












Large Adaptive Optics Survey for Substellar Objects (LASSO) Around Young, Nearby, Low-mass Stars with Robo-AO

MAÏSSA SALAMA ¹, JAMES OU ¹, CHRISTOPH BARANEC ¹, MICHAEL C. LIU ², BRENDAN P. BOWLER ³, PAUL BARNES,¹ MORGAN BONNET,²
MARK CHUN ¹, DMITRY A. DUEV ⁴, SEAN GOEBEL,¹ DON HALL,^{1,*} SHANE JACOBSON,¹ REBECCA JENSEN-CLEM ⁵, NICHOLAS M. LAW ⁶,
CHARLES LOCKHART,¹ REED RIDDLE ⁴, HEATHER SITU,² ERIC WARMBIER,¹ AND ZHOUIJIAN ZHANG ²

¹*Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawai'i at Mānoa, Hilo, HI 96720, USA*

²*Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawai'i at Mānoa, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA*

³*Department of Astronomy, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA*

⁴*Division of Physics, Mathematics, and Astronomy, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA*

⁵*Astronomy & Astrophysics Department, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA*

⁶*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3255, USA*

ABSTRACT

We present results from the Large Adaptive optics Survey for Substellar Objects (LASSO), where the goal is to directly image new substellar companions ($<70 M_{Jup}$) at wide orbital separations (≥ 50 AU) around young ($\lesssim 300$ Myrs), nearby (<100 pc), low-mass ($\approx 0.1\text{--}0.8 M_{\odot}$) stars. We report on 427 young stars imaged in the visible (i') and near-infrared (J or H) simultaneously with Robo-AO on the Kitt Peak 2.1-m telescope and later the Maunakea University of Hawaii 2.2-m telescope. To undertake the observations, we commissioned a new infrared camera for Robo-AO that uses a low-noise high-speed SAPHIRA avalanche photodiode detector. We detected 121 companion candidates around 111 stars, of which 62 companions are physically associated based on *Gaia* DR2 parallaxes and proper motions, another 45 require follow-up observations to confirm physical association, and 14 are background objects. The companion separations range from 2–1101 AU and reach contrast ratios of 7.7 magnitudes in the near infrared compared to the primary. The majority of confirmed and pending candidates are stellar companions, with ~ 5 being potentially substellar and requiring follow-up observations for confirmation. We also detected a $43 \pm 9 M_{Jup}$ and an $81 \pm 5 M_{Jup}$ companion that were previously reported. We found 34 of our targets have acceleration measurements detected using *Hipparcos-Gaia* proper motions. Of those, $58^{+12}_{-14}\%$ of the 12 stars with imaged companion candidates have significant accelerations ($\chi^2 > 11.8$), while only $23^{+11}_{-6}\%$ of the remaining 22 stars with no detected companion have significant accelerations. The significance of the acceleration decreases with increasing companion separation. These young accelerating low-mass stars with companions will eventually yield dynamical masses with future orbit monitoring.

Keywords: stars: low-mass - binaries: visual - instrumentation: adaptive optics - techniques: high angular resolution - methods: observational - surveys - brown dwarfs

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past three decades, our knowledge of planetary systems has expanded from just our Solar System to a multitude of planetary architectures. The Kepler mission detected thousands of exoplanets in close-in orbits ($\lesssim 1$ AU) as they transit their host star. The radial velocity method has been used to discover thousands of exoplanets out to slightly farther orbits ($\lesssim 5$ AU). Direct imaging helped identify a complementary population of substellar companions

($2\text{--}75 M_{Jup}$), namely planets and brown dwarfs, at large projected separations ($\sim 5\text{--}8000$ AU). The existence of these companions at wide separations has played a critical role in shaping theories about the formation and migration of brown dwarfs and planets, through the development of mechanisms such as core/pebble accretion (Lambrechts & Johansen 2012), disk instability (Durisen et al. 2007; Kratter & Lodato 2016), cloud fragmentation (Bate et al. 2003), and dynamical scattering (Veras et al. 2009) on various timescales and orbital separations. These mechanisms predict correlations between the presence of a wide-orbit companion and certain environmental characteristics, such as the presence or absence of other companions, circumstellar disk morphologies, and the eccentricity of the companion's orbit, which

Corresponding author: Maïssa Salama

msalama@hawaii.edu

* Author is deceased.

can be compared to observational studies. The IAU currently defines the boundary between brown dwarfs and planets at $13 M_{Jup}$, but it remains unresolved whether this is an artificial boundary or reflective of a natural division that links observational properties of these objects to dominant formation mechanisms (Chabrier et al. 2014; Schlaufman 2018).

Population demographic studies are necessary to search for trends in the orbital architectures, primary and companion masses, ages, and environments of systems with wide-orbit substellar companions and to clarify the boundary between brown dwarfs and massive exoplanets. However, the number of discoveries so far has limited the statistical analysis of these trends. Large exoplanet imaging searches, each on the order of hundreds of targets, have discovered between 0 and 4 substellar companions, bringing the total detections to <20 objects in the planetary-mass regime ($\lesssim 13 M_{Jup}$) and another ~ 100 in the brown dwarf regime (Deacon et al. 2014; Bowler 2016; Bowler & Nielsen 2018; Baron et al. 2019). Bowler et al. (2020) conducted one of the first population demographic studies comparing the eccentricity distributions of substellar companion orbits over various parameters (e.g. mass, separation, age). With a sample size of 27 substellar companions with orbital measurements, they found differences in the peaks of the distributions but could not constrain the exact shape of the distributions. Population studies with larger sample sizes are needed to better understand how these substellar objects form and evolve, as well as determine the natural boundary distinguishing brown dwarfs from massive exoplanets. A key step is to conduct a survey large enough to greatly boost the detections of these rare objects. This will allow us to perform more detailed population studies to test formation and evolution models.

Many of the early direct imaging surveys focused on massive stars because of the better AO corrected image quality and easier identification of young stars. However, low-mass stars are by far the most abundant stars in the galaxy, comprising roughly 75% of all stars (Bochanski et al. 2010). Several direct imaging surveys focusing on low-mass stars have now been conducted. For example, the Planets Around Low-Mass Stars (PALMS; Bowler et al. 2015a) survey observed 122 young M dwarfs with Keck/NIRC2 and Subaru/HiCIAO and detected 4 brown dwarf companions and no planetary companions. The M-dwArf Statistical Survey for direct Imaging of massiVe Exoplanets (MASSIVE; Lannier et al. 2016) observed 58 young and nearby M dwarfs and did not detect new substellar companions. The Planet Search around Young-associations M dwarfs (PSYM-WIDE; Naud et al. 2017), a deep seeing-limited survey, observed 95 stars with Gemini/GMOS and discovered one planetary companion.

Most stars are believed to have formed as part of a multiple system from the collapse and fragmentation of cloud cores

(Larson 2002). However, the frequency of multiple systems has been observed to decrease with age (Duchêne & Kraus 2013), implying that as a stellar system evolves dynamical interactions cause the ejection of companions (Reipurth et al. 2014). Thus, multiplicity statistics of young stars are useful for placing boundary conditions in evolutionary models exploring companion loss processes.

Adaptive optics (AO) technology, which corrects for the blurring effect of the atmosphere, has enabled the discovery of many wide-orbit substellar companions by direct imaging. We are conducting a companion survey using Robo-AO, a robotic laser adaptive optics instrument (Baranec et al. 2014) at the Kitt Peak 2.1-m and Maunakea UH 2.2-m telescopes. Robo-AO’s infrared science camera is equipped with a SAPHIRA (Selex Avalanche Photodiode for High-speed Infrared Array) detector (Baranec et al. 2015). This is a new type of infrared detector using electron-avalanche mechanisms to boost the signal while keeping the read noise fixed. As such, our survey is also testing the sensitivity and on-sky performance of these detectors.

We report here on the results from our observations as part of the Large Adaptive optics Survey for Substellar Objects (LASSO). The goal of LASSO is to search for wide-orbit (50–1500 AU), substellar companions around young ($\lesssim 300$ Myrs), nearby (<100 pc), low-mass ($0.1\text{--}0.8 M_{\odot}$) stars. In Section 2 we introduce the LASSO survey, target selection (§2.1), Robo-AO instrument (§2.2), observations (§2.3), data reduction (§2.4), and companion detection method (§2.5). In Section 3 we report the results of our observations, physical association determination (§3.1) and optical-infrared colors (§3.2). In Section 4 we discuss and analyze triple systems (§4.1), accelerating stars (§4.2), substellar objects (§4.3), and survey yields & expectations (§4.4), and in Section 5 we summarize our main conclusions.

2. SURVEY AND OBSERVATIONS

The objective of LASSO is to find new substellar companions at wide separations in order to carry out population studies of these rare objects. We surveyed young, nearby, low-mass stars because substellar objects are brighter when they are younger; it is easier to resolve objects at small physical separations when they are closer to us, and we are more sensitive to lower-mass companions in systems with lower mass primaries. This is an ongoing survey with more observations to come from a prioritized sample.

2.1. LASSO Target Selection

We used the Cool Dwarf Catalog (CDC; Muirhead et al. 2018) as our starting sample. Its purpose is to identify cool dwarf targets for the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS). Because young low-mass stars have chromospheric activity due to strong magnetic dynamos caused by their deep

convective envelopes and differential rotation, they can be identified by excesses in the UV. In order to select for young stars, we cross-matched the CDC with the Galaxy Evolution Explorer (GALEX; Martin et al. 2005; Morrissey et al. 2007). Following Rodriguez et al. (2013), we applied the following selection criteria:

$$NUV - W1 \leq 12.5 \text{ mag} \quad (1)$$

$$J - W2 \geq 0.8 \text{ mag} \quad (2)$$

$$NUV - W1 < 7 \times (J - W2) + 5.5 \text{ mag} \quad (3)$$

Where NUV photometry is from GALEX, J magnitudes are from the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS; Skrutskie et al. 2006), and $W1$ ($3.4 \mu\text{m}$) and $W2$ ($4.6 \mu\text{m}$) are from the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE; Wright et al. 2010). The remaining targets were then cross-matched with *Gaia* DR2 (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016, 2018) to filter by measured proper motion and distance. Only targets within 100 pc were selected. Finally, we selected only targets observable from Kitt Peak (KP), and later Maunakea (MK), by limiting to targets with declination $> -30^\circ$ and -35° , respectively. Targets with $i' \leq 15 \text{ mag}$ were selected, or $V \leq 17 \text{ mag}$ when no i' -band measurement was available in the CDC.

The resulting target list comprises 2,787 stars for Kitt Peak and 3,291 stars for Maunakea. Properties of our sample are shown in Figure 1, with evolutionary isochrones overlaid. Most targets are estimated to be between ~ 10 – 300 Myr old, though no detailed independent age estimates were performed and some targets may be older than expected (see discussion in §4.4). The targets are predominantly M dwarfs with some late K-type stars. They span temperatures of ~ 3000 – 4000 K , and masses of ~ 0.1 – $0.8 M_\odot$. We report Robo-AO imaging of 321 stars from this sample in this paper. The observed stars were selected by the Robo-AO queue system (§2.2).

2.1.1. Sco-Cen Targets

In order to compare a younger sample of targets to our young field sample, after moving Robo-AO to Maunakea in 2019 we added targets from the Scorpius-Centaurus (Sco-Cen) association, which is the youngest and nearest OB association observable from the Northern Hemisphere (de Zeeuw et al. 1999; Wright & Mamajek 2018). The Sco-Cen association is farther than our young field sample, at an average distance of 140 pc, but it is younger, with an estimated age range of 5–15 Myr. We will thus be more sensitive to lower mass objects than our field sample, but at slightly larger orbital separations. We used the Villa Vézlez et al. (2018) sample that isolated the pre-main sequence population of stars in the Sco-Cen association using *Gaia* DR2 and applied the




Figure 1. LASSO young field target sample (light orange dots) with isochrones from the Baraffe et al. (2015) evolutionary models overlaid. The effective temperatures and J -band magnitudes are from the CDC, and *Gaia* DR2 was used to determine J -band absolute magnitudes. The estimated age range of our target sample is ~ 10 – 300 Myr . Observed stars reported in this paper are shown as black stars.

same color selection criteria as used by the CDC to select low-mass cool stars:

$$V - J > 2.7 \text{ mag} \quad (4)$$

$$M_V > 2.2 \times (V - J) - 2.0 \text{ mag} \quad (5)$$

We also applied the same declination and magnitude cuts (Dec $> -35^\circ$ and $i' \leq 15 \text{ mag}$) as for our field sample. This additional sample consists of 668 targets, $\sim 60\%$ of which are in the Upper Scorpius region, the youngest (5–15 Myr) subgroup of the association. We report Robo-AO imaging for 24 stars from this sample in this paper.

2.1.2. Pre-LASSO targets

Before we finalized the target list above, and prior to the CDC and *Gaia* data releases, we used a preliminary target list of young active M-dwarfs. These targets were selected from the cross-match of color selected samples from Frith et al. (2013) and Haakonsen & Rutledge (2009), described in Bowler et al. (2019). In this paper we also report on Robo-AO imaging of 82 stars selected from this preliminary target list which would not have been part of our LASSO list for the following reasons: 19 were not part of the CDC, 42 did not yield a match in GALEX, and 21 did not satisfy the selection criteria described in Equations 1–3. 31 additional stars were also selected and observed from this preliminary target list, but would have been part of our LASSO sample and thus were included in our LASSO count discussed in §2.1.

Table 1 summarizes the number of stars reported in this paper and which list they come from.

Table 1. Observed Stars Target Lists

Target List	Number of stars observed
LASSO young field late-K/M dwarfs	321
Pre-LASSO young field M dwarfs	82
Sco-Cen association late-K/M dwarfs	24
Total	427

2.2. Robo-AO Instrument

We conducted the observations with the Robo-AO instrument first at the Kitt Peak National Observatory 2.1-m telescope in Arizona, and later at the UH 2.2-m telescope on Maunakea, Hawai‘i (Baranec et al. 2014; Salama et al. 2016; Jensen-Clem et al. 2018; Salama et al. 2018). Robo-AO is equipped with both visible and infrared science cameras with a dichroic mirror simultaneously sending wavelengths shorter than $\lambda=950$ nm to the visible camera and the longer wavelengths to the infrared camera. Robo-AO uses a Rayleigh-scattering laser guide star with a line of sight focus at ~ 10 km. The AO system runs at a rate of 1.2 kHz to correct high-order wavefront aberrations. In order to correct the tip-tilt motion of the star (not sensed by the laser guide star), we process the images with post-facto shift-and-add, with data taken at 20 Hz in the infrared and 8.6 Hz in the visible. See Jensen-Clem et al. (2018) for more details.

We installed Robo-AO on the 2.1-m telescope on Kitt Peak, Arizona, from November 2015 to June 2018. We conducted our observations after commissioning the infrared camera in November 2016 and while we were still testing and characterizing the performance of the detector and integrating the readout software within our automated observing routines. Each observation consists of a 5-minute exposure with 1-2 minutes of overhead due to telescope slewing and pointing, and laser guide star acquisition. The Robo-AO intelligent observing queue is described in Riddle et al. (2014).

2.2.1. Infrared Camera

In order to extend the scope of observable objects to much cooler and lower-mass objects (brown dwarfs and massive exoplanets) we added an infrared science camera with a SAPHIRA detector (Salama et al. 2018). Selex Avalanche Photodiode for HgCdTe InfraRed Array (SAPHIRA) detectors (Finger et al. 2014) provide photon-counting technology at infrared wavelengths (Atkinson et al. 2018). SAPHIRA detectors make use of electron-avalanche mechanisms within each pixel to effectively multiply the signal without increasing the read noise and thus improving the Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR). SAPHIRA detectors allow for almost noiseless signal amplification and ultra-low dark currents (Atkinson et al. 2017), which is especially beneficial for ground-based astronomical observations of photon-starved targets

(e.g., Goebel et al. 2018; Hippler et al. 2020; Bond et al. 2020). This type of high-speed detector is particularly useful to minimize the degrading effect of tip-tilt displacement on image quality by taking multiple short-exposure images while adding negligible noise (Jensen-Clem et al. 2018).

The infrared camera filter is located inside of the camera dewar and maintained at a temperature of 85K. After testing different filters in the lab, calculating sensitivity limits, and changing the filter on-sky at Kitt Peak, we determined that we were most sensitive to substellar objects in the J band due to the high thermal background at longer wavelengths. Substellar objects are brighter in the H band, and because thermal background is lower due to the colder temperatures on Maunakea, we installed an H -band filter when we moved to the UH 2.2-m telescope.

2.2.2. Visible Camera

Robo-AO also has an EMCCD visible-light science camera with a filter wheel. The filter wheel includes the g' , r' , i' , and z' filters as well as a long-pass “lp600” filter, allowing wavelengths longer than 600 nm through. We carried out our observations in the i' -band for optimal image sharpness. The characteristics of both science cameras are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Robo-AO science cameras

	Visible Camera	Infrared Camera
Detector	EMCCD	SAPHIRA
Wavelengths	400 – 950 nm	0.8 – 2.5 μm
Format	1024 \times 1024 pixels	320 \times 256 pixels
Pixel size	13 μm	24 μm
Field of view	36" \times 36" (KP) 26" \times 26" (MK)	20.5" \times 16.5" (KP) 14.5" \times 11.5" (MK)
Plate scale	35 mas/pixel (KP) 25 mas/pixel (MK)	64 mas/pixel (KP) 46 mas/pixel (MK)
Filters	i'	J (KP), H (MK)
Sampling rate	8.6 Hz	20 Hz

2.3. Observations

We observed a total of 427 stars with Robo-AO. 346 observations were carried out on the 2.1-m telescope on Kitt Peak, Arizona from 2017 June to 2018 June. 98 observations were conducted in 2019 May and October on the UH 2.2-m telescope on Maunakea, 17 of which we had also previously observed at Kitt Peak. We obtained simultaneous images in the i' band and J band (on Kitt Peak) or i' band and H band (on Maunakea) for 432 of our observations. We did not capture simultaneous visible images for twelve stars, as the infrared camera software was not yet fully integrated with the

Robo-AO system. The median measured seeing in the i' -band was $1.53'' \pm 0.26''$ and $0.97'' \pm 0.24''$ at Kitt Peak and Maunakea, respectively. Table 11 in the Appendix lists all of the observed targets along with the observing conditions and achieved contrasts.

2.4. Data Reduction

2.4.1. Infrared camera

The SAPHIRA camera produces raw data cubes containing the sequences of frames resulting from non-destructive readouts. We first subtract each frame from the subsequent frame to produce a differential frame, which is inherently bias corrected. To calibrate these differential frames we then subtract the sky background and divide by the flat field response. For the Robo-AO observations at the UH 2.2-m telescope, an IR source to produce flat fields had not yet been installed. Instead, we derived the per-pixel response from sky background images taken over the course of the observing program. Assuming linear response, the signal $s(t)$ returned by a pixel is the result of its response r , source flux over time $f_{source} \times t$, dark current (fixed pattern noise) over time $d \times t$, and bias b :

$$s(t) = r \times f_{source} \times t + d \times t + b \quad (6)$$

With fixed integration time, bias correction through differential frames, and using the median of each background as the source flux, the normalized response for each pixel can be estimated through linear regression. For consistency, we also used this process for the Kitt Peak observations. We identified pixels with poor response by sigma clipping reduced background images, and replaced these pixel values by Gaussian interpolation of surrounding pixels. This affected $\sim 2.3\%$ of pixels at Kitt Peak and $\sim 1\%$ of pixels at Maunakea. This improvement is likely due to readout electronic hardware modifications implemented while moving Robo-AO from Kitt Peak to Maunakea.

In the Kitt Peak observations we found linear artifacts that survived background subtraction and flat-fielding. These manifested as horizontal lines 32 pixels in length that appeared in random rows and were offset from the pixels in the rows above or below. Their locations corresponded to detector readout electronics and were not found in Maunakea observations. We suspect there may have been camera readout effects dependent on either hardware calibration or operating temperature, which improved after the hardware modifications and move to Maunakea. We mitigated these by subtracting a 10% quantile value from each 32 pixel-long row segment corresponding to the readout electronics (higher quantiles oversubtracted where stars or companions were present).

Tip-tilt motion is not sensed by the laser guide star system and is handled post-facto by a modified shift-and-add routine. We stack the calibrated differential frames using the

centroid position of the brightest star. This is performed with sub-pixel precision by weighting flux contributions from each pixel in subsequent frames by their proportional overlap over the output pixels, similar to the Drizzle algorithm (Fruchter & Hook 2002) but without shrinking the input pixels. We also produced images using shift-and-median instead of shift-and-add, which helped suppress noise artifacts not already removed by the calibrations. We selected the shift-and-median reduced image instead of the shift-and-add result for $\sim 60\%$ of our observations.

Additionally, we developed an optional adaptation of the GenSTAC technique (Howard et al. 2018) to handle low SNR frames, where target identification and centroid positioning can be unreliable in individual frames. A series of low SNR frames are stacked together until the SNR is comparable to surrounding higher quality frames. The resulting centroid is then assigned to the center frame of the stack. The positioning for all other low SNR frames in the stack are estimated by cubic spline interpolation of the centroid positions of the surrounding stack center frames and higher quality frames. Reduced images from this technique were selected for $\sim 10\%$ of our observations and denoted in our list of observations (Appendix Table 11).

2.4.2. Visible camera

For each raw image frame, we subtract the background and apply a flat-field correction. We then process them through the image registration pipeline (first, the “bright-star” pipeline), which stacks the individual short exposure frames on the brightest star in the field, to correct for the tip-tilt motion not sensed by the laser guide star system. However, if the registration pipeline produces a $\text{FWHM} < \lambda/D$, then it is considered a failed registration, meaning it stacked on a bright pixel because the target was too faint. We then reprocess these images through the “faint-star” pipeline, which stacks all the frames, to create a reference frame, which is dark and flat corrected, then high-pass filtered and centered on the guide star. Each individual raw frame is then also dark and flat corrected, high-pass filtered, windowed, and finally registered to the reference frame. We also process the images through the high contrast pipeline, in order to maximize the sensitivity to detect faint companions. This pipeline consists of applying a high-pass filter to remove light from the stellar halo, then a synthetic PSF of the star is generated by the Karhunen-Loève Image Processing (KLIP) algorithm, which makes use of a PSF reference library of Robo-AO observations, and is subtracted from the observed PSF. A detailed description and performance analysis of the data reduction pipelines for the visible camera is available in §3 of Jensen-Clem et al. (2018).

2.5. Companion Detection

2.5.1. Infrared camera

For each reduced image, we generated a radial average and subtracted it from the original to remove most of the primary star light. We then visually inspected the radially-subtracted images to flag companion candidates. Next, we calculated the centroid location of the star and any companion candidates. We then measured the SNR of the companion candidate by calculating the flux of the companion candidate in a circular aperture, subtracting from it the median background flux in an annulus around the star at the same separation as the companion candidate (while masking the companion candidate itself), and dividing by the noise in that same annulus. We did this over a range of aperture radii and calculated the companion candidate flux ratio with the primary star using the aperture size corresponding to the highest SNR. In combination with visual vetting of detections in our images, we applied an SNR > 5 threshold (in at least either the visible or infrared image) to report a detection as a companion candidate. The errors were calculated from the standard deviation of the measurements on the individual (pre-stacked) observations and combined with the 5 mas positional systematic uncertainty after correcting for distortion, as reported in [Jensen-Clem et al. \(2018\)](#). The average measurement uncertainties are: 0.03'' in separation, 1.5° in PA, 0.08 mag in *i'*-band contrast, 0.12 mag in *J*-band contrast, and 0.20 mag in *H*-band contrast.

The sensitivity of our observations was determined through injection and recovery of fake companions in the images. For each observation, we scaled a copy of the target star to a given contrast, then injected that scaled PSF at a given separation and position angle in the image. We then subtracted the radial average of the injected image. We did this for a range of separations, position angles, and contrasts and then determined the contrast at each position where the injected companion could no longer be recovered, using an SNR threshold of 5. At each separation, we adopted the median achieved contrast over the range of position angles to generate an individual contrast curve for each target (Figure 2). For stars with companion candidates, we masked the companion when generating the contrast curve.

In order to convert our contrast curves to detection sensitivity of companion mass and physical separation, we need to take into account the distance to each primary star, its magnitude, and evolutionary models to compute companion masses. Using each observed target’s *Gaia* DR2 parallax, we converted the contrast curve of magnitude differences to companion absolute magnitudes as a function of projected physical separation in AU. From the absolute magnitudes and using evolutionary models from [Chabrier et al. \(2000\)](#) and [Baraffe et al. \(2015\)](#), we estimated a range of 100 companion masses corresponding to a range of 100 ages from 10–300 Myr sampled uniformly in log-space for young field tar-




Figure 2. Contrast curves from LASSO Robo-AO observations in the *J*-band on the 2.1-m telescope on Kitt Peak, Arizona (blue) and in the *H*-band on the 2.2-m UH telescope on Maunakea, Hawai‘i (orange). The solid line is the median contrast curve and the dashed lines are ± 1 standard deviation.

gets, and 30 ages from 5–15 Myr sampled uniformly in log-space for Sco-Cen targets. We then used the python package ExoDMC ([Bonavita 2020](#)) to generate a detection sensitivity map for each sampled age for each target. ExoDMC is a Monte Carlo simulation code, which generates a synthetic population of 1000 planets with a range of orbital parameters. The assumptions and models used to generate this population are explained in [Bonavita et al. \(2012\)](#). We combined the detection sensitivity maps generated for each star at each age to estimate our overall detection sensitivity for young field stars (this includes both the LASSO and pre-LASSO stars, as their sensitivity maps were nearly identical) and Sco-Cen association stars, shown in Figure 3 and summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Robo-AO Survey Detection Sensitivity

Companion Mass	Detection probability		
	10%	50%	90%
LASSO field stars			
70 M_{Jup}	10–1425 AU	50–450 AU	...
40 M_{Jup}	15–1100 AU	95–300 AU	...
13 M_{Jup}	45–440 AU
Sco-Cen association stars			
70 M_{Jup}	15–2000 AU	45–1050 AU	210–570 AU
40 M_{Jup}	30–2000 AU	80–1045 AU	330–525 AU
13 M_{Jup}	105–1525 AU	450–500 AU	...

