

A Steady Loop Current Does Not Radiate

Shengchao Alfred Li

Amateur scientist in Potomac, Maryland, USA

Email: shengchao.li@gmail.com

August 28, 2023

Abstract

According to mainstream electrodynamics, a steady loop current does not radiate. Edward, Kenyon, and Lemon took the approximated electric field formula (the magnetic one is trivial) for a moving point charge and showed that the electric field of a steady loop current could be partitioned into a static field falling with the square of distance and an integral of an exact differential (also called total differential, full differential, or perfect differential), thus no radiation was omitted. Inspired by their work, we do the same to the electric and magnetic fields without approximation.

1 Introduction

It can be derived from mainstream electrodynamics that a steady loop current does not radiate [1] [2] [3] [4]. From the classical continuous charge's point of view, this is easily inferred from the fact that both the scalar and the vector potentials are constant [3](p444).

It is also reached from the moving point charge's point of view [1] [2] [4] . The authors started from assumptions about the shape of the loop and the speed of the charges [1] (p370, assuming a circular loop and constant charge speed) [2] (problem 14.24, assuming constant charge speed) [4]

(assuming a circular loop and constant charge speed) and concluded that when the charge density became larger and larger, the radiation became smaller and smaller and approached zero.

The moving point charge's point of view of mainstream electrodynamics includes the Lienard-Wiechert potentials and the fields¹, with the remarkable property that they all involve electromagnetic retardation [1] [10] [2] [3].

To avoid the difficulties in dealing with retarded values, authors use the Taylor expansion to come up with approximated fields depending only on the current-time status of the moving point charge up to $1/c^2$ [8] [9](a republication of [8], presenting Ritz's work) or even up to $1/c^5$ [11] [12]. The former is obtainable from Darwin's Lagrangian [13](section 65) [2](section 16.2).

In [14]², Edward, Kenyon, and Lemon used the current-time approximation up to $1/c^2$ and partitioned the electric field of a steady loop current into a static field falling with the square of distance and a loop integral of an exact differential (the exact differential were obtained earlier by Darwin [7]). The latter is apparently zero thus a steady loop current does not radiate.

Their work combined the two points of view. On the one hand, continuous charge is assumed. On the other hand, the electric field formula for a moving point charge is used. The advantage is that there is no need for the argument about increasing charge density and diminishing radiation.

Inspired by their work, we start from the same combined point of view and set out to make a similar partitioning to the fields without using the Taylor expansion or approximation, and discover an expected exact differential. This task can be judged to be promising because the appearance of Eqs. (5) and (6) in section 2 has suggested an exchange of differentiation and integration.

¹Except for a few [5] [6] [7], most textbook authors refrained from naming the fields the Lienard-Wiechert fields, or naming them at all. According to O'Rahilly [8] [9](a republication of [8], p218 and p223), several pioneers worked on the fields and the force, including Lienard, Heaviside, and Schwarzschild. O'Rahilly suggested calling the force formula the Lienard-Schwarzschild force formula, and the approximation up to $1/c^2$ the Schwarzschild-Ritz approximation.

²Their challenged [15] experimental results about an electric field proportional to the square of the current of the steady loop current is irrelevant here.

2 Previous Results: Potentials, Fields, Approximations and an Exact Differential

The Lienard-Wiechert scalar potential V and vector potential \mathbf{A} of a moving point charge are [10]³(Eqs. (6-2-3) and (6-2-5)),

$$V = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r' \left(1 - \mathbf{n}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c}\right)}, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{q\mathbf{v}'}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2 r' \left(1 - \mathbf{n}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c}\right)} = \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c^2} V, \quad (2)$$

where ϵ_0 is the permittivity of the vacuum, q is the amount of charge, \mathbf{v}' is the retarded velocity of the charge, \mathbf{n}' is the unit direction of the vector pointing from the retarded charge position to the observer position, r' is the length of this vector, and c is the speed of light. In this paper we place the observer position at the origin O , thus we have $r' = |\mathbf{0} - \mathbf{r}'|$ and [10](Eq. (6-2-4)) $\mathbf{n}' = (\mathbf{0} - \mathbf{r}')/|\mathbf{0} - \mathbf{r}'| = (\mathbf{0} - \mathbf{r}')/r'$, where \mathbf{r}' is the vector pointing to the retarded charge position. We notice that there is nothing special about O and our analyses are good for any observer position.

