

CHEN-RUAN COHOMOLOGY AND MODULI SPACES OF PARABOLIC BUNDLES OVER A RIEMANN SURFACE

INDRANIL BISWAS, PRADEEP DAS, AND ANOOP SINGH

ABSTRACT. Let (X, D) be an m -pointed compact Riemann surface of genus at least 2. For each $x \in D$, fix full flag and concentrated weight system α . Let PM_ξ denote the moduli space of semi-stable parabolic vector bundles of rank r and determinant ξ over X with weight system α , where r is a prime number and ξ is a holomorphic line bundle over X of degree d which is not a multiple of r . We compute the Chen-Ruan cohomology of the orbifold for the action on PM_ξ of the group of r -torsion points in $\text{Pic}^0(X)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Chen and Ruan introduced a new cohomology theory in [CR1] for orbifolds which is named after them. It is the degree zero part of the small quantum cohomology ring, constructed in [CR2], of the orbifold. It contains the usual cohomology ring of the orbifold as a sub-ring.

The Chen-Ruan cohomology for the orbifolds arising from the moduli space of stable vector bundles of rank 2 and degree 1 over a compact Riemann surface was computed in [BP1], which was subsequently generalized for arbitrary prime rank in [BP2].

Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$, and let $D = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ be a finite subset of X ; the points of D will be called parabolic points. Fix a holomorphic line bundle ξ on X of degree one. Let $PM_\xi(2)$ denote the moduli space of full flag stable parabolic vector bundles E_* over X of rank 2 and fixed determinant $\bigwedge^2 E \cong \xi$; the parabolic weights are assumed to be generic. The moduli space $PM_\xi(2)$ is a smooth projective variety of dimension $3g - 3 + m$. Let $\Gamma_2 \subset \text{Pic}^0(X)$ be the subgroup defined by the points of order two, meaning the holomorphic line bundles L with $L^{\otimes 2} = \mathcal{O}_X$. Then Γ_2 acts on $PM_\xi(2)$; the action of $L \in \Gamma_2$ sends any $E_* \in PM_\xi(2)$ to $E_* \otimes L$. We get the smooth orbifold $PM_\xi(2)/\Gamma_2$. The Chen-Ruan cohomology of it was computed in [BD].

Here we consider the moduli space of parabolic bundles of prime rank $r \geq 3$. Fix a holomorphic line bundle ξ on X whose degree is not a multiple of r . Let PM_ξ denote the moduli space of stable parabolic bundles on X of rank r and determinant ξ with a parabolic structure over D . We assume the parabolic weights to be concentrated [AG] (its definition is recalled in Section 2). Let Γ_r denote the group of holomorphic line bundles L on X such that $L^{\otimes r} = \mathcal{O}_X$. This group Γ_r acts on PM_ξ ; the action

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H60, 14D21, 53D45.

Key words and phrases. Chen-Ruan cohomology, moduli spaces, parabolic bundles.

of any $L \in \Gamma_r$ sends any parabolic vector bundle E_* to $E_* \otimes L$. Our aim is to determine Chen-Ruan cohomology of the corresponding orbifold.

2. PARABOLIC BUNDLES

We recall basics on parabolic vector bundles and describe the moduli spaces of stable parabolic bundles.

Let X be a compact Riemann surface, of genus $g \geq 2$, and $D = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset X$. Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle on X . A *quasi-parabolic structure* on E is a strictly decreasing flag of linear subspaces in the fiber E_x

$$E_x = E_x^1 \supset E_x^2 \supset \dots \supset E_x^k \supset E_x^{k+1} = 0$$

over each $x \in D$. A *parabolic structure* on E is a quasi-parabolic structure on E together with a sequence of real numbers $0 \leq \alpha_1^x < \dots < \alpha_k^x < 1$, which are called the *weights*. We set

$$m_j^x = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} E_x^j - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} E_x^{j+1}.$$

The integer k is called the *length* of the flag and the string of integers (m_1^x, \dots, m_k^x) is called the *type* of the flag. We say that the flag is a *full flag* if $m_j^x = 1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k = \text{rank}(E)$.

A *parabolic vector bundle* with parabolic structure on D is a holomorphic vector bundle E together with a parabolic structure on E ; it will be denoted by E_* . For a parabolic bundle E_* the *parabolic degree* is defined to be

$$p \deg(E_*) = \deg(E) + \sum_{x \in D} \sum_{j=1}^k m_j^x \alpha_j^x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\deg(E)$ denotes the degree of E , and we put

$$p\mu(E_*) = \frac{p \deg(E_*)}{\text{rank}(E)},$$

which is called the parabolic slope of E_* .

