

Existence and concentration of ground state solution to a nonlocal Schrödinger equation

Anmin Mao^a and Qian Zhang^{ab,*}

^a *School of Mathematical Sciences, Qufu Normal University, Shandong 273165, P.R. China*

^b *College of Mathematics and Informatics, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350117, P.R. China*

maoam@163.com(A.Mao) zhangqianmath@163.com(Q.Zhang)

Abstract We study a class of Schrödinger-Kirchhoff system involving critical exponent. We aim to find suitable conditions to assure the existence of a positive ground state solution of Nehari-Pohožaev type u_ε with exponential decay at infinity for ε and u_ε concentrates around a global minimum point of V as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. The nonlinear term includes the nonlinearity $f(u) \sim |u|^{p-1}u$ for the well-studied case $p \in [3, 5)$, and the less-studied case $p \in (2, 3)$.

Keywords: Schrödinger-Kirchhoff equation; Ground state; Critical exponent

2010 MSC: 35J05; 35J20; 35J60

1 Introduction and main results

This paper is concerned with the following critical Schrödinger-Kirchhoff type system

$$\begin{cases} -\left(\varepsilon^2 a + \varepsilon b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2\right) \Delta u + V(x)u = A(x)|u|^{p-1}u + B(x)|u|^4u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad u > 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\varepsilon, a, b > 0$, $p \in (2, 5)$. Problem (1.1) can be used to describe several physical phenomena, see [1, 2, 7, 8, 12, 14, 26] for more physical background. If we set $\varepsilon = 1$, $V(x) \equiv 0$, and \mathbb{R}^3 are replaced by bounded domain Ω , then problem (1.1) are related to the following Kirchhoff type problem

$$\begin{cases} -\left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2\right) \Delta u = f(x, u), & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

which is proposed by Kirchhoff in [1] and the solvability has been well studied only after Lions [2] introduced an abstract framework to this problem. Using the minimax methods together with invariant sets of descent flow, Mao and Zhang [4], Perera and Zhang [5] proved the existence

*Corresponding author: zhangqianmath@163.com(Q.Zhang)

of sign-changing solutions. When f is critical growth, [3, 6] obtained the existence of positive solution by variational methods.

Mathematically, (1.1) is a nonlocal problem as the appearance of the nonlocal term $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2$ implies that (1.1) is not a pointwise identity. This causes some mathematical difficulties which make the study of (1.1) particularly interesting. Recently, there has been increasing attention to system

$$\begin{cases} -\left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2\right) \Delta u + V(x)u = f(x, u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

V is always assumed to satisfy

$$(V) \quad \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \geq a > 0 \text{ and for each } M > 0, \text{ meas}\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(x) \leq M\} < +\infty.$$

Such kind of hypothesis has been widely used and was firstly introduced by Bartsch and Wang [7] to ensure the compactness of embedding of $E \triangleq \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x)|u|^2 < \infty\} \hookrightarrow L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, where $2 \leq s < 6$, for example [8, 9]. Another important hypothesis on nonlinear term is the following monotonicity condition:

$$(F) \quad \frac{f(u)}{u^3} \text{ is strictly increasing for } u > 0,$$

which is key to prove the compactness of (PS) sequence. In the subcritical case, by using the variational methods and some estimates, He and Zou [10] studied the concentration of positive ground state solution of Nehari type of (1.2) when f satisfies the (F) . When $f(x, u)$ in (1.2) involves critical exponent, one has to face lots of difficulties caused by the critical exponent, in such case, (F) also plays an important role in variational approach, see [11, 12, 13, 30, 32].

Regarding the existence of positive ground state solutions for critical Kirchhoff type problem, to the best of our knowledge, there are very few results in the context. By variational methods, Wang et al. [11] and Li and Ye [20] proved the existence of positive ground state solutions to subcritical problems, it is worthy pointing out that conditions (V) and (F) are critical to their approach.

Inspired by above works, in this paper, we restrict our attention to a class of more general problem (1.1) involving critical exponent and study the existence and concentration of positive ground state solutions without coercive condition (V) and monotonicity condition (F) . Moreover, we also investigate the exponent decay of positive ground state solutions.

Before state our main results, we make the some assumptions.

$$(V_1) \quad V \in C(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^+).$$

(V₂) For almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$0 < \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} V(x) =: V_0 \leq V(x) \leq \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) =: V_\infty < +\infty \text{ and } V(x) \not\equiv V_\infty.$$

(V₃) V is weakly differentiable and satisfies $(\nabla V(x), x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \cup L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and

$$V(x) - (\nabla V(x), x) \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

(V₄) There exists a positive constant $l < a$ such that

$$|(\nabla V(x), x)| \leq \frac{l}{2|x|^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}.$$

(F₁) A is weakly differentiable and satisfies $(\nabla A(x), x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \cup L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and

$$(\nabla A(x), x) \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

(F₂) B is weakly differentiable and satisfies $(\nabla B(x), x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \cup L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and

$$(\nabla B(x), x) \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

(F₃) $A \in C(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$, $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} A(x) = A_\infty \in (0, \infty)$ and $A(x) > A_\infty > 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

(F₄) $B \in C(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$, $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} B(x) = B_\infty \in (0, \infty)$ and $B(x) > B_\infty > 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

(F₅) $\Lambda \cap \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 \neq \emptyset$, where

$$\Lambda \triangleq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : V(x) = V_0\},$$

$$\Lambda_1 \triangleq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : A(x) = A_0 \triangleq \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} A(x)\},$$

$$\Lambda_2 \triangleq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : B(x) = B_0 \triangleq \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} B(x)\}.$$

Note that conditions (V₃), (F₁) and (F₂) are usually known as the Pohožaev type conditions. About their applications, we refer the reader to [14, 15, 20]. (F₃)-(F₅) once appeared in the study of nonlinear Schrödinger and Schrödinger-Possion system in [17, 18, 19].

Next, we give some notations. Throughout this paper, let $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be the usual Sobolev space equipped with the norm $\|u\|_H := (\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ the norm of $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and $|\cdot|_q$ the usual Lebesgue space $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ norm.

By the change of variable $\varepsilon z = x$, we rewrite (1.1) as

$$-\left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2\right) \Delta u + V(\varepsilon x)u = A(\varepsilon x)|u|^{p-1}u + B(\varepsilon x)|u|^4u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (K_\varepsilon)$$

For $\rho > 0$ and $z^* \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $B_\rho(z^*) := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |z^* - z| \leq \rho\}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, let $E_\varepsilon = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon x)|u|^2 < \infty\}$ denote the Hilbert space endowed with inner product and norm $\|u\|_\varepsilon := \langle u, u \rangle_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a|\nabla u|^2 + V(\varepsilon x)|u|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. For simplicity, C, C_i will denote various positive constant.

(K_ε) is called variational which means that its weak solutions are the critical points of the functional $I_\varepsilon : u \in E_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$I_\varepsilon(u) := \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_\varepsilon^2 + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A(\varepsilon x) |u|^{p+1} - \frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B(\varepsilon x) |u|^6, \quad (1.3)$$

which is a well-defined C^1 functional (see [13]) and for all $\varphi \in E_\varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I'_\varepsilon(u), \varphi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a \nabla u \nabla \varphi + V(\varepsilon x) u \varphi) + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla u \nabla \varphi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A(\varepsilon x) |u|^{p-1} u \varphi \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B(\varepsilon x) |u|^4 u \varphi. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

Our main result reads as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Under the assumptions (V_1) - (V_4) , (F_1) - (F_5) , if $p \in (2, 5)$, then*

(1) *there exists $\varepsilon^* > 0$ such that problem (1.1) has a positive ground state solution of Nehari-Pohožaev type u_ε for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon^*)$;*

(2) *u_ε possesses a global maximum point x_ε in \mathbb{R}^3 such that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} V(x_\varepsilon) = V_0$. And, there are positive constants C, c such that $u_\varepsilon(x) \leq C \exp\left(-c \frac{|x-x_\varepsilon|}{\varepsilon}\right)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$.*

Remark 1.2. Due to the emergence of critical terms and the lack of coercive condition (V) and monotonicity condition (F) once used in [10, 11, 12, 13, 30, 32], the approaches in above works can not be applied here.

Remark 1.3. Different from [10, 11, 12, 13, 30, 32], we not only prove the existence of signed ground state solution but also figure out its exponent decay. Hence, our results improve some previous works.

Remark 1.4. One of our main purpose is to find an unified approach for the full subcritical range of $p \in (2, 5)$, the approaches by using Nehari manifold in [10, 11, 12, 13] and [30, 32] can not be applied here because that when applied to the monomial nonlinearity $f(u) = |u|^{p-1}u$, the approaches in above mentioned papers are only valid for $p \in [3, 5)$ and it is difficult to prove the boundedness of the minimizing sequence in our case. Here, motivated by [20], we adopt constrained minimization on a manifold and take the minimum on the new manifold. Such a new manifold is obtained by combining the Pohožaev identity and the Nehari manifold.

To complete the proof of theorem 1.1, we use an indirect approach by using Jeanjean's monotonicity trick in [16].

Lemma 1.5. ([16]) *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and $J \in \mathbb{R}^+$ be an interval. Consider a*

family of C^1 functionals on X of the form

$$\Phi_\sigma(u) = C(u) - \sigma D(u), \quad \text{for all } \sigma \in J,$$

with $D(u) \geq 0$ and either $C(u) \rightarrow +\infty$ or $D(u) \rightarrow +\infty$, as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$. Assume that there are two points $v_1, v_2 \in X$ such that

$$c_\sigma = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{s \in [0,1]} \Phi_\sigma(\gamma(s)) > \max\{\Phi_\sigma(v_1), \Phi_\sigma(v_2)\}, \quad \text{for any } \sigma \in J,$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], X) \mid \gamma(0) = v_1, \gamma(1) = v_2\}.$$

Then, for almost every $\sigma \in J$, there is a bounded $(PS)_{c_\sigma}$ sequences in X .

Set $J = [\delta, 1]$, where $\delta \in (0, 1)$. We consider a family of C^1 functionals $I_{\varepsilon, \sigma}(u)$, i.e., for any $\sigma \in [\delta, 1]$,

$$I_{\varepsilon, \sigma}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_\varepsilon^2 + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{\sigma}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A(\varepsilon x) |u|^{p+1} - \frac{\sigma}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B(\varepsilon x) |u|^6.$$

By (V_2) , (F_3) - (F_5) and Lemma 1.5, for a.e. $\sigma \in [\delta, 1]$, there exists a bounded $(PS)_{c_\sigma}$ sequence in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, denoted by $\{u_n\}$, where c_σ is given below (see Lemma 3.4). The nonlinearity include $|u|^{p-1}u$ with $p \in (2, 5)$ implies that the monotonicity of $\frac{|u|^{p-1}u}{u^3}$ does not always hold, so we have to consider the following functional

$$J_{\varepsilon, \sigma}(u) = \frac{a + b\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon x) |u|^2 - \frac{\sigma}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A(\varepsilon x) |u|^{p+1} - \frac{\sigma}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B(\varepsilon x) |u|^6,$$

Hypothesis (V_2) , (F_3) , (F_4) can help us establish a global compactness lemma of critical type (see Lemma 3.7 below) related to the functional $J_{\varepsilon, \sigma}$ and its limit functional

$$J_\sigma^\infty(u) = \frac{a + b\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_\infty |u|^2 - \frac{\sigma}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A_\infty |u|^{p+1} - \frac{\sigma}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B_\infty |u|^6.$$

In what follows, we shall consider the limit problem of (K_ε) , i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} - \left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \Delta u + V_\infty u = \sigma A_\infty |u|^{p-1} u + \sigma B_\infty |u|^4 u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), u > 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

and establish the existence of the least energy of the corresponding energy functional

$$I_\sigma^\infty(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a |\nabla u|^2 + V_\infty |u|^2) + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{\sigma}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A_\infty |u|^{p+1} - \frac{\sigma}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B_\infty |u|^6.$$

To get the desired result, we need to prove the following result.