If the charge in a steady loop current is treated as being continuous, and the fields of an infinitesimal volume of charge are treated as from a point charge, the total retarded potentials of the steady loop current are,

$$V_L = \oint \frac{dq}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r' \left(1 - \mathbf{n}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c}\right)}, \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{A}_L = \oint \frac{\mathbf{v}dq}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2 r' \left(1 - \mathbf{n}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c}\right)}, \quad (4)$$

where V_L and \mathbf{A}_L denote the scalar potential and the vector potential of the loop current as seen at O , and dq is an infinitesimal volume of charge to be integrated with.

The electric field \mathbf{E} and the magnetic field \mathbf{B} at the observer position O can be found by differentiating the Lienard-Wiechert potentials as follows

³Note that we make necessary conversions from Gaussian unites used in [10](p122).

[1] (Eqs. (14-1) and (7-40)) [10](Eqs. (3-5-4) and (3-5-5)),

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}, \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}. \quad (6)$$

Alternatively, the fields can be found in a few other ways [12] [1] [6] [16].

The fields are [1](Eqs. (20-13) and (20-14)) [10](Eqs. (6-3-9) and (6-3-11)),

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{q}{c^2 r' (1 - \mathbf{n}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c})^3} \left(-\mathbf{a}' + \mathbf{n}' (\mathbf{n}' \cdot \mathbf{a}') + \mathbf{n}' \times \left(\mathbf{a}' \times \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{q}{r'^2 (1 - \mathbf{n}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c})^3} \left(1 - \frac{v'^2}{c^2} \right) \left(\mathbf{n}' - \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c} \right), \quad (7)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{q}{c^3 r' (1 - \mathbf{n}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c})^3} \mathbf{n}' \times \left(-\mathbf{a}' + \mathbf{n}' \times \left(\mathbf{a}' \times \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{q}{c r'^2 (1 - \mathbf{n}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c})^3} \left(1 - \frac{v'^2}{c^2} \right) \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c} \times \mathbf{n}' \right), \quad (8)$$

which satisfy [1](Eq. (20-15)) $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{n}'/c \times \mathbf{E}$.

The current-time approximations up to $1/c^2$ are [9](Eq. (7.15a)) [14](Eq. (4))

$$\mathbf{E} \approx \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}}{r^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{v^2 \mathbf{n}}{r^2 c^2} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2 \mathbf{n}}{r^2 c^2} - \frac{\mathbf{a}}{2rc^2} - \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{a}) \mathbf{n}}{2rc^2} \right), \quad (9)$$

$$\mathbf{B} \approx \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{n}}{r^2 c^2}, \quad (10)$$

where \mathbf{n} , \mathbf{v} and r are current-time values, comparing to their retarded value counterparts \mathbf{n}' , \mathbf{v}' and r' . The approximation expression of \mathbf{B} is simple because \mathbf{B} carries $1/c^2$ by itself thus higher-order terms do not survive the approximation.

Edward, Kenyon, and Lemon [14] partitioned terms inside the parentheses of Eq. (9) into a static term falling with $1/r^2$ and a term of exact differential. The latter is as follows [14](Eq. (7)⁴) [7](presenting Darwin's work, Eq.(15) and (16)),

$$-\frac{1}{2c^2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{n}}{r} + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{r} \right) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{v^2 \mathbf{n}}{r^2 c^2} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2 \mathbf{n}}{r^2 c^2} - \frac{\mathbf{a}}{2rc^2} - \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{a}) \mathbf{n}}{2rc^2} \right), \quad (11)$$

⁴Eq. (7) in [14] misses a square symbol.

