Observation of $e^+e^-\rightarrow\pi^0\pi^0\psi_2(3823)$

The BESIII Collaboration **BESII**

M. Ablikim¹, M. N. Achasov 11,b , P. Adlarson⁷⁰, M. Albrecht⁴, R. Aliberti³¹, A. Amoroso 69A,69C , M. R. An 35 , Q. An 66,53 , X. H. Bai 61 , Y. Bai 52 , O. Bakina 32 , R. Baldini Ferroli 26A , I. Balossino 27A , Y. Ban 42,g , V. Batozskaya 1,40 , D. Becker 31 , K. Begzsuren²⁹, N. Berger³¹, M. Bertani^{26A}, D. Bettoni^{27A}, F. Bianchi^{69A,69C}, J. Bloms 63 , A. Bortone 69A,69C , I. Boyko 32 , R. A. Briere 5 , A. Brueggemann 63 , H. Cai 71 , X. Cai 1,53 , A. Calcaterra 26A , G. F. Cao 1,58 , N. Cao 1,58 , S. A. Cetin 57A , J. F. Chang 1,53 , W. L. Chang 1,58 , G. R. Che 39 , G. Chelkov 32,a , C. Chen 39 , Chao Chen 50 , G. Chen 1 , H. S. Chen 1,58 , M. L. Chen 1,53 , S. J. Chen 38 , S. M. Chen 56 , T. Chen 1 , X. R. Chen 28,58 , X. T. Chen 1 , Y. B. Chen 1,53 , Z. J. Chen 23,h , W. S. Cheng 69C , S. K. Choi 50 , X. Chu 39 , G. Cibinetto^{27A}, F. Cossio^{69C}, J. J. Cui⁴⁵, H. L. Dai^{1,53}, J. P. Dai⁷³, A. Dbeyssi¹⁷, R. E. de Boer⁴, D. Dedovich 32 , Z. Y. Deng¹, A. Denig 31 , I. Denysenko 32 , M. Destefanis 69A,69C , F. De Mori 69A,69C , Y. Ding 36 , J. Dong 1,53 , L. Y. Dong 1,58 , M. Y. Dong 1,53,58 , X. Dong 71 , S. X. Du 75 , P. Egorov 32,a , Y. L. Fan 71 , J. Fang 1,53 , S. S. Fang 1,58 , W. X. Fang 1 , Y. Fang 1 , R. Farinelli 27A , L. Fava 69B,69C , F. Feldbauer 4 , G. Felici 26A , C. Q. Feng 66,53 , J. H. Feng 54 , K Fischer 64 , M. Fritsch 4 , C. Fritzsch 63 , C. D. Fu 1 , H. Gao 58 , Y. N. Gao 42,g , Yang Gao 66,53 , S. Garbolino 69C , I. Garzia 27A,27B , P. T. Ge 71 , Z. W. Ge 38 , C. Geng 54 , E. M. Gersabeck 62 , A Gilman 64 , K. Goetzen 12 , L. Gong 36 , W. X. Gong 1,53 , W. Gradl 31 , M. Greco 69A,69C , L. M. Gu 38 , M. H. Gu 1,53 , Y. T. Gu 14 , C. Y Guan 1,58 , A. Q. Guo 28,58 , L. B. Guo 37 , R. P. Guo 44 , Y. P. Guo 10,f , A. Guskov 32,a , T. T. Han 45 , W. Y. Han 35 , X. Q. Hao 18 , F. A. Harris 60 , K. K. He 50 , K. L. He 1,58 , F. H. Heinsius⁴, C. H. Heinz 31 , Y. K. Heng 1,53,58 , C. Herold 55 , G. Y. Hou 1,58 , Y. R. Hou 58 , Z. L. Hou 1 , H. M. Hu 1,58 , J. F. Hu 51,i , T. Hu 1,53,58 , Y. Hu¹, G. S. Huang 66,53 , K. X. Huang 54 , L. Q. Huang 28,58 , X. T. Huang 45 , Y. P. Huang 1 , Z. Huang 42,g , T. Hussain 68 , N Hüsken 25,31 , W. Imoehl 25 , M. Irshad 66,53 , J. Jackson 25 , S. Jaeger 4 , S. Janchiv 29 , E. Jang 50 , J. H. Jeong 50 , Q. Ji 1 , Q. P. Ji 18 , X. B. Ji 1,58 , X. L. Ji 1,53 , Y. Y. Ji 45 , Z. K. Jia 66,53 , H. B. Jiang 45 , S. S. Jiang 35 , X. S. Jiang 1,53,58 , Y. Jiang 58 , J. B. Jiao 45 , Z. Jiao 21 , S. Jin 38 , Y. Jin 61 , M. Q. Jing 1,58 , T. Johansson 70 , N. Kalantar-Nayestanaki 59 , X. S. Kang 36 , R. Kappert 59 , M. Kavatsyuk 59 , B. C. Ke 75 , I. K. Keshk 4 , A. Khoukaz 63 , R. Kiuchi¹, R. Kliemt 12 , L. Koch 33 , O. B. Kolcu 57A , B. Kopf 4 , M. Kuemmel 4 , M. Kuessner 4 , A. Kupsc 40,70 , W. Kühn³³, J. J. Lane⁶², J. S. Lange³³, P. Larin¹⁷, A. Lavania²⁴, L. Lavezzi^{69A,69C}, Z. H. Lei 66,53 , H. Leithoff 31 , M. Lellmann 31 , T. Lenz 31 , C. Li 39 , C. Li 43 , C. H. Li 35 ,

