The Limits of ChatGPT in Extracting Aspect-Category-Opinion-Sentiment Quadruples: A Comparative Analysis

Xiancai Xu^{*} and Jia-Dong Zhang^{∗†} and Rongchang Xiao and Lei Xiong

Brands & Consumers Research Institute, Enbrands Inc., Shenzhen, China

{essen, zhangjd.1, xiaorc.1, xiongl.1}@enbrands.com

Abstract

Recently, ChatGPT has attracted great attention from both industry and academia due to its surprising abilities in natural language understanding and generation. We are particularly curious about whether it can achieve promising performance on one of the most complex tasks in aspect-based sentiment analysis, i.e., extracting aspect-category-opinion-sentiment quadruples from texts. To this end, in this paper we develop a specialized prompt template that enables ChatGPT to effectively tackle this complex quadruple extraction task. Further, we propose a selection method on few-shot examples to fully exploit the in-context learning ability of ChatGPT and uplift its effectiveness on this complex task. Finally, we provide a comparative evaluation on ChatGPT against existing state-of-the-art quadruple extraction models based on four public datasets and highlight some important findings regarding the capability boundaries of ChatGPT in the quadruple extraction.

1 Introduction

Since its release by OpenAI in November 2022, ChatGPT^{[1](#page-0-0)}, as a representative of large language models (LLMs), has persistently received "overwhelming" attention from both industry and academia. ChatGPT uses reinforcement learning from human feedback (RLHF) [\(Ouyang et al.,](#page-8-0) [2022\)](#page-8-0) to align itself with human preference and generates fluent responses for various user queries. This universal paradigm enables ChatGPT to achieve remarkable performance on content generation, e.g., writing essay and code. ChatGPT has been widely applied in a variety of natural language processing (NLP) tasks with zero-shot learning [\(Gao et al.,](#page-8-1) [2023;](#page-8-1) [Zhong et al.,](#page-9-0) [2023;](#page-9-0) [Wang](#page-9-1)

Original text: *"Looks nice and the surface is smooth, but certain apps take seconds to respond"* (a) A product review

Figure 1: An example of the aspect-category-opinionsentiment quadruple extraction

[et al.,](#page-9-1) [2023;](#page-9-1) [Wei et al.,](#page-9-2) [2023;](#page-9-2) [Yuan et al.,](#page-9-3) [2023\)](#page-9-3). These works have also reported that the performance can be significantly improved based on fewshot prompting by providing a few examples for ChatGPT to conduct in-context learning [\(Brown](#page-7-0) [et al.,](#page-7-0) [2020\)](#page-7-0).

The promising performance of ChatGPT has sparked our curiosity: how does ChatGPT perform on one of the most complicated tasks in aspect-based sentiment analysis, i.e., extracting aspect-category-opinion-sentiment quadruples from texts [\(Cai et al.,](#page-7-1) [2021\)](#page-7-1)? For example, Figure [1a](#page-0-1) shows a product review *"Looks nice and the surface is smooth, but certain apps take seconds to respond"* [\(Cai et al.,](#page-7-1) [2021\)](#page-7-1), in which "surface" is an aspect term and classified into the "Design" category, "smooth" is the opinion term toward this aspect with the "Positive" sentiment. The four elements constitute an quadruple "surface-Design-smooth-Positive", as shown in Figure [1b.](#page-0-2) Obviously, there are two more quadruples: "null-Design-nice-Positive" and "apps-Software-null^{[2](#page-0-3)}-Negative", where "null" stands for an implicit aspect or opinion term that does not appear in the

^{*}[Equal contribution.](#page-9-1)

[†][Corresponding author.](#page-9-1)

¹[We do not include GPT-4 in this study due to its expensive](#page-9-1) [API, but ChatGPT still offers valuable insights.](#page-9-1)

²We consider "null" as the opinion term rather than "takes" seconds to respond". The reason is that "takes seconds to respond" does not explicitly express a sentiment polarity and we must infer the sentiment polarity from the whole review.

given text. Note that neither category nor sentiment can be "null".

To address the curiosity, this paper aims to investigate the effectiveness of ChatGPT in extracting aspect-category-opinion-sentiment quadruples with few-shot learning. First, we design a dedicated prompt template for this quadruple extraction task with five important parts including *instruction*, *context*, *output format*, *input data* and *examples*. Second, we propose a method to choose a few appropriate examples in the few-shot learning; our method applies the k-nearest neighbors (KNN) algorithm to discover few-shot examples from training data for a given test example. Finally, we conduct extensive experiments on four public datasets [\(Cai](#page-7-1) [et al.,](#page-7-1) [2021;](#page-7-1) [Zhang et al.,](#page-9-4) [2021a\)](#page-9-4) to evaluate the effectiveness of ChatGPT with our prompt template and compare it with the state-of-the-art quadruple extraction models to gain a comprehensive understanding of the capability boundaries of ChatGPT in this task.

The contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows:

- We conduct a study on prompt engineering and develop a specialized prompt template tailored to the complex task of aspect-categoryopinion-sentiment quadruple extraction. Our prompt template empowers ChatGPT to comprehend and be competent to this quadruple extraction task.
- We propose a method to select few-shot examples for the in-context learning of Chat-GPT. This method enables ChatGPT to accomplish competitive effectiveness against existing quadruple extraction models.
- We highlight important findings on the capability boundaries of ChatGPT in this task from our extensive experiments. These findings provide insights into the strengths and weaknesses of ChatGPT in the quadruple extraction. These insights can be used to guide future research and inform the design of more effective algorithms for extracting aspectcategory-opinion-sentiment quadruples.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. We present some related work in Section [2.](#page-1-0) Section [3](#page-2-0) investigates in-context learning with a prompt template and few-shot examples, followed by the experimental evaluation in Section [4.](#page-3-0) Finally, we conclude this paper in Section [5.](#page-7-2)

2 Related Work

This section reviews aspect-based sentiment analysis (ABSA) and prompt engineering.

Aspect-based sentiment analysis. There are mainly six types of ABSA tasks. (1) The aspectopinion pair extraction is one of the most common ABSA tasks that simultaneously mines aspect terms, opinion terms, and their correspondence by applying a sequence labeling model with a BIO-based schema [\(Chen et al.,](#page-8-2) [2020\)](#page-8-2) or a spanbased scheme [\(Zhao et al.,](#page-9-5) [2020;](#page-9-5) [Gao et al.,](#page-8-3) [2021;](#page-8-3) [Chakraborty et al.,](#page-7-3) [2022\)](#page-7-3). (2) The aspect-sentiment pair extraction produces a list of aspect terms and their corresponding sentiments by employing two sequence labeling models to respectively predict aspects and sentiments [\(He et al.,](#page-8-4) [2019;](#page-8-4) [Chen](#page-8-5) [and Qian,](#page-8-5) [2020\)](#page-8-5) or integrating the two sequence labeling models into a unified model [\(Li et al.,](#page-8-6) [2019\)](#page-8-6). (3) The category-sentiment pair extraction aims to classify aspect categories instead of extracting aspect terms and predict their corresponding sentiments based on an attentive LSTM [\(Ma](#page-8-7) [et al.,](#page-8-7) [2018\)](#page-8-7), constrained attention networks [\(Hu](#page-8-8) [et al.,](#page-8-8) [2019\)](#page-8-8), hierarchical graph convolutional networks [\(Cai et al.,](#page-7-4) [2020\)](#page-7-4) or the BERT model [\(Dai](#page-8-9) [et al.,](#page-8-9) [2020\)](#page-8-9). (4) The aspect-opinion-sentiment triplet extraction combines aspect-opinion pair extraction with aspect-sentiment pair extraction via adopting a pipeline solution [\(Peng et al.,](#page-8-10) [2020;](#page-8-10) [Mao](#page-8-11) [et al.,](#page-8-11) [2021;](#page-8-11) [Xu et al.,](#page-9-6) [2021;](#page-9-6) [Chen et al.,](#page-8-12) [2022\)](#page-8-12), designing a new tagging scheme for extracting aspects, opinions and sentiments simultaneously in one step [\(Wu et al.,](#page-9-7) [2020;](#page-9-7) [Xu et al.,](#page-9-8) [2020\)](#page-9-8), or utilizing a pre-trained sequence-to-sequence model [\(Yan](#page-9-9) [et al.,](#page-9-9) [2021\)](#page-9-9). (5) The aspect-category-sentiment triplet extraction integrates aspect-sentiment pair extraction with category-sentiment pair extraction by encoding every category-sentiment pair into the BERT model along with the origin sentence for extracting the aspect-category-sentiment triplets [\(Wan et al.,](#page-9-10) [2020\)](#page-9-10) or developing a unified generative framework based on a pre-trained sequence-to-sequence model [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-9-11) [2021b\)](#page-9-11). (6) The aspect-category-opinion-sentiment quadruple extraction is one of the most complex ABSA tasks. Most studies apply a sequence-to-sequence model to generate a list of quadruples [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-9-4) [2021a;](#page-9-4) [Bao et al.,](#page-7-5) [2022;](#page-7-5) [Mao et al.,](#page-8-13) [2022\)](#page-8-13). Further, recent studies pay attention on extracting implicit aspects [\(Cai et al.,](#page-7-4) [2020;](#page-7-4) [Wan et al.,](#page-9-10) [2020;](#page-9-10) [Zhang et al.,](#page-9-11) [2021b,](#page-9-11)[a;](#page-9-4) [Mao et al.,](#page-8-13) [2022\)](#page-8-13), or implicit

opinions [\(Setiowati et al.,](#page-9-12) [2022\)](#page-9-12). More comprehensively, the study [\(Cai et al.,](#page-7-1) [2021\)](#page-7-1) deals with implicit aspects and opinions simultaneously.

