta'_i = st} \hat{q}_{\delta'}^{(k)} \right) \hat{q}_\delta^{(k)}. \quad (11)$$

Since $\hat{q}_{\delta'}^{(k)}$ for $\delta' \in \pm 1_0^{S_k}$ are precisely the entries in any row of the magic unitary $\hat{q}^{(k)}$, we have that $\hat{q}_{\delta'}^{(k)} \hat{q}_\delta^{(k)} = 0$ unless $\delta' = \delta$. However, since $\delta_i \neq st$ the expression in [\(11\)](#) is equal to zero as desired.

By the above and the universality of $C(\text{Qut}(G))$, there exists a surjective $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi_2 : C(\text{Qut}(G)) \rightarrow C(\text{Qut}(\widehat{G}))$ such that $\varphi_2(q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}) = \hat{q}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}$ for all $k \in [m]$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \pm 1_{b_k}^{S_k}$, and

$\varphi_2(v_s^{(i)}) = \hat{v}_s^{(i)}$ for all $i \in [n]$ and $s \in \{\pm 1\}$.

Step 3: Showing that φ_1 and φ_2 are inverses of each other.

Since φ_1 and φ_2 are $*$ -homomorphisms, it suffices to show that $\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2 : C(\text{Qut}(G)) \rightarrow C(\text{Qut}(G))$ acts as the identity on a generating set of $C(\text{Qut}(G))$. Thus by [Lemma 3.17](#) it suffices to show that $\varphi_1(\varphi_2(q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)})) = q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}$. However, this is immediate from the above since we have shown that $\varphi_2(q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}) = \hat{q}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}$ in Step 2, and that $\varphi_1(\hat{q}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}) = q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}$ in Step 1. Similarly, $\varphi_2(\varphi_1(\hat{q}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)})) = \hat{q}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}$ and therefore $\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1$ is the identity on $C(\text{Qut}(\hat{G}))$. Thus we have shown that φ_1 and φ_2 are inverses of each other and therefore they are both $*$ -isomorphisms.

Step 4: Showing that $\Delta_G \circ \varphi_1 = (\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_1) \circ \Delta_{\hat{G}}$.

Again, it suffices to prove the equality on a generating set of $C(\text{Qut}(\hat{G}))$. In this case we will show that these two functions are equal on $\hat{q}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}$ for all $k \in [m]$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \pm 1_{b_k}^{S_k}$. Due to the block diagonal structure of the fundamental representation \hat{u} of $\text{Qut}(\hat{G})$, it is easy to see that

$$\Delta_{\hat{G}}(\hat{q}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}) = \sum_{\gamma \in \pm 1_{b_k}^{S_k}} \hat{q}_{\alpha,\gamma}^{(k)} \otimes \hat{q}_{\gamma,\beta}^{(k)}.$$

Therefore,

$$(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_1) \circ \Delta_{\hat{G}}(\hat{q}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}) = \sum_{\gamma \in \pm 1_{b_k}^{S_k}} q_{\alpha,\gamma}^{(k)} \otimes q_{\gamma,\beta}^{(k)}.$$

On the other hand, $\varphi(\hat{q}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}) = q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}$, and by the block structure of the fundamental representation u of $\text{Qut}(G)$, we have that

$$\Delta_G(q_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}) = \sum_{\gamma \in \pm 1_{b_k}^{S_k}} q_{\alpha,\gamma}^{(k)} \otimes q_{\gamma,\beta}^{(k)}.$$

Therefore, $\Delta_G \circ \varphi_1(\hat{q}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}) = (\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_1) \circ \Delta_{\hat{G}}(\hat{q}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)})$ for all $k \in [m]$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \pm 1_{b_k}^{S_k}$, and thus $\Delta_G \circ \varphi_1 = (\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_1) \circ \Delta_{\hat{G}}$ as desired. \square

As a corollary of [Theorem 3.6](#) and [Theorem 3.18](#), we obtain the main result of this section. We denote by $G \sqcup H$ the disjoint union of graphs G and H . Note that we obtain a vertex-colored graph whose quantum automorphism group is the dual of a homogeneous solution group even if the solution group has variables that do not appear in any equation.

Corollary 3.19. *Let $M \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$. Then $\text{Qut}(G(M, b))$ is isomorphic to the dual of the solution group $\Gamma(M, 0)$ as a compact quantum group.*

Proof. By reordering, we may assume that there is an $n_1 \leq n$ such that $|T_i| \geq 1$ for all $i \leq n_1$ and $|T_i| = 0$ for all k for all $i > n_1$. Let $s = n - n_1$. It holds

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M' & 0_{m,s} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $M' \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m \times n_1}$ consists of the first n_1 columns of M and $0_{m,s} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m \times s}$ is the zero matrix. By [Definition 3.4](#), we obtain

$$G(M, b) \cong G(M', b) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{i > n_1} \overline{K}_2^{(i,0)} \right),$$

where $\overline{K_2}^{(i,0)}$ denotes the two isolated vertices $(i, 1)$ and $(i, -1)$ with color $(i, 0)$. Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Qut}(G(M, b)) &\cong \text{Qut}\left(G(M', b) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{i > n_1} \overline{K_2}^{(i,0)}\right)\right) \\ &\cong \text{Qut}(G(M', b)) * (*_{i > n_1} \text{Qut}(\overline{K_2}^{(i,0)})), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

by [DKR⁺23, Lemma 6.4], since the graphs $G(M', b)$ and $\overline{K_2}^{(i,0)}$ are not quantum isomorphic as vertex-colored graphs. By Theorem 3.18, we obtain

$$\text{Qut}(G(M', b)) * (*_{i > n_1} \text{Qut}(\overline{K_2}^{(i,0)})) \cong \text{Qut}(\hat{G}(M', b)) * (*_{i > n_1} \text{Qut}(\overline{K_2}^{(i,0)})),$$

since for all $i \in [n_1]$, we have $M'_{ki} = 1$ for some k by construction of M' . It holds $\text{Qut}(\overline{K_2}^{(i,0)}) \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ and therefore $*_{i > n_1} \text{Qut}(\overline{K_2}^{(i,0)}) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_2^{*s}}$. Furthermore, we have $\text{Qut}(\hat{G}(M', b)) \cong \hat{\Gamma}(M', 0)$ by Theorem 3.6. Summarizing, we obtain

$$\text{Qut}(G(M, b)) \cong \hat{\Gamma}(M', 0) * \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_2^{*s}} \cong \hat{\Gamma}(M, 0). \quad \square$$

4 Decoloring arbitrary vertex-colored graphs

In this section, we show that, for every vertex-colored graph G , there is an uncolored graph G' such that $\text{Qut}(G) \cong \text{Qut}(G')$. To do so, we use a lemma from [DKR⁺23] (see Lemma 4.2 below), which lists a number of modifications that leave the quantum automorphism group of a vertex-colored graph invariant.