Cheng Li^{66,53}, D. M. Li⁷⁵, F. Li^{1,53}, G. Li¹, H. Li^{66,53}, H. Li⁴⁷, H. B. Li^{1,58}, H. J. Li¹⁸, H. N. Li 51,i , J. Q. Li 4 , J. S. Li 54 , J. W. Li 45 , Ke Li 1 , L. J Li 1 , L. K. Li 1 , Lei Li 3 , M. H. Li 39 , P. R. Li 34,j,k , S. X. Li 10 , S. Y. Li 56 , T. Li 45 , W. D. Li 1,58 , W. G. Li 1 , X. H. Li 66,53 , X. L. Li 45 , Xiaoyu Li 1,58 , Y. G. Li 42,g , Z. X. Li 14 , Z. Y. Li 54 , H. Liang 1,58 , H. Liang 30 , H. Liang 66,53 , Y. F. Liang 49 , Y. T. Liang 28,58 , G. R. Liao 13 , L. Z. Liao 45 , J. Libby 24 , A. Limphirat 55 , C. X. Lin 54 , D. X. Lin 28,58 , T. Lin¹, B. J. Liu¹, C. X. Liu¹, D. Liu 17,66 , F. H. Liu 48 , Fang Liu 1 , Feng Liu 6 , G. M. Liu 51,i , H. Liu 34,j,k , H. B. Liu 14 , H. M. Liu 1,58 , Huanhuan Liu 1 , Huihui Liu 19 , J. B. Liu 66,53 , J. L. Liu 67 , J. Y. Liu 1,58 , K. Liu 1 , K. Y. Liu 36 , Ke Liu 20 , L. Liu 66,53 , Lu Liu 39 , M. H. Liu 10,f , P. L. Liu 1 , Q. Liu 58 , S. B. Liu 66,53 , T. Liu 10,f , W. K. Liu 39 , W. M. Liu 66,53 , X. Liu 34,j,k , Y. Liu $^{34,j,k},$ Y. B. Liu 39 , Z. A. Liu 1,53,58 , Z. Q. Liu 45 , X. C. Lou 1,53,58 , F. X. Lu 54 , H. J. Lu 21 , J. G. Lu 1,53 , X. L. Lu 1 , Y. Lu 7 , Y. P. Lu 1,53 , Z. H. Lu 1 , C. L. Luo 37 , M. X. Luo 74 , T. Luo 10,f , X. L. Luo 1,53 , X. R. Lyu 58 , Y. F. Lyu 39 , F. C. Ma 36 , H. L. Ma 1 , L. L. Ma 45 , M. M. Ma 1,58 , Q. M. Ma 1 , R. Q. Ma 1,58 , R. T. Ma 58 , X. Y. Ma 1,53 , Y. Ma 42,g , F. E. Maas 17 , M. Maggiora 69A,69C , S. Maldaner 4 , S. Malde 64 , Q. A. Malik 68 , A. Mangoni 26B , Y. J. Mao 42,g , Z. P. Mao 1 , S. Marcello 69A,69C , Z. X. Meng 61 , J. Messchendorp 12,59 , G. Mezzadri 27A , H. Miao 1 , T. J. Min 38 , R. E. Mitchell 25 , X. H. Mo 1,53,58 , N. Yu. Muchnoi 11,b , Y. Nefedov 32 , F. Nerling 17,d , I. B. Nikolaev 11,b , Z. Ning 1,53 , S. Nisar 9,l , Y. Niu 45 , S. L. Olsen 58 , Q. Ouyang 1,53,58 , S. Pacetti 26B,26C , X. Pan 10,f , Y. Pan 52 , A. Pathak 30 , M. Pelizaeus 4 , H. P. Peng 66,53 , K. Peters 12,d , J. L. Ping 37 , R. G. Ping 1,58 , S. Plura 31 , S. Pogodin 32 , V. Prasad 66,53 , F. Z. Qi¹, H. Qi^{66,53}, H. R. Qi⁵⁶, M. Qi³⁸, T. Y. Qi 10,f , S. Qian 1,53 , W. B. Qian 58 , Z. Qian 54 , C. F. Qiao 58 , J. J. Qin 67 , L. Q. Qin 13 , X. P. Qin 10,f , X. S. Qin 45 , Z. H. Qin 1,53 , J. F. Qiu 1 , S. Q. Qu 56 , K. H. Rashid 68 , C. F. Redmer 31 , K. J. Ren 35 , A. Rivetti 69C , V. Rodin 59 , M. Rolo 69C , G. Rong 1,58 , Ch. Rosner 17 , S. N. Ruan 39 , H. S. Sang 66 , A. Sarantsev 32,c , Y. Schelhaas 31 , C. Schnier 4 , K. Schoenning 70 , M. Scodeggio^{27A,27B}, K. Y. Shan^{10,f}, W. Shan²², X. Y. Shan^{66,53}, J. F. Shangguan⁵⁰, L. G. Shao 1,58 , M. Shao 66,53 , C. P. Shen 10,f , H. F. Shen 1,58 , X. Y. Shen 1,58 , B. A. Shi⁵⁸, H. C. Shi^{66,53}, J. Y. Shi¹, q. q. Shi⁵⁰, R. S. Shi^{1,58}, X. Shi^{1,53}, X. D Shi 66,53 , J. J. Song 18 , W. M. Song 30,1 , Y. X. Song 42,g , S. Sosio 69A,69C , S. Spataro 69A,69C , F. Stieler 31 , K. X. Su 71 , P. P. Su 50 , Y. J. Su 58 , G. X. Sun 1 , H. Sun 58 , H. K. Sun 1 , J. F. Sun 18 , L. Sun 71 , S. S. Sun 1,58 , T. Sun 1,58 , W. Y. Sun 30 , X Sun 23,h , Y. J. Sun 66,53 , Y. Z. Sun 1 , Z. T. Sun 45 , Y. H. Tan 71 , Y. X. Tan 66,53 , C. J. Tang 49 , G. Y. Tang 1 , J. Tang 54 , L. Y Tao 67 , Q. T. Tao 23,h , M. Tat 64 , J. X. Teng 66,53 , V. Thoren 70 , W. H. Tian 47 , Y. Tian 28,58 , I. Uman 57B , B. Wang 1 , B. L. Wang 58 , C. W. Wang 38 , D. Y. Wang 42,g , F. Wang 67 , H. J. Wang 34,j,k , H. P. Wang 1,58 , K. Wang 1,53 , L. L. Wang 1 , M. Wang 45 , M. Z. Wang 42,g , Meng Wang 1,58 , S. Wang 10,f , S. Wang 13 , T. Wang 10,f , T. J. Wang 39 , W. Wang 54 , W. H. Wang 71 , W. P. Wang 66,53 , X. Wang 42,g , X. F. Wang 34,j,k , X. L. Wang 10,f , Y. Wang 56 , Y. D. Wang 41 , Y. F. Wang 1,53,58 , Y. H. Wang 43 , Y. Q. Wang 1 , Yaqian Wang 16,1 , Z. Wang 1,53 , Z. Y. Wang 1,58 , Ziyi Wang 58 , D. H. Wei 13 , F. Weidner 63 , S. P. Wen 1 , D. J. White 62 , U. Wiedner 4 , G. Wilkinson 64 , M. Wolke 70 , L. Wollenberg⁴, J. F. Wu^{1,58}, L. H. Wu¹, L. J. Wu^{1,58}, X. Wu^{10,f}, X. H. Wu³⁰,

Y. Wu 66 , Y. J Wu 28 , Z. Wu 1,53 , L. Xia 66,53 , T. Xiang 42,g , D. Xiao 34,j,k , G. Y. Xiao $^{38},$ H. Xiao 10,f , S. Y. Xiao 1 , Y. L. Xiao 10,f , Z. J. Xiao 37 , C. Xie 38 , X. H. Xie 42,g , Y. Xie 45 , Y. G. Xie 1,53 , Y. H. Xie 6 , Z. P. Xie 66,53 , T. Y. Xing 1,58 , C. F. Xu 1 , C. J. Xu⁵⁴, G. F. Xu¹, H. Y. Xu⁶¹, Q. J. Xu¹⁵, X. P. Xu⁵⁰, Y. C. Xu⁵⁸, Z. P. Xu³⁸, F. Yan 10,f , L. Yan 10,f , W. B. Yan 66,53 , W. C. Yan 75 , H. J. Yang 46,e , H. L. Yang 30 , H. X. Yang 1 , L. Yang 47 , S. L. Yang 58 , Tao Yang 1 , Y. F. Yang 39 , Y. X. Yang 1,58 , Yifan Yang 1,58 , M. Ye 1,53 , M. H. Ye 8 , J. H. Yin 1 , Z. Y. You 54 , B. X. Yu 1,53,58 , C. X. Yu³⁹, G. Yu^{1,58}, T. Yu⁶⁷, X. D. Yu^{42,g}, C. Z. Yuan^{1,58}, L. Yuan², S. C. Yuan¹, X. Q. Yuan¹, Y. Yuan^{1,58}, Z. Y. Yuan⁵⁴, C. X. Yue³⁵, A. A. Zafar⁶⁸, F. R. Zeng⁴⁵, X. Zeng 6 , Y. Zeng 23,h , Y. H. Zhan 54 , A. Q. Zhang 1 , B. L. Zhang 1 , B. X. Zhang 1 , D. H. Zhang 39 , G. Y. Zhang 18 , H. Zhang 66 , H. H. Zhang 30 , H. H. Zhang 54 , H. Y. Zhang 1,53 , J. L. Zhang 72 , J. Q. Zhang 37 , J. W. Zhang 1,53,58 , J. X. Zhang 34,j,k , J. Y. Zhang 1 , J. Z. Zhang 1,58 , Jianyu Zhang 1,58 , Jiawei Zhang 1,58 , L. M. Zhang 56 , L. Q. Zhang 54 , Lei Zhang 38 , P. Zhang 1 , Q. Y. Zhang 35,75 , Shuihan Zhang 1,58 , Shulei Zhang 23,h , X. D. Zhang 41 , X. M. Zhang 1 , X. Y. Zhang 45 , X. Y. Zhang 50 , Y. Zhang 64 , Y. T. Zhang 75 , Y. H. Zhang 1,53 , Yan Zhang 66,53 , Yao Zhang 1 , Z. H. Zhang¹, Z. Y. Zhang³⁹, Z. Y. Zhang⁷¹, G. Zhao¹, J. Zhao³⁵, J. Y. Zhao^{1,58}, J. Z. Zhao 1,53 , Lei Zhao 66,53 , Ling Zhao 1 , M. G. Zhao 39 , S. J. Zhao 75 , Y. B. Zhao 1,53 , Y. X. Zhao 28,58 , Z. G. Zhao 66,53 , A. Zhemchugov 32,a , B. Zheng 67 , J. P. Zheng 1,53 , Y. H. Zheng 58 , B. Zhong 37 , C. Zhong 67 , X. Zhong 54 , H. Zhou 45 , L. P. Zhou 1,58 , X. Zhou 71 , X. K. Zhou 58 , X. R. Zhou 66,53 , X. Y. Zhou 35 , Y. Z. Zhou 10,f , J. Zhu 39 , K. Zhu 1 , K. J. Zhu 1,53,58 , L. X. Zhu 58 , S. H. Zhu 65 , S. Q. Zhu 38 , T. J. Zhu 72 , W. J. Zhu 10,f , Y. C. Zhu 66,53 , Z. A. Zhu 1,58 , J. H. Zou 1

