

# The genesis of two-hump, W-shaped and M-shaped soliton propagations of the coupled Schrödinger-Boussinesq equations with conformable derivative

Prakash Kumar Das <sup>a</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Trivenidevi Bhalotia College, Raniganj, Paschim Bardhaman, West Bengal, India-713347

Received: date / Revised version: date

**Abstract.** This work oversees with the coupled Schrödinger-Boussinesq equations with conformable derivative, which have lots of applications in laser and plasma. The said equations are reduced to a coupled stationary form using complex travelling wave transformation. Next Painlevé test applied to derived the integrable cases of the reduced equation, after that using RCAM derived the solution of reduced equations integrable and nonintegrable cases. Few theorems have been presented and proved to ensure their boundedness. All presented boundedness cases have been checked and explained by plotting the solutions for particular values of parameters satisfying them. The obtained solutions of stationary form utilized to derive solutions of the coupled Schrödinger-Boussinesq equations with conformable derivative. The derived solutions have been plotted and explained. From this, it appears that these solutions propagate by maintaining their two-hump, W-shaped, M-shaped solutions shapes.

**Key words.** Coupled Schrödinger-Boussinesq equations – conformable derivative – Exact solution – Boundedness – Painlevé test – W-shaped, M-shaped solitons – Rapidly convergent approximation method

**PACS.** XX.XX.XX No PACS code given

## 1 Introduction

Diverse wave propagations observed in abundant fields of the environment are modelled by non-linear ordinary or partial differential equations (ODEs/PDEs) involving integer or fractional order derivative. Their chaotic features can be explained by the solutions of the equations which describes them. Due to the existence of interaction terms in such equations, it is not always an easy task to derive an exact solution to these equations. Despite that, a vast amount of literature exists for constructing exact travelling wave and soliton solutions [39, 63, 64, 44, 47, 30, 52, 54] and approximation solutions [42, 1, 60, 3, 24, 4, 5, 6, 7, 56, 57, 55, 58, 59] of ODEs and PDEs involving integer derivative. Also there exists few direct methods [37, 46, 25, 53, 34] to derive exact solutions and analytical methods [62, 9, 12, 45, 8, 38, 32, 48] to deal with approximate solutions of nonlinear fractional differential equations. These direct methods often need to guess the syntax of solutions and solve a system of nonlinear algebraic equations. Thus these schemes fail when the choice of a form of solution is not suitable or unable to solve the system of equations. And the above mentioned approximate methods are often observed to have slow convergent rate and always unable to provide the close form of the series solution. To overcome the above-mentioned limitations of those methods we adopt the rapidly convergent approximation method (RCAM) [18, 17, 16, 23, 19, 22, 15, 21, 20]. This current work deals with this scheme to obtained some new solutions for the coupled Schrödinger-Boussinesq systems (SBS) with conformable derivative.

To attained the goal, the paper is organised as follows: in sections 2 and 3 we present the basic properties of conformal derivative and methodology of RCAM to solve a system of ODEs respectively. In section 4 we reduced SBS to coupled ODEs by employing a complex wave transformation. The Painlevé test has been employed to the reduced coupled ODEs and identified its integrable cases in section 5. In section 6, the integrable and nonintegrable cases of the reduced ODEs have been solved by RCAM. Few theorems have been presented to study their boundedness and utilised to plot the stationary form of the solutions. These solutions used to derive explicit solutions of SBS. Furthermore, the

---

Send offprint requests to:

<sup>a</sup> e-mail: prakashdas.das1@gmail.com

main characteristics of these derived solutions are graphically discussed. We summarize our outlook on the present work in section 7.

## 2 Properties of conformable derivative

This portion deals with few definitions and properties of conformable derivative [37,2]:

**Definition 1** *The conformable derivative of a function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of order  $\alpha$  is defined by*

$$T_\alpha(h)(t) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(t + \epsilon t^{1-\alpha}) - h(t)}{\epsilon}, \tag{1}$$

for all  $t > 0, \alpha \in (0, 1)$ . If  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -differentiable in some  $(0, a), a > 0$ , and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} f^{(\alpha)}(t)$  exists, then define  $f^{(\alpha)}(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} f^{(\alpha)}(t)$ .

In the corresponding sections of this paper we replace the notation  $T_\alpha(f)(t)$  by  $f^{(\alpha)}(t)$ , to prevail the conformable derivatives of  $f$  of order  $\alpha$ . Some notable features of conformable derivative are listed below:

If  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , and  $f, g$  be  $\alpha$ -differentiable at a point  $t > 0$  then we have

1.  $T_\alpha(a f + b g) = a T_\alpha(f) + b T_\alpha(g)$ , for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .
2.  $T_\alpha(t^p) = p t^{p-\alpha}$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ .
3.  $T_\alpha(\lambda) = 0$ , for all constant functions  $f(t) = \lambda$ .
4.  $T_\alpha(fg) = f T_\alpha(g) + g T_\alpha(f)$ .
5.  $T_\alpha\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = \frac{g T_\alpha(f) - f T_\alpha(g)}{g^2}$ .
6. if  $f$  is differentiable, then  $T_\alpha(f)(t) = t^{1-\alpha} \frac{df}{dt}(t)$ .

**Theorem 1 (Chain Rule [2,28,27,13])** *Let  $f, g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two differentiable functions and also  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -differentiable, then, one has the following rule:*

$$T_\alpha(fog)(t) = t^{1-\alpha} g'(t) f'(g(t)).$$

## 3 Basic methodology of RCAM

Take into account a system of ODEs

$$\mathcal{X}'' - \mathcal{A}^2 \cdot \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{N}, \tag{2}$$

where  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  are the matrix of dependent variables, constant coefficients and interaction terms respectively, having form

$$\mathcal{X} = \begin{bmatrix} U_1(x) \\ U_2(x) \\ \vdots \\ U_k(x) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and } \mathcal{N} = \begin{bmatrix} N_1(U_1(x), \dots, U_k(x)) \\ N_2(U_1(x), \dots, U_k(x)) \\ \vdots \\ N_k(U_1(x), \dots, U_k(x)) \end{bmatrix}.$$

To solve (2), we remodel it in an exponential matrix operator conformation

$$\mathcal{O}[\mathcal{X}](x) = \mathcal{N}, \tag{3}$$

where linear exponential matrix operator can be recast in the form

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}[\cdot](x) = e^{\mathcal{A} \cdot x} \frac{d}{dx} \left( e^{-2\mathcal{A} \cdot x} \frac{d}{dx} (e^{\mathcal{A} \cdot x} [\cdot]) \right). \tag{4}$$

The inverse operator  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{-1}$  of  $\mathcal{O}[\cdot](x)$  is conferred by

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{-1}[\cdot](x) = e^{-\mathcal{A} \cdot x} \int^x e^{2\mathcal{A} \cdot x'} \int^{x'} e^{-\mathcal{A} \cdot x''} [\cdot] dx'' dx'. \tag{5}$$

Operating  $\mathcal{O}^{-1}$  on  $\mathcal{O}[\mathcal{X}](x)$  and employing integration by parts yields

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{-1} \left( \mathcal{X}'' - \mathcal{A}^2 \cdot \mathcal{X} \right) = \mathcal{X} - \mathcal{C} \cdot e^{\mathcal{A} \cdot x} - \mathcal{D} \cdot e^{-\mathcal{A} \cdot x}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\mathcal{C} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_k \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\mathcal{D} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ \vdots \\ d_k \end{bmatrix}$  are matrices of integration constants. Operating  $\mathcal{O}^{-1}$  on (3) and utilising (6), provides

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C} \cdot e^{\mathcal{A} \cdot x} + \mathcal{D} \cdot e^{-\mathcal{A} \cdot x} + \hat{\mathcal{O}}^{-1}[\mathcal{N}](x), \quad (7)$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $\mathcal{D}$  are three arbitrary constants matrices. To derive the unknown  $\mathcal{X}$  terms in the R.H.S of (7), we recast them in the syntax

$$\mathcal{X} \cong \begin{bmatrix} U_1(x) \\ U_2(x) \\ \vdots \\ U_k(x) \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} U_{1,m}(x) \\ U_{2,m}(x) \\ \vdots \\ U_{k,m}(x) \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

and  $\mathcal{N} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \Delta_m(x)$ , with

$$\Delta_m \cong \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{1,m}(x) \\ \Delta_{2,m}(x) \\ \vdots \\ \Delta_{k,m}(x) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{m!} \left[ \frac{d^m}{d\epsilon^m} \begin{bmatrix} N_1(x) \\ N_2(x) \\ \vdots \\ N_k(x) \end{bmatrix} \right]_{\epsilon=0} \quad (9)$$

and  $N_j(x)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  are given by

$$N_j(x) = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} U_{1,k} \epsilon^k, \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} U_{2,k} \epsilon^k, \dots, \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} U_{k,k} \epsilon^k \right).$$

