

Recent Belle II Results on Hadronic B Decays

Shu-Ping Lin, on behalf of the Belle II Collaboration
Department of Physics, National Taiwan University,
No. 1, Sec. 4, Roosevelt Rd., Taipei 106216, Taiwan

The investigation of B meson decays to charmed and charmless hadronic final states is a keystone of the Belle II program. Analyses of such decays provide reliable and experimentally precise constraints on the weak interactions of quarks. They are sensitive to effects from non-SM physics, and further our knowledge about uncharted $b \rightarrow c$ hadronic transitions. We present new results from combined analyses of Belle and Belle II data to determine the quark-mixing parameter ϕ_3 (or γ), and from the Belle II analyses of two-body decays that are related to the determination of ϕ_2 (or α). We also present recent Belle II results on branching ratios and direct CP -violating asymmetries of several B decays, which result in a competitive standard-model test based on the $K\pi$ isospin sum rule and first observations of three new $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}KK_S^0$ decays.

1 Introduction

Hadronic B decays provide precise constraints on the parameters of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) quark-mixing matrix and are sensitive probes of physics beyond the standard model (SM). Measurement of new decay channels expands our knowledge of the flavour sector. Belle II¹, located at the SuperKEKB² asymmetric collider at KEK, is a hermetic solenoidal magnetic spectrometer surrounded by particle-identification detectors, an electromagnetic calorimeter, and muon detectors. Optimised for the reconstruction of bottom-antibottom pairs produced at the threshold in $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays, Belle II has competitive, unique, or world-leading reach in many key quantities associated with hadronic B decays. The CKM angle $\phi_3/\gamma = \arg\left(-\frac{V_{ud}V_{ub}^*}{V_{cd}V_{cb}^*}\right)$ is a fundamental constraint for charge-parity (CP) violation in the SM and can be reliably determined in tree-level processes, with negligible loop-amplitude contributions. The angle $\phi_2/\alpha = \arg\left(-\frac{V_{td}V_{tb}^*}{V_{ud}V_{ub}^*}\right)$ is currently limiting the precision of non-SM tests based on global fits of the quark-mixing matrix unitarity and is best determined through measurement of $B \rightarrow \rho\rho$ and $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$ decays. For $B \rightarrow K\pi$ decays, an isospin sum rule³ combines the branching fractions and CP asymmetries of the decays, providing a null test of the SM. The capability of investigating all the related final states jointly, under consistent experimental settings, is unique to Belle II.

The challenge in analysing these channels lies in the large amount of $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ continuum background. Binary-decision-tree classifiers C are used to discriminate between signal and continuum events using event topology, kinematic, and decay-length information.⁴ The determination of the signal yields is mainly based on observables that exploit the specific properties of at-threshold production: the energy difference between the B candidate and the beam energy, $\Delta E = E_B^* - E_{\text{beam}}^*$, and the beam-constrained mass $M_{\text{bc}} = \sqrt{E_{\text{beam}}^*/c^2 - (\mathbf{p}_B^*/c)^2}$, where E_B^* and \mathbf{p}_B^* are the energy and momentum of the B candidate, respectively, and E_{beam}^* is the beam energy, all in the center-of-mass frame.

2 $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} K K_S^0$ Decays

The composition of a large fraction of the B hadronic width is unknown, which limits significantly our capability to model B decays in simulation, impacting the precision of many measurements. Belle II is pursuing a systematic program of exploration of hadronic B decays. We report a preliminary measurement of the branching fraction of $B^- \rightarrow D^0 K^- K_S^0$ decay⁵, with a precision that is three times better than the previous best results⁶, and first observation of three new decay channels ($\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^+ K^- K_S^0$, $B^- \rightarrow D^{*0} K^- K_S^0$, $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} K^- K_S^0$)⁵. The branching fractions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow D^0 K^- K_S^0) &= (1.89 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}, \\ \mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^+ K^- K_S^0) &= (0.85 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-4}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow D^{*0} K^- K_S^0) &= (1.57 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-4}, \\ \mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} K^- K_S^0) &= (0.96 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-4}, \end{aligned}$$

are extracted from a 362 fb^{-1} Belle II sample using likelihood fits to the unbinned distributions of the energy difference ΔE , where the first uncertainties are statistical and the second are systematic. The invariant mass $m(K K_S^0)$ of the two kaons is investigated. For all four channels, the $m(K K_S^0)$ distribution exhibits a peaking structure in the low-mass region, which departs from the expected three-body phase space distribution. Structures are also observed in the Dalitz distributions (Figure 1).