¹ Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China

² Beihang University, Beijing 100191, People's Republic of China

³ Beijing Institute of Petrochemical Technology, Beijing 102617, People's Republic of China

⁴ Bochum Ruhr-University, D-44780 Bochum, Germany

⁵ Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, USA

⁶ Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, People's Republic of China

⁷ Central South University, Changsha 410083, People's Republic of China

⁸ China Center of Advanced Science and Technology, Beijing 100190, People's Republic of China

⁹ COMSATS University Islamabad, Lahore Campus, Defence Road, Off Raiwind Road, 54000 Lahore, Pakistan

¹⁰ Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, People's Republic of China

¹¹ G.I. Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics SB RAS (BINP), Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

¹² GSI Helmholtzcentre for Heavy Ion Research GmbH, D-64291 Darmstadt, Germany

¹³ Guangxi Normal University, Guilin 541004, People's Republic of China

¹⁴ Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, People's Republic of China

¹⁵ Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou 310036, People's Republic of China

¹⁶ Hebei University, Baoding 071002, People's Republic of China

¹⁷ Helmholtz Institute Mainz, Staudinger Weg 18, D-55099 Mainz, Germany

¹⁸ Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, People's Republic of China

¹⁹ Henan University of Science and Technology, Luoyang 471003, People's Republic of China

²⁰ Henan University of Technology, Zhengzhou 450001, People's Republic of China

- Huangshan College, Huangshan 245000, People's Republic of China
- Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, People's Republic of China
- Hunan University, Changsha 410082, People's Republic of China
- Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036, India
- Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405, USA
- INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati , (A)INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, I-00044, Frascati, Italy; (B)INFN Sezione di Perugia, I-06100, Perugia, Italy; (C)University of Perugia, I-06100, Perugia, Italy
- INFN Sezione di Ferrara, (A)INFN Sezione di Ferrara, I-44122, Ferrara, Italy; (B)University of Ferrara, I-44122, Ferrara, Italy
- Institute of Modern Physics, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China
- Institute of Physics and Technology, Peace Avenue 54B, Ulaanbaatar 13330, Mongolia
- Jilin University, Changchun 130012, People's Republic of China
- Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz, Johann-Joachim-Becher-Weg 45, D-55099 Mainz, Germany
- Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Moscow region, Russia
- Justus-Liebig-Universitaet Giessen, II. Physikalisches Institut, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 16, D-35392 Giessen, Germany
- Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China
- Liaoning Normal University, Dalian 116029, People's Republic of China
- Liaoning University, Shenyang 110036, People's Republic of China
- Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, People's Republic of China
- Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China
- Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, People's Republic of China
- National Centre for Nuclear Research, Warsaw 02-093, Poland
- North China Electric Power University, Beijing 102206, People's Republic of China
- Peking University, Beijing 100871, People's Republic of China
- Qufu Normal University, Qufu 273165, People's Republic of China
- Shandong Normal University, Jinan 250014, People's Republic of China
- Shandong University, Jinan 250100, People's Republic of China
- Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, People's Republic of China
- Shanxi Normal University, Linfen 041004, People's Republic of China
- Shanxi University, Taiyuan 030006, People's Republic of China
- Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, People's Republic of China
- Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, People's Republic of China
- South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, People's Republic of China
- Southeast University, Nanjing 211100, People's Republic of China
- State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics, Beijing 100049, Hefei 230026, People's Republic of China
- Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, People's Republic of China
- Suranaree University of Technology, University Avenue 111, Nakhon Ratchasima 30000, Thailand
- Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China
- Turkish Accelerator Center Particle Factory Group, (A)Istinye University, 34010, Istanbul, Turkey; (B)Near East University, Nicosia, North Cyprus, Mersin 10, Turkey
- University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China
- University of Groningen, NL-9747 AA Groningen, The Netherlands
- University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822, USA
- ⁶¹ University of Jinan, Jinan 250022, People's Republic of China
- ⁶² University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, United Kingdom
- ⁶³ University of Muenster, Wilhelm-Klemm-Strasse 9, 48149 Muenster, Germany
- ⁶⁴ University of Oxford, Keble Road, Oxford OX13RH, United Kingdom
- ⁶⁵ University of Science and Technology Liaoning, Anshan 114051, People's Republic of China
- ⁶⁶ University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, People's Republic of China
- ⁶⁷ University of South China, Hengyang 421001, People's Republic of China
- ⁶⁸ University of the Punjab, Lahore-54590, Pakistan
- 69 University of Turin and INFN, (A)University of Turin, I-10125, Turin, Italy; (B)University
- of Eastern Piedmont, I-15121, Alessandria, Italy; (C)INFN, I-10125, Turin, Italy
- ⁷⁰ Uppsala University, Box 516, SE-75120 Uppsala, Sweden
- ⁷¹ Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, People's Republic of China
- ⁷² Xinyang Normal University, Xinyang 464000, People's Republic of China
- ⁷³ Yunnan University, Kunming 650500, People's Republic of China
- ⁷⁴ Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, People's Republic of China
- ⁷⁵ Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, People's Republic of China
- a Also at the Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow 141700, Russia
- ^b Also at the Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia
- ^c Also at the NRC "Kurchatov Institute", PNPI, 188300, Gatchina, Russia
- d Also at Goethe University Frankfurt, 60323 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

^e Also at Key Laboratory for Particle Physics, Astrophysics and Cosmology, Ministry of Education; Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology; Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics, Shanghai 200240, People's Republic of China

f Also at Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) and Institute of Modern Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200443, People's Republic of China

^g Also at State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, People's Republic of China

 h Also at School of Physics and Electronics, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, China