The key to the decoloring procedure presented here is a combinatorial algorithm called *color refinement* (also known as the *1-dimensional Weisfeiler–Leman algorithm*), which we will now describe. Let G be a vertex-colored graph with coloring function $c : V(G) \rightarrow S$, with S finite. Write $s := |S|$ and choose a bijection $f : [s] \rightarrow S$. Then the *refinement* of c is the coloring c' that assigns to every vertex x the tuple

$$c'(x) := (c(x), n_1(x), \dots, n_s(x)),$$

where $n_i(x)$ is the number of neighbors of x with color $f(i)$. By repeatedly refining the coloring c , after a finite number of steps we end up with a coloring \bar{c} which is *stable* in the sense that \bar{c} and its refinement \bar{c}' induce the same partition of $V(G)$ into color classes. We call \bar{c} the *stable refinement of c* , and we say that two vertices $x, y \in V(G)$ are *distinguished by color refinement* if and only if $\bar{c}(x) \neq \bar{c}(y)$.

Remark 4.1. Vertices of different degrees are always distinguished after one refinement, since we have $n_1(x) + \dots + n_s(x) = \deg(x) \neq \deg(y) = n_1(y) + \dots + n_s(y)$.

The following lemma lists a number of modifications to a vertex-colored graph that leave the quantum automorphism group unchanged, including a modification related to color refinement.

Lemma 4.2 ([DKR⁺23, Lemma 4.1]). *Let G be a vertex-colored graph with coloring function $c : V(G) \rightarrow S$.*

- (I) *Adding an isolated vertex in a new color (that does not occur elsewhere) does not change the quantum automorphism group.*

- (II) Let $S, T \subseteq V(G)$ be disjoint vertex sets such that $S \cup T$ is an independent set and each of S and T is a union of color classes. Then adding $|S| \times |T|$ edges to G , one from every $s \in S$ to every $t \in T$, does not change the quantum automorphism group.
- (III) Let $S \subseteq V(G)$ be a monochromatic vertex set that is a union of color classes of the stable refinement \bar{c} of c . Then changing the color of S to a new color (that does not occur elsewhere) does not change the quantum automorphism group.

The proof of [Lemma 4.2](#) is given in [[DKR⁺23](#), Lemma 4.1]. For [Lemma 4.2\(III\)](#), combine [[DKR⁺23](#), Lemma 4.1(III)] and [[DKR⁺23](#), Lemma 3.3].

Our decoloring procedure uses the common technique of replacing the vertex colors by certain “gadgets” which can be recognized by color refinement. We choose paths of different length as our gadgets, but different gadgets can likely be chosen as well. To be able to reason about these paths, we introduce the following (non-standard) terminology.

Definition 4.3. A *topological path* in a graph G is a simple path $x_0 \cdots x_k$ such that $\deg(x_0) \neq 2$, $\deg(x_k) \neq 2$ and $\deg(x_1) = \cdots = \deg(x_{k-1}) = 2$. In other words, each of the endpoints of the path is either a leaf (i.e. a vertex of degree 1) or a branch vertex (i.e. a vertex of degree ≥ 3), and there are no branch vertices on the interior of the path.

Every vertex of degree 2 either lies on a unique topological path or on a “topological cycle”. The following lemma shows that vertices of degree 2 that lie on a topological path can often be distinguished from one another by looking at the lengths or the endpoints of the (unique) topological paths containing them.

Lemma 4.4. Let $p_0 \cdots p_k$ and $q_0 \cdots q_\ell$ be topological paths in G , and let $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell-1\}$. Assume that one of the following is true:

- (i). $\{\deg(p_0), \deg(p_k)\} \neq \{\deg(q_0), \deg(q_\ell)\}$, or
- (ii). $(\deg(p_0), \deg(p_k)) = (\deg(q_0), \deg(q_\ell))$ and $k \neq \ell$, or
- (iii). $(\deg(p_0), \deg(p_k)) = (\deg(q_0), \deg(q_\ell))$ and $\deg(p_0) \neq \deg(p_k)$ and $i \neq j$.

Then p_i and q_j are distinguished by color refinement.

To prove this, proceed by induction. Initially, the endpoints of the topological paths are distinguished from the interior vertices (and possibly from one another) by a degree argument (cf. [Remark 4.1](#)). Then, in the next refinement, the second and second-to-last vertices are distinguished from the remaining interior vertices (and possibly from one another) because they are the only ones having a neighbor in the color class of the endpoints after one refinement. Continuing in this way, it is not hard to see that the listed conditions are sufficient for p_i and q_j to be distinguished by color refinement. The details are left to the reader.

We now come to our decoloring procedure.

Definition 4.5. Let G be a vertex-colored graph. Assume without loss of generality that the colors of G are $(1, 0), (2, 0), \dots, (k, 0)$. Then the *decoloring of G* is the uncolored graph G' obtained from G in the following way:

- Step 1. Remove all isolated vertices whose color is unique;
- Step 2. To every remaining vertex whose color is unique, attach a leaf in the same color;

Step 3. For every color $(c, 0)$ that occurs at least once in the remaining graph, add $c + 2$ vertices $x_{(c,1)}, \dots, x_{(c,c+2)}$ in respective colors $(c, 1), \dots, (c, c+2)$, and connect them to form a path $x_{(c,1)}x_{(c,2)} \cdots x_{(c,c+2)}$. Furthermore, connect $x_{(c,1)}$ to all vertices of color $(c, 0)$.

Step 4. Forget the colors, and let G' be the uncolored graph thus obtained.

The steps of this decoloring procedure are illustrated in Figure 1.




Figure 1: A worked example illustrating the steps in the decoloring procedure of Definition 4.5. The labels next to the vertices refer to the color of that vertex (only shown on the first occurrence).