and the total electric field incurred by the steady loop current is $\mathbf{E}_L \approx \oint (\dots) dq$ where (\dots) represents the right side of Eq. (9) with q replaced with 1, and dq is the charge in an infinitesimal segment of the loop current. When the current is steady, we know that $dq = Idt$ where dt is the time interval that the charge travels the infinitesimal segment. Note that here it is assumed that all current bearing charge in the infinitesimal segment travels with the same velocity⁵ \mathbf{v} . Thus the total electric field \mathbf{E}_L is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_L &\approx \oint (\dots) dq = I \oint (\dots) dt \\ &= \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \oint \frac{\mathbf{n}}{r^2} dt + \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \oint d \left(-\frac{1}{2c^2} \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{n}}{r} - \frac{1}{2c^2} \frac{\mathbf{v}}{r} \right) \\ &\hspace{15em} \text{(use Eqs. (9) and (11))} \\ &= \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \oint \frac{\mathbf{n}}{r^2} dt = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \oint \frac{\mathbf{n}}{r^2} dq. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

This is a loop integral of the electric field by the current-time Coulomb Law.

We also have

$$\mathbf{B}_L \approx \oint \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \frac{\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{n}}{r^2} dq = \oint \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \frac{\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{n}}{r^2} dt. \tag{13}$$

This is a loop integral of the magnetic field by the current-time Biot-Savart Law.

3 The Infinitesimals in a Steady Loop Current

Following Edward, Kenyon and Lemon[14], we take the continuous charge's point of view. To limit the scope of this paper, we shall focus on the moving charge consisting the current and leave out static charge such as that in a neutral wire. We make the standard assumption that charge passing by a given position on the loop has a given velocity that does not change over time.

In order to trace the charge in the steady loop current, imagine that we stamp the charge in the loop current for the purpose of identification.

⁵Microscopically, for a current in a conductive wire, it corresponds to the averaged velocity of a large number of point charges passing by that position at the same time.




Figure 1: An imagined procedure to stamp charge in a steady loop current.

Imagine that a stamping machine sits at a checkpoint C , as depicted⁶ on the left panel of Figure 1.

Assume the charge takes time T to make one round trip along the loop. Thus IT is the total amount of charge that circulates in the loop and makes the current.

Suppose that as seen at time $\tau - T$ at O , the stamping machine at C stamps the charge just crossing C at the retarded time (past time) $\tau - T - r'/c$ with a time stamp $s = T$. After that, the machine stamps charge crossing C with decreasing time stamps at a steady pace. For example, if the charge crosses C after a time interval x later than the earliest stamping, it is stamped with a time stamp $s = T - x$. This procedure continues (depicted on the middle panel in Figure 1) until the earliest stamped charge crosses C again at time $\tau - r'/c$ at C (seen at time τ at O). At that time the procedure stops and we know that all charge consisting the current is stamped, with time stamps between 0 and T , as depicted on the right panel in Figure 1, which we shall focus on.

We reproduce the right panel of Figure 1 in Figure 2. We pay special attention to the following set of stamps, $s_N = T$, $s_{N-1} = ((N-1)/N)T$,

⁶Note that the loop is not necessarily in a plane.

$\dots, s_1 = (1/N)T$, and $s_0 = 0$, where N is an arbitrarily chosen large number (we notice that the first stamped charge has double stamps $s = T$ and $s = 0$). At time τ , as seen by O , charge with these set of stamps is at positions⁷ $\mathbf{r}'_N, \mathbf{r}'_{N-1}, \dots, \mathbf{r}'_1$, and \mathbf{r}'_0 (note that $\mathbf{r}'_0 = \mathbf{r}'_N$), respectively. We denote the distance from O $r'_N, r'_{N-1}, \dots, r'_1$, and r'_0 , respectively.

When N is large and the loop is smooth, we approximate r', \mathbf{v}' and \mathbf{n}' of charge between stamp s_k at $\tau - r'_k/c$ and stamp s_{k+1} at $\tau - r'_{k+1}/c$, which contributes to fields at O at time τ , with r', \mathbf{v}' and \mathbf{n}' of stamp s_{k+1} .