Prompt engineering. Prompt engineering is the process of designing high quality prompts of a task and offers a natural interface for users to interact with LLMs such as encoder-only BERT [\(Devlin](#page-8-14) [et al.,](#page-8-14) [2019\)](#page-8-14), decoder-only GPT-3 [\(Brown et al.,](#page-7-0) [2020\)](#page-7-0), and encoder-decoder T5 [\(Raffel et al.,](#page-9-13) [2020\)](#page-9-13). As a typical decoder-only LLM, the recently released ChatGPT has attracted great attention, due to its impressive ability to generate fluent responses for a variety of NLP tasks with a proper prompt, including translation [\(Gao et al.,](#page-8-1) [2023\)](#page-8-1), question answering [\(Zhong et al.,](#page-9-0) [2023\)](#page-9-0), sentiment analysis [\(Wang et al.,](#page-9-1) [2023\)](#page-9-1), and information extraction [\(Wei et al.,](#page-9-2) [2023;](#page-9-2) [Yuan et al.,](#page-9-3) [2023\)](#page-9-3). Chat-GPT also shows an ability known as in-context learning [\(Brown et al.,](#page-7-0) [2020\)](#page-7-0) by providing a few examples along with the prompt for improving its performance. Meanwhile, by fine-tuning the LLM T5 with instructional prompts, some works [\(Gao](#page-8-15) [et al.,](#page-8-15) [2022;](#page-8-15) [Wang et al.,](#page-9-14) [2022;](#page-9-14) [Varia et al.,](#page-9-15) [2022\)](#page-9-15) develop a unified generative framework for a variety of ABSA tasks including quadruple extraction and achieve remarkable effectiveness. In this work, we concentrate on exploring the ability of ChatGPT in quadruple extraction, which is important but has been given little attention.

3 Methodology

This section first introduces a dedicated prompt template for the aspect-category-opinion-sentiment quadruple extraction in Section [3.1](#page-2-1) and then presents a method to discover few-shot examples from training data that are filled in the prompt template for in-context learning in Section [3.2.](#page-3-1)

3.1 Prompt Template for Quadruple Extraction

It is undeniable that ChatGPT has impressive capabilities in a wide range of zero-shot and fewshot tasks; however, its task-specific performance is heavily reliant on the quality of prompts used to guide it [\(Zhou et al.,](#page-9-16) [2023\)](#page-9-16). To this end, a dedicated prompt template is designed to complete this quadruple extraction that is one of the most complicated tasks in aspect-based sentiment analysis. First, we determine the important parts. In general, a prompt includes an instruction and input data. The quadruple extraction is a new and

complex task, and contains strong background and constraints. Therefore, context, output format and few-shot examples are required. Then, we follow the flow of natural language to order these five important parts: *instruction*, *context*, *output format*, *input data* and *examples*, as depicted in Figure [2.](#page-3-2)

Instruction. The instruction is often a command that steers ChatGPT to complete a specific task. Our instruction is straightforward, i.e., *"Extract aspect-category-opinion-sentiment quadruples from input data"*.

Context. The context provides additional information, e.g., background and constraints, that helps ChatGPT to better understand a specific task and generate responses. In our case, the context is *"an aspect or opinion must be a term existing in input data or null if non-existing; the category is one in the predefined list <placeholder>; the sentiment is positive, negative or neutral; do not ask me for more information, I am unable to provide it, just try your best to finish the task, and you can learn from the following examples*", in which <placeholder> will be filled in a list of category names when the prompt template is instantiated with a concrete dataset.

Output format. In this extraction task, each outputted quadruple contains four elements with the format *"(aspect, category, sentiment, opinion)"*. The output format is important for a complicated task to guide ChatGPT to generate useful responses. To reduce the length of the prompt, we adopt the concise structure instead of a JSON-like structure.

Input data. This is the exact input or question that we are seeking a response to. In our experiments, we separately consider each record in test data as the input to obtain its outputted quadruples.

Examples. As we know, in the zero-shot setting ChatGPT still falls short on more complex tasks, e.g., the aspect-category-opinion-sentiment quadruple extraction. Thus, we offer a few-shot learning approach by providing examples from training data that enable ChatGPT to learn in-context, resulting in improved performance. Following is an example:

"Input: Looks nice and the surface is smooth, but certain apps take seconds to respond.

Output: [(surface, Design, smooth, Positive), (null, Design, nice, Positive), (apps, Software, null, Negative)]."

Finally, for each part, we start with simple content and iteratively refine it for better results. We

Instruction: *extract aspect-category-opinionsentiment quadruples from input data*

Context: *an aspect or opinion must be a term existing in input data or null if non-existing; the category is one in the predefined list <placeholder>; the sentiment is positive, negative or neutral; do not ask me for more information, I am unable to provide it, and just try your best to finish the task. You can learn from the following examples.*

Output format: *(aspect, category, opinion, sentiment)*

Input: *Looks nice and the surface is smooth, but certain apps take seconds to respond.*

Output: *[(surface, Design, smooth, Positive), (null, Design, nice, Positive), (apps, Software, null, Negative)]*

Input: <this block for examples> Output: Input: *<a record from test data>*

Output:

(a) The prompt template

Instruction: extract aspect-category-opinionsentiment quadruples from input data Context: an aspect or opinion must be a term existing in input data or null if non-existing; the category is one in the predefined list: ['restaurant general', 'service general', 'food quality', 'food style_options', 'drinks style_options', 'drinks prices', 'restaurant prices', 'ambience general', 'restaurant miscellaneous', 'food prices', 'location general', 'drinks quality']; the sentiment is positive, negative or neutral; do not ask me for more information, I am unable to provide it, and just try your best to finish the task. You can learn from the following examples. Output format: (aspect, category, opinion, sentiment) Input: it was really good pizza . Output: [(pizza, food quality, good, positive)] Input: the fish was really , really fresh . Output: [(fish, food quality, fresh, positive)] Input: great sushi experience . Output: [(sushi, food quality, great, positive)] Input: serves really good sushi . Output:

(b) A prompt example generated from the template

Figure 2: The prompt design for extracting aspectcategory-opinion-sentiment quadruples from input data

find that the few-shot examples have a significant effect on the results. Therefore, we investigate different methods to choose few-shot examples, as presented in Section [3.2.](#page-3-1)

3.2 Few-Shot Example Discovery for In-Context Learning

In the few-shot setting, it is required to provide some examples for ChatGPT to do in-context learning. Because the prompt message for ChatGPT is limited in length, we cannot inject all examples in training data at a time. One question is how to select a few appropriate examples from training data for a given test example? The recent work [\(Min](#page-8-16) [et al.,](#page-8-16) [2022\)](#page-8-16) shows that "ground truth demonstrations are in fact not required—randomly replacing labels in the demonstrations barely hurts performance on a range of tasks." In the experiments, our observations are consistent. Therefore, this study does not consider the balance of labels, e.g., sentiment polarity. Instead, we utilize the KNN algorithm to identify few-shot examples. The KNN algorithm is a non-parametric method that works by finding a predetermined number of training samples closest in distance to a new point. For each test sample, the top- k closest few-shot samples are retrieved and then used to fill in the prompt template for in-context learning.

Specifically, we explore two feature extraction methods to compute the cosine similarity between a given test example and examples from the training data. The two methods differ only in the vector representation. The first method involves extracting a term frequency inverse document frequency (TF-IDF) feature vector from an example. Without loss of generality, the feature vector of example x is denoted as \mathbf{v} =TF-IDF(x), in which each element is the product of term-frequency and inverse document frequency of a word in the vocabulary. The second method involves obtaining the embedding vector from pre-trained language models, e.g., BERT in our experiments, denoted as \mathbf{v} =BERT(x), where each element represents a hidden feature. Further, the KNN-based selection method on fewshot examples is shown in Algorithm [1.](#page-4-0)

4 Experiments

We introduce the evaluation setup in Section [4.1](#page-4-1) and result analysis in Section [4.2.](#page-5-0)

Algorithm 1 KNN-based selection method

Require: hyperparameter k , training example set $D = \{x_i\}$, and testing example x

- **Ensure:** top- k closest examples
	- 1: $\mathbf{v} = \text{TF-IDF}(x)$ (or $\mathbf{v} = \text{BERT}(x)$)
	- 2: for each $x_i \in D$ do
	- 3: $\mathbf{v}_i = \text{TF-IDF}(x_i)$ (or $\mathbf{v}_i = \text{BERT}(x_i)$)
	- 4: $s_i = \cos(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_i)$
	- 5: end for
- 6: **return** x_i with the largest k similarities s_i .

4.1 Experimental Setup

4.1.1 Datasets

We collect four public available datasets to compare ChatGPT with specialized methods on the task of aspect-category-opinion-sentiment quadruple extraction. The work [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-9-4) [2021a\)](#page-9-4) releases two datasets, namely *Rest15* and *Rest16*, that include implicit aspects but no implicit opinions and are constructed based on the SemEval Shared Challenges [\(Pontiki et al.,](#page-9-17) [2015,](#page-9-17) [2016\)](#page-8-17). The study [\(Cai](#page-7-1) [et al.,](#page-7-1) [2021\)](#page-7-1) provide the other two datasets, namely *Restaurant-ACOS* and *Laptop-ACOS* with both implicit aspects and opinions. We adopt exactly the same splits on the four datasets for training, validation and testing as the references [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-9-4) [2021a;](#page-9-4) [Cai et al.,](#page-7-1) [2021\)](#page-7-1).

4.1.2 Compared methods

We consider the methods evaluated in the references [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-9-4) [2021a;](#page-9-4) [Cai et al.,](#page-7-1) [2021\)](#page-7-1) as the baselines to compare them with ChatGPT.

- HGCN-BERT-Linear: It is a pipeline model consisting of a hierarchical graph convolutional network (HGCN) [\(Cai et al.,](#page-7-4) [2020\)](#page-7-4) for jointly detecting the aspect category and sentiment polarity, followed by a BERT-based model [\(Devlin et al.,](#page-8-14) [2019\)](#page-8-14) with a linear layer on top for extracting the corresponding aspect and opinion term.
- HGCN-BERT-TFM: It replaces the linear layer of HGCN-BERT-Linear with a transformer block.
- TASO-BERT-Linear: It extends the targetaspect-sentiment model [\(Wan et al.,](#page-9-10) [2020\)](#page-9-10) with a linear layer on top to extract both aspect and opinion terms simultaneously and constructs a unified model to predict quadruples.
- TASO-BERT-CRF: It replaces the linear layer of TASO-BERT-Linear with a conditional random field layer.
- GAS: It adapts the generative aspect-based sentiment model [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-9-11) [2021b\)](#page-9-11) to directly treat the sentiment quadruples sequence as the target for learning the generation model.
- PARAPHRASE: It is a novel modeling paradigm to cast the quadruple extraction task to a paraphrase generation process that jointly detects all four elements, i.e., the aspect, category, opinion and sentiment [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-9-4) [2021a\)](#page-9-4).
- DP-ACOS: It is one of the representative rulebased methods for aspect-opinion-sentiment triple extraction, and it has been adapted for the quadruple extraction task by first extracting all the aspect-opinion-sentiment triples, followed by assigning the aspect category for each extracted triple [\(Cai et al.,](#page-7-1) [2021\)](#page-7-1).
- JET-ACOS: It is an end-to-end framework for aspect-opinion-sentiment triple extraction [\(Xu](#page-9-8) [et al.,](#page-9-8) [2020\)](#page-9-8), and it has been adapted for the quadruple extraction task, similar to DP-ACOS.
- TAS-ACOS: It adapts the input transformation strategy of the target-aspect-sentiment model [\(Wan et al.,](#page-9-10) [2020\)](#page-9-10) to perform categorysentiment conditional aspect-opinion coextraction, following by filtering out the invalid aspect-opinion pairs to form the final quadruples.
- EC-ACOS: It first performs aspect-opinion co-extraction, and then predicts the sentiment polarity of the extracted aspect-opinion pair candidates conditioned on each category [\(Cai](#page-7-1) [et al.,](#page-7-1) [2021\)](#page-7-1).