A parabolic subbundle of E_* is a subbundle F of E together with the parabolic structure induced from E_* . A parabolic bundle E_* is called *parabolic semistable* if for every non-zero proper parabolic subbundle F_*

$$p\mu(F_*) \leq p\mu(E_*), \tag{2.1}$$

and it is called *parabolic stable* if $p\mu(F_*) < p\mu(E_*)$.

The moduli space $P\mathcal{M}^\alpha(r, d)$ of semistable parabolic bundles of rank r and degree d and parabolic type α , which was constructed in [MS], is a normal projective variety. The moduli space $P\mathcal{M}$ of stable parabolic bundles is an open and smooth subset of $P\mathcal{M}^\alpha(r, d)$.

For a fixed rank r , a full flag systems of weights $\alpha = \{\alpha_1^x, \dots, \alpha_r^x\}_{x \in D}$ is said to be concentrated if

$$\alpha_r^x - \alpha_1^x < \frac{4}{mr^2}$$

for all $x \in D$.

In what follows, r will denote a prime number. Let ξ be a fixed line bundle of degree d such that $(d, r) = 1$. Let PM_ξ denote the moduli space of semistable parabolic vector bundles E_* over X of rank r with full flag concentrated weight system α together with an isomorphism $\bigwedge^r E \cong \xi$. Then all $E_* \in PM_\xi$ is stable, and PM_ξ is a smooth complex projective variety.

We fix the parabolic weight system α throughout. The rank r is also fixed throughout.

Let Γ be the subgroup of $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ consisting of all holomorphic line bundles L on X such that $L^{\otimes r} = \mathcal{O}_X$. Then Γ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2g}$. Note that for every $L \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\bigwedge^r (E \otimes L) = (\bigwedge^r E) \otimes L^{\otimes r} = \xi.$$

For each $x \in D$ we have a filtration

$$(E \otimes L)_x = E_x^1 \otimes L_x \supset E^2 \otimes L_x \supset \cdots \supset E_x^r \otimes L_x$$

given by the parabolic structure of E_* at x . The resulting parabolic bundle with $E \otimes L$ as the underlying vector bundle will be denoted by $E_* \otimes L$. It is to be noted that $E_* \otimes L$ is the parabolic tensor product of E_* with the line bundle L equipped with the trivial parabolic structure (see [Bi] for the parabolic tensor product). Let

$$\tilde{\phi}_L : PM_\xi \longrightarrow PM_\xi, \quad E_* \longmapsto E_* \otimes L \quad (2.2)$$

be an automorphism. This gives an action $\tilde{\phi}$ of the group Γ on PM_ξ

$$\tilde{\phi}(L, E_*) = \tilde{\phi}_L(E_*).$$

The quotient space

$$Y = PM_\xi / \Gamma$$

is a smooth orbifold. Our aim is to compute the Chen-Ruan cohomology of the orbifold Y .

3. FIXED POINT SETS

We continue with the notation of the previous section. For any $L \in \Gamma$, set

$$PS(L) := (PM_\xi)^{\tilde{\phi}_L} = \{E_* \in PM_\xi \mid \tilde{\phi}_L(E_*) = E_*\} \subset PM_\xi. \quad (3.1)$$

Then $PS(L)$ is a compact complex manifold, but it need not be connected.

Let \mathcal{M}_ξ denote the moduli space of stable vector bundles E on X of rank r with $\det(E) = \xi$. It is a smooth projective variety. From [AG, Proposition 2.6], we have a well defined forgetful morphism

$$\gamma : PM_\xi \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_\xi \quad (3.2)$$

that sends a parabolic vector bundle E_* to its underlying vector bundle E . This map is surjective, and the fiber of γ over any E is the product of full flags of E_x with $x \in D$ [AG, Proposition 2.6]. Further, we have an action ϕ of Γ on \mathcal{M}_ξ given by

$$\phi(L, V) = V \otimes L$$

for $L \in \Gamma$ and $V \in \mathcal{M}_\xi$. This action gives an automorphism

$$\phi_L : \mathcal{M}_\xi \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_\xi, \quad V \longmapsto V \otimes L. \quad (3.3)$$

The morphism γ in (3.2) is evidently Γ -equivariant.

Consider

$$S(L) := (\mathcal{M}_\xi)^{\phi_L} = \{E \in \mathcal{M}_\xi \mid \phi(L, E) = E\} \subset \mathcal{M}_\xi,$$

which is a smooth compact complex manifold. As γ is Γ -equivariant, we have

$$\gamma(PS(L)) \subset S(L).$$

For a description of $S(L)$ see [BP2, p. 499, Lemma 2.1]. Let

$$\Psi_L : PS(L) \longrightarrow S(L) \tag{3.4}$$

be the restriction of γ .