Theorem 1.6. (1.5) has a positive ground state solution of Nehari-Pohožaev type in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for every $2 < p < 5$.

As for problem (1.5), I_σ^∞ does not always satisfy $(PS)_{c_\sigma}$ condition and it is difficult to get a ground state solution of Nehari type since $2 < p < 5$. For simplicity, we may assume that $V_\infty = A_\infty = B_\infty = \sigma \equiv 1$ in (1.5), i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} - \left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \Delta u + u = |u|^{p-1}u + |u|^4u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), u > 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

and the corresponding energy functional is

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2) + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{p+1} - \frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^6. \quad (1.7)$$

To prove problem (1.6) has a positive ground state solution of Nehari type in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for every $p \in (2, 5)$, as we describe before, the usual Nehari manifold is not suitable because it is difficult to prove the boundedness of the minimizing sequence. Inspired by [31], we take the minimum on a new manifold which is constructed by combining the Nehari manifold and the corresponding Pohožaev type identity, which will be proved in Section 2 (see Lemma 2.1). Finally, it follows from Theorem 1.6 and global compactness lemma of critical type that $(PS)_{c_\sigma}$ condition holds. Choosing a sequence $\{\sigma_n\} \subset [\delta, 1]$ with $\sigma_n \rightarrow 1$, there exist a sequence of nontrivial weak solutions $\{u_{\sigma_n}\} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We shall prove $\{u_{\sigma_n}\}$ is a bounded $(PS)_{c_1}$ sequence for $I_\varepsilon = I_{\varepsilon,1}$ by using the Pohožaev identity, which yields the existence of positive ground state solution of Nehari type. Such ground state solution is also called of Nehari-Pohožaev type.

The idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1 (2) is to use the Pohožaev type identity and some estimates. Assume $0 \in \Lambda \cap \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$ and consider the associated autonomous problem

$$\begin{cases} - \left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \Delta u + V_0 u = A_0 |u|^{p-1}u + B_0 |u|^4u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), u > 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (K_0)$$

its corresponding functional

$$I_0(u) = \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_0 |u|^2 + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A_0 |u|^{p+1} - \frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B_0 |u|^6,$$

will be used to prove the concentration of positive ground state solutions. Motivated but different from the work in [13], we do not need to prove the compactness of the minimizing sequence by using concentration-compactness principle, thanks to the application of a new manifold, our proof becomes simpler.

In Section 2, we state the variational framework of our problem and some preliminary results. Section 3 is devoted to the existence of positive ground state solution of Nehari-Pohožaev type. And we investigate the concentration of ground state solution of Nehari-Pohožaev type and complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries and functional setting

We begin with a Pohožaev identity with respect to problem (K_ε) , which plays a significant role in constructing a new manifold.

Lemma 2.1. *Under the assumptions (V_1) - (V_4) and (F_1) - (F_5) , if $u \in E_\varepsilon$ be a weak solution of problem (K_ε) and $p \in (2, 5)$, then u satisfies:*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = P_\varepsilon(u) := & \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon x) |u|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla V(\varepsilon x), x) |u|^2 + \frac{b}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 \\ & - \frac{3}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A(\varepsilon x) |u|^{p+1} - \frac{\varepsilon}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla A(\varepsilon x), x) |u|^{p+1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B(\varepsilon x) |u|^6 \\ & - \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla B(\varepsilon x), x) |u|^6. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. It's easy to get the Pohožaev identity on a ball $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| < R\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a}{2} \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{b}{2} \left(\int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{B_R} (\nabla V(\varepsilon x), x) |u|^2 dx + \frac{3}{2} \int_{B_R} V(\varepsilon x) |u|^2 dx \\ & - \frac{\varepsilon}{p+1} \int_{B_R} (\nabla A(\varepsilon x), x) |u|^{p+1} dx - \frac{3}{p+1} \int_{B_R} A(\varepsilon x) |u|^{p+1} dx - \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \int_{B_R} (\nabla B(\varepsilon x), x) |u|^6 dx \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_R} B(\varepsilon x) |u|^6 dx \\ = & R \int_{\partial B_R} \left(- \left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right) |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} V(\varepsilon x) |u|^2 \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{p+1} A(\varepsilon x) |u|^{p+1} - \frac{1}{6} B(\varepsilon x) |u|^6 \right) dS. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Next we show that the right hand side in (2.2) converges to 0 for at least one suitably chosen sequence $R_n \rightarrow +\infty$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} +\infty & > \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| - \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \right)^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2 - |u|^{p+1} - |u|^6 \right| dx \\ & = \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\int_{\partial B_R} \left| - \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \right)^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2 - |u|^{p+1} - |u|^6 \right| dS \right) dR, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

there exists a sequence $R_n \rightarrow +\infty$ such that

$$R_n \int_{\partial B_{R_n}} \left| - \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \right)^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2 - |u|^{p+1} - |u|^6 \right| dS \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Taking limits as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in (2.2) yields,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon x) |u|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla V(\varepsilon x), x) |u|^2 + \frac{b}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 \\ & - \frac{3}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A(\varepsilon x) |u|^{p+1} - \frac{\varepsilon}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla A(\varepsilon x), x) |u|^{p+1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B(\varepsilon x) |u|^6 \\ & - \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla B(\varepsilon x), x) |u|^6 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

In particular, if $V \equiv A \equiv B \equiv 1$, we have

$$P(u) := \frac{1}{2} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{3}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{p+1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^6 = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Lemma 2.2. *If $p \in (2, 5)$, then I is not bounded from below.*

Proof. Let $u_t(x) := tu(t^{-1}x)$, $t > 0$. Since $2 < p < 5$, we have

$$I(u_t) = \frac{t^3}{2} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{t^5}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 + \frac{t^6}{4} b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{t^{p+4}}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{p+1} - \frac{t^9}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^6 \rightarrow -\infty$$

as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ for all $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$. □

Lemma 2.2 shows that I has a mountain pass geometry around $0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ which is a local minimum of I . However, it is difficult for us to obtain the boundedness of the (PS) sequence for $p \in (2, 5)$. Therefore, we need to consider the constrained minimization on a suitable manifold.

To give the definition of such a manifold, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let a_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$) be positive constants and $p \in (2, 5)$. If $h(t) = a_1 t^3 + a_2 t^5 + a_3 t^6 - a_4 t^{p+4} - a_5 t^9$ for $t \geq 0$. Then h has a unique critical point which corresponds to its maximum.*

Proof. The technique is the same as in [21, Lemma 3.3] and we omit the proof here. □

If $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a nontrivial critical point of I , set

$$\chi(t) \triangleq I(u_t) = \frac{t^3}{2} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{t^5}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 + \frac{t^6}{4} b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{t^{p+4}}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{p+1} - \frac{t^9}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^6.$$

Using Lemma 2.3 we know that χ has a unique critical point $t_0 > 0$ corresponding to its maximum. Since u is a solution to (1.6), we see that $t_0 = 1$ and $\chi'(1) = 0$, i.e.,

$$G(u) := \frac{3}{2} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{5}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 + \frac{3}{2} b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{p+4}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{p+1} - \frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^6 = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

It is easy to see that $G(u) = \langle I'(u), u \rangle + P(u)$, where $P(u)$ is given in (2.4). Then we define

$$M = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\} : G(u) = 0\}.$$

Lemma 2.4. *Assume $p \in (2, 5)$. Then for any $0 \neq u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, there exists a unique $\hat{t} > 0$, such that $u_{\hat{t}} \in M$, where $u_{\hat{t}}(x) = \hat{t}u(\hat{t}^{-1}x)$. Moreover, $I(u_{\hat{t}}) = \max_{t>0} I(u_t)$.*

Proof. The proof is standard and we omit it here. \square

Lemma 2.5. *If $p \in (2, 5)$, then M is a natural C^1 manifold and every critical point of $I|_M$ is a critical point of I in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, it is then easy to check that $M \neq \emptyset$. The proof consists of four steps.

Step 1. $0 \notin \partial M$.

Note that, for any $u \in M$, using the Sobolev embedding inequality, choosing a number $\rho > 0$, then there exist $r > 0, C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} G(u) &= \frac{3}{2}a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{5}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 + \frac{3}{2}b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{p+4}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{p+1} - \frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^6 \\ &\geq \frac{3}{2} \|u\|_H^2 - C_1 \frac{p+4}{p+1} \|u\|_H^{p+1} - \frac{3}{2} C_2 \|u\|_H^6 > r > 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $\|u\|_H = \rho$ small enough, so that $M, \partial M \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus B_\rho(0)$.

Step 2. $\inf_M I(u) > 0$.

If $u \in M$, we have

$$(p+4)I(u) = (p+4)I(u) - G(u) \geq \frac{p-1}{2} \|u\|_H^2 > \frac{p-1}{2} \rho^2, \quad (2.6)$$

which implies $\inf_M I(u) > 0$.

Step 3. M is a C^1 manifold.

Since $G(u)$ is a C^1 functional, in order to prove M is a C^1 manifold, it suffices to prove that $G'(u) \neq 0$ for all $u \in M$. Indeed, suppose on the contrary that $G'(u) = 0$ for some $u \in M$. Let

$$\alpha := a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2, \quad \beta := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2, \quad \gamma := b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2, \quad \theta := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{p+1}, \quad \xi := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^6,$$

and $I(u) \triangleq l > 0$. The equation $G'(u) = 0$ can be written as

$$-3 \left(a + 2b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \Delta u + 5u - (p+4)|u|^{p-1}u - 9|u|^4u = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

and u satisfies the following Pohožaev identity

$$\frac{3}{2}a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{15}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 + 3b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{3(p+4)}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{p+1} - \frac{9}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^6 = 0,$$

we then obtain

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\beta + \frac{1}{4}\gamma - \frac{1}{p+1}\theta - \frac{1}{6}\xi = l, \\ \frac{3}{2}\alpha + \frac{5}{2}\beta + \frac{3}{2}\gamma - \frac{p+4}{p+1}\theta - \frac{3}{2}\xi = 0, \\ 3\alpha + 5\beta + 6\gamma - (p+4)\theta - 9\xi = 0, \\ \frac{3}{2}\alpha + \frac{15}{2}\beta + 3\gamma - \frac{3(p+4)}{p+1}\theta - \frac{9}{2}\xi = 0. \end{cases}$$

The first equation comes from the fact that u minimizes $I(u) = l$. The second one holds since $G(u) = 0$. The third one follows from $G'(u)u = 0$. The fourth one is the Pohožaev equality applied to (2.7). It can be checked out that the above system admits one unique solution for $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$ depending on ξ, l, p . In particular, θ is given by

$$\theta = -\frac{10(p+1)}{3p(p-1)}(\xi + 6l) < 0,$$

which is contradicted with the definition of θ . So $G'(u) \neq 0$ for any $u \in M$ and by the Implicit Function theorem, M is a C^1 manifold.