An important fact is that according to our stamping procedure, at any given time as seen at position O , the amount of charge between the succeeding two such specific stamps is exactly IT/N . Remarkably, the amount of charge contributing to fields at O at time τ between stamp s_k at retarded time $\tau - r'_k/c$ and stamp s_{k+1} at retarded time $\tau - r'_{k+1}/c$ is IT/N . This is also guaranteed by the fact that no charge moves faster than light speed c , contributing less than or more than once.

Let $\Delta q = IT/N = I\Delta s$ where $\Delta s = T/N$. If we let $N \rightarrow \infty$ thus $\Delta s \rightarrow 0$, we write Δs as ds and write down the integrals,

$$V_L = I \int_{s=0}^{s=T} \frac{ds}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r' \left(1 - \mathbf{n}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c}\right)} \quad (14)$$

$$\mathbf{A}_L = I \int_{s=0}^{s=T} \frac{\mathbf{v}' ds}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r' c^2 \left(1 - \mathbf{n}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c}\right)}, \quad (15)$$

where r', \mathbf{n}' and \mathbf{v}' are retarded values at time $\tau - r'_s/c$.

Let us define a new variable⁸, $s' = s - r'_s/c$. The physical meaning of s' is, if the observer sees a charge with stamp s at a position with distance r'_s away from O , the charge currently passing by that position is with stamp s' . We have,

$$\begin{aligned} ds' &= ds - dr'_s/c && \text{(from } s' = s - r'_s/c \text{ or from Figure 2)} \\ &= ds + d\mathbf{l}' \cdot \mathbf{n}'/c && \text{(sign change is due to direction of } \mathbf{n}') \\ &= ds + ds' \mathbf{v}' \cdot \mathbf{n}'/c, && \text{(} ds' \text{ equals time used to travel } d\mathbf{l}') \end{aligned}$$

⁷For convenience, if there is no confusion, we use subscript k for s_k , such as $\mathbf{r}_k = \mathbf{r}_{s_k}$.

⁸Note that the prime “'” in s' does not indicate retardation, because s and s' are not time but stamps, although they have units of time.

τ makes no difference),

$$V_L = I \oint \frac{dt}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r' \left(1 - \mathbf{n}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c}\right)} \quad (20)$$

$$\mathbf{A}_L = I \oint \frac{\mathbf{v}' dt}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r' c^2 \left(1 - \mathbf{n}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c}\right)}. \quad (21)$$

We finally reach these results through the rather involved steps. As a comparison, the current-time counterparts in Section 2 are easy because, there, the current-time values were used throughout in the approximation.

4 An Exact Differential in a Steady Loop Current

We set out to partition each of Eq. (7) and Eq. (8) into a static field falling with the square of distance and an integral of an exact differential. Though it can be done from Eq. (7) and Eq. (8) directly, it is formidable even from the first glance.

It shall be much easier if we start from Eqs. (20), (21), (5) and (6) and interchange the differentiation and the integration.

We denote the Doppler factor⁹ D'

$$D' = \frac{1}{1 - \mathbf{n}' \cdot \mathbf{v}'/c} \quad (22)$$

and get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_L &= -\nabla \oint \frac{D'}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r'} I dt - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \oint \frac{D' \mathbf{v}'}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r' c^2} I dt \quad (\text{use Eqs. (5) (20), (21)}) \\ &= \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \oint \left(-\nabla \frac{D'}{r'} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}' D'}{c^2 r'} \right) \right) dt \\ &\quad (\text{exchange differentiation and integration}) \end{aligned}$$

⁹As far as the author knows, except for Podolsky and Kunz [17], most textbook authors refrained from naming the factor $1/(1 - \mathbf{n}' \cdot \mathbf{v}'/c)$ the Doppler factor or naming it at all, including Davidson [18] who invoked acoustics when deriving the Lienard-Wiechert Potentials and Griffiths [3] who said it was “reminiscent of the Doppler effect”. Its other names include “volumetric correction” [18], “direction factor” [19], and its inverse, Jacobian (of a transformation) [20] or “shrinkage factor” [20].