 $iⁱ$ Also at Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Nuclear Science, Institute of Quantum Matter, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China

 j Also at Frontiers Science Center for Rare Isotopes, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000. People's Republic of China

^k Also at Lanzhou Center for Theoretical Physics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China

^l Also at the Department of Mathematical Sciences, IBA, Karachi, Pakistan

E-mail: besiii-publications@ihep.ac.cn

ABSTRACT: Using a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 11.3 fb⁻¹ collected at center-of-mass energies from 4.23 to 4.70 GeV with the BESIII detector, we observe the process $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\psi_2(3823)$ for the first time with a statistical significance of 6.0 standard deviations. The ratio of average cross sections for $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\psi_2(3823)$ and $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\psi_{2}(3823)$ is determined to be $\mathcal{R} = \frac{\sigma[e^{+}e^{-}\to\pi^{0}\pi^{0}\psi_{2}(3823)]}{\sigma[e^{+}e^{-}\to\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\psi_{2}(3823)]} = 0.57 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.05$, which is consistent with expectations from isospin symmetry. Here and below, the first uncertainties are statistical and the second are systematic. The mass of the $\psi_2(3823)$ is measured to be $M[\psi_2(3823)] = 3824.5 \pm 2.4 \pm 1.0 \text{ MeV}/c^2$. Due to the limited data sample, an upper limit of 18.8 MeV at 90% confidence level is set on the intrinsic width of $\psi_2(3823)$.

Contents

1 Introduction

The study of exotic hadrons, whose quark contents are different from conventional baryons and mesons, remains an interesting topic in the field of hadron physics. In recent years, more than a dozen XYZ particles, which are considered to be good candidates for exotic hadrons [\[1,](#page-15-0) [2](#page-15-1)], have been discovered in the heavy quarkonium energy region. Here we discuss the Y-states, which appear as peaks in the center-of-mass energy dependence of $e^+e^$ cross sections. The first candidate, the $Y(4260)$, was discovered by the BaBar experiment in the Initial-State-Radiation (ISR) process $e^+e^- \to \gamma_{\rm ISR} \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ [\[3\]](#page-15-2), and later confirmed by the Belle experiment in the same process [\[4\]](#page-15-3). By studying the $e^+e^- \to \gamma_{\rm ISR} \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$ process, the BaBar experiment observed a new resonance, the $Y(4360)$ [\[5\]](#page-15-4). A detailed study with a larger data sample by the Belle experiment confirmed the $Y(4360)$ resonance, and announced the discovery of a new resonance, the $Y(4660)$ [\[6](#page-15-5)]. An updated measurement by the BaBar experiment later confirmed the $Y(4660)$ resonance [\[7](#page-15-6)]. Since the Y-states are produced in direct e^+e^- annihilation or via its ISR process, they have the quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$, i.e., they are vector states. From the potential model, the vector charmonium states above the open-charm threshold are expected to decay dominantly to $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ pairs [\[8](#page-15-7)]. However, as discussed above, these vector Y-states are widely discovered in hidden-charm final states, which indicates that they might be exotic states. To better understand their underlying nature, more experimental observations are desirable. Recently, the BESIII experiment reported the observation of resonance structures in the cross section measurement of the process $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\psi_2(3823)$ [\[10](#page-15-8)], which suggests that the $\psi_2(3823)$ can be used as a probe for the study of Y-states.

Since its discovery in 1974 [\[11](#page-15-9)], the charmonium system has been considered an ideal environment in which to test quantum chromodynamics (QCD) in the non-perturbative regime [\[12](#page-15-10)]. Just above the open-charm threshold, the $J = 2$ member of the D-wave spintriplet, the $1³D₂$ charmonium state also known as the ψ_2 (3823), was studied by the E705, Belle, BESIII and LHCb experiments [\[13](#page-15-11)[–16](#page-15-12)]. A recent measurement of the $\psi_2(3823)$ mass was reported by the BESIII experiment in the process $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\psi_2(3823)$ [\[10\]](#page-15-8). More detailed measurements of $\psi_2(3823)$ properties were also performed at BESIII [\[17\]](#page-15-13), but only an evidence of 4.3 standard deviations was found for the isospin neutral production process $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\psi_2(3823)$. Moreover, there is still no direct measurement for the J^P of the ψ_2 (3823). Further experimental constraints on its quantum numbers would improve our understanding of the $\psi_2(3823)$ and charmonium spectroscopy above open-charm threshold.

In this article, we perform a search for the process $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\psi_2(3823)$ at BESIII by employing a partial-reconstruction method with a signal efficiency which is much higher than that of Ref. [\[17](#page-15-13)]. The ratio of average cross sections for $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\psi_2(3823)$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi_2(3823)$, and the resonance parameters of the $\psi_2(3823)$ are measured. The data sample, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 11.3 fb⁻¹, was taken at centerof-mass (CM) energies from \sqrt{s} = 4.23 to 4.70 GeV [\[18](#page-15-14)] with the BESIII detector [\[19\]](#page-16-0) operating at the Beijing Electron Positron Collider (BEPCII) [\[20](#page-16-1)]. The $\psi_2(3823)$ candidate is reconstructed via its decay to $\gamma \chi_{c1}$, with $\chi_{c1} \to \gamma J/\psi$ and $J/\psi \to \ell^+ \ell^-$ ($\ell = e$ or μ). The π^0 candidate is reconstructed via its decay to $\gamma\gamma$.

2 BESIII detector and Monte Carlo simulation

The BESIII detector is a magnetic spectrometer located at the BEPCII collider [\[20\]](#page-16-1). The cylindrical core of the BESIII detector covers 93% of the full solid angle and consists of a helium-based multilayer drift chamber (MDC), a plastic scintillator time-of-flight system (TOF), and a CsI (Tl) electromagnetic calorimeter (EMC), which are all enclosed in a superconducting solenoidal magnet providing a 1.0 T magnetic field. The solenoid is supported by an octagonal flux-return yoke with resistive plate counter muon identification modules interleaved with steel. The charged-particle momentum resolution at $1 \text{ GeV}/c$ is 0.5%, and the dE/dx resolution is 6% for the electrons from Bhabha scattering. The EMC measures photon energies with a resolution of 2.5% (5%) at 1 GeV in the barrel (end cap) region. The time resolution of the TOF barrel part is 68 ps, while that of the end cap part is 110 ps. The end cap TOF system was upgraded in 2015 with multi-gap resistive plate chamber technology, providing a time resolution of 60 ps [\[21](#page-16-2)].

A geant4-based [\[22\]](#page-16-3) Monte Carlo (MC) simulation software package is used to optimize event selection criteria, determine detection efficiency, and estimate background. We generate 100000 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\psi_2(3823)$ signal MC events at each CM energy using an EVTGEN $[23]$ $[23]$ phase-space model. The ISR is simulated with KKMC $[24]$, where the cross section of the $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\psi_2(3823)$ process [\[10\]](#page-15-8) is used as the line shape input. The maximum ISR photon energy is set corresponding to the 4.1 GeV/ $c²$ production threshold of the $\pi^0 \pi^0 \psi_2(3823)$ system. Final-State-Radiation is handled with PHOTOS [\[25](#page-16-6)].

The background contributions are investigated using an inclusive MC sample, which includes the production of open-charm processes, the ISR production of vector charmonium(like) states, and the continuum processes incorporated in kkmc. All particle decays are modelled with the EVTGEN [\[23\]](#page-16-4) using branching fractions taken from the Particle Data Group $[9]$ when available, or otherwise modelled with LUNDCHARM $[26]$ $[26]$.