Step 4. Every critical point of $I|_M$ is a critical point of I in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

If u is a critical point of $I|_M$, i.e., $u \in M$ and $(I|_M)'(u) = 0$. Thanks to the Lagrange multiplier rule, there exists $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $I'(u) = \rho G'(u)$. We prove that $\rho = 0$. As above, the equation $I'(u) = \rho G'(u)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \Delta u + u - |u|^{p-1}u - |u|^4u \\ & = \rho \left(-3 \left(a + 2b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \Delta u + 5u - (p+4)|u|^{p-1}u - 9|u|^4u \right). \end{aligned}$$

So u can solve the equation

$$-(3\rho - 1)a\Delta u - (6\rho - 1)b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \Delta u + (5\rho - 1)u - ((p+4)\rho - 1)|u|^{p-1}u - (9\rho - 1)|u|^4u = 0,$$

and u satisfies the following Pohožaev identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{3\rho - 1}{2}a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{3(5\rho - 1)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 + \frac{6\rho - 1}{2}b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{3((p+4)\rho - 1)}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{p+1} \\ & - \frac{9\rho - 1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^6 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta, \xi$ in **Step 3**, we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\beta + \frac{1}{4}\gamma - \frac{1}{p+1}\theta = l + \frac{1}{6}\xi, \\ \frac{3}{2}\alpha + \frac{5}{2}\beta + \frac{3}{2}\gamma - \frac{p+4}{p+1}\theta = \frac{3}{2}\xi, \\ (3\rho - 1)\alpha + (5\rho - 1)\beta + (6\rho - 1)\gamma - ((p+4)\rho - 1)\theta = (9\rho - 1)\xi, \\ \frac{3\rho - 1}{2}\alpha + \frac{3(5\rho - 1)}{2}\beta + \frac{6\rho - 1}{2}\gamma - \frac{3((p+4)\rho - 1)}{p+1}\theta = \frac{9\rho - 1}{2}\xi. \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

The coefficient matrix of (2.8) is

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{p+1} \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{p+4}{p+1} \\ 3\rho - 1 & 5\rho - 1 & 6\rho - 1 & -((p+4)\rho - 1) \\ \frac{3\rho-1}{2} & \frac{3(5\rho-1)}{2} & \frac{6\rho-1}{2} & -\frac{3((p+4)\rho-1)}{p+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and its determinant is

$$\det B = \frac{\rho(p-1)(2p-1-9p\rho)}{8(p+1)}.$$

We deduce immediately that

$$\det B = 0 \iff \rho = 0, \rho = \frac{2p-1}{9p}, p = 1.$$

Notice that ρ must be equal to zero by excluding the other two possibilities:

(1) If $\rho \neq 0, \rho \neq \frac{2p-1}{9p}$, the linear system (2.8) has a unique solution. Thus we deduce that

$$\beta = -\frac{18l(p-5)((p+4)\rho-1)}{(p-1)(2p-1-9p\rho)}, \quad \theta = \frac{36l(p+1)(5\rho-1)}{(p-1)(2p-1-9p\rho)}.$$

Since $p \in (2, 5)$, $\frac{1}{6} < \frac{2p-1}{9p} < \frac{1}{5}$, which shows that $\theta \leq 0$ for $\rho \in [\frac{1}{5}, +\infty) \cup (-\infty, \frac{2p-1}{9p})$ and $\beta < 0$ for $\rho \in (\frac{2p-1}{9p}, \frac{1}{5})$, however, this is impossible since $\theta, \beta > 0$.

(2) If $\rho = \frac{2p-1}{9p}$, the latter two equations in (2.8) are as follows

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{p+1}{3p}\alpha + \frac{p-5}{9p}\beta + \frac{p-2}{3p}\gamma - \frac{2(p+1)(p-2)}{9p}\theta = 0, \\ -\frac{p+1}{6p}\alpha + \frac{p-5}{6p}\beta + \frac{p-2}{6p}\gamma - \frac{2(p-2)}{3p}\theta = 0, \end{cases}$$

then

$$\beta + (p-2)\theta = 0,$$

which is also impossible since $p > 2, \beta, \theta > 0$. Therefore $\rho = 0$ and $I'(u) = 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. \square

Lemma 2.6. $c \triangleq c_1 = c_2 = c_3 > 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &:= \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I(\gamma(t)), \\ c_2 &:= \inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t > 0} I(u_t), \\ c_3 &:= \inf_{u \in M} I(u), \end{aligned}$$

u_t is given in Lemma 2.2 and

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, I(\gamma(1)) \leq 0, \gamma(1) \neq 0\}.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of ([20], Lemma 2.8), where M was the manifold of Nehari-Pohožaev type. The proof consists three steps.

Step 1. $c_3 > 0$. It could be directly deduced by **Step 2** in the proof of Lemma 2.5.

Step 2. $c_2 = c_3$. Using Lemma 2.4, for every $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a unique $u_{\hat{t}} \in M$ such that $I(u_{\hat{t}}) = \max_{t>0} I(u_t)$.

Step 3. $c_2 \geq c_1 \geq c_3$. For every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we show that $\gamma([0, 1] \cap M) \neq \emptyset$. In fact, combing **Step 1** with **Step 2** in the proof of Lemma 2.5, we see that γ crosses M since $\gamma(0) = 0$, $I(\gamma(1)) \leq 0$ and $\gamma(1) \neq 0$. Therefore $\max_{t \in [0, 1]} I(\gamma(t)) \geq \inf_{u \in M} I(u) = c_3$, which implies that $c_1 \geq c_3$. Furthermore, for $u \in (H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^3)) \setminus \{0\}$, by Lemma 2.2, $I(u_{t_0}) < 0$ for t_0 large enough. Let

$$\bar{\gamma}(t) \triangleq \begin{cases} u_{tt_0}, & t > 0, \\ 0, & t = 0, \end{cases}$$

which implies that $\bar{\gamma} \in \Gamma$. Then

$$\max_{t>0} I(u_{tt_0}) \geq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I(u_{tt_0}) \geq \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I(\gamma(t)) = c_1.$$

Thus we conclude $c_2 \geq c_1$. □

If $u \in M$ such that $I(u) = c$, then u is a ground state solution of Nehari-Pohožaev type to (1.7) by Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6. Therefore we look for critical points of $I|_M$.

The following concentration-compactness principle is due to P. L. Lions' results.

Lemma 2.7. ([22, Lemma 1.1]) *Let $\{\rho_n\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative L^1 functions on \mathbb{R}^N satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \rho_n = s$, where $s > 0$ is fixed. There exists a subsequence, still denoted by $\{\rho_n\}$ satisfying one of the following three possibilities:*

(i) (Vanishing) *for all $R > 0$, it holds*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(y)} \rho_n = 0;$$

(ii) (Compactness) *there exists $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ satisfying*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R(y_n)} \rho_n \geq s - \varepsilon;$$

(iii) (Dichotomy) *there exist $\alpha \in (0, s)$ and $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$, for all $r \geq R$ and $r' \geq R$, it holds*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \alpha - \int_{B_R(y_n)} \rho_n \right| + \left| (s - \alpha) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{r'}(y_n)} \rho_n \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Lemma 2.8. *Let $r > 0$, $s \in [2, 2^*]$. If $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_r(y)} |u_n|^p = 0,$$

then we have $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $q \in (2, 2^)$. Moreover, if $p = 2^*$, $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Here $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ if $N \geq 3$ and $2^* = \infty$ if $N = 1, 2$.*

For a proof of the first part of this lemma see [23] and for the second part see [34] (and [35] for similar ideas).

Lemma 2.9. ([23, Lemma 1.32]) *Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and let $\{u_n\} \subset L^p(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. If $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $L^p(\Omega)$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e. on Ω , then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|u_n|_p^p - |u_n - u|_p^p) = |u|_p^p.$$

3 Existence of positive ground state of Nehari-Pohořaev type

In this section, we prove the existence of positive ground state solution of Nehari-Pohořaev type to (K_ε) .

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\{u_n\} \subset M$ be a minimizing sequence for c , which was given in Lemma 2.6, then there exists $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_R(y_n)} (a|\nabla u_n|^2 + |u_n|^2) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Let $\{u_n\} \subset M$ be a minimizing sequence of I at the level c , satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} I(u_n) = c > 0. \quad (3.1)$$

We define $\Psi : H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\Psi(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{a}{4} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{12} |u|^2 + \frac{p-2}{6(p+1)} |u|^{p+1} + \frac{1}{12} |u|^6 \right). \quad (3.2)$$

In fact, $\Psi(u) = I(u) - \frac{1}{6}G(u)$. For any $u \in M$, we have that $I(u) = \Psi(u) \geq 0$ and then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(u_n) = c$. By (3.2), we see that for n sufficiently large, $c+1 + \|u_n\|_H \geq \Psi(u_n) \geq \frac{1}{12} \|u_n\|_H^2$, then $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Up to a subsequence, we can assume that there exists $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. By the Rellich theorem, $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $q \in [1, 6)$. Let

$$\rho_n \triangleq \frac{a}{4} |\nabla u_n|^2 + \frac{1}{12} |u_n|^2 + \frac{p-2}{6(p+1)} |u_n|^{p+1} + \frac{1}{12} |u_n|^6, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (3.3)$$

then $\{\rho_n\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative L^1 function on \mathbb{R}^3 satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_n = \Psi(u_n) \rightarrow c > 0.$$

By Lemma 2.7, there are three cases:

(1) Vanishing: for all $R > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_R(y)} \rho_n = 0.$$

(2) Compactness: there exists $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ satisfying

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_R(y_n)} \rho_n \geq c - \varepsilon.$$

(3) Dichotomy: there exists $\alpha \in (0, c)$ and $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ satisfying

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \alpha - \int_{B_R(y_n)} \rho_n \right| + \left| (c - \alpha) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{2R}(y_n)} \rho_n \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Now we show that compactness holds for the sequence $\{\rho_n\}$ defined in (3.3).

(i) Vanishing cannot occur.

If $\{\rho_n\}$ vanishes, then $\{|u_n|^{p+1} + u_n^6\}$ are vanishes, i.e., there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_R(y)} (|u_n|^{p+1} + |u_n|^6) = 0.$$

In view of Lemma 2.8, one has $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $q \in (2, 6]$. Since $\{u_n\} \subset M$ and $p \in (2, 5)$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < c &\leq I(u_n) \\ &= I(u_n) - G(u_n) \\ &= -a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^2 - \frac{5}{4} b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 \right)^2 + \frac{p+3}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{p+1} + \frac{4}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^6 \\ &< \frac{p+3}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{p+1} + \frac{4}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^6 \\ &\rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

(ii) Dichotomy cannot occur.