The terms  $\Delta_{i,m}(x) = \Delta_{i,m}(U_{1,0}(x), U_{1,1}(x), \dots, U_{1,m}(x), \dots, U_{k,0}(x), U_{k,1}(x), \dots, U_{k,m}(x))$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  are Adomian polynomials [3, 24, 4, 5, 6, 7] outturn from the formula (9). Use of (9) in (11) provides

$$\mathcal{X} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 e^{\lambda_1 x} + d_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x} \\ c_2 e^{\lambda_2 x} + d_2 e^{-\lambda_2 x} \\ \vdots \\ c_k e^{\lambda_k x} + d_k e^{-\lambda_k x} \end{bmatrix} + \hat{\mathcal{O}}^{-1} \left[ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{1,m}(x) \\ \Delta_{2,m}(x) \\ \vdots \\ \Delta_{k,m}(x) \end{bmatrix} \right]. \quad (10)$$

We obey the footsteps of [16], to get the higher order iteration terms as

$$\mathcal{X}_0 \cong \begin{bmatrix} U_{1,0}(x) \\ U_{2,0}(x) \\ \vdots \\ U_{k,0}(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 e^{\lambda_1 x} + d_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x} \\ c_2 e^{\lambda_2 x} + d_2 e^{-\lambda_2 x} \\ \vdots \\ c_k e^{\lambda_k x} + d_k e^{-\lambda_k x} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

$$\mathcal{X}_{n+1} \cong \begin{bmatrix} U_{1,n+1}(x) \\ U_{2,n+1}(x) \\ \vdots \\ U_{k,n+1}(x) \end{bmatrix} = \hat{\mathcal{O}}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{1,n}(x) \\ \Delta_{2,n}(x) \\ \vdots \\ \Delta_{k,n}(x) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

$n \geq 0$ . In case  $\lambda_i > 0$ , treatment of the vanishing boundary condition  $U_i(\infty) = 0$  in (10) for the localized solution leads us to  $c_i = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Therefore the leading and higher order iteration terms of the series solution are

produced by (12) and

$$\mathcal{X}_0 \cong \begin{bmatrix} U_{1,0}(x) \\ U_{2,0}(x) \\ \vdots \\ U_{k,0}(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x} \\ d_2 e^{-\lambda_2 x} \\ \vdots \\ d_k e^{-\lambda_k x} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{13}$$

Further to obtain the localized solution in case  $\lambda_i < 0$ , for the boundary condition  $U_i(-\infty) = 0$ , we go ahead with restraining the term involving  $e^{\lambda_i x}$ . In this case, the commanding and subsequent terms of the solution are yields by (12) with

$$\mathcal{X}_0 \cong \begin{bmatrix} U_{1,0}(x) \\ U_{2,0}(x) \\ \vdots \\ U_{k,0}(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 e^{\lambda_1 x} \\ c_2 e^{\lambda_2 x} \\ \vdots \\ c_k e^{\lambda_k x} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{14}$$

One can easily obtain the iterative terms and the general term of the series (or generating function) by taking advantage of symbolic software. That leads to the exact solution of the discussed system of ODEs.

### 4 Solution of SBS equations

Consider the generalized Schrödinger-Boussinesq system (SBS) [40,11,14] in the form

$$\begin{aligned} i \left( \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} + \delta_1 \frac{\partial E}{\partial x} \right) + \delta_2 \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial x^2} &= \delta_3 N E, \\ \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial t^2} + \mu_1 \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial x^2} + \mu_2 \frac{\partial^4 N}{\partial x^4} + \mu_3 \frac{\partial^2 N^2}{\partial x^2} &= \mu_4 \frac{\partial^2 |E|^2}{\partial x^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where  $E(x, t)$  is complex wave field,  $N(x, t)$  is real wave field,  $\delta_i, i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $\mu_j, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  are arbitrary (real) parameters. The SBS studied in stationary propagation of coupled nonlinear magnetosonic waves and upper-hybrid in amagnetized plasmas [49,11], in the field of laser and plasma it describes the interaction of long waves with short wave packets in nonlinear dispersive media [41], it plays important role in diatomic lattice system [61], and Langmuir soliton formation [50,51]. Several researchers applied Lie point symmetry [50], Painlevé Analysis and Backlund transformations [14] finite difference schemes [40], simplest equation method [43], extended trial equation method [31], and direct algebraic method [26,33,29] to study its properties and solutions.

In this work, we consider the coupled Schrödinger-Boussinesq systems (SBS) with conformable derivative

$$\begin{aligned} i \left( E_t^{(\beta)} + \delta_1 E_x^{(\alpha)} \right) + \delta_2 E_{xx}^{(\alpha)} &= \delta_3 N E, \\ N_{tt}^{(\beta)} + \mu_1 N_{xx}^{(\alpha)} + \mu_2 N_{xxxx}^{(\alpha)} + \mu_3 (N^2)_{xx}^{(\alpha)} &= \mu_4 (|E|^2)_{xx}^{(\alpha)}, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where  $f_*^{(\alpha)}$  and  $f_*^{(\beta)}$  represents  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  order conformal derivative of  $f$  with respect to suffix variables respectively. Here our aim is to study its integrability and derive its new stationary different shaped exact solutions by employing RCAM. To attain the target we impose the travelling wave transformation [35,27,13]

$$\begin{aligned} E(x, t) &= u(\xi) e^{i\eta}, \quad \eta = k_1 \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha} + k_2 \frac{t^\beta}{\beta} + c_0, \\ N(x, t) &= v(\xi), \quad \xi = k_3 \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha} + c \frac{t^\beta}{\beta} + \xi_0, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

to (16) and equating real and imaginary parts we get

$$\begin{aligned} c + k_3 \delta_1 + 2k_1 k_3 \delta_2 &= 0, \\ k_3^2 \delta_2 u'' - (k_2 + k_1 \delta_1 + k_1^2 \delta_2)u - \delta_3 u v &= 0, \\ k_3^4 \mu_2 v^{(4)} + (c^2 + \mu_1 k_3^2)v'' - 2k_3^2 \mu_4 (uu')' + 2k_3^2 \mu_3 (vv')' &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Integrating the last equation of the system twice and taking integration constant zero, reduces system (18) to

$$\begin{aligned} u''(\xi) - \lambda_1^2 u(\xi) &= \alpha_1 u(\xi) v(\xi), \\ v''(\xi) - \lambda_2^2 v(\xi) &= \beta_1 u(\xi)^2 + \gamma_1 v(\xi)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

for the values of the parameters

$$\begin{aligned} c &= -k_3(\delta_1 + 2k_1 \delta_2), \quad \alpha_1 = \frac{\delta_3}{\delta_2 k_3^2}, \quad \beta_1 = \frac{\mu_4}{\mu_2 k_3^2}, \quad \gamma_1 = -\frac{\mu_3}{\mu_2 k_3^2}, \\ \lambda_1^2 &= \frac{k_1(\delta_1 + \delta_2 k_1) + k_2}{\delta_2 k_3^2}, \quad \lambda_2^2 = -\frac{\delta_1^2 + 4\delta_1 \delta_2 k_1 + 4k_1^2 \delta_2^2 + \mu_1}{\mu_2 k_3^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Here  $u(\xi)$  and  $v(\xi)$  are real fields,  $\xi$  is the (real) independent variable and all the other remaining quantities are free parameters. It is important to note here that the equations are invariant under the transformations (i)  $\xi \rightarrow -\xi$  (ii)  $u \rightarrow -u$ , and (iii)  $\xi \rightarrow \xi + C$ , where  $C$  is a constant.