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \oint \left(-\nabla \frac{D'}{r'} \Big|_{t' \text{ const}} - \nabla t' \frac{\partial D'}{\partial t' r'} - \frac{\partial t'}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}' D'}{c^2 r'} \right) \right) dt \\
&\quad \text{(similar to [10] p217 line 15 and p218 line 5)} \\
&= \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \oint \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}' - \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c}}{r'^2 \left(1 - \mathbf{n}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c} \right)} + \frac{\mathbf{n}'}{c} \frac{\partial D'}{\partial t' r'} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}' D'}{c^2 r'} \right) \right) \frac{dt}{\left(1 - \mathbf{n}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c} \right)} \quad \text{(use [10] (6-3-2), (6-3-3) and p217 line 16)} \\
&= \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \oint \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}' - \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c}}{r'^2 \left(1 - \mathbf{n}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c} \right)} + \frac{\mathbf{n}'}{c} \frac{\partial D'}{\partial t' r'} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}' D'}{c^2 r'} \right) \right) dt' \quad (23) \\
&\quad \text{(use Eq. (17))}
\end{aligned}$$

Seeking an exact differential, we make a guess with $\frac{\mathbf{n}' D'}{c r'}$, and use the symbol $\partial/\partial t'$ instead of d/dt' for the sake of Electrodynamics convention,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}' D'}{c r'} \right) &= \frac{\mathbf{n}'}{c} \frac{\partial D'}{\partial t' r'} + \frac{D'}{c r'} \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}'}{\partial t'} \quad \text{(differentiation by parts)} \\
&= \frac{\mathbf{n}'}{c} \frac{\partial D'}{\partial t' r'} + \frac{1}{r'^2 \left(1 - \mathbf{n}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c} \right)} \left(\mathbf{n}' (\mathbf{n}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c}) - \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c} \right) \\
&\quad \text{([10] p217 line 2)} \\
&= \frac{\mathbf{n}'}{c} \frac{\partial D'}{\partial t' r'} + \frac{\left(\mathbf{n}' (\mathbf{n}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c}) - \mathbf{n}' + \mathbf{n}' - \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c} \right)}{r'^2 \left(1 - \mathbf{n}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c} \right)} \\
&= \frac{\mathbf{n}'}{c} \frac{\partial D'}{\partial t' r'} - \frac{\mathbf{n}'}{r'^2} + \frac{\mathbf{n}' - \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c}}{r'^2 \left(1 - \mathbf{n}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c} \right)},
\end{aligned}$$

thus by rearrangement, we get

$$\frac{\mathbf{n}'}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \frac{D'}{r'} = -\frac{\mathbf{n}' - \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c}}{r'^2 \left(1 - \mathbf{n}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c}\right)} + \frac{\mathbf{n}'}{r'^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}'}{c} \frac{D'}{r'} \right).$$

We plug it into Eq. (23) and get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_L &= \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \oint \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}'}{r'^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}'}{c} \frac{D'}{r'} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c^2} \frac{D'}{r'} \right) \right) dt' \\ &= \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \oint \frac{\mathbf{n}'}{r'^2} dt' + \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \oint d \left(\left(\mathbf{n}' - \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c} \right) \frac{D'}{cr'} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$$= \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \oint \frac{\mathbf{n}'}{r'^2} dt'. \quad (25)$$

(loop integral of an exact differential is zero)

This is a loop integral of the electric field by the retarded Coulomb Law.

We apply a similar method to the magnetic field,

$$\mathbf{B}_L = \nabla \times \oint \left(\frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c^2} \frac{D'}{r'} \right) dt \quad (\text{use Eqs. (6) and (21)})$$

$$= \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \oint \left(\nabla \times \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c^2} \frac{D'}{r'} \right) \right) dt$$

(exchange differentiation and integration)

$$= \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \oint \left(\frac{D'}{r'} (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}') + \nabla \frac{D'}{r'} \times \mathbf{v}' \right) dt \quad (\text{differentiation by parts})$$

$$= \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \oint \frac{D'}{r'} \left(\nabla t' \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}'}{\partial t'} \right) dt + \quad (\text{chain rule})$$

$$\frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \oint \left(-\frac{\mathbf{n}'}{r'^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}'}{c} \frac{D'}{r'} \right) \right) \times \mathbf{v}' dt'$$