If there exist $\alpha \in (0, c)$ and $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that for $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, we can choose $\{R_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ with $R_n \rightarrow \infty$ satisfying

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left| \alpha - \int_{B_{R_n}(y_n)} \rho_n \right| + \left| (c - \alpha) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{2R_n}(y_n)} \rho_n \right| < \varepsilon_n. \quad (3.4)$$

Let $\xi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a cut-off function satisfying $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$, $\xi(s) \equiv 1$ for $s \leq 1$, $\xi \equiv 0$ for $s \geq 2$ and $|\xi'(s)| \leq 2$,

$$v_n(x) \triangleq \xi\left(\frac{|x - y_n|}{R_n}\right) u_n(x), \quad w_n(x) \triangleq \left(1 - \xi\left(\frac{|x - y_n|}{R_n}\right)\right) u_n(x).$$

From (3.4) we deduce immediately that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(v_n) \geq \alpha, \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(w_n) \geq c - \alpha. \quad (3.5)$$

Denote $\Omega_n := B_{2R_n}(y_n) \setminus B_{R_n}(y_n)$, passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\int_{\Omega_n} \left(\frac{a}{4} |\nabla u_n|^2 + \frac{1}{12} |u_n|^2 + \frac{p-2}{6(p+1)} |u_n|^{p+1} + \frac{1}{12} |u_n|^6 \right) = \int_{\Omega_n} \rho_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Then,

$$\int_{\Omega_n} (a |\nabla u_n|^2 + |u_n|^2) \rightarrow 0, \quad \int_{\Omega_n} |u_n|^{p+1} \rightarrow 0, \quad \int_{\Omega_n} |u_n|^6 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_n} (a |\nabla v_n|^2 + |v_n|^2) \rightarrow 0, \quad \int_{\Omega_n} (a |\nabla w_n|^2 + |w_n|^2) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 &= a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_n|^2 + a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w_n|^2 + o(1), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w_n|^2 + o(1), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{p+1} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^{p+1} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w_n|^{p+1} + o(1), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^6 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^6 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w_n|^6 + o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 \right)^2 &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w_n|^2 + o(1) \right)^2 \\ &\geq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_n|^2 \right)^2 + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w_n|^2 \right)^2 + o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

By (3.5)(3.6),

$$\Psi(u_n) = \Psi(v_n) + \Psi(w_n) + o(1),$$

$$c = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi(u_n) \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi(v_n) + \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi(w_n) \geq \alpha + c - \alpha = c,$$

therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi(v_n) = \alpha, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi(w_n) = c - \alpha. \quad (3.8)$$

By (3.5), (3.6) and $u_n \in M$, we obtain

$$0 = G(u_n) \geq G(v_n) + G(w_n) + o(1). \quad (3.9)$$

Next, we distinguish two cases:

Case 1. Up to a subsequence, we may assume that either $G(v_n) \leq 0$ or $G(w_n) \leq 0$.

Without loss of generality, we assume $G(v_n) \leq 0$, then

$$\frac{3}{2}a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_n|^2 + \frac{5}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^2 + \frac{3}{2}b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_n|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{p+4}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^{p+1} - \frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^6 \leq 0. \quad (3.10)$$

For every n , there exists $t_n > 0$ such that $(v_n)_{t_n} \in M$ by Lemma 2.4 and then $G((v_n)_{t_n}) = 0$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{2}at_n^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_n|^2 + \frac{5}{2}t_n^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^2 + \frac{3}{2}bt_n^6 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_n|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{p+4}{p+1}t_n^{p+4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^{p+1} \\ & - \frac{3}{2}t_n^9 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^6 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Notice that (3.10) and (3.11) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{2}a(t_n^{p+1} - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_n|^2 + \frac{5}{2}(t_n^{p+1} - t_n^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^2 + \frac{3}{2}b(t_n^{p+1} - t_n^3) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_n|^2 \right)^2 \\ & - \frac{3}{2}(t_n^{p+1} - t_n^6) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^6 \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

so that $t_n \leq 1$. We would have

$$c \leq I((v_n)_{t_n}) = \Psi((v_n)_{t_n}) \leq \Psi(v_n) \rightarrow \alpha < c, \quad (3.12)$$

a contradiction.

Case 2. Up to a subsequence, we may suppose that $G(v_n) > 0$ and $G(w_n) > 0$.

We see that $G(v_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $G(w_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ by (3.9). For t_n given in Case 1, if $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} t_n \leq 1$, then we can get the same contradiction as (3.12). Assume now that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} t_n = t_0 > 1$, by (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(v_n) &= \frac{3}{2}a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_n|^2 + \frac{5}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^2 + \frac{3}{2}b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_n|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{p+4}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^{p+1} - \frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^6 \\ &= \frac{3}{2}a \left(1 - \frac{1}{t_n^{p+1}} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_n|^2 + \frac{5}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{t_n^{p-1}} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^2 + \frac{3}{2}b \left(1 - \frac{1}{t_n^{p-2}} \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_n|^2 \right)^2 \\ & \quad - \frac{3}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{t_n^{p-5}} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^6. \end{aligned}$$

Then $v_n \rightarrow 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ since $G(v_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts to (3.8) since $\alpha > 0$, which shows that dichotomy cannot occur.

Therefore, the sequence $\{\rho_n\}$ is compact, i.e., there exists $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ satisfying

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R(y_n)} \left(\frac{a}{4} |\nabla u_n|^2 + \frac{1}{12} |u_n|^2 + \frac{p-2}{6(p+1)} |u_n|^{p+1} + \frac{1}{12} |u_n|^6 \right) \geq c - \varepsilon.$$

It follows from $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi(u_n) = c$ that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_R(y_n)} a|\nabla u_n|^2 + |u_n|^2 \leq \varepsilon$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.6 Let $\{u_n\} \subset M$ be a minimizing sequence for c (see Lemma 2.6), then by Lemma 3.1, there exists $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_R(y_n)} (a|\nabla u_n|^2 + |u_n|^2) \leq \varepsilon. \quad (3.13)$$

Let $\bar{u}_n(\cdot) = u_n(\cdot - y_n) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then $\bar{u}_n \in M$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_R(0)} (a|\nabla \bar{u}_n|^2 + |\bar{u}_n|^2) \leq \varepsilon. \quad (3.14)$$

Since $\{\bar{u}_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, up to a subsequence, we may assume that there exists $\bar{u} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u}_n \rightharpoonup \bar{u}, & \text{in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ \bar{u}_n \rightarrow \bar{u}, & \text{in } L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad s \in [2, 6), \\ \bar{u}_n(x) \rightarrow \bar{u}(x), & \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_R(0)} (a|\nabla \bar{u}|^2 + |\bar{u}|^2) \leq \varepsilon. \quad (3.16)$$

By (3.14)-(3.16), for $s \in [2, 6)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\bar{u}_n - \bar{u}|^s &= \int_{B_R(0)} |\bar{u}_n - \bar{u}|^s + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_R(0)} |\bar{u}_n - \bar{u}|^s \\ &\leq \varepsilon + C(\|\bar{u}_n\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_R(0))} + \|\bar{u}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_R(0))}) \\ &\leq (1 + 2C)\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Therefore

$$\bar{u}_n \rightarrow \bar{u} \quad \text{in } L^s(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad s \in [2, 6). \quad (3.18)$$

Since $\bar{u}_n \in M$, by Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$3a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \bar{u}_n|^2 + 5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\bar{u}_n|^2 + 3b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \bar{u}_n|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{2(p+4)}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\bar{u}_n|^{p+1} - 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\bar{u}_n|^6 = 0,$$

thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 3\|\bar{u}_n\|_H^2 &\leq \frac{2(p+4)}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\bar{u}_n|^{p+1} + 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\bar{u}_n|^6 \\ &= \frac{2(p+4)}{p+1} |\bar{u}_n|_{p+1}^{p+1} + 3|\bar{u}_n|_6^6 \\ &\leq C\|\bar{u}_n\|_H^6. \end{aligned}$$

So for some $C > 0$, we get that $\|\bar{u}_n\|_H \geq C > 0$, $|\bar{u}_n|_{p+1} \geq C > 0$, which implies that $\bar{u} \neq 0$.

We claim that $\bar{u} \in M$ and $\bar{u}_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. In fact, if $G(\bar{u}) < 0$, by Lemma 2.4, there exists $0 < t_0 < 1$ such that $\bar{u}_{t_0} \in M$. Then $G(\bar{u}_{t_0}) = 0$ and

$$I(\bar{u}_{t_0}) = \Psi(\bar{u}_{t_0}) < \Psi(\bar{u}) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi(\bar{u}_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} I(\bar{u}_n) = c,$$

which contradicts the definition of c , where Ψ is given in (3.2). If $G(\bar{u}) > 0$, set $\xi_n := \bar{u}_n - \bar{u}$, by Lemma 2.9, (V_2) , we may obtain

$$G(\bar{u}_n) = G(\bar{u}) + G(\xi_n) + o_n(1). \quad (3.1)$$

Then $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(\xi_n) < 0$. By Lemma 2.4, there exists $t_n \in (0, 1)$ such that $(\xi_n)_{t_n} \in M$. Furthermore, one has that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n < 1$, otherwise, along a subsequence, $t_n \rightarrow 1$ and hence $G(\xi_n) = G((\xi_n)_{t_n}) + o_n(1) = o_n(1)$, a contradiction. It follows from $\bar{u}_n \in M$, (3.1), (V_2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & c + o_n(1) \\ &= I(\bar{u}_n) - \frac{1}{6}G(\bar{u}_n) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{a}{4} |\nabla \bar{u}_n|^2 + \frac{1}{12} |\bar{u}_n|^2 + \frac{p-2}{6(p+1)} |\bar{u}_n|^{p+1} + \frac{1}{12} |\bar{u}_n|^6 \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{a}{4} (|\nabla \bar{u}_n|^2 + |\nabla \xi_n|^2) + \frac{1}{12} (|\bar{u}_n|^2 + |\xi_n|^2) + \frac{p-2}{6(p+1)} (|\bar{u}_n|^{p+1} + |\xi_n|^{p+1}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{12} (|\bar{u}_n|^6 + |\xi_n|^6) \right) \\ &= I(\bar{u}) - \frac{1}{6}G(\bar{u}) + I(\xi_n) - \frac{1}{6}G(\xi_n) \\ &> I(\bar{u}) - \frac{1}{6}G(\bar{u}) + I((\xi_n)_{t_n}) - \frac{1}{6}G((\xi_n)_{t_n}) \\ &= I((\xi_n)_t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{a}{4} |\nabla \bar{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{12} |\bar{u}|^2 + \frac{p-2}{6(p+1)} |\bar{u}|^{p+1} + \frac{1}{12} |\bar{u}|^6 \right) \\ &\geq c, \end{aligned}$$

which is also a contradiction. So $\bar{u} \in M$. By Fatou's Lemma, we may obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c &\leq I(\bar{u}) \\ &= I(\bar{u}) - \frac{1}{6}G(\bar{u}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{a}{4} |\nabla \bar{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{12} |\bar{u}|^2 + \frac{p-2}{6(p+1)} |\bar{u}|^{p+1} + \frac{1}{12} |\bar{u}|^6 \right) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{a}{4} |\nabla \bar{u}_n|^2 + \frac{1}{12} |\bar{u}_n|^2 + \frac{p-2}{6(p+1)} |\bar{u}_n|^{p+1} + \frac{1}{12} |\bar{u}_n|^6 \right) \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(I(\bar{u}_n) - \frac{1}{6}G(\bar{u}_n) \right) \\ &= c. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\bar{u}_n \rightarrow \bar{u}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