Take a nontrivial line bundle $L \in \Gamma$. Fix a nonzero holomorphic section

$$s : X \longrightarrow L^{\otimes r}.$$

Define

$$Y_L := \{z \in L \mid z^{\otimes r} \in \text{Im}(s)\} \subset L.$$

Let

$$\pi_L : Y_L \longrightarrow X$$

be the restriction of the natural projection $L \longrightarrow X$. Since order r of L is a prime number, Y_L is an irreducible curve and π_L is an unramified covering of degree r . More precisely, Y_L is a μ_r -bundle over X , where $\mu_r := \{a \in \mathbb{C} \mid a^r = 1\}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $L \in \Gamma$ be a nontrivial line bundle on X .*

- (1) *The map Ψ_L in (3.4) is surjective.*
- (2) *The map Ψ_L is an isomorphism on each connected component of $PS(L)$. The number of connected components of $PS(L)$ is $(r!)^m$.*

Proof. Let

$$\text{Prym}_\xi \subset \text{Pic}^1(Y_L)$$

be the locus of all line bundles $\eta \longrightarrow Y_L$ such that $\bigwedge^r \pi_{L*}\eta = \xi$. The Galois group $\text{Gal}(\pi_L) = \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ acts on Prym_ξ ; the action of any

$$\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\pi_L) \tag{3.5}$$

sends any $\eta \in \text{Prym}_\xi$ to $\sigma^*\eta$. We have

$$S(L) = \text{Prym}_\xi / \text{Gal}(\pi_L) \tag{3.6}$$

(see [BP2, Lemma 2.1]).

For any $\eta \in \text{Prym}_\xi$, we have

$$\pi_L^* \pi_{L*} \eta = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\pi_L)} \sigma^* \eta.$$

The fiber of $\pi_{L*}\eta$ over $x_i \in D$ has the following decomposition

$$(\pi_{L*}\eta)_{x_i} = \bigoplus_{z \in \pi_L^{-1}(x_i)} \eta_z = \eta_{y_i} \oplus \eta_{\sigma(y_i)} \oplus \eta_{\sigma^2(y_i)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \eta_{\sigma^{r-1}(y_i)}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $y_i \in \pi_L^{-1}(x_i)$ is a fixed point and σ is a nontrivial automorphism as in (3.5). The filtration

$$\eta_{y_i} \subset \eta_{y_i} \oplus \eta_{\sigma(y_i)} \subset \cdots \subset \eta_{y_i} \oplus \eta_{\sigma(y_i)} \oplus \eta_{\sigma^2(y_i)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \eta_{\sigma^j(y_i)} \subset \cdots \subset (\pi_{L*}\eta)_{x_i} \quad (3.8)$$

defines a parabolic structure on the vector bundle $\pi_{L*}\eta$ over x_i . Note that we have choices for the above filtration; the direct summands in (3.7) can be permuted, so there are exactly $r!$ possible filtration.

Thus, for any $\eta \in S(L)$ making the above choice a parabolic bundle

$$E_* \longrightarrow X$$

is constructed; so $E = \pi_{L*}\eta$.

We will show that $E_* \in PS(L)$, that is, $\pi_{L*}\eta$ is canonically isomorphic to $(\pi_{L*}\eta) \otimes L$. The Riemann surface Y_L lies in $L \setminus \{0_X\}$, where $0_X \subset L$ is the image of the zero section of L . Therefore π_L^*L has a canonical trivialization. Let

$$u : Y_L \longrightarrow \pi_L^*L$$

be the tautological nonzero section giving the trivialization of π_L^*L . Then we have an isomorphism

$$\eta \xrightarrow{\otimes u} \eta \otimes \pi_L^*L$$

defined by the tensor product with u . From the projection formula we get an isomorphism

$$\rho : \pi_{L*}\eta \longrightarrow \pi_{L*}(\eta \otimes \pi_L^*L) = (\pi_{L*}\eta) \otimes L. \quad (3.9)$$

This isomorphism ρ evidently preserves the decompositions of $(\pi_{L*}\eta)_{x_i}$ and $((\pi_{L*}\eta) \otimes L)_{x_i}$ (see (3.7)). This proves the first part of the lemma.

