

C3VQG: Category Consistent Cyclic Visual Question Generation

Shagun Uppal^{1*}, Anish Madan^{1*}, Sarthak Bhagat^{1*}, Yi Yu², Rajiv Ratn Shah¹
¹IIT-Delhi, India; ²NII, Japan

{shagun16088, anish16223, sarthak16189, rajivrtn}@iiitd.ac.in, yiyu@nii.ac.jp

ABSTRACT

Visual Question Generation (VQG) is the task of generating natural questions based on an image. Popular methods in the past have explored image-to-sequence architectures trained with maximum likelihood which have demonstrated meaningful generated questions given an image and its associated ground-truth answer. VQG becomes more challenging if the image contains rich contextual information describing its different semantic categories. In this paper, we try to exploit the different visual cues and concepts in an image to generate questions using a variational autoencoder (VAE) without ground-truth answers. Our approach solves two major shortcomings of existing VQG systems: (i) minimize the level of supervision and (ii) replace generic questions with category relevant generations. Most importantly, by eliminating expensive answer annotations, the required supervision is weakened. Using different categories enables us to exploit different concepts as the inference requires only the image and the category. Mutual information is maximized between the image, question, and answer category in the latent space of our VAE. A novel category consistent cyclic loss is proposed to enable the model to generate consistent predictions with respect to the answer category, reducing redundancies and irregularities. Additionally, we also impose supplementary constraints on the latent space of our generative model to provide structure based on categories and enhance generalization by encapsulating decorrelated features within each dimension. Through extensive experiments, the proposed model, C3VQG outperforms state-of-the-art VQG methods with weak supervision.

CCS CONCEPTS

• **Computing methodologies** → **Machine learning; Computer vision**; *Natural language processing*.

KEYWORDS

visual question generation, cycle consistency, multimodal

1 INTRODUCTION

Visual understanding by intelligent systems is an interesting problem in the Computer Vision and Multimedia community, further accelerated by the advent of Deep Learning [24, 26]. Translating

*Equal contribution. Ordered Randomly.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

MMAAsia '20, March 7–9, 2021, Virtual Event, Singapore

© 2021 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-8308-0/21/03...\$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3444685.3446302>



Possible Category-Question pairs:

SPATIAL: Where are the pictures hanging?

ACTIVITY: What is the little girl doing?

BINARY: Is the lamp on?

COUNT: How many pillows are there on the bed?

COLOR: What is the color of the girl's dress?

Figure 1: An example image showing various natural questions possible as per mentioned categories. The categories are not too specific so as to overly-constrain the network but broad enough to encourage discovery of novel concepts.

visual understanding into language helps us evaluate the "comprehension capability" of the system. Tasks like Visual Question Answering (VQA) [1, 19, 36], Visual Question Generation (VQG) [21], Video Captioning [5], and Text-Conditioned Image Generation [22, 23] help us benchmark it. Such tasks require us to learn multimodal VisLang representations. VQG is a more open-ended and creative task than VQA, in the sense that asking semantically coherent and visually relevant questions requires a system to recognize various concepts present in an image. Contrary to this, in VQA the model tries to infer specific cues from the given inputs in order to answer the reference questions.

Figure 1 illustrates some abstract concepts and the various semantics that are captured via broad categories considered for question generation. Each category is distinctive enough to be exclusive from others and at the same time, covers a broad range of possibilities for question generation, when an image is conditioned over it.

Developing a solution for VQG requires one to model novel conceptual discoveries about language and visual representations which pose certain challenges: (1) There are various visual concepts in the images, (2) Questions generated need to be relevant to the image, (3) The generated question to image relation is many-to-one since multiple questions are possible for an image, and (4) Avoiding questions which invoke generic answers like "yes" or "I do not know". For *e.g.*, in Figure 1, we can observe the little girl jumping, the mother trying to read something, the image is of a hotel room, there are photos hanging on top of the bed, *etc.* The questions in the figure satisfy the above criteria.

For attaining human-level understanding of multimodal real-world data, system designs should be created in order to overcome such challenges. This is the reason the task of VQG has also been referred as a realization of the Visual Curiosity [33] of a system.

Previous works [14, 16, 18, 32] often use answers along with the image to generate relevant questions. While these approaches ask questions relevant to the image (due to the answer being provided), it tends to overfit to the answer provided and does not leave room for creatively generating questions. This requires the dataset to be

annotated with answers as well as questions which is an expensive and tedious operation.