Theorem 4.6. *Let G be a vertex-colored graph, and let G' be its decoloring (as in Definition 4.5). Then $\text{Qut}(G) \cong \text{Qut}(G')$.*

Proof. We show that each step of the decoloring procedure of Definition 4.5 preserves the quantum automorphism group. To that end, write $G_0 := G$ and let G_i be the colored graph obtained after applying Step i .

For Step 1, it follows from Lemma 4.2(I) (applied to G_1) that $\text{Qut}(G_1) \cong \text{Qut}(G_0)$.

For Step 2, note that G_1 can be transformed into G_2 via the following intermediate steps:

- Let $U_1 \subseteq V(G_1)$ be the set of vertices of unique color in G_1 . Let G'_1 be the colored graph obtained from G_1 by adding, for each vertex $x \in U_1$, a new vertex x' in a new and unique color. Then, by Lemma 4.2(I), we have $\text{Qut}(G'_1) \cong \text{Qut}(G_1)$.
- Let $U'_1 \subseteq V(G'_1)$ be the set of vertices of unique color in G'_1 . Note that $U_1 \subseteq U'_1$ and $V(G'_1) \setminus V(G_1) = U'_1 \setminus U_1$. Let G''_1 be the colored graph obtained from G'_1 by adding an

edge from every vertex $x \in U_1$ to its matching vertex $x' \in U_1'$ (as added in the previous intermediate step). Since $\{x\}$ and $\{x'\}$ are color classes, it follows from [Lemma 4.2\(II\)](#) that $\text{Qut}(G_1'') \cong \text{Qut}(G_1')$.

- Now G_2 is the colored graph obtained from G_1'' by recoloring x' in the same color as x , for every $x \in U_1$. Note that we have $\deg_{G_1''}(x') = 1$ and $\deg_{G_1''}(x) > 1$, since U_1 contains no isolated vertices of G_1 (since we removed the isolated vertices of unique color in Step 1). Therefore the vertices x and x' are distinguished by color refinement (cf. [Remark 4.1](#)), so it follows from [Lemma 4.2\(III\)](#) (applied to G_2) that $\text{Qut}(G_2) \cong \text{Qut}(G_1'')$.

This shows that $\text{Qut}(G_2) \cong \text{Qut}(G_1)$.

For Step 3, write $C_2 := \{c \in [k] : G_2 \text{ has at least one vertex of color } (c, 0)\}$ for the colors that still occur in G_2 (i.e. the colors that were not removed in Step 1). Note that G_2 can be transformed into G_3 via the following intermediate steps:

- Let G_2' be the colored graph obtained from G_2 by adding isolated vertices $x_{(c,1)}, \dots, x_{(c,c+2)}$ for every $c \in C_2$, where the color of $x_{(c,i)}$ is (c, i) . Since these colors are unique, it follows from [Lemma 4.2\(I\)](#) that $\text{Qut}(G_2') \cong \text{Qut}(G_2)$.
- Note that G_3 can be obtained from G_2' by adding complete bipartite graphs between various pairs of color classes. It follows from [Lemma 4.2\(II\)](#) that $\text{Qut}(G_3) \cong \text{Qut}(G_2')$.

This shows that $\text{Qut}(G_2) \cong \text{Qut}(G_3)$.

Finally, for Step 4, we show that the color refinement algorithm, applied to the uncolored graph $G' = G_4$, distinguishes the color classes of G_3 . Call the vertices of $V(G_2)$ the “inner vertices” and the vertices that were added in Step 3 the “outer vertices”.

- Since every inner vertex is connected to some $x_{(c,1)}$, we see that the leaves of G_4 are the isolated vertices of G_2 and the “terminal” outer vertices (those of the form $x_{(c,c+2)}$).
- By construction, every inner color class has at least two vertices, so the vertices $x_{(c,1)}$ in G_4 are branch vertices (i.e. have degree ≥ 3).
- Inner and outer leaves are distinguished, because inner leaves have a neighbor of degree ≥ 3 and outer leaves have a neighbor of degree 2.
- Inner and outer vertices of degree 2 are distinguished, because inner vertices of degree 2 lie on a topological path between two branch vertices whereas outer vertices of degree 2 lie on a topological path between a branch vertex and a leaf (use [Lemma 4.4\(i\)](#)).
- Inner and outer branch vertices are distinguished, since outer branch vertices have a neighbor that is an outer vertex of degree 2 whereas inner branch vertices do not have such a neighbor. Thus, color refinement can distinguish inner vertices from outer vertices.
- Every pair of distinct outer vertices is distinguished, either because their degrees differ or because they lie on topological paths of different lengths (use [Lemma 4.4\(ii\)](#)) or on different positions on the same topological path (use [Lemma 4.4\(iii\)](#)). Thus, color refinement recognizes the colors (c, i) with $i \geq 1$.
- Consequently, inner vertices of different colors $(c, 0) \neq (c', 0)$ are also distinguished, since they have a different number of neighbors of color $(c, 1)$ or $(c', 1)$.

This shows that color refinement distinguishes the color classes of G_3 . It follows from [Lemma 4.2\(III\)](#) that $\text{Qut}(G') = \text{Qut}(G_4) \cong \text{Qut}(G_3)$.

All in all, we have shown that each step preserves the quantum automorphism group, so we have $\text{Qut}(G) \cong \text{Qut}(G')$. \square

5 An uncolored graph whose quantum automorphism group is the dual of a solution group

Here we use the result of the previous section to show that for any solution group $\Gamma(M, b)$, there is a graph G such that $\text{Qut}(G)$ is isomorphic to the dual of $\Gamma(M, b)$.

Remark 5.1. It is possible to prove the above statement using the decoloring procedure introduced in [RS22], which is simpler (it only requires that you attach paths of different length to differently colored vertices), but this construction is only proven to work for vertex-colored graphs of minimum degree at least three. Thus using the decoloring procedure of [RS22] would require us to show that any solution group $\Gamma(M, b)$ is isomorphic to some solution group $\Gamma(M', b')$ satisfying some additional constraints. It would arguably be quicker to take this approach rather than proving the results of the previous section and applying those. But having a decoloring procedure for arbitrary vertex-colored graphs is likely to be of use in the future.