Figure 1 – ΔE (left), $m(K K_S^0)$ (middle), and Dalitz (right) distributions of $B^- \rightarrow D^0 K^- K_S^0$ decay.

3 Determination of CKM Angle ϕ_3/γ

The angle ϕ_3 is studied through the interference of $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}s$ and $b \rightarrow u\bar{c}s$ transition amplitudes in tree-level B hadron decays. The current world average $\phi_3 = (65.9_{-3.5}^{+3.3})^\circ$ is dominated by LHCb measurements^{7,8}. ϕ_3 can be determined through different approaches featuring different D final states from B decays into charmed B final states. The most precise Belle II result is obtained with self-conjugate D final states ($D \rightarrow K_S^0 h^+ h^-$, $h = K, \pi$)⁹, where several intermediate resonances are involved in D decays, resulting in variation of the CP asymmetry over the phase space. Two other approaches have also been pursued: one with Cabibbo-suppressed D decays, and the other with the D meson decaying to two-body CP eigenstates. In both analyses it is required that $M_{bc} > 5.27 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, $|\Delta E| < 0.15 \text{ GeV}$ ($\Delta E > -0.13 \text{ GeV}$ for the latter), and a loose requirement on C removes about 60% of the continuum background. The signal yields are extracted with fits of ΔE and the continuum suppression classifier.

3.1 Cabibbo-suppressed channels

Grossman, Ligeti, and Soffer (GLS) ¹⁰ proposed a method to measure ϕ_3 with singly Cabibbo-suppressed decays of D mesons, $B^\pm \rightarrow D(\rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) h^\pm$, where D is the superposition of D^0 and \bar{D}^0 mesons. The B^\pm meson can have the same sign (SS) or opposite sign (OS) with respect to the K^\pm from the D decay. In this analysis ¹¹, the information about the dynamics of the D decays is integrated with external inputs from CLEO ¹².

The fitting is performed in both the full D phase space and in the K^* region, where the invariant mass $m(KK_S^0)$ is close to that of $K^*(892)^0$, thus enhancing the interference and the precision on ϕ_3 , due to the large strong-phase difference in $D \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$ decays. Combining Belle (711 fb⁻¹) and Belle II (362 fb⁻¹) data, the result is consistent, though not yet competitive to the result from LHCb ¹³. It can provide a constraint on ϕ_3 when combined with results from other measurements.

Table 1: Results of $B^\pm \rightarrow D(\rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) h^\pm$ decay combining Belle (711 fb⁻¹) and Belle II (362 fb⁻¹) data for the full D phase space and the K^* region. The first uncertainties are statistical and the second are systematic.

| | Full D phase space | K^* region |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| \mathcal{A}_{SS}^{DK} | $-0.089 \pm 0.091 \pm 0.011$ | $0.055 \pm 0.119 \pm 0.020$ |
| \mathcal{A}_{OS}^{DK} | $0.109 \pm 0.133 \pm 0.013$ | $0.231 \pm 0.184 \pm 0.014$ |
| $\mathcal{A}_{SS}^{D\pi}$ | $0.018 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.009$ | $0.046 \pm 0.029 \pm 0.016$ |
| $\mathcal{A}_{OS}^{D\pi}$ | $-0.028 \pm 0.031 \pm 0.009$ | $0.009 \pm 0.046 \pm 0.009$ |
| $\mathcal{R}_{SS}^{DK/D\pi}$ | $0.122 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.004$ | $0.093 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.005$ |
| $\mathcal{R}_{OS}^{DK/D\pi}$ | $0.093 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.003$ | $0.103 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.006$ |
| $\mathcal{R}_{SS/OS}^{D\pi}$ | $1.428 \pm 0.057 \pm 0.002$ | $2.412 \pm 0.132 \pm 0.019$ |

3.2 CP eigenstates

Gronau, London, and Wyler (GLW) ^{14,15} proposed a method where the D meson decays to $K^+ K^-$ (CP -even) or $K_S^0 \pi^0$ (CP -odd) eigenstates. The $K_S^0 \pi^0$ eigenstate has been accessible to B -factories only. Belle (711 fb⁻¹) and Belle II (189 fb⁻¹) data are combined to give the final result, which is consistent but not competitive with results from BaBar ¹⁶ and LHCb ¹⁷. The branching fraction ratios ($\mathcal{R}_{CP\pm}$) and CP asymmetries ($\mathcal{A}_{CP\pm}$) are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_{CP+} &= 1.164 \pm 0.081 \pm 0.036, \\ \mathcal{R}_{CP-} &= 1.151 \pm 0.074 \pm 0.019, \\ \mathcal{A}_{CP+} &= 0.125 \pm 0.058 \pm 0.014, \\ \mathcal{A}_{CP-} &= -0.167 \pm 0.057 \pm 0.060,\end{aligned}$$