4.1.3 Evaluation metrics

In line with the two references [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-9-4) [2021a;](#page-9-4) [Cai et al.,](#page-7-1) [2021\)](#page-7-1), the Precision, Recall, and F1 scores are adopted as the main evaluation metrics. Moreover, we view a quadruple as correct if and only if the four elements as well as their combination are exactly the same as those in the ground-truth quadruples. Note that we report the results of compared methods from the original studies [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-9-4) [2021a;](#page-9-4) [Cai et al.,](#page-7-1) [2021\)](#page-7-1).

Methods	Rest15			Rest16		
	Precision	Recall	F1	Precision	Recall	F1
HGCN-BERT-Linear	0.2443	0.2025	0.2215	0.2536	0.2403	0.2468
HGCN-BERT-TFM	0.2555	0.2201	0.2365	0.2740	0.2641	0.2690
TASO-BERT-Linear	0.4186	0.2650	0.3246	0.4973	0.4070	0.4477
TASO-BERT-CRF	0.4424	0.2866	0.3478	0.4865	0.3968	0.4371
GAS	0.4531	0.4670	0.4598	0.5454	0.5762	0.5604
PARAPHRASE	0.4616	0.4772	0.4693	0.5663	0.5930	0.5793
ChatGPT	0.2966	0.3786	0.3326	0.3609	0.4693	0.4081

Table 1: The performance comparison on the two datasets with implicit aspects but without implicit opinions

Methods	Restaurant-ACOS			Laptop-ACOS			
	Precision	Recall	F1	Precision	Recall	F ₁	
DP-ACOS	0.3467	0.1508	0.2104	0.1304	0.0057	0.0800	
JET-ACOS	0.5981	0.2894	0.3901	0.4452	0.1625	0.2381	
TAS-ACOS	0.2629	0.4629	0.3353	0.4715	0.1922	0.2731	
EC-ACOS	0.3854	0.5296	0.4461	0.4556	0.2948	0.3580	
ChatGPT	0.3839	0.4640	0.4202	0.2172	0.2765	0.2433	

Table 2: The performance comparison on the two datasets with implicit aspects and opinions

Figure 3: Effect of few-shot examples

4.1.4 Settings

To evaluate ChatGPT on the quadruple extraction task, we call API with a prompt message to the gpt-3.5-turbo, the most capable and cost-effective GPT-[3](#page-5-1).5 model³. The temperature of API is set to 0 for making the outputs mostly deterministic and the other arguments are set by default. Unless otherwise specified, we apply the KNN algorithm with TF-IDF features to find the top-20 nearest examples from training data for a given test example in Section [3.2,](#page-3-1) and these few-shot examples are filled in the prompt template of Figure [2a.](#page-3-3)

4.2 Result Analysis

We compare ChatGPT with baselines in Section [4.2.1,](#page-5-2) investigate the effect of few-shot examples and selection methods in Sections [4.2.2](#page-6-0) and [4.2.3,](#page-6-1) and conduct the relaxed study in Section [4.2.4.](#page-6-2)

4.2.1 Overall comparison

Table [1](#page-5-3) compares the performance of ChatGPT with those of the other methods reported in the work [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-9-4) [2021a\)](#page-9-4) on the two datasets with implicit aspects but without implicit opinions. We have the following three findings: (1) ChatGPT is much better than the pipeline models, including HGCN-BERT-Linear and HGCN-BERT-TFM, to some extent, which demonstrates the in-context learning ability of ChatGPT in quadruple extraction. (2) According to the F1 score, ChatGPT is competitive with the TASO-based models, i.e., TASO-BERT-Linear and TASO-BERT-CRF; Chat-GPT concentrates on recalling more quadruples, while the latter two focus on discovering more precise quadruples. (3) ChatGPT is inferior to both GAS and PARAPHRASE, which are sequence-tosequence T5 models, because ChatGPT just conducts in-context learning with few-shot examples but without updating model parameter, while the latter two apply the fine-tuning process on all the training data to optimize model parameters.