While current works rely heavily on the availability of question-answer pairs for their method, we propose using categories instead of answers. This incorporates a weaker form of supervision, which is easy to obtain and helps constrain the problem to enforce relevance of generated questions to an image as opposed to those without constraints [9, 21, 35]. We propose a category-specific generative modelling framework which makes multiple relevant category-specific question generations per image possible.

The following are the main contributions of the paper:

- We weaken the amount of supervision on the model by removing the need of ground-truth answers during training.
- We adopt a variational autoencoder [12] framework to generate questions using a combined latent space for image and category and maximize mutual information between them.
- We introduce additional constraints to enforce answer category consistency using a cyclic training procedure with sequential training in two disjoint steps.
- We enforce center loss on the generative latent space to ensure clustering with respect to answer category labels, making generations more category-specific and robust.
- We also introduce a hyper-prior on learning the inverse variance of variational latent prior to capture intrinsically independent visual features within the combined latent space.

Our contributions ensure diverse (see Section 4.3) and relevant (see Section 4.4) question generations given an image and category. We evaluate our result with other recent approaches which do not use answers for question generation as well as which require them.

2 RELATED WORKS

In this section, we discuss relevant literature that motivates key components of the C3VQG approach. In Section 2.1, we focus on various approaches that emphasised on the task of question generation from visual inputs. This is followed by Section 2.2, where we describe appropriate studies that have remodelled their latent representations for the escalation of downstream task performance.

2.1 Visual Question Generation (VQG)

VQG is the task of developing visual understanding from images using cues from ground-truth answers and/or answer categories in order to generate relevant question. Various works focusing on this aspect have been deeply inspired by taking into consideration the multimodal context of natural language along with visual understanding of the input.

Mostafazadeh *et al.* [20] suggested relevant question-response generation, given an image along with relevant conversational dialogues. Using dialogues, they drew broad context about the conversation from the input image. Mostafazadeh *et al.* [21] focused on a different paradigm of VQG to generate engaging high-level commonsense reasoning questions about the event highlighted in the visual input. The approach shifted its focus from objects constituting the image to visual understanding of systems.

Yang *et al.* [34] simultaneously learned VQG and VQA models to understand the semantics and entities present in the input image. Such an approach examined and trained the learning model on

both the aspects of language and vision, thereby, challenging its interpretability over multimodal signals. Li *et al.* [16] had a similar approach of training VQA and VQG networks parallelly, hence, introducing an Invertible QA-network. Such a model took advantage of the QA dependencies while training, then took a question/answer as an input, outputting its counterpart for evaluation. Works like [29], synchronized both the tasks to learn co-operatively but restricted their abilities to explore non-trivial aspects of generation.

Zhang *et al.* [35] talked about automating VQG not only with high correctness but with a high diversity in the type of questions generated. They took an image and its caption as the input. The question type along with the input image, caption and their correlation output were processed to output relevant questions. Similarly, Jain *et al.* [9] worked on generating multiple questions given an image using generative modelling. Here, they used a VAE with a set of LSTM networks in order to generate a diverse set of questions.

While prior work in VQG has spanned a wide variety of training strategies for meaningful question generation, our approach C3VQG is unique in the sense that it utilizes a mutual information maximization technique with weak supervision. On top of it, it learns a well-structured latent space with a non-standard Gaussian prior and category-wise clustering.

2.2 Structured Latent Space Constraints

2.2.1 Center Loss for Learning Discriminative Latent Features. Center loss [30] for enforcing well-clustered latent spaces have been studied extensively in the past specifically for biometric applications [10, 30, 31]. This metric-learning training strategy works on the principle of differentiating inter-class features and penalizing embedding distances from their respective class centers.

Wen *et al.* [31] utilized center loss for the biometric task of facial recognition. The introduction of weight sharing between softmax and the center loss reduces the computational complexity. While, the employment of an entire embedding space as the center rather than the conventionally used single point representation takes into account the intra-class variations as well. Kazemi *et al.* [10] also proposed a novel attribute-centered loss in order to train a Deep Coupled CNN for sketch-to-photo matching using facial features.

He *et al.* [7] proposed a triplet-center loss that aims at further improving the differentiating power of features by not only minimizing the distance of encoding from their class centers but also by maximizing it for the class centers belonging to other classes. Ghosh and Davis [6] highlighted the impact of introduction of center loss besides the cross entropy loss in CNNs for image retrieval problems, involving very few samples belonging to each class.