2.5.2. Visible camera




Figure 3. Detection sensitivity for young field targets (*top*) and Sco-Cen targets (*bottom*). The contrast curves for each star was converted to physical separation in AU using the *Gaia* DR2 distance, and the contrast was converted to companion mass using isochrone models over a range of ages (10–300 Myrs for field stars and 5–15 Myrs for Sco-Cen stars). The completeness was then determined using the ExoDMC synthetic population simulation code.

The Robo-AO automated data reduction pipeline for the visible camera produces PSF-subtracted images and contrast curves for each observation. We visually inspected the images to flag companion candidates. Companion candidate measurements and SNR values were calculated in the same way as described for the infrared camera above. We used the contrast curves generated by the high contrast pipeline for each observation to determine the sensitivity of our survey in the visible. Details about the performance and achievable

contrasts of Robo-AO in the visible can be found in Figure 13 of Jensen-Clem et al. (2018).

2.5.3. False Triples

For some bright companions, the frame stacking may lock onto the centroid of the companion instead of the primary in some of the frames. This produces a “false triple” image where the companion appears on both sides of the primary, at the same separation and 180° rotated. We measured the contrast for both locations of the companion, masking both companion locations when measuring the background and noise in the annulus around the star. We then combined the measurements following Law (2006) to get the final contrast. This effect can be seen in both the visible and infrared data pipelines. We corrected four of our companion candidate contrast measurements for this effect.

3. RESULTS

We detected a total of 121 companion candidates near 111 stars using the Robo-AO infrared camera: 100 in the *J*-band at Kitt Peak and 25 in the *H*-band at Maunakea, (including four observed and detected at both telescopes). We acquired simultaneous images with the visible camera of 107 stars with 118 companion candidates and detected 100 of these companion candidates in the *i'*-band. The resulting detection measurements are summarized in Table 6 in the Appendix.

3.1. Physical association

We searched *Gaia* DR2 for objects at the same location as our companion candidates and found matches for 75 of them. Of those, 62 had parallax and proper motion measurements. To estimate the possible physical association of the companion candidate and primary star, we compared their parallaxes and proper motions. We calculated the ratios of the primary-companion difference in parallax ($\Delta\pi$) to the parallax of the primary ($\pi_{Primary}$) and the primary-companion difference in proper motion ($\Delta\mu$) to the proper motion of the primary ($\mu_{Primary}$). In order to establish reasonable thresholds on these ratios, we compared our sample of primary-companion pairs to the *Gaia* DR2 selected wide co-moving binaries from Jiménez-Esteban et al. (2019), shown in Figure 4. Jiménez-Esteban et al. (2019) determine pairs to be co-moving if their differences in parallax and proper motions in RA and Dec are less than 2σ , where σ is the maximum error of the two measurements. However, we opted to not directly include the errors in our thresholds because the majority of our candidates have large (> 1.4) Renormalized Unit Weight Errors (RUWE) values, which indicates an issue with the astrometry solution, which uses a single star model. Such a large RUWE could indicate the *Gaia* DR2 measurements are affected by the presence of the companions. Jiménez-Esteban et al. (2019) only included sources with RUWEs < 1.4 and

made further sample restrictions to ensure reliable error estimates. Figure 5 shows RUWE values for our sample as a function of separation. Stars with closer companions have large RUWEs, which suggests that the large RUWE is due to the presence of a companion. Therefore, we have determined that objects with $\Delta\pi/\pi_{Primary}$ or $\Delta\mu/\mu_{Primary} > 0.35$ to very likely be background objects.

Twelve objects clearly stand out as background objects, while the other 50 companions all appear to be consistent with physical association. In addition, three of our pairs have *Gaia* DR2 radial velocity (RV) measurements for both components, which are in agreement ($\Delta RV < 2\sigma_{RV}$, where σ_{RV} is the maximum error of the two measurements), and thus further indicates the likelihood of physical association. Of the remaining 59 companion candidates lacking *Gaia* DR2 measurements, nine were previously studied systems reported in the literature as physically associated companions. Another five have astrometry measurements in *Gaia* EDR3¹, three of which are physically associated companions and two are background objects. Therefore, a total of 62 companions are physically associated, 14 are background objects, and 45 candidates will require future follow-up observations to assess their physical association.

¹ *Gaia* EDR3 was released after this paper was submitted. We have used this to update the physical association status of five companion candidates, which were previously unconfirmed with *Gaia* DR2. We also confirmed that the status of the other candidates remained unchanged. Except for these five companions, the properties reported throughout the paper are calculated using distances from *Gaia* DR2 astrometry.




Figure 4. Comparing *Gaia* DR2 parallaxes and proper motions for primary stars and companion candidates to determine consistency for physical association. For reference, the co-moving binaries identified in the *Gaia* DR2 binary catalog by Jiménez-Esteban et al. (2019) are also shown. For objects to be considered physically associated, we set the threshold for the ratios of primary-companion parallax difference to primary parallax ($\Delta\pi/\pi_{Primary}$) and primary-companion proper motion difference to primary proper motion ($\Delta\mu/\mu_{Primary}$) < 0.35 (dashed lines). In addition, we have *Gaia* DR2 RVs for three primary-companion pairs (star symbols), which all match within $\Delta RV < 2\sigma_{RV}$. Pairs with less reliable astrometry ($RUWE > 1.4$) are marked in colored circles: red if the primary star’s $RUWE > 1.4$, yellow if the companion’s $RUWE > 1.4$, and orange if both $RUWE$ s > 1.4 .




Figure 5. $RUWE$ values for the primary (colored by magnitude difference) and companion (grey, connected by a grey line to its primary) as a function of separation. A trend of large $RUWE$ values (> 1.4 , above horizontal grey dashed line) for closer in companions is visible, however no particular trend with contrast is obvious.

We show the companion infrared contrasts and absolute magnitudes as a function of separation from the host star,

with physical association status, in Figures 6 & 7. A summary of the physically associated companions in binary systems and their properties are shown in Appendix Table 7. Companion candidates where physical association has yet to be confirmed are summarized in Appendix Table 8. We display images of all of the confirmed and unconfirmed binary companions in Figures 8 and 9. For now, we assume the same parallax as the primary star to estimate their properties. Triple system candidates are summarized in Appendix Table 9 and further discussed in the section 4.1. As seen in both figures, most of the companions that do not have *Gaia* DR2 information are near the angular resolution limit of *Gaia* where accurate astrometry is more challenging. Hirsch et al. (2017) and Horch et al. (2014) have shown that the probability of a background star chance alignment decreases as the separation on-sky decreases. Therefore, we expect the majority of these close-in companion candidates to be physically associated.

We counted the stars surrounding each target with an unconfirmed companion candidate that were brighter than the faintest magnitude reached in all of the contrast curves (<17 in *J*-band and <18 in *H*-band). We conducted the search using the 2MASS catalog in a circular area of 20' radius. We extrapolated the star count in each band beyond the 2MASS 10σ sensitivity limits of 15.9 and 15.0 in *J* and *H* bands, respectively. The UKIDSS catalog (Lawrence et al. 2007) reaches fainter IR magnitudes, avoiding the need to extrapolate. However it does not cover the entire sky, and none of our targets with unconfirmed companion candidates were found in UKIDSS. We then divided the count by the search area in order to get the stellar surface density, then multiplied by the Robo-AO IR field of view to get the expected cumulative star counts within that area (N_{FOV}). The probability of detecting at least one background object was then calculated assuming a Poisson distribution:

$$P(N_{background} \geq 1) = 1 - e^{-N_{FOV}} \quad (7)$$

The resulting probabilities and their Poisson errors are reported in Tables 8 & 9. Only five of the 45 companion candidates have >10% chance of a background star landing in the field of view of our observation. Of those, three are below 20%, and the remaining two values are both 43%. This reinforces our expectation that the vast majority of our companion candidates are true companions. However, it is important to note that although each individual companion candidate has a low probability of being a background object, this is not enough to claim that any specific companion candidate is not a background object. If we calculate the compound probability that at least one background object is detected around any of the 45 targets, we get a 93% probability.

3.2. Optical - Infrared Colors




Figure 6. Summary of companion candidates detected with Robo-AO as part of this survey. Companions with *Gaia*-DR2 parallaxes and proper motions consistent with their primary stars are determined to be physically associated (green circles). Companions not in *Gaia*-DR2 or without parallax or proper motion information still need their physical association status to be determined (salmon squares). Companion candidates with parallax and proper motions inconsistent with the primary star were determined to be background objects (grey triangles). Measurements from Kitt Peak are in the *J*-band and measurements from Maunakea are in the *H*-band (markers outlined in black).




Figure 7. Absolute magnitudes and projected physical separations of companion candidates. Color schemes are the same as Figure 6. Candidates without enough information to determine physical association were assumed to be at the same distance as their host stars for the calculations. The majority of the unconfirmed candidates are within 100 AU.

For stars with simultaneous visible and infrared images, we report $i'-J$ or $i'-H$ colors for the companion candidates. For the 17 objects not detected in the visible images, we placed lower limits on their colors from the visible contrast






Figure 8. Linear scale images of Robo-AO near-infrared binary detections of physically associated companions (green circles) and unconfirmed candidates (dashed salmon circles) with the dynamic range adjusted for companion visibility. The filter used for the observation is shown in the top right and the image scale is shown in the bottom right. Images outlined in orange are shown in Figure 9b. Triple systems are shown in Figure 11.



(a)



(b)

Figure 9. (a) Linear scale images of Robo-AO near-infrared binary detections of physically associated companions (green circles) and unconfirmed candidates (dashed salmon circles) with the dynamic range adjusted for companion visibility. The filter used for the observation is shown in the top right and the image scale is shown in the bottom right. Images outlined in orange are shown in (b). Triple systems are shown in Figure 11. (b) Radially subtracted images for very close companion candidates not easily seen in Figures 8 & 9a. Except for 2MASS J19074283+3232396 where it is most easily seen in the i' -band image.

limits. For companion candidates where physical association has yet to be confirmed, we used these color measure-

ments combined with absolute magnitudes, and assuming the companion is at the same distance as the primary, to determine whether their photometry is consistent with a low-mass companion or background star. We used evolutionary models (Chabrier et al. 2000; Baraffe et al. 2015) to estimate companion masses and temperatures from absolute magnitudes. In Figure 10, we show the companion candidates' absolute magnitudes as a function of optical-infrared colors with their physical association status. We also show spectral type and mass estimates from stellar color-magnitude sequences (Kraus & Hillenbrand 2007; Liu et al. 2016) and isochrone models (Chabrier et al. 2000; Baraffe et al. 2015). Companion candidates that are potentially substellar will be prioritized for follow-up observations (see §4.3).

3.3. Literature Search for Companions

We found 38 of our 121 companion candidates in previous catalogs, listed in Appendix Table 10. The following sections summarize the results.

3.3.1. Robo-AO M dwarf multiplicity survey

Lamman et al. (2020) surveyed 5566 field M dwarfs at visible wavelengths with Robo-AO to assess any multiplicity. They found 553 companion candidates within $4''$ of 534 different stars. Seven of our companion candidates are also reported in this catalog.

3.3.2. Imaging of CARMENES M dwarfs

Cortés-Contreras et al. (2017) searched for low-mass companions to M dwarfs to vet targets for the CARMENES exoplanet survey. They observed 490 stars, from a volume-limited sample of M0-M5 stars within 14 pc. They found 80 bound companions and six companion candidates. We detected three of their confirmed companions. Due to a lack of *Gaia* DR2 measurements for two of those companions, the CARMENES input catalog was used to determine their physical association.

3.3.3. Young binaries and lithium-rich stars

Bowler et al. (2019) searched for new young, nearby, low-mass stars and report on spectroscopic observations of lithium-rich stars and binaries identified with Robo-AO. Nine of our companion candidates were identified with Robo-AO in this study, including two (2MASS J12115308+1249135 & 2MASS J15553178+3512028) identified as members of young moving groups β Pic and Argus, respectively.

3.3.4. Washington Double Star catalog

We searched for our companions in the Washington Double Star (WDS) catalog (Mason et al. 2001). We found 27 of our companion candidates in the WDS, including four with unconfirmed physical association and one that we ruled out as a background object (2MASS J02022823+1034533) but is listed in the WDS.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Triple Systems

We discovered 10 triple system candidates; six of which include an object determined not to be physically associated from *Gaia* DR2 parallaxes and proper motions. Of the remaining four triple system candidates, three are known triples. The remaining system is potentially a new triple system, requiring follow-up observations to confirm.

The presence of a tertiary companion and resulting architecture of the system gives important insight on the formation and evolution of high multiplicity systems. Hierarchical systems in particular, with a tight secondary and wider tertiary companion, have been shown to be the structure reached as orbits stabilize (Reipurth & Mikkola 2012). Furthermore, the structure of our triple system candidates can help us infer which ones are more or less likely to be a true triple system with both companions physically associated. Specifically, those that are not found in a hierarchical system are less likely to be true triple systems, because a hierarchical structure is the only stable structure.

Images of the four triple system candidates are shown in Figure 11 and each system is detailed below, the known systems first followed by the new candidate system.

2MASS J10364483+1521394 is an M4.5 rotational variable star with flares (Rodríguez Martínez et al. 2020) and is a triple system at a distance of 19.75 pc. We detect the companions at projected separations of 14.82 AU and 17.78 AU, with the companions forming a nearly equal-mass binary at a projected separation of $0.15''$ (3 AU). Calissendorff et al. (2017) recently analyzed the orbits and masses of this known triple system.

2MASS J19535443+4424541 is a known hierarchical triple system (Tokovinin 2017), with a tight central binary and a farther out fainter companion. The primary is an M5.5Ve star, in a tight binary with a $\sim 0.1M_{\odot}$ companion and the wider companion is an M6V star. This is one of the nearest triple systems, at a distance of 4.7 pc. The inner binary is separated by 1.9 AU and the outer companion is at a separation of 27.2 AU. Tokovinin (2017) determined the inner binary to have a period of 15.2 years and eccentricity of 0.32 and the outer companion's orbit direction is retrograde.

2MASS J23350028+0136193 is a K7V star at a distance of 18.2 pc and a member of the IC 2391 moving group with an age estimate of 50 ± 5 Myrs (Faherty et al. 2018; Barado y Navascués et al. 2004). This is a triple system, with recent measurements on the fainter and closer companion reported in Mann et al. (2019) and Kammerer et al. (2019). The projected separation of the companions are 17.70 and 25.37 AU. Mann et al. (2019) reports a total system mass of $0.606 \pm 0.018M_{\odot}$. Kammerer et al. (2019) report an RV of 4.5 km/s in the HARPS RV survey.




Figure 10. Companion candidate absolute J (left) and H (right) magnitudes as a function of optical-infrared colors. Targets with a companion candidate detected in the infrared but not in the visible are shown with lower limits on their colors, denoted by open symbols with arrows. Companions without i' -band photometry are shown to the right of the solid black vertical line. Companions that are physically associated are shown in green circles, while those that still need to be confirmed are shown as salmon squares. Corresponding mass estimates from isochrones of 10, 50, and 200 Myr are shown to delineate the stellar-substellar boundary (shaded blue area) and the deuterium burning limit (purple dashed and dotted lines). Companion candidates in the Sco-Cen sample are outlined with a pink star. We estimated the spectral types using the stellar SEDs in Kraus & Hillenbrand (2007) for types earlier than M6 and Liu et al. (2016)’s linear relation for M6 – L8 field objects.




Figure 11. Images of the triple system candidates. The companions that were determined to be physically associated, either from *Gaia* DR2 astrometry or from the literature, are labeled ‘B’ or ‘C’ in green, while the one whose physical association has yet to be confirmed is labeled ‘(B)’ in salmon. The primary star is labeled with a yellow ‘A’. The 2MASS ID and the Robo-AO IR filter are shown in the upper left and right, respectively. The images are displayed in linear stretch with the dynamic range adjusted for the faintest companion visibility. North is up and East is left.

2MASS J05242572+1922070 is a weak-line T Tauri star (Li & Hu 1998) and a disk-free member of the Taurus-Auriga star-forming complex (Kraus et al. 2017) at a *Gaia* DR2 distance of 58.34 ± 1.01 pc. The outer companion is at a projected separation of 113.2 ± 1.3 AU, and we have determined it to be physically associated from *Gaia* DR2 proper motion and parallax measurements. Using isochrone models, we estimate its mass to be $84\text{--}319 M_{Jup}$ for an age range of 10–200 Myrs. The inner companion candidate is at a projected separation of 20.9 ± 0.7 AU and remains to be confirmed. However, we expect this system to be a true new triple system given the overall hierarchical appearance of the system, the companion candidate’s very close separation ($0.36''$), the primary star’s RUWE value > 1.4 (3.6 in *Gaia* DR2 and 1.8 in *Gaia* EDR3), and the low probability of a chance alignment with a background star ($7.95 \pm 0.13\%$).

4.2. Accelerating Stars

The *Hipparcos-Gaia* Catalog of Accelerations (HGCA; Brandt 2018) measured accelerations by using three proper motion and positional measurements from *Hipparcos* (near epoch 1991.25), *Gaia* DR2 (near epoch 2015.5), and the *Gaia* – *Hipparcos* scaled positional difference over the 24-year baseline. Stars with measured accelerations are particularly interesting as they can provide dynamical masses and orbits for companions (Brandt et al. 2019). We consider stars with $\chi^2 > 11.8$, corresponding to 3σ , calculated from the *Gaia* proper motions against the *Gaia* – *Hipparcos*, to have significant accelerations. Of our observed targets, 34

are found in the HGCA catalog: 22 with no imaged companion and twelve with imaged companion candidate(s). The cumulative distribution of acceleration χ^2 are shown in Figure 12.

Of the observed stars found in the HGCA catalog where we did not detect a companion, $77_{-11}^{+6}\%$ (17/22) do not have significant accelerations ($\chi^2 < 11.8$), and $23_{-6}^{+11}\%$ (5/22) have significant accelerations. Of those five stars, two are reported in the literature as having a tight companion: 2MASS J13232325+5754222 (Horch et al. 2017) and 2MASS J22372987+3922519 (Pourbaix et al. 2004). It is possible that there are also unresolved companions around the remaining three stars with significant acceleration measurements but where we do not detect any companions.

In contrast, for the stars with companion detection(s), $58_{-14}^{+12}\%$ (7/12) have significant accelerations and $42_{-12}^{+14}\%$ (5/12) do not. The $1-\sigma$ uncertainties are numerically calculated following the binomial distribution, as described in Burgasser et al. (2003). Figure 13 shows that there is a clear correlation between acceleration significance and companion projected separation, as expected. Similarly, the companion that does not have *Gaia* DR2 measurements but has significant acceleration (2MASS J03093085+4543586) is very likely to be a physically associated companion.




Figure 12. Cumulative distribution of acceleration χ^2 values for stars in the HGCA catalog comparing stars with no Robo-AO companion detections to those with companion candidates. Stars with $\chi^2 > 11.8$ are accelerating with $> 3\sigma$ significance. A larger proportion, $58_{-14}^{+12}\%$ (7/12), of stars with companion detections are accelerating than those with no companion detection, $23_{-6}^{+11}\%$ (5/22).

4.3. Substellar Candidates

While the majority of our detections are very likely stellar companions, a handful are potentially substellar. We do not have precise age estimates for most of our targets; there-




Figure 13. Target acceleration significance from the HGCA catalog as a function of companion projected separation. The threshold determining “significant acceleration” is placed at $\chi^2 > 11.8$ (dashed grey line) and targets with large RUWE values, and thus less reliable astrometry, are outlined in green. Targets with companions that have been confirmed to be physically associated are marked as circles and the one that still needs to be confirmed is marked as a square, but is highly likely to be physically associated due to its high acceleration significance. One system is a triple system, and its two companions are circled in black. A correlation is apparent where closer companions have large acceleration significances.

fore these systems will require additional infrared color photometry and spectroscopy to characterize these objects and determine their spectral types. Table 4 summarizes the companions we detected with Robo-AO in LASSO that have already been discovered and characterized but would have been flagged as potentially substellar and needing follow-up study from the Robo-AO data.

Table 4. LASSO companions found in the literature

2MASS ID	SpT		Mass	Ref.
	Prim	Comp		
06575703+6219197	M4	M5	...	1
11240434+3808108	M4.5	M9.5	$81 \pm 5 M_{Jup}$	2, 3
15553178+3512028	M4	M7	...	4
15594729+4403595	M1.5	$M7.5 \pm 0.5$	$43 \pm 9 M_{Jup}$	5, 3
19074283+3232396	$0.42 \pm 0.03 M_{\odot}^*$	6
20231789+6710096	M5	M5	...	7

* This is the total system mass (M_{tot})

[1] Newton et al. (2014), [2] Close et al. (2003), [3] Bowler et al. (2015b), [4] WDS catalog, [5] Janson et al. (2012), [6] Mann et al. (2019), [7] Law et al. (2008)

Below we summarize companions not characterized in the literature:

2MASS J06584690+2843004 is an M-type star at a *Gaia* determined distance of 41.75 ± 1.2 pc. We detect a companion candidate at a separation of $0.88''$. We found no match in *Gaia* DR2 for the companion, so follow-up is needed to confirm whether it is physically associated. We do not detect it in the visible image so we place a minimum $i'-H$ color limit of 2.07 mag. Using isochrone models, we estimate its mass to be $17-86 M_{Jup}$ for an age range of 10–200 Myrs.

2MASS J12082885+1327090 is at a distance of 37.49 ± 0.11 pc. We find a physically associated companion at $1.8''$, or a projected separation of 68.43 AU. Its $i'-J$ color is 3.04 mag corresponding to an estimated SpT of M6.6 from Kraus & Hillenbrand (2007) SEDs. By using PanSTARRS photometry we determine this object is red with $g-r$ and $z-y$ colors of 2.07 and 0.96, respectively. Using the color and spectral type estimates from Best et al. (2018), this object would be a late M/early L-type object. Its isochrone mass range for 10-200 Myrs is 21-135 M_{Jup} .

2MASS J14192958+0254365 is an M5 star at a distance of 20.83 ± 0.04 pc. We detect a companion candidate at $0.5''$ with a SNR of 6.7, just above our detection threshold of 5. We do not detect it in the visible image, thus placing a $i'-J$ color limit of > 3.6 mag and late M spectral type. We do not detect the companion in *Gaia* DR2.

2MASS J15471513+0149218 is at a distance of 18.39 ± 0.14 pc. We detect a companion candidate at a separation of $0.23''$, with no detection in the visible camera, corresponding to an $i'-J$ color-limit of 5.2 mag. Using isochrone models, we estimate its mass to be $14-56 M_{Jup}$ for an age range of 10–200 Myrs. PanSTARRS detects a very blue object at a projected separation of $\sim 4''$ and PA of $\sim 300^\circ$. It is unassociated according to *Gaia* DR2 measurements and catalogued as a white dwarf by Bai et al. (2018). This blue object is too faint for both the visible and infrared Robo-AO cameras.

2MASS J16304072-2018186 is a member of Sco-Cen and thus very young (5–15 Myrs) compared to most of our other targets and at a distance of 182.82 ± 4.27 pc. We detected two nearby objects, also detected by *Gaia* DR2. The *Gaia* data indicates that only one is a physically associated companion at a projected separation of 551.63 AU while the other one is a background object. These objects are visible in PanSTARRS data, which measures the physical companion as a very red object. We obtained near-infrared spectra of both the primary star (2M1630-2018A) and the physically associated companion (2M1630-2018B) using the NASA Infrared Telescope Facility (IRTF) in prism mode on 2020 August 23 UT. We took 8 exposures for both objects in a ABBA pattern with 10 seconds and 120 seconds each for the primary and companion, respectively, and we contemporaneously observed a nearby A0V standard star HD 152071 for telluric correction. We reduced the data using Spextool version 4.1 (Cushing et al. 2004) and our resulting spectra have a median

SNR of 215 per pixel for the primary and 55 per pixel for the companion in *J* band. Comparing these objects' spectra with M-type spectral standards from Kirkpatrick et al. (2010) in each of the *J*, *H*, and *K* bands, we derive visual near-infrared spectral types of $M3.5 \pm 1$ and $M5 \pm 1$ for the primary and companion, respectively. Quantitative spectral types are not available for these objects as their H_2O -band spectral indices exceed the applicable range of Allers & Liu (2013) and Zhang et al. (2018) methods.

4.4. Survey Yields and Expectations

This is an ongoing survey and a detailed statistical analysis of these results is beyond the scope of this paper. We calculate the raw multiplicity detection frequency of the 427 stars we observed, excluding detections ruled out as background objects, and including companions where physical association is to-be-determined. The fraction of observed stars with companion(s) is $24.1 \pm 2.4\%$ (half still need confirmation). The breakdown of multiplicity fractions between the young field stars and Sco-Cen association stars are summarized in Table 5. Uncertainties are calculated following the binomial distribution for samples < 100 stars and the Poisson distribution for the larger samples.

Table 5. Companion Detection Frequencies

Sample	# stars observed	# stars with companion(s)
Total	427	103 ($24.1 \pm 2.4\%$)
Total young field	403	99 ($24.6 \pm 2.5\%$)
LASSO young field	321	70 ($21.8 \pm 2.6\%$)
Pre-LASSO young field	82	29 ($35^{+6}_{-5}\%$)
Sco-Cen association	24	4 ($17^{+10}_{-5}\%$)

Cool dwarfs have lower multiplicity fractions than higher mass stars (Dupuy et al. 2013) with fractions of $26 \pm 3\%$ for stars $0.1 - 0.7 M_\odot$ (Duchêne & Kraus 2013), consistent with our results. Our Sco-Cen sample is an order of magnitude smaller than our young field sample, so a detailed statistical comparison of our young field sample and our younger Sco-Cen sample is beyond the scope of this paper. We also note the difference in detection frequencies between our LASSO and pre-LASSO samples. The pre-LASSO sample was constructed using photometric distances, which would increase the chances of inadvertently including more distant binaries, thought to be less distant single stars. The fraction of higher order systems is $0.9 \pm 0.5\%$. Winters et al. (2019) estimate a higher-order multiplicity rate of $\sim 5\%$, from a companion search at separations of $2''$ to $300''$, which is over an order of magnitude larger than our field of view, where many of the wide-orbit tertiary companions would be.