We deduce that $\bar{u} \in M$ and $I(\bar{u}) = c$, i.e., $I|_M$ attains its minimum at \bar{u} , then \bar{u} is a nontrivial critical point of $I|_M$, hence by Lemma 2.5, we see that \bar{u} is a ground state solution of Nehari-Pohožaev type of (1.6). Note that $|\bar{u}|$ is also a ground state solution of Nehari-Pohožaev type of (1.6) since the functional I and the manifold M are symmetric, so that we can assume that $\bar{u} \geq 0$. The strong maximum principle and standard arguments [24, 25] imply that $\bar{u}(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and the Theorem 1.6 is proved. \square

If (V_1) - (V_4) , (F_1) - (F_5) hold, we apply Lemma 1.5 to prove Theorem 1.1 (1). Set $J = [\delta, 1]$, where $\delta \in (0, 1)$. We investigate a family of functionals on E_ε :

$$I_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_\varepsilon^2 + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{\sigma}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A(\varepsilon x)|u|^{p+1} - \frac{\sigma}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B(\varepsilon x)|u|^6. \quad (3.20)$$

Then let $I_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(u) = C(u) - \sigma D(u)$, where

$$C(u) := \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_\varepsilon^2 + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \|u\|_\varepsilon \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$D(u) := \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A(\varepsilon x)|u|^{p+1} + \frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B(\varepsilon x)|u|^6 \geq 0.$$

Lemma 3.4. *If (V_2) , (F_3) - (F_5) hold and $p \in (2, 5)$. Then*

- (1) *there exists $v \in E_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}$ such that $I_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(v) \leq 0$, for $\sigma \in [\delta, 1]$;*
- (2) *$c_\sigma := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{s \in [0,1]} I_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(\gamma(s)) > \max\{I_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(0), I_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(v)\}$ for $\sigma \in [\delta, 1]$, where $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], E_\varepsilon) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = v\}$.*

Proof. The proof is standard and we omit it here. \square

Lemma 3.4 means that $I_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(u)$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 1.5 with $X = E_\varepsilon$ and $\Phi_\sigma = I_{\varepsilon,\sigma}$. We then obtain immediately, for a.e. $\sigma \in [\delta, 1]$, there exists a bounded sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E_\varepsilon$ such that $I_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(u_n) \rightarrow c_\sigma$, $I'_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in E_ε .

Lemma 3.5. ([16], Lemma 2.3) *Under the assumptions of Lemma 1.5, the map $\sigma \rightarrow c_\sigma$ is non-increasing and left continuous.*

By Theorem 1.6, we conclude that for any $\sigma \in [\delta, 1]$, the associated limit problem (1.5) has a positive ground state solution of Nehari-Pohožaev type in E_ε , i.e., for any $\sigma \in [\delta, 1]$,

$$m_\sigma^\infty := \inf_{u \in M_\sigma^\infty} I_\sigma^\infty(u) \quad (3.21)$$

is achieved at some $u_\sigma^\infty \in M_\sigma^\infty := \{u \in E_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\} \mid G_\sigma^\infty(u) = 0\}$, and $(I_\sigma^\infty)'(u_\sigma^\infty) = 0$, where

$$G_\sigma^\infty := \frac{3a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{5}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_\infty |u|^2 + \frac{3b}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{(p+4)\sigma}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A_\infty |u|^{p+1} - \frac{3\sigma}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B_\infty |u|^6. \quad (3.22)$$

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose that (V_1) , (V_2) , (F_3) , (F_4) hold, $2 < p < 5$. Then $c_\sigma < m_\sigma^\infty$ for $\sigma \in [\delta, 1]$.*

Proof. Let u_σ^∞ be the minimizer of m_σ^∞ , by Lemma 2.4, $I_\sigma^\infty(u_\sigma^\infty) = \max_{t>0} I_\sigma^\infty(tu_\sigma^\infty(t^{-1}x))$.

Then, for $\sigma \in [\delta, 1]$,

$$c_\sigma \leq \max_{t>0} I_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(tu_\sigma^\infty(t^{-1}x)) < \max_{t>0} I_\sigma^\infty(tu_\sigma^\infty(t^{-1}x)) = I_\sigma^\infty(u_\sigma^\infty) = m_\sigma^\infty.$$

□

Next, we need the following global compactness lemma of critical type, which is adopted to prove that the functional $I_{\varepsilon,\sigma}$ satisfies $(PS)_{c_\sigma}$ condition for a.e. $\sigma \in [\delta, 1]$.

Lemma 3.7. *If (V_2) , (F_3) , (F_4) hold and $p \in (2, 5)$. For $c > 0$ and $\sigma \in [\delta, 1]$, and let $\{u_n\} \subset E_\varepsilon$ be a bounded $(PS)_c$ sequence for $I_{\varepsilon,\sigma}$, then there exists $u \in E_\varepsilon$ and $\varrho \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $J'_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(u) = 0$, where*

$$J_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(u) = \frac{a + b\varrho^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon x)|u|^2 - \frac{\sigma}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A(\varepsilon x)|u|^{p+1} - \frac{\sigma}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B(\varepsilon x)|u|^6 \quad (3.23)$$

and either

(i) $u_n \rightarrow u$ in E_ε , or

(ii) there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{y_n^k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with $|y_n^k| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $1 \leq k \leq j$, nontrivial solutions w^1, \dots, w^j of the following problem

$$-(a + b\varrho^2)\Delta u + V_\infty u = \sigma A_\infty |u|^{p-1}u + \sigma B_\infty |u|^4 u \quad (3.24)$$

such that

$$c + \frac{b\varrho^4}{4} = J_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(u) + \sum_{k=1}^j J_\sigma^\infty(w^k),$$

where

$$J_\sigma^\infty(u) = \frac{a + b\varrho^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_\infty |u|^2 - \frac{\sigma}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A_\infty |u|^{p+1} - \frac{\sigma}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B_\infty |u|^6, \quad (3.25)$$

$$\left\| u_n - u - \sum_{k=1}^j w^k(\cdot - y_n^k) \right\|_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad \varrho^2 = |\nabla u|_2^2 + \sum_{k=1}^j |\nabla w^k|_2^2.$$

Proof. Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in E_ε , there exists $u \in E_\varepsilon$ and $\varrho \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } E_\varepsilon, \quad (3.26)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 \rightarrow \varrho^2. \quad (3.27)$$

From (V_2) one deduces immediately that E_ε is embedded continuously into $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and therefore also into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $q \in [2, 6]$. Then the fact $I'_{\varepsilon, \sigma}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ implies that for $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a \nabla u \nabla \varphi + V(\varepsilon x) u \varphi) + b \varrho^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla u \nabla \varphi - \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A(\varepsilon x) |u|^{p-1} u \varphi - \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B(\varepsilon x) |u|^4 u \varphi = 0,$$

i.e., $J'_{\varepsilon, \sigma}(u) = 0$, where

$$J_{\varepsilon, \sigma}(u) = \frac{a + b \varrho^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon x) |u|^2 - \frac{\sigma}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A(\varepsilon x) |u|^{p+1} - \frac{\sigma}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B(\varepsilon x) |u|^6.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\varepsilon, \sigma}(u_n) &= I_{\varepsilon, \sigma}(u_n) + \frac{b \varrho^4}{4} + o(1), \\ \langle J'_{\varepsilon, \sigma}(u_n), \varphi \rangle &= \langle I'_{\varepsilon, \sigma}(u_n), \varphi \rangle + o(1), \quad \varphi \in E_\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$J_{\varepsilon, \sigma}(u_n) \rightarrow c + \frac{b \varrho^4}{4}, \quad J'_{\varepsilon, \sigma}(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } E_\varepsilon^{-1}. \quad (3.28)$$

We claim that either (i) or (ii) holds.

Step 1. Set $u_n^1 = u_n - u$, by (3.26), (3.28), Lemma 2.9, (V_2) , (F_3) and (F_4) , we have that

$$(a.1) \quad |\nabla u_n^1|_2^2 = |\nabla u_n|_2^2 - |\nabla u|_2^2 + o(1),$$

$$(b.1) \quad |u_n^1|_2^2 = |u_n|_2^2 - |u|_2^2 + o(1),$$

$$(c.1) \quad J_\sigma^\infty(u_n^1) \rightarrow c + \frac{b \varrho^4}{4} - J_{\varepsilon, \sigma}(u),$$

$$(d.1) \quad (J_\sigma^\infty)'(u_n^1) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } E_\varepsilon^{-1}.$$

Let

$$\sigma^1 = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_1(y)} (|u_n^1|^{p+1} + |u_n^1|^6).$$

Vanishing: If $\sigma^1 = 0$, applying Lemma 2.8 we conclude that $u_n^1 \rightarrow 0$ in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $s \in (2, 6]$. By

(d.1), we can obtain that $u_n^1 \rightarrow 0$ in E_ε and the proof is completed.

Non-vanishing: If $\sigma^1 > 0$, then there exists sequence $\{y_n^1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$\int_{B_1(y_n^1)} (|u_n^1|^{p+1} + |u_n^1|^6) > \frac{\sigma^1}{2}.$$

Set $w_n^1 \triangleq u_n^1(\cdot + y_n^1)$. Then $\{w_n^1\}$ is bounded in E_ε and we may assume that $w_n^1 \rightharpoonup w^1$ in E_ε .

Hence by (d.1), we obtain $(J_\sigma^\infty)'(w^1) = 0$. Since

$$\int_{B_1(0)} (|w_n^1|^{p+1} + |w_n^1|^6) > \frac{\sigma^1}{2},$$

we see that $w^1 \neq 0$. Moreover, $u_n^1 \rightarrow 0$ in E_ε implies that $\{y_n^1\}$ is unbounded. Hence, we may assume that $|y_n^1| \rightarrow \infty$.

Step 2. Set $u_n^2 = u_n - u - w^1(\cdot - y_n^1)$. Similarly, we have

$$(a.2) \quad |\nabla u_n^2|_2^2 = |\nabla u_n|_2^2 - |\nabla u|_2^2 - |\nabla w^1|_2^2 + o(1),$$

$$(b.2) \quad |u_n^2|_2^2 = |u_n|_2^2 - |u|_2^2 - |w^1|_2^2 + o(1),$$

$$(c.2) \quad J_\sigma^\infty(u_n^2) \rightarrow c + \frac{b\varrho^4}{4} - J_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(u) - J_\sigma^\infty(w^1),$$

$$(d.2) \quad (J_\sigma^\infty)'(u_n^2) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } E_\varepsilon^{-1}.$$

Let

$$\sigma^2 = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_1(y)} (|u_n^2|^{p+1} + |u_n^2|^6).$$

If vanishing occurs, then $\|u_n^2\|_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, i.e., $\|u_n - u - w^1(\cdot - y_n^1)\|_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, by (3.26), (a.2) and (c.2), we have that

$$\varrho^2 = |\nabla u|_2^2 + |\nabla w^1|_2^2, \quad c + \frac{b\varrho^4}{4} = J_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(u) + J_\sigma^\infty(w^1).$$

If non-vanishing occurs, then there exist sequence $\{y_n^2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and $w^2 \in E_\varepsilon$ such that $w_n^2 \triangleq u_n^2(\cdot + y_n^2) \rightharpoonup w^2$ in E_ε . Then by (d.2), we see that $(J_\sigma^\infty)'(w^2) = 0$. Moreover, $u_n^2 \rightharpoonup 0$ in E_ε implies that $|y_n^2| \rightarrow \infty$ and $|y_n^2 - y_n^1| \rightarrow \infty$.