2.2.2 Hyper-prior on Latent Spaces. Various approaches have intended to capture completely decorrelated factors of variations in the data using diverse training strategies such as generative models to learn low-dimensional subspaces [13] or imposing a soft orthogonality constraint on latent chunks [25]. One such effective approach is to vary the prior on the generative latent space in such a way that it intrinsically enforces independence of captured features.

Kim *et al.* [11] introduced a class of hierarchical Bayesian models with certain hyper-priors on the variances of the Gaussian distribution priors in a VAE. The fact that this ensures that each captured latent feature has a different prior distribution ensures that each of

them are intrinsically independent and guarantees encapsulation of admissible as well as nuisance factors simultaneously. Ansari and Soh [2] also focused on capturing disentangled factors of variations in an unsupervised manner by utilizing Inverse-Wishart (IW) as the prior on the latent space of the generative model. By tweaking the IW parameter, various features in a set of diverse datasets could be captured simultaneously. Bhagat *et al.* [4] utilized Gaussian processes (GP) with varying correlation structure in VAEs for the task of video sequence disentangling. In general, structured latent spaces has aided downstream task performance in diverse fields such as image captioning [8] and language inferences [15, 27].

To the best of our knowledge, center loss for latent clustering on the latent space for capturing independent factors of variation has never been deployed in a multimodal setting. We take motivation from several works that have utilized these techniques to formulate a structured latent representation in order to wield superior performance on downstream tasks.

3 PROPOSED APPROACH

We introduce C3VQG¹, a question generation architecture which only requires <images, questions, categories> for training, and <images, category> for inference. We propose a cyclic training approach that enforces consistency in answer categories via a two-step framework. For this, we introduce a VAE-setting which maximizes mutual information between the question generated, image and category.

The training flow² is illustrated in Figure 2. We divide the training architecture into two disjoint steps. While the first step ensures encapsulation of image and category information within the latent encoding, the second step establishes compatibility in predicted categories from the generated question with that of the ground-truth categories. We enforce the latent space to capture independent features in the image in a structured manner with an additional hyper-prior (refer Section 3.5) and a center loss based constraint (refer Section 3.4). While the former maintains a high diversity across generated questions, the latter helps in maintaining relevance between image, answer-category and the generated question.

3.1 Problem Formulation

For accomplishing this task of generating meaningful questions from multimodal sources of data in the form of images and answer categories, we have training data in the form of images and corresponding question from different answer categories. We denote all unique images by the set I_D , set of all unique answer categories by C_D , and set of all unique ground-truth questions by Q_D , where length of the sets are given by n_I , n_C , and n_Q respectively. We define our training dataset as a collection of n 3-tuples, $dset = \{ \langle i_1, q_1, C_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle i_n, q_n, C_n \rangle \}$. For the k^{th} sample in our dataset, we have image $i_k \in I_D$, $q_k \in Q_D$, $C_k \in C_D$, as $C_D = \{C_1, C_2 \dots C_{n_C}\}$.

We denote the predicted question as $\hat{q}_{k,C}$, where k denotes the sample for which the question is predicted and C denotes the category ($C \in C_D$), as we generate n_C questions for every sample in our training set. We also denote our latent space by z , and the dimensions of the combined latent space by d .

¹We provide the entire algorithm for training in the supplementary material.

²A similar illustration for the inference framework is provided in the supplementary.

3.2 Information Maximisation VQG

We consider the case of a single image i , its corresponding category C and the question we want to generate q . We define our initial model (referred as Step I in Section 3.3) by defining $p(q|i, C)$ which we get by maximizing a linear combination of mutual information $I(i, q)$ and $I(C, q)$. To avoid optimizing the gradient in discrete steps (in order to get low bias and variance of the gradient estimator), we try to learn a mapping $p_\phi(z|i, C)$ from the image and category to a continuous latent space which we refer to as z . The mapping is parameterized by ϕ which is learned via optimization of the following objective:

$$\max_{\phi} I(q, z|i, C) + \lambda_1 I(i, z) + \lambda_2 I(C, z) \quad (1)$$

$$s.t \quad z \sim p_\phi(z|i, C) \quad \text{and} \quad q \sim p_\phi(q|z) \quad (2)$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are the weights for the mutual information terms. The mutual information in Equation 1 is intractable as we do not know the true values of the posteriors $p(z|i)$ and $p(z|C)$. So we instead try to minimize its variational lower bound (ELBO). More details on the derivation of the final objective can be found in the supplementary section. Hence, we can optimize the variational lower bound by maximizing the image and category reconstruction whilst also maximizing the MLE of question generation.