Figure 14. IRTF/SpEx spectra of 2M1630A (top) and 2M1630B (bottom) as compared to the [Kirkpatrick et al. \(2010\)](#) M-dwarf spectral standards (grey) in *J*, *H*, and *K* bands. All these standards are normalized by the averaged flux of our targets within each band and their names are Gl 91 (M2), Gl 752A (M3), Gl 213 (M4), Gl 51 (M5), LHS 1375 (M6), vB 8 (M7). We derive a visual near-infrared spectral type of $M3.5 \pm 1$ and $M5 \pm 1$ for the primary and the companion, respectively.

One bona fide substellar object and one companion at the substellar-stellar mass boundary were detected in our survey (2MASS J15594729+4403595 and 2MASS J11240434+3808108) and another ~ 5 are potentially substellar. This gives us a detection rate of 0.5 – 1.5%. This is somewhat lower than the current overall frequency estimates of 1 – 4% (Bowler & Nielsen 2018) for 13–75 M_{Jup} companions. This is likely because we did not have the sensitivity necessary to detect substellar objects in all of our observations (see Figure 3). The dome-seeing fluctuated throughout the survey, affecting the AO performance. In addition, we do not have precise age estimates for most of our targets, especially those not part of young moving groups. Kastner et al. (2018) discuss the UV-excess selection method for youth that we followed may not only be selecting stars as young as we expect. They investigated a sample of 400 low-mass (K and early-M type) stars expected to be young from UV-excess and with isochrone ages $\lesssim 80$ Myr. However, a portion of those stars were fainter in the X-ray than expected, which could suggest they are not as young as expected.

5. CONCLUSION

The ongoing LASSO survey is one of the largest direct imaging surveys searching for wide-orbit substellar companions. The goal is to study the demographics of wide-orbit substellar companion populations in order to better understand their formation and evolution mechanisms.

We have observed 427 young, nearby, low-mass stars with Robo-AO on the 2.1-m telescope on Kitt Peak, Arizona, and on the UH 2.2-m telescope on Maunakea, Hawai‘i, simultaneously in the visible and near-infrared. Our main findings are summarized below:

- We detected 121 companion candidates and determined that 62 are likely physically associated from *Gaia* DR2 and EDR3 common parallax and proper motion measurements and a literature search. Another 45 have yet to be confirmed, though we expect most of them to also be physically associated. The remaining 14 are background objects.
- We were sensitive to substellar companions for 50% of our LASSO field observations for separation ranges of 50–450 AU and for 90% of our Sco-Cen observations for separation ranges of 210–570 AU.
- Four triple system candidates were detected, three of which have been previously reported in the literature.
- We detected one bona fide brown dwarf, one companion at the threshold between brown dwarf and stellar mass, and another 5 companion candidates that will require follow-up observations to determine their nature.
- The range of projected separations spans 2–1101 AU and masses $\gtrsim 43 M_{Jup}$.
- We investigated accelerations calculated from *Hipparcos-Gaia* proper motion measurements and found that a higher fraction ($58^{+12}_{-14}\%$) of stars with companions are accelerating compared to stars without detected companions ($23^{+11}_{-6}\%$). These accelerating stars with detected companions will allow us to calculate dynamical masses with future orbit monitoring.
- Our multiplicity fractions are $24.1 \pm 2.4\%$ for the entire sample, $24.6 \pm 2.5\%$ for the young field stars, and $17^{+10}_{-5}\%$ for the Sco-Cen sample.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are honored to be permitted to conduct astronomical research on Iolkam Du‘ag (Kitt Peak), a mountain with particular significance to the Tohono O‘odham Nation. The authors also wish to recognize and acknowledge the very significant cultural role and reverence that the summit of Maunakea has always had within the indigenous Hawaiian community. We are most fortunate to have the opportunity to conduct observations from both mountains.

We are grateful to the Kitt Peak National Observatory and UH88” staff for their support of Robo-AO on the 2.1-m and 2.2-m telescopes, respectively. We thank Shri Kulkarni for his sustained backing of Robo-AO through all its iterations, Dani Atkinson for help understanding the intricacies of SAPHIRA detectors, and Bo Reipurth for valuable discussions and comments on the manuscript. We are grateful to Adwin Boogert for observing 2MASS J16304072-2018186 and its companion with IRTF/SpEX.

The Robo-AO system is supported by collaborating partner institutions, the California Institute of Technology and the Inter-University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics, and by the National Science Foundation under Grant Nos. AST-0906060, AST-0960343, and AST-1207891, by the Mount Cuba Astronomical Foundation, and by a gift from Samuel Oschin. As part of the development of Robo-AO-2, Robo-AO at the UH 2.2-m telescope system is supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. AST-1712014, the State of Hawaii Capital Improvement Projects, and by a gift from the Lumb Family.

M.C.L. acknowledges support from National Science Foundation grant AST-1518339.

B.P.B. acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation grant AST-1909209.

This work has made use of data from the European Space Agency (ESA) mission *Gaia* (<https://www.cosmos.esa.int/>

gaia), processed by the *Gaia* Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC, <https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dpac/consortium>). Funding for the DPAC has been provided by national institutions, in particular the institutions participating in the *Gaia* Multilateral Agreement.

This research has made use of the VizieR catalogue access tool, CDS, Strasbourg, France (DOI: 10.26093/cds/vizieR). The original description of the VizieR service was published in A&AS 143, 23.

This research has made use of the SIMBAD database, operated by Centre des Données Stellaires (Strasbourg, France), and bibliographic references from the Astrophysics Data System maintained by SAO/NASA.

This publication has made use of data products from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation.

This research has made use of the SVO Filter Profile Service (<http://svo2.cab.inta-csic.es/theory/fps/>) supported from the Spanish MINECO through grant AYA2017-84089

This research has made use of the Washington Double Star Catalog maintained at the U.S. Naval Observatory.

Facility: KPNO:2.1m (Robo-AO), UH:2.2m (Robo-AO), IRTF (SpeX)

Software: ExoDMC (v1.1b; Bonavita 2020), Spextool (v4.1; Cushing et al. 2004)

REFERENCES

- Allers, K. N., & Liu, M. C. 2013, *ApJ*, 772, 79, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/772/2/79
- Atkinson, D., Hall, D., Jacobson, S., & Baker, I. M. 2018, *AJ*, 155, 220, doi: 10.3847/1538-3881/aabdeb
- Atkinson, D. E., Hall, D. N. B., Jacobson, S. M., & Baker, I. M. 2017, *AJ*, 154, 265, doi: 10.3847/1538-3881/aa9610
- Bai, Y., Liu, J., Wicker, J., et al. 2018, *ApJS*, 235, 16, doi: 10.3847/1538-4365/aaaab9
- Baraffe, I., Homeier, D., Allard, F., & Chabrier, G. 2015, *A&A*, 577, A42, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201425481
- Baranec, C., Atkinson, D., Riddle, R., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 809, 70, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/809/1/70
- Baranec, C., Riddle, R., Law, N. M., et al. 2014, *ApJL*, 790, L8, doi: 10.1088/2041-8205/790/1/L8
- Baron, F., Lafrenière, D., Artigau, É., et al. 2019, *AJ*, 158, 187, doi: 10.3847/1538-3881/ab4130
- Barrado y Navascués, D., Stauffer, J. R., & Jayawardhana, R. 2004, *ApJ*, 614, 386, doi: 10.1086/423485
- Bate, M. R., Bonnell, I. A., & Bromm, V. 2003, *MNRAS*, 339, 577, doi: 10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06210.x
- Best, W. M. J., Magnier, E. A., Liu, M. C., et al. 2018, *ApJS*, 234, 1, doi: 10.3847/1538-4365/aa9982
- Bochanski, J. J., Hawley, S. L., Covey, K. R., et al. 2010, *AJ*, 139, 2679, doi: 10.1088/0004-6256/139/6/2679
- Bonavita, M. 2020, Exo-DMC: Exoplanet Detection Map Calculator. <http://ascl.net/2010.008>
- Bonavita, M., Chauvin, G., Desidera, S., et al. 2012, *A&A*, 537, A67, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201116852
- Bond, C. Z., Cetre, S., Lilley, S., et al. 2020, *Journal of Astronomical Telescopes, Instruments, and Systems*, 6, 039003, doi: 10.1117/1.JATIS.6.3.039003
- Bowler, B. P. 2016, *PASP*, 128, 102001, doi: 10.1088/1538-3873/128/968/102001
- Bowler, B. P., Blunt, S. C., & Nielsen, E. L. 2020, *AJ*, 159, 63, doi: 10.3847/1538-3881/ab5b11
- Bowler, B. P., Liu, M. C., Shkolnik, E. L., & Tamura, M. 2015a, *ApJS*, 216, 7, doi: 10.1088/0067-0049/216/1/7
- Bowler, B. P., & Nielsen, E. L. 2018, Occurrence Rates from Direct Imaging Surveys, ed. H. J. Deeg & J. A. Belmonte, 155, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-55333-7_155
- Bowler, B. P., Shkolnik, E. L., Liu, M. C., et al. 2015b, *ApJ*, 806, 62, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/806/1/62
- Bowler, B. P., Hinkley, S., Ziegler, C., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 877, 60, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ab1018
- Brandt, T. D. 2018, *ApJS*, 239, 31, doi: 10.3847/1538-4365/aac06
- Brandt, T. D., Dupuy, T. J., & Bowler, B. P. 2019, *AJ*, 158, 140, doi: 10.3847/1538-3881/ab04a8
- Burgasser, A. J., Kirkpatrick, J. D., Reid, I. N., et al. 2003, *ApJ*, 586, 512, doi: 10.1086/346263
- Calissendorff, P., Janson, M., Köhler, R., et al. 2017, *A&A*, 604, A82, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201730725
- Chabrier, G., Baraffe, I., Allard, F., & Hauschildt, P. 2000, *ApJ*, 542, 464, doi: 10.1086/309513
- Chabrier, G., Hennebelle, P., & Charlot, S. 2014, *ApJ*, 796, 75, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/796/2/75
- Close, L. M., Siegler, N., Freed, M., & Biller, B. 2003, *ApJ*, 587, 407, doi: 10.1086/368177
- Cortés-Contreras, M., Béjar, V. J. S., Caballero, J. A., et al. 2017, *A&A*, 597, A47, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201629056
- Cushing, M. C., Vacca, W. D., & Rayner, J. T. 2004, *PASP*, 116, 362, doi: 10.1086/382907

- de Zeeuw, P. T., Hoogerwerf, R., de Bruijne, J. H. J., Brown, A. G. A., & Blaauw, A. 1999, *AJ*, 117, 354, doi: [10.1086/300682](https://doi.org/10.1086/300682)
- Deacon, N. R., Liu, M. C., Magnier, E. A., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 792, 119, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/792/2/119](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/792/2/119)
- Duchêne, G., & Kraus, A. 2013, *ARA&A*, 51, 269, doi: [10.1146/annurev-astro-081710-102602](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081710-102602)
- Dupuy, T. J., Allen, P. R., Kraus, A. L., et al. 2013, *Astronomische Nachrichten*, 334, 36, doi: [10.1002/asna.201211772](https://doi.org/10.1002/asna.201211772)
- Durisen, R. H., Boss, A. P., Mayer, L., et al. 2007, *Protostars and Planets V*, 607
- Faherty, J. K., Bochanski, J. J., Gagné, J., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 863, 91, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aac76e](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aac76e)
- Finger, G., Baker, I., Alvarez, D., et al. 2014, in *Proc. SPIE*, Vol. 9148, *Adaptive Optics Systems IV*, 914817, doi: [10.1117/12.2057078](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2057078)
- Frith, J., Pinfield, D. J., Jones, H. R. A., et al. 2013, *MNRAS*, 435, 2161, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt1436](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt1436)
- Fruchter, A. S., & Hook, R. N. 2002, *PASP*, 114, 144, doi: [10.1086/338393](https://doi.org/10.1086/338393)
- Gaia Collaboration, Prusti, T., de Bruijne, J. H. J., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 595, A1, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201629272](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201629272)
- Gaia Collaboration, Brown, A. G. A., Vallenari, A., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 616, A1, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201833051](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833051)
- Goebel, S. B., Hall, D. N. B., Guyon, O., Warmbier, E., & Jacobson, S. M. 2018, *Journal of Astronomical Telescopes, Instruments, and Systems*, 4, 026001, doi: [10.1117/1.JATIS.4.2.026001](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JATIS.4.2.026001)
- Haakonsen, C. B., & Rutledge, R. E. 2009, *ApJS*, 184, 138, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/184/1/138](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/184/1/138)
- Hippler, S., Brandner, W., Scheithauer, S., et al. 2020, *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2006.08414. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.08414>
- Hirsch, L. A., Ciardi, D. R., Howard, A. W., et al. 2017, *AJ*, 153, 117, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/153/3/117](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/153/3/117)
- Horch, E. P., Howell, S. B., Everett, M. E., & Ciardi, D. R. 2014, *ApJ*, 795, 60, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/795/1/60](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/795/1/60)
- Horch, E. P., Casetti-Dinescu, D. I., Camarata, M. A., et al. 2017, *AJ*, 153, 212, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aa6749](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aa6749)
- Howard, W. S., Law, N. M., Ziegler, C. A., Baranec, C., & Riddle, R. 2018, *AJ*, 155, 59, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aa9aba](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aa9aba)
- Janson, M., Hormuth, F., Bergfors, C., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 754, 44, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/754/1/44](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/754/1/44)
- Jensen-Clem, R., Duev, D. A., Riddle, R., et al. 2018, *AJ*, 155, 32, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aa9be6](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aa9be6)
- Jiménez-Esteban, F. M., Solano, E., & Rodrigo, C. 2019, *AJ*, 157, 78, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aafacc](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aafacc)
- Kammerer, J., Ireland, M. J., Martinache, F., & Girard, J. H. 2019, *MNRAS*, 486, 639, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz882](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz882)
- Kastner, J., Chalifour, M., Binks, A., et al. 2018, in *20th Cambridge Workshop on Cool Stars, Stellar Systems and the Sun*, Cambridge Workshop on Cool Stars, Stellar Systems, and the Sun, 90, doi: [10.5281/zenodo.1891002](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1891002)
- Kirkpatrick, J. D.,Looper, D. L., Burgasser, A. J., et al. 2010, *ApJS*, 190, 100, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/190/1/100](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/190/1/100)
- Kratter, K., & Lodato, G. 2016, *ARA&A*, 54, 271, doi: [10.1146/annurev-astro-081915-023307](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081915-023307)
- Kraus, A. L., Herczeg, G. J., Rizzuto, A. C., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 838, 150, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa62a0](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa62a0)
- Kraus, A. L., & Hillenbrand, L. A. 2007, *AJ*, 134, 2340, doi: [10.1086/522831](https://doi.org/10.1086/522831)
- Lambrechts, M., & Johansen, A. 2012, *A&A*, 544, A32, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201219127](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201219127)
- Lamman, C., Baranec, C., Berta-Thompson, Z. K., et al. 2020, *AJ*, 159, 139, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/ab6ef1](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ab6ef1)
- Lannier, J., Delorme, P., Lagrange, A. M., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 596, A83, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201628237](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201628237)
- Larson, R. B. 2002, *MNRAS*, 332, 155, doi: [10.1046/j.1365-8711.2002.05281.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2002.05281.x)
- Law, N. M. 2006, PhD thesis, Institute of Astronomy & Selwyn College, Cambridge University. https://users.physics.unc.edu/~nmlaw/nlaw_lucky_thesis.pdf
- Law, N. M., Hodgkin, S. T., & Mackay, C. D. 2008, *MNRAS*, 384, 150, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.12675.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.12675.x)
- Lawrence, A., Warren, S. J., Almaini, O., et al. 2007, *MNRAS*, 379, 1599, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.12040.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.12040.x)
- Li, J. Z., & Hu, J. Y. 1998, *A&AS*, 132, 173, doi: [10.1051/aas:1998288](https://doi.org/10.1051/aas:1998288)
- Liu, M. C., Dupuy, T. J., & Allers, K. N. 2016, *ApJ*, 833, 96, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/833/1/96](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/833/1/96)
- Malkov, O. Y., Tamazian, V. S., Docobo, J. A., & Chulkov, D. A. 2012, *A&A*, 546, A69, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201219774](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201219774)
- Mann, A. W., Dupuy, T., Kraus, A. L., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 871, 63, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aaf3bc](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaf3bc)
- Martin, D. C., Fanson, J., Schiminovich, D., et al. 2005, *ApJL*, 619, L1, doi: [10.1086/426387](https://doi.org/10.1086/426387)
- Mason, B. D., Wycoff, G. L., Hartkopf, W. I., Douglass, G. G., & Worley, C. E. 2001, *AJ*, 122, 3466, doi: [10.1086/323920](https://doi.org/10.1086/323920)
- Morrissey, P., Conrow, T., Barlow, T. A., et al. 2007, *ApJS*, 173, 682, doi: [10.1086/520512](https://doi.org/10.1086/520512)
- Muirhead, P. S., Dressing, C. D., Mann, A. W., et al. 2018, *AJ*, 155, 180, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aab710](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aab710)
- Naud, M.-E., Artigau, É., Doyon, R., et al. 2017, *AJ*, 154, 129, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aa826b](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aa826b)
- Newton, E. R., Charbonneau, D., Irwin, J., et al. 2014, *AJ*, 147, 20, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/147/1/20](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/147/1/20)
- Pourbaix, D., Tokovinin, A. A., Batten, A. H., et al. 2004, *A&A*, 424, 727, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20041213](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20041213)

- Reipurth, B., Clarke, C. J., Boss, A. P., et al. 2014, in *Protostars and Planets VI*, ed. H. Beuther, R. S. Klessen, C. P. Dullemond, & T. Henning, 267, doi: [10.2458/azu_uapress_9780816531240-ch012](https://doi.org/10.2458/azu_uapress_9780816531240-ch012)
- Reipurth, B., & Mikkola, S. 2012, *Nature*, 492, 221, doi: [10.1038/nature11662](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11662)
- Riddle, R. L., Hogstrom, K., Papadopoulos, A., Baranec, C., & Law, N. M. 2014, in *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, Vol. 9152, *Software and Cyberinfrastructure for Astronomy III*, ed. G. Chiozzi & N. M. Radziwill, 91521E, doi: [10.1117/12.2056534](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2056534)
- Rodríguez, D. R., Zuckerman, B., Kastner, J. H., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 774, 101, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/774/2/101](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/774/2/101)
- Rodríguez Martínez, R., Lopez, L. A., Shappee, B. J., et al. 2020, *ApJ*, 892, 144, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab793a](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab793a)
- Salama, M., Baranec, C., Jensen-Clem, R., et al. 2016, in *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, Vol. 9909, *Adaptive Optics Systems V*, ed. E. Marchetti, L. M. Close, & J.-P. Véran, 99091A, doi: [10.1117/12.2233741](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2233741)
- Salama, M., Ou, J., Baranec, C., et al. 2018, in *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, Vol. 10703, *Adaptive Optics Systems VI*, ed. L. M. Close, L. Schreiber, & D. Schmidt, 1070307, doi: [10.1117/12.2313885](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2313885)
- Schlaufman, K. C. 2018, *ApJ*, 853, 37, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa961c](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa961c)
- Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, *AJ*, 131, 1163, doi: [10.1086/498708](https://doi.org/10.1086/498708)
- Tokovinin, A. 2017, *ApJ*, 844, 103, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa7746](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa7746)
- Veras, D., Crepp, J. R., & Ford, E. B. 2009, *ApJ*, 696, 1600, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/696/2/1600](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/696/2/1600)
- Villa Vélez, J. A., Brown, A. G. A., & Kenworthy, M. A. 2018, *Research Notes of the AAS*, 2, 58, doi: [10.3847/2515-5172/aacced](https://doi.org/10.3847/2515-5172/aacced)
- Winters, J. G., Henry, T. J., Jao, W.-C., et al. 2019, *AJ*, 157, 216, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/ab05dc](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ab05dc)
- Wright, E. L., Eisenhardt, P. R. M., Mainzer, A. K., et al. 2010, *AJ*, 140, 1868, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/140/6/1868](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/140/6/1868)
- Wright, N. J., & Mamajek, E. E. 2018, *MNRAS*, 476, 381, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty207](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty207)
- Zhang, Z., Liu, M. C., Best, W. M. J., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 858, 41, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aab269](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aab269)

APPENDIX

Table 6 lists Robo-AO companion detection measurements and, when available, *Gaia* DR2 measurements. Tables 7, 8, and 9 list the properties calculated for physically associated binaries, unconfirmed binary candidates, and triple systems, respectively. Table 10 lists the Robo-AO detected companions that are found in other catalogs ([Lamman et al. 2020](#); [Cortés-Contreras et al. 2017](#); [Bowler et al. 2019](#), and WDS). Finally, Table 11 lists all observed targets reported in this work.

Table 6. Companion Candidate Detection Measurements

Primary 2MASS ID	Robo-AO				Gaia DR2						
	Separation ($''$)	P. A. ($^\circ$)	i'	Magnitude Difference (Δm)	Detection SNR	Δm_G	$\Delta \pi/\pi$	$\Delta \mu/\mu$	Phys.	RUWE	HIP-Gaia prim. χ^2_{acc}
00024011+3821453 B	1.41 ± 0.03	26.5 ± 0.6	0.2 ± 0.04	...	390	...	119	...	yes	4.1 (10.7)	...
00175864+2057192 B	9.33 ± 0.02	232.4 ± 0.1	0.55 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.13	653	195	yes	1.0 (1.2)	...
00213183+1934253 B	4.86 ± 0.02	224.3 ± 0.4	3.1 ± 0.02	...	483	...	207	...	yes	1.3 (1.2)	...
00233382+0947356 B	3.61 ± 0.01	316.4 ± 0.2	...	0.09 ± 0.05	...	116	yes	1.2 (1.3)	...
00360847+4530575 B	0.52 ± 0.02	120.9 ± 1.3	...	1.65 ± 0.08	...	19
01563544+1228047 B	2.89 ± 0.02	240.4 ± 0.4	1.24 ± 0.01	0.96 ± 0.08	344	116	...	1.46	yes	5.5 (1.0)	...
01564996+4142303 B	0.19 ± 0.03	315.9 ± 5.2	2.36 ± 0.2	2.23 ± 0.1	7	4	1.6	...
01584363+3515281 B	1.85 ± 0.01	86.3 ± 0.3	...	0.2 ± 0.07	...	131	...	-0.06	yes	2.4 (1.5)	...
02022823+1034533 C	3.99 ± 0.02	185.9 ± 0.3	1.39 ± 0.02	...	602	...	218	0.99	no	4.9	...
02022823+1034533 B	0.93 ± 0.01	3.1 ± 0.8	0.62 ± 0.07	...	213	...	68	0.46	yes	4.9 (11.3)	...
02154870+2458501 B	0.69 ± 0.02	150.1 ± 1.1	1.67 ± 0.03	...	138	...	49	2.0	...
02170213+2719305 B	2.05 ± 0.01	183.6 ± 0.4	0.37 ± 0.01	0.54 ± 0.07	306	72	...	0.08	yes	0.9 (1.3)	...
02284694+1538535 B	0.73 ± 0.02	137.9 ± 1.2	1.58 ± 0.03	...	162	...	48	1.51	...	1.9	...
02405251+4452365 B	0.39 ± 0.02	48.5 ± 1.9	1.31 ± 0.07	1.61 ± 0.51	57	12	5.0	...
02453008+2620233 B	0.18 ± 0.01	141.9 ± 6.1	2.35 ± 0.23	1.59 ± 0.15	23	7	19.7	...
02514973+2929131 B	0.89 ± 0.04	243.6 ± 5.0	0.72 ± 0.21	0.58 ± 0.14	146	31	12.1	...
03051963+2131219 B	0.66 ± 0.02	301.2 ± 1.5	1.66 ± 0.08	1.01 ± 0.31	94	28	6.8	...
03051963+2131219 B	0.67 ± 0.01	304.0 ± 1.5	0.91 ± 0.1	...	140	...	65	6.8	...
03072749+5018081 B	0.53 ± 0.01	243.8 ± 2.3	2.84 ± 0.03	1.95 ± 0.14	52	16
03093085+4543586 B	0.51 ± 0.02	20.2 ± 3.0	2.4 ± 0.14	1.68 ± 0.38	63	18	38.8	162.6
04310001+3647548 B	0.78 ± 0.01	217.0 ± 0.8	0.88 ± 0.03	0.97 ± 0.08	118	25	...	0.46	yes	10.8 (6.4)	...
04504680+2353317 B	0.86 ± 0.02	358.2 ± 1.0	0.75 ± 0.51	0.89 ± 0.25	140	28	...	0.13	yes	1.6 (2.4)	...
04540567+2200545 B	3.92 ± 0.02	318.6 ± 0.3	2.3 ± 0.03	3.14 ± 0.08	72	79	...	4.02	yes	36.0 (1.1)	...
05015881+0958587 B	1.45 ± 0.01	141.9 ± 0.7	0.84 ± 0.03	...	314	...	92	0.83	yes	1.3 (3.2)	783.1
05242572+1922070 C	1.91 ± 0.02	99.0 ± 1.2	2.49 ± 0.37	2.21 ± 0.09	75	64	...	1.95	yes	3.6 (3.1)	...
05242572+1922070 B	0.36 ± 0.01	200.6 ± 2.1	...	1.88 ± 0.29	...	9	3.6	...
05354082+6047451 B	0.64 ± 0.03	305.0 ± 1.4	...	2.35 ± 0.2	...	12	4.1	...
06014571+1305015 B	3.93 ± 0.03	13.8 ± 0.2	3.72 ± 0.05	4.37 ± 0.09	148	96	...	3.79	no	0.9 (1.1)	...
06114391+4813113 B	0.26 ± 0.01	104.1 ± 2.5	...	2.24 ± 0.05	...	7	12.7	...
06575703+6219197 B	1.17 ± 0.01	248.1 ± 0.6	...	1.17 ± 0.07	...	53	...	1.43	yes	1.2 (5.7)	...
06584690+2843004 B	0.89 ± 0.02	275.5 ± 1.7	9	18.8	...
07110918+1312442 B	0.3 ± 0.02	85.8 ± 2.6	2.94 ± 0.08	2.52 ± 0.05	31	8	35.8	...
08551482+4242427 B	0.6 ± 0.01	312.9 ± 1.1	1.86 ± 0.02	1.48 ± 0.08	67	17	13.8	...
09011748+1515523 B	5.05 ± 0.04	178.1 ± 0.3	0.17 ± 0.01	0.24 ± 0.05	242	112	...	0.18	yes	0.9 (1.1)	110.2
09021527+3007590 B	0.89 ± 0.03	98.1 ± 1.4	1.11 ± 0.25	0.78 ± 0.05	120	33
09124007+2647327 B	3.92 ± 0.01	183.5 ± 0.2	3.51 ± 0.03	2.55 ± 0.05	71	121	...	3.03	yes	1.1 (1.2)	...