## 5 The Painlevé test of Eq.(19)

The existence of solutions is a necessary condition of integrability, but it is not sufficient. To confirm the integrability other tests such as the Lax pair or the Painlevé test should be used for the proposed model. The Painlevé analysis is a powerful scheme to check the integrability of a system. Here we apply this important tools Mathematica package PainleveTest.m [10] to examine the integrability of equation (19). Application of the package yields the resonances of the considered equation as

$$-1; 6; -\frac{\sqrt{-\alpha_1(23\alpha_1 - 48\gamma_1)} - 5\alpha_1}{2\alpha_1}; \frac{\sqrt{-\alpha_1(23\alpha_1 - 48\gamma_1)} + 5\alpha_1}{2\alpha_1}.$$

It can be checked that for resonances  $-1; 6$ , this model (19) fails the Painlevé test, and the remaining two resonances are symbolic so we can not proceed further. To move forward we assume that these symbolic resonances are equal to positive integer  $k$  (say), which yields

$$\pm \frac{\sqrt{-\alpha_1(23\alpha_1 - 48\gamma_1)} \pm 5\alpha_1}{2\alpha_1} = k \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma_1 = \frac{1}{12} (12 + k^2 - 5k) \alpha_1.$$

Subsequent, setting different positive integer values for  $k$  and using the same Mathematica package, we get the following two integrable cases;

1. For  $k = 8$  compatibility condition is  $\gamma_1 = 3\alpha_1$ .
2. For  $k = 2$  or  $3$  compatibility condition is  $\gamma_1 = \frac{\alpha_1}{2}$ ,  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ .

## 6 Solution of SBS to some special integrable and nontegrable cases by RCAM

In this section we solve above presented integrable and one nonintegrable cases of (19) (or (16)) by RCAM.

### 6.1 Case-I $\gamma_1 = 3\alpha_1$

In this case, applying RCAM we get following correction terms

$$\begin{cases} u_0(\xi) = u_- e^{\lambda_1 \xi}, \\ v_0(\xi) = v_- e^{\lambda_2 \xi} \\ u_1(\xi) = \frac{\alpha_1 u_- v_- e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \xi}}{\lambda_2 (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}, \\ v_1(\xi) = \frac{\beta_1 u_-^2 e^{2\lambda_1 \xi}}{4\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2} + \frac{\alpha_1 v_-^2 e^{2\lambda_2 \xi}}{\lambda_2^2} \\ u_2(\xi) = \frac{\alpha_1 u_- e^{\lambda_1 \xi} (\beta_1 \lambda_2^3 u_-^2 e^{2\lambda_1 \xi} - 4\alpha_1 \lambda_1^2 (\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_1) v_-^2 e^{2\lambda_2 \xi})}{32\lambda_1^4 \lambda_2^3 - 8\lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^5}, \\ v_2(\xi) = \frac{\alpha_1 v_- e^{\lambda_2 \xi} (3\alpha_1 \lambda_1 (\lambda_2^2 - 4\lambda_1^2) v_-^2 e^{2\lambda_2 \xi} - 4\beta_1 \lambda_2^3 u_-^2 e^{2\lambda_1 \xi})}{4\lambda_1 \lambda_2^4 (\lambda_2^2 - 4\lambda_1^2)}, \end{cases}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_3(\xi) = \frac{\alpha_1^2 u_- v_- e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\xi} (\beta_1 \lambda_2^4 (6\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) u_-^2 e^{2\lambda_1 \xi} - 2\alpha_1 \lambda_1^2 (\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_1) (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 v_-^2 e^{2\lambda_2 \xi})}{8\lambda_1^2 (2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \lambda_2^5 (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^3}, \\ v_3(\xi) = \frac{\alpha_1 \left[ \beta_1^2 \lambda_2^6 u_-^4 (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) e^{4\lambda_1 \xi} - 8\alpha_1 \beta_1 \lambda_1 (\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_1) (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 \lambda_2^3 u_-^2 \right.}{\left. \times v_-^2 e^{2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\xi} + 2\alpha_1^2 \lambda_1^2 (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) (\lambda_2^2 - 4\lambda_1^2)^2 v_-^4 e^{4\lambda_2 \xi} \right]}{\left[ 4\lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^6 (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) (\lambda_2^2 - 4\lambda_1^2)^2 \right]} \\ \vdots \end{array} \right.$$

therein  $u_-$  and  $v_-$  are integration constants. Likewise, other higher order correction terms can be calculated using symbolic computations available in software packages Mathematica and Maple. Moreover, after calculating ten or higher order iteration terms the said software packages can easily provide the generating functions (or general term) of the iteration terms. Hither we have acquired the subsequent generating functions

$$\begin{aligned} u(\xi, \epsilon) &= \left\{ 8\lambda_1^2 (2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 u_- e^{\lambda_1 \xi} (2(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \lambda_2^2 + \alpha_1 (\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_1)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times v_- \epsilon e^{\lambda_2 \xi} \right\} / Q(\xi, \epsilon), \\ v(\xi, \epsilon) &= 4(2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 \epsilon \left\{ \alpha_1^2 \beta_1^2 (2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \lambda_2^4 u_-^4 v_- \epsilon^4 e^{(4\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\xi} \right. \\ &\quad + 16\beta_1 \lambda_1^2 u_-^2 \epsilon (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) e^{2\lambda_1 \xi} (4\lambda_1^2 (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 \lambda_2^4 + \alpha_1^2 \lambda_1^2 v_-^2 \epsilon^2 \\ &\quad \times (\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_1)^2 e^{2\lambda_2 \xi} - \alpha_1 (\lambda_2^3 - 4\lambda_1^2 \lambda_2)^2 v_- \epsilon e^{\lambda_2 \xi}) - 64\lambda_1^4 (\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_1) \\ &\quad \left. \times (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^4 \lambda_2^4 v_- e^{\lambda_2 \xi} \right\} / Q(\xi, \epsilon)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\xi, \epsilon) &= \alpha_1 \beta_1 u_-^2 \epsilon^2 e^{2\lambda_1 \xi} \left\{ \alpha_1 (\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_1)^2 v_- \epsilon e^{\lambda_2 \xi} - 2\lambda_2^2 (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 \right\} \\ &\quad + 8\lambda_1^2 (2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^3 (2\lambda_2^2 - \alpha_1 v_- \epsilon e^{\lambda_2 \xi}). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Ergo, the exact solution can be derived by setting  $\epsilon = 1$  in (21)-(22) as

$$\begin{aligned} u(\xi) &= \left\{ 8\lambda_1^2 (2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 u_- e^{\lambda_1 \xi} (2(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \lambda_2^2 + \alpha_1 (\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_1)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times v_- e^{\lambda_2 \xi} \right\} / Q(\xi), \\ v(\xi) &= 4(2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 \left\{ \alpha_1^2 \beta_1^2 (2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \lambda_2^4 u_-^4 v_- e^{(4\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\xi} + 16\beta_1 \lambda_1^2 \right. \\ &\quad \times u_-^2 (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) e^{2\lambda_1 \xi} (4\lambda_1^2 (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 \lambda_2^4 + \alpha_1^2 \lambda_1^2 (\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_1)^2 v_-^2 e^{2\lambda_2 \xi} \\ &\quad \left. - \alpha_1 (\lambda_2^3 - 4\lambda_1^2 \lambda_2)^2 v_- e^{\lambda_2 \xi}) - 64\lambda_1^4 (\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_1) (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^4 \lambda_2^4 v_- e^{\lambda_2 \xi} \right\} \\ &\quad / Q(\xi)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\xi) &= \alpha_1 \beta_1 u_-^2 e^{2\lambda_1 \xi} \left\{ \alpha_1 (\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_1)^2 v_- e^{\lambda_2 \xi} - 2\lambda_2^2 (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 \right\} + 8\lambda_1^2 \\ &\quad \times (2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^3 (2\lambda_2^2 - \alpha_1 v_- e^{\lambda_2 \xi}). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Due to the existence of many free parameters in the solution (23)-(24), it is always not bounded ( for all values of these free parameters). To study physical relevant properties modelled by these equations, one always need to derive a bounded solution. To ensure the boundedness of this derived solution, below a theorem, have been presented.

**Theorem 2** *The solution (23)-(24) will be bounded if parameters  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ ,  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$  involved in the equation and integration constants  $u_-$ ,  $v_-$  satisfy any one of the conditions given in the table 1.*

*Proof* Solution (23)-(24) have a common factor in the denominator, which under transformation  $e^\xi = Z$ , reduces to a generalized Dirichlet polynomial [36]

$$Pol(Z) = a_1 Z^{2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} + a_2 Z^{2\lambda_1} + a_3 Z^{\lambda_2} + a_4,$$

Table 1: Boundedness conditions of theorem 2.