We next proceed by iteration. Recall that if w^k is a nontrivial solution of I_σ^∞ , then $I_\sigma^\infty(w^k) > 0$. Then there exists some finite $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that only the vanishing case occurs in Step j . \square

Lemma 3.8. *If (V₁)-(V₃), (F₁)-(F₄) hold and $p \in (2, 5)$. For $\sigma \in [\delta, 1]$, let $\{u_n\} \subset E_\varepsilon$ be a bounded $(PS)_{c_\sigma}$ sequence of $I_{\varepsilon,\sigma}$, then there exists $u_\sigma \in E_\varepsilon$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u_\sigma$ in E_ε .*

Proof. By Lemma 3.7, for $\sigma \in [\delta, 1]$, there exists $u_\sigma \in E_\varepsilon$ and $\varrho_\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$u_n \rightarrow u_\sigma \text{ in } E_\varepsilon, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 \rightarrow \varrho_\sigma^2,$$

$J'_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(u_\sigma) = 0$ and either (i) or (ii) occurs, where $J_{\varepsilon,\sigma}$ is given in (3.23). If (ii) occurs, i.e., there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{y_n^k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with $|y_n^k| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $1 \leq k \leq j$, nontrivial solutions w^1, \dots, w^j of problem (3.24) such that

$$c_\sigma + \frac{b\varrho_\sigma^4}{4} = J_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(u_\sigma) + \sum_{k=1}^j J_\sigma^\infty(w^k),$$

$$\left\| u_n - u_\sigma - \sum_{k=1}^j w^k(\cdot - y_n^k) \right\|_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\varrho_\sigma^2 = |\nabla u_\sigma|_2^2 + \sum_{k=1}^j |\nabla w^k|_2^2, \tag{3.29}$$

where J_σ^∞ is given in (3.25).

Set

$$\begin{cases} \alpha \triangleq a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_\sigma|^2, & \beta \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon x) |u_\sigma|^2, \\ \bar{\beta} \triangleq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla V(\varepsilon x), x) |u_\sigma|^2, & \gamma \triangleq b \varrho_\sigma^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_\sigma|^2, \\ \theta \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A(\varepsilon x) |u_\sigma|^{p+1}, & \bar{\theta} \triangleq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla A(\varepsilon x), x) |u_\sigma|^{p+1}, \\ \xi \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B(\varepsilon x) |u_\sigma|^6, & \bar{\xi} \triangleq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla B(\varepsilon x), x) |u_\sigma|^6. \end{cases}$$

By Pohožaev identity applied to (K_ε) and $J'_{\varepsilon, \sigma}(u_\sigma) = 0$, we have that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\alpha + \frac{3}{2}\beta + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma - \frac{3}{p+1}\sigma\theta - \frac{1}{p+1}\sigma\bar{\theta} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma\xi - \frac{1}{6}\sigma\bar{\xi} = P_\varepsilon(u) = 0, \\ \frac{1}{2}\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\beta + \frac{1}{4}\gamma - \frac{\sigma}{p+1}\theta - \frac{1}{6}\sigma\xi = J_{\varepsilon, \sigma}(u_\sigma) - \frac{1}{4}\gamma, \\ \alpha + \beta + \gamma - \sigma\theta - \sigma\xi = J'_{\varepsilon, \sigma}(u_\sigma) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $\alpha, \gamma, \theta, \xi$ must be nonnegative and $(V_3), (F_1), (F_2)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 6 \left(J_{\varepsilon, \sigma}(u_\sigma) - \frac{1}{4}\gamma \right) - P_\varepsilon(u) - J'_{\varepsilon, \sigma}(u_\sigma) &= \frac{3}{2}\alpha + \frac{1}{2}(\beta - \bar{\beta}) + \frac{p-2}{p+1}\sigma\theta + \frac{1}{p+1}\sigma\bar{\theta} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma\xi + \frac{1}{6}\sigma\bar{\xi} \\ &\geq \frac{p-2}{p+1}\sigma\theta \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$J_{\varepsilon, \sigma}(u_\sigma) \geq \frac{b\varrho_\sigma^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_\sigma|^2. \quad (3.30)$$

For every nontrivial solution $w^k (k = 1, \dots, j)$ of problem (3.24), i.e., $(J_\sigma^\infty)'(w^k) = 0$. Recall that w^k satisfies the Pohožaev identity

$$P_\sigma(w^k) \triangleq \frac{a + b\varrho_\sigma^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w^k|^2 + \frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_\infty |w^k|^2 - \frac{3\sigma}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A_\infty |w^k|^{p+1} - \frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B_\infty |w^k|^6 = 0,$$

and using (3.29), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle (J_\sigma^\infty)'(w^k), w^k \rangle + P_\sigma(w^k) \\ &= \frac{3(a + b\varrho_\sigma^2)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w^k|^2 + \frac{5}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_\infty |w^k|^2 - \frac{(p+4)\sigma}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A_\infty |w^k|^{p+1} - \frac{3\sigma}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B_\infty |w^k|^6 \\ &\geq G_\sigma^\infty(w^k). \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists $t_k \in (0, 1]$ such that $t_k w^k(t_k^{-1}x) \in M_\sigma^\infty$. So by (3.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\sigma^\infty(w^k) &= \left(J_\sigma^\infty(w^k) - \frac{\langle (J_\sigma^\infty)'(w^k), w^k \rangle + \bar{Q}(w^k)}{p+4} - \frac{b\varrho_\sigma^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w^k|^2 \right) + \frac{b\varrho_\sigma^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w^k|^2 \\ &\geq I_\sigma^\infty(t_k w^k(t_k^{-1}x)) + \frac{b\varrho_\sigma^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w^k|^2 \\ &\geq m_\sigma^\infty + \frac{b\varrho_\sigma^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w^k|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

From (3.29)-(3.31) we see that

$$c_\sigma + \frac{b\rho_\sigma^4}{4} = J_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(u_\sigma) + \sum_{k=1}^j J_\sigma^\infty(w^k) \geq m_\sigma^\infty + \frac{b\rho_\sigma^4}{4},$$

i.e., $c_\sigma \geq m_\sigma^\infty$, which contradicts to Lemma 3.6. So (i) holds, i.e., $u_n \rightarrow u_\sigma$ in E_ε and then $I_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(u_\sigma) = c_\sigma$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (existence). We complete the proof in two steps.

Step 1. By Lemma 1.5, Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.8, for a.e. $\sigma \in [\delta, 1]$, there exists a nontrivial critical point $u_\sigma \in E_\varepsilon$ for $I_{\varepsilon,\sigma}$ and $I_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(u_\sigma) = c_\sigma$. Taking a sequence $\{\sigma_n\} \subset [\delta, 1]$ satisfying $\sigma_n \rightarrow 1$, then we have a sequence nontrivial critical point $\{u_{\sigma_n}\}$ of I_{ε,σ_n} and $I_{\varepsilon,\sigma_n}(u_{\sigma_n}) = c_{\sigma_n}$. We claim that $\{u_{\sigma_n}\}$ is bounded in E_ε . Indeed, let

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_n \triangleq a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_{\sigma_n}|^2, & \beta_n \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon x) |u_{\sigma_n}|^2, \\ \bar{\beta}_n \triangleq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla V(\varepsilon x), x) |u_{\sigma_n}|^2, & \gamma_n \triangleq b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_{\sigma_n}|^2 \right)^2, \\ \theta_n \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A(\varepsilon x) |u_{\sigma_n}|^{p+1}, & \bar{\theta}_n \triangleq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla A(\varepsilon x), x) |u_{\sigma_n}|^{p+1}, \\ \xi_n \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B(\varepsilon x) |u_{\sigma_n}|^6, & \bar{\xi}_n \triangleq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla B(\varepsilon x), x) |u_{\sigma_n}|^6, \end{cases}$$

we get

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\alpha_n + \frac{3}{2}\beta_n + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\beta}_n + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_n - \frac{3}{p+1}\sigma_n\theta_n - \frac{1}{p+1}\sigma_n\bar{\theta}_n - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_n\xi_n - \frac{1}{6}\sigma_n\bar{\xi}_n = P_\varepsilon(u) = 0, \\ \frac{1}{2}\alpha_n + \frac{1}{2}\beta_n + \frac{1}{4}\gamma_n - \frac{1}{p+1}\sigma_n\theta_n - \frac{1}{6}\sigma_n\xi_n = I_{\varepsilon,\sigma_n}(u_{\sigma_n}) = c_{\sigma_n}, \\ \alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n - \sigma_n\theta_n - \sigma_n\xi_n = I'_{\varepsilon,\sigma_n}(u_{\sigma_n}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} 6c_\delta &\geq 6c_{\sigma_n} = 6c_{\sigma_n} - P_\varepsilon(u) - I'_{\varepsilon,\sigma_n}(u_{\sigma_n}) \\ &= \frac{3}{2}\alpha_n + \frac{1}{2}(\beta_n - \bar{\beta}_n) + \frac{p-2}{p+1}\sigma_n\theta_n + \frac{1}{p+1}\sigma_n\bar{\theta}_n + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_n\xi_n + \frac{1}{6}\sigma_n\bar{\xi}_n, \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

$$c_{\sigma_n} = c_{\sigma_n} - \frac{1}{4}I'_{\varepsilon,\sigma_n}(u_{\sigma_n}) = \frac{1}{4}(\alpha_n + \beta_n) + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right)\sigma_n\theta_n + \frac{1}{12}\sigma_n\xi_n. \quad (3.33)$$

We then obtain immediately, by the assumptions (V_3) , (F_1) , (F_2) , $\beta_n - \bar{\beta}_n \geq 0$, $\bar{\theta}_n \geq 0$, $\bar{\xi}_n \geq 0$ and $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \theta_n, \xi_n > 0$. This means that θ_n, ξ_n are bounded from (3.32), hence by (3.33), $\alpha_n + \beta_n$ is bounded, i.e., $\{u_{\sigma_n}\}$ is bounded in E_ε . Then by Lemma 3.5, we see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{\varepsilon,1}(u_{\sigma_n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(I_{\varepsilon,\sigma_n}(u_{\sigma_n}) + (\sigma_n - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_{\sigma_n}|^{p+1} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{\sigma_n} = c_1,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle I'_{\varepsilon,1}(u_{\sigma_n}), \varphi \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\langle I'_{\varepsilon,\sigma_n}(u_{\sigma_n}), \varphi \rangle + (\sigma_n - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_{\sigma_n}|^{p-1} u_{\sigma_n} \varphi \right) = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in E_\varepsilon,$$

i.e., $\{u_{\sigma_n}\}$ is a bounded $(PS)_{c_1}$ sequence for $I_\varepsilon = I_{\varepsilon,1}$. Therefore by Lemma 3.8, there exists a nontrivial critical point $u_1 \in E_\varepsilon$ for I_ε and $I_\varepsilon(u_1) = c_1$.