3 Event selection and background study

We select events with two oppositely charged tracks in the polar angle region $|\cos \theta| < 0.93$, where θ is defined with respect to the z-axis (the symmetry axis of the MDC). For each charged track, the distance of closest approach to the interaction point must be less than 10 cm along the beam direction and 1 cm in the plane perpendicular to the beam direction. Charged tracks with momentum greater than $1.0 \text{ GeV}/c$ are assigned lepton hypotheses. We make use of the energy depositions in the EMC to identify muons and electrons. The deposited energy in the EMC is required to be less than 0.4 GeV for a muon candidate, while it has to be greater than 1.1 GeV for an electron.

Electromagnetic showers identified as photon candidates must satisfy fiducial shower quality and timing requirements ($0 \le t \le 700$ ns). The minimum energy in the EMC is 25 MeV for barrel showers ($|\cos \theta|$ < 0.80) and 50 MeV for end cap showers (0.86 < $|\cos \theta|$ < 0.92). To exclude showers that originate from charged tracks, the angle subtended by the EMC shower and the position of the closest charged track at the EMC must be greater than 10 degrees as measured from the interaction point. We introduce a partial-reconstruction strategy which has a significantly improved efficiency compared to that of the Ref. [\[17](#page-15-13)]. In this strategy, we require at least five photons to be reconstructed in each event $(N_{\gamma} \geq 5)$, allowing one missing photon ($\gamma_{\rm miss}$). The $\gamma_{\rm miss}$ can be any one of the six signal photons. The momentum of γ_{miss} is determined from momentum conservation. In addition, we require the number of photons to be $N_{\gamma} \leq 6$ to suppress the background contribution from the process $\pi^0 \pi^0 \psi(2S) \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 J/\psi$.

We apply a four-constraint (4C) kinematic fit to the selected events. The invariant mass of the pair of leptons is constrained to the mass of the J/ψ ($m_{J/\psi}$), the mass of the missing photon is constrained to zero, the invariant mass of a pair of photons is constrained to the mass of the π^0 (m_{π^0}) , and the same for another pair of photons. The values for $m_{J/\psi}$ and m_{π^0} are taken from the PDG [\[9](#page-15-15)]. Since there is more than one possible combination within an event when selecting the photons and reconstructing the π^0 s, we retain the one with the minimum χ^2 from the kinematic fit. Events with χ^2 < 15 are selected for further analysis.

The two remaining photons other than those used for the reconstruction of the π^0 s are boosted to the CM frame of the $\psi_2(3823)$, and the one with a lower energy is considered to originate from the $\psi_2(3823)$ decay, while the other one (with a higher energy) together with the J/ψ candidate is used to reconstruct the χ_{c1} . The mass window of the χ_{c1} candidate is defined as $3.49 < M(\gamma J/\psi) < 3.53 \text{ GeV}/c^2$.

A study of the inclusive MC sample [\[27\]](#page-16-8) shows that background contributions come from the processes $e^+e^- \to \eta J/\psi$ with $\eta \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ and $e^+e^- \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \psi(2S)$ with $\psi(2S) \to$ $\pi^0 \pi^0 J/\psi$. Background contribution from $e^+e^- \to \eta J/\psi \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 J/\psi$ process is effectively rejected by the invariant mass requirement $M(\gamma\gamma\pi^0\pi^0) > 0.70 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. Background contribution from $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\psi(2S) \to \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0J/\psi$ process can be suppressed by ve-

toing the events in the invariant mass region $3.665 < M(\pi^0 \pi^0 J/\psi) < 3.700 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. The contributions from other sources, such as $e^+e^- \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$, are found to be relatively small ($\sim 10\%$ of the total contribution). The total simulated background only produces a flat distribution in the $\psi_2(3823)$ signal region, as shown by the green filled histogram in Figure [1.](#page-10-1)

4 Measurements of the mass and width of the $\psi_2(3823)$

Figure [1](#page-10-1) shows the $M(\gamma\gamma J/\psi)$ distribution for the data from $\sqrt{s} = 4.23$ to 4.70 GeV after the above selection criteria, where prominent $\psi(2S)$ and $\psi_2(3823)$ signal peaks are observed. An unbinned maximum likelihood fit is performed to extract the parameters of the $\psi_2(3823)$ state. The probability density function (PDF) of the signal is represented by the sum of the $\psi(2S)$ and $\psi_2(3823)$ shapes obtained from the MC simulation, each of which is convolved with a Gaussian function to account for the small differences in mass resolution between data and MC simulation. The parameters of the $\psi(2S)$ resonance in simulation are taken from the PDG [\[9](#page-15-15)]. The mass of the $\psi_2(3823)$ in simulation is set to 3823.0 MeV/ c^2 and its width is set to zero. The fit parameter σ corresponding to the resolution in Gaussian functions is common for both resonances, while the parameters $\mu_{\psi(2S)}$ and $\mu_{\psi(3823)}$ describing the mass shifts are free. The background shape is parameterized as a second-order polynomial function. At BESIII, the J/ψ mass reconstructed by $\ell^+\ell^-$ can deviate from PDG value by a level of 0.5 MeV to 3 MeV [\[18\]](#page-15-14), which is mainly due to calibration, resolution and Final-State-Radiation etc. To avoid its impact to our mass measurement, the $M(\gamma \gamma J/\psi)$ mentioned above is defined as $M(\gamma \gamma J/\psi) \equiv M(\gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-) - M(\ell^+ \ell^-) + m(J/\psi)$, where $m(J/\psi) = 3.097 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ is taken from PDG [\[9](#page-15-15)]. The deviation of J/ψ mass therefore partly cancels and the $\psi_2(3823)/\psi(2S)$ masses are better measured. In order to further cancel the calibration effects from the two photons, we measure the $\psi_2(3823)$ mass with respect to the $\psi(2S)$ mass. Assuming $M[\psi_2(3823)]$ and $M[\psi(2S)]$ are the true masses of $\psi_2(3823)$ and $\psi(2S)$, respectively, we calculate their mass difference as

$$
M[\psi_2(3823)] - M[\psi(2S)] = [M[\psi_2(3823)]_{\text{input}} + \mu_{\psi_2(3823)}] - [M[\psi(2S)]_{\text{input}} + \mu_{\psi(2S)}],
$$
(4.1)

where $M[\psi(2S)]_{\text{input}} = M[\psi(2S)] = 3686.097 \text{ MeV}/c^2 \text{ [9]}$ $M[\psi(2S)]_{\text{input}} = M[\psi(2S)] = 3686.097 \text{ MeV}/c^2 \text{ [9]}$ $M[\psi(2S)]_{\text{input}} = M[\psi(2S)] = 3686.097 \text{ MeV}/c^2 \text{ [9]}$. The equation then can be derived as

$$
M[\psi_2(3823)] = M[\psi_2(3823)]_{\text{input}} + \mu_{\psi_2(3823)} - \mu_{\psi(2S)}.
$$
\n(4.2)