3.3 Category Consistent Cyclic VQG (C3VQG)

We build a cyclic approach for VQG to analyze the robustness of the model in terms of its predictions and the diversity of generated questions. For this, we divide our approach into two parts. The first step homogenizes the latent representations obtained from the answer categories and the one obtained from images to form a combined latent space. While, the next step penalises the difference in ground-truth answer categories from the ones predicted from the generated question, enforcing congruence between them.

Step 1: Visual Question Generation. Using two separate encoders g^i and g^c , we generate latent encoding h_k^i and h_k^c for the image i_k and category label C_k respectively.

$$h_k^i = g^i(i_k) \quad \text{and} \quad h_k^c = g^c(C_k) \quad (3)$$

These latent encodings are passed onto an MLP after concatenation to generate another latent representation that has a Gaussian prior associated with it. The latent representation $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ forms the backbone for question generation, and is given by Equation 4.

$$z_k = \mathbf{W}_{\text{MLP}}^\top (h_k^i \oplus h_k^c) \quad (4)$$

where \mathbf{W}_{MLP} depicts the weights of the MLP and \oplus depicts the concatenation operator for two input vectors. The concatenation of the two encodings aggregates the category information along with the visual cues for question generation. This latent encoding should intrinsically contain all the relevant information for the generation of the question. Therefore, it is passed through an LSTM that outputs the question related to the images on the lines of the answer category.

$$\hat{q}_{k,C} = \text{LSTM}_q(z_k) \quad (5)$$




Figure 2: C3VQG Training Framework

Therefore, we capitalise on the ground-truth question q_k for the image to impose an MLE loss on the generated question \hat{q}_{k,C_k} .

$$\mathcal{L}_Q = \|\hat{q}_{k,C_k} - q_k\|_2^2 \quad (6)$$

In order to ensure abbreviation of visual features as well as category information into the z -space, we pass it through two separate prediction networks, p^i and p^c respectively. These prediction networks are trained to reconstruct the original image and category encodings.

$$\mathcal{L}_I = \|p^i(z_k) - h_k^i\|_2^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_C = \|p^c(z_k) - h_k^c\|_2^2 \quad (7)$$

Step 2: Generation Consistency Assurance. In order to substantiate the consistency of the answer category of the generated question with the given category, we pass the generated question \hat{q}_{k,C_k} through a temporal classifier $LSTM_p$ that tries to predict the answer category for the generated question.

$$C_k^{pred} = LSTM_p(\hat{q}_{k,C_k}) \quad (8)$$

Later, we impose a cross entropy loss between the predicted and actual answer category in order to penalise any irregularities within the previous step.

$$\mathcal{L}_{cons} = -C_k \log C_k^{pred} \quad (9)$$

3.4 Latent Space Clustering

To ensure that our model is able to accurately predict answer categories from the latent encodings, we intend to promote well-clustered latent spaces. For this, we add structure to the latent space by imposing a constraint in the form of center loss [30] that aggregates the latent space into a fixed number of clusters, equal to the number of answer categories in the dataset.

The center loss helps distinguish inter-category latent features by enforcing clustering in the following way:

$$\mathcal{L}_{center} = \|z_k - c_k\|_2^2, \quad (10)$$

where, $c_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ depicts the class center for all such datapoints z_k (where, $k \in [1, n]$) with label C_k . These centers are obtained by averaging the features of the corresponding classes, updated based on mini-batches instead of the entire training data due to computational time constraints. Additionally, the update of these centers are scaled by a constant (< 1) to avoid sudden fluctuations. The structured latent representation that is obtained as a result of applying this constraint ensures escalation of distances in the latent space between samples belonging to different classes, that in turn leads to enhanced downstream task performance.

3.5 Modified Hyper-prior on the Latent Space

We also take motivation from one of the models proposed by Kim *et al.* [11] that introduced a modified prior on the latent space explicitly ensuring each dimension to capture independent features. We do this by replacing the sub-optimal Gaussian normal prior on the z -space by a long-tail distribution. We introduce a learnable hyper-prior on inverse variance of the Gaussian latent prior while keeping the distribution as zero mean. We also employ a supplementary regularization term that ensures sufficient nuisance dimensions.