Table [2](#page-5-4) contrasts the performance of ChatGPT

³ https://platform.openai.com/docs/models/gpt-3-5

Figure 4: Effect of selection methods on few-shot examples

with the other methods reported in the work [\(Cai](#page-7-1) [et al.,](#page-7-1) [2021\)](#page-7-1) on the two datasets with implicit aspects and opinions. It is surprising that ChatGPT is competitive with the best method of EC-ACOS and much better than the other three baselines on the *Restaurant-ACOS* dataset. The underlying reason is that ChatGPT is a generative model and has the intrinsic ability to deal with implicit aspects and opinions by representing them as a special token "null". Nonetheless, ChatGPT has a large gap in performance compared to EC-ACOS on the *Laptop-ACOS* dataset because this dataset contains a much larger number of aspect categories than the former dataset, making it harder for ChatGPT to predict the correct one.

4.2.2 Effect of few-shot examples

Due to similar results, from now on, we will only report findings for the last two datasets with implicit aspects and opinions in the restaurant and laptop domains, respectively. Figure [3](#page-5-5) illustrates the effect of different numbers of few-shot examples on the performance of ChatGPT with in-context learning. As the number of few-shot examples increases from 5 to a certain value, i.e., 20 on the *Restaurant-ACOS* dataset and 30 on the *Laptop-ACOS* dataset, the performance gradually improves because these examples enable ChatGPT to conduct in-context learning; however, as the number of examples becomes larger, the performance unexpectedly fluctuates. Our explanation for this is that when the number of examples gets larger, the distance between the few-shot examples and the given test example becomes too far, which may introduce unrelated examples and result in unstable performance.

Figure 5: Effect of intersection over union (IOU) of aspect and opinion terms

4.2.3 Effect of selection methods

Figure [4](#page-6-3) shows the effectiveness of ChatGPT with the effect of selection methods on few-shot examples. The selection method with TF-IDF achieves the best result because it can find more literally relevant examples than the other two selection methods. The random selection method is superior to the BERT-based selection method on the *Restaurant-ACOS*, but the opposite is true on the *Laptop-ACOS* dataset. The performance of a selection method is affected by two important factors: the relatedness of selected examples and inductive bias of the selection method. The *Restaurant-ACOS* dataset has only 13 distinct categories. Even the random selection method can find related examples; moreover, it has weak inductive bias. Although the BERT-based selection method may find slightly more related examples, its strong inductive bias may be inconsistent with that of ChatGPT. As a result, in this case, the random selection method outperforms the BERT-based selection method. In contrast, the *Laptop-ACOS* dataset has 121 nuanced categories, i.e., an order of magnitude larger than the *Restaurant-ACOS* dataset. It is much harder for the random selection method to discover related examples, whereas the BERT-based selection method's ability to select more related examples compensates for its stronger inductive bias. Subsequently, in this case, the BERT-based selection method shows better performance than the random selection method.

4.2.4 Relaxed study

From our experiments, we observe many cases, in which some function words cause inconsistency between the ground truth and the terms extracted by ChatGPT. For example, ChatGPT generates "the

surface" and "very dim", but the ground truth gives "surface" and "dim". Thus, this motivates us to conduct a more relaxed study. We believe these inconsistent results can still indicate meaningful aspect/opinion terms. Here we calculate the intersection over union (IOU) of words between a predicted aspect term (or opinion term) and a ground-truth aspect term (or opinion term). We relax the condition of considering a predicted quadruple as correct from an IOU of 1 to a specified threshold, while maintaining the same other requirements. Figure [5](#page-6-4) depicts the effectiveness of ChatGPT with respect to the change of IOU thresholds. As the IOU threshold decreases, as expected, the three metrics improve. However, the improvement is much larger at the 0.5 point than at the other points on both datasets. The reason is that the aspect or opinion term often contains one or two words, and there are many cases with only one common word out of two words. When the IOU threshold is lowered to 0.1, ChatGPT performs significantly better. It is worth emphasizing that this relaxation is minor, because the corresponding category, sentiment, and their combination are exactly the same.

5 Conclusion

In this work, we develop a specialized prompt template tailored to aspect-category-opinion-sentiment quadruple extraction and empirically investigate the language understanding ability of ChatGPT on this complex quadruple extraction task. Based on extensive quantitative studies, we observe four important findings: (1) ChatGPT is competitive with some pipeline solutions but inferior to sequence-tosequence models with fine-tuning. (2) Few-shot examples can help ChatGPT improve its performance based on in-context learning, whereas too much examples may degrade its effectiveness. (3) Moreover, the selection method on few-shot examples has a significant effect on the performance of Chat-GPT. (4) Finally, ChatGPT can be enhanced by relaxing the requirements on the condition of considering a predicted quadruple as correct. These findings contribute to a better understanding on the capabilities and limitations of ChatGPT in this task of aspect-category-opinion-sentiment quadruple extraction.

Limitations

There are mainly two potential limitations. On the one hand, we manually design several prompt

templates based on the prompt engineering guide from OpenAI, rather than extensively search a huge amount of prompt templates to find the best one; the performance of ChatGPT on this quadruple extraction task may be improved by devising a better prompt template. On the other hand, ChatGPT is only evaluated on the quadruple extraction task and its performance on other ABSA tasks is unknown in comparison to current state-of-the-art baselines. Our future work includes studying a dedicated method to automatically generate prompt templates for aspect-category-opinion-sentiment quadruple extraction and evaluating the ability of ChatGPT in various ABSA tasks.