Table 6 continued

Table 6 (continued)

Primary 2MASS ID	Robo-AO			Gaia DR2						
	Separation ($''$)	P. A. ($^{\circ}$)	Magnitude Difference (Δm)	Detection SNR	Δm_G	$\Delta \pi/\pi$	$\Delta \mu/\mu$	Phys. assoc.	RUWE prim. (comp)	HIP-Gaia prim. χ^2_{acc}
09200048+3052397 B	0.43 ± 0.02	71.3 ± 1.6	1.03 ± 0.23	0.99 ± 0.08	...	65	18
09261352+3728253 B	2.6 ± 0.02	279.5 ± 0.3	0.29 ± 0.0	0.57 ± 0.08	...	328	103	...	1.3 (1.3)	...
09354051+3831339 B	0.56 ± 0.02	21.5 ± 3.2	0.6 ± 0.2	1.08 ± 0.05	...	46	18
10013178+3841174 B	0.27 ± 0.01	34.6 ± 3.1	1.6 ± 0.03	2.51 ± 0.08	...	18	4	...	7.2	...
10122171-0128160 B	2.93 ± 0.04	293.0 ± 0.3	1.94 ± 0.01	2.01 ± 0.05	...	165	110	...	1.2 (1.1)	...
10165115+3935281 B	0.28 ± 0.01	104.2 ± 2.4	1.47 ± 0.28	1.26 ± 0.05	...	19	9
10280144+3029003 B	0.44 ± 0.02	313.8 ± 2.2	2.9 ± 0.03	2.0 ± 0.13	...	32	7	...	25.3	...
10364483+1521394 C	0.87 ± 0.02	228.0 ± 1.3	0.94 ± 0.03	0.71 ± 0.08	...	43	16	...	2.4	...
10364483+1521394 B	0.73 ± 0.01	228.6 ± 1.3	1.01 ± 0.01	0.68 ± 0.08	...	41	15	...	2.4	...
10473203+3508261 B	3.91 ± 0.02	3.6 ± 0.4	3.71 ± 0.03	2.93 ± 0.05	...	217	104	...	yes ^b	...
10590395+6349283 B	1.57 ± 0.01	324.7 ± 0.4	2.29 ± 0.03	1.31 ± 0.09	...	81	53	...	yes	1.1 (1.0)
11055616+3534446 B	0.42 ± 0.01	108.2 ± 1.8	3.51 ± 0.03	3.05 ± 0.09	...	11	5	...	yes	1.4 (2.0)
11101383+3114033 B	0.87 ± 0.04	229.2 ± 3.2	2.26 ± 0.17	1.92 ± 0.34	...	65	24	5.8
11102968+0432586 B	0.78 ± 0.01	257.1 ± 0.7	...	1.71 ± 0.05	28	...	2.3	...
11165318+3251037 B	0.77 ± 0.02	269.9 ± 2.6	0.97 ± 0.08	1.57 ± 0.08	...	56	26	...	6.0	...
11240434+3808108 B	8.03 ± 0.04	129.1 ± 0.3	4.7 ± 0.1	2.83 ± 0.08	...	64	65
11281625+3136017 B	1.06 ± 0.03	146.2 ± 0.9	1.55 ± 0.18	1.01 ± 0.08	...	160	47	...	1.3 (0.9)	...
11372461+4727445 B	1.15 ± 0.01	144.1 ± 0.6	1.04 ± 0.03	0.45 ± 0.08	...	99	50	...	3.2	...
11385010+3342182 B	0.88 ± 0.02	271.7 ± 1.8	2.65 ± 0.13	2.79 ± 0.08	...	41	10	1.3 (1.8)
11435638-2906027 ^(C) B	2.59 ± 0.03	91.4 ± 0.7	0.26 ± 0.01	...	0.28 ± 0.1	354	...	135	2.5	...
11471895+3818232 B	1.52 ± 0.01	346.5 ± 0.6	0.36 ± 0.02	0.58 ± 0.08	...	236	55	...	yes	1.2 (1.2)
11560817-0409325 B	3.76 ± 0.01	190.1 ± 0.4	0.36 ± 0.01	0.23 ± 0.05	...	193	149	...	yes	3.4 (2.1)
12015580+3357366 B	4.09 ± 0.02	151.1 ± 0.4	3.75 ± 0.11	3.33 ± 0.15	...	84	30	...	yes	1.7 (1.3)
12082885+1327090 B	1.81 ± 0.02	323.9 ± 0.5	2.17 ± 0.01	1.71 ± 0.05	...	211	83	...	yes	3.3 (1.1)
12115308+1249135 B	1.17 ± 0.03	179.5 ± 1.3	0.22 ± 0.06	...	0.21 ± 0.29	265	...	81	yes	1.3 (2.4)
12215986+2928244 B	0.24 ± 0.01	21.5 ± 4.4	1.4 ± 0.09	1.52 ± 0.14	...	17	7	...	1.5 (1.9)	...
12263225+3347198 B	0.18 ± 0.02	315.6 ± 11.3	...	2.11 ± 0.28	7
12452735+2643454 B	4.78 ± 0.04	5.9 ± 0.3	1.79 ± 0.02	1.37 ± 0.07	...	462	158	...	yes	1.1 (1.1)
13061537+2043444 B	1.65 ± 0.02	195.4 ± 0.6	1.91 ± 0.02	1.21 ± 0.07	...	265	87	...	yes	0.9 (2.3)
13134536+2218321 B	0.54 ± 0.01	46.8 ± 1.1	...	1.31 ± 0.05	15
13233535+2153068 B	3.25 ± 0.03	190.0 ± 0.7	2.26 ± 0.03	2.03 ± 0.08	...	330	84	...	yes	3.1 (1.5)
13402529+2144361 B	0.42 ± 0.03	152.8 ± 1.7	3.76 ± 0.08	2.89 ± 0.1	...	8	4	...	4.2	...
13414631+5815197 B	0.85 ± 0.03	252.8 ± 1.1	1.64 ± 0.05	1.32 ± 0.09	...	117	29	...	yes ^b	18.4
13474241+2127374 B	1.28 ± 0.02	151.2 ± 1.1	2.1 ± 0.17	1.36 ± 0.16	...	229	55	...	yes ^c	1.2 (1.2)
13482808+2839154 B	1.93 ± 0.02	288.8 ± 0.4	1.4 ± 0.01	...	1.21 ± 0.09	266	...	176	yes	1.6 (1.6)
13482808+2839154 B	1.94 ± 0.02	288.0 ± 0.4	1.4 ± 0.01	1.2 ± 0.05	...	266	93	...	yes	1.6 (1.6)
13535682+2422223 B	6.11 ± 0.02	185.5 ± 0.3	1.08 ± 0.01	2.45 ± 0.08	...	396	49	...	yes	3.1 (1.1)
14192958+0254365 B	0.5 ± 0.02	337.3 ± 1.4	...	3.05 ± 0.08	7	...	1.2	...

Table 6 continued

Table 6 (continued)

Primary 2MASS ID	Robo-AO			Magnitude Difference (Δm)					Gaia DR2					
	Separation ($''$)	P. A. ($^\circ$)	i'	J	H	i	J	H	Detection SNR	Δm_G	$\Delta \pi/\pi$	$\Delta \mu/\mu$	Phys.	RUWE
14434861+1817357 B	1.07 ± 0.03	78.2 ± 0.9	0.56 ± 0.15	0.43 ± 0.08	...	169	49	...	0.42	10 ⁻³	10 ⁻³	yes ^c	1.7 (0.9)	...
14471354+5701550 B	0.48 ± 0.01	53.2 ± 1.6	0.82 ± 0.14	0.65 ± 0.07	...	78	22
14514825+1257590 B	0.29 ± 0.02	301.7 ± 2.9	2.1 ± 0.03	1.51 ± 0.05	...	36	11
15042411+2620539 B	1.1 ± 0.02	359.2 ± 0.8	1.46 ± 0.03	0.94 ± 0.08	...	168	44	...	1.45	3.8	...
15074262+1946429 B	0.76 ± 0.01	266.7 ± 1.1	0.69 ± 0.15	1.53 ± 0.09	...	116	10	...	0.58
15471513+0149218 B	0.23 ± 0.01	303.5 ± 4.5	...	1.76 ± 0.06	8	4.2	...
15472254+2503372 B	4.14 ± 0.05	326.0 ± 1.5	5.02 ± 0.31	3.54 ± 0.05	...	81	38	...	4.59	1.0	0.8	no	1.4 (1.5)	...
15522029+1347260 ^(S,C) B	8.01 ± 0.11	216.2 ± 0.5	2.63 ± 0.18	81	5.07	0.8	0.4	no	1.2 (1.1)	...
15542498+2902363 B	8.37 ± 0.02	152.0 ± 0.2	1.61 ± 0.02	1.21 ± 0.07	...	590	182	...	1.67	10 ⁻³	10 ⁻³	yes	1.1 (1.1)	...
15553178+3512028 B	1.63 ± 0.02	246.7 ± 1.5	2.06 ± 0.03	2.19 ± 0.14	...	200	56	...	2.07	10 ⁻³	0.1	yes	1.2 (7.5)	...
15553957+4025135 B	2.36 ± 0.04	117.5 ± 0.9	2.88 ± 0.01	2.36 ± 0.05	...	142	56	...	2.8	10 ⁻³	10 ⁻²	yes	1.2 (1.2)	...
15553957+4025135 B	2.3 ± 0.02	115.2 ± 0.7	2.88 ± 0.01	...	2.35 ± 0.11	142	...	194	2.8	10 ⁻³	10 ⁻²	yes	1.2 (1.2)	...
15594729+4403595 B	5.5 ± 0.04	282.6 ± 0.3	6.5 ± 0.03	4.53 ± 0.06	...	67	109	...	6.39	0.1	10 ⁻²	yes	7.6 (1.3)	...
16220894+2831402 B	0.46 ± 0.03	78.1 ± 3.2	1.17 ± 0.32	1.23 ± 0.35	...	67	19
16245914+1923591 ^(S,C) B	4.36 ± 0.02	78.6 ± 0.2	4.7 ± 0.03	...	5.57 ± 0.54	36	...	60	6.43	0.7	1.0	no	1.1 (1.1)	...
16281325+2050253 ^(S,C) B	4.46 ± 0.02	199.8 ± 0.2	2.91 ± 0.03	...	1.78 ± 0.09	79	...	143	2.91	0.2	10 ⁻³	yes	0.9 (2.9)	...
16284906+3412367 B	1.25 ± 0.01	198.6 ± 0.8	1.25 ± 0.01	0.97 ± 0.05	...	201	63	...	1.34	0.1	0.1	yes	10.4 (1.6)	...
16304072+2018186 ^(S,C) B	3.75 ± 0.07	165.4 ± 1.1	5.8 ± 0.41	2	5.56	0.2	10 ⁻³	yes	4.4 (1.0)	...
16304072+2018186 ^(S,C) C	4.07 ± 0.11	283.6 ± 1.6	3.08 ± 0.17	50	4.16	0.9	0.9	no	4.4 (1.5)	...
16350111+3130290 B	3.14 ± 0.08	316.3 ± 0.4	4.37 ± 0.01	3.44 ± 0.05	...	46	32	...	4.64	1.0	1.0	no	1.3 (1.0)	...
16393042+1939470 ^(S,C) B	0.37 ± 0.01	234.2 ± 2.9	3.53 ± 0.09	...	2.32 ± 0.17	20	...	13	9.2	...
16590962+2058160 B	0.6 ± 0.02	122.6 ± 1.7	1.31 ± 0.14	...	0.81 ± 0.15	134	...	39	1.03	10 ⁻³	0.2	yes ^c	3.3 (2.2)	...
17035283+3211456 B	1.42 ± 0.01	153.4 ± 0.5	2.12 ± 0.01	1.6 ± 0.05	...	221	65	...	1.91	10 ⁻²	0.2	yes	10.1 (11.0)	...
17035283+3211456 B	1.41 ± 0.02	154.6 ± 0.6	2.12 ± 0.01	...	1.42 ± 0.15	221	...	74	1.91	10 ⁻²	0.2	yes	10.1 (11.0)	...
17082102+1949492 B	7.74 ± 0.04	114.0 ± 0.2	3.94 ± 0.04	...	5.67 ± 0.44	174	...	19	3.68	1.0	0.9	no	1.1 (1.0)	...
17245426+5026327 B	9.0 ± 0.03	198.0 ± 0.2	1.17 ± 0.00	1.2 ± 0.05	...	603	135	...	1.2	10 ⁻³	10 ⁻²	yes	1.2 (1.1)	...
17374869+2257163 B	4.27 ± 0.02	188.5 ± 0.3	0.18 ± 0.00	0.13 ± 0.08	...	427	125	...	0.21	10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻²	yes	1.0 (1.1)	9.1
18295024+4032276 B	2.82 ± 0.02	326.1 ± 0.6	4.75 ± 0.05	...	4.01 ± 0.35	71	...	64	4.33	1.0	0.9	no	1.0 (1.1)	...
18361922+1336261 C	4.52 ± 0.07	40.5 ± 1.3	5.83 ± 0.02	7.67 ± 1.05	...	27	2	...	-0.76	1.0	1.0	no ^c	1.2 (1.0)	...
18361922+1336261 B	4.42 ± 0.04	316.0 ± 0.8	3.33 ± 0.00	4.08 ± 0.23	...	410	113	...	-0.16	1.0	1.0	no ^c	1.2 (1.3)	...
19074283+3232396 B	0.33 ± 0.01	260.5 ± 2.4	1.82 ± 0.04	2.33 ± 0.05	...	36	8	yes ^b
19185703+4951305 B	6.67 ± 0.03	134.8 ± 0.2	2.75 ± 0.09	1.43 ± 0.07	...	526	157	...	3.13	10 ⁻²	0.3	yes	0.9 (49.5)	2.6
19205158+1903362 B	0.55 ± 0.01	197.1 ± 1.5	1.78 ± 0.28	1.0 ± 0.05	...	64	20
19501592+3146598 B	0.64 ± 0.03	39.6 ± 1.8	1.26 ± 0.19	0.73 ± 0.12	...	105	27	9.5	...
19501592+3146598 C	7.73 ± 0.03	267.4 ± 0.2	5.1 ± 0.31	5.76 ± 0.17	...	86	15	...	5.55	1.0	1.0	no	9.5 (1.0)	...
19535443+4424541 B	0.41 ± 0.01	183.3 ± 1.4	3.18 ± 0.03	1.77 ± 0.1	...	23	11	yes ^b	10.5	...
19535443+4424541 C	5.87 ± 0.02	68.2 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.02	0.37 ± 0.01	...	520	145	...	0.45	10 ⁻³	10 ⁻³	yes	10.5 (1.2)	...
20231789+6710096 B	1.08 ± 0.03	224.3 ± 1.9	1.19 ± 0.07	0.74 ± 0.08	...	134	33	...	0.41	10 ⁻³	0.1	yes	3.1 (3.7)	...

Table 6 continued

Table 6 (continued)

Primary 2MASS ID	Robo-AO				Gaia DR2									
	Separation ($''$)	P. A. ($^\circ$)	Magnitude Difference (Δm)		Δm_G	$\Delta \pi/\pi$	$\Delta \mu/\mu$	Phys. assoc.	RUWE prim. (comp)	HIP-Gaia prim. χ^2_{acc}				
20301067+2650344 C	9.74 ± 0.01	317.9 ± 0.2	3.8 ± 0.0	3.93 ± 0.08	...	384	45	...	3.5	1.0	0.9	no	24.0	11.3
20301067+2650344 B	0.56 ± 0.02	225.3 ± 1.1	0.74 ± 0.04	1.17 ± 0.08	...	82	16	yes ^b	24.0	11.3
20512890+3104224 B	1.4 ± 0.01	134.4 ± 0.7	1.21 ± 0.08	0.75 ± 0.08	...	267	72	...	1.24	10 ⁻³	10 ⁻²	yes	1.5 (2.6)	...
20512890+3104224 C	4.54 ± 0.02	22.3 ± 0.2	4.13 ± 0.01	4.96 ± 0.08	...	183	21	...	3.62	1.0	1.0	no	1.5 (1.2)	...
21095739+0321217 B	2.58 ± 0.02	181.6 ± 0.4	1.88 ± 0.0	...	1.39 ± 0.1	406	...	145	1.91	10 ⁻³	10 ⁻²	yes	1.2 (1.2)	...
22173212+3319453 B	1.24 ± 0.03	157.5 ± 2.0	0.97 ± 0.03	0.43 ± 0.14	...	108	54	...	0.95	10 ⁻³	10 ⁻²	yes	1.3 (7.1)	...
23074095+0803597 B	0.25 ± 0.09	110.8 ± 20.2	2.06 ± 0.35	10	1.1	...
23103988+7020144 B	0.81 ± 0.14	39.4 ± 7.1	...	2.33 ± 0.13	18	1.2	...
23264139-1504313 B	1.15 ± 0.02	289.1 ± 0.7	...	1.35 ± 0.08	31	...	0.64	2.6	...
23350028+0136193 B	0.85 ± 0.01	346.9 ± 1.7	...	1.49 ± 0.17	19	...	2.44	yes ^b	1.0	211.5
23350028+0136193 C	1.22 ± 0.01	354.0 ± 1.4	...	1.22 ± 0.18	25	...	2.44	yes ^b	1.0	211.5
23450868+3003184 B	0.4 ± 0.02	99.7 ± 2.2	1.69 ± 0.02	1.47 ± 0.47	...	63	16
23533563+1206167 B	5.8 ± 0.02	164.9 ± 0.2	0.78 ± 0.0	0.29 ± 0.05	...	494	149	...	0.85	10 ⁻³	10 ⁻³	yes	1.0 (1.4)	1.0

(SC) Sco-Cen member

^a Although 2MASS 16304072-2018 186 has a detection significance below our threshold, we have confirmed that it is real from PanSTARRS observations and a match in Gaia DR2.^b 2MASS J02514973+2929131 & 2MASS J13414631+5815197: Cortés-Contreras et al. (2017); 2MASS J10364483+1521394: Calissendorff et al. (2017); 2MASS J19535443+4424541: Tokovinin (2017); 2MASS J20301067+2650344: Malkov et al. (2012); 2MASS J19074283+3232396 & 2MASS J23350028+0136193: Mann et al. (2019)^c From Gaia EDR3 astrometry

Table 7. Binary Companion Properties: Physically Associated

2MASS ID	Separation (AU)	Apparent Magnitude			Absolute Magnitude			vis-IR color			Mass ^a (M _{Jup})
		<i>i</i> '	<i>J</i>	<i>H</i>	<i>i</i> '	<i>J</i>	<i>H</i>	<i>i</i> '- <i>J</i>	<i>i</i> '- <i>H</i>		
00024011+3821453 B	58.52 ± 1.45	11.99 ± 0.04	...	9.34 ± 0.1	8.91 ± 0.04	...	6.26 ± 0.35	...	2.65 ± 0.11	175 - 495	
00175864+2057192 B	259.33 ± 0.74	10.05 ± 0.0	8.99 ± 0.13	...	7.83 ± 0.0	6.77 ± 0.13	...	1.06 ± 0.13	...	172 - 504	
00213183+1934253 B	233.75 ± 1.5	14.18 ± 0.02	...	11.18 ± 0.1	10.77 ± 0.02	...	7.77 ± 0.14	...	3.0 ± 0.1	64 - 247	
00233382+0947356 B	141.53 ± 0.55	...	9.89 ± 0.05	6.92 ± 0.07	...	> 4.53	...	154 - 477	
01563544+1228047 B	133.42 ± 1.1	11.18 ± 0.01	9.26 ± 0.08	...	7.86 ± 0.01	5.93 ± 0.09	...	1.92 ± 0.08	...	> 312	
01584363+3515281 B	83.16 ± 0.69	...	9.85 ± 0.07	6.58 ± 0.11	...	> 4.88	...	195 - 535	
02022823+1034533 B	13.46 ± 0.31	11.12 ± 0.07	...	8.21 ± 0.15	10.31 ± 0.07	...	7.39 ± 0.26	...	2.91 ± 0.16	83 - 303	
02170213+2719305 B	120.22 ± 0.88	10.38 ± 0.01	9.95 ± 0.07	...	6.54 ± 0.01	6.11 ± 0.09	...	0.43 ± 0.07	...	275 - 614	
02514973+2929131 B	20.92 ± 0.99	11.55 ± 0.21	10.1 ± 0.14	...	9.7 ± 0.21	8.25 ± 0.25	...	1.45 ± 0.26	...	61 - 249	
04310001+3647548 B	28.52 ± 0.6	12.36 ± 0.03	10.41 ± 0.08	...	9.56 ± 0.03	7.61 ± 0.25	...	1.95 ± 0.09	...	96 - 357	
04504680+2353317 B	59.83 ± 1.27	12.02 ± 0.51	10.77 ± 0.25	...	7.8 ± 0.51	6.55 ± 0.33	...	1.25 ± 0.57	...	201 - 541	
04540567+2200545 B	290.98 ± 3.11	13.41 ± 0.03	12.69 ± 0.08	...	9.06 ± 0.03	8.33 ± 0.28	...	0.73 ± 0.09	...	57 - 238	
05015881+0958587 B	34.24 ± 0.4	10.22 ± 0.03	...	7.26 ± 0.11	8.34 ± 0.03	...	5.38 ± 0.14	...	2.96 ± 0.12	> 313	
06575703+6219197 B	13.48 ± 0.14	...	9.75 ± 0.07	9.45 ± 0.07	20 - 128	
09011748+1515523 B	92.99 ± 0.83	8.4 ± 0.01	7.04 ± 0.05	...	7.08 ± 0.01	5.71 ± 0.05	...	1.36 ± 0.05	...	> 363	
09124007+2647327 B	231.34 ± 1.34	15.59 ± 0.03	12.13 ± 0.05	...	11.73 ± 0.03	8.28 ± 0.14	...	3.45 ± 0.06	...	60 - 245	
09261352+3728253 B	327.82 ± 3.11	13.86 ± 0.0	11.82 ± 0.08	...	8.36 ± 0.0	6.31 ± 0.27	...	2.05 ± 0.08	...	239 - 582	
10122171-0128160 B	116.62 ± 1.69	13.54 ± 0.01	11.53 ± 0.05	...	10.54 ± 0.01	8.53 ± 0.08	...	2.0 ± 0.05	...	49 - 212	
10473203+3508261 B	392.93 ± 4.78	16.19 ± 0.03	13.64 ± 0.05	...	11.18 ± 0.03	8.63 ± 0.36	...	2.55 ± 0.06	...	45 - 201	
10590395+6349283 B	157.23 ± 1.29	14.69 ± 0.03	11.86 ± 0.09	...	9.69 ± 0.03	6.86 ± 0.18	...	2.83 ± 0.09	...	161 - 488	
11240434+3808108 B	148.31 ± 0.96	17.24 ± 0.1	12.75 ± 0.08	...	15.91 ± 0.1	11.42 ± 0.1	...	4.49 ± 0.13	...	13 - 51	
11372461+4727445 B	39.41 ± 0.53	10.32 ± 0.03	7.86 ± 0.08	...	7.65 ± 0.03	5.19 ± 0.14	...	2.46 ± 0.09	...	> 511	
11435638-2906027 ^(SC) B	233.38 ± 3.22	12.61 ± 0.01	...	10.38 ± 0.1	7.83 ± 0.01	...	5.6 ± 0.17	...	2.23 ± 0.1	309 - 332	
11471895+3818232 B	129.53 ± 1.43	12.6 ± 0.02	10.95 ± 0.08	...	7.96 ± 0.02	6.3 ± 0.2	...	1.65 ± 0.08	...	240 - 582	
11560817-0409325 B	182.91 ± 0.8	10.48 ± 0.01	9.38 ± 0.05	...	7.05 ± 0.01	5.94 ± 0.07	...	1.1 ± 0.05	...	> 310	
12015580+3357366 B	605.37 ± 11.28	16.53 ± 0.11	14.32 ± 0.15	...	10.68 ± 0.11	8.47 ± 0.73	...	2.22 ± 0.19	...	52 - 220	
12082885+1327090 B	68.33 ± 0.8	15.28 ± 0.01	12.23 ± 0.05	...	12.4 ± 0.01	9.35 ± 0.15	...	3.04 ± 0.05	...	21 - 135	
12115308+1249135 B	72.7 ± 2.08	11.39 ± 0.06	...	9.04 ± 0.29	7.42 ± 0.06	...	5.08 ± 0.33	...	2.35 ± 0.3	> 380	
12452735+2643454 B	283.88 ± 2.37	13.69 ± 0.02	11.48 ± 0.07	...	9.82 ± 0.02	7.61 ± 0.12	...	2.21 ± 0.07	...	96 - 356	
13061537+2043444 B	32.52 ± 0.41	10.31 ± 0.02	8.03 ± 0.07	...	8.84 ± 0.02	6.56 ± 0.08	...	2.28 ± 0.07	...	199 - 539	
13233535+2153068 B	233.92 ± 2.54	13.8 ± 0.03	12.07 ± 0.08	...	9.52 ± 0.03	7.79 ± 0.18	...	1.73 ± 0.08	...	85 - 322	
13414631+5815197 B	16.94 ± 0.59	12.47 ± 0.05	10.05 ± 0.09	...	10.98 ± 0.05	8.56 ± 0.22	...	2.42 ± 0.1	...	48 - 208	
13474241+2127374 B	38.4 ± 0.49	11.96 ± 0.17	9.58 ± 0.16	...	9.58 ± 0.17	7.2 ± 0.16	...	2.38 ± 0.23	...	127 - 431	
13482808+2839154 B	222.06 ± 3.21	14.8 ± 0.01	...	12.12 ± 0.09	9.5 ± 0.01	...	6.81 ± 0.31	...	2.69 ± 0.09	120 - 405	
13482808+2839154 B	222.92 ± 2.81	14.8 ± 0.01	12.57 ± 0.05	...	9.5 ± 0.01	7.27 ± 0.3	...	2.23 ± 0.05	...	121 - 419	
13535682+2422223 B	330.46 ± 1.35	13.92 ± 0.01	13.18 ± 0.08	...	10.26 ± 0.01	9.52 ± 0.11	...	0.74 ± 0.08	...	20 - 123	
14434861+1817357 B	95.05 ± 2.36	12.73 ± 0.15	10.86 ± 0.08	...	7.98 ± 0.15	6.1 ± 0.08	...	1.87 ± 0.17	...	277 - 615	