Step 2. Next we prove the existence of a ground state solution of Nehari-Pohožaev type for problem (1.1). Set

$$m = \inf_X I_\varepsilon(u), \quad \text{where } X := \{u \in E_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}, I'_\varepsilon(u) = 0\}.$$

Then we see that $0 < m \leq I_\varepsilon(u_1) = c_1 < +\infty$. Indeed, for all $u \in X$, we have $I'_\varepsilon(u)u = 0$, i.e.,

$$\|u\|_\varepsilon^2 + b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A(\varepsilon x) |u|^{p+1} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B(\varepsilon x) |u|^6 = 0.$$

and

$$\|u\|_\varepsilon^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A(\varepsilon x) |u|^{p+1} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B(\varepsilon x) |u|^6 \leq A_0 |u|_{p+1}^{p+1} + B_0 |u|_6^6 \leq 2C \|u\|_\varepsilon^6.$$

So for some $C > 0$, $\|u\|_\varepsilon \geq C > 0$. On the other hand, the Pohožaev identity (2.1) holds, i.e., $P_\varepsilon(u) = 0$. Now we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} I_\varepsilon(u) &= I_\varepsilon(u) - \frac{1}{3} P_\varepsilon(u) \\ &= \frac{a}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla V(\varepsilon x), x) u^2 + \frac{1}{12} b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon}{3(p+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla A(\varepsilon x), x) |u|^{p+1} + \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla B(\varepsilon x), x) |u|^6. \end{aligned}$$

By (V₄) and Hardy inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2},$$

we infer

$$I_\varepsilon(u) \geq \frac{a-l}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \geq 0. \quad (3.34)$$

Therefore, we obtain $m \geq 0$. In the following let us rule out $m = 0$. By contradiction, let $\{u_n\}$ be a $(PS)_0$ sequence of I_ε . From (3.34), we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 = 0$. This conclusion combined with $I'_\varepsilon(u)u = 0$ imply $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(\varepsilon x) |u_n|^2 = 0$. Therefore, we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_\varepsilon = 0$, a contradiction with $\|u\|_\varepsilon \geq C > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence of nontrivial critical points of I_ε satisfying $I_\varepsilon(u_n) \rightarrow m$, using the same arguments as in **Step 1**, we conclude that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in E_ε , i.e., $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded $(PS)_m$ sequence of I_ε . By Lemma 3.8 and strong maximum principle, there exists a nontrivial $u \in E_\varepsilon$ such that $I_\varepsilon(u) = m$ and $I'_\varepsilon(u) = 0$ and $u(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$. So u is a positive ground state solution for problem (1.1). The proof is completed. \square

4 Concentration of positive ground state of Nehari-Pohožaev type

In this section, we will adopt the family $v_\varepsilon(x) = u_\varepsilon(\varepsilon x)$ to study the behavior of the solution $u_\varepsilon(x)$ of (SK_ε) . Without loss of generality, we assume $0 \in \Lambda \cap \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$. Here, we give the associated autonomous problem

$$\begin{cases} - \left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \Delta u + V_0 u = A_0 |u|^{p-1} u + B_0 |u|^4 u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad u > 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (K_0)$$

which will be used to prove the concentration of positive ground state solutions. We give the following energy functional associated to (K_0)

$$I_0(u) = \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_0 |u|^2 + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A_0 |u|^{p+1} - \frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B_0 |u|^6, \quad (4.1)$$

and set

$$M_0 = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\} : G_0(u) = 0\}, \quad m_0 = \inf_{u \in M_0} I_0(u),$$

where $G_0(u) = \langle I_0'(u), u \rangle + P_0(u)$,

$$P_0(u) := \frac{1}{2} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_0 |u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} b \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{3}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A_0 |u|^{p+1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B_0 |u|^6 = 0$$

is the Pohožaev equality applied to (4.1).

Lemma 4.1. *(K_0) has a positive ground state solution of Nehari-Pohožaev type in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. In particular, there exists a minimizer of m_0 .*

Proof. According to Section 2 and Section 3, M_0 has some similar properties to those of the manifold M , such as containing all the nontrivial critical points of I_0 . The proof which is similar to Theorem 1.6, and is omitted here. \square

Lemma 4.2. $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} c_\varepsilon = m_0$.

Proof. Let $\kappa \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, [0, 1])$ be a function such that $\kappa(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\kappa(x) = 0$ if $|x| \geq 1$. For every $R > 0$ and every $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, set $u_R(x) = \Psi_R(x)u_0$, here u_0 is a positive ground state solution of Nehari-Pohožaev type of (K_0) and $\Psi_R(x) = \kappa(\frac{x}{R})$. By Lebesgue Theorem, we have

$$u_R \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.2)$$

For every $\varepsilon, R > 0$, there exists $t_{\varepsilon, R} > 0$ such that

$$I_\varepsilon(t_{\varepsilon, R} u_R) = \max_{t \geq 0} I_\varepsilon(t u_R).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{t_{\varepsilon,R}^2} \int_{B_R(0)} (a|\nabla u_R|^2 + V(\varepsilon x)|u_R|^2) + b \left(\int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u_R|^2 \right)^2 \\ &= t_{\varepsilon,R}^{p-3} \int_{B_R(0)} A(\varepsilon x)|u_R|^{p+1} + t_{\varepsilon,R}^2 \int_{B_R(0)} B(\varepsilon x)|u_R|^6, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{t_{\varepsilon,R}^2} \int_{B_R(0)} (a|\nabla u_R|^2 + |V|_{\infty(|x|<R)}|u_R|^2) + b \left(\int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u_R|^2 \right)^2 \\ & \geq t_{\varepsilon,R}^{p-3} \int_{B_R(0)} A_{\infty}|u_R|^{p+1} + t_{\varepsilon,R}^2 \int_{B_R(0)} B_{\infty}|u_R|^6. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.3) and the last inequality we see that

$$0 < \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} t_{\varepsilon,R} = t_R < \infty,$$

for every $R > 0$. Let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ in (4.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{t_R^2} \int_{B_R(0)} (a|\nabla u_R|^2 + V_0|u_R|^2) + b \left(\int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u_R|^2 \right)^2 \\ &= t_R^{p-3} \int_{B_R(0)} A_0|u_R|^{p+1} + t_R^2 \int_{B_R(0)} B_0|u_R|^6. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Combing (4.2) with (4.4), we get $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} t_R = 1$ and $I_0(t_R u_R) = \max_{t \geq 0} I_0(t u_R)$. Therefore, $c_{\varepsilon} \leq \max_{t \geq 0} I_{\varepsilon}(t u_R) = I_{\varepsilon}(t_{\varepsilon,R} u_R)$ implies $\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} c_{\varepsilon} \leq I_0(t_R u_R)$. Using (4.2), we deduce that $\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} c_{\varepsilon} \leq m_0$. In order to prove $\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} c_{\varepsilon} \geq m_0$, it suffices to verify that $c_{\varepsilon} \geq m_0$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon^*)$. In fact, we assume on the contrary that $c_{\varepsilon_0^*} < m_0$ for some $\varepsilon_0^* \in (0, \varepsilon^*)$. From the part (1) of Theorem 1.1, there exists $u_{\varepsilon_0^*} \in E_{\varepsilon_0^*} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $c_{\varepsilon_0^*} = I_{\varepsilon_0^*}(u_{\varepsilon_0^*}) = \max_{t > 0} I_{\varepsilon_0^*}(t u_{\varepsilon_0^*}) < m_0$. By the definition of m_0 , we see that $m_0 \leq \max_{t > 0} I_0(t u_{\varepsilon_0^*})$. Since $V_0 \leq V(\varepsilon_0^* x)$, $A_0 \geq A(\varepsilon_0^* x)$, $B_0 \geq B(\varepsilon_0^* x)$, we have $m_0 > \max_{t > 0} I_{\varepsilon_0^*}(t u_{\varepsilon_0^*}) \geq \max_{t > 0} I_0(t u_{\varepsilon_0^*}) \geq m_0$, which is impossible and therefore $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} c_{\varepsilon} = m_0$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $v_n(x) = v_{\varepsilon_n}(x)$ and $\{v_n\} \subset M_0$ be a sequence satisfying $I_0(v_n) \rightarrow m_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If (V_2) and (F_5) hold, for the family v_{ε} satisfying $I_{\varepsilon}(v_{\varepsilon}) = c_{\varepsilon}$ and $I'_{\varepsilon}(v_{\varepsilon}) = 0$, there exist $\varepsilon^* > 0$, a family $\{y_{\varepsilon}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that the family $\{\varepsilon y_{\varepsilon}\}$ is bounded. In particular, if x_0 is limit of the sequence $\{\varepsilon_n y_{\varepsilon_n}\}$ in the family $\{\varepsilon y_{\varepsilon}\}$, then we have $V(x_0) = V_0$ and $x_0 \in \Lambda \cap \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$.*

Proof. Using Lemma 4.1, $w_n \rightarrow w$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. By Fatou's Lemma, $G_0(w) = 0$ and $\{w_n\} \subset M_0$,

we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
m_0 &\leq I_0(w) < I_\infty(w) - \frac{1}{6}G_0(w) \\
&= \frac{a}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w|^2 + \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (V_\infty - V_0)|w|^2 + \frac{p-2}{6(p+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (A_0 - A_\infty)|w|^{p+1} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (B_0 - B_\infty)|w|^6 \\
&\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{a}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w_n|^2 + \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (V_\infty - V_0)|w_n|^2 + \frac{p-2}{6(p+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (A_0 - A_\infty)|w_n|^{p+1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (B_0 - B_\infty)|w_n|^6 \right) \quad (4.5) \\
&= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{\varepsilon_n}(v_n) \\
&= m_0,
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, $\{\varepsilon_n y_n\}$ is bounded and up to a subsequence, $\varepsilon_n y_n \rightarrow x_0$. Consider the functional $I_{x_0} : H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$I_{x_0}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a|\nabla u|^2 + V(x_0)u^2) + \frac{b}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A(x_0)|u|^{p+1} + \frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B(x_0)|u|^6.$$

Then, if $V(x_0) > V_0$, we can get a contradiction by using I_{x_0} to take the place of I_∞ in (4.5). It follows from (V_2) that $V(x_0) = V_0$, i.e., $x_0 \in \Lambda$. By (F_5) , if $x_0 \notin \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$, that is, $A(x_0) < A_0$ or $B(x_0) < B_0$, then $I_0(\bar{w}) < I_{x_0}(\bar{w})$. Hence, we can also obtain a contradiction by repeating the same arguments used above. Therefore, $x_0 \in \Lambda \cap \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$. \square

In the following, we introduce two Lemmas, which is a key point in the study of concentration of positive ground state solutions of Nehari-Pohožaev type of (SK_ε) .

Lemma 4.4. ([28]) *Let $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfies*

$$-\Delta u + (b(x) - q(x))u = h(x, u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3,$$

and $h : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a Caratheodory function satisfying

$$0 \leq h(x, v) \leq C_h(v^r + v) \text{ for all } v > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

where $b : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a $L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ function, $q(x) \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $1 < r < 5$. Then, $u \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for all $s \geq 2$. Moreover, there is a positive constant C_s depending on s , $q(x)$ and C_h , such that $|u|_s \leq C_s \|u\|_H$.

Lemma 4.5. ([29]) *Suppose that $t > 3$, $g \in L^{\frac{t}{2}}(\Omega)$ and $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ satisfy in the weak sense*

$$-\Delta u \leq g(x) \text{ in } \Omega,$$

where Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^3 . Then, for every ball $B_{2R}(y) \subset \Omega$, $R > 0$,

$$\sup_{x \in B_R(y)} u(x) \leq C(|u^+|_{L^2(B_R(y))} + |g|_{L^2(B_R(y))}),$$

where C depends on t and R .