To obtain our result, we first show that any inhomogeneous solution group is isomorphic to a homogeneous solution group:

Lemma 5.2. *Let $M \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ such that $b \neq 0$. Then there exists $M' \in \mathbb{F}_2^{(m+1) \times (n+2)}$ such that $\Gamma(M, b) \cong \Gamma(M', 0)$.*

Proof. We form M' by adding two columns and then one row to M as follows:

$$M' = \begin{pmatrix} M & \mathbb{1} + b & \mathbb{1} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathbb{1}$ denotes the all ones vector. Considering now the solution group $\Gamma(M', 0)$ with generators $\{x_i \mid i \in [n+2]\}$, we see that x_{n+2} commutes with all generators (this will take the place of γ in $\Gamma(M, b)$) and that $x_{n+1} = x_{n+2}$. Therefore, for $k \in [m]$, the relation $\prod_{i \in S_k(M')} x_i = 1$ becomes

$$\prod_{i \in S_k(M')} x_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } b_k = 0 \\ x_{n+2} & \text{if } b_k = 1. \end{cases}$$

It is now easy to see that if $\{x'_i \mid i \in [n]\} \cup \{\gamma\}$ are the generators of $\Gamma(M, b)$, then the map $x_i \mapsto x'_i$ for $i \in [n]$ and $x_{n+2} \mapsto \gamma$ extends to an isomorphism of $\Gamma(M, b)$ and $\Gamma(M', 0)$. \square

Combining the above with [Theorem 4.6](#) and [Corollary 3.19](#), we obtain the main result of this section:

Theorem 5.3. *Let $M \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$. Then there is a graph G such that $\text{Qut}(G)$ is isomorphic to the dual of the solution group $\Gamma(M, b)$ as a compact quantum group.*

Proof. By [Lemma 5.2](#), we may assume that $b = 0$. By [Corollary 3.19](#), we have that $\text{Qut}(G(M, 0))$ is isomorphic to the dual of $\Gamma(M, 0)$. Let G' be the uncolored graph obtained from $G(M, 0)$ by applying the decoloring procedure of [Theorem 4.6](#). Then by that theorem, we have that $\text{Qut}(G') \cong \text{Qut}(G(M, 0)) \cong \Gamma(M, 0)$. \square

6 A sequence of perfect homogeneous solution groups

In the previous section, we showed how to construct a graph whose quantum automorphism group is isomorphic to the dual of the homogeneous solution group of any given linear constraint

system. To get a graph with quantum symmetry and trivial automorphism group, we must find a linear constraint system whose homogeneous solution group is perfect. For this we use the following construction.

Definition 6.1. Let H be a finite group. Let $O_2(H) = \{h_1, \dots, h_\ell\} \subseteq H$ denote the set of elements of order 2 in H , and let

$$T_2(H) := \{\{h_i, h_j, h_k\} \mid h_i, h_j, h_k \in O_2(H), [h_i, h_j] = [h_i, h_k] = [h_j, h_k] = 1, \text{ and } h_i h_j h_k = 1\}$$

be the set of all triples³ of elements of order 2 that commute pairwise and multiply to the identity. Then we define $M_H \in \mathbb{F}_2^{T_2(H) \times O_2(H)}$ to be the coefficient matrix of the linear system

$$x_{h_i} + x_{h_j} + x_{h_k} \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \quad \text{for all } \{h_i, h_j, h_k\} \in T_2(H).$$

In other words, the variables x_{h_i} in this linear system correspond to elements $h_i \in H$ of order 2, and the equations state that $x_{h_i} + x_{h_j} + x_{h_k} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ whenever h_i, h_j and h_k commute and multiply to the identity.

The main result of this section is that $\Gamma(M_{A_n}, 0)$ is perfect for all $n \geq 7$, where A_n denotes the alternating group.

Proposition 6.2. *For every finite group H , the homogeneous solution group $\Gamma(M_H, 0)$ is the finitely presented group generated by elements x_{h_i} corresponding to all elements $h_1, \dots, h_\ell \in H$ of order 2 in H , with the following relations:*

$$x_{h_i}^2 = 1 \quad \text{for all } i \in [\ell]; \tag{13}$$

$$[x_{h_i}, x_{h_j}] = 1 \quad \text{whenever } [h_i, h_j] = 1; \tag{14}$$

$$x_{h_i} x_{h_j} x_{h_k} = 1 \quad \text{whenever } h_i, h_j \text{ and } h_k \text{ commute pairwise and multiply to 1.} \tag{15}$$

Proof. The only thing that is not immediate from the definitions is that [Relation 2](#) from [Definition 3.1](#) simplifies to [\(14\)](#). To see this, note that for every pair $h_i, h_j \in O_2(H)$ with $h_i \neq h_j$ and $[h_i, h_j] = 1$, the product $h_k := h_i h_j$ ($= h_j h_i$) also has order 2 and commutes with h_i and h_j , and we have $h_i h_j h_k = 1$, hence $\{h_i, h_j, h_k\} \in T_2(H)$. In other words, in the linear system given by M_H , two variables x_{h_i} and x_{h_j} occur in the same equation together if and only if $[h_i, h_j] = 1$. \square

Note that we always find a graph whose quantum automorphism group is the dual of $\Gamma(M_H, 0)$ by [Theorem 5.3](#). Since $h_1, \dots, h_\ell \in H$ satisfy the relations of [Proposition 6.2](#), the following corollaries are immediate.

Corollary 6.3. *For every finite group H , there is a homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma(M_H, 0) \rightarrow H$ such that $\phi(x_{h_i}) = h_i$ for all $h_i \in O_2(H)$.*

Corollary 6.4. *Let H be a finite group.*

- (a) *If H has an element of order 2, then $\Gamma(M_H, 0)$ is non-trivial.*
- (b) *If H has two non-commuting elements of order 2, then $\Gamma(M_H, 0)$ is non-commutative.*

In particular, for the alternating group, it follows that $\Gamma(M_{A_n}, 0)$ is non-trivial for all $n \geq 4$ and non-commutative for all $n \geq 5$.

³Note that every set $\{h_i, h_j, h_k\}$ in $T_2(H)$ consists of three different elements. Indeed, if (say) $h_i = h_j$, then one has $h_i h_j = h_i^2 = 1$, and therefore $h_k = h_i h_j h_k = 1$, contrary to the assumption that h_k has order 2.