For this, we intend to learn the inverse variance α_j for each dimension j of the d -dimensional latent space. The latent space prior can then be represented as Equation 11.

$$p(z_k|\alpha) = \prod_{j=1}^d p(z_{k,j}|\alpha_j) = \prod_{j=1}^d \mathcal{N}(z_{k,j}; 0, \alpha_j^{-1}) \quad (11)$$

Here, $z_{k,j}$ represents the j^{th} dimension of the vector $z_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

The modified KL-divergence and additional regularization term is of the form given by Equation 12.

$$\mathcal{L}_{bayes} = \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{E}_{pd(x_k^{cc})} [KL(f(z_{k,j}|x_{k,j}^{cc}) || \mathcal{N}(z_{k,j}; 0, \alpha_j^{-1}))] + \lambda_{reg} \sum_{j=1}^d (\alpha_j^{-1} - 1)^2 \quad (12)$$

Here, x_k^{cc} is the concatenated latent encoding of the image and category encoding, i.e., $h_k^i \oplus h_k^c$, $x_{k,j}^{cc}$ depicting its j^{th} dimension, z is the latent encoding with variational prior, and f is the mapping function (i.e., $f : x^{cc} \rightarrow z$). The expectation is taken over the entire probability distribution (pd) of $x_k^{cc} \forall k \in [1, n]$. In Equation 12, λ_{reg} is the weight for the regularization loss that promotes sparsity and increases generalization capacity of the model.

4 EVALUATION

We evaluate the performance of our approach C3VQG³ against state-of-the-art in VQG [9, 14, 29] using diverse quantitative metrics alongside highlighting the qualitative superiority of our approach.

4.1 Dataset Features

The VQA dataset⁴ [3] consists of images along with corresponding questions and answers for each image. Additional information about the entire VQA dataset is presented in the supplementary. Similar to works [9, 14, 29], we have used the validation set as our test set due to lack of availability of ground-truth answers for the test set.

4.2 Evaluation Metrics

We intend to evaluate our approach to compare it with prior work in VQG using a variety of language modeling metrics including *BLEU*, *METEOR* and *CIDEr* [28]. These metrics quantify the ability of the model to generate questions similar to ground-truth questions.

Additionally, we compute another quantitative metric ROUGE-L: a variant of ROUGE [17]. This metric quantifies the similarity between generated and ground-truth questions using longest common sub-sequence. The advantage of using it is that it takes into account any structural association present at sentence level, capturing the longest n -gram concurrently occurring in the sequence.

We also evaluate the performance of our model against the baselines using crowd-sourced metrics for testing the relevance of the generated question with respect to the ground-truth images and answer categories. For this, we conduct a user study among 5 crowd workers in which each is supposed to answer if the generated questions are consistent with respect to the given image and category.

In order to quantify the heterogeneity of generated questions, we additionally employ diversity metrics in our evaluation. For this, we compute *strength* and *inventiveness*. While *strength* is referred to as the percentage of unique generated question, *inventiveness* is the ratio of unique generated questions unseen during training.

4.3 Quantitative Results

In Table 1, **I** and **II** depict step I and II respectively of our approach, **CL** depicts the imposed center loss on the combined latent space and **Bayes** represents an additional hyper-prior on the inverse variance of each latent dimension. Table 1 depicts that our approach beats state-of-the-art performance in VQG [14] without answer supervision while training. The role of each component in the incremental build-up of our approach is clearly observable from the ablations reported. Additionally, it also shows the significance of cyclic consistency for generating category specific questions.

³Code available at <https://github.com/sarthak268/C3VQG-official>.

⁴Dataset available at <https://visualqa.org/download.html>



Figure 3: Questions generated for each image from multiple answer categories using C3VQG approach.

Using multiple constraints on latent space reduces the performance slightly for *Bleu-2* and *Bleu-4*, but we observe significant increase in other language modelling metrics. We leave certain values for ROUGE-L blank in Table 1 as some prior works [9, 29] did not employ it for their evaluation.

The reported values in Table 3 depict that our model outperforms baselines as a result of question-category consistency and the structure present in latent space. The incorporation of supplementary constraint on the congruence of answer category ensures the generated question's relevance to the category. Also, the squared $L2$ loss between the image encoding and encoding generated from the combined latent space assists relevance with respect to the image.

The superiority in the diversity of generated questions by our model as depicted in Table 2 highlights that imposing a different prior on each dimension of the latent space enforces generation of a set of diversified questions from different answer categories.