($\nabla \frac{D'}{r'}$ from the analysis of \mathbf{E}_L above)

$$= \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \oint -\frac{\mathbf{n}'}{c} \frac{D'}{r'} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}'}{\partial t'} dt' +$$

([10] Eq. (6-3-3), and by Eq. 17)

$$\frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \oint \left(-\frac{\mathbf{n}'}{r'^2} \times \mathbf{v}' - \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}'}{c} \frac{D'}{r'} \times \mathbf{v}' \right) + \frac{\mathbf{n}'}{c} \frac{D'}{r'} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}'}{\partial t'} \right) dt'$$

(use the expansion of $\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}'}{c} \frac{D'}{r'} \times \mathbf{v}' \right)$ with chain rule)

$$= \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \oint \left(-\frac{\mathbf{n}'}{r'^2} \times \mathbf{v}' \right) dt' - \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \oint \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}'}{c} \frac{D'}{r'} \times \mathbf{v}' \right) dt' \quad (\text{cancel out terms})$$

$$= \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \oint \mathbf{v}' \times \frac{\mathbf{n}'}{r'^2} dt' + \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \oint d \left(\mathbf{v}' \times \left(\mathbf{n}' - \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c} \right) \frac{D'}{c r'} \right) \quad (26)$$

$$= \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \oint \mathbf{v}' \times \frac{\mathbf{n}'}{r'^2} dt'. \quad (27)$$

(loop integral of an exact differential is zero)

This is a loop integral of the magnetic field by the retarded Biot-Savart Law.

5 A Comparison to the Previous Results

As a comparison, we expect Eq. (25) \approx Eq. (12) up to $1/c^2$, or

$$\frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \oint \frac{\mathbf{n}'}{r'^2} dt' = \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \oint \frac{\mathbf{n}'}{r'^2} D' dt \approx \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \oint \frac{\mathbf{n}}{r^2} dt.$$

Following Ritz's work presented by O'Rahilly [9], we write down the following approximations,

$$D' \approx 1 + \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c} + \frac{v^2 - r(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{a})}{c^2} \quad ([9] \text{ p219, line 17})$$

$$r' \approx r \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c} + \frac{v^2 + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2 - r\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{a}}{2c^2} \right) \quad ([9] \text{ p219, line 8})$$

$$\frac{1}{r'} \approx \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c} - \frac{v^2 - (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2 - r\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{a}}{2c^2} \right) \quad ([9] \text{ p219, line 10})$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c} = \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v}'(t - r'/c) \approx \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{a} \frac{r'}{c}) \quad (\text{kinetic equation})$$

$$\approx \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} - \mathbf{a} \frac{r}{c^2} \quad (\text{use approximated } r')$$

$$\mathbf{0} - \mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{0} - \mathbf{r}'(t - r'/c) \quad (\mathbf{0} \text{ is the coordinate of the origin})$$

$$\approx \mathbf{0} - \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{v} \frac{r'}{c} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a} \frac{r'^2}{c^2} \quad (\text{kinetic equation})$$

$$\approx \mathbf{0} - \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{v} \frac{r}{c} \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a} \frac{r^2}{c^2} \quad (\text{use approximated } r')$$

Using these approximations, we get (by throwing out terms with higher order than $1/c^2$),