To prove that $\Gamma(M_{A_n}, 0)$ is perfect for all $n \geq 7$, we first consider the case $n = 7$. Here (15) gives us the following relations⁴:

$$x_{(ab)(cd)}x_{(ab)(ef)}x_{(cd)(ef)} = 1 \quad \text{for all disjoint } \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{e, f\} \in \binom{[7]}{2}; \quad (16)$$

$$x_{(ab)(cd)}x_{(ac)(bd)}x_{(ad)(bc)} = 1 \quad \text{for all } \{a, b, c, d\} \in \binom{[7]}{4}. \quad (17)$$

Using these, we will show that $\Gamma(M_{A_7}, 0)$ is perfect. Arguably the easiest way to do so is to write down the matrix M_{A_7} and compute its rank. Using a computer algebra system, one can check that M_{A_7} is a 140×105 matrix over \mathbb{F}_2 which has rank 105, so it follows that the linear system $M_{A_7}x = 0$ has no non-trivial solutions. From this it can easily be deduced that $\Gamma(M_{A_7}, 0)$ is perfect. However, this proof is not very illuminating, since it hides the subtleties of the finitely presented group $\Gamma(M_{A_7}, 0)$ in a computation that is easy for a computer but too large for most humans to fathom. Instead, we give a human-readable proof of a combinatorial flavour. For this we use the Kneser graphs $K(S, 2)$ over an arbitrary set S , which we define as follows.

Definition 6.5. Let S be a finite set and let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. The (*labeled*) *Kneser graph* $K(S, \ell)$ is the graph with vertex set $V(K(S, \ell)) := \binom{S}{\ell}$ (the set of all ℓ -element subsets of S), where two vertices are adjacent if and only if the corresponding subsets are disjoint. If $S = [n]$, we simply write $K(n, \ell)$ for the Kneser graph $K([n], \ell)$.

Theorem 6.6. *The homogeneous solution group $\Gamma(M_{A_7}, 0)$ is perfect.*

Proof. We show that the abelianization of $\Gamma(M_{A_7}, 0)$ is trivial. This is equivalent to showing that the system of equations (16) and (17) has no non-trivial solutions for $x_{(ab)(cd)} \in \{\pm 1\}$, since this proves that the group has no non-trivial one-dimensional representations.

Consider the Kneser graph $K(7, 2)$. The graph has vertices $\{a, b\}$ with $a, b \in [7]$ and $a \neq b$, where two vertices are connected if and only if the corresponding subsets are disjoint. Therefore, the edges of $K(7, 2)$ are of the form $\{a, b\}\{c, d\}$, where $|\{a, b, c, d\}| = 4$.

To each edge $\{a, b\}\{c, d\}$ in $K(7, 2)$, associate the variable $x_{(ab)(cd)} \in \{\pm 1\}$. We color the edge $\{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\}$ red if $x_{(ab)(cd)} = -1$ and green otherwise. We will show that if there is a red edge in $K(7, 2)$, then it is impossible to color all edges of the graph consistent with Equations (16) and (17). Note that Equation (16) states that every triangle in $K(7, 2)$ either has 0 or 2 red edges, whereas Equation (17) states something similar for certain other edge configurations in $K(7, 2)$.⁵

Assume for the sake of contradiction that we have a coloring of the edges of $K(7, 2)$ that is consistent with (16) and (17) with at least one red edge $xy \in E(K(7, 2))$. Since $K(7, 2)$ is strongly regular with parameters $(21, 10, 3, 6)$, we know that xy is part of three triangles. By (16), each of these triangles must have exactly two red edges, so one of the following must be true:

- (a) All three additional red edges (in the three triangles containing xy) are incident to the same endpoint of xy ;
- (b) Two of the additional red edges (in the three triangles containing xy) are incident to one of the endpoints and the remaining red edge is incident to the other.

These two cases are illustrated in Figure 2. We will show that both cases are not possible.

⁴One can show that all triples in $T_2(A_7)$ are of the form (16) or (17), but we won't need that in the proof.

⁵A graph-theoretic interpretation of (17) is that, for every triple of edges such that each pair is at distance two (where we define the distance between two edges to be the minimum of the distances of their endpoints), either 0 or 2 of them are red. But we won't make use of this characterization.



Figure 2: Case (a) (left) and Case (b) (right), up to swapping x and y .

Note that the neighborhood of a vertex $v = \{a, b\} \in V(K(7, 2))$ is the Kneser graph $K([7] \setminus v, 2)$, which is isomorphic to the Petersen graph. Consider the vertex-and-edge-coloring of $N(v)$ defined in the following way:

- The edge colors of $N(v)$ are the colors inherited from $K(7, 2)$;
- Every vertex $w \in N(v)$ is colored according to the color of the edge vw in $K(7, 2)$.

For example, the coloring of $N(y)$ (resp. $N(x)$) obtained from the coloring in Figure 2(a) (resp. Figure 2(b)) is depicted in Figure 3.




Figure 3: Left: the vertex-and-edge coloring of the neighborhood $N(y)$ from Figure 2(a). Right: the vertex-and-edge coloring of the neighborhood $N(x)$ from Figure 2(b). Black vertices and edges have unknown color.

Now, we make two useful observations.

- In the vertex-and-edge-colored neighborhood of a vertex v , an edge and its endpoints together correspond to a triangle in $K(7, 2)$. Therefore one of the following must be true: the edge and its endpoints are all green ($\bullet\text{---}\bullet$), or the edge is green and its endpoints are red ($\bullet\text{---}\bullet$), or the edge is red and the endpoints have opposite colors ($\bullet\text{---}\bullet$). Note that endpoints of green edges have the same color and endpoints of red edges have different colors. It follows that the number of red edges along any walk must be even if the endpoints of the walk have the same color and odd if the endpoints of the walk have different colors. In particular, the number of red edges along any cycle must be even.
- The triples of edges corresponding to Equation (17) partition the edge set of $K([7] \setminus v, 2)$ as depicted in Figure 4. By Equation (17), in each of the classes of this partition, either 0 or 2 edges are colored red in the vertex-and-edge-coloring of $N(v)$.




Figure 4: The edge set of $K([7] \setminus v, 2)$ is partitioned into triples corresponding to Equation (17). Edges belonging to the same part of this partition are depicted with matching colors and dash patterns.