For the isomorphism ρ in (3.9),

$$\rho_{x_i}(V) = V \otimes L_{x_i}$$

for some subspace $V \subset (\pi_{L*}\eta)_{x_i}$ if and only if V is the direct sum of some direct summands in (3.7). As mentioned before, for the parabolic structures on $\pi_{L*}\eta$ over each $x_i \in D$, we have $r!$ choices for the parabolic filtration. Note that each choice gives a copy of $S(L)$. Therefore, $PS(L)$ is the disjoint union of copies of $S(L)$, and the copies are parametrized by the finite set

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \text{Sym}(\pi_L^{-1}(x_i))$$

which has cardinality $(r!)^m$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let $L \in \Gamma$ be a nontrivial line bundle. Then the cohomology algebra $H^*(PS(L), \mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to $H^*(S(L), \mathbb{Q})^{(r!)^m}$.*

4. ACTION ON THE TANGENT BUNDLE

The full length (same as complete) flag variety of a finite dimensional complex vector space W will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}(W)$. The inverse image of any $E \in \mathcal{M}_\xi$ for the map γ defined in (3.2) is

$$\gamma^{-1}(E) = \prod_{x_i \in D} \mathcal{F}(E_{x_i}).$$

Therefore, elements of $P\mathcal{M}_\xi$ are of the form $(E; f_1, \dots, f_m)$, where $E \in \mathcal{M}_\xi$ and $(f_1, \dots, f_m) \in \prod_{x_i \in D} \mathcal{F}(E_{x_i})$. Note that any $f_i \in \mathcal{F}(E_{x_i})$ corresponds to a filtration of the following type

$$f_i := \{E_{x_i} = E_{x_i}^1 \supset E_{x_i}^2 \supset \dots \supset E_{x_i}^r \supset E_{x_i}^{r+1} = 0\}. \quad (4.1)$$

Recall that the tangent space of the flag variety $\mathcal{F}(E_{x_i})$ is $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_{x_i})/\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}^0(E_{x_i})$, where $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}^0(E_{x_i})$ is the space of flag preserving \mathbb{C} -linear endomorphisms of E_{x_i} .

If $E_* \in PS(L)$, then using (3.7), the tangent space at any point of the flag variety $\mathcal{F}(E_{x_i})$ canonically decomposes as

$$\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_{x_i})/\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}^0(E_{x_i}) = \bigoplus_{0 \leq j < k \leq r-1} \text{Hom}(\eta_{\sigma^j(y_i)}, \eta_{\sigma^k(y_i)}),$$

where $E_* = \pi_{L*}(\eta)$, and $\eta \in \text{Prym}_\xi$ (see the first part of the Lemma 3.1).

For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, let

$$V_i \longrightarrow P\mathcal{M}_\xi$$

be the rank $\frac{1}{2}r(r-1)$ vector bundle whose fiber over any $(E; f_1, \dots, f_m) \in P\mathcal{M}_\xi$ is the vector space

$$V_i(E; f_1, \dots, f_m) = \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_{x_i})/\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}^0(E_{x_i}). \quad (4.2)$$

Let $TP\mathcal{M}_\xi$ (respectively, $T\mathcal{M}_\xi$) denote the holomorphic tangent bundle of $P\mathcal{M}_\xi$ (respectively, \mathcal{M}_ξ). They fit in the following short exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i \longrightarrow TP\mathcal{M}_\xi \xrightarrow{d\gamma} \gamma^*T\mathcal{M}_\xi \longrightarrow 0, \quad (4.3)$$

where $d\gamma$ is the differential of the map γ .

Take any $L \in \Gamma \setminus \{\mathcal{O}_X\}$. The automorphism $\tilde{\phi}_L$ in (2.2) induces an automorphism

$$d\tilde{\phi}_L : TP\mathcal{M}_\xi \longrightarrow TP\mathcal{M}_\xi$$

of tangent bundles over the map $\tilde{\phi}_L$. Next, $d\tilde{\phi}_L$ induces an automorphism over $\tilde{\phi}_L$

$$A^i : V_i \longrightarrow V_i, \quad (4.4)$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, m$, such that the following diagram of homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i & \longrightarrow & TP\mathcal{M}_\xi & \xrightarrow{d\gamma} & \gamma^*T\mathcal{M}_\xi \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \oplus A^i & & \downarrow d\tilde{\phi}_L & & \downarrow d\phi_L \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i & \longrightarrow & TP\mathcal{M}_\xi & \xrightarrow{d\gamma} & \gamma^*T\mathcal{M}_\xi \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (4.5)$$

commutes.