References

- Xiaoyi Bao, Wang Zhongqing, Xiaotong Jiang, Rong Xiao, and Shoushan Li. 2022. Aspect-based sentiment analysis with opinion tree generation. In *Proceedings of the 31st International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 4044–4050, Vienna, Austria.
- Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Sandhini Agarwal, Ariel Herbert-Voss, Gretchen Krueger, Tom Henighan, Rewon Child, Aditya Ramesh, Daniel Ziegler, Jeffrey Wu, Clemens Winter, Chris Hesse, Mark Chen, Eric Sigler, Mateusz Litwin, Scott Gray, Benjamin Chess, Jack Clark, Christopher Berner, Sam McCandlish, Alec Radford, Ilya Sutskever, and Dario Amodei. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. In H. Larochelle, M. Ranzato, R. Hadsell, M.F. Balcan, and H. Lin, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 1877–1901. Curran Associates, Inc., Vancouver, Canada.
- Hongjie Cai, Yaofeng Tu, Xiangsheng Zhou, Jianfei Yu, and Rui Xia. 2020. Aspect-category based sentiment analysis with hierarchical graph convolutional network. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 833– 843, Barcelona, Spain.
- Hongjie Cai, Rui Xia, and Jianfei Yu. 2021. Aspectcategory-opinion-sentiment quadruple extraction with implicit aspects and opinions. In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing*, pages 340–350, Online.
- Mohna Chakraborty, Adithya Kulkarni, and Qi Li. 2022. Open-domain aspect-opinion co-mining with doublelayer pan extraction. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 66–75, Washington, DC.
- Shaowei Chen, Jie Liu, Yu Wang, Wenzheng Zhang, and Ziming Chi. 2020. Synchronous double-channel recurrent network for aspect-opinion pair extraction. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 6515– 6524, Online.
- Yuqi Chen, Keming Chen, Xian Sun, and Zequn Zhang. 2022. A span-level bidirectional network for aspect sentiment triplet extraction. In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 4300–4309, Abu Dhabi.
- Zhuang Chen and Tieyun Qian. 2020. Relation-aware collaborative learning for unified aspect-based sentiment analysis. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 3685–3694, Online.
- Zehui Dai, Cheng Peng, Huajie Chen, and Yadong Ding. 2020. A multi-task incremental learning framework with category name embedding for aspect-category sentiment analysis. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 6955–6965, Online.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *The 2019 Annual Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 4171–4186.
- Lei Gao, Yulong Wang, Tongcun Liu, Jingyu Wang, Lei Zhang, and Jianxin Liao. 2021. Question-driven span labeling model for aspect-opinion pair extraction. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 12875–12883, Online.
- Tianhao Gao, Jun Fang, Hanyu Liu, Zhiyuan Liu, Chao Liu, Pengzhang Liu, Yongjun Bao, and Weipeng Yan. 2022. LEGO-ABSA: A prompt-based task assemblable unified generative framework for multi-task aspect-based sentiment analysis. In *Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 7002–7012, Gyeongju, Korea.
- Yuan Gao, Ruili Wang, and Feng Hou. 2023. Unleashing the power of ChatGPT for translation: An empirical study. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.02182*.
- Ruidan He, Wee Sun Lee, Hwee Tou Ng, and Daniel Dahlmeier. 2019. An interactive multi-task learning network for end-to-end aspect-based sentiment analysis. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 504–515, Florence, Italy.
- Mengting Hu, Shiwan Zhao, Li Zhang, Keke Cai, Zhong Su, Renhong Cheng, and Xiaowei Shen. 2019. CAN: Constrained attention networks for multi-aspect sentiment analysis. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference*

on Natural Language Processing, pages 4601–4610, Hong Kong, China.