Using the preceding observations, we show that **Case (a)** and **Case (b)** each lead to a contradiction.

- (a) Consider the vertex-and-edge-coloring of the neighborhood $N(y)$ (see Figure 3(a)). The induced subgraph on the non-neighbors of x in $N(y)$ (the black vertices in Figure 3(a)) is a 6-cycle, and the edges of this 6-cycle are precisely the edges of $N(y)$ that are not incident with x but belong to the same partition class (see Figure 4) as one of the edges incident with x . By observation (ii), we see that there have to be three red edges and three green edges in this 6-cycle, contradicting observation (i).
- (b) Consider the vertex-and-edge-coloring of the neighborhood $N(x)$ (see Figure 3(b)). Again, the induced subgraph on the non-neighbors of y in $N(x)$ (the black vertices in Figure 3(b)) is a 6-cycle, and the edges of this 6-cycle are precisely the edges of $N(x)$ that are not incident with y but belong to the same partition class (see Figure 4) as one of the edges incident with y . By observation (ii), we see that two pairs of opposite edges in the 6-cycle have the same color and one pair of opposite edges has different colors. This shows once again that there is an odd number of red edges in this 6-cycle, contradicting observation (i).

It follows that there is no way to color $K(7, 2)$ consistently with at least one red edge. \square

Remark 6.7. **Theorem 6.6** proves that the solution group $\Gamma(M_{A_7}, 0)$ is a non-trivial perfect group, but it doesn't tell us which group it is. Using the computer algebra system GAP, we were able to determine that $\Gamma(M_{A_7}, 0) \cong 3.A_7$ (the triple cover of A_7). In particular, $\Gamma(M_{A_7}, 0)$ is finite.

Next, we extend **Theorem 6.6** to A_n for all $n \geq 7$.

Theorem 6.8. *The homogeneous solution group $\Gamma(M_{A_n}, 0)$ is perfect for all $n \geq 7$.*

Proof. Once again, we show that the abelianization of $\Gamma(M_{A_n}, 0)$ is trivial. This is equivalent to showing that (15) has no non-trivial solutions for $x_{h_1}, \dots, x_{h_\ell} \in \{\pm 1\}$.

Let $(x_h)_{h \in O_2(A_n)} \in \{\pm 1\}^{O_2(A_n)}$ be a solution to (15). Note that every element of order 2 in A_n has cycle type $(a_1 a_2)(a_3 a_4) \cdots (a_{4r-3} a_{4r-2})(a_{4r-1} a_{4r})$; that is, it consists of an even number of disjoint 2-cycles. To prove that $x_h = 1$ for all $h \in O_2(A_n)$, we proceed by induction on the number of 2-cycles in the cycle type of h .

- First consider the case that $h = (ab)(cd)$. Choose some subset $S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S| = 7$ such that $a, b, c, d \in S$. Note that the set of alternating permutations of $[n]$ that fix every point in $[n] \setminus S$ forms a subgroup $H_S \subseteq A_n$ that is isomorphic to A_7 and that contains h . Restricting the solution $(x_{h_1}, \dots, x_{h_\ell})$ to H_S (by removing all variables that do not belong to H_S), we obtain a solution $(x_{h'_1}, \dots, x_{h'_{\ell'}})$ to the relations of A_7 . Hence it follows from [Theorem 6.6](#) that $x_{h'_i} = 1$ for all $i \in [\ell']$. In particular, we have $x_h = 1$.
- Let $N \geq 1$ be given such that $x_h = 1$ for all $h \in O_2(A_n)$ consisting of at most $2N$ disjoint 2-cycles, and suppose that $h \in O_2(A_n)$ consists of $2N+2$ disjoint 2-cycles. Write h in disjoint cycle notation (with the cycles in arbitrary order), and let $h' = (a_{4N+1}a_{4N+2})(a_{4N+3}a_{4N+4})$ be the last two cycles. Then $\{h, h', hh'\}$ forms a triple of pairwise commuting order 2 elements that multiply to the identity, and both h' and hh' consist of at most $2N$ disjoint 2-cycles. It follows from the induction hypothesis that $x_{h'} = x_{hh'} = 1$. Moreover, by [\(15\)](#) we have $x_h x_{h'} x_{hh'} = 1$, so it follows that $x_h = 1$. \square

Combining our results, we can now present a sequence of graphs that have quantum symmetry and trivial automorphism group.

Theorem 6.9. *The graph $G'(M_{A_n}, b)$ has quantum symmetry and trivial automorphism group for all $n \geq 7$.*

Proof. This follows from [Theorem 5.3](#), [Theorem 6.8](#), and the easy to see fact that in the linear system corresponding to M_{A_n} every equation has 3 variables and every variable appears in at least 2 equations. \square

The above is of course just a more specific version of [Theorem 1.1](#), where we specify the specific graphs that have quantum symmetry but trivial automorphism group.

7 Every finite group occurs as a quantum automorphism group

In [\[Fru39\]](#), Frucht showed that every finite group can be realized as the automorphism group of a graph. In this section, we prove that the graphs constructed in that paper have no quantum symmetry. Together with our previous results, this yields that for every finite group, we find pairs of graphs whose automorphism groups are isomorphic to that group, but one of the graphs has quantum symmetry and the other one does not.

The following graphs were constructed in [\[Fru39\]](#). Note that their automorphism group coincides with the group they are constructed from.

Definition 7.1. Let Γ be a finite group of order $n \geq 3$ with labelled elements g_1, \dots, g_n . For $i, j \in [n], i \neq j$, let $m(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}, m(i, j) \geq 2$ such that $m(i, j) = m(s, t)$ if and only if $g_i g_j^{-1} = g_s g_t^{-1}$. Define G_Γ to be the following (undirected) graph:

- It has vertices p_i, q_{ijk} and r_{ijl} for $i, j \in [n]$ with $i \neq j$, for $k \in [2m(i, j) - 2]$ and $l \in [2m(i, j) - 1]$.
- They are adjacent in the following way: $p_i \sim q_{ij1}, p_i \sim r_{ji1}, q_{ij1} \sim r_{ij1}, q_{ijk} \sim q_{ij(k-1)}$ and $r_{ijl} \sim r_{ij(l-1)}$.

For all groups of order $1 \leq i \leq 2$, we define $G_\Gamma = K_i$.