Take any parabolic vector bundle $E_* \in PS(L)$. Let $T_{E_*}(P\mathcal{M}_\xi)$ denote the tangent space at E_* , and let

$$d\tilde{\phi}_L(E_*) : T_{E_*}(P\mathcal{M}_\xi) \longrightarrow T_{E_*}(P\mathcal{M}_\xi)$$

be the differential of the map $\tilde{\phi}_L$ at E_* . The diagram in (4.5) gives the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i(E_*) & \longrightarrow & T_{E_*}(P\mathcal{M}_\xi) & \xrightarrow{d\gamma(E_*)} & T_E(\mathcal{M}_\xi) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \bigoplus A_{E_*}^i & & \downarrow d\tilde{\phi}_L(E_*) & & \downarrow d\phi_L(E) \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i(E_*) & \longrightarrow & T_{E_*}(P\mathcal{M}_\xi) & \xrightarrow{d\gamma(E_*)} & T_E(\mathcal{M}_\xi) \longrightarrow 0 . \end{array} \quad (4.6)$$

Since r -fold composition of $\tilde{\phi}_L$ yields

$$\tilde{\phi}_L \circ \tilde{\phi}_L \circ \cdots \circ \tilde{\phi}_L = \tilde{\phi}_L^r = \text{Id}_{P\mathcal{M}_\xi},$$

$d\tilde{\phi}_L(E_*)$ is a nontrivial automorphism of order r . Therefore, the set of eigenvalues of $d\tilde{\phi}_L(E_*)$ is

$$\mu_r := \{1, t, t^2, \dots, t^{(r-1)}\},$$

the group of r -th roots of unity. Our aim is to compute the multiplicity of each eigenvalue in μ_r of the linear operator $d\tilde{\phi}_L(E_*)$.

Note that $d\phi_L(E)$ and $A_{E_*}^i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ (see (4.6)), are also nontrivial automorphisms of order r . For any eigenvalue $\nu \in \mu_r$, the multiplicity of ν for the operator $d\tilde{\phi}_L(E_*)$ is evidently the sum of the multiplicities of ν for the operators $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m A_{E_*}^i$ and $d\phi_L(E)$.

The multiplicity of every $\nu \in \mu_r$ for the operator $d\phi_L(E)$ has been computed in [BP2, Proposition 3.1]. It is $r(g-1)$ if $\nu \in \mu_r \setminus \{1\}$, and the multiplicity of $1 \in \mu_r$ is $(r-1)(g-1)$. So we need to determine the multiplicities of the eigenvalues for the operator $A_{E_*}^i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Recall from Lemma 3.1 that $PS(L)$ is $(r!)^m$ copies of $S(L)$, and they arise from the choices of filtration in (3.8), given the decomposition in (3.7); for each point $x_i \in D$, there are $r!$ possible filtrations and there are m points in D . Fix $y_i \in \pi_L^{-1}(x_i)$; trivialize the fiber L_{x_i} using $y_i \in L_{x_i}$ (recall that $Y_L \subset L$). Let

$$\lambda \in \mu_r \setminus \{1\} \quad (4.7)$$

be such that $\sigma(y_i) = \lambda \cdot y_i \in L_{x_i}$. Note that λ depends on $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\pi_L)$, but it does not depend on y_i . Then for any $E \in S(L)$, the isomorphism

$$E \longrightarrow E \otimes L$$

acts on the direct summand $\eta_{\sigma^j(y_i)}$ in (3.7) as multiplication by λ^j , where λ is the element in (4.7). Using this, it is straightforward to deduce the following:

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subset PS(L)$ be one of the $(r!)^m$ components of $PS(L)$ (recall that each component is a copy of $S(L)$). The set of eigenvalues of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m A_{E_*}^i$ (see (4.6)), for any $E_* \in \mathcal{C}$, is $\mu_r \setminus \{1\}$. For all $1 \leq i \leq m$, there is an element*

$$s_i \in \mu_r \setminus \{1\}$$

(that depends on \mathcal{C}) such that for every $1 \leq c \leq r-1$, the multiplicity of the eigenvalue s_i^c of $A_{E_*}^i$ is $r-c$.

Proof. It is a straightforward computation; the details are omitted. \square

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subset PS(L)$ be one of the $(r!)^m$ components of $PS(L)$. The set of eigenvalues of $d\tilde{\phi}_L(E_*)$, for any $E_* \in \mathcal{C}$, is μ_r . For all $1 \leq i \leq m$, there is an element*

$$s_i \in \mu_r \setminus \{1\}$$

such that the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $s \in \mu_r \setminus \{1\}$ of $d\tilde{\phi}_L(E_*)$ is $r(g-1) + \sum_{i=1}^m (r-c_i)$, where $s = (s_i)^{c_i}$; the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 1 of $d\tilde{\phi}_L(E_*)$ is $(r-1)(g-1)$.