Lemma 4.6. $w_n \rightarrow w$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where the sequence $\{w_n\}$ has been given in Lemma 4.3. Furthermore, there exists $\varepsilon^* > 0$ such that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} w_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ uniformly on $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon^*)$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.3 that $w_n \rightarrow w$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Hence, we have

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \geq R} (w_n^2 + w_n^6) = 0, \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.6)$$

Let $B_n^1 = a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w_n|^2$, then there exists $c > 0$ such that $0 < a < B_n^1 \leq c$. It follows from Lemma 4.3 that w_n solves

$$-\Delta w_n + \frac{V(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n y_n)}{B_n^1} w_n = \frac{A(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n y_n)}{B_n^1} |w_n|^{p-1} w_n + \frac{B(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n y_n)}{B_n^1} |w_n|^4 w_n.$$

By Lemma 4.4 with $q(x) = \frac{B(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n y_n)}{B_n^1} |w_n|^4 \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $b(x) = \frac{V(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n y_n)}{B_n^1}$, $h(x, w_n) = \frac{A(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n y_n)}{B_n^1} |w_n|^{p-1} w_n$, we obtain $w_n \in L^t(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for all $t \geq 2$ and $|w_n|_t \leq C_t \|w_n\|_H$. Set

$$g_n(x) = \frac{A(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n y_n)}{B_n^1} |w_n|^{p-1} w_n + \frac{B(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n y_n)}{B_n^1} |w_n|^4 w_n,$$

then, we have $|g_n|_{\frac{t}{2}} \leq C$ for $t > 3$ and all n . Using Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\sup_{x \in B_1(y)} w_n(x) \leq C(|w_n|_{L^2(B_1(y))} + |g_n|_{L^2(B_1(y))}), \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (4.7)$$

which implies that $|w_n|_\infty$ is uniformly bounded for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Combing (4.6) with (4.7), we see that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} w_n(x) = 0$ uniformly on $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, there exists $\varepsilon^* > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} w_\varepsilon(x) = 0 \quad \text{uniformly on } \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon^*).$$

□

Now we consider the exponential decay of solutions w_ε .

Lemma 4.7. *There exist constants $C > 0$ and $c > 0$ such that*

$$w_\varepsilon(x) \leq C e^{-c|x|}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (4.8)$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.6, there exist $R, \varepsilon^* > 0$ such that

$$\frac{A(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon)}{(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w_\varepsilon|^2)} |w_\varepsilon|^{p-1} + \frac{B(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon)}{(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w_\varepsilon|^2)} |w_\varepsilon|^4 \leq \frac{V_0}{2(a + bM)}$$

for all $|x| \geq R$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon^*)$, where $M \geq \|w_\varepsilon\|_H^2$ uniformly for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon^*)$. Fix $\varphi(x) = C e^{-c|x|}$ with $c^2 < \frac{V_0}{2(a+bM)}$ and $C e^{-c|x|} \geq w_\varepsilon$ for all $|x| = R$. Then

$$\Delta \varphi \leq c^2 \varphi, \quad \text{for all } x \neq 0. \quad (4.9)$$

Since $w_\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
-\Delta w_\varepsilon + \frac{V_0}{a + bM} w_\varepsilon &\leq -\Delta w_\varepsilon + \frac{V_0}{(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w_\varepsilon|^2)} w_\varepsilon \\
&\leq \frac{A(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon)}{(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w_\varepsilon|^2)} |w_\varepsilon|^{p-1} w_\varepsilon + \frac{B(\varepsilon x + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon)}{(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w_\varepsilon|^2)} |w_\varepsilon|^4 w_\varepsilon \\
&\leq \frac{V_0}{2(a + bM)} w_\varepsilon, \quad \text{for } |x| \geq R.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Let $\varphi_\varepsilon = \varphi - w_\varepsilon$. Combining (4.9) with (4.10), we have

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \varphi_\varepsilon + \frac{V_0}{2(a + bM)} \varphi_\varepsilon \geq 0 & \text{in } |x| \geq R, \\ \varphi_\varepsilon \geq 0 & \text{on } |x| = R, \\ \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_\varepsilon(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The maximum principle implies that $\varphi_\varepsilon \geq 0$ in $|x| \geq R$, and we obtain

$$w_\varepsilon(x) \leq C e^{-c|x|} \quad \text{for all } |x| \geq R \text{ and } \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon^*).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (concentration). From Lemma 4.6 and $w_\varepsilon = v_\varepsilon(x + y_\varepsilon)$, there exists $R > 0$ such that the global maximum point of w_ε , denoted by k_ε , is located in $B_R(0)$. Then, the global maximum point of v_ε , given by $z_\varepsilon = y_\varepsilon + k_\varepsilon$, satisfies $\varepsilon z_\varepsilon = \varepsilon y_\varepsilon + \varepsilon k_\varepsilon$. Notice that $u_\varepsilon(x) = v_\varepsilon(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$, then we see that $u_\varepsilon(x)$ is a positive ground state solution of Nehari-Pohožaev type to (SK_ε) with $\varepsilon > 0$ and has a global maximum point $x_\varepsilon = \varepsilon z_\varepsilon$. By Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.6, we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} V(x_\varepsilon) = V_0$. From the definition of u_ε and (4.8), we deduce that

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = v_\varepsilon\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) = w_\varepsilon\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} - y_\varepsilon\right) = w_\varepsilon\left(\frac{x + \varepsilon k_\varepsilon - x_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right) \leq C \exp\left(-c \frac{|x - x_\varepsilon|}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon^*)$. □

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank anonymous referees whose important comments helped them to improve their work. The authors were supported by NSFC (11571197).

References

- [1] G. Kirchhoff, *Mechanik*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1883.

- [2] J. Lions, On some questions in boundary value problems of mathematical physics, in: Contemporary Development in Continuum Mechanics and Partial Differential Equations, in: North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 30, North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, 1978, pp. 284-346.
- [3] T. Ma, J. Rivera, Positive solutions for a nonlinear nonlocal elliptic transmission problem, Appl. Math. Lett., 16 (2003) 243-248.
- [4] A. Mao, Z. Zhang, Sign-changing and multiple solutions of Kirchhoff type problems without the P.S. condition, Nonlinear Anal., 70 (2009) 1275-1287.
- [5] K. Perera, Z. Zhang, Nontrivial solutions of Kirchhoff-type problems via the Yang index, J. Differ Equ., 221 (2006) 246-255.
- [6] C. Alves, F. Corrêa, G. Figueiredo, On a class of nonlocal elliptic problems with critical growth, Differ. Equ. Appl., 2 (2010) 409-417.
- [7] T. Bartsch, Z. Wang, Existence and multiplicity results for superlinear elliptic problems on \mathbb{R}^N , Comm. Part Diff Eq., 20 (1995) 1725-1741.
- [8] X. Wu, Existence of nontrivial solutions and high energy solutions for Schrödinger-Kirchhoff-type equations in \mathbb{R}^N , Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., 12 (2011) 1278-1287.
- [9] J. Jin, X. Wu, Infinitely many radial solutions for Kirchhoff-type problems in \mathbb{R}^N . J. Math. Anal. Appl., 369 (2001) 564-574.
- [10] X. He, W. Zou, Existence and concentration behavior of positive solutions for a Kirchhoff equation in \mathbb{R}^3 . J. Differ Equ., 252 (2012) 1813-1834.
- [11] J. Wang, L. Tian, J. Xu, F. Zhang, Multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for a Kirchhoff type problem with critical growth, J. Differ Equ., 253 (2012) 2314-2351.
- [12] Y. He, G. Li, S. Peng, Concentrating bound states for Kirchhoff type problems in \mathbb{R}^3 involving critical Sobolev exponents, Adv. Nonlinear Stud., 14 (2014) 483-510.
- [13] Z. Liu, S. Guo, Existence and concentration of positive ground states for a Kirchhoff equation involving critical Sobolev exponent, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 66 (2015) 747-769.
- [14] L. Zhao, F. Zhao, On the existence of solutions for the Schrödinger-Poisson equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 346 (2008) 155-169.
- [15] L. Zhao, H. Liu, F. Zhao, Existence and concentration of solutions for the Schrödinger-Poisson equations with steep well potential, J. Differ Equ., 255 (2013) 1-23.
- [16] L. Jeanjean, On the existence of bounded Palais-Smale sequences and application to a Landsman-Lazer-type problem set on \mathbb{R}^N , Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A Math., 129 (1999) 787-809.
- [17] X. Wang, B. Zeng, On concentration of positive bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with competing potential functions, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 28 (1997) 633-655.
- [18] S. Cingolani, M. Lazzo, Multiple positive solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations with competing potential functions, J. Differ Equ., 160 (2000) 118-138.

- [19] L. Zhao, F. Zhao, Positive solutions for the Schrödinger-Poisson equations with a critical exponent, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 70 (2009) 2150-2164.
- [20] G. Li, H. Ye, Existence of positive ground state solutions for the nonlinear Kirchhoff type equations in \mathbb{R}^3 , *J. Differ Equ.*, 257 (2014) 566-600.
- [21] D. Ruiz, The Schrödinger-Poisson equation under the effect of a nonlinear local term, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 237 (2006) 655-674.
- [22] P. Lions, The concentration compactness principle in the calculus of variations: The locally compact case. Part 1, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. NonLinéaire*, 1 (1984) 109-145.
- [23] M. Willem, Minimax theorems, *Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications*, 24. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.
- [24] P. Tolksdorf, Regularity for some general class of quasilinear elliptic equations, *J. Differ Equ.*, 51 (1984) 126-150.
- [25] N. Trudinger, On Harnack type inequalities and their application to quasilinear elliptic equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 20 (1967) 721-747.
- [26] P. D'Ancona, S. Spagnolo, Global solvability for the degenerate Kirchhoff equation with real analytic data, *Invent. Math.* 108 (1992) 247-262.
- [27] I. Ekeland, On the variational principle, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 47 (1974) 324-353.
- [28] H. Brezis, T. Kato, Remarks on the Schrödinger operator with regular complex potentials, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 58 (1979) 137-151.
- [29] D. Gilbarg, N. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Reprint of the 1998 edition. *Classics in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [30] J. Sun, T. Wu, Ground state solutions for an indefinite Kirchhoff type problem with steep potential well, *J. Differ Equ.*, 256 (2014) 1771-1792.
- [31] C. Alves, D. Cassani, C. Tarsi, M. Yang, Existence and concentration of ground state solutions for a critical nonlocal Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^2 , *J. Differ Equ.*, 261 (2016) 1933-1972.
- [32] X. Zhong, C. Tang, Ground state sign-changing solutions for a Schrödinger-Poisson system with a critical nonlinearity in \mathbb{R}^3 , *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.*, 39 (2018) 166-184.
- [33] S. Chen, X. Tang, Ground state sign-changing solutions for a class of Schrödinger-Poisson type problems in \mathbb{R}^3 , *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, 67 (2016) 1-18.
- [34] Z. Wang, Existence and symmetry of multi-bump solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *J. Differ Equ.*, 159 (1999), no. 1, 102-137.
- [35] M. Ramos, Z. Wang, M. Willem, Positive solutions for elliptic equations with critical growth in unbounded domains, *Calculus of Variations and Differential Equations*, Chapman Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2000, pp. 192-199.