According to the fit, $\mu_{\psi_2(3823)} = (1.8 \pm 2.4) \text{ MeV}/c^2 \text{ and } \mu_{\psi(2S)} = (0.3 \pm 1.2) \text{ MeV}/c^2.$ Therefore, by using Eq. [4.2,](#page-9-1) the $\psi_2(3823)$ mass is measured to be $M[\psi_2(3823)] = (3824.5 \pm$ 2.4 ± 1.2) MeV/ c^2 . Here, the first uncertainty in $M[\psi_2(3823)]$ is statistical, which is the uncertainty of $\mu_{\psi_2(3823)}$. The second uncertainty in $M[\psi_2(3823)]$ is the uncertainty of $\mu_{\psi(2S)}$, which is considered to be systematic, since we take the $\psi(2S)$ mass as a reference when measuring the $\psi_2(3823)$ mass. We additionally employ $\psi(2S) \to \gamma \chi_{c2}$ and $\psi(2S) \to \eta J/\psi$ data events to increase the $\psi(2S)$ data sample. This reduces the uncertainty of $\mu_{\psi(2S)}$ in the fit, which gives $\mu_{\psi(2S)} = (0.3 \pm 0.9)$ MeV/c². A more accurate $\psi_2(3823)$ mass $M[\psi_2(3823)] = (3824.5 \pm 2.4 \pm 0.9) \text{ MeV}/c^2 \text{ is therefore achieved.}$

Figure 1. The fit to the $M(\gamma\gamma J/\psi)$ distribution for the data from $\sqrt{s} = 4.23$ to 4.70 GeV. The dots with error bars are the data. The red solid curve is the total fit. The blue dashed curve is the background in the fit and the green filled histogram is the normalized background from the inclusive MC sample.

The total number of $\psi_2(3823)$ candidates extracted from the fit is $N_{\text{total}} = 30.3 \pm 6.8$. A χ^2 -test to the fit quality gives $\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 12.13/26 = 0.47$. According to Wilks's theorem [\[28\]](#page-16-9), the statistical significance of the $\psi_2(3823)$ signal is estimated to be 6.0 standard deviations, by comparing the difference between the log-likelihood values $[\Delta(-2\ln\mathcal{L}) = 40.6]$ with and without $\psi_2(3823)$ signal in the fit, and taking into account the change of the number of degrees of freedom (Δ ndf = 2).

In order to estimate the width of the $\psi_2(3823)$, we slightly modify the fit function described above. We replace the PDF of the $\psi_2(3823)$ signal with a floating-width Breit-Wigner function convolved with Gaussian functions to account for resolution effects. The parameters of the Gaussian functions are fixed according to the study of the resolution in MC simulation, and the resolution difference between data and MC simulation. The $\psi_2(3823)$ width is measured to be $\Gamma[\psi_2(3823)] = (2.9 \pm 5.9)$ MeV, corresponding to an upper limit of 18.8 MeV at the 90% confidence level (including the systematic uncertainty from background shape). Here the upper limit is set based on the Bayesian method [\[9\]](#page-15-15). The measured mass and width of the $\psi_2(3823)$ are consistent with the previous measurements by the BESIII [\[10](#page-15-8), [15](#page-15-16)] and LHCb [\[16](#page-15-12)] experiments.

5 Measurement of the ratio of average cross sections

Due to the limited data sample, cross sections at each CM energy cannot be effectively measured. Instead, the average cross sections for $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\psi_2(3823)$, denoted by σ_{ave} , and $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\psi_2(3823)$, denoted by σ'_{ave} , are measured as:

$$
\sigma_{\text{ave}}^{(')} = \frac{\sum_{i} \sigma_{i}^{(')} \mathcal{L}_{i} (1 + \delta_{i}) \epsilon_{i}^{(')}}{\sum_{i} \mathcal{L}_{i} (1 + \delta_{i}) \epsilon_{i}^{(')}} = \frac{N_{\text{total}}^{(')}}{\sum_{i} \mathcal{L}_{i} (1 + \delta_{i}) \epsilon_{i}^{(')}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}^{(')}},\tag{5.1}
$$

where N_{total} is the total number of observed $\psi_2(3823)$ candidates; σ_i , \mathcal{L}_i , $(1+\delta_i)$, and ϵ_i are the cross section, luminosity $[18]$, radiative correction factor, and efficiency at the *i*-th CM energy point, respectively (cf. Table [1\)](#page-12-0); and β is the product of branching fractions for the chain of decays involved in each process. The unprimed variables are for $e^+e^-\rightarrow$ $\pi^0 \pi^0 \psi_2$ (3823) and the primed variables are for $e^+e^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \psi_2$ (3823) with the values taken from Ref. [\[10](#page-15-8)]. Note that the luminosities and radiative correction factors are the same for both processes (the minor difference between the numbers in Table [1](#page-12-0) and that from Ref. [\[10](#page-15-8)] is due to fluctuation from the size of MC samples). The ratio of average cross sections, $\mathcal{R} \equiv \sigma_{\text{ave}}/\sigma_{\text{ave}}'$, is then calculated as

$$
\mathcal{R} = \frac{N_{\text{total}}}{N_{\text{total}}'} \frac{\sum_{i} \mathcal{L}_{i} (1 + \delta_{i}) \epsilon_{i}'}{\sum_{i} \mathcal{L}_{i} (1 + \delta_{i}) \epsilon_{i}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}^{2}(\pi^{0} \to \gamma \gamma)},
$$
(5.2)

where $\mathcal{B}(\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma)$ is the branching fraction of $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$, and all other branching fractions cancel in the ratio. According to Eq. [5.2,](#page-11-1) we determine the ratio of average cross sections to be $\mathcal{R} = 0.57 \pm 0.14$ (the uncertainty is statistical), which is consistent with expectations from isospin symmetry $(\mathcal{R} = 0.5)$, within uncertainty.

6 Systematic uncertainty

The systematic uncertainties in the $\psi_2(3823)$ mass measurement include those from the absolute mass scale, resolution, signal parameterization, and background shape. In the $\psi_2(3823)$ mass measurement, the $\psi(2S)$ mass is used to calibrate the absolute mass scale, so the uncertainty of the measured $\psi(2S)$ mass is taken as a systematic uncertainty, which is 0.9 MeV/ c^2 . We change the width of the Gaussian function in the signal PDF by one standard deviation to do the fits, and the largest mass difference to our nominal fit, $0.3 \text{ MeV}/c^2$, is taken as the systematic uncertainty associated to the resolution. The systematic uncertainty from the parameterization of the $\psi_2(3823)$ signal is estimated with different width assumptions. We input a series of $\psi_2(3823)$ widths between zero (which is our nominal value) and its upper limit to generate the MC shapes for the signal PDF constructions, then repeat the fits for the mass measurements. The largest mass difference to our nominal fit, 0.3 MeV/ c^2 , is taken as a systematic uncertainty. We vary the background shape from a second-order polynomial with floating parameters to a second-order polynomial whose parameters are fixed according to the fit to the inclusive MC sample. The fitted $\psi_2(3823)$ mass difference between these two background assumptions is found to be small $(0.02 \text{ MeV}/c^2)$ and can be neglected. Assuming all the sources are independent, we calculate the total systematic uncertainty by adding them in quadrature, resulting in 1.0 MeV/ c^2 for the $\psi_2(3823)$ mass measurement. Table [2](#page-12-1) summarizes the systematic uncertainties for the $\psi_2(3823)$ mass measurement.