Proof. Considering the diagram in (4.6) it follows immediately that the collection of eigenvalues of $d\tilde{\phi}_L(E_*)$ (with multiplicities) is the union of the eigenvalues of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m A_{E_*}^i$ and $d\phi_L(E)$. It was noted above that the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\nu \in \mu_r \setminus \{1\}$ for $d\phi_L(E)$ is $r(g-1)$, and the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 1 for $d\phi_L(E)$ is $(r-1)(g-1)$. Therefore, the proposition follows from Lemma 4.1 \square

Remark 4.3. Fix any i with $1 \leq i \leq m$. For each component $\mathcal{C} \subset PS(L)$, consider $s_i \in \mu_r \setminus \{1\}$ in Proposition 4.2. We note that every element $s \in \mu_r \setminus \{1\}$ repeats $\frac{(r!)^m}{r-1}$ in this collection.

For any $L \in \Gamma \setminus \{\mathcal{O}_X\}$ and $E_* \in PS(L)$, the degree shift at E_* for L is defined by

$$\pi(L, E_*) := \sum_j m_j b_j,$$

where $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}b_j)$, $0 \leq b_j < 1$, are the eigenvalues of $d\tilde{\phi}_L(E_*)$, and m_j is the multiplicity of $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}b_j)$.

For an integer b , let $0 \leq [b]_r \leq r-1$ be such that $b = kr + [b]_r$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

As a corollary of Proposition 4.2, we get the following.

Corollary 4.4. *With the notations used in Proposition 4.2, assume $s_i = t^{l_i}$, $1 \leq l_i \leq r-1$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$, where $t = \exp(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{r})$. Then for any $L \in \Gamma \setminus \{\mathcal{O}_X\}$, the degree shift at E_* for L is*

$$\pi(L) := \pi(L, E_*) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} (r - [kc_i]_r) [kl_i c_i]_r \right] + \frac{r(r-1)(g-1)}{2}.$$

Proof. As in Proposition 4.2, $s = (s_i)^{c_i} = t^{l_i c_i}$ has multiplicity $\sum_{i=1}^m (r-c_i) + r(g-1)$ for $d\tilde{\phi}_L(E_*)$ and the corresponding b_j will be $\frac{[l_i c_i]_r}{r}$.

Similarly, $s^k = (s_i)^{kc_i} = t^{kl_i c_i}$ has multiplicity $\sum_{i=1}^m (r - [kc_i]_r) + r(g-1)$ for $d\tilde{\phi}_L(E_*)$ and the corresponding b_j will be $\frac{[kl_i c_i]_r}{r}$. \square

Remark 4.5. For $r = 3$ and $m = 1$, the degree shift at E_* for L is either $\frac{4}{3} + 3(g-1)$ or $\frac{5}{3} + 3(g-1)$ depending on the component in which E_* lies.

5. CHEN-RUAN COHOMOLOGY OF THE MODULI SPACE

Let S and T be two topological spaces, and $\delta \in H^b(S \times T, \mathbb{Q})$. Then the induced linear map

$$\sigma(\delta) : H_c(S, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^{b-c}(T, \mathbb{Q})$$

is known as the *slant product*.

The moduli space PM_ξ is a fine moduli space, and there exists a universal parabolic bundle $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow X \times PM_\xi$ [BY, Proposition 3.2]. Any two such universal bundles differ by tensoring with a line bundle pulled back from PM_ξ . For $2 \leq k \leq r$, let $a_k(\mathbb{P}(U)) \in H^{2k}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{Q})$ be the characteristic classes of the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(U)$, where U is the vector bundle underlying the parabolic bundle \mathcal{U} . Since any two universal parabolic bundles differ by tensoring with a line bundle, it follows that $a_k(\mathbb{P}(U))$ is independent of the choice of the universal bundle (see [BR, Remark 2.1]). So $a_k(\mathbb{P}(U))$ induces linear maps

$$\sigma_j(a_k(\mathbb{P}(U))) : H_j(X, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^{2k-j}(PM_\xi, \mathbb{Q})$$

for $j = 0, 1, 2$. Then by [BR, Theorem 1.5], the cohomology algebra $H^*(PM_\xi, \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by the Chern classes $c_j(\mathcal{H}om(U_x^l, U_x^{l-1}))$ ($x \in D$) and the images of $\sigma(c_1(U))$ and $\sigma_i(a_k(\mathbb{P}(U)))$ ($2 \leq k \leq r$, $0 \leq i \leq 2$). Here U_x^l 's are obtained from the parabolic structure of U at the point $x \in D$. The above generators are independent of the universal parabolic bundle \mathcal{U} chosen.