Case	Con. No.	$\lambda_2$	$\alpha_1$	$\beta_1$	$u_-$	$v_-$
$\lambda_1 < \frac{\lambda_2}{2} < 0$	1(a)	-	+	-	-	-
	1(b)	-	-	+	-	+
	1(c)	-	+	-	+	-
	1(d)	-	-	+	+	+
$\lambda_1 > -\frac{\lambda_2}{2} > 0$	2(a)	-	+	-	-	-
	2(b)	-	-	+	-	+
	2(c)	-	+	-	+	-
	2(d)	-	-	+	+	+
$\lambda_1 < -\frac{\lambda_2}{2} < 0$	3(a)	+	+	-	-	-
	3(b)	+	-	+	-	+
	3(c)	+	+	-	+	-
	3(d)	+	-	+	+	+
$\lambda_1 > \frac{\lambda_2}{2} > 0$	4(a)	+	+	-	-	-
	4(b)	+	-	+	-	+
	4(c)	+	+	-	+	-
	4(d)	+	-	+	+	+
$\frac{\lambda_2}{2} < \lambda_1 < 0$	5(a)	-	+	+	-	-
	5(b)	-	-	-	-	+
	5(c)	-	+	+	+	-
	5(d)	-	-	-	+	+
$0 < \lambda_1 < -\frac{\lambda_2}{2}$	6(a)	-	+	+	-	-
	6(b)	-	-	-	-	+
	6(c)	-	+	+	+	-
	6(d)	-	-	-	+	+
$-\frac{\lambda_2}{2} < \lambda_1 < 0$	7(a)	+	+	+	-	-
	7(b)	+	-	-	-	+
	7(c)	+	+	+	+	-
	7(d)	+	-	-	+	+
$0 < \lambda_1 < \frac{\lambda_2}{2}$	8(a)	+	+	+	-	-
	8(b)	+	-	-	-	+
	8(c)	+	+	+	+	-
	8(d)	+	-	-	+	+

where

$$a_1 = \alpha_1^2 \beta_1 (\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_1)^2 u_-^2 v_-, \quad a_2 = -2\alpha_1 \beta_1 \lambda_2^2 (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 u_-^2,$$

$$a_3 = -8\alpha_1 \lambda_1^2 (2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^3 v_-, \quad a_4 = 16\lambda_1^2 (2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \lambda_2^2 (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^3.$$

Solution (23)-(24) is unbounded if there exists at least one real positive root. So the boundedness of the solution is ensured by the conditions that the polynomial never have positive real root. Such conditions can be provided by Descartes' rule of signs [36]. Which states that the polynomial  $Pol(Z)$  does not contain any positive real root if its coefficients never changes their signs. That leads us to the condition that either all  $a_i > 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) or all  $a_i < 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ). Restrictions  $a_i > 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) yields the condition 1.(a)-4.(d) of the theorem, whereas remaining conditions provided by  $a_i < 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ). That completes the prove of the theorem.

Next, we are interested in establishing the conditions presented in the above theorem and study the features of the solution (23)-(24). For that, we have taken particular values for different parameter satisfying the conditions of the theorem in table 2 and utilising them to plot the solution shown in figure 1. From the 2D plots, it is clear that the solution is enriched with several one-hump, two-hump, W-shape, M-shape soliton like features.

### Solution-I

Table 2: Particular values of parameters satisfying conditions presented in Theorem 2 used in Fig. 1.

$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	$\alpha_1$	$\beta_1$	$u_-$	$v_-$	Figure
-.3	-.5	1.9	-.5	-.5	-.6	1(a)
-.29	-.5	-.19	.5	-.5	.6	1(b)
-2.9	-.5	.19	-.5	.5	-.6	1(c)
-2.9	-.5	-19	5	5	6	1(d)
2.9	-.5	19	-.15	-.5	-2	2(a)
2.9	-.5	-19	.15	-.5	6	2(b)
.29	-.5	1.9	-.5	5	-6	2(c)
.29	-.5	-19	.15	5	6	2(d)
-.26	.5	19	-.15	-.5	-6	3(a)
-.28	.5	-19	.15	-.5	6	3(b)
-.6	.5	1.9	-1.5	5	6	3(c)
-.06	.1	-1.9	1.5	5	6	3(d)
.27	.5	19	-.15	-.5	-6	4(a)
.27	.5	-19	.15	-.5	6	4(b)
.4	.5	19	-.15	5	-1.6	4(c)
.51	.5	-7	1.5	5	6	4(d)
-.2	-.5	1.9	.5	-.5	-.6	5(a)
-.09	-.2	-1.9	-.5	-.5	.6	5(b)
-.05	-.2	.9	.5	.5	-.6	5(c)
-.09	-.2	-.9	-.5	.5	.6	5(d)
.05	-.2	1.9	1.5	-.01	-.6	6(a)
.05	-.2	-1.9	-1.5	-.1	.01	6(b)
.04	-.2	1.9	1.5	.1	-.01	6(c)
.06	-.2	-1.9	-1.5	.1	.01	6(d)
-.09	.2	1.9	1.5	-.1	-10.1	7(a)
-.03	.2	-1.9	-1.5	-.1	10.1	7(b)
-.07	.2	1.2	1.1	.3	-9	7(c)
-.11	.4	-1	-3	7	4	7(d)
.04	.1	.9	1	-.7	-8	8(a)
.03	.1	-.9	-1	-.7	7	8(b)
.2	.5	8	.7	6	-7	8(c)
.12	.4	-8	-.7	6	7	8(d)

So in this case solution of (16) can be obtained from (23)-(24) with (17) and (20) in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1(x, t) &= \left\{ 8\lambda_1^2 (2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 u_- e^{\lambda_1 \xi} (2(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \lambda_2^2 + \alpha_1 (\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_1)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times v_- e^{\lambda_2 \xi} \right\} / Q(\xi) e^{i(k_1 \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha} + k_2 \frac{t^\beta}{\beta} + c_0)}, \\
N_1(x, t) &= 4(2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 \left\{ \alpha_1^2 \beta_1^2 (2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \lambda_2^4 u_-^4 v_- e^{(4\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\xi} \right. \\
&\quad + 16\beta_1 \lambda_1^2 u_-^2 (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) e^{2\lambda_1 \xi} \left( 4\lambda_1^2 (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 \lambda_2^4 + \alpha_1^2 \lambda_1^2 (\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_1)^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times v_-^2 e^{2\lambda_2 \xi} - \alpha_1 (\lambda_2^3 - 4\lambda_1^2 \lambda_2)^2 v_- e^{\lambda_2 \xi} \right) - 64\lambda_1^4 (\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_1) (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^4 \\
&\quad \left. \times \lambda_2^4 v_- e^{\lambda_2 \xi} \right\} / Q(\xi)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(\xi) &= \alpha_1 \beta_1 u_-^2 e^{2\lambda_1 \xi} \left\{ \alpha_1 (\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_1)^2 v_- e^{\lambda_2 \xi} - 2\lambda_2^2 (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 \right\} + 8\lambda_1^2 \\
&\quad \times (2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^3 (2\lambda_2^2 - \alpha_1 v_- e^{\lambda_2 \xi}), \quad \xi = k_3 \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha} + c \frac{t^\beta}{\beta} + \xi_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$



This solution exists provided

$$\delta_3 = -\frac{\delta_2 \mu_3}{3\mu_2}, \quad \frac{k_1(\delta_1 + \delta_2 k_1) + k_2}{\delta_2 k_3^2} > 0, \quad \text{and} \\ -\frac{\delta_1^2 + 4\delta_2 \delta_1 k_1 + 4\delta_2^2 k_1^2 + \mu_1}{\mu_2 k_3^2} > 0, \quad (27)$$

which are yield from the integrability condition  $\gamma_1 = 3\alpha_1$  and the requirement that  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are real. The boundedness of solution (25)-(27) can be easily ensured by theorem 2 with the conditions (20). Utilising one of such conditions the solution (25)-(27) has been plotted in figure 2. From the Fig. 1, on the (x,t)-plane, it is clear that absolute value of solution  $E_1$  represents W-shaped soliton wave, real and imaginary parts of  $E_1$  of the wave solution represent the Akhmediev breather (AB) wave, which can evolve periodically along a certain angle with the t axis and component  $N_1$  propagate with W-shaped soliton wave.