where the first uncertainties are statistical and the second are systematic. The statistical and systematic precisions are significantly better than the previous Belle measurement ¹⁸, and the result could constrain ϕ_3 in combination with other measurements. In particular, the first evidence for \mathcal{A}_{CP+} and \mathcal{A}_{CP-} having opposite signs is observed, showing clearly the effect of CP violation.

4 Toward CKM Angle ϕ_2/α

The least precisely known CKM angle ϕ_2/α is starting to limit the testing power of the CKM model. Belle II has the unique capability of measuring all $B \rightarrow \rho\rho$ and $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$ decays, from which ϕ_2 can be determined. The combined information from these decays exploits isospin

symmetry, reducing the effect of hadronic uncertainties. The B candidates are required to have $M_{bc} > 5.27 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ and $|\Delta E| < 0.15 \text{ GeV}$ ($< 0.30 \text{ GeV}$ for final states involving neutral pions), followed by continuum suppression that removes 90-99% of continuum background.

4.1 $B \rightarrow \rho\rho$ decays

The measurement of $B \rightarrow \rho\rho$ decays require a complex angular analysis. The fit is based on M_{bc} , ΔE , the dipion masses, and the helicity angle of the ρ candidates. The preliminary Belle II results of $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^-$ and $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^0$ decays using 189 fb^{-1} of data^{19,20} are on par with the best performances from Belle^{21,22} and BaBar^{23,24}. Results are listed in Table 2.

4.2 $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$ decays

Measurements of $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ and $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0$ decays are based on 362 fb^{-1} of Belle II data. The fit is performed over ΔE and C' , and the dominant systematic uncertainty arises from the π^0 efficiency. The first measurement of $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$ at Belle II is also reported²⁵, using 189 fb^{-1} of data. This decay is both CKM- and colour-suppressed, and has only photons in the final state, making it experimentally challenging to measure. The result obtained from a fit to M_{bc} , ΔE , and C' , however, achieves Belle's precision despite using a dataset that is only one third of the size. This is due to the dedicated π^0 selection and continuum suppression studies that yield a much higher π^0 efficiency. Results are listed in Table 2.

Table 2: $B \rightarrow \rho\rho$ and $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$ results. The first uncertainties are statistical and the second are systematic.

| | $\mathcal{B} [10^{-6}]$ | \mathcal{A}_{CP} | f_L |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^-$ | $26.7 \pm 2.8 \pm 2.8$ | – | $0.956 \pm 0.035 \pm 0.033$ |
| $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^0$ | $23.2^{+2.2}_{-2.1} \pm 2.7$ | $-0.069 \pm 0.068 \pm 0.060$ | $0.943^{+0.035}_{-0.033} \pm 0.027$ |
| $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ | $5.83 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.17$ | – | – |
| $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0$ | $5.02 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.31$ | $-0.082 \pm 0.054 \pm 0.008$ | – |
| $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$ | $1.38 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.22$ | $0.14 \pm 0.46 \pm 0.07$ | – |

5 $K\pi$ Isospin Sum Rule

The isospin sum rule $I_{K\pi}$ is defined by

$$I_{K\pi} = \mathcal{A}_{K^+\pi^-} + \mathcal{A}_{K^0\pi^+} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{B}_{K^0\pi^+} \tau_{B^0}}{\mathcal{B}_{K^+\pi^-} \tau_{B^+}} - 2\mathcal{A}_{K^+\pi^0} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{B}_{K^+\pi^0} \tau_{B^0}}{\mathcal{B}_{K^+\pi^-} \tau_{B^+}} - 2\mathcal{A}_{K^0\pi^0} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{B}_{K^0\pi^0}}{\mathcal{B}_{K^+\pi^-}}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{K\pi}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{K\pi}$ are the branching fractions and the CP asymmetries, and $\tau_{B^0}/\tau_{B^+} = 0.9273 \pm 0.0033$ ⁷ is the ratio of B^0 and B^+ lifetimes. The SM prediction of the sum rule is zero, with a precision of better than 1%, in the limit of isospin symmetry and no electroweak penguins contributions. Any large deviation from the SM prediction is an indication of non-SM physics. The experimental precision of the sum rule is limited by $\mathcal{A}_{K^0\pi^0}$ ⁷.