Consider the action of Γ on PM_ξ induced by $\tilde{\phi}$, and let

$$\chi : PM_\xi \longrightarrow PM_\xi/\Gamma$$

be the quotient map. The pullback map on the cohomologies for χ will be denoted by χ^* . Using the same technique as in [BD, Proposition 4.1], we get the following:

Proposition 5.1. *The homomorphism*

$$\chi^* : H^*(PM_\xi/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^*(PM_\xi, \mathbb{Q})$$

is an isomorphism.

We now describe the Chen-Ruan cohomology algebra of PM_ξ/Γ .

The Chen-Ruan cohomology group of PM_ξ/Γ , by definition, is

$$H_{CR}^j(PM_\xi/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) := \bigoplus_{L \in \Gamma} H^{j-2\pi(L)}(PS(L)/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}), \quad j \geq 0,$$

where the degree shift $\pi(L)$ is given by Corollary 4.4. The degree shift for the trivial line bundle \mathcal{O}_X is zero. Using Corollary 3.2 and Proposition 5.1, we get that

$$H_{CR}^*(PM_\xi/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(PM_\xi, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{L \in \Gamma \setminus \{\mathcal{O}_X\}} H^{*-2\pi(L)}(S(L)/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})^{\oplus (\tau!)^m} \right). \quad (5.1)$$

The additive structure on $H_{CR}^*(PM_\xi/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$ is the unique operation on it which gives the isomorphism of the groups in (5.1). We will now give the product structure ‘ \cup ’ on it.

Let $k = (r!)^m$. From Section 2 we have that the fixed point locus $PS(L)$ is a smooth compact submanifold of PM_ξ of real dimension $2(r-1)(g-1)$ (see [BP1, p. 519]) having k connected components which are copies of $S(L) = \text{Prym}_\xi/\text{Gal}(\pi_L)$.

Let $\tilde{\omega}$ denote the Γ -invariant differential form on $PS(L)$ which is the pullback of the differential form ω on $PS(L)/\Gamma$. Then

$$\tilde{\omega} = (\tilde{\omega}_1, \dots, \tilde{\omega}_k),$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_j$ is a differential form on the j -th copy of $S(L)$ in $PS(L)$.

Recall that the orbifold integration of a $2(r-1)(g-1)$ -form ω on $PS(L)/\Gamma$ is defined as

$$\int_{PS(L)/\Gamma}^{\text{orb}} \omega := \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \int_{PS(L)} \tilde{\omega} = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{S(L)} \tilde{\omega}_j,$$

where $|\Gamma| = r^{2g}$ is the order of the group Γ .

The real dimension of PM_ξ/Γ is $2d = 2(r^2-1)(g-1) + m(r^2-r)$.

Let $L \in \Gamma$. For any $\delta_L = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k) \in H^{n-2\pi(L)}(S(L)/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})^{\oplus k}$ and $\beta_L = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \in H^{2d-n-2\pi(L)}(S(L)/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})^{\oplus k}$, we define

$$\langle \delta_L, \beta_L \rangle^L = \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{S(L)/\Gamma}^{\text{orb}} \delta_i \wedge \beta_i.$$

Definition 5.2. For any integer $0 \leq j \leq 2d$, the Chen-Ruan Poincaré pairing

$$\langle -, - \rangle_{CR} : H_{CR}^j(PM_\xi/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) \times H_{CR}^{2d-j}(PM_\xi/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

is defined by

$$\langle \delta, \beta \rangle_{CR} = \sum_{L \in \Gamma} \langle \delta_L, \beta_L \rangle^L$$

for all $\delta = (\delta_L)_{L \in \Gamma} \in H_{CR}^j(PM_\xi/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_{L \in \Gamma} H^{j-2\pi(L)}(PS(L)/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$ and $\beta = (\beta_L)_{L \in \Gamma} \in H_{CR}^{2d-j}(PM_\xi/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_{L \in \Gamma} H^{2d-j-2\pi(L)}(PS(L)/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$.