## 6.2 Case-II $\alpha_1 = 2\gamma_1, \quad \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$ (say)

In this case, RCAM gives the following correction terms

$$\begin{cases} u_0(\xi) = u_- e^{-\lambda \xi}, \\ v_0(\xi) = v_- e^{-\lambda \xi}, \\ u_1(\xi) = \frac{2\gamma_1 u_- v_- e^{-2\lambda \xi}}{3\lambda^2}, \\ v_1(\xi) = \frac{e^{-2\lambda \xi}(\beta_1 u_-^2 + \gamma_1 v_-^2)}{3\lambda^2}, \\ u_2(\xi) = \frac{\gamma_1 u_- e^{-3\lambda \xi}(\beta_1 u_-^2 + 3\gamma_1 v_-^2)}{12\lambda^4}, \\ v_2(\xi) = \frac{\gamma_1 v_- e^{-3\lambda \xi}(3\beta_1 u_-^2 + \gamma_1 v_-^2)}{12\lambda^4}, \\ u_3(\xi) = \frac{2\gamma_1^2 u_- v_- e^{-4\lambda \xi}(\beta_1 u_-^2 + \gamma_1 v_-^2)}{27\lambda^6}, \\ v_3(\xi) = \frac{\gamma_1 e^{-4\lambda \xi}(\beta_1^2 u_-^4 + 6\beta_1 \gamma_1 u_-^2 v_-^2 + \gamma_1^2 v_-^4)}{54\lambda^6}, \\ \vdots \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_m(\xi) = \frac{(m+1)e^{-\lambda \xi}}{2^{m+1}3^m \sqrt{\beta_1}} \left[ (\sqrt{\beta_1}u_- - \sqrt{\gamma_1}v_-) \left[ \frac{e^{-\lambda \xi}(\gamma_1 v_- - \sqrt{\beta_1} \sqrt{\gamma_1} u_-)}{\lambda^2} \right]^m \right. \\ \left. + (\sqrt{\beta_1}u_- + \sqrt{\gamma_1}v_-) \left[ \frac{e^{-\lambda \xi}(\sqrt{\beta_1} \sqrt{\gamma_1} u_- + \gamma_1 v_-)}{\lambda^2} \right]^m \right], \\ v_m(\xi) = \frac{(m+1)e^{-\lambda \xi}}{2^{m+1}3^m \sqrt{\gamma_1}} \left[ (\sqrt{\gamma_1}v_- - \sqrt{\beta_1}u_-) \left[ \frac{e^{-\lambda \xi}(\gamma_1 v_- - \sqrt{\beta_1} \sqrt{\gamma_1} u_-)}{\lambda^2} \right]^m \right. \\ \left. + (\sqrt{\beta_1}u_- + \sqrt{\gamma_1}v_-) \left[ \frac{e^{-\lambda \xi}(\sqrt{\beta_1} \sqrt{\gamma_1} u_- + \gamma_1 v_-)}{\lambda^2} \right]^m \right], \end{array} \right. \\ \vdots \end{cases}$$

where  $u_-$  and  $v_-$  are integration constants. Summing the above series terms one can obtain close form solution of (19) in the form

$$\begin{cases} u(\xi) = \frac{36\lambda^4 u_- e^{\lambda \xi} (36\lambda^4 e^{2\lambda \xi} + \beta_1 \gamma_1 u_-^2 - \gamma_1^2 v_-^2)}{(36\lambda^4 e^{2\lambda \xi} - \beta_1 \gamma_1 u_-^2 + \gamma_1^2 v_-^2 - 12\gamma_1 \lambda^2 v_- e^{\lambda \xi})^2}, \\ v(\xi) = \frac{36\lambda^4 e^{\lambda \xi} (12\beta_1 \lambda^2 u_-^2 e^{\lambda \xi} - \beta_1 \gamma_1 u_-^2 v_- + \gamma_1^2 v_-^3 - 12\gamma_1 \lambda^2 v_-^2 e^{\lambda \xi} + 36\lambda^4 v_- e^{2\lambda \xi})}{(36\lambda^4 e^{2\lambda \xi} - \beta_1 \gamma_1 u_-^2 + \gamma_1^2 v_-^2 - 12\gamma_1 \lambda^2 v_- e^{\lambda \xi})^2}. \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

In the following, a theorem has been proposed and proved to ensure the boundedness of this derived solution.

**Theorem 3** *The solution (28) will be bounded if real parameters  $\lambda, \beta_1, \gamma_1$  present in the equation and integration constants  $v_-, u_-$  involved in solution satisfies any one of the following conditions*

Ia.  $\beta_1 > 0$  &  $\gamma_1 < 0$

Ib.  $\beta_1 < 0$  &  $\gamma_1 > 0$

IIa.  $\beta_1 > 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 > 0$ ,  $v_- < 0$  &  $-\sqrt{\frac{\gamma_1 v_-^2}{\beta_1}} < u_- < \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_1 v_-^2}{\beta_1}}$

IIb.  $\beta_1 < 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 < 0$ ,  $v_- > 0$  &  $-\sqrt{\frac{\gamma_1 v_-^2}{\beta_1}} < u_- < \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_1 v_-^2}{\beta_1}}$

*Proof* The solution (23) components have common denominator given by the cubic polynomial

$$Pol(Z) = -\beta_1 \gamma_1 u_-^2 + \gamma_1^2 v_-^2 - 12\gamma_1 \lambda^2 v_- Z + 36\lambda^4 Z^2,$$

where  $Z = \exp(\lambda \xi)$ . Solution (28) is bounded if the roots of the polynomial are either negative real or complex. In renaming cases they are unbounded. So boundedness of solution demands that roots of  $Pol(Z)$  have to be negative real, complex, or both. Roots of the above polynomial are given by

$$Z_{\pm} = \frac{\gamma_1 v_- \pm \sqrt{\beta_1} \sqrt{\gamma_1} u_-}{6\lambda^2}$$

Roots  $Z_{\pm}$  will be complex if  $\beta_1 \gamma_1 < 0$ , which provides boundedness conditions Ia & Ib of the theorem. Remaining boundedness conditions can be obtained when  $Z_{\pm}$  are negative real. Now  $Z_-$  will be negative real if parameters satisfy the relations

- I.  $\beta_1 > 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 > 0$  &  $(\gamma_1 v_- - \sqrt{\beta_1} \sqrt{\gamma_1} u_-) < 0$
- II.  $\beta_1 < 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 < 0$  &  $(\gamma_1 v_- - \sqrt{\beta_1} \sqrt{\gamma_1} u_-) < 0$ .

Which further can be put in the forms

- I.a.  $\beta_1 > 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 > 0$ ,  $v_- \leq 0$ , &  $u_- > -\sqrt{\frac{\gamma_1 v_-^2}{\beta_1}}$
- I.b.  $\beta_1 > 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 > 0$ ,  $v_- > 0$ , &  $u_- > \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_1 v_-^2}{\beta_1}}$
- II.a.  $\beta_1 < 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 < 0$ ,  $v_- > 0$ , &  $u_- > -\sqrt{\frac{\gamma_1 v_-^2}{\beta_1}}$
- II.b.  $\beta_1 < 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 < 0$ ,  $v_- \leq 0$ , &  $u_- > \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_1 v_-^2}{\beta_1}}$ .

Now  $Z_+$  will be negative real if parameters satisfy the relations

1.  $\beta_1 > 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 > 0$  &  $(\gamma_1 v_- + \sqrt{\beta_1} \sqrt{\gamma_1} u_-) < 0$
2.  $\beta_1 < 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 < 0$  &  $(\gamma_1 v_- + \sqrt{\beta_1} \sqrt{\gamma_1} u_-) < 0$

Which further can be recast in the forms

- 1.a.  $\beta_1 > 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 > 0$ ,  $v_- > 0$  &  $u_- < -\sqrt{\frac{\gamma_1 v_-^2}{\beta_1}}$
- 1.b.  $\beta_1 > 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 > 0$ ,  $v_- \leq 0$  &  $u_- < \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_1 v_-^2}{\beta_1}}$
- 2.a.  $\beta_1 < 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 < 0$ ,  $v_- \leq 0$ , &  $u_- < -\sqrt{\frac{\gamma_1 v_-^2}{\beta_1}}$
- 2.b.  $\beta_1 < 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 < 0$ ,  $v_- > 0$ , &  $u_- < \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_1 v_-^2}{\beta_1}}$ .

Now combining negative real  $Z_{\pm}$  conditions, we get the valid two common regions summarised in conditions IIa. & IIb. of the theorem. Hence the theorem is proved.

Next to establishing the conditions presented in the above theorem and study the features of the solution (28), we have taken particular values of different parameters satisfying the conditions of the theorem in table 3 and utilising them to plot the solution in figure 3. From the 2D plots, it is clear that the solution is enriched with several two-hump, W-shape, M-shape soliton-like profile.