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{r'^3} &\approx \frac{1}{r^3} \left(1 - 3 \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c} + 3 \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2}{c^2} - 3 \frac{v^2 - (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2 - r \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{a}}{2c^2} \right), \\
&\quad (\text{if } a \text{ small, } b \text{ smaller, } (1 + a + b)^3 \approx 1 + 3a + 3a^2 + 3b) \\
\frac{D'}{r'^3} &\approx \frac{1}{r^3} \left(1 - 3 \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c} + 3 \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2}{c^2} - 3 \frac{v^2 - (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2 - r \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{a}}{2c^2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c} - 3 \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2}{c^2} + \frac{v^2 - r(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{a})}{c^2} \right) \\
&\quad (\text{use approximated } D' \text{ and } 1/r'^3) \\
&= \frac{1}{r^3} \left(1 - 2 \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c} - \frac{v^2}{2c^2} + \frac{3(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2}{2c^2} + \frac{r \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{a}}{2c^2} \right), \\
\frac{\mathbf{n}'}{r'^2} D' &= \frac{\mathbf{0} - \mathbf{r}'}{r'^3} D' \quad (\text{use } \mathbf{n}' = (\mathbf{0} - \mathbf{r}')/r') \\
&\approx \frac{\mathbf{n}}{r^2} \left(1 - 2 \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c} - \frac{v^2}{2c^2} + \frac{3(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2}{2c^2} + \frac{r \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{a}}{2c^2} \right) + \\
&\quad \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c} - 2 \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c} \right) - \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{\mathbf{a}}{2c^2} \right) \\
&\quad (\text{use approximated } D'/r'^3 \text{ and } \mathbf{0} - \mathbf{r}', \text{ and } \mathbf{n} = (\mathbf{0} - \mathbf{r})/r) \\
&= \frac{\mathbf{n}}{r^2} \left(1 - 2 \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c} - \frac{v^2}{2c^2} + \frac{3(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2}{2c^2} + \frac{r \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{a}}{2c^2} \right) + \\
&\quad \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} - \frac{\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v})}{c^2} \right) - \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{\mathbf{a}}{2c^2} \right) \quad (\text{combine terms}) \\
&= \frac{\mathbf{n}}{r^2} + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2c^2} \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{n}}{r} - \frac{1}{2c^2} \frac{\mathbf{v}}{r} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\mathbf{n}}{r} \right), \quad (\text{see below}) \quad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

where for the last step, we have used the following equations,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{n}}{r} \right) = -\frac{v^2 \mathbf{n}}{r^2} + \frac{3(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2 \mathbf{n}}{r^2} + \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{a})\mathbf{n}}{r} - \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}}{r^2}, \quad ([14] \text{ Eq. (6)})$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}}{r} \right) = \frac{\mathbf{a}}{r} + \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}}{r^2}, \quad ([14] \text{ Eq. (5)})$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}}{r} \right) = \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{r} \quad (\text{differentiation by parts})$$

$$= \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\mathbf{0} - \mathbf{r}}{r} + \mathbf{n} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{r} \quad (\text{use } \mathbf{n} = (\mathbf{0} - \mathbf{r})/r)$$

$$= \frac{1}{r} \left(-\frac{\mathbf{v}}{r} - \mathbf{r} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{r} \right) + \mathbf{n} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{r} = -\frac{\mathbf{v}}{r^2} + 2\mathbf{n} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{r}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{\mathbf{v}}{r^2} + 2\mathbf{n} \frac{d}{dt} (\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r})^{-\frac{1}{2}} = -\frac{\mathbf{v}}{r^2} + 2\mathbf{n} \left(-\frac{1}{2r^3}\right) \frac{d}{dt} (\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \\
&\hspace{15em} \text{(chain rule)} \\
&= -\frac{\mathbf{v}}{r^2} - 2\frac{\mathbf{n}}{r^3} \mathbf{r} \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} \hspace{5em} \text{(differentiation by parts)} \\
&= -\frac{\mathbf{v}}{r^2} + 2\frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{n}}{r^2}. \hspace{10em} \text{(use } \mathbf{n} = (\mathbf{0} - \mathbf{r})/r)
\end{aligned}$$

Eq. (28) when integrated for the loop, gives Eq. (12). Thus we confirm that Edward, Kenyon, and Lemon's results are consistent with ours up to $1/c^2$.