Let $L_1, L_2 \in \Gamma$, and define $L_3 = (L_1 \otimes L_2)^\vee$. Also let $\tilde{T} = \bigcap_{i=1}^3 PS(L_i)$ and

$$\tilde{e}_i = \tilde{T}/\Gamma \longrightarrow PS(L_i)/\Gamma, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

be the canonical injections.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{L_1, L_2}$ be the orbifold obstruction bundle on \tilde{T}/Γ (see [BP1, Section 4]) and $c_{top}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{L_1, L_2})$ its top Chern class. Note that

$$\text{rank}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{L_1, L_2}) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{T} - \dim_{\mathbb{R}} PM_\xi + \sum_{j=1}^3 \pi(L_j).$$

Then, for any $\delta \in H_{CR}^p(PS(L_1)/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$ and $\beta \in H_{CR}^q(PS(L_2)/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$, we define

$$\delta \cup \beta \in H_{CR}^{p+q}(PS(L_3)/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$$

by the relation

$$\langle \delta \cup \beta, \psi \rangle_{CR} = \int_{\tilde{T}/\Gamma}^{\text{orb}} \tilde{e}_1^*(\delta) \wedge \tilde{e}_2^*(\beta) \wedge \tilde{e}_3^*(\psi) \wedge c_{top}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{L_1, L_2})$$

for all $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_k) \in H_{CR}^{2d-p-q}(PS(L_3)/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$. Extending the product ‘ \cup ’ by \mathbb{Q} -linearity we get the product structure on $H_{CR}^*(PM_\xi, \mathbb{Q})$ turning it into a ring.

Let $T = \bigcap_{i=1}^3 S(L_i)$. This space is described in [BP1]. The space \tilde{T} is k copies of T . The Γ -equivariant morphism $\gamma : PM_\xi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\xi$ maps \tilde{T} to T . Let \mathcal{F}_{L_1, L_2} be the obstruction bundle on T/Γ . Thus we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{L_1, L_2}|_{T/\Gamma} \cong \mathcal{F}_{L_1, L_2},$$

where we have identified any connected component of \tilde{T}/Γ with T/Γ using the isomorphism between them given by γ . It follows that

$$\langle \delta \cup \beta, \psi \rangle_{CR} = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle \delta_i \cup \beta_i, \psi_i \rangle_{CR},$$

where the pairing on the right hand side of the equation is the non-degenerate bilinear Poincaré pairing for the Chen-Ruan cohomology on \mathcal{M}_ξ/Γ (see [BP2, 6.20]). The Chen-Ruan product $\delta_i \cup \beta_i$ for the orbifold bundle \mathcal{M}_ξ/Γ is computed in [BP2]. We also have

$$\delta \cup \beta = (\delta_1 \cup \beta_1, \dots, \delta_k \cup \beta_k).$$

Moreover, if $L_1 = L_2 = \mathcal{O}_X$, then the Chen-Ruan product \cup is the ordinary cup product on $H^*(PM_\xi/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank the referee for helpful comments. The first-named author is partially supported by a J. C. Bose Fellowship. The School of mathematics of TIFR is supported by 12-R&D-TFR-5.01-0500.

REFERENCES

- [AG] D. Alfaya and T. L. Gómez, Torelli theorem for the parabolic Deligne-Hitchin moduli space, *Jour. Geom. Phys.* **123** (2018), 448–462.
- [Bi] I. Biswas, Parabolic ample bundles, *Math. Ann.* **307** (1997), 511–529.
- [BD] I. Biswas and A. Dey, Chen-Ruan cohomology of some moduli spaces of parabolic vector bundles, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **134** (2010), 54–63.
- [BP1] I. Biswas and M. Poddar, The Chen-Ruan cohomology of some moduli spaces, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (2008), pp. 32, doi:10.1093/imrn/rnn104.
- [BP2] I. Biswas and M. Poddar, The Chen-Ruan cohomology of some moduli spaces, II, *Internat. J. Math.* **21** (2010), 497–522.
- [BR] I. Biswas and N. Raghavendra, Canonical generators of the cohomology of moduli of parabolic bundles on curves, *Math. Ann.* **306** (1996), 1–14.
- [CR1] W. Chen and Y. Ruan, A new cohomology theory of orbifolds, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **248** (2004), 1–31.
- [CR2] W. Chen and Y. Ruan, Orbifold Gromov-Witten theory. *In orbifolds in mathematics and Physics*, 25–85. Contemporary Mathematics 310. Providence, RI: American mathematical society, 2002.
- [BY] H. U. Boden and K. Yokogawa, Rationality of moduli spaces of parabolic bundles, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **59** (1999), 461–478.
- [MS] V. B. Mehta and C. S. Seshadri, Moduli of vector bundles on curves with parabolic structures, *Math. Ann.* **248** (1980), 205–239.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, 1 HOMI BHABHA ROAD, COLABA, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA

Email address: `indranil@math.tifr.res.in`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, RAJIV GANDHI INSTITUTE OF PETROLEUM TECHNOLOGY, JAIS, AMETHI, UTTAR PRADESH 229304, INDIA

Email address: `pradeepdas0411@gmail.com`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, 1 HOMI BHABHA ROAD, COLABA, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA

Email address: `anoops@math.tifr.res.in`