4.4 Qualitative Results

We present a set of 4 generated questions for a collection of images in Figure 3, demonstrating that our approach generates diverse image and category-consistent questions. Even for a particular category, the generations are not trivially replicated irrespective of the image. For e.g., as shown in the Figure 3, questions generated for the category binary are quite diverse for different images, thus, taking into consideration the context as well.

Additionally in Figure 4, we demonstrate cases in which the questions generated by our model belong to specified answer categories while the baseline approach in [14] w/o answer supervision fails to do so. For e.g., the top-left image of Figure 4, C3VQG is able to generate a question whose answer falls in the category of color whereas, for the question generated by the baseline approach [14], the answer category seems to be object instead of color.

As demonstrated in the qualitative results, questions generated by [14] are meaningful with respect to each image and are not generic, but they often lack correlation between categories and generated questions. We eradicate such inconsistencies of the generations with the provided categories by including cycle consistency and centre loss.

Supervision	Models	Bleu-1	Bleu-2	Bleu-3	Bleu-4	METEOR	CIDEr	ROUGE-L
Supervised (w A)	IA2Q [29]	32.43	15.49	9.24	6.23	11.21	36.22	-
	V-IA2Q [9]	36.91	17.79	10.21	6.25	12.39	36.39	-
	Krishna <i>et al.</i> [14]	47.40	28.95	19.93	14.49	18.35	85.99	49.10
Weakly Supervised (w/o A)	IC2Q [29]	30.42	13.55	6.23	4.44	9.42	27.42	-
	V-IC2Q [9]	35.40	25.55	14.94	10.78	13.35	42.54	-
	Krishna <i>et al.</i> [14] w/o A	31.20	16.20	11.18	6.24	12.11	35.89	40.27
	I	38.44	19.83	12.02	7.69	13.27	45.19	40.90
	I + II	38.80	20.12	12.32	7.96	13.40	46.42	41.27
	I + CL	38.81	20.14	12.30	7.91	13.41	46.96	41.21
	I + II + CL	38.94	20.30	12.47	8.10	13.47	47.32	41.27
	I + II + Bayes	38.71	19.89	12.14	7.87	13.23	42.47	41.32
	I + CL + Bayes	38.64	20.06	12.28	7.95	13.32	45.83	41.16
	I + II + CL + Bayes	41.87	22.11	14.96	10.04	13.60	46.87	42.34

Table 1: Ablation study for different components of C3VQG using different language modeling quantitative metrics against other baselines in VQG. We compare our approach against previous state-of-the-arts in VQG.

Categories	Krishna <i>et al.</i> [14]		C3VQG	
	S	I	S	I
count	26.06	41.30	65.21	61.84
binary	28.85	54.50	65.12	38.55
object	24.19	43.20	65.58	58.85
color	17.12	23.65	65.21	54.34
attribute	46.10	52.03	64.59	63.02
materials	45.75	40.72	64.87	63.48
spatial	70.17	68.18	65.18	64.96
food	33.37	31.19	65.20	62.21
shape	45.81	55.65	66.01	65.98
location	45.25	27.22	65.09	64.72
predicate	36.20	31.29	65.67	65.67
time	34.43	25.30	58.13	64.96
activity	21.32	26.53	64.98	63.67
Overall	26.06	52.11	65.24	61.55

Table 2: Quantitative evaluation of C3VQG against baselines using diversity metrics: Strength (S) and Inventiveness (I). Other comparisons present in the supplementary.

Model	Relevance	
	Image	Category
V-IC2Q [9]	90.10	39.00
Krishna <i>et al.</i> [14] w/o A	98.10	42.70
C3VQG w/o Bayes, CL	98.00	58.40
C3VQG	97.80	60.50

Table 3: Quantitative evaluation of C3VQG against other weakly supervised baselines using crowd-sourced metrics.

5 CONCLUSION

We present a novel category-consistent cyclic training approach C3VQG for visual question generation using structured latent space. Our approach generates category-specific comprehensive questions




Figure 4: Qualitative results for C3VQG and Krishna *et al.* [14] without answers.

using visual features present in the image without using ground-truth answers. With weak supervision, our approach beats state-of-the-art in a variety of metrics. Qualitatively, our approach avoids generic question formation and generates category-consistent questions. While cyclic training helps in generating questions consistent with the answer category, the imposed latent structure ensures enhanced diversity of generations. This shows that effectively designing system configurations and imposing structured constraints can help frame better models even with minimum supervision.