Recall that the *degree* $\deg(v)$ of a vertex v denotes the number of neighbors of v in the graph G . The *distance* $d(v, w)$ between two vertices $v, w \in V(G)$ is the length of a shortest path connecting v and w . The following lemma consists of [Ful06, Lemma 3.2.3] and [RS22, Lemma 4.3].

Lemma 7.2. *Let G be a finite graph and u the fundamental representation of $\text{Qut}(G)$. Let $v, w, a, b \in V(G)$.*

- (i) *If $\deg(v) \neq \deg(w)$, then $u_{vw} = 0$.*
- (ii) *If there exists $p \in V(G)$ with $d(v, p) = k$ such that $\deg(p) \neq \deg(q)$ for all $q \in V(G)$ with $d(w, q) = k$, then $u_{vw} = 0$.*

We need another easy lemma, which is used to shorten an upcoming proof.

Lemma 7.3. *Let G be a finite graph and u the fundamental representation of $\text{Qut}(G)$. Let $v, w, a, b \in V(G)$, $v \sim w$, $a \sim b$. If $u_{vx} = 0$ for all $x \sim b, x \neq w$ and $u_{ac} = 0$ for all $c \sim w, c \neq b$, then $u_{vw} = u_{ab}$.*

Proof. We compute

$$u_{vw} = u_{vw} \left(\sum_{c; c \sim w} u_{ac} \right) = u_{vw} u_{ab} = \left(\sum_{x; x \sim b} u_{vx} \right) u_{ab} = u_{ab},$$

by using Relations (2) and (4) in Definition 2.7 and the assumption. \square

We are now ready to show that the graphs of Definition 7.1 have no quantum symmetry.

Theorem 7.4. *Let Γ be a finite group and let G_Γ be the graph as in Definition 7.1. Then G_Γ has no quantum symmetry.*

Proof. For groups of order 1 and 2, we know that K_n has no quantum symmetry for $n = 1, 2$. Let $n \geq 3$. The vertices in G_Γ have the following degrees:

- $\deg(p_i) = 2(n - 1)$, since $p_i \sim q_{ij1}, p_i \sim r_{ji1}$ for $j \neq i$,
- $\deg(q_{ij1}) = 3 = \deg(r_{ij1})$, because $q_{ij1} \sim p_i, q_{ij2}, r_{ij1}$ and $r_{ij1} \sim p_j, r_{ij2}, q_{ij1}$,
- $\deg(q_{ijk}) = 2 = \deg(r_{ijl})$ for $2 \leq k \leq 2m(i, j) - 3, 2 \leq l \leq 2m(i, j) - 2$, since $q_{ijk} \sim q_{ij(k-1)}, q_{ij(k+1)}$ and $r_{ijl} \sim r_{ij(l-1)}, r_{ij(l+1)}$,
- $\deg(q_{ijk}) = 1 = \deg(r_{ijl})$ for $k = 2m(i, j) - 2, l = 2m(i, j) - 1$, as $q_{ijk} \sim q_{ij(k-1)}$ and $r_{ijl} \sim r_{ij(l-1)}$.

Let u be the fundamental representation of $\text{Qut}(G_\Gamma)$. Note that $2(n - 1) > 3$ for $n \geq 3$. From Lemma 7.2 (i), we get

$$u_{p_a q_{ijb}} = u_{q_{ijb} p_a} = u_{p_a r_{ijc}} = u_{r_{ijc} p_a} = 0, \quad (18)$$

$$u_{q_{ijx} q_{stk}} = u_{q_{stk} q_{ijx}} = u_{r_{ijy} r_{stl}} = u_{r_{stl} r_{ijy}} = u_{q_{stk} r_{ijy}} = u_{r_{ijy} q_{stk}} = u_{q_{ijx} r_{stl}} = u_{r_{stl} q_{ijx}} = 0, \quad (19)$$

for $x = 2m(i, j) - 2, y = 2m(i, j) - 1, k \neq 2m(s, t) - 2, l \neq 2m(s, t) - 1$. Using Lemma 7.2 (ii), we furthermore see

$$u_{q_{ijk} q_{stl}} = u_{r_{ijk} r_{stl}} = u_{r_{stl} r_{ij1}} = u_{q_{ijk} r_{stl}} = u_{r_{ijk} q_{stl}} = 0 \text{ for } k \neq l, \quad (20)$$

since the distance of q_{ijk} and r_{stl} to a vertex of degree 3 (q_{ij1} and r_{st1}) is $k - 1$ and $l - 1$, respectively.

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u_{q_{ijk}q_{stk}} &= u_{q_{ij(k+1)}q_{st(k+1)}}, & u_{r_{ijk}r_{stk}} &= u_{r_{ij(k+1)}r_{st(k+1)}}, \\ u_{q_{ijk}r_{stk}} &= u_{q_{ij(k+1)}r_{st(k+1)}}, & u_{r_{ijk}q_{stk}} &= u_{r_{ij(k+1)}q_{st(k+1)}}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

by Lemma 7.3, where the assumptions for the lemma hold by Equation (18) and Equation (20). This yields $u_{q_{ijk}r_{stk}} = u_{q_{ijz}r_{stz}} = 0$ for $z = \min(2m(i, j) - 1, 2m(s, t) - 2)$ by Equation (19) as $2m(i, j) - 1 \neq 2m(s, t) - 2$. We similarly get $u_{q_{ijk}q_{stk}} = 0 = u_{r_{ijk}r_{stk}}$ for $m(i, j) \neq m(s, t)$.

Let $m(i, j) = m(s, t)$. Using Lemma 7.3 several times, we obtain

$$u_{p_i p_s} = u_{q_{ij1}q_{st1}} = u_{r_{ij1}r_{st1}} = u_{p_j p_t}. \quad (22)$$

From Equations (18)–(22), we deduce that $C(\text{Aut}(G_\Gamma))$ is generated by the elements $u_{p_i p_s}$.