The systematic uncertainties in the measurement of the ratio $\mathcal R$ include those from the photon efficiency, signal extraction, kinematic fit, MC decay model, χ_{c1} mass window and size of MC samples. The systematic uncertainty from the number of good photons requirement $N_{\gamma} \geq 5$ can be estimated by studying the efficiency difference between data and MC simulation, which is 3.1%. The systematic uncertainty due to the requirement $N_{\gamma} \leq 6$

The uncertainty on ϵ/ϵ is related to the MC sample size.				
\sqrt{s} (GeV)	$\mathcal{L}(pb^{-1})$	$(1+\delta)$	ϵ	ϵ'
4.2263	1056.4	0.739	0.146 ± 0.001	0.309 ± 0.002
4.2580	828.4	0.745	0.143 ± 0.001	0.336 ± 0.002
4.2866	502.4	0.746	0.141 ± 0.001	0.333 ± 0.002
4.3115	501.2	0.748	0.141 ± 0.001	0.345 ± 0.002
4.3370	505.0	0.747	0.147 ± 0.001	0.353 ± 0.002
4.3583	543.9	0.749	0.150 ± 0.001	0.357 ± 0.002
4.3768	522.7	0.751	0.143 ± 0.001	0.336 ± 0.002
4.3954	507.8	0.762	0.137 ± 0.001	0.314 ± 0.002
4.4156	1043.9	0.784	0.133 ± 0.001	0.313 ± 0.002
4.4359	569.9	0.818	$0.138 + 0.001$	0.324 ± 0.002
4.4671	111.1	0.882	0.141 ± 0.001	0.341 ± 0.002
4.5271	112.1	1.001	0.132 ± 0.001	0.331 ± 0.002
4.5745	48.9	1.075	0.129 ± 0.001	0.306 ± 0.002
4.5995	586.9	1.066	0.128 ± 0.001	0.304 ± 0.002
4.6119	103.8	0.983	$0.138 + 0.001$	0.318 ± 0.002
4.6280	521.5	0.831	0.151 ± 0.001	0.351 ± 0.002
4.6409	552.4	0.741	0.166 ± 0.001	0.378 ± 0.002
4.6612	529.6	0.849	0.166 ± 0.001	0.379 ± 0.002
4.6819	1669.3	0.985	0.152 ± 0.001	0.356 ± 0.002
4.6988	536.5	1.053	0.143 ± 0.001	0.333 ± 0.002

Table 1. The luminosity [\[18](#page-15-14)], radiative correction factor and efficiency at each CM energy for the $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\psi_2(3823)$ process. The efficiency for the $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\psi_2(3823)$ process (ϵ') is also quoted [\[10](#page-15-8)]. The uncertainty on ϵ/ϵ' is related to the MC sample size.

Table 2. The systematic uncertainties for the $\psi_2(3823)$ mass measurement.

Source	Mass uncertainty $(MeV/c2)$
Absolute mass scale	0.9
Resolution	0.3
Signal parameterization	0.3
Background shape	< 0.1
Total	

originates from the fake-photon-rate difference between data and MC simulation, and this difference is estimated to be 0.1% by studying a control sample of $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0 J/\psi$. The background and signal parameterizations as discussed in the $\psi_2(3823)$ mass measurement bring 3.6% and 5.0% differences in the $\psi_2(3823)$ signal event yields, which are taken as the systematic uncertainties from signal extraction. A track helix parameter correction method is applied to each MC simulated event during the 4C kinematic fit as discussed in

Source	Uncertainty for σ_{ave}	Uncertainty for σ_{ave}
Tracking and photon	3.1% (photon)	2.0% (pion)
Background shape	3.6%	1.4%
Signal parameterization	5.0%	3.9%
Kinematic fit	1.2%	1.7%
MC decay model	1.0%	1.8%
χ_{c1} mass window	1.1%	
MC sample size	0.8%	0.6%
Total	7.2%	5.3%

Table 3. The systematic uncertainties for the measurement of the ratio $\mathcal{R} \equiv \sigma_{\text{ave}}/\sigma_{\text{ave}}'$ (the values for σ'_{ave} are quoted from Ref. [\[10](#page-15-8)]). The "-" means this item is not applicable.

Ref. [\[29\]](#page-16-10). The difference in detection efficiencies with and without the corrections, 1.2%, is assigned as the systematic uncertainty associated to the kinematic fit. The $\psi_2(3823)$ state most likely has quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 2^{--}$ [\[10](#page-15-8)], and the $\pi^0 \pi^0$ system in $\pi^0 \pi^0 \psi_2(3823)$ is expected to be dominated by S-wave contribution, such as $f_0(500)$. According to spinparity conservation, value of the orbital angular momentum L between $\pi^0 \pi^0$ and ψ_2 (3823) is therefore 2. We perform MC simulation of the $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\psi_2(3823)$ process with $L=2$ between $\pi^0 \pi^0$ and ψ_2 (3823). The efficiency difference between this model and the nominal three-body phase-space model, 1.0%, is taken as the systematic uncertainty from the MC decay model. Using a control sample from the process $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\chi_{c1}$, we estimate the systematic uncertainty due to the χ_{c1} mass window requirement to be 1.1%. The uncertainty from the MC sample size is 0.8%. We change the input cross section line shape from a two-resonance interpretation to an alternative single-resonance interpretation, as described in Ref. [\[10](#page-15-8)], and the variation among the calculated ratios $\mathcal R$ is found to be small (0.3%) and can be neglected with respect to the total uncertainty. The systematic uncertainties from luminosity, reconstruction efficiency of the lepton, branching fractions $\mathcal{B}(\chi_{c1} \to \gamma J/\psi)$ and $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \to \ell^+ \ell^-)$ cancel. The uncertainty from the quoted branching fraction $\mathcal{B}^2(\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma)$ is small (0.03% [\[9](#page-15-15)]) and neglected. The systematic uncertainties inherent only from the charged channel $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi_2(3823)$ [\[10](#page-15-8)] are estimated to be 5.3% in total. Assuming all the sources are independent and there is no correlation between neutral and charged channels for the above systematic uncertainties, we calculate the total systematic uncertainty by adding them in quadrature, resulting in 8.9% for the measurement of the ratio $\mathcal R$. Table [3](#page-13-1) summarizes the systematic uncertainties related for the ratio $\mathcal R$ measurement.

7 Summary and discussion

In summary, by using a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 11.3 $\rm fb^{-1}$ collected with the BESIII detector at CM energies from 4.23 to 4.70 GeV, the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\psi_2(3823)$ is observed for the first time. The ratio of average cross sections

for $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\psi_2(3823)$ over $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\psi_2(3823)$ is measured to be $\mathcal{R} \equiv \sigma_{ave}/\sigma'_{ave} =$ $0.57\pm0.14\pm0.05$, which agrees with the expectation from isospin symmetry. Here and below, the first uncertainties are statistical and the second are systematic. This result supports the di-pion transition of the Y-states to $\psi_2(3823)$ observed in $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\psi_2(3823)$ [\[10\]](#page-15-8), though currently we do not have enough data to measure the CM energy dependent cross sections of $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\psi_2(3823)$.

The mass of the $\psi_2(3823)$ is measured to be $M[\psi_2(3823)] = (3824.5 \pm 2.4 \pm 1.0) \text{ MeV}/c^2$, which is in agreement with the previous measurements $[10, 14-16]$ $[10, 14-16]$ $[10, 14-16]$. Due to the limited data sample, an upper limit is given to the width of $\psi_2(3823)$, which is Γ[$\psi_2(3823)$] < 18.8 MeV at the 90% confidence level. According to an angular distribution study of the $\psi_2(3823)$ from the process $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\psi_2(3823)$ at BESIII [\[10\]](#page-15-8), the $\psi_2(3823)$ is likely a state with quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 2^{--}$ assuming the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ system is dominated by $f_0(500)$. Since the $\rho^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0$ decay is forbidden, the observation of $e^+e^- \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \psi_2(3823)$ thus further confirms that the $\pi\pi$ system in $e^+e^- \to \pi\pi\psi_2(3823)$ comes from $f_0(500)$ decay instead of the ρ^0 . It therefore supports the $J^{PC} = 2^{--}$ assignment for the $\psi_2(3823)$.