### Solution-II

So in this case solution of (16) can be obtained from (28) with (17) and (20) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} E_2(x, t) &= \frac{36\lambda^4 u_- e^{\lambda \xi} (36\lambda^4 e^{2\lambda \xi} + \beta_1 \gamma_1 u_-^2 - \gamma_1^2 v_-^2)}{(36\lambda^4 e^{2\lambda \xi} - \beta_1 \gamma_1 u_-^2 + \gamma_1^2 v_-^2 - 12\gamma_1 \lambda^2 v_- e^{\lambda \xi})^2} e^{i(k_1 \frac{x}{\alpha} + k_2 \frac{t}{\beta} + c_0)}, \\ N_2(x, t) &= 36\lambda^4 e^{\lambda \xi} [12\beta_1 \lambda^2 u_-^2 e^{\lambda \xi} - \beta_1 \gamma_1 u_-^2 v_- + \gamma_1^2 v_-^3 - 12\gamma_1 \lambda^2 v_-^2 e^{\lambda \xi} \\ &\quad + 36\lambda^4 v_- e^{2\lambda \xi}] / [36\lambda^4 e^{2\lambda \xi} - \beta_1 \gamma_1 u_-^2 + \gamma_1^2 v_-^2 - 12\gamma_1 \lambda^2 v_- e^{\lambda \xi}]^2, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Table 3: Particular values of parameters satisfying conditions presented in Theorem 3 &amp; 4, used in Fig. 3.

$\lambda$	$\alpha_1$	$\beta_1$	$\gamma_1$	$u_-$	$v_-$	Fig.
.3		30	-20	2	-15	Ia
.3		-30	20	-2	15	Ib
.3		30	20	2.44	-3	IIa
.3		-30	-20	18.7	-3	IIb
.8	.1	30	-.01	-2		IIIa
.8	1	-3	3	-2		IIIb
1	-.1	.3	-3	2		IIIc
1	-1	-3	3	2		IIId

where  $\xi = k_3 \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha} + c \frac{t^\beta}{\beta} + \xi_0$ . This solution exist provided

$$\delta_3 = -\frac{2\delta_2\mu_3}{\mu_2}, \quad k_2 = -\frac{\delta_2\delta_1^2 + \delta_1k_1(4\delta_2^2 + \mu_2) + \delta_2(k_1^2(4\delta_2^2 + \mu_2) + \mu_1)}{\mu_2}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$-\frac{\delta_1^2 + 4\delta_2\delta_1k_1 + 4\delta_2^2k_1^2 + \mu_1}{\mu_2 k_3^2} > 0, \quad (30)$$

which are derived using the integrability condition  $\alpha_1 = 2\gamma_1$ ,  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$  and demand that  $\lambda$  is real.

The boundedness of solution (29)-(30) can be easily ensured by theorem 3 with the conditions (20). Using one among those conditions solution (29)-(30) is plotted in figure 3. Fig. 3 shows that the absolute value of solution  $E_2$  have M-shaped soliton profile, whereas real and imaginary parts of  $E_2$  of the wave solution constitute the Akhmediev breather (AB) wave, the wave is not the space-periodic breather but the time-periodic breather and component  $N_2$  propagate with keeping M-shaped form soliton wave. In the previous cases, we have derived exact solutions of SBS by eliminating one or more free parameters using compatibility conditions of the Painlevé test. Then it is of natural curiosity to explore whether it is possible to obtain an exact solution of the equations without using compatibility conditions (nonintegrable case) containing all parameters involved in the equation? To answer that here we consider a nonintegrable case  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ . To solve the considered system of equations rather eliminating any other additional parameters, we eliminate one integration constant.

### 6.3 Case-III $v_- = \frac{\sqrt{\beta_1}u_-}{\sqrt{\alpha_1-\gamma_1}}$ , $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$ (say)

In this case, RCAM gives the following correction terms

$$\begin{cases} u_0(\xi) = u_- e^{-\lambda \xi}, \\ v_0(\xi) = \frac{\sqrt{\beta_1} u_- e^{-\lambda \xi}}{\sqrt{\alpha_1-\gamma_1}}, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} u_1(\xi) = \frac{\alpha_1 \sqrt{\beta_1} u_-^2 e^{-2\lambda \xi}}{3\lambda^2 \sqrt{\alpha_1-\gamma_1}}, \\ v_1(\xi) = \frac{\alpha_1 \beta_1 u_-^2 e^{-2\lambda \xi}}{3\lambda^2(\alpha_1-\gamma_1)}, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} u_2(\xi) = \frac{\alpha_1^2 \beta_1 u_-^3 e^{-3\lambda \xi}}{12\lambda^4(\alpha_1-\gamma_1)}, \\ v_2(\xi) = \frac{\alpha_1^2 \beta_1^{\frac{3}{2}} u_-^3 e^{-3\lambda \xi}}{12 \lambda^4(\alpha_1-\gamma_1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} u_3(\xi) = \frac{\alpha_1^3 \beta_1^{\frac{3}{2}} u_-^4 e^{-4\lambda \xi}}{54\lambda^6(\alpha_1-\gamma_1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \\ v_3(\xi) = \frac{\alpha_1^3 \beta_1^2 u_-^4 e^{-4\lambda \xi}}{54\lambda^6(\alpha_1-\gamma_1)^2}, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \vdots \\ & \begin{cases} u_m(\xi) = \frac{(m+1) u_- e^{-\lambda\xi}}{6^m} \left[ \frac{\alpha_1 \sqrt{\beta_1} u_- e^{-\lambda\xi}}{\lambda^2 \sqrt{\alpha_1 - \gamma_1}} \right]^m, \\ v_m(\xi) = \frac{\lambda^2 (m+1)}{6^m \alpha_1} \left[ \frac{\alpha_1 \sqrt{\beta_1} u_- e^{-\lambda\xi}}{\lambda^2 \sqrt{\alpha_1 - \gamma_1}} \right]^{m+1}, \end{cases} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$$

where  $u_-$  and  $v_-$  are integration constants. Summing the above series terms one can derive the close form solution of (19) in the form

$$\begin{cases} u(\xi) = \frac{36u_- \lambda^4 (\alpha_1 - \gamma_1)^2 e^{\lambda\xi}}{(6\lambda^2 (\alpha_1 - \gamma_1) e^{\lambda\xi} - u_- \alpha_1 \sqrt{\beta_1} \sqrt{\alpha_1 - \gamma_1})^2}, \\ v(\xi) = \frac{36u_- \lambda^4 \sqrt{\beta_1} (\alpha_1 - \gamma_1)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{\lambda\xi}}{(6\lambda^2 (\alpha_1 - \gamma_1) e^{\lambda\xi} - u_- \alpha_1 \sqrt{\beta_1} \sqrt{\alpha_1 - \gamma_1})^2}. \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

To make the solution (31) physically relevant below we present a theorem to derive its bounded cases.

**Theorem 4** *The solution (31) will be bounded if parameters  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$ ,  $\gamma_1$  involved in the equation and integration constant  $u_-$  involve in solution satisfies any one of the following conditions*

- IIIa  $\alpha_1 > 0$ ,  $\beta_1 > 0$ ,  $u_- < 0$  &  $\alpha_1 > \gamma_1$
- IIIb  $\alpha_1 > 0$ ,  $\beta_1 < 0$ ,  $u_- < 0$  &  $\alpha_1 < \gamma_1$
- IIIc  $\alpha_1 < 0$ ,  $\beta_1 > 0$ ,  $u_- > 0$  &  $\alpha_1 > \gamma_1$
- IIIId  $\alpha_1 < 0$ ,  $\beta_1 < 0$ ,  $u_- > 0$  &  $\alpha_1 < \gamma_1$

*Proof* The components  $u(\xi)$  and  $v(\xi)$  of the solution (31) have a common denominator given by the quartic polynomial

$$\left( 6\lambda^2 (\alpha_1 - \gamma_1) e^{\lambda\xi} - u_- \alpha_1 \sqrt{\beta_1} \sqrt{\alpha_1 - \gamma_1} \right)^2.$$

The repeated roots of the polynomial given by

$$\xi = \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left[ \frac{\alpha_1 u_- \sqrt{\beta_1}}{6\lambda^2 \sqrt{\alpha_1 - \gamma_1}} \right].$$

The positive real values of these roots make denominator zero that leads to an unbounded solution. So the requirement of boundedness of solution suggests that the argument of the  $\log$  has to be complex or negative. The complex case is not admissible here because it makes solutions complex, so the real bounded solutions given by the conditions among parameters

$$\beta_1 (\alpha_1 - \gamma_1) > 0 \text{ and } \alpha_1 u_- < 0.$$

These restrictions can be split to derive the conditions of the theorem.

Few particular values of the free parameters which satisfy the conditions of the theorem presented in table 3 and using them solution have been plotted in figure 3. The 2D plots ensure that solution always has a one-hump soliton-like profile.