Table 7 continued

Table 7 (continued)

2MASS ID	Separation (AU)	Apparent Magnitude			Absolute Magnitude			vis-IR color			Mass ^a (M_{Jup})
		i'	J	H	i'	J	H	$i'-J$	$i'-H$		
15542498+2902363 B	446.73 ± 1.32	14.43 ± 0.02	11.91 ± 0.07	...	10.79 ± 0.02	8.27 ± 0.08	...	2.52 ± 0.08	...	60 – 246	
15553178+3512028 B	45.16 ± 0.63	14.55 ± 0.03	11.11 ± 0.14	...	12.34 ± 0.03	8.9 ± 0.15	...	3.44 ± 0.14	...	26 – 173	
15553957+4025135 B	349.62 ± 6.68	15.77 ± 0.01	13.43 ± 0.05	...	9.91 ± 0.01	7.58 ± 0.33	...	2.33 ± 0.05	...	97 – 362	
15553957+4025135 B	341.39 ± 4.0	15.77 ± 0.01	...	12.81 ± 0.11	9.91 ± 0.01	...	6.96 ± 0.35	...	2.95 ± 0.12	109 – 380	
15594729+4403595 B	234.42 ± 2.02	16.93 ± 0.03	13.04 ± 0.06	...	13.79 ± 0.03	9.89 ± 0.11	...	3.89 ± 0.06	...	18 – 99	
16281325-2050253 ^(SC) B	1101.23 ± 66.35	15.75 ± 0.03	...	12.36 ± 0.09	8.79 ± 0.03	...	5.4 ± 3.11	...	3.39 ± 0.1	359 – 377	
16284906+3412367 B	196.65 ± 2.87	14.55 ± 0.01	12.19 ± 0.05	...	8.57 ± 0.01	6.2 ± 0.43	...	2.36 ± 0.05	...	258 – 600	
16304072-2018186 ^(SC) B	551.63 ± 26.99	16.07 ± 0.41	10.23 ± 1.83	...	> 0.4	12 – 15	
16590962+2058160 B	10.77 ± 0.34	11.85 ± 0.14	...	8.55 ± 0.15	10.59 ± 0.14	...	7.3 ± 0.2	...	3.29 ± 0.21	88 – 318	
17035283+3211456 B	26.52 ± 0.36	12.17 ± 0.01	9.49 ± 0.05	...	10.81 ± 0.01	8.12 ± 0.14	...	2.69 ± 0.05	...	67 – 267	
17035283+3211456 B	26.34 ± 0.41	12.17 ± 0.01	...	8.72 ± 0.15	10.81 ± 0.01	...	7.36 ± 0.2	...	3.45 ± 0.15	85 – 308	
17245426+5026327 B	422.72 ± 1.54	12.4 ± 0.0	10.96 ± 0.05	...	9.04 ± 0.0	7.6 ± 0.05	...	1.44 ± 0.05	...	96 – 357	
17374869+2257163 B	97.32 ± 0.38	8.58 ± 0.0	7.22 ± 0.08	...	6.79 ± 0.0	5.42 ± 0.08	...	1.37 ± 0.08	...	> 439	
19074283+3232396 B	2.78 ± 0.09	10.48 ± 0.04	9.57 ± 0.05	...	10.88 ± 0.04	9.97 ± 0.05	...	0.91 ± 0.07	...	17 – 94	
19185703+4951305 B	427.07 ± 24.47	13.63 ± 0.09	10.89 ± 0.07	...	9.6 ± 0.09	6.86 ± 1.51	...	2.74 ± 0.12	...	161 – 488	
20231789+6710096 B	23.68 ± 0.65	13.73 ± 0.07	10.82 ± 0.08	...	12.02 ± 0.07	9.11 ± 0.09	...	2.91 ± 0.11	...	23 – 155	
20301067+2650344 B	11.36 ± 0.4	9.51 ± 0.04	8.3 ± 0.08	...	7.97 ± 0.04	6.76 ± 0.29	...	1.21 ± 0.09	...	173 – 506	
20512890+3104224 B	82.15 ± 0.89	11.18 ± 0.08	9.96 ± 0.08	...	7.34 ± 0.08	6.12 ± 0.14	...	1.22 ± 0.11	...	273 – 613	
21095739+0321217 B	116.57 ± 0.87	13.53 ± 0.0	...	10.01 ± 0.1	10.26 ± 0.0	...	6.73 ± 0.12	...	3.52 ± 0.1	127 – 419	
22173212+3319453 B	116.27 ± 3.03	11.6 ± 0.03	10.26 ± 0.14	...	6.74 ± 0.03	5.4 ± 0.48	...	1.35 ± 0.14	...	> 448	
23333563+1206167 B	215.42 ± 0.97	11.11 ± 0.0	8.96 ± 0.05	...	8.26 ± 0.0	6.11 ± 0.07	...	2.15 ± 0.05	...	276 – 615	

(SC) Sco-Cen member

^a Estimated mass ranges are from evolutionary isochrone models (Chabrier et al. 2000; Baraffe et al. 2015) calculated for ages 10–200 Myr, except Sco-Cen members were calculated for 5–15 Myr.

Table 8. Binary Companion Candidate Properties: Physical Association To Be Confirmed

2MASS ID	Separation (AU)		Apparent Magnitude			Absolute Magnitude			vis-IR color			Mass ^a (M _{Jup})	P(N _{background} ≥ 1) (%)
	i'	J	H	i'	J	H	i'-J	i'-H	i'-J	i'-H			
00360847+4530575 B	11.03 ± 0.37	9.82 ± 0.08	...	8.18 ± 0.08	64 - 259	4.88 ± 0.11	
01564996+4142303 B	5.92 ± 0.9	13.25 ± 0.2	...	11.94 ± 0.1	10.82 ± 0.2	9.52 ± 0.12	...	1.3 ± 0.22	20 - 123	3.97 ± 0.1	
02154870+2458501 B	33.64 ± 0.84	12.56 ± 0.03	...	9.61 ± 0.19	9.12 ± 0.03	...	6.16 ± 0.23	...	2.95 ± 0.2	...	186 - 510	1.13 ± 0.04	
02284694+1538535 B	25.76 ± 0.86	12.23 ± 0.03	...	9.14 ± 0.14	9.51 ± 0.03	...	6.42 ± 0.16	...	3.09 ± 0.14	...	157 - 469	0.96 ± 0.03	
02405251+4452365 B	8.72 ± 0.38	11.68 ± 0.07	...	10.89 ± 0.51	9.95 ± 0.07	...	9.16 ± 0.55	...	0.79 ± 0.51	...	23 - 150	5.41 ± 0.11	
02453008+2620233 B	11.55 ± 1.0	12.84 ± 0.23	...	11.04 ± 0.15	8.78 ± 0.23	...	6.99 ± 1.28	...	1.79 ± 0.28	...	147 - 466	2.01 ± 0.07	
03051963+2131219 B	22.81 ± 0.77	13.42 ± 0.08	...	10.71 ± 0.31	10.73 ± 0.08	...	8.02 ± 0.43	...	2.71 ± 0.32	...	73 - 284	1.74 ± 0.06	
03051963+2131219 B	23.03 ± 0.6	12.67 ± 0.1	...	9.49 ± 0.15	9.97 ± 0.1	...	6.8 ± 0.34	...	3.17 ± 0.18	...	122 - 407	1.24 ± 0.04	
03072749+5018081 B	25.08 ± 0.69	13.28 ± 0.03	...	11.38 ± 0.14	9.89 ± 0.03	...	7.99 ± 0.14	...	1.9 ± 0.15	...	74 - 288	13.45 ± 0.16	
03093085+4543586 B	8.97 ± 0.35	10.97 ± 0.14	...	8.41 ± 0.38	9.75 ± 0.14	...	7.2 ± 0.43	...	2.56 ± 0.4	...	127 - 431	11.14 ± 0.15	
05354082+6047451 B	24.45 ± 1.04	11.41 ± 0.2	8.52 ± 0.33	50 - 213	4.82 ± 0.11	
06114391+4813113 B	11.65 ± 0.81	11.94 ± 0.05	8.72 ± 0.93	...	> 1.97	...	42 - 191	5.19 ± 0.11	
06584690+2843004 B	36.98 ± 1.47	...	12.81 ± 0.13	9.71 ± 0.63	...	> 2.07	...	17 - 86	3.45 ± 0.06	
07110918+1312442 B	13.59 ± 1.04	13.29 ± 0.08	...	11.17 ± 0.05	10.02 ± 0.08	...	7.9 ± 0.88	...	2.12 ± 0.1	...	79 - 303	6.69 ± 0.12	
08551482+4242427 B	72.85 ± 3.92	15.52 ± 0.02	...	13.16 ± 0.08	10.11 ± 0.02	...	7.76 ± 1.76	...	2.35 ± 0.08	...	87 - 328	1.18 ± 0.05	
09021527+3007590 B	108.48 ± 3.21	13.77 ± 0.25	...	11.77 ± 0.05	8.33 ± 0.25	...	6.33 ± 0.05	...	2.01 ± 0.25	...	236 - 578	2.49 ± 0.08	
09200048+3052397 B	15.25 ± 0.55	11.07 ± 0.23	...	9.3 ± 0.08	8.3 ± 0.23	...	6.53 ± 0.08	...	1.77 ± 0.25	...	203 - 544	1.59 ± 0.06	
09354051+3831339 B	45.35 ± 1.56	13.93 ± 0.2	...	11.96 ± 0.05	9.41 ± 0.2	...	7.43 ± 0.05	...	1.97 ± 0.2	...	107 - 389	1.0 ± 0.05	
10013178+3841174 B	21.35 ± 1.08	15.2 ± 0.03	...	14.1 ± 0.08	10.73 ± 0.03	...	9.63 ± 0.81	...	1.1 ± 0.09	...	19 - 115	0.98 ± 0.05	
10165115+3935281 B	16.09 ± 0.67	12.52 ± 0.28	...	10.55 ± 0.05	8.73 ± 0.28	...	6.76 ± 0.05	...	1.97 ± 0.28	...	173 - 505	0.83 ± 0.05	
10280144+3029003 B	31.2 ± 1.97	14.19 ± 0.03	...	11.42 ± 0.13	9.93 ± 0.03	...	7.16 ± 1.43	...	2.77 ± 0.13	...	130 - 437	0.85 ± 0.05	
11055616+3534446 B	47.51 ± 1.9	16.41 ± 0.03	...	14.02 ± 0.09	11.16 ± 0.03	...	8.77 ± 0.77	...	2.39 ± 0.1	...	37 - 186	0.88 ± 0.05	
11101383+3114033 B	93.33 ± 4.31	14.94 ± 0.17	...	12.9 ± 0.34	9.79 ± 0.17	...	7.75 ± 0.44	...	2.04 ± 0.38	...	87 - 330	0.84 ± 0.05	
11102968+0432586 B	72.56 ± 2.25	12.35 ± 0.05	7.51 ± 0.9	101 - 374	1.43 ± 0.06	
11165318+3251037 B	78.87 ± 1.92	13.45 ± 0.08	...	12.29 ± 0.08	8.4 ± 0.08	...	7.23 ± 0.08	...	1.17 ± 0.11	...	124 - 424	0.76 ± 0.04	
11281625+3136017 B	32.48 ± 1.01	13.52 ± 0.18	...	10.78 ± 0.08	11.08 ± 0.18	...	8.34 ± 0.18	...	2.74 ± 0.19	...	57 - 237	0.82 ± 0.04	
11385010+3342182 B	111.76 ± 3.05	15.38 ± 0.13	...	13.56 ± 0.08	9.86 ± 0.13	...	8.05 ± 0.51	...	1.81 ± 0.16	...	71 - 279	0.75 ± 0.04	
12215986+2928244 B	32.11 ± 1.67	14.71 ± 0.09	...	12.95 ± 0.14	9.12 ± 0.09	...	7.35 ± 0.14	...	1.76 ± 0.17	...	113 - 403	0.74 ± 0.04	
12263225+3347198 B	10.23 ± 0.96	11.71 ± 0.28	7.98 ± 0.28	...	> 0.86	...	74 - 290	0.74 ± 0.04	
13134536+2218321 B	36.16 ± 0.73	11.31 ± 0.05	7.18 ± 0.05	...	> 4.14	...	128 - 433	0.8 ± 0.04	
13402529+2144361 B	85.88 ± 5.58	16.54 ± 0.08	...	14.34 ± 0.1	10.01 ± 0.08	...	7.81 ± 1.27	...	2.2 ± 0.13	...	84 - 319	0.85 ± 0.05	
14192958+0254365 B	10.35 ± 0.37	13.01 ± 0.08	11.41 ± 0.09	...	> 3.6	...	13 - 51	1.26 ± 0.06	
14471354+5701550 B	15.45 ± 0.4	11.92 ± 0.14	...	10.57 ± 0.07	9.38 ± 0.14	...	8.02 ± 0.07	...	1.36 ± 0.16	...	72 - 283	1.12 ± 0.05	
14514825+1257590 B	23.84 ± 1.57	14.86 ± 0.03	...	11.99 ± 0.05	10.3 ± 0.03	...	7.44 ± 0.05	...	2.86 ± 0.06	...	107 - 387	1.65 ± 0.06	
15042411+2620539 B	84.61 ± 1.35	13.83 ± 0.03	...	11.49 ± 0.08	9.4 ± 0.03	...	7.06 ± 0.22	...	2.34 ± 0.09	...	140 - 455	1.26 ± 0.06	
15074262+1946429 B	47.15 ± 0.89	14.24 ± 0.15	...	12.55 ± 0.09	10.27 ± 0.15	...	8.58 ± 0.09	...	1.69 ± 0.18	...	47 - 206	1.29 ± 0.06	
15471513+0149218 B	4.32 ± 0.21	12.4 ± 0.06	11.08 ± 0.12	...	> 5.2	...	14 - 56	3.05 ± 0.09	

Table 8 continued

Table 8 (*continued*)

2MASS ID	Separation (AU)		Apparent Magnitude			Absolute Magnitude			vis-IR color			Mass ^a (M _{Jup})	P(N _{background} ≥ 1) (%)
	<i>i'</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>H</i>	<i>i'</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>H</i>	<i>i'-J</i>	<i>i'-H</i>	<i>i'-J</i>	<i>i'-H</i>			
16220894+2831402 B	31.26 ± 1.85	13.46 ± 0.32	11.35 ± 0.35	...	9.31 ± 0.32	7.2 ± 0.35	...	2.11 ± 0.48	127 - 430	2.58 ± 0.08	
16393042-1939470 ^(SC) B	65.9 ± 3.68	16.94 ± 0.09	...	13.43 ± 0.17	10.67 ± 0.09	...	7.16 ± 1.83	...	3.51 ± 0.19	...	60 - 119	5.96 ± 0.08	
19205158+1903362 B	23.69 ± 0.53	12.49 ± 0.28	10.71 ± 0.05	...	9.33 ± 0.28	7.55 ± 0.05	...	1.78 ± 0.28	99 - 367	43.22 ± 0.21	
19501592+3146598 B	21.38 ± 0.94	11.6 ± 0.19	9.91 ± 0.12	...	8.99 ± 0.19	7.3 ± 0.33	...	1.69 ± 0.22	118 - 413	43.94 ± 0.21	
23074095+0803597 B	12.03 ± 4.13	10.97 ± 0.35	7.55 ± 0.35	...	> 2.95	...	75 - 278	3.02 ± 0.06	
23103988+7020144 B	36.27 ± 6.4	...	11.86 ± 0.13	8.6 ± 0.14	...	> 2.78	46 - 204	9.82 ± 0.14	
23264139-1504313 B	32.15 ± 0.55	...	11.34 ± 0.08	9.1 ± 0.16	23 - 156	1.04 ± 0.05	
23450868+3003184 B	13.68 ± 0.51	12.64 ± 0.02	11.3 ± 0.47	...	9.99 ± 0.02	8.66 ± 0.47	...	1.33 ± 0.48	44 - 198	2.21 ± 0.07	

(SC) Sco-Cen member

^a Estimated mass ranges are from evolutionary isochrone models (Chabrier et al. 2000; Baraffe et al. 2015) calculated for ages 10-200 Myr, except Sco-Cen members were calculated for 5-15 Myr.

Table 9. Triple Companion Candidate Properties

2MASS ID	Separation (AU)		Apparent Magnitude			Absolute Magnitude			vis-IR color		Mass ^a (M_{Jup})	Phys. assoc.	$P(N_{background} \geq 1)$ (%)
	<i>i</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>H</i>	<i>i</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>H</i>	<i>i</i> - <i>J</i>	<i>i</i> - <i>H</i>					
05242572+1922070 B	113.22 ± 1.32	13.49 ± 0.37	11.67 ± 0.09	...	9.62 ± 0.37	7.81 ± 0.21	...	1.82 ± 0.38	...	84 – 319	yes	7.95 ± 0.13	
05242572+1922070 C	20.92 ± 0.75	...	11.34 ± 0.29	7.51 ± 0.52	...	> 3.58	...	102 – 375	
10364483+1521394 B	17.22 ± 0.31	11.98 ± 0.03	9.46 ± 0.08	...	10.5 ± 0.03	7.98 ± 0.09	...	2.52 ± 0.08	...	74 – 290	yes	...	
10364483+1521394 C	14.47 ± 0.28	12.05 ± 0.01	9.43 ± 0.08	...	10.57 ± 0.01	7.95 ± 0.09	...	2.62 ± 0.08	...	76 – 295	yes	...	
19535443+4424541 B	1.93 ± 0.05	12.03 ± 0.03	9.56 ± 0.1	...	13.67 ± 0.03	11.2 ± 0.1	...	2.47 ± 0.11	...	13 – 54	yes	...	
19535443+4424541 C	27.38 ± 0.11	9.35 ± 0.02	8.16 ± 0.07	...	11.01 ± 0.02	9.82 ± 0.07	...	1.19 ± 0.07	...	18 – 103	yes	...	
23350028+0136193 B	17.69 ± 0.26	...	8.38 ± 0.17	6.78 ± 0.17	...	> 3.48	...	170 – 501	yes	...	
23350028+0136193 C	25.38 ± 0.28	...	8.1 ± 0.18	6.51 ± 0.18	...	> 3.8	...	205 – 547	yes	...	

(SC) Sco-Cen member

^a Estimated mass ranges are from evolutionary isochrone models (Chabrier et al. 2000; Baraffe et al. 2015) calculated for ages 10–200 Myr, except Sco-Cen members were calculated for 5–15 Myr.

Table 10. Companion Candidates Found in Catalogs

Primary 2MASS ID	Catalog	Obs Date	Separation	P. A.	Mass	SpT
		(UT)	(")	($^{\circ}$)	M_{\odot}	
01584363+3515281 B	This Work	2017 Oct 29	1.85 ± 0.01	86.3 ± 0.3
	WDS	2015	1.9	271
02022823+1034533 ^a C	This Work	2019 Oct 16	3.99 ± 0.02	185.9 ± 0.3
	WDS	2014	4.7	191
02170213+2719305 B	This Work	2017 Oct 28	2.05 ± 0.01	183.6 ± 0.4
	WDS	2015	2.1	183
02284694+1538535 B	This Work	2019 Oct 16	0.73 ± 0.02	137.9 ± 1.2
	B19	2014 Nov 07	0.84 ± 0.04	147 ± 3
02514973+2929131 B	This Work	2017 Oct 29	0.89 ± 0.04	243.6 ± 5.0
	C17	2011 Oct 24	0.63 ± 0.01	249.4 ± 1.3	$M_B 0.15$	M5
03093085+4543586 B	WDS	2016	0.8	246	...	M4V+M5V
	This Work	2017 Oct 30	0.51 ± 0.02	20.2 ± 3.0
	L20	2016 Feb 16	0.35 ± 0.07	23.9 ± 8.0	$M_B/M_A 0.7$...
05015881+0958587 B	WDS	2011	0.2	182	...	M2V+M4
	This Work	2019 Oct 17	1.45 ± 0.01	141.9 ± 0.7
	C17	2014 Feb 28	1.35 ± 0.01	148.9 ± 0.3	$M_B 0.33$...
06575703+6219197 B	WDS	2018	1.4	145	...	M3V:
	This Work	2017 Nov 06	1.17 ± 0.01	248.1 ± 0.6
06584690+2843004 B	WDS	2015	1.3	246	...	M4.5
	This Work	2019 Oct 17	0.89 ± 0.02	275.5 ± 1.7
09124007+2647327 B	B19	2014 Mar 25	1.08 ± 0.06	247 ± 3
	This Work	2017 Nov 03	3.92 ± 0.01	183.5 ± 0.2
09200048+3052397 B	WDS	2015	4.0	185
	This Work	2018 Apr 09	0.43 ± 0.02	71.3 ± 1.6
10122171-0128160 B	B19	2014 Mar 25	0.42 ± 0.03	66 ± 3
	This Work	2018 May 10	2.93 ± 0.04	293.0 ± 0.3
10364483+1521394 C	WDS	2015	3.0	293	...	M3+M5
	This Work	2018 May 06	0.87 ± 0.02	228.0 ± 1.3
10364483+1521394 B	WDS AB	2009	1.0	160	...	M3.5+M4.5
	This Work	2018 May 06	0.73 ± 0.01	228.6 ± 1.3
10590395+6349283 B	WDS AB	2009	1.0	160	...	M3.5+M4.5
	This Work	2018 May 10	1.57 ± 0.01	324.7 ± 0.4
11240434+3808108 B	WDS	2015	1.5	324
	This Work	2018 Apr 12	8.03 ± 0.04	129.1 ± 0.3
11281625+3136017 B	WDS	2015	8.2	131	...	M8V+M4.5V
	This Work	2018 Apr 08	1.06 ± 0.03	146.2 ± 0.9
11372461+4727445 B	WDS	2015	1.1	145	...	M3+M4
	This Work	2017 Jun 12	1.15 ± 0.01	144.1 ± 0.6
11435638-2906027 ^(SC) B	WDS	2010	1.1	129	...	K4V
	This Work	2019 May 29	2.59 ± 0.03	91.4 ± 0.7
11560817-0409325 B	WDS	2015	2.7	94
	This Work	2018 May 10	3.76 ± 0.01	190.1 ± 0.4
12115308+1249135 B	WDS	2015	3.8	192	...	M0V
	This Work	2019 May 26	1.17 ± 0.03	179.5 ± 1.3
12452735+2643454 B	B19	2015 Mar 08	1.17 ± 0.02	3 ± 2
	This Work	2018 Apr 10	4.78 ± 0.04	5.9 ± 0.3
13061537+2043444 B	WDS	2015	4.7	6
	This Work	2018 May 31	1.65 ± 0.02	195.4 ± 0.6
	B19	2015 Jun 08	1.61 ± 0.03	197 ± 3
	WDS	2015	1.6	199	...	K4V

Table 10 continued

Table 10 (*continued*)

Primary 2MASS ID	Catalog	Obs Date	Separation	P. A.	Mass	SpT
		(UT)	(")	(°)	M_{\odot}	
13134536+2218321 B	This Work	2018 Apr 11	0.54 ± 0.01	46.8 ± 1.1
	L20	2016 Mar 17	0.52 ± 0.07	43.5 ± 5.0
13414631+5815197 B	This Work	2018 May 08	0.85 ± 0.03	252.8 ± 1.1
	C17	2014 Mar 02	0.68 ± 0.02	251.3 ± 1.4	$M_B 0.21$	M4
13474241+2127374 B	This Work	2018 Apr 11	1.28 ± 0.02	151.2 ± 1.1
	B19	2015 Jun 07	1.33 ± 0.04	150 ± 3
15553178+3512028 B	This Work	2018 Apr 06	1.63 ± 0.02	246.7 ± 1.5
	L20	2016 Mar 11	1.57 ± 0.07	251.3 ± 2.0	$M_B/M_A 0.46$...
15594729+4403595 B	This Work	2018 Apr 16	5.5 ± 0.04	282.6 ± 0.3
	WDS	2015	5.6	284	...	M1e+M8
16590962+2058160 B	This Work	2019 May 29	0.6 ± 0.02	122.6 ± 1.7
	WDS	2009	0.7	139	...	M3+M4
17035283+3211456 B	This Work	2018 Apr 08	1.42 ± 0.01	153.4 ± 0.5
	This Work	2019 May 22	1.41 ± 0.02	154.6 ± 0.6
	L20	2016 Mar 17	1.42 ± 0.07	152.2 ± 1.0
	B19	2015 Mar 08	1.44 ± 0.03	150 ± 1
19205158+1903362 B	This Work	2017 Jun 14	0.55 ± 0.01	197.1 ± 1.5
	B19	2015 Jun 10	0.51 ± 0.04	196 ± 1
19501592+3146598 B	This Work	2017 Oct 30	0.64 ± 0.03	39.6 ± 1.8
	L20	2016 Jul 29	0.59 ± 0.07	45.3 ± 5.0	$M_B/M_A 0.69$...
19535443+4424541 B	This Work	2017 Oct 30	0.41 ± 0.01	183.3 ± 1.4
	WDS Aa Ab	2012	0.6	350	...	M5.5V+M7V
20231789+6710096 B	This Work	2018 May 06	1.08 ± 0.03	224.3 ± 1.9
	WDS	2015	1.2	225	...	M5+M5
20301067+2650344 B	This Work	2017 Jun 14	0.56 ± 0.02	225.3 ± 1.1
	L20	2016 Jun 27	0.56 ± 0.07	227.9 ± 5.0	$M_B/M_A 0.88$...
20512890+3104224 B	This Work	2017 Jun 14	1.4 ± 0.01	134.4 ± 0.7
	L20	2016 Jul 26	1.42 ± 0.07	134.9 ± 1.0	$M_B/M_A 0.8$...
23350028+0136193 ^b B	This Work	2017 Nov 02	0.85 ± 0.01	346.9 ± 1.7
	WDS BC	2018	0.3	196
23350028+0136193 ^b C	This Work	2017 Nov 02	1.22 ± 0.01	354.0 ± 1.4
	WDS BC	2018	0.3	196

(SC) Sco-Cen member

Note: L20: Lamman et al. (2020); C17: Cortés-Contreras et al. (2017); B19: Bowler et al. (2019); WDS: Washington Double Star catalog

^a We determined this companion candidate to be a background object from *Gaia* DR2 measurements (See Table 6).