We studied all the final states associated with the sum rule: $B^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$, $B^+ \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^+$, $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\pi^0$, and $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^0$ using 362 fb^{-1} of Belle II data. The analyses of the various decays follow a similar strategy, with common selections applied to the final states particles. B candidates are required to satisfy $5.272 < M_{bc} < 5.288 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, $|\Delta E| < 0.3 \text{ GeV}$, and a loose requirement of C that suppresses 90-99% of continuum background. A fit is performed on the ΔE - C' distribution, where the flavour tagging algorithm²⁶ is employed to determine the flavour of the B candidate in $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^0$ decay due to absence of primary charged particles. The ΔE distributions are shown in Figure 2. The measured branching fractions and CP asymmetries,

as well as the sum rule calculated using these measurements, are listed in Table 3. They agree with the world averages⁷ and have competitive precisions. In particular, the time-integrated and time-dependent results of $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0$ are combined to achieve the world's best result for $\mathcal{A}_{K^0 \pi^0}$, and consequentially for $I_{K\pi}$ a competitive precision that is limited by the statistical uncertainty.




Figure 2 – ΔE distributions of $B^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ (upper left), $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0$ (upper right), $B^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+$ (lower left) and $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0$ (lower right) decays.

Table 3: $B \rightarrow K\pi$ results using 362 fb^{-1} Belle II data. The first uncertainties are statistical and the second are systematic.

| | $\mathcal{B} [10^{-6}]$ | \mathcal{A}_{CP} |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| $B^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ | $20.67 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.62$ | $-0.072 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.007$ |
| $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0$ | $14.21 \pm 0.38 \pm 0.84$ | $0.013 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.005$ |
| $B^+ \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+$ | $24.39 \pm 0.71 \pm 0.86$ | $0.046 \pm 0.029 \pm 0.007$ |
| $B^0 \rightarrow K^0 \pi^0$ | $10.50 \pm 0.62 \pm 0.65$ | $-0.01 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.05$ |
| $I_{K\pi}$ | $-0.03 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.05$ | |

6 Summary

In summary, we present five new results from Belle II: measurement of $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} K K_S^0$ decays, analyses of ϕ_3/γ with the GLS and GLW methods, precise measurements of two-body decays that contribute to the determination of ϕ_2/α , and the $K\pi$ isospin sum rule with a competitive precision to the world's best result.

References

1. T. Abe. *et al.* (Belle II Collaboration) (2010), arXiv:1011.0352.
2. Kazunori Akai *et al.* *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **907**, 188 (2018).
3. M. Gronau, *Phys. Lett. B* **627**, 82 (2005).
4. F. Abudinén *et al.* (Belle II Collaboration) (2023), arXiv:2303.08354.
5. Belle II Collaboration *et al.* (2023), arXiv:2305.01321.
6. A. Drutskoy *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), *Phys. Lett. B* **542**, 171 (2002)
7. R. L. Workman *et al.* (Particle Data Group), *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2022**, 083C01 (2022)
8. LHCb collaboration *et al.*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2021**, 141 (2021)
9. Belle and Belle II collaborations *et al.*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2022**, 63 (2022)
10. Y. Grossman, Z. Ligeti, and A. Soffer, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 071301 (2003)
11. Belle and Belle II collaborations *et al.* (2023), arXiv:2306.02940.
12. J. Insler *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 099905 (2016)
13. LHCb collaboration *et al.* *J. High Energy Phys.* **2020**, 58 (2020)
14. M. Gronau and D. London, *Phys. Lett. B* **253**, 483 (1991)
15. M. Gronau and D. Wyler, *Phys. Lett. B* **265**, 172 (1991)
16. P. del Amo Sanchez *et al.* (BaBar Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 072004 (2010)
17. R. Aaji *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), *J. High Energy Phys.* **2021**, 81 (2021).
18. K. Abe *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 051106 (2006).
19. Belle II Collaboration *et al.* (2022), arXiv:2208.03554.
20. Belle II Collaboration *et al.* (2022), arXiv:2206.12362.
21. P. Vanhoefer *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 099903 (2016)
22. J. Zhang *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 221801 (2003)
23. B. Aubert *et al.* (BaBar Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 052007 (2007)
24. B. Aubert *et al.* (BaBar Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 141802 (2009)
25. Belle II Collaboration *et al.* (2023), arXiv:2303.08354.
26. F. Abudinén *et al.* (Belle II Collaboration), *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, 283 (2022).