### Solution-III

So the solution of (16) can be obtained from (31) with (17) and (20) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} E_3(x, t) &= \frac{36u_- \lambda^4 (\alpha_1 - \gamma_1)^2 e^{\lambda\xi}}{(6\lambda^2 (\alpha_1 - \gamma_1) e^{\lambda\xi} - u_- \alpha_1 \sqrt{\beta_1} \sqrt{\alpha_1 - \gamma_1})^2} e^{i(k_1 \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha} + k_2 \frac{t^\beta}{\beta} + c_0)}, \\ N_3(x, t) &= \frac{36u_- \lambda^4 \sqrt{\beta_1} (\alpha_1 - \gamma_1)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{\lambda\xi}}{(6\lambda^2 (\alpha_1 - \gamma_1) e^{\lambda\xi} - u_- \alpha_1 \sqrt{\beta_1} \sqrt{\alpha_1 - \gamma_1})^2}, \quad \xi = k_3 \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha} + c \frac{t^\beta}{\beta} + \xi_0, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

provided

$$\begin{aligned} k_2 &= - \frac{\delta_2 \delta_1^2 + \delta_1 k_1 (4\delta_2^2 + \mu_2) + \delta_2 (k_1^2 (4\delta_2^2 + \mu_2) + \mu_1)}{\mu_2}, \text{ and} \\ &- \frac{\delta_1^2 + 4\delta_2 \delta_1 k_1 + 4\delta_2^2 k_1^2 + \mu_1}{\mu_2 k_3^2} > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

which are given by condition  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$  and assertion that  $\lambda$  is real. One can easily derive the boundedness conditions of solution (32)-(33) by using theorem 4 with the conditions (20). Using one among those conditions graphical representations of the solution (32)-(33) are presented in figure 3. The figure shows that the absolute value of solution  $E_3$  has always soliton profile, whereas real and imaginary parts of  $E_3$  of the wave solution constitute a space-periodic breather and component  $N_3$  propagate with maintaining soliton shaped form.

## 7 Conclusions

In this work, a few new exact solutions of three integrable/nonintegrable cases of SBS with space-time conformable have been derived. Here we have applied a complex travelling wave transformation and a modified RCAM to solve the SBS. Painlevé test is used to identify the integrable cases of the stationary form of SBS. In general Painlevé analysis cannot handle the case when considered equation contains parameter coefficients. In this case, the arbitrariness of parameters leads to the symbolic resonances and one can not execute the remaining steps of Painlevé test. But here we have proposed an alternative technique to handle this limitation and derived integrable cases. We have classified all the bounded physically relevant cases of the solutions and presented them in three theorems. General theories of algebra have been utilized to prove the theorems. In addition to that, all bounded cases have been checked and used in plots to establish our claims. The presented 2D and 3D plots of solutions of SBS reflect the appearance of a few new two-hump, W-shaped, M-shaped of solution propagation state. We believe these findings are new, not available in the literature for the considered equation. The results can be helpful for analyzing the dynamics of nonlinear localized waves in the generalized coupled SBS and other coupled systems.

## Acknowledgements

The author express his sincere thanks to all the faculty members of department of mathematics, T.D.B. College Raniganj, for their valuable comments.

## References

1. Abbasbandy, S., Magyari, E., Shivanian, E.: The homotopy analysis method for multiple solutions of nonlinear boundary value problems. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* **14**(9-10), 3530–3536 (2009)
2. Abdeljawad, T.: On conformable fractional calculus. *Journal of computational and Applied Mathematics* **279**, 57–66 (2015)
3. Adomian, G.: Solving frontier problems of physics: the decomposition method, with a preface by yves cherruault. *Fundamental Theories of Physics*, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht **1** (1994)
4. Adomian, G., Rach, R.: Inversion of nonlinear stochastic operators. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **91**(1), 39–46 (1983)
5. Adomian, G., Rach, R.: Analytic solution of nonlinear boundary-value problems in several dimensions by decomposition. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **174**(1), 118–137 (1993)
6. Adomian, G., Rach, R.: A new algorithm for matching boundary conditions in decomposition solutions. *Applied mathematics and computation* **57**(1), 61–68 (1993)
7. Adomian, G., Rach, R.: Modified decomposition solution of linear and nonlinear boundary-value problems. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications* **23**(5), 615–619 (1994)
8. Arqub, O.A., Osman, M.S., Abdel-Aty, A.H., Mohamed, A.B.A., Momani, S.: A Numerical Algorithm for the Solutions of ABC Singular Lane–Emden Type Models Arising in Astrophysics Using Reproducing Kernel Discretization Method. *Mathematics* **8**(6), 923 (2020)
9. Atangana, A., Secer, A.: The time-fractional coupled-Korteweg-de-Vries equations. In: *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2013. Hindawi (2013)
10. Baldwin, D., Hereman, W.: Symbolic software for the Painlevé test of nonlinear ordinary and partial differential equations. *Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics* **13**(1), 90–110 (2006)
11. Baleanu, D., Inc, M., Aliyu, A.I., Yusuf, A.: The investigation of soliton solutions and conservation laws to the coupled generalized Schrödinger–Boussinesq system. *Waves in Random and Complex Media* **29**(1), 77–92 (2019)
12. Biswas, S., Ghosh, U., Sarkar, S., Das, S.: Approximate Solution of Space-Time Fractional KdV Equation and Coupled KdV Equations. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **89**(1), 014002 (2020)
13. Chen, C., Jiang, Y.L.: Simplest equation method for some time-fractional partial differential equations with conformable derivative. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* **75**(8), 2978–2988 (2018)
14. Chowdhury, A.R., Rao, N.: Painlevé analysis and Backlund transformations for coupled generalized Schrödinger–Boussinesq system. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* **9**(10), 1747–1753 (1998)
15. Das, P.K.: Rapidly Convergent Approximation Method to Chiral Nonlinear Schrodinger’s Equation in  $(1+ 2)$ -dimensions. *Sohag J. Math.* **5**, 29–33 (2018)
16. Das, P.K.: The rapidly convergent approximation method to solve system of equations and its application to the Biswas-Arshed equation. *Optik* **195**, 163134 (2019)
17. Das, P.K.: Chirped and chirp-free optical exact solutions of the Biswas-Arshed equation with full nonlinearity by the rapidly convergent approximation method. *Optik* **223**, 165293 (2020)
18. Das, P.K.: New multi-hump exact solitons of a coupled Korteweg-de-Vries system with conformable derivative describing shallow water waves via RCAM. *Physica Scripta* **95**, 105212 (2020)
19. Das, P.K., Mandal, S., Panja, M.M.: Piecewise smooth localized solutions of Liénard-type equations with application to NLSE. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* **41**(17), 7869–7887 (2018)