As a further prospect to this work, we aim to analyze the efficacy of our approach in other QG tasks such as conversational systems. We also intend to study the effect of such constraints on other multimodal tasks like image/text retrieval, image captioning, etc. for learning robust representations.

6 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Rajiv Ratn Shah is partly supported by the Infosys Center for AI at IIT Delhi.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aishwarya Agrawal, Jiasen Lu, Stanislaw Antol, Margaret Mitchell, C. Lawrence Zitnick, Devi Parikh, and Dhruv Batra. 2015. VQA: Visual Question Answering. *International Journal of Computer Vision* 123 (2015), 4–31.
- [2] Abdul Fatir Ansari and Harold Soh. 2018. Hyperprior Induced Unsupervised Disentanglement of Latent Representations. In *AAAI*.
- [3] Stanislaw Antol, Aishwarya Agrawal, Jiasen Lu, Margaret Mitchell, Dhruv Batra, C. Lawrence Zitnick, and Devi Parikh. 2015. VQA: Visual Question Answering. In *International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*.
- [4] Sarthak Bhagat, Shagun Uppal, Vivian T. Yin, and N. Lim. 2020. Disentangling Multiple Features in Video Sequences Using Gaussian Processes in Variational Autoencoders. In *ECCV*.
- [5] Shaoxiang Chen, Ting Yao, and Yu-Gang Jiang. 2019. Deep Learning for Video Captioning: A Review. In *IJCAI*.
- [6] Pallabi Ghosh and Larry S. Davis. 2018. Understanding Center Loss Based Network for Image Retrieval with Few Training Data. In *ECCV Workshops*.
- [7] Xinwei He, Yang Zhou, Zhichao Zhou, Song Bai, and Xiang Bai. 2018. Triplet-Center Loss for Multi-view 3D Object Retrieval. *2018 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)* (2018), 1945–1954.
- [8] MD. Zakir Hossain, Ferdous Sohel, Mohd Fairuz Shiratuddin, and Hamid Laga. 2019. A Comprehensive Survey of Deep Learning for Image Captioning. *ACM Comput. Surv.* 51, 6, Article 118 (Feb. 2019), 36 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3295748>
- [9] Unnat Jain, Ziyu Zhang, and Alexander G. Schwing. 2017. Creativity: Generating Diverse Questions Using Variational Autoencoders. *2017 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)* (2017), 5415–5424.
- [10] Hadi Kazemi, Sobhan Soleymani, Ali Dabouei, Seyed Mehdi Iranmanesh, and Nasser M. Nasrabadi. 2018. Attribute-Centered Loss for Soft-Biometrics Guided Face Sketch-Photo Recognition. *2018 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops (CVPRW)* (2018), 612–6128.
- [11] Minyoung Kim, Yuting Wang, Pritish Sahu, and Vladimir Pavlovic. 2019. Bayes-Factor-VAE: Hierarchical Bayesian Deep Auto-Encoder Models for Factor Disentanglement. *2019 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)* (2019), 2979–2987.
- [12] Diederik P Kingma and Max Welling. 2013. Auto-encoding variational bayes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114* (2013).
- [13] Jack Klys, Jake Snell, and Richard S. Zemel. 2018. Learning Latent Subspaces in Variational Autoencoders. *ArXiv abs/1812.06190* (2018).
- [14] Ranjay Krishna, Michael Bernstein, and Li Fei-Fei. 2019. Information Maximizing Visual Question Generation. *2019 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)* (2019), 2008–2018.
- [15] Tao Li, Vivek Gupta, Maitrey Mehta, and Vivek Srikumar. 2019. A Logic-Driven Framework for Consistency of Neural Models. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*.
- [16] Yikang Li, Nan Duan, Bolei Zhou, X. R. Chu, Wanli Ouyang, and Xiaogang Wang. 2017. Visual Question Generation as Dual Task of Visual Question Answering. *2018 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (2017), 6116–6124.
- [17] Chin-Yew Lin. 2004. ROUGE: A Package for Automatic Evaluation of Summaries. In *Text Summarization Branches Out*. Association for Computational Linguistics, Barcelona, Spain, 74–81. <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/W04-1013>