Acknowledgments

The BESIII collaboration thanks the staff of BEPCII and the IHEP computing center for their strong support. This work is supported in part by National Key R&D Program of China under Contracts Nos. 2020YFA0406300, 2020YFA0406400; National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Contracts Nos. 11975141, 11635010, 11735014, 11835012, 11935015, 11935016, 11935018, 11961141012, 12022510, 12025502, 12035009, 12035013, 12192260, 12192261, 12192262, 12192263, 12192264, 12192265; the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) Large-Scale Scientific Facility Program; Joint Large-Scale Scientific Facility Funds of the NSFC and CAS under Contract No. U1832207; 100 Talents Program of CAS; Project ZR2022JQ02 supported by Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation; The Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPAC) and Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology; ERC under Contract No. 758462; European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement under Contract No. 894790; German Research Foundation DFG under Contracts Nos. 443159800, Collaborative Research Center CRC 1044, GRK 2149; Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Italy; Ministry of Development of Turkey under Contract No. DPT2006K-120470; National Science and Technology fund; National Science Research and Innovation Fund (NSRF) via the Program Management Unit for Human Resources & Institutional Development, Research and Innovation under Contract No. B16F640076; STFC (United Kingdom); Suranaree University of Technology (SUT), Thailand Science Research and Innovation (TSRI), and National Science Research and Innovation Fund (NSRF) under Contract No. 160355; The Royal Society, UK under Contracts Nos. DH140054, DH160214; The Swedish Research Council; U. S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-FG02-05ER41374.

References

- [1] S. L. Olsen, T. Skwarnicki and D. Zieminska, Nonstandard heavy mesons and baryons: experimental evidence, Rev. Mod. Phys. 90 (2018) 015003.
- [2] N. Brambilla et al., The XYZ states: experimental and theoretical status and perspectives, Phys. Rept. 873 (2020) 1.
- [3] BaBar collaboration, *Observation of a broad structure in the* $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}J/\psi$ mass spectrum around 4.26 GeV/c^2 , Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 142001.
- [4] Belle collaboration, *Measurement of* $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ cross-section via initial state radiation at Belle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 (2007) 182004.
- [5] BaBar collaboration, Evidence of a broad structure at an invariant mass of 4.32 GeV/c^2 in the reaction $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$ measured at BaBar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98** (2007) 212001.
- [6] Belle collaboration, *Observation of two resonant structures in* $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$ *via initial* state radiation at Belle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 (2007) 142002.
- [7] BaBar collaboration, *Study of the reaction* $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^-\pi^-$ *via initial-state radiation at* BaBar, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 111103.
- [8] E. Eichten, K. Gottfried, T. Kinoshita, K. D. Lane and T. M. Yan, Charmonium: The Model, Phys. Rev. D 17 (1978) 3090; Phys. Rev. D 21 (1980) 313.
- [9] Particle Data Group, Review of particle physics, PTEP 2022 (2022) 083C01.
- [10] BESIII collaboration, *Observation of resonance structures in* $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\psi_2(3823)$ and mass measurement of $\psi_2(3823)$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **129** (2022) 102003.
- [11] J. J. Aubert et al., *Experimental observation of a heavy particle J, Phys. Rev. Lett.* 33 (1974) 1404; J. E. Augustin et al., *Discovery of a narrow resonance in e*⁺e⁻ annihilation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 33 (1974) 1406.
- [12] N. Brambilla et al., Heavy quarkonium: progress, puzzles, and opportunities, Eur. Phys. J. C 71 (2011) 1534.
- [13] E705 collaboration, Search for hidden charm resonance states decaying into J/ψ or ψ' plus pions, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 4258.
- [14] Belle collaboration, Evidence of a new narrow resonance decaying to $\chi_{c1}\gamma$ in $B \to \chi_{c1}\gamma K$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 032001.
- [15] BESIII collaboration, Observation of the $\psi(1^3D_2)$ state in $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\chi_{c1}$ at BESIII, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) 011803.
- [16] LHCb collaboration, Study of the $\psi_2(3823)$ and $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ states in $B^+ \to (J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) K^+$ decays, JHEP **08** (2020) 123.
- [17] BESIII collaboration, Search for new decay modes of the $\psi_2(3823)$ and the process $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\psi_2(3823)$, Phys. Rev. D **103** (2021) L091102.
- [18] BESIII collaboration, Measurement of the center-of-mass energies at BESIII via the di-muon process, Chin. Phys. C 40 (2016) 063001; BESIII collaboration, Measurements of the center-of-mass energies of collisions at BESIII, Chin. Phys. C 45 (2021) 103001; BESIII collaboration, Luminosities and energies of e^+e^- collision data taken between $\sqrt{s} = 4.612 \text{ GeV}$ and 4.946 GeV at BESIII, [arXiv:2205.04809].
- [19] BESIII collaboration, Design and construction of the BESIII detector, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 614 (2010) 345.
- [20] C. Yu et al., *BEPCII performance and beam dynamics studies on luminosity*, [doi:10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2016-TUYA01].
- [21] X. Li et al., Study of MRPC technology for BESIII endcap-TOF upgrade, Radiat. Detect. Technol. Methods 1 (2017) 13; Y. X. Guo et al., The study of time calibration for upgraded end cap TOF of BESIII, Radiat. Detect. Technol. Methods 1 (2017) 15; P. Cao et al., Design and construction of the new BESIII endcap time-of-flight system with MRPC technology, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 953 (2020) 163053.
- [22] GEANT4 collaboration, $GEANT4-a$ simulation toolkit, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 506 (2003) 250.
- [23] D. J. Lange, The EvtGen particle decay simulation package, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 462 (2001) 152; R. G. Ping, Event generators at BESIII, Chin. Phys. C 32 (2008) 599.
- [24] S. Jadach, B. F. L. Ward and Z. Was, The precision Monte Carlo event generator KK for two fermion final states in e^+e^- collisions, Comput. Phys. Commun. 130 (2000) 260; S. Jadach, B. F. L. Ward and Z. Was, Coherent exclusive exponentiation for precision Monte Carlo calculations, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 113009.
- [25] E. Richter-Was, QED bremsstrahlung in semileptonic B and leptonic tau decays, Phys. Lett. B 303 (1993) 163; P. Golonka and Z. Was, PHOTOS Monte Carlo: a precision tool for QED corrections in Z and W decays, Eur. Phys. J. C 45 (2006) 97.
- [26] J. C. Chen, G. S. Huang, X. R. Qi, D. H. Zhang and Y. S. Zhu, *Event generator for* J/ψ *and* $\psi(2S)$ decay, Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 034003; R. L. Yang, R. G. Ping and H. Chen, Tuning and validation of the Lundcharm Model with J/ψ decays, Chin. Phys. Lett. 31 (2014) 061301.
- [27] X. Y. Zhou, S. X. Du, G. Li and C. P. Shen, TopoAna: a generic tool for the event type analysis of inclusive Monte-Carlo samples in high energy physics experiments, Comput. Phys. Commun. 258 (2021) 107540.
- [28] S. S. Wilks, The large-sample distribution of the likelihood ratio for testing composite hypotheses, Annals Math. Statist. 9 (1938) 60.
- [29] BESIII collaboration, Search for hadronic transition $\chi_{cJ} \to \eta_c \pi^+ \pi^-$ and observation of $\chi_{cJ} \to K\bar{K}\pi\pi\pi$, Phys. Rev. D 87 (2013) 012002.