20. Das, P.K., Panja, M.: An improved Adomian decomposition method for nonlinear ODEs. In: Applied Mathematics, pp. 193–201. Springer (2015)
21. Das, P.K., Panja, M.: A rapidly convergent approximation method for nonlinear ordinary differential equations. IJSEAS **2**(8), 334–348 (2016)
22. Das, P.K., Singh, D., Panja, M.: Solutions and conserved quantities of Biswas–Milovic equation by using the rapidly convergent approximation method. Optik **174**, 433–446 (2018)
23. Das, P.K., Singh, D., Panja, M.M.: Some modifications on RCAM for getting accurate closed-form approximate solutions of Duffing-and Lienard-type equations. Journal of Advances in Mathematics **16**, 8213–8225 (2019)
24. Duan, J.S., Rach, R.: A new modification of the Adomian decomposition method for solving boundary value problems for higher order nonlinear differential equations. Applied Mathematics and Computation **218**(8), 4090–4118 (2011)
25. Ellahi, R., Mohyud-Din, S.T., Khan, U., et al.: Exact traveling wave solutions of fractional order Boussinesq-like equations by applying Exp-function method. Results in physics **8**, 114–120 (2018)
26. Eslami, M.: Soliton-like solutions for the coupled Schrodinger–Boussinesq equation. Optik **126**(23), 3987–3991 (2015)
27. Eslami, M.: Exact traveling wave solutions to the fractional coupled nonlinear schrodinger equations. Applied Mathematics and Computation **285**, 141–148 (2016)
28. Eslami, M., Rezazadeh, H.: The first integral method for wu–zhang system with conformable time-fractional derivative. Calcolo **53**(3), 475–485 (2016)
29. Fan, E.: An algebraic method for finding a series of exact solutions to integrable and nonintegrable nonlinear evolution equations. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General **36**(25), 7009 (2003)
30. Gao, W., Rezazadeh, H., Pinar, Z., Baskonus, H.M., Sarwar, S., Yel, G.: Novel explicit solutions for the nonlinear zoomeron equation by using newly extended direct algebraic technique. Optical and Quantum Electronics **52**(1), 1–13 (2020)
31. Gepreel, K.A.: Extended trial equation method for nonlinear coupled Schrodinger Boussinesq partial differential equations. Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society **24**(3), 381–391 (2016)
32. Goswami, A., Singh, J., Kumar, D., et al.: An efficient analytical approach for fractional equal width equations describing hydro-magnetic waves in cold plasma. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications **524**, 563–575 (2019)
33. Hon, Y., Fan, E.: A series of exact solutions for coupled Higgs field equation and coupled Schrödinger–Boussinesq equation. Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications **71**(7-8), 3501–3508 (2009)
34. Houwe, A., Sabi'u, J., Hammouch, Z., Doka, S.Y.: Solitary pulses of a conformable nonlinear differential equation governing wave propagation in low-pass electrical transmission line. Physica Scripta **95**(4), 045203 (2020)
35. Inc, M., Yusuf, A., Aliyu, A.I., Baleanu, D.: Dark and singular optical solitons for the conformable space-time nonlinear schrödinger equation with Kerr and power law nonlinearity. Optik **162**, 65–75 (2018)
36. Jameson, G.J.: Counting zeros of generalised polynomials: Descartes' rule of signs and Laguerre's extensions. The Mathematical Gazette **90**(518), 223–234 (2006)
37. Khalil, R., Al Horani, M., Yousef, A., Sababheh, M.: A new definition of fractional derivative. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics **264**, 65–70 (2014)
38. Kumar, D., Singh, J., Purohit, S.D., Swroop, R.: A hybrid analytical algorithm for nonlinear fractional wave-like equations. Mathematical Modelling of Natural Phenomena **14**(3) (2019)
39. Li, L., Yu, F., Duan, C.: A generalized nonlocal gross–pitaevskii (ngp) equation with an arbitrary time-dependent linear potential. Applied Mathematics Letters p. 106584 (2020)
40. Liao, F., Zhang, L., Wang, T.: Two energy-conserving and compact finite difference schemes for two-dimensional Schrödinger–Boussinesq equations. Numerical Algorithms pp. 1–29 (2020)
41. Makhankov, V.: On stationary solutions of the Schrödinger equation with a self-consistent potential satisfying Boussinesq's equation. Physics Letters A **50**(1), 42–44 (1974)
42. Malflit, W.: Solitary wave solutions of nonlinear wave equations. American Journal of Physics **60**(7), 650–654 (1992)
43. Neirameh, A.: Topological soliton solutions to the coupled Schrodinger–Boussinesq equation by the SEM. Optik **126**(23), 4179–4183 (2015)
44. Olver, P.J.: Applications of Lie groups to differential equations, vol. 107. Springer Science & Business Media (2000)
45. Osman, M.: New analytical study of water waves described by coupled fractional variant Boussinesq equation in fluid dynamics. Pramana **93**(2), 26 (2019)
46. Pandir, Y., Yildirim, A.: Analytical approach for the fractional differential equations by using the extended tanh method. Waves in Random and Complex Media **28**(3), 399–410 (2018)
47. Park, C., Khater, M.M., Abdel-Aty, A.H., Attia, R.A., Rezazadeh, H., Zidan, A., Mohamed, A.B.: Dynamical analysis of the nonlinear complex fractional emerging telecommunication model with higher-order dispersive cubic–quintic. Alexandria Engineering Journal **59**(3), 1425–1433 (2020)
48. Prakash, A., Goyal, M., Gupta, S.: Numerical simulation of space-fractional Helmholtz equation arising in seismic wave propagation, imaging and inversion. Pramana **93**(2), 28 (2019)
49. Rao, N.: Exact solutions of coupled scalar field equations. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General **22**(22), 4813 (1989)
50. Rao, N.: Coupled scalar field equations for nonlinear wave modulations in dispersive media. Pramana **46**(3), 161 (1996)
51. Rao, N., Shukla, P.: Coupled Langmuir and ion-acoustic waves in two-electron temperature plasmas. Physics of Plasmas **4**(3), 636–645 (1997)
52. Rezazadeh, H., Korkmaz, A., Eslami, M., Mirhosseini-Alizamini, S.M.: A large family of optical solutions to kundu–eckhaus model by a new auxiliary equation method. Optical and Quantum Electronics **51**(3), 1–12 (2019)

53. Sabi'u, J., Jibril, A., Gadu, A.M.: New exact solution for the  $(3+ 1)$  conformable space–time fractional modified Korteweg–de-Vries equations via Sine-Cosine Method. *Journal of Taibah University for Science* **13**(1), 91–95 (2019)
54. Savaissou, N., Gambo, B., Rezazadeh, H., Bekir, A., Doka, S.Y.: Exact optical solitons to the perturbed nonlinear schrödinger equation with dual-power law of nonlinearity. *Optical and Quantum Electronics* **52**, 1–16 (2020)
55. Wazwaz, A.: A reliable algorithm for obtaining positive solutions for nonlinear boundary value problems. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* **41**(10-11), 1237–1244 (2001)
56. Wazwaz, A.M.: Approximate solutions to boundary value problems of higher order by the modified decomposition method. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* **40**(6-7), 679–691 (2000)
57. Wazwaz, A.M.: The modified adomian decomposition method for solving linear and nonlinear boundary value problems of tenth-order and twelfth-order. *International Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Numerical Simulation* **1**(1), 17–24 (2000)
58. Wazwaz, A.M.: The numerical solution of sixth-order boundary value problems by the modified decomposition method. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* **118**(2-3), 311–325 (2001)
59. Wazwaz, A.M.: The numerical solution of special fourth-order boundary value problems by the modified decomposition method. *International journal of computer mathematics* **79**(3), 345–356 (2002)
60. Xinhui, S., Liancun, Z., Xinxin, Z., Xinyi, S.: Homotopy analysis method for the asymmetric laminar flow and heat transfer of viscous fluid between contracting rotating disks. *Applied Mathematical Modelling* **36**(4), 1806–1820 (2012)
61. Yajima, N., Satsuma, J.: Soliton solutions in a diatomic lattice system. *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **62**(2), 370–378 (1979)
62. Yong, C., Hong-Li, A.: Numerical solutions of a new type of fractional coupled nonlinear equations. *Communications in Theoretical Physics* **49**(4), 839 (2008)
63. Yu, F.: Inverse scattering solutions and dynamics for a nonlocal nonlinear gross–pitaevskii equation with pt-symmetric external potentials. *Applied Mathematics Letters* **92**, 108–114 (2019)
64. Yu, F., Fan, R.: Nonstandard bilinearization and interaction phenomenon for pt-symmetric coupled nonlocal nonlinear schrödinger equations. *Applied Mathematics Letters* **103**, 106209 (2020)




Fig. 1: Plots of the solution  $u(\xi)$ ,  $v(\xi)$  for the conditions presented in Theorem 2, using values of the parameters






Fig. 2: Plots of the solution (25)-(26) for values of parameters values  $k_1 = 0.3$ ,  $k_2 = -0.1585$ ,  $k_3 = 2$ ,  $\delta_1 = 0.5$ ,  $\delta_2 = 0.5$ ,  $\mu_1 = -0.515$ ,  $\mu_2 = -0.5$ ,  $\mu_3 = 28.5$ ,  $\mu_4 = 0.075$ ,  $\alpha = .8$ ,  $\beta = .9$ ,  $c_0 = 9$ ,  $\xi_0 = .4$ ,  $u_- = -0.5$ ,  $v_- = -0.6$ .




Fig. 3: Plot of solutions (28) and (31) for the conditions presented in Theorem 3 & 4, using values of parameters given in Table 3.




Fig. 4: Plot of the solution (29)-(30) for values of parameters values  $k_1 = 0.4$ ,  $k_3 = 1.4$ ,  $\delta_1 = 0.5$ ,  $\delta_2 = 0.6$ ,  $\mu_1 = 0.2$ ,  $\mu_2 = -1$ ,  $\mu_3 = 0.4$ ,  $\mu_4 = 0.5$ ,  $u_- = 0.4$ ,  $v_- = 0.99$ ,  $\alpha = .7$ ,  $\beta = .5$ ,  $c_0 = 1$ ,  $\xi_0 = -4$ .




Fig. 5: Plot of solution (32) for values of parameters values  $k_1 = 0.4$ ,  $k_3 = 0.4$ ,  $\delta_1 = -1$ ,  $\delta_2 = 0.6$ ,  $\delta_3 = 0.6$ ,  $\mu_1 = 0.2$ ,  $\mu_2 = -2$ ,  $\mu_3 = -0.4$ ,  $\mu_4 = -0.5$ ,  $\alpha = .8$ ,  $\beta = .9$ ,  $c_0 = 9$ ,  $\xi_0 = 3$ ,  $u_- = -37.4$ .