- Xin Li, Lidong Bing, Piji Li, and Wai Lam. 2019. A unified model for opinion target extraction and target sentiment prediction. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 6714– 6721, Honolulu, HI.
- Yukun Ma, Haiyun Peng, and Erik Cambria. 2018. Targeted aspect-based sentiment analysis via embedding commonsense knowledge into an attentive LSTM. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 5876–5883, New Orleans, LA.
- Yue Mao, Yi Shen, Jingchao Yang, Xiaoying Zhu, and Longjun Cai. 2022. Seq2path: Generating sentiment tuples as paths of a tree. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2022*, pages 2215–2225, Dublin, Ireland.
- Yue Mao, Yi Shen, Chao Yu, and Longjun Cai. 2021. A joint training dual-MRC framework for aspect based sentiment analysis. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 13543– 13551, Online.
- Sewon Min, Xinxi Lyu, Ari Holtzman, Mikel Artetxe, Mike Lewis, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2022. Rethinking the role of demonstrations: What makes in-context learning work? In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 11048–11064, Abu Dhabi.
- Long Ouyang, Jeffrey Wu, Xu Jiang, Diogo Almeida, Carroll Wainwright, Pamela Mishkin, Chong Zhang, Sandhini Agarwal, Katarina Slama, Alex Gray, John Schulman, Jacob Hilton, Fraser Kelton, Luke Miller, Maddie Simens, Amanda Askell, Peter Welinder, Paul Christiano, Jan Leike, and Ryan Lowe. 2022. Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. In Alice H. Oh, Alekh Agarwal, Danielle Belgrave, and Kyunghyun Cho, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. Curran Associates, Inc., New Orleans, LA.
- Haiyun Peng, Lu Xu, Lidong Bing, Fei Huang, Wei Lu, and Luo Si. 2020. Knowing what, how and why: A near complete solution for aspect-based sentiment analysis. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 8600–8607, New York City, NY.
- Maria Pontiki, Dimitris Galanis, Haris Papageorgiou, Ion Androutsopoulos, Suresh Manandhar, Mohammad AL-Smadi, Mahmoud Al-Ayyoub, Yanyan Zhao, Bing Qin, Orphée De Clercq, Véronique Hoste, Marianna Apidianaki, Xavier Tannier, Natalia Loukachevitch, Evgeniy Kotelnikov, Nuria Bel, Salud María Jiménez-Zafra, and Gülşen Eryiğit. 2016. SemEval-2016 task 5: Aspect based sentiment analysis. In *Proceedings of the 10th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation*, pages 19–30, San Diego, CA.
- Maria Pontiki, Dimitris Galanis, Haris Papageorgiou, Suresh Manandhar, and Ion Androutsopoulos. 2015. SemEval-2015 task 12: Aspect based sentiment analysis. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation*, pages 486–495, Denver, CO.
- Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J. Liu. 2020. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 21:1– 67.
- Yuliana Setiowati, Arif Djunaidy, and Daniel Oranova Siahaan. 2022. Aspect-based extraction of implicit opinions using opinion co-occurrence algorithm. In *Proceedings of the 5th International Seminar on Research of Information Technology and Intelligent Systems*, pages 781–786, Yogyakarta, Indonesia.
- Siddharth Varia, Shuai Wang, Kishaloy Halder, Robert Vacareanu, Miguel Ballesteros, Yassine Benajiba, Neha Anna John, Rishita Anubhai, Smaranda Muresan, and Dan Roth. 2022. Instruction tuning for fewshot aspect-based sentiment analysis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.06629*.
- Hai Wan, Yufei Yang, Jianfeng Du, Yanan Liu, Kunxun Qi, and Jeff Z. Pan. 2020. Target-apect-sentiment joint detection for aspect-based sentiment analysis. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 9122–9129, New York City, NY.
- Zengzhi Wang, Rui Xia, and Jianfei Yu. 2022. UnifiedABSA: A unified ABSA framework based on multi-task instruction tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.10986*.
- Zengzhi Wang, Qiming Xie, Zixiang Ding, Yi Feng, and Rui Xia. 2023. Is ChatGPT a good sentiment analyzer? a preliminary study. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.04339*.
- Xiang Wei, Xingyu Cui, Ning Cheng, Xiaobin Wang, Xin Zhang, Shen Huang, Pengjun Xie, Jinan Xu, Yufeng Chen, Meishan Zhang, Yong Jiang, and Wenjuan Han. 2023. Zero-shot information extraction via chatting with ChatGPT. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.10205*.
- Zhen Wu, Chengcan Ying, Fei Zhao, Zhifang Fan, Xinyu Dai, and Rui Xia. 2020. Grid tagging scheme for aspect-oriented fine-grained opinion extraction. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 2576–2585, Online.
- Lu Xu, Yew Ken Chia, and Lidong Bing. 2021. Learning span-level interactions for aspect sentiment triplet extraction. In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing*, pages 4755–4766, Online.
- Lu Xu, Hao Li, Wei Lu, and Lidong Bing. 2020. Position-aware tagging for aspect sentiment triplet extraction. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 2339–2349, Online.
- Hang Yan, Junqi Dai, Tuo Ji, Xipeng Qiu, and Zheng Zhang. 2021. A unified generative framework for aspect-based sentiment analysis. In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing*, pages 2416–2429, Online.
- Chenhan Yuan, Qianqian Xie, and Sophia Ananiadou. 2023. Zero-shot temporal relation extraction with ChatGPT. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.05454*.
- Wenxuan Zhang, Yang Deng, Xin Li, Yifei Yuan, Lidong Bing, and Wai Lam. 2021a. Aspect sentiment quad prediction as paraphrase generation. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 9209– 9219, Punta Cana, Dominican.
- Wenxuan Zhang, Xin Li, Yang Deng, Lidong Bing, and Wai Lam. 2021b. Towards generative aspect-based sentiment analysis. In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing*, pages 504– 510, Online.
- He Zhao, Longtao Huang, Rong Zhang, Quan Lu, and Hui Xue. 2020. SpanMlt: A span-based multi-task learning framework for pair-wise aspect and opinion terms extraction. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 3239–3248, Online.
- Qihuang Zhong, Liang Ding, Juhua Liu, Bo Du, and Dacheng Tao. 2023. Can ChatGPT understand too? a comparative study on ChatGPT and fine-tuned BERT. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.10198*.
- Yongchao Zhou, Andrei Ioan Muresanu, Ziwen Han, Keiran Paster, Silviu Pitis, Harris Chan, and Jimmy Ba. 2023. Large language models are human-level prompt engineers. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Learning Representations*, Kigali, Rwanda.