- [18] Feng Liu, Tao Xiang, Timothy M Hospedales, Wankou Yang, and Changyin Sun. 2018. iVQA: Inverse visual question answering. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 8611–8619.
- [19] Mateusz Malinowski and Mario Fritz. 2014. A Multi-World Approach to Question Answering about Real-World Scenes based on Uncertain Input. *arXiv:1410.0210 [cs.AI]*
- [20] Nasrin Mostafazadeh, Chris Brockett, William B. Dolan, Michel Galley, Jianfeng Gao, Georgios P. Spithourakis, and Lucy Vanderwende. 2017. Image-Grounded Conversations: Multimodal Context for Natural Question and Response Generation. In *IJCNLP*.
- [21] Nasrin Mostafazadeh, Ishan Misra, Jacob Devlin, Margaret Mitchell, Xiaodong He, and Lucy Vanderwende. 2016. Generating Natural Questions About an Image. *ArXiv abs/1603.06059* (2016).
- [22] Osaid Rehman Nasir, S. K. Jha, M. S. Grover, Y. Yu, Ajit Kumar, and R. Shah. 2019. Text2FaceGAN: Face Generation from Fine Grained Textual Descriptions. *2019 IEEE Fifth International Conference on Multimedia Big Data (BigMM)* (2019), 58–67.
- [23] Sharan Pai, Nikhil Sachdeva, R. Shah, and R. Zimmermann. 2019. User Input Based Style Transfer While Retaining Facial Attributes. *2019 IEEE Fifth International Conference on Multimedia Big Data (BigMM)* (2019), 68–76.
- [24] Rajiv Shah and Roger Zimmermann. 2017. *Multimodal Analysis of User-Generated Multimedia Content* (1st ed.). Springer Publishing Company, Incorporated.
- [25] Ankita Shukla, Sarthak Bhagat, Shagun Uppal, Saket Anand, and Pavan K. Turaga. 2019. Product of Orthogonal Spheres Parameterization for Disentangled Representation Learning. In *BMVC*.
- [26] Shagun Uppal, Sarthak Bhagat, Devamanyu Hazarika, Navonil Majumdar, Soujanya Poria, R. Zimmermann, and Amir Zadeh. 2020. Emerging Trends of Multimodal Research in Vision and Language. *ArXiv abs/2010.09522* (2020).
- [27] Shagun Uppal, Vivek Gupta, Avinash Swaminathan, Haimin Zhang, Debanjan Mahata, Rakesh Gosangi, Rajiv Ratn Shah, and Amanda Stent. 2020. Two-Step Classification using Recasted Data for Low Resource Settings. In *Proceedings of the 1st Conference of the Asia-Pacific Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 10th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, Suzhou, China, 706–719. <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/2020.aacl-main.71>
- [28] Ramakrishna Vedantam, C. Lawrence Zitnick, and Devi Parikh. 2014. CIDER: Consensus-based image description evaluation. *2015 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)* (2014), 4566–4575.
- [29] Tong Wang, Xingdi Yuan, and Adam Trischler. 2017. A Joint Model for Question Answering and Question Generation. *ArXiv abs/1706.01450* (2017).
- [30] Yandong Wen, Kaipeng Zhang, Zhifeng Li, and Yu Qiao. 2016. A Discriminative Feature Learning Approach for Deep Face Recognition. In *ECCV*.
- [31] Yandong Wen, Kaipeng Zhang, Zhifeng Li, and Yu Qiao. 2018. A Comprehensive Study on Center Loss for Deep Face Recognition. *International Journal of Computer Vision* 127 (2018), 668–683.
- [32] Xing Xu, Jingkuan Song, Huimin Lu, Li He, Yang Yang, and Fumin Shen. 2018. Dual learning for visual question generation. In *2018 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo (ICME)*. IEEE, 1–6.
- [33] Jianwei Yang, Jiasen Lu, Stefan Lee, Dhruv Batra, and Devi Parikh. 2018. Visual Curiosity: Learning to Ask Questions to Learn Visual Recognition. In *CoRL*.
- [34] Yezhou Yang, Yi Li, Cornelia Fermüller, and Yiannis Aloimonos. 2015. Neural Self Talk: Image Understanding via Continuous Questioning and Answering. *ArXiv abs/1512.03460* (2015).
- [35] Shijie Zhang, Lizhen Qu, Shaodi You, Zhenglu Yang, and Jiawan Zhang. 2016. Automatic Generation of Grounded Visual Questions. *ArXiv abs/1612.06530* (2016).
- [36] Yuke Zhu, Oliver Groth, Michael Bernstein, and Li Fei-Fei. 2015. Visual7W: Grounded Question Answering in Images. *arXiv:1511.03416 [cs.CV]*