6 Double Check the Math

To double-check the math, we rewrite Eq. (24) as

$$\frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \oint \frac{\mathbf{n}'}{r'^2} D' dt + \frac{I}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \oint \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left(\mathbf{n}' - \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c} \right) \frac{D'}{cr'} \right) dt,$$

and if we approximate the differential part in the second term, $\frac{d}{dt} \left(\left(\mathbf{n}' - \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c} \right) \frac{D'}{cr'} \right)$, up to $1/c^2$ and combine the result with the differential part of Eq. (28), we expect to recover the left side of Eq. (11) to “close the loop”. Indeed, we have the following approximations,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{n}' &= \frac{\mathbf{0} - \mathbf{r}'}{r'} \hspace{10em} \text{(definition of } \mathbf{n}') \\
&\approx \mathbf{n} \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c} - \frac{v^2 - (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2 - r\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{a}}{2c^2} \right) + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \\
&\hspace{15em} \text{(use approximated } \mathbf{0} - \mathbf{r}' \text{ and } 1/r')
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{D'}{cr'} \approx \frac{1}{cr}. \quad \text{(use approximated } D' \text{ and } 1/r'; \text{ simple because there was } 1/c)$$

Using these results, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \left(\left(\mathbf{n}' - \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c} \right) \frac{D'}{cr'} \right) &\approx \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}}{cr} \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c} \right) \right) \\
&\hspace{10em} \text{(use approximated } D'/(cr'), \mathbf{n}' \text{ and } \mathbf{v}'/c) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{c} \frac{\mathbf{n}}{r} - \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{n}}{c^2 r} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and our expectation is met. Thus we have enhanced our confidence that the derivations are “correct”.

7 Discussion

From the moving point charge's point of view, we discover an exact differential in steady loop current and show that a steady loop current does not radiate. This conclusion works for an arbitrary steady loop current, without restrictions in previous analyses on the shape of the loop and the velocity of the charge and without approximation. It is as expected but the derivation is rather involved. We hope our derivation may shed new light on this century-old result.

References

- [1] Wolfgang K H Panofsky and Melba Phillips. *Classical electricity and magnetism, 2nd ed.* Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., 1962.
- [2] John David Jackson. *Classical electrodynamics, 3rd ed.* John Wiley & Sons, 1998.
- [3] David J Griffiths. *Introduction to electrodynamics, 3rd ed.* Prentice Hall, 1999.
- [4] Kirk T McDonald. Why doesn't a steady current loop radiate? *available on-line*, 2001.
- [5] Mark A Heald and Jerry B Marion. *Classical electromagnetic radiation, 3rd ed.* Brooks Cole, 1995.
- [6] Charles A Brau. *Modern problems in classical electrodynamics.* Oxford University Press, 2004.
- [7] Kirk T McDonald. The electric self force on a current loop. *available on-line*, 2019.
- [8] Alfred O'Rahilly. *Electromagnetics.* Longmans, Green & Company, Inc., 1938.
- [9] Alfred O'Rahilly. *Electromagnetic theory: A critical examination of fundamentals.* Dover Publications, Inc., 1965.
- [10] Melvin Schwartz. *Principles of electrodynamics.* McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1972.

- [11] Leigh Page. Is a moving mass retarded by the reaction of its own radiation? *Physical Review*, 11(5):376, 1918.
- [12] Leigh Page. *An introduction to electrodynamics from the standpoint of the electron theory*. Ginn and Company, 1922.
- [13] L D Landau and E M Lifshitz. *The classical theory of fields, 4th revised English ed.* Pergamon Press, 1975.
- [14] W. F. Edwards, C. S. Kenyon, and D. K. Lemon. Continuing investigation into possible electric fields arising from steady conduction currents. *Physical Review D*, 14(4):922, 1976.
- [15] GG Shishkin, AG Shishkin, AG Smirnov, AV Dudarev, AV Barkov, PP Zagnetov, and Yu M Rybin. Investigation of possible electric potential arising from a constant current through a superconductor coil. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, 35(6):497, 2002.
- [16] Oleg D Jefimenko. *Electromagnetic retardation and theory of relativity: new chapters in the classical theory of fields, 2nd ed.* Electret Scientific, 2004.
- [17] Boris Podolsky and Kaiser S Kunz. *Fundamentals of Electrodynamics*. Marcel Dekker, 1969.
- [18] Peter Alan Davidson. *An introduction to electrodynamics*. Oxford University Press, 2019.
- [19] James B Westgard. *Electrodynamics: a concise introduction*. Springer, 1995.
- [20] Fulvio Melia. *Chicago lectures in physics, electrodynamics*. The University of Chicago Press, 2001.