^b The WDS lists the separation and PA of the BC components of the system while we list the separation and PA for AB and AC.

Table 11. All Observed Targets

2MASS ID	RA	Dec	m_I	Obs. Date	Seeing	Reduction	Filter(s)	IR Contrast Limits (Δm)	Max Sep.	Comp.
	J2000	J2000	(mag)	(UT)	($''$)	Pipeline		[0.5'', 1.0'', 2.0'', 3.0'']	(AU)	Candidate?
00004701+1624101	00:00:47.01	+16:24:10.1	9.32	2017 Nov 06	2.01	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 3.8, 4.9, 5.8	391	...
00024011+3821453	00:02:40.12	+38:21:45.31	9.71	2019 Oct 12	1.24	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.5, 4.8, 5.0, 7.9	244	Y
00082730+1725274	00:08:27.3	+17:25:27.49	7.81	2019 Oct 13	...	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	2.7, 3.9, 6.2, 8.0	128	...
00175864+2057192	00:17:58.64	+20:57:19.2	8.69	2017 Oct 30	1.38	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.5, 4.4, 6.4, 7.7	228	Y
00205719+1738160	00:20:57.19	+17:38:16.08	8.37	2019 Oct 16	1.01	90% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	2.8, 3.0, 4.4, 5.9	176	...
00213183+1934253	00:21:31.84	+19:34:25.31	9.53	2019 Oct 16	0.97	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.0, 5.4, 7.0, 8.7	283	Y
00233382+0947356	00:23:33.82	+09:47:35.6	9.79	2017 Nov 06	1.53	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 3.4, 5.0, 4.4	321	Y
00360847+4530575	00:36:08.47	+45:30:57.5	8.17	2017 Oct 27	...	All frames	<i>J</i>	0.2, 2.5, 4.2, 5.2	174	Y
00363616+1725177	00:36:36.16	+17:25:17.7	9.54	2017 Oct 29	1.07	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 4.3, 5.8, 5.9	334	...
00372598+5133072	00:37:25.98	+51:33:07.2	8.43	2017 Nov 02	1.98	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 2.9, 4.4, 5.8	265	...
00393374+1454348	00:39:33.74	+14:54:34.8	9.83	2017 Nov 03	2.03	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.0, 2.6, 4.7, 5.2	230	...
00450015+2634104	00:45:00.15	+26:34:10.45	9.53	2019 Oct 12	1.13	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.3, 5.1, 6.7, 5.6	292	...
00474847+0601549	00:47:48.48	+06:01:54.99	8.89	2019 Oct 12	0.68	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.0, 4.7, 6.9, 8.6	239	...
00485249+3705280	00:48:52.49	+37:05:28.08	9.39	2019 Oct 13	...	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	3.6, 5.4, 8.0, -	289	...
00560078+0249208	00:56:00.78	+02:49:20.8	9.84	2017 Nov 06	1.62	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 3.4, 5.4, 5.6	454	...
00560485+4153240	00:56:04.85	+41:53:24.0	8.76	2017 Oct 29	1.47	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.0, 4.1, 6.0, 6.7	514	...
00580115+3919111	00:58:01.15	+39:19:11.1	9.56	2017 Oct 28	1.58	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 3.0, 4.7, 5.5	119	...
01015952+5410577	01:01:59.52	+54:10:57.7	9.78	2017 Nov 07	1.88	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.6, 3.0, 3.9, 3.7	91	...
01023895+6220422	01:02:38.95	+62:20:42.2	6.23	2017 Nov 06	1.84	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	0.7, 3.2, 5.0, 6.4	81	...
01034780+1305575	01:03:47.81	+13:05:57.6	9.67	2019 Oct 12	0.83	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.5, 4.8, 6.5, 7.9	283	...
01042272+1050534	01:04:22.72	+10:50:53.4	9.24	2017 Nov 03	1.5	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	0.8, 3.5, 5.1, 6.3	427	...
01063697+1913336	01:06:36.97	+19:13:33.6	9.34	2017 Oct 28	1.91	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 3.2, 5.4, 5.8	203	...
01312539+2231260	01:31:25.39	+22:31:26.01	10.11	2019 Oct 12	1.03	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.4, 5.1, 6.6, 7.8	281	...
01385594+2907270	01:38:55.94	+29:07:27.01	9.19	2019 Oct 12	0.95	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	3.7, 4.9, 7.4, 8.7	293	...
01410311+0522254	01:41:03.11	-05:22:25.46	9.22	2019 Oct 13	...	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	2.9, 5.0, 7.7, 9.0	267	...
01431186+2101106	01:43:11.86	+21:01:10.6	9.25	2017 Oct 29	1.05	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 4.0, 5.4, 5.2	169	...
01563544+1228047	01:56:35.44	+12:28:04.7	8.3	2017 Nov 03	1.5	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.2, 3.6, 5.2, 2.2	436	Y
01564996+4142303	01:56:49.96	+41:42:30.3	9.71	2017 Oct 29	1.16	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.0, 4.3, 5.7, 5.9	250	Y
01584363+3515281	01:58:43.63	+35:15:28.1	9.65	2017 Oct 29	1.66	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 3.9, 1.4, 5.3	368	Y
02022823+1034533	02:02:28.24	+10:34:53.37	8.4	2019 Oct 12	1.3	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	3.6, 1.9, 5.7, 7.5	84	Y
02154870+2458501	02:15:48.7	+24:58:50.16	9.24	2019 Oct 12	1.05	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	3.0, 3.2, 6.3, 8.2	287	Y
02170213+2719305	02:17:02.13	+27:19:30.5	9.41	2017 Oct 28	2.23	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.5, 2.2, 1.9, 3.4	480	Y
02183655+1218579	02:18:36.55	+12:18:57.99	8.8	2019 Oct 12	0.81	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.0, 4.7, 6.5, 8.3	177	...
02232663+2244069	02:23:26.64	+22:44:06.95	8.18	2019 Oct 12	0.79	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	3.0, 4.0, 6.4, 8.5	160	...
02284694+1538535	02:28:46.95	+15:38:53.53	8.79	2019 Oct 16	1.16	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	2.7, 3.1, 6.1, 8.3	206	Y
02342805+1922389	02:34:28.05	+19:22:38.9	9.59	2017 Oct 29	1.5	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.5, 3.4, 4.3, 5.7	274	...
02342805+1922389	02:34:28.06	+19:22:38.95	9.59	2019 Oct 12	0.84	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	3.5, 5.3, 7.3, 7.9	197	...

Table 11 continued

Table 11 (continued)

2MASS ID	RA	Dec	m_J	Obs. Date	Seeing	Reduction	Filter(s)	IR Contrast Limits (Δm)	Max Sep.	Comp.
	J2000	J2000	(mag)	(UT)	($''$)	Pipeline		[0.5', 1.0', 2.0', 3.0']	(AU)	Candidate?
02344448+2236221	02:34:44.48	+22:36:22.17	9.33	2019 Oct 12	0.83	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	3.6, 5.3, 7.1, -	271	...
02405251+4452365	02:40:52.51	+44:52:36.5	9.28	2017 Nov 03	1.5	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	0.2, 2.5, 4.5, 5.9	181	Y
02453008+2620233	02:45:30.08	+26:20:23.3	9.46	2017 Oct 29	1.28	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 3.9, 5.7, 6.2	530	Y
02514973+2929131	02:51:49.73	+29:29:13.1	9.52	2017 Oct 29	2.13	100% GenSTAC	<i>i', J</i>	1.0, 1.0, 2.9, 4.2	192	Y
03005953+2308254	03:00:59.53	+23:08:25.47	10.06	2019 Oct 12	0.88	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	3.7, 5.4, 7.1, 8.7	185	...
03051963+2131219	03:05:19.63	+21:31:21.9	9.7	2017 Oct 28	1.66	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.5, 2.1, 4.1, 4.9	283	Y
03051963+2131219	03:05:19.63	+21:31:21.94	9.7	2019 Oct 12	1.0	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	2.4, 3.1, 7.2, 8.0	204	...
03072749+5018081	03:07:27.49	+50:18:08.1	9.42	2017 Nov 06	1.69	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.3, 3.3, 4.7, 5.8	389	Y
03093085+4543586	03:09:30.85	+45:43:58.6	6.73	2017 Oct 30	1.58	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	-, 2.0, 4.3, 5.5	143	Y
03270251+2451058	03:27:02.52	+24:51:05.82	10.31	2019 Oct 17	0.95	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	3.0, 4.1, 6.2, 6.6	283	...
03415547+1845359	03:41:55.48	+18:45:35.92	9.71	2019 Oct 12	0.66	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	3.8, 5.5, 7.4, 8.7	243	...
03461399+1709176	03:46:13.99	+17:09:17.6	9.69	2017 Oct 31	2.19	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.2, 2.8, 4.2, 5.7	443	...
03471047+4009269	03:47:10.47	+40:09:26.9	9.6	2017 Oct 27	...	All frames	<i>J</i>	1.1, 2.9, 4.4, 4.8	318	...
03480588+4032226	03:48:05.88	+40:32:22.6	9.35	2017 Oct 29	1.32	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 3.8, 5.2, 6.2	460	...
03590972+2628340	03:59:09.73	+26:28:34.07	8.72	2019 Oct 12	0.83	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	2.8, 3.8, 5.8, 7.5	211	...
04012472+3952549	04:01:24.72	+39:52:54.9	9.29	2017 Oct 28	1.66	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 3.6, 5.1, 6.1	428	...
04295572+1654506	04:29:55.72	+16:54:50.62	9.52	2019 Oct 12	0.85	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.5, 5.0, 7.2, 8.6	258	...
04310001+3647548	04:31:00.01	+36:47:54.8	9.45	2017 Oct 28	1.63	100% GenSTAC	<i>i', J</i>	1.0, 1.1, 2.2, 2.9	389	Y
04412966+1313164	04:41:29.67	+13:13:16.42	8.36	2019 Oct 17	0.99	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.2, 4.3, 6.9, 8.0	260	...
04423029+2027115	04:42:30.29	+20:27:11.52	9.4	2019 Oct 12	0.85	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.4, 5.1, 7.1, 8.9	288	...
04483062+1623187	04:48:30.63	+16:23:18.77	9.41	2019 Oct 12	0.81	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.3, 4.8, 7.1, 8.7	284	...
04504680+2353317	04:50:46.80	+23:53:31.7	9.88	2017 Oct 29	1.79	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 1.4, 3.9, 5.1	553	Y
04510138+3127238	04:51:01.38	+31:27:23.89	9.01	2019 Oct 12	0.8	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	2.9, 4.7, 7.2, 8.6	121	...
04510241+1458167	04:51:02.41	+14:58:16.77	8.8	2019 Oct 12	0.87	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	3.5, 5.1, 7.2, 8.5	240	...
04540567+2200545	04:54:05.67	+22:00:54.5	9.54	2017 Oct 31	2.04	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	0.4, 2.5, 4.5, 5.4	653	Y
05015881+0958587	05:01:58.81	+09:58:58.76	7.21	2019 Oct 17	0.78	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	2.2, 2.4, 3.1, 6.0	140	Y
05041476+1103238	05:04:14.76	+11:03:23.8	9.14	2017 Nov 03	1.63	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.3, 2.8, 4.1, 4.9	83	...
05041476+1103238	05:04:14.76	+11:03:23.84	9.14	2019 Oct 17	0.8	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	3.3, 5.3, 7.4, 8.7	60	...
05114897+3423513	05:11:48.97	+34:23:51.3	9.36	2017 Oct 29	1.16	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 3.8, 5.4, 5.9	349	...
05242572+1922070	05:24:25.72	+19:22:07.0	9.46	2017 Oct 31	1.87	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	0.7, 2.6, 2.8, 5.2	478	Y
05354082+6047451	05:35:40.82	+60:47:45.1	9.07	2017 Nov 06	...	All frames	<i>J</i>	1.2, 3.2, 5.0, 5.9	311	Y
06014571+1305015	06:01:45.71	+13:05:01.5	8.44	2017 Nov 03	1.42	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 4.1, 6.1, 6.5	154	Y
06033461+1651457	06:03:34.61	+16:51:45.7	9.39	2017 Nov 03	1.44	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	0.4, 2.7, 4.6, 5.3	201	...
06114391+4813113	06:11:43.91	+48:13:11.3	9.7	2017 Oct 31	2.08	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.3, 2.8, 4.1, 5.2	361	Y
06271842+2050339	06:27:18.42	+20:50:33.9	9.62	2017 Oct 30	1.78	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.2, 3.0, 5.1, 5.7	420	...
06371092+1733526	06:37:10.92	+17:33:52.6	6.67	2017 Oct 31	1.47	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	-, 2.7, 4.8, 6.3	82	...
06484939+3708464	06:48:49.39	+37:08:46.4	9.81	2017 Oct 29	1.5	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.5, 4.0, 6.1, 6.3	295	...
06514832+2712067	06:51:48.32	+27:12:06.74	9.14	2018 Apr 11	1.58	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 3.5, 5.3, 6.6	375	...
06514832+2712067	06:51:48.32	+27:12:06.74	9.14	2019 Oct 12	0.84	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	4.1, 5.4, 7.6, -	270	...

Table 11 continued

Table 11 (continued)

2MASS ID	RA	Dec	m_J	Obs. Date	Seeing	Reduction	Filter(s)	IR Contrast Limits (Δm)	Max Sep.	Comp.
	J2000	J2000	(mag)	(UT)	($''$)	Pipeline		[0.5', 1.0', 2.0', 3.0']	(AU)	Candidate?
06575703+6219197	06:57:57.03	+62:19:19.7	8.59	2017 Nov 06	...	All frames	J	1.2, 3.0, 4.9, 6.3	94	Y
06584690+2843004	06:58:46.9	+28:43:00.46	8.64	2019 Oct 17	1.02	10% GenSTAC	I, H	3.5, 5.0, 7.2, 8.4	246	Y
07103417+2822420	07:10:34.17	+28:22:42.07	8.92	2019 Oct 12	0.83	All frames	I, H	3.7, 5.4, 7.6, -	278	...
07110918+1312442	07:11:09.18	+13:12:44.24	8.64	2018 Apr 23	1.33	All frames	I, J	1.5, 4.6, 6.1, 7.7	369	Y
07110918+1312442	07:11:09.18	+13:12:44.24	8.64	2019 Oct 09	...	10% GenSTAC	I, H	2.8, 4.9, 6.7, 8.7	265	...
07244818+5014063	07:24:48.18	+50:14:06.3	9.53	2017 Nov 03	1.54	All frames	I, J	1.5, 3.5, 5.2, 6.0	539	...
07471385+5020386	07:47:13.85	+50:20:38.6	8.86	2017 Nov 06	1.35	All frames	I, J	1.4, 3.3, 5.0, 6.5	116	...
0752527+2022147	07:52:45.27	+20:22:14.7	9.64	2017 Oct 30	1.17	100% GenSTAC	I, J	1.1, 2.4, 3.3, 4.1	419	...
08033933+3345460	08:03:39.33	+33:45:46.06	11.74	2018 Apr 03	1.83	All frames	I, J	2.2, 3.3, 4.2, 4.2	1251	...
08040539+2844343	08:04:05.4	+28:44:34.39	11.43	2018 Apr 11	1.58	All frames	I, J	1.8, 3.6, 5.1, 5.5	926	...
08082929+2417505	08:08:29.29	+24:17:50.5	9.81	2017 Oct 30	1.81	All frames	I, J	1.2, 2.7, 4.0, 4.8	360	...
08133352+4118184	08:13:33.52	+41:18:18.41	11.25	2018 Apr 11	1.64	All frames	I, J	1.5, 3.3, 5.0, 5.0	1029	...
08133754+1527150	08:13:37.54	+15:27:15.0	9.9	2017 Nov 06	1.45	All frames	I, J	1.6, 3.5, 4.8, 5.4	768	...
08162953+3706427	08:16:29.53	+37:06:42.72	11.22	2018 Apr 07	1.69	All frames	I, J	1.6, 3.4, 4.8, 4.8	610	...
08250085+2321162	08:25:00.85	+23:21:16.2	9.73	2017 Oct 31	2.36	All frames	I, J	1.5, 2.4, 4.0, 4.2	319	...
08414431+2706426	08:41:44.31	+27:06:42.63	10.98	2018 Apr 09	1.57	All frames	I, J	1.7, 4.3, 5.0, 5.5	1157	...
08520035+2439234	08:52:00.36	+24:39:23.41	10.66	2018 Apr 23	1.35	All frames	I, J	1.8, 3.5, 5.2, 5.7	340	...
08551482+4242427	08:55:14.82	+42:42:42.75	11.69	2018 Apr 15	1.56	All frames	I, J	1.7, 3.0, 4.4, 4.5	988	Y
08581361+2611416	08:58:13.62	+26:11:41.66	10.21	2018 Apr 09	1.41	All frames	I, J	1.8, 4.3, 3.7, 5.1	611	...
09011748+1515523	09:01:17.49	+15:15:52.37	6.8	2018 May 10	...	All frames	I, J	0.9, 3.0, 5.3, 6.2	151	Y
09021527+3007590	09:02:15.27	+30:07:59.02	10.99	2018 Mar 20	1.61	All frames	I, J	2.0, 1.3, 4.0, 5.0	1003	Y
09053338+2527025	09:05:33.39	+25:27:02.56	11.25	2018 Apr 16	1.29	All frames	I, J	1.6, 3.6, 5.3, 5.5	902	...
09104829+3127268	09:10:48.29	+31:27:26.8	9.72	2017 Nov 06	1.21	All frames	I, J	1.1, 3.7, 5.4, 6.1	220	...
09124007+2647327	09:12:40.07	+26:47:32.7	9.58	2017 Nov 03	1.39	All frames	I, J	1.8, 3.8, 5.5, 5.6	479	Y
09124007+2647327	09:12:40.08	+26:47:32.74	9.58	2018 Apr 10	1.51	All frames	I, J	1.8, 4.5, 5.6, 6.4	479	...
09200048+3052397	09:20:00.49	+30:52:39.75	8.31	2018 Apr 10	1.49	All frames	I, J	0.1, 3.0, 5.6, 7.3	293	Y
09241515+4200508	09:24:15.16	+42:00:50.82	11.74	2018 May 10	...	All frames	I, J	2.2, 3.4, 4.2, 4.2	682	...
09261352+3728253	09:26:13.53	+37:28:25.37	11.24	2018 Apr 09	1.41	All frames	I, J	1.5, 3.5, 4.4, 3.1	1038	Y
09263097+2536017	09:26:30.97	+25:36:01.72	11.1	2018 Apr 24	1.39	All frames	I, J	2.0, 3.1, 4.6, 4.9	1029	...
09301445+2630250	09:30:14.45	+26:30:25.05	8.87	2018 Apr 16	1.39	All frames	I, J	1.6, 4.4, 6.0, 7.7	199	...
09304213+3107099	09:30:42.13	+31:07:09.98	10.52	2018 Apr 05	1.68	All frames	I, J	1.6, 3.3, 5.0, 5.1	598	...
09342141+4207436	09:34:21.42	+42:07:43.67	11.22	2018 Apr 16	1.29	All frames	I, J	1.9, 3.8, 5.6, 5.7	590	...
09354051+3831339	09:35:40.52	+38:31:33.92	10.88	2018 Apr 10	1.65	All frames	I, J	1.2, 2.4, 4.4, 4.8	659	Y
09371370+4306263	09:37:13.71	+43:06:26.39	11.43	2018 Apr 24	1.48	All frames	I, J	1.5, 3.0, 4.4, 4.6	1508	...
09381098+4050208	09:38:10.98	+40:50:20.9	11.14	2018 Apr 15	1.4	All frames	I, J	1.8, 3.7, 5.0, 5.1	1075	...
09384132+3105321	09:38:41.32	+31:05:32.12	11.18	2018 Apr 07	1.51	All frames	I, J	2.2, 3.6, 4.9, 4.8	1263	...
09392332+4154052	09:39:23.33	+41:54:05.27	11.17	2018 Apr 23	1.6	All frames	I, J	2.1, 3.7, 4.9, 5.2	789	...
09394267+2422511	09:39:42.68	+24:22:51.15	10.72	2018 May 07	1.98	All frames	I, J	2.3, 3.3, 4.0, 4.2	662	...
09481313+2608519	09:48:13.14	+26:08:51.94	11.08	2018 Apr 19	1.54	All frames	I, J	1.4, 3.0, 4.6, 5.0	818	...

Table 11 continued

Table 11 (continued)

2MASS ID	RA	Dec	m_J	Obs. Date	Seeing	Reduction	Filter(s)	IR Contrast Limits (Δm)	Max Sep.	Comp.
	J2000	J2000	(mag)	(UT)	($''$)	Pipeline		[0.5', 1.0', 2.0', 3.0']	(AU)	Candidate?
09482900+3009406	09:48:29.01	+30:09:40.62	11.39	2018 Apr 05	1.88	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 3.3, 4.4, 4.8	1141	...
09485020+1538449	09:48:50.2	+15:38:44.91	9.3	2018 May 09	2.11	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 3.3, 4.8, 6.3	140	...
09550337+4201384	09:55:03.38	+42:01:38.41	11.29	2018 Apr 16	1.38	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 3.6, 4.5, 4.8	765	...
09561860+2613599	09:56:18.6	+26:13:59.91	11.21	2018 Apr 19	1.3	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.2, 3.9, 5.5, 5.6	1034	...
09582344+3815185	09:58:23.45	+38:15:18.55	11.57	2018 Apr 11	1.82	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 3.2, 4.3, 4.3	1050	...
10001289+2825385	10:00:12.9	+28:25:38.5	10.46	2018 Apr 11	1.57	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.0, 3.0, 4.7, 5.2	744	...
10013178+3841174	10:01:31.78	+38:41:17.42	11.59	2018 May 06	1.53	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 3.2, 4.5, 4.4	643	Y
10030191+3433197	10:03:01.91	+34:33:19.72	10.16	2018 Apr 10	1.77	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 2.7, 4.5, 5.2	234	...
10033851+3435012	10:03:38.52	+34:35:01.2	10.99	2018 Mar 20	1.45	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.2, 2.7, 4.4, 4.6	717	...
10054761+2936414	10:05:47.62	+29:36:41.46	10.84	2018 Apr 15	1.52	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.1, 4.1, 5.0, 5.6	513	...
10062735+4219560	10:06:27.35	+42:19:56.05	11.2	2018 Apr 16	1.4	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 3.7, 5.2, 5.1	788	...
10122171-0128160	10:12:21.72	-01:28:16.04	9.53	2018 May 10	...	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.9, 3.8, 5.1, 3.2	326	Y
10141919+2104297	10:14:19.19	+21:04:29.77	7.07	2018 Apr 11	1.89	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	0.5, 3.0, 5.5, 6.8	192	...
10142029+3028169	10:14:20.3	+30:28:16.93	11.07	2018 Apr 15	1.55	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.3, 4.1, 5.5, 5.8	697	...
10165115+3935281	10:16:51.16	+39:35:28.15	9.29	2018 Apr 16	1.55	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	0.6, 3.9, 6.7, 6.7	469	Y
10252645+0512391	10:25:26.45	+05:12:39.15	11.71	2018 May 16	1.31	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.3, 3.2, 3.9, 4.0	188	...
10272394+6143134	10:27:23.95	+61:43:13.49	11.09	2018 May 07	1.96	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 2.8, 4.5, 4.7	464	...
10280144+3029003	10:28:01.45	+30:29:00.38	9.42	2018 Apr 16	1.31	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.3, 4.3, 5.8, 7.4	584	Y
10304308+1722099	10:30:43.09	+17:22:09.96	11.67	2018 Apr 16	1.28	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.3, 3.5, 4.7, 4.8	1458	...
10314321+5706571	10:31:43.22	+57:06:57.18	6.98	2018 May 07	2.47	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	0.7, 2.7, 5.2, 6.5	144	...
10352320+3009066	10:35:23.21	+30:09:06.62	11.59	2018 Apr 16	1.23	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.0, 3.7, 5.0, 5.2	1423	...
10355725+2853316	10:35:57.25	+28:53:31.68	9.24	2018 Apr 16	1.28	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 4.4, 6.2, 7.3	236	...
10361407+0257145	10:36:14.07	+02:57:14.55	11.39	2018 May 10	...	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.2, 3.4, 4.6, 4.7	744	...
10364483+1521394	10:36:44.84	+15:21:39.46	8.75	2018 May 06	1.71	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.1, 1.3, 4.6, 6.3	162	Y
10392729+2956336	10:39:27.29	+29:56:33.65	10.94	2018 Apr 19	1.54	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.9, 3.4, 4.7, 5.1	718	...
10415413+3444449	10:41:54.13	+34:44:44.91	10.37	2018 Mar 13	1.58	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.5, 3.2, 4.4, 5.3	479	...
10442013+3540252	10:44:20.14	+35:40:25.23	10.48	2018 Apr 16	1.26	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 4.2, 6.0, 6.2	825	...
10464529+3945578	10:46:45.29	+39:45:57.82	11.17	2018 Apr 24	1.93	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 2.7, 4.1, 4.2	869	...
10473203+3508261	10:47:32.04	+35:08:26.11	10.71	2018 Apr 08	1.54	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 4.1, 5.9, 6.2	819	Y
10492273+705575	10:49:22.74	+07:05:57.57	10.94	2018 May 07	1.83	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 3.0, 5.1, 5.2	582	...
10512059+3607255	10:51:20.6	+36:07:25.55	9.42	2018 Apr 23	1.46	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 3.1, 4.9, 5.7	275	...
10513875+3103513	10:51:38.76	+31:03:51.33	10.91	2018 Apr 24	2.08	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 2.8, 4.5, 4.6	827	...
10525337+2559586	10:52:53.38	+25:59:58.65	11.13	2018 Apr 19	1.52	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 3.4, 5.1, 5.2	947	...
10533191+3536340	10:53:31.91	+35:36:34.09	11.45	2018 Apr 16	1.47	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.0, 3.3, 5.0, 4.9	1507	...
10570075+3652092	10:57:00.75	+36:52:09.24	10.45	2018 Apr 22	1.57	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.5, 3.2, 4.4, 4.9	904	...
10572793+3007434	10:57:27.94	+30:07:43.41	11.85	2018 Apr 19	1.75	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.5, 3.5, 4.3, 4.3	1248	...
10580751+5057028	10:58:07.52	+50:57:02.86	9.7	2018 Apr 16	1.55	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.3, 3.8, 4.7, 4.9	226	...
10590395+6349283	10:59:03.95	+63:49:28.34	10.54	2018 May 10	...	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 2.9, 3.7, 4.7	813	Y
11023832+2158017	11:02:38.33	+21:58:01.71	6.52	2018 May 06	1.37	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.2, 3.5, 6.4, 7.1	98	...