Let $m(i, j) \neq m(s, q)$. Then there is a unique $t \neq q$ such that $m(i, j) = m(s, t)$. By Equation (22), we get

$$u_{p_i p_s} u_{p_j p_q} = u_{p_j p_t} u_{p_j p_q} = 0,$$

since $p_t \neq p_q$. Finally, let $m(i, j) = m(s, t)$. We deduce

$$u_{p_i p_s} u_{p_j p_t} = u_{p_j p_t} = u_{p_i p_s} u_{p_j p_t},$$

from Equation (22) and therefore see that all generators of $C(\text{Aut}(G_\Gamma))$ commute. \square

Remark 7.5. Since we know $\text{Aut}(G_\Gamma) \cong \Gamma$ by [Fru39], the previous theorem shows a weak quantum analog of Frucht's theorem: every finite group can be realized as the quantum automorphism group of a finite graph.

Recall the graph G_Γ from Definition 7.1 and $G'(M_{A_n}, b)$ from Definition 3.8 and Definition 6.1. The next theorem shows that for every finite group, there is a pair of graphs such that both automorphism groups are isomorphic to that group, but exactly one of them has quantum symmetry.

Theorem 7.6. *Let Γ be a finite group and consider the graphs $G_1 := G_\Gamma$ and $G_2 := G_\Gamma \cup G'(M_{A_7}, b)$. Then, we have $\text{Aut}(G_i) \cong \Gamma$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $\text{Aut}(G_1) = \text{Aut}(G_1)$ but G_2 has quantum symmetry.*

Proof. It holds $\text{Aut}(G_i) \cong \Gamma$, since we know $\text{Aut}(G_\Gamma) \cong \Gamma$ from [Fru39] and since $G'(M_{A_7}, b)$ has trivial automorphism group by Theorem 6.9. From [Sch20, Corollary 7.1.4], we know that the quantum automorphism group of the disjoint union of non-quantum isomorphic graphs is the free product of the quantum automorphism group of the graphs. Since $G'(M_{A_7}, b)$ has quantum symmetry by Theorem 6.9, we see that G_2 also has quantum symmetry. \square

The previous theorem is a version of Theorem 1.2, where we specify the graphs we use for every finite group. Note that the theorem shows that there do not exist any quantum-excluding groups; that is, there is no finite group such that every graph whose automorphism group is isomorphic to that group has no quantum symmetry. This answers a question that was asked in [BM22]. Furthermore, we see that the quantum automorphism group of a graph can never be determined from its automorphism group, since for all automorphism groups there are both graphs with and without quantum symmetry. This answers a question raised in [Sch20, Section 8.1].

The construction used in the proof of Theorem 7.6 results in G_2 having infinite dimensional quantum automorphism group whenever $\Gamma \neq 1$. We leave as an open question whether or not there exists a graph \hat{G}_Γ such that $\text{Aut}(\hat{G}_\Gamma) \cong \Gamma$ and $\text{Aut}(\hat{G}_\Gamma) \cong \Gamma$ is finite dimensional for arbitrary Γ .

Acknowledgments.

JvDdB and DR are supported by the Carlsberg Foundation Young Researcher Fellowship CF21-0682 – “Quantum Graph Theory”.

SS was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany’s Excellence Strategy - EXC 2092 CASA - 390781972.

References

- [Ban05] Teodor Banica. Quantum automorphism groups of homogeneous graphs. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 224(2):243–280, 2005.
- [BB07] Teodor Banica and Julien Bichon. Quantum automorphism groups of vertex-transitive graphs of order ≤ 11 . *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 26(1):83–105, 2007.
- [Bic03] Julien Bichon. Quantum automorphism groups of finite graphs. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 131(3):665–673, 2003.
- [Bla06] Bruce Blackadar. *Operator algebras*, volume 122 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer, 2006. doi:10.1007/3-540-28517-2.
- [BM22] Teodor Banica and J.P. McCarthy. The Frucht property in the quantum group setting. *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, 64(3):603–633, 2022. doi:10.1017/S0017089521000380.
- [CLS17] Richard Cleve, Li Liu, and William Slofstra. Perfect commuting-operator strategies for linear system games. *J. Math. Phys.*, 58(1):012202, 7, 2017.
- [DKR⁺23] Josse van Dobben de Bruyn, Prem Nigam Kar, David E. Roberson, Simon Schmidt, and Peter Zeman. Quantum automorphism groups of trees, 2023. Preprint. arXiv:2311.04891.
- [Fru39] Roberto Frucht. Herstellung von Graphen mit vorgegebener abstrakter Gruppe. *Compositio Mathematica*, 6:239–250, 1939.
- [Ful06] Melanie Fulton. The quantum automorphism group and undirected trees. *PhD Thesis, Virginia*, 2006.
- [LMR20] Martino Lupini, Laura Mančinska, and David E. Roberson. Nonlocal games and quantum permutation groups. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 279(5):108592, 2020. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2020.108592.
- [MVD98] Ann Maes and Alfons Van Daele. Notes on compact quantum groups. *Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (4)*, 16(1-2):73–112, 1998.
- [NT13] Sergey Neshveyev and Lars Tuset. *Compact quantum groups and their representation categories*, volume 20 of *Cours Spécialisés [Specialized Courses]*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2013.
- [PRSS23] Connor Paddock, Vincent Russo, Turner Silverthorne, and William Slofstra. Arkhipov’s theorem, graph minors, and linear system nonlocal games. *Algebraic Combinatorics*, 6(4):1119–1162, 2023. doi:10.5802/alco.292.
- [RS22] David E Roberson and Simon Schmidt. Solution group representations as quantum symmetries of graphs. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 106(4):3379–3410, 2022.
- [Sch20] Simon Schmidt. Quantum automorphism groups of finite graphs. *PhD Thesis, Saarland University*, 2020.
- [Slo19] William Slofstra. The set of quantum correlations is not closed. *Forum Math. Pi*, 7:e1, 41, 2019. doi:10.1017/fmp.2018.3.
- [Wan95] Shuzhou Wang. Free products of compact quantum groups. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 167:671–692, 1995.
- [Wan98] Shuzhou Wang. Quantum symmetry groups of finite spaces. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 195(1):195–211, 1998.

- [Web23] Moritz Weber. Quantum permutation matrices. *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, 17(3):#37, 2023. [doi:10.1007/s11785-023-01335-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11785-023-01335-x).
- [Wor87] S. L. Woronowicz. Compact matrix pseudogroups. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 111(4):613–665, 1987.
- [Wor98] S. L. Woronowicz. Compact quantum groups. In *Symétries quantiques (Les Houches, 1995)*, pages 845–884. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1998.