Table 11 continued

Table 11 (continued)

2MASS ID	RA	Dec	m_J	Obs. Date	Seeing	Reduction	Filter(s)	IR Contrast Limits (Δm)	Max Sep.	Comp.
	J2000	J2000	(mag)	(UT)	($''$)	Pipeline		[0.5', 1.0', 2.0', 3.0']	(AU)	Candidate?
11031000+3639085	11:03:10	+36:39:08.53	9.46	2018 Apr 15	1.53	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 4.0, 6.4, 6.7	187	...
11053346+3213525	11:05:33.46	+32:13:52.54	11.41	2018 May 06	1.5	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.9, 3.5, 4.5, 5.2	166	...
11055616+3534446	11:05:56.17	+35:34:44.6	10.98	2018 Mar 07	1.47	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.0, 3.2, 4.8, 5.0	921	Y
11101383+3114033	11:10:13.84	+31:14:03.37	10.98	2018 Apr 12	1.66	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.1, 2.6, 5.0, 5.2	879	Y
11102968+0432586	11:10:29.68	+04:32:58.66	10.64	2018 May 10	...	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.1, 2.8, 4.5, 5.2	759	Y
11130919+3003384	11:13:09.2	+30:03:38.43	10.15	2018 Apr 16	1.31	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 3.3, 4.8, 5.2	571	...
11165318+3251037	11:16:53.19	+32:51:03.77	10.72	2018 Apr 07	2.16	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.7, 2.6, 3.8, 4.0	839	Y
11182030+1347392	11:18:20.3	+13:47:39.21	9.09	2018 May 06	1.56	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.2, 3.5, 5.6, 6.6	204	...
11240434+3808108	11:24:04.35	+38:08:10.9	9.93	2018 Apr 23	1.54	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.2, 3.1, 4.8, 5.5	151	Y
11251612+5949258	11:25:16.12	+59:49:25.83	10.29	2018 May 08	1.63	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 2.6, 4.1, 5.0	466	...
11273738+3210121	11:27:37.39	+32:10:12.12	11.04	2018 Apr 06	1.64	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 3.2, 4.4, 5.0	887	...
11281625+3136017	11:28:16.25	+31:36:01.77	9.77	2018 Apr 08	1.67	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 2.3, 4.9, 5.9	251	Y
11295234+3606415	11:29:52.35	+36:06:41.54	9.83	2018 Apr 08	1.3	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 4.3, 6.5, 6.5	340	...
11305369+4414361	11:30:53.7	+44:14:36.16	9.62	2018 Apr 21	2.14	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 2.5, 4.3, 5.2	198	...
11312488+1344436	11:31:24.88	+13:44:43.67	9.32	2018 May 06	...	All frames	<i>J</i>	1.9, 3.8, 5.6, 6.6	296	...
11361611+5624017	11:36:16.12	+56:24:01.76	10.23	2018 May 06	1.58	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 3.2, 5.1, 5.4	140	...
11372461+4727445	11:37:24.61	+47:27:44.5	7.41	2017 Jun 12	1.82	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.1, 1.5, 3.8, 5.7	275	Y
11385010+3342182	11:38:50.1	+33:42:18.28	10.77	2018 Apr 10	1.74	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 2.6, 4.6, 4.9	1039	Y
11422124+2303139	11:42:21.25	+23:03:13.91	10.36	2018 May 05	...	All frames	<i>J</i>	2.0, 2.9, 4.2, 4.4	472	...
11435638+2906027 ^(S)	11:43:56.38	+29:06:02.78	10.7	2019 May 29	...	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.5, 4.9, 4.1, 2.9	530	Y
11464444+3938335	11:46:44.45	+39:38:33.6	10.8	2018 Apr 15	1.62	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 3.5, 4.4, 4.5	587	...
11471895+3818232	11:47:18.95	+38:18:23.21	10.37	2018 Apr 12	2.32	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.5, 2.5, 2.7, 3.6	725	Y
11483548+0741403	11:48:35.49	+07:41:40.36	9.48	2018 May 06	1.52	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.0, 3.3, 4.4, 6.0	170	...
11560817+0409325	11:56:08.18	+04:09:32.52	9.15	2018 May 10	...	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 4.0, 6.0, 4.8	401	Y
11563406+2949402	11:56:34.07	+29:49:40.25	11.56	2018 Apr 15	1.63	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.6, 3.5, 3.9, 4.1	912	...
11574980+6633443	11:57:49.8	+66:33:44.31	10.23	2018 May 06	1.45	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.9, 2.8, 4.9, 5.0	144	...
11585139+3223305	11:58:51.4	+32:23:30.58	10.19	2018 Apr 04	1.69	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 3.1, 4.3, 5.4	416	...
12005106+3849559	12:00:51.07	+38:49:55.96	11.85	2018 Apr 15	1.5	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 3.4, 4.5, 4.5	1704	...
12012731+3932590	12:01:27.32	+39:32:59.04	10.71	2018 Apr 24	1.49	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.5, 2.8, 4.0, 4.2	454	...
12015580+3357366	12:01:55.8	+33:57:36.67	10.99	2018 Apr 10	1.62	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.3, 3.3, 4.5, 5.0	1369	Y
12030993+2901104	12:03:09.94	+29:01:10.45	10.29	2018 Apr 23	1.35	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.9, 3.3, 4.4, 4.4	494	...
12030993+2901104	12:03:09.94	+29:01:10.45	10.29	2019 May 25	...	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.0, 4.4, 6.2, 7.0	355	...
12043822+2652256	12:04:38.23	+26:52:25.65	10.51	2018 Apr 22	1.5	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.5, 2.6, 3.6, 4.6	932	...
12060669+2855467	12:06:06.7	+28:55:46.75	10.19	2018 Apr 19	1.5	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 2.9, 4.8, 5.4	851	...
12082885+1327090	12:08:28.85	+13:27:09.04	10.52	2018 Apr 08	1.59	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 3.7, 3.3, 5.3	307	Y
12110183+2918255	12:11:01.83	+29:18:25.53	10.73	2018 Apr 16	1.35	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 3.9, 4.9, 5.9	372	...
12115308+1249135	12:11:53.09	+12:49:13.53	9.46	2019 May 26	...	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	2.6, 2.6, 4.9, 7.1	359	Y
12120849+1248050	12:12:08.5	+12:48:05.09	9.72	2019 May 26	...	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.2, 5.5, 7.0, 7.4	359	...
12132273+3656249	12:13:22.73	+36:56:24.91	11.15	2018 Apr 08	1.47	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.0, 3.7, 5.2, 5.2	618	...

Table 11 continued

Table 11 (continued)

2MASS ID	RA	Dec	m_J	Obs. Date	Seeing	Reduction	Filter(s)	IR Contrast Limits (Δm)	Max Sep.	Comp.
	J2000	J2000	(mag)	(UT)	($''$)	Pipeline		[0.5', 1.0', 2.0', 3.0']	(AU)	Candidate?
12135978+4211540	12:13:59.78	+42:11:54.06	10.33	2018 Apr 23	1.54	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.3, 2.7, 3.8, 4.1	595	...
12163915+2209253	12:16:39.16	+22:09:25.38	10.49	2018 May 31	1.55	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 2.8, 4.1, 4.9	598	...
12175818+2751276	12:17:58.18	+27:51:27.66	9.7	2018 May 16	1.23	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.5, 3.4, 4.6, 5.6	561	...
12175818+2751276	12:17:58.18	+27:51:27.66	9.7	2019 May 27	...	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.0, 5.2, 7.7, 7.6	403	...
12184187+0609123	12:18:41.88	-06:09:12.32	10.12	2018 May 16	1.5	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 2.7, 5.0, 5.4	186	...
12215986+2928244	12:21:59.87	+29:28:24.47	11.43	2018 Apr 16	1.35	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 3.4, 4.4, 4.8	1077	Y
12224782+2711571	12:22:47.83	+27:11:57.14	10.03	2018 Apr 16	1.29	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 4.4, 5.6, 6.4	322	...
12232334+4246010	12:23:23.35	+42:46:01.02	9.89	2018 Apr 16	1.4	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 4.1, 6.2, 6.4	569	...
12235608+3014326	12:23:56.09	+30:14:32.61	10.72	2018 Apr 16	1.24	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 3.9, 5.8, 5.9	540	...
12244831+4043373	12:24:48.31	+40:43:37.35	9.14	2018 Apr 23	1.49	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	0.9, 2.6, 5.1, 5.4	382	...
12244831+4043373	12:24:48.31	+40:43:37.35	9.14	2019 May 23	...	All frames	<i>H</i>	3.5, 6.1, 8.4, 8.4	275	...
12263225+3347198	12:26:32.25	+33:47:19.8	9.59	2017 Jun 13	1.49	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.2, 3.2, 3.6, 3.7	455	Y
12353255+1954391	12:35:32.56	+19:54:39.13	10.73	2018 May 10	...	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 3.6, 5.1, 5.2	725	...
12374082+3450555	12:37:40.83	+34:50:55.56	9.32	2018 Mar 07	1.61	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 3.6, 5.8, 6.5	498	...
12385160+2411443	12:38:51.6	+24:11:44.38	11.25	2018 Apr 10	1.74	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.0, 3.3, 4.6, 4.4	1049	...
12392304+1822440	12:39:23.05	+18:22:44.09	9.9	2019 May 28	...	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	3.1, 4.6, 6.7, 7.1	375	...
12400189+1136582	12:40:01.89	+11:36:58.28	10.56	2019 May 29	...	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.6, 4.7, 6.4, 6.5	442	...
12430101+2553273	12:43:01.01	+25:53:27.36	11.43	2018 Apr 16	1.18	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 3.2, 4.6, 4.6	1220	...
12431361+3427554	12:43:13.61	+34:27:55.4	10.17	2018 Apr 08	1.42	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 4.1, 5.3, 6.0	300	...
12442497+1532123	12:44:24.97	+15:32:12.38	9.99	2019 May 29	...	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	3.2, 4.8, 6.8, 7.4	253	...
12452735+2643454	12:45:27.36	+26:43:45.41	10.11	2018 Apr 10	1.46	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 3.9, 5.3, 6.0	485	Y
12483449+4933540	12:48:34.49	+49:33:54.08	8.68	2018 May 06	1.8	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 3.8, 5.5, 7.3	201	...
1251285+2908568	12:51:28.5	+29:08:56.8	9.29	2017 Jun 13	1.11	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.5, 3.5, 5.8, 6.6	429	...
12550039+2455311	12:55:00.39	+24:55:31.14	11.17	2018 Apr 09	1.79	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 2.9, 4.4, 4.4	607	...
13061537+2043444	13:06:15.38	+20:43:44.5	6.83	2018 May 06	1.38	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.2, 3.4, 2.9, 6.4	161	Y
13130003+5048564	13:13:00.03	+50:48:56.4	9.07	2017 Jun 13	1.01	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.0, 3.9, 6.0, 6.8	732	...
13134536+2218321	13:13:45.36	+22:18:32.19	10.0	2018 Apr 11	1.85	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	0.5, 2.1, 4.3, 5.1	548	Y
13180012+5533121	13:18:00.12	+55:33:12.1	9.52	2017 Jun 14	1.48	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.1, 4.0, 5.1, 7.0	607	...
13181577+2439189	13:18:15.78	+24:39:18.99	10.13	2018 Apr 10	1.6	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 3.4, 5.6, 5.9	348	...
13185562+2200363	13:18:55.63	+22:00:36.32	11.76	2018 Apr 11	2.18	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.9, 3.2, 4.2, 4.2	1162	...
13213569+1637549	13:21:35.69	+16:37:54.9	9.77	2017 Jun 13	1.81	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.2, 3.9, 5.5, 5.9	532	...
13232325+5754222	13:23:23.26	+57:54:22.21	7.17	2018 May 07	1.57	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.1, 3.8, 6.0, 7.2	198	...
13233535+2153068	13:23:35.36	+21:53:06.83	10.04	2018 Apr 22	1.66	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.1, 2.7, 4.4, 3.9	595	Y
13250752+5718457	13:25:07.52	+57:18:45.78	10.21	2018 May 09	1.54	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 3.7, 5.5, 6.1	482	...
13300016+4144505	13:30:00.16	+41:44:50.58	11.05	2018 Apr 08	1.7	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 3.4, 4.6, 5.0	916	...
13311262+2931496	13:31:12.63	+29:31:49.66	10.9	2018 Mar 09	1.59	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 3.7, 4.8, 5.5	427	...
13323448+2142598	13:32:34.48	+21:42:59.86	11.35	2018 Apr 16	1.32	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.5, 2.9, 3.9, 4.3	781	...
13342150+0440026	13:34:21.5	+04:40:02.64	7.21	2018 May 07	1.97	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.2, 3.0, 5.1, 7.2	167	...
13374043+4807542	13:37:40.44	+48:07:54.22	10.12	2018 Apr 15	1.36	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 3.7, 5.6, 5.9	174	...

Table 11 continued

Table 11 (continued)

2MASS ID	RA	Dec	m_J	Obs. Date	Seeing	Reduction	Filter(s)	IR Contrast Limits (Δm)	Max Sep.	Comp.
	J2000	J2000	(mag)	(UT)	($''$)	Pipeline		[0.5', 1.0', 2.0', 3.0']	(AU)	Candidate?
13402529+2144361	13:40:25.3	+21:44:36.13	11.45	2018 Apr 16	1.27	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.9, 3.3, 4.9, 5.0	1658	Y
13414631+5815197	13:41:46.31	+58:15:19.76	8.73	2018 May 07	1.82	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 1.4, 4.3, 5.9	163	Y
13474241+2127374	13:47:42.41	+21:27:37.43	8.21	2018 Apr 16	1.44	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.1, 3.4, 5.4, 6.5	245	Y
13482808+2839154	13:48:28.08	+28:39:15.43	11.37	2018 Apr 05	1.61	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 3.7, 2.3, 4.8	937	Y
13482808+2839154	13:48:28.08	+28:39:15.43	11.37	2019 May 19	...	10% GenSTAC	<i>H</i>	3.9, 5.8, 3.1, 7.0	673	...
13484861+0406022	13:48:48.61	+04:06:02.2	9.76	2017 Jun 14	0.94	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.1, 4.1, 5.3, 5.8	134	...
13510726+2844475	13:51:07.26	+28:44:47.52	12.06	2018 Apr 04	1.6	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.3, 3.5, 4.3, 4.3	1510	...
13532540+4311162	13:53:25.41	+43:11:16.22	9.2	2018 Apr 10	1.61	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 4.1, 6.1, 6.7	372	...
13535682+2422223	13:53:56.83	+24:22:22.35	10.73	2018 Apr 09	1.91	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 3.2, 4.9, 5.2	450	Y
13542160+2557091	13:54:21.61	+25:57:09.18	12.05	2018 Apr 09	1.42	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.5, 3.4, 4.0, 4.1	1390	...
13573203+6129343	13:57:32.04	+61:29:34.39	5.02	2018 May 07	1.76	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	-, 1.4, 3.9, 6.1	83	...
13584266+4556189	13:58:42.66	+45:56:19	12.06	2018 Apr 15	1.44	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 3.5, 3.9, 4.3	1702	...
14032468+2210390	14:03:24.68	+22:10:39.01	11.06	2018 Apr 11	1.7	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.3, 3.1, 5.4, 5.3	913	...
14045089+4949123	14:04:50.89	+49:49:12.4	11.43	2018 Apr 16	1.35	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 3.0, 4.7, 4.4	1204	...
14060343+4850233	14:06:03.43	+48:50:23.31	11.11	2018 Apr 15	1.28	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 3.5, 5.0, 5.4	705	...
14093200+4138080	14:09:32	+41:38:08.1	10.71	2018 May 06	1.68	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 3.3, 4.9, 5.2	200	...
14104568+2333368	14:10:45.69	+23:33:36.85	11.2	2018 Apr 09	1.62	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 3.2, 5.0, 4.8	917	...
14182217+4126159	14:18:22.18	+41:26:15.99	10.84	2018 Apr 09	1.75	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 3.2, 4.5, 5.0	588	...
14192958+0254365	14:19:29.58	+02:54:36.52	9.95	2018 May 07	1.83	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.9, 2.8, 4.3, 5.3	171	Y
14222009+2352351	14:22:20.1	+23:52:35.1	10.52	2018 May 06	1.69	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 2.9, 5.1, 5.4	178	...
14300535+4133240	14:30:05.36	+41:33:24.03	11.35	2018 Apr 08	1.69	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 3.2, 4.7, 4.8	1101	...
14321078+1600494	14:32:10.79	+16:00:49.49	9.29	2018 May 09	1.34	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 4.4, 5.9, 7.0	129	...
14354632+3426036	14:35:46.33	+34:26:03.66	10.85	2018 May 06	1.6	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.3, 2.6, 4.3, 4.7	407	...
14364630+2817203	14:36:46.3	+28:17:20.31	11.91	2018 Apr 04	1.62	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.2, 3.4, 4.2, 4.2	1307	...
14365301+5820549	14:36:53.01	+58:20:54.9	8.08	2017 Jun 13	1.38	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 3.1, 5.3, 5.8	151	...
14372948+4128350	14:37:29.48	+41:28:35.06	9.95	2018 Apr 09	1.6	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 2.9, 4.8, 5.3	394	...
14405211+1929183	14:40:52.11	+19:29:18.33	10.95	2018 Apr 15	1.18	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 4.0, 5.0, 5.6	766	...
14434861+1817357	14:43:48.62	+18:17:35.77	10.42	2018 Apr 16	1.65	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.9, 1.8, 4.4, 5.3	730	Y
14435189+1817332	14:43:51.9	+18:17:33.22	10.13	2018 Apr 15	1.32	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 4.0, 5.1, 5.8	386	...
14471354+5701550	14:47:13.54	+57:01:55.0	9.91	2017 Jun 14	1.14	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	0.8, 2.8, 4.6, 5.8	265	Y
14514825+1257590	14:51:48.26	+12:57:59.07	10.48	2018 Apr 15	1.6	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.0, 2.9, 4.9, 5.7	667	Y
14582924+4101237	14:58:29.25	+41:01:23.76	11.21	2018 Apr 05	1.48	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 3.5, 4.9, 5.0	824	...
14591699+2214069	14:59:16.99	+22:14:06.98	11.15	2018 Apr 11	1.14	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.2, 3.3, 4.6, 5.2	1009	...
15002519+1152093	15:00:25.19	+11:52:09.35	10.62	2018 May 16	1.4	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 3.0, 4.7, 5.3	393	...
15020923+1730050	15:02:09.23	+17:30:05	11.14	2018 Jun 01	1.7	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.1, 2.8, 4.3, 4.3	741	...
15042411+2620539	15:04:24.11	+26:20:53.95	10.54	2018 Apr 05	1.35	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.5, 2.2, 4.4, 5.4	630	Y
15074262+1946429	15:07:42.63	+19:46:42.99	11.02	2018 Apr 16	1.55	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.1, 2.1, 4.3, 5.0	511	Y
15085976+2651481	15:08:59.76	+26:51:48.18	10.02	2018 Mar 20	1.73	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.2, 2.8, 4.5, 5.4	897	...
15121803+4746533	15:12:18.04	+47:46:53.35	9.78	2018 Apr 15	1.34	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.5, 3.3, 4.4, 5.4	496	...

Table 11 continued

Table 11 (continued)

2MASS ID	RA	Dec	m_J	Obs. Date	Seeing	Reduction	Filter(s)	IR Contrast Limits (Δm)	Max Sep.	Comp.
	J2000	J2000	(mag)	(UT)	($''$)	Pipeline		[0.5', 1.0', 2.0', 3.0']	(AU)	Candidate?
15125708+3654522	15:12:57.09	+36:54:52.22	11.64	2018 Apr 05	1.44	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.5, 3.5, 4.7, 4.8	1021	...
15161825+0845569	15:16:18.26	+08:45:56.95	11.99	2018 May 06	1.3	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.5, 3.7, 4.1, 4.2	800	...
15204152+3646095	15:20:41.53	+36:46:09.5	11.21	2018 May 06	1.51	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.1, 2.9, 4.0, 4.4	417	...
15212530+0414492	15:21:25.30	+04:14:49.2	8.55	2017 Jun 14	1.15	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 3.8, 5.6, 7.1	222	...
15215291+2058394	15:21:52.92	+20:58:39.48	6.61	2018 Apr 21	1.84	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	0.2, 2.5, 4.8, 6.9	94	...
15215494-2313453 ^(C)	15:21:54.94	-23:13:45.34	11.29	2019 May 28	...	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	2.7, 5.1, 6.2, 6.2	672	...
15222630+2153204	15:22:26.31	+21:53:20.44	10.37	2018 Apr 15	1.32	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 4.1, 5.1, 5.9	408	...
15250653+4759369	15:25:06.53	+47:59:36.99	11.22	2018 Apr 23	1.49	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 3.0, 4.4, 4.4	997	...
15323737+4653048	15:32:37.37	+46:53:04.88	8.34	2018 Apr 21	1.76	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.5, 3.1, 4.8, 6.0	215	...
15350427+4116448	15:35:04.27	+41:16:44.84	10.44	2018 Apr 05	1.23	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.0, 3.2, 4.4, 4.5	741	...
15352566+6005077	15:35:25.66	+60:05:07.7	9.27	2017 Jun 14	1.24	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.9, 4.1, 6.8, 6.7	160	...
15352566+6005077	15:35:25.67	+60:05:07.71	9.27	2018 May 05	...	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 3.7, 5.0, 6.4	160	...
15355345-2425325 ^(C)	15:35:53.46	-24:25:32.52	11.32	2019 May 28	...	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.0, 4.6, 5.8, 5.9	785	...
15364473+2356054	15:36:44.73	+23:56:05.43	11.67	2018 Apr 15	1.35	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.9, 3.6, 4.5, 4.8	1195	...
15370939+5319205	15:37:09.39	+53:19:20.5	8.77	2017 Jun 14	1.23	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 4.1, 6.0, 6.3	335	...
15413725+1828082	15:41:37.26	+18:28:08.26	8.96	2018 Jun 01	1.59	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	0.7, 2.7, 4.9, 5.5	430	...
15432612-1954376 ^(C)	15:43:26.12	-19:54:37.62	11.31	2019 May 29	...	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	3.0, 4.8, 6.3, 6.2	760	...
15452981+4204494	15:45:29.81	+42:04:49.48	10.54	2018 Apr 11	1.43	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 3.3, 3.6, 4.0	276	...
15453505+4205065	15:45:35.05	+42:05:06.57	10.43	2018 Apr 11	1.53	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.1, 3.2, 5.0, 5.5	272	...
15471513+0149218	15:47:15.13	+01:49:21.85	10.64	2018 May 06	1.55	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.3, 2.9, 4.9, 5.1	151	Y
15471580-2250295 ^(C)	15:47:15.8	-22:50:29.59	11.57	2019 May 29	...	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.1, 4.8, 6.4, 5.9	1003	...
15472254+2503372	15:47:22.54	+25:03:37.25	10.23	2018 Apr 15	1.31	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 4.2, 5.9, 6.2	639	Y
15472649-2855417 ^(C)	15:47:26.49	-28:55:41.77	11.44	2019 May 29	...	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.3, 4.8, 5.8, 5.8	741	...
15512378+3742322	15:51:23.78	+37:42:32.21	9.6	2018 Apr 10	1.38	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.5, 3.9, 5.9, 6.5	483	...
15522029-1347260 ^(C)	15:52:20.3	-13:47:26.08	11.3	2019 May 28	...	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.3, 4.5, 6.2, 5.9	685	Y
15535154-1900257 ^(C)	15:53:51.54	-19:00:25.72	10.83	2019 May 24	...	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.0, 5.0, 5.9, 6.0	563	...
15542498+2902363	15:54:24.98	+29:02:36.31	10.7	2018 Apr 07	1.59	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 3.9, 5.8, 6.4	437	Y
15553178+3512028	15:55:31.78	+35:12:02.87	8.93	2018 Apr 06	1.94	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 3.1, 4.1, 5.9	228	Y
15553957+4025135	15:55:39.58	+40:25:13.54	11.08	2018 Apr 11	1.59	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.3, 3.1, 4.3, 4.8	1219	Y
15553957+4025135	15:55:39.58	+40:25:13.54	11.08	2019 May 19	...	10% GenSTAC	<i>H</i>	3.9, 6.0, 6.7, 7.1	876	...
15565823+3738137	15:56:58.24	+37:38:13.77	9.42	2018 Apr 08	2.03	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.5, 3.4, 5.4, 5.9	370	...
15581028+4927084	15:58:10.28	+49:27:08.41	8.73	2018 May 09	1.49	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 4.1, 6.3, 6.8	311	...
15584511+2351186	15:58:45.11	+23:51:18.62	9.82	2018 Apr 16	1.34	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 4.4, 4.9, 6.0	299	...
15594729+4403595	15:59:47.29	+44:03:59.52	8.51	2018 Apr 15	1.24	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.5, 4.6, 5.9, 7.8	367	Y
16014413+2837256	16:01:44.14	+28:37:25.63	11.65	2018 Apr 11	1.58	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 3.4, 4.2, 4.5	1728	...
16030291+3146523	16:03:02.92	+31:46:52.3	11.09	2018 May 06	1.47	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.9, 3.3, 5.1, 5.1	565	...
16035425-1426386 ^(C)	16:05:34.25	-14:26:38.67	11.28	2019 May 27	...	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	3.0, 4.5, 4.7, 4.7	800	...
16054191+22302513	16:05:41.92	+22:30:25.13	10.97	2018 Apr 16	1.36	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.6, 3.3, 4.3, 4.3	539	...
16074459-2044527 ^(C)	16:07:44.59	-20:44:52.77	10.98	2019 May 27	...	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.1, 5.1, 6.7, 6.6	626	...

Table 11 continued

Table 11 (continued)

2MASS ID	RA	Dec	m_J	Obs. Date	Seeing	Reduction	Filter(s)	IR Contrast Limits (Δm)	Max Sep.	Comp.
	J2000	J2000	(mag)	(UT)	($''$)	Pipeline		[0.5', 1.0', 2.0', 3.0']	(AU)	Candidate?
16155939+3852102	16:15:59.4	+38:52:10.21	9.0	2018 Apr 11	1.58	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.2, 3.5, 5.1, 6.7	509	...
16181545+2810445	16:18:15.46	+28:10:44.55	12.29	2018 May 05	...	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	2.0, 3.1, 4.2, 4.3	470	...
16191111+0140411	16:19:11.12	+01:40:41.19	9.88	2018 May 06	1.64	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	2.1, 3.1, 5.4, 5.3	181	...
16202624-2232104 ^(S)	16:20:26.24	-22:32:10.46	11.57	2019 May 28	...	All frames	<i>i, H</i>	3.2, 5.0, 6.1, 5.9	1042	...
16220894+2831402	16:22:08.94	+28:31:40.29	10.12	2018 Apr 15	1.35	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	0.8, 3.3, 4.9, 5.6	553	Y
16225398-2529166 ^(S)	16:22:53.98	-25:29:16.68	11.25	2019 May 28	...	All frames	<i>i, H</i>	2.8, 4.2, 4.0, 4.3	1050	...
16231316-2040457 ^(S)	16:23:13.17	-20:40:45.78	10.6	2019 May 25	...	All frames	<i>i, H</i>	2.8, 4.3, 6.2, 6.3	628	...
16234173-2100260 ^(S)	16:23:41.73	-21:00:26.09	10.88	2019 May 24	...	All frames	<i>i, H</i>	3.0, 4.9, 6.7, 6.7	1011	...
16245001-1925069 ^(S)	16:24:50.02	-19:25:06.91	11.09	2019 May 24	...	All frames	<i>i, H</i>	3.1, 4.4, 5.8, 6.0	1016	...
16245914-1923591 ^(S)	16:24:59.14	-19:23:59.14	10.59	2019 May 23	...	All frames	<i>i, H</i>	3.7, 6.3, 8.1, 8.3	1066	Y
16273755+3541429	16:27:37.56	+35:41:42.95	9.6	2018 Apr 11	1.59	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.2, 3.3, 4.5, 6.5	228	...
16281325-2050253 ^(S)	16:28:13.25	-20:50:25.31	11.19	2019 May 24	...	10% GenSTAC	<i>i, H</i>	2.9, 4.2, 5.7, 6.2	1164	Y
16284906+3412367	16:28:49.07	+34:12:36.71	11.21	2018 Apr 10	1.5	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.4, 2.6, 4.7, 4.9	1419	Y
16295469+3235545	16:29:54.69	+32:35:54.54	10.1	2018 Apr 08	1.82	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.4, 3.0, 4.8, 5.5	806	...
16304072-2018186 ^(S)	16:30:40.73	-20:18:18.65	10.96	2019 May 26	...	All frames	<i>i, H</i>	2.5, 3.6, 4.9, 5.4	1076	Y
16311795+2703480	16:31:17.96	+27:03:48.1	9.34	2018 Apr 21	1.92	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.5, 3.3, 4.7, 5.5	381	...
16331837-1718373 ^(S)	16:33:18.37	-17:18:37.32	11.49	2019 May 28	...	All frames	<i>i, H</i>	3.4, 5.3, 6.3, 5.9	839	...
16335016-1904197 ^(S)	16:33:50.16	-19:04:19.76	9.91	2019 May 24	...	All frames	<i>i, H</i>	3.0, 5.6, 7.1, 6.9	1014	...
16341521+2636378	16:34:15.21	+26:36:37.81	9.84	2018 Apr 21	2.03	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.7, 2.7, 4.0, 4.5	444	...
16350111+3130290	16:35:01.12	+31:30:29.1	10.46	2018 Apr 15	1.21	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.7, 4.1, 6.2, 6.0	320	Y
16352740+3500577	16:35:27.41	+35:00:57.73	8.62	2018 Apr 10	1.5	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.3, 3.6, 5.2, 7.0	139	...
16355769+3823067	16:35:57.69	+38:23:06.76	11.33	2018 Apr 15	1.14	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.8, 3.5, 5.0, 4.9	1117	...
16371518+3331426	16:37:15.19	+33:31:42.69	10.71	2018 Apr 15	1.24	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.9, 3.5, 5.1, 4.9	455	...
16382655-1857509 ^(S)	16:38:26.55	-18:57:50.99	10.37	2019 May 24	...	10% GenSTAC	<i>i, H</i>	2.9, 5.4, 6.7, 7.1	491	...
16393042-1939470 ^(S)	16:39:30.42	-19:39:47.07	11.73	2019 May 29	...	All frames	<i>i, H</i>	2.6, -, -, 8.5	1059	Y
16400599+0042188	16:40:05.99	+00:42:18.8	9.12	2017 Jul 01	...	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	2.0, 3.8, 5.0, 6.1	92	...
16441048+1443073	16:44:10.49	+14:43:07.36	11.23	2018 May 05	...	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	2.1, 3.3, 3.9, 4.4	408	...
16442112+3912537	16:44:21.12	+39:12:53.71	11.76	2018 Apr 15	1.41	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.7, 3.5, 4.7, 4.8	2140	...
16460779+4142057	16:46:07.79	+41:42:05.79	10.38	2018 Apr 15	1.22	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	2.1, 2.4, 4.1, 4.5	408	...
16473224+2519380	16:47:32.25	+25:19:38.06	9.42	2019 May 25	...	10% GenSTAC	<i>i, H</i>	3.5, 4.8, 6.5, 7.6	333	...
16474769+2930504	16:47:47.7	+29:30:50.4	11.22	2018 Apr 21	1.88	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.9, 3.2, 4.3, 4.4	970	...
16475412+3441236	16:47:54.12	+34:41:23.64	11.04	2018 Apr 11	1.61	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.6, 3.2, 4.4, 4.9	879	...
16481419+2718506	16:48:14.19	+27:18:50.62	9.87	2019 May 29	...	10% GenSTAC	<i>i, H</i>	3.7, 4.9, 6.5, 7.5	408	...
16483037-2053314 ^(S)	16:48:30.38	-20:53:31.48	11.28	2019 May 29	...	10% GenSTAC	<i>i, H</i>	2.9, 4.7, 6.0, 5.9	892	...
16590962+2058160	16:59:09.63	+20:58:16.04	8.34	2019 May 29	...	All frames	<i>i, H</i>	1.8, 3.0, 5.3, 7.3	105	Y
17020767+3409265	17:02:07.68	+34:09:26.55	10.52	2018 Apr 10	1.58	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.5, 3.4, 5.3, 5.2	433	...
17035283+3211456	17:03:52.83	+32:11:45.63	7.89	2018 Apr 08	1.81	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.4, 4.1, 4.9, 6.7	157	Y
17035283+3211456	17:03:52.83	+32:11:45.63	7.89	2019 May 22	...	90% GenSTAC	<i>H</i>	3.0, 3.7, 4.3, 6.2	113	...
17064340+3921363	17:06:43.4	+39:21:36.31	10.9	2018 Apr 19	2.03	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.4, 3.1, 4.4, 4.9	645	...

Table 11 continued

Table 11 (continued)

2MASS ID	RA	Dec	m_J	Obs. Date	Seeing	Reduction	Filter(s)	IR Contrast Limits (Δm)	Max Sep.	Comp.
	J2000	J2000	(mag)	(UT)	($''$)	Pipeline		[0.5', 1.0', 2.0', 3.0']	(AU)	Candidate?
17082102+1949492	17:08:21.03	+19:49:49.22	9.77	2019 May 29	...	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.2, 4.7, 6.6, 7.6	331	Y
17101101+4139340	17:10:11.01	+41:39:34.06	9.68	2018 Jun 01	1.95	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 2.8, 4.6, 5.4	364	...
17104456+3231107	17:10:44.56	+32:31:10.74	11.43	2018 Apr 10	1.14	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 3.5, 4.9, 5.1	857	...
17120260+3821022	17:12:02.6	+38:21:02.23	10.82	2018 Apr 19	1.48	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 3.0, 4.3, 4.5	795	...
17121987+2557386 ^(C)	17:12:19.87	-25:57:38.68	11.61	2019 May 29	...	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.1, 4.6, 5.0, 4.6	817	...
17245426+5026327	17:24:54.26	+50:26:32.76	9.76	2018 May 05	...	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 3.6, 5.2, 6.0	386	Y
17302672+3344522	17:30:26.73	+33:44:52.27	9.46	2018 Apr 22	1.37	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 4.0, 5.2, 6.0	213	...
17341216+5332356	17:34:12.17	+53:32:35.62	11.73	2018 May 05	...	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.9, 3.5, 4.4, 4.7	698	...
17353445+6140540	17:35:34.45	+61:40:54.0	6.88	2017 Jun 14	1.29	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.1, 3.1, 5.2, 6.9	117	...
17374869+2257163	17:37:48.7	+22:57:16.38	7.09	2018 May 05	...	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	0.8, 3.4, 5.2, 5.4	187	Y
17401495+5854127	17:40:14.95	+58:54:12.7	10.1	2017 Jun 14	1.0	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 4.0, 5.1, 5.6	308	...
17432677+3442054	17:43:26.78	+34:42:05.5	10.48	2018 Apr 23	1.45	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.7, 3.1, 4.3, 4.5	799	...
17450229+2012222	17:45:02.3	+20:12:22.26	10.16	2019 May 26	...	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	3.3, 4.7, 6.4, 6.7	446	...
17504020+1245313	17:50:40.21	+12:45:31.39	9.63	2019 May 25	...	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	3.2, 4.6, 6.4, 7.5	323	...
17554164+4522165	17:55:41.65	+45:22:16.54	11.12	2018 May 05	...	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 3.0, 4.0, 4.4	697	...
17561046+5656557	17:56:10.46	+56:56:55.74	10.36	2018 May 05	...	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 3.6, 4.3, 4.6	440	...
18094930+1517115	18:09:49.3	+15:17:11.52	10.25	2019 May 26	...	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	2.4, 4.2, 5.8, 7.0	489	...
18123639+1814229	18:12:36.4	+18:14:22.93	10.43	2019 May 26	...	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	3.0, 4.7, 6.7, 6.8	489	...
18130657+2601519	18:13:06.57	+26:01:51.92	8.9	2018 May 05	...	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.2, 3.7, 5.1, 6.9	146	...
18130657+2601519	18:13:06.57	+26:01:51.92	8.9	2019 May 26	...	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.2, 4.6, 6.4, 7.7	105	...
18131339+3623402	18:13:13.39	+36:23:40.3	10.54	2018 Apr 22	1.42	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 3.7, 4.6, 5.2	711	...
18184717+3351188	18:18:47.17	+33:51:18.84	11.16	2018 Apr 22	1.44	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 3.6, 4.6, 5.2	763	...
18211120+3509335	18:21:11.21	+35:09:33.5	11.18	2018 Apr 22	1.56	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 3.2, 5.0, 4.9	772	...
18295024+4032276	18:29:50.25	+40:32:27.66	9.19	2019 Oct 16	1.16	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	2.6, 5.7, 7.5, 6.8	288	Y
18335574+5143094	18:33:55.74	+51:43:09.4	5.6	2017 Jun 14	1.05	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	0.4, 1.3, 3.2, 4.5	135	...
18361922+1336261	18:36:19.22	+13:36:26.1	8.19	2017 Jun 30	1.46	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.3, 4.2, 4.7, 5.7	99	Y
18393839+1623136	18:39:38.39	+16:23:13.6	9.35	2017 Jun 14	1.57	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 3.7, 6.1, 6.4	570	...
18393839+1623136	18:39:38.39	+16:23:13.66	9.35	2019 May 25	...	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	3.1, 4.7, 6.2, 6.1	410	...
18515965+1300034	18:51:59.65	+13:00:03.4	8.35	2017 Jun 14	1.57	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.1, 3.2, 5.4, 6.2	226	...
19032965+4832521	19:03:29.65	+48:32:52.1	9.64	2017 Jun 14	1.07	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.5, 3.6, 6.0, 6.2	435	...
19040488+5100371	19:04:04.88	+51:00:37.1	10.3	2017 Jun 14	0.99	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.6, 3.9, 5.4, 5.5	487	...
19074283+3232396	19:07:42.83	+32:32:39.6	7.24	2017 Jun 13	1.43	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	0.6, 3.1, 5.9, 6.4	68	Y
19185703+4951305	19:18:57.03	+49:51:30.5	9.46	2017 Jun 13	1.45	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	0.9, 3.5, 5.9, 6.6	524	Y
19205158+1903362	19:20:51.58	+19:03:36.2	9.71	2017 Jun 14	1.62	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	0.8, 2.6, 5.1, 5.6	351	Y
19213210+4230520	19:21:32.10	+42:30:52.0	8.62	2017 Jun 13	1.28	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.1, 3.5, 6.3, 6.6	256	...
19260160+2426170	19:26:01.60	+24:26:17.0	9.62	2017 Jun 13	0.97	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	2.1, 4.1, 5.3, 6.3	152	...
19320422+1604149	19:32:04.22	+16:04:14.9	9.5	2017 Jun 14	1.62	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 3.7, 5.4, 5.9	659	...
19501592+3146598	19:50:15.92	+31:46:59.8	9.18	2017 Jun 13	1.53	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.1, 2.3, 5.1, 6.6	272	Y
19535443+4424541	19:53:54.43	+44:24:54.1	7.79	2017 Jun 13	1.49	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	0.6, 3.0, 5.4, 7.0	38	Y

Table 11 continued

Table 11 (continued)

2MASS ID	RA	Dec	m_J	Obs. Date	Seeing	Reduction	Filter(s)	IR Contrast Limits (Δm)	Max Sep.	Comp.
	J2000	J2000	(mag)	(UT)	($''$)	Pipeline		[0.5', 1.0', 2.0', 3.0']	(AU)	Candidate?
20003177+5921289	20:00:31.77	+59:21:28.9	9.64	2017 Nov 03	1.78	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.6, 4.5, 5.5, 6.6	173	...
20024703+0319344	20:02:47.04	+03:19:34.44	5.48	2018 May 07	1.49	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	0.6, 2.5, 4.9, 6.1	104	...
20103444+0632140	20:10:34.45	+06:32:14.01	8.02	2018 May 05	...	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.6, 3.9, 6.6, 7.1	131	...
20163382-0711456	20:16:33.82	-07:11:45.68	8.59	2019 Oct 16	1.23	All frames	<i>i, H</i>	1.7, 3.3, 6.3, 7.1	202	...
20231789+6710096	20:23:17.9	+67:10:09.66	10.07	2018 May 06	2.03	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.7, 1.7, 3.4, 4.6	178	Y
20301067+2650344	20:30:10.67	+26:50:34.4	7.13	2017 Jun 14	1.94	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	-1.9, 4.2, 5.8	167	Y
20381440+2307524	20:38:14.40	+23:07:52.4	9.2	2017 Jun 14	1.4	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	2.6, 2.7, 3.6, 5.0	237	...
20393775+0458192	20:39:37.75	+04:58:19.2	5.51	2017 Nov 03	1.33	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	-1.3, 2.8, 4.5	61	...
20442195+1945006	20:44:21.95	+19:45:00.6	7.36	2017 Jun 14	1.07	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	0.8, 3.4, 5.4, 6.3	157	...
20512890+3104224	20:51:28.90	+31:04:22.4	9.21	2017 Jun 14	1.01	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.4, 3.6, 5.2, 6.3	479	Y
20524093+2357102	20:52:40.93	+23:57:10.25	9.95	2019 May 29	...	All frames	<i>i, H</i>	3.5, 4.9, 6.6, 7.5	382	...
21095739+0321217	21:09:57.4	+03:21:21.77	9.45	2019 Oct 16	1.08	All frames	<i>i, H</i>	2.7, 5.0, 6.0, 4.6	268	Y
21131566+2745500	21:13:15.66	+27:45:50.0	9.26	2017 Jun 14	1.17	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.2, 3.6, 5.4, 5.9	257	...
21133455+0414036	21:13:34.55	+04:14:03.6	8.7	2017 Nov 03	1.38	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.3, 4.2, 5.9, 7.0	566	...
21143076+2905304	21:14:30.77	+29:05:30.43	9.68	2019 Oct 13	...	10% GenSTAC	<i>H</i>	3.5, 5.4, 7.3, 8.3	243	...
21163247+0923376	21:16:32.48	+09:23:37.64	6.07	2018 May 31	1.64	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	-1.2, 3.4, 9.7, 2	132	...
21332890+2212375	21:33:28.9	+22:12:37.52	9.53	2019 Oct 16	1.47	10% GenSTAC	<i>i, H</i>	3.6, 5.8, 7.1, 6.8	185	...
21412662+2043107	21:41:26.63	+20:43:10.74	9.43	2019 Oct 15	1.28	10% GenSTAC	<i>i, H</i>	3.2, 5.5, 7.9, 8.4	210	...
22021026+0124006	22:02:10.26	+01:24:00.6	6.2	2017 Nov 02	1.81	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	0.6, 2.9, 4.3, 5.8	86	...
22060067+3918027	22:06:00.67	+39:18:02.7	8.91	2017 Jun 14	0.99	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.2, 3.6, 5.4, 6.7	167	...
22083922+2655522	22:08:39.22	+26:55:52.2	9.34	2017 Jun 14	1.05	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.4, 3.9, 6.2, 6.5	536	...
22085034+1144131	22:08:50.35	+11:44:13.2	9.9	2018 Jun 01	1.6	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	0.9, 2.7, 4.5, 5.8	303	...
22113008+1825341	22:11:30.08	+18:25:34.1	6.72	2017 Oct 30	1.63	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	0.4, 3.2, 5.1, 6.8	96	...
22143970+2644122	22:14:39.7	+26:44:12.2	11.12	2018 Jun 01	1.98	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.3, 2.6, 4.2, 4.5	760	...
22165942+1823567	22:16:59.42	+18:23:56.7	9.07	2017 Oct 30	1.32	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.5, 3.9, 5.8, 7.2	411	...
22173212+3319453	22:17:32.12	+33:19:45.3	9.82	2017 Jun 14	1.19	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.6, 2.9, 4.4, 5.6	771	Y
22235641+2523362	22:23:56.42	+25:23:36.21	9.6	2018 Jun 01	1.9	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	0.6, 2.6, 4.4, 5.5	457	...
22311528+1709444	22:31:15.28	+17:09:44.4	9.52	2017 Oct 30	1.47	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	2.3, 3.3, 4.1, 3.9	323	...
22311528+1709444	22:31:15.29	+17:09:44.49	9.52	2019 Oct 16	1.12	All frames	<i>i, H</i>	3.4, 4.6, 4.9, 4.8	232	...
22372987+3922519	22:37:29.87	+39:22:51.9	6.64	2017 Jun 13	1.34	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	-2.0, 3.8, 5.3	195	...
22413501+1849277	22:41:35.02	+18:49:27.72	7.88	2019 Oct 13	...	All frames	<i>i, H</i>	2.1, 3.7, 6.4, 7.8	185	...
22413577+2602128	22:41:35.78	+26:02:12.88	9.04	2019 Oct 16	1.21	90% GenSTAC	<i>i, H</i>	3.7, 4.8, 6.2, 7.6	178	...
22434378+1916544	22:43:43.78	+19:16:54.4	9.24	2017 Oct 30	1.42	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.6, 4.5, 5.9, 6.7	299	...
22434378+1916544	22:43:43.78	+19:16:54.47	9.24	2019 Oct 12	0.89	90% GenSTAC	<i>i, H</i>	2.9, 4.1, 5.4, 6.2	215	...
22495622+1744414	22:49:56.22	+17:44:41.4	9.71	2017 Oct 30	1.54	100% GenSTAC	<i>i, J</i>	1.5, 2.8, 4.0, 4.1	627	...
22505380+3603580	22:50:53.80	+36:03:58.0	8.94	2017 Oct 29	1.63	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.8, 3.8, 6.0, 6.7	362	...
23025250+4338157	23:02:52.50	+43:38:15.7	9.32	2017 Oct 29	1.43	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.6, 4.0, 5.7, 6.4	106	...
23074095+0803597	23:07:40.96	+08:03:59.72	9.56	2019 Oct 12	0.85	10% GenSTAC	<i>i, H</i>	3.1, 6.0, 8.6, 8.6	284	Y
23103988+7020144	23:10:39.88	+70:20:14.4	9.53	2017 Nov 03	1.63	All frames	<i>i, J</i>	1.7, 2.7, 4.3, 5.5	367	Y

Table 11 continued

Table 11 (continued)

2MASS ID	RA	Dec	m_J	Obs. Date	Seeing	Reduction	Filter(s)	IR Contrast Limits (Δm)	Max Sep.	Comp.
	J2000	J2000	(mag)	(UT)	($''$)	Pipeline		[0.5'', 1.0'', 2.0'', 3.0'']	(AU)	Candidate?
23113873+4532255	23:11:38.74	+45:32:25.54	9.98	2019 Oct 13	...	10% GenSTAC	<i>i', H</i>	3.3, 5.5, 8.0, 8.8	446	...
23124646+150110	23:12:46.46	+11:50:11.0	9.66	2017 Nov 02	1.27	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 3.8, 4.9, 5.9	459	...
23184358+5003261	23:18:43.58	+50:03:26.1	9.7	2017 Oct 30	1.66	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.7, 4.6, 5.8, 6.7	272	...
23205766-0147373	23:20:57.66	-01:47:37.3	9.36	2017 Nov 06	1.47	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.2, 3.2, 5.0, 6.2	322	...
23264139-1504313	23:26:41.39	-15:04:31.3	9.99	2017 Oct 27	...	All frames	<i>J</i>	3.1, 3.2, 3.5, 3.7	230	Y
23274840+0451241	23:27:48.40	+04:51:24.1	8.16	2017 Nov 03	1.58	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.2, 3.5, 6.0, 6.5	444	...
23350028+0136193	23:35:00.28	+01:36:19.3	6.88	2017 Nov 02	2.08	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	0.4, 1.5, 3.4, 5.4	171	Y
23413302+0824049	23:41:33.02	+08:24:04.9	9.57	2017 Nov 02	1.72	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.4, 2.9, 4.9, 5.5	383	...
23423350+3914234	23:42:33.50	+39:14:23.4	9.64	2017 Oct 28	1.97	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.8, 3.2, 4.6, 5.7	531	...
23450868+3003184	23:45:08.68	+30:03:18.4	9.84	2017 Oct 29	1.56	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.3, 3.6, 4.4, 5.4	277	Y
23474304+1947322	23:47:43.04	+19:47:32.26	9.06	2019 Oct 16	1.68	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	2.7, 4.4, 5.9, 7.0	277	...
23475557+2544176	23:47:55.57	+25:44:17.61	9.91	2019 Oct 16	1.34	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	2.7, 3.7, 5.2, 5.7	227	...
23491501+1005385	23:49:15.01	+10:05:38.5	9.46	2017 Nov 02	1.71	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.5, 3.9, 5.9, 5.9	263	...
23495365+2427493	23:49:53.65	+24:27:49.39	9.9	2019 Oct 16	1.6	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	2.6, 3.3, 4.5, 5.5	267	...
23513366+3127229	23:51:33.67	+31:27:22.98	9.82	2019 Oct 15	1.45	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.9, 4.4, 5.2, 6.4	254	...
23533563+1206167	23:53:35.63	+12:06:16.7	8.67	2017 Nov 02	1.74	All frames	<i>i', J</i>	1.0, 3.4, 5.7, 6.4	304	Y
23582268+2115586	23:58:22.68	+21:15:58.67	9.92	2019 Oct 12	1.27	All frames	<i>i', H</i>	3.8, 5.2, 6.8, 8.0	261	...

(SC) Sco-Cen member