

Angular Expansion of Nova Shells*

E. SANTAMARÍA,^{1,2} M.A. GUERRERO,³ G. RAMOS-LARIOS,^{1,2} J.A. TOALÁ,⁴ L. SABIN,⁵ G. RUBIO,^{1,2} AND
J.A. QUINO-MENDOZA^{1,2}

¹*CUCEI, Universidad de Guadalajara, Blvd. Marcelino García Barragán 1421, 44430, Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico*

²*Instituto de Astronomía y Meteorología, Dpto. de Física, CUCEI, Av. Vallarta 2602, 44130, Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico*

³*Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía, IAA-CSIC, Glorieta de la Astronomía s/n, 18008, Granada, Spain*

⁴*Instituto de Radioastronomía y Astrofísica (IRyA), UNAM Campus Morelia, Apartado postal 3-72, 58090 Morelia, Michoacán, Mexico*

⁵*Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apdo. Postal 877, C.P. 22860, Ensenada, B.C., Mexico*

(Received November 0, 2019; Revised February 13, 2020; Accepted February 13, 2020)

Submitted to ApJ

ABSTRACT

Nova shells can provide us with important information on their distance, their interactions with the circumstellar and interstellar media, and the evolution in morphology of the ejecta. We have obtained narrow-band images of a sample of five nova shells, namely DQ Her, FH Ser, T Aur, V476 Cyg, and V533 Her, with ages in the range from 50 to 130 years. These images have been compared with suitable available archival images to derive their angular expansion rates. We find that all the nova shells in our sample are still in the free expansion phase, which can be expected, as the mass of the ejecta is 7-45 times larger than the mass of the swept-up circumstellar medium. The nova shells will keep expanding freely for time periods up to a few hundred years, reducing their time dispersal into the interstellar medium

Keywords: techniques: image processing – imaging spectroscopy – stars: individual: novae – cataclysmic variables – ISM: kinematics and dynamics

1. INTRODUCTION

Novae are the result of the interaction of stars in close binary systems, where a white dwarf (WD) accretes H-rich material from a companion, typically a giant or subgiant low-mass star (Bode, & Evans 2008). When the accreted material reaches a critical mass, a thermonuclear runaway (TNR) occurs. Temperatures can reach values of $\sim 1\text{--}4 \times 10^8$ K in a few seconds (Starrfield et al. 2016) and up to $\sim 2 \times 10^{-4}$ M_{\odot} (Gehrz et al. 1998) of highly processed material is ejected at velocities ~ 1000 km s⁻¹ (Bode 2010) in a classical nova (CN) event. With time, the nova remnants will expand and mix into the interstellar medium (ISM).

The morphology and expansion of a nova remnant depend on the details of the nova event, but also on the interactions of the ejecta with the stellar companion

and the pre-existing circumstellar material, which may consist of an accretion disk and a common envelope. A typical CN outburst includes an initial slow (500–2000 km s⁻¹) wind followed by a longer phase with a faster (1000–4000 km s⁻¹) wind (Bode, & Evans 1989). The interaction of these two winds forms a double shock structure, with the fast wind passing through the slow one until it dissipates and cools adiabatically as it expands (O’Brien, & Lloyd 1994).

Additionally, the interaction of the ejecta with the binary companion and material in a common envelope has effects in the asphericity of the nova shell (Livio et al. 1990) and dynamics of the ejecta (Shankar et al. 1991). The effects of these interactions vary among novae of different speed class (Lloyd et al. 1997), which are basically associated to the different time-scales of the slow and fast wind phases, providing an interpretation for the larger asphericities of the remnants of slow novae with respect to those of fast novae (Slavin et al. 1995). Finally, the WD rotation may also feed the ejecta with

Corresponding author: Edgar Santamaría
edgar.santamaria.dom@gmail.com, mar@iaa.es

* Released on November, 8th, 2019

Table 1. Sample of Novae

Object	l, b	Nova Type	Outburst Date	Distance	z	Angular Size	$v_{\text{exp}}^{\text{SP}}$	References
	($^{\circ}$)					major \times minor ($''$)	major \times minor (km s^{-1})	
T Aur	177.14–1.70	fast	1891 Dec	880_{-35}^{+50} (pc)	25	25.4 \times 18.6 ($''$)	655	1
V476 Cyg	87.37+12.42	very fast	1920 Aug	670_{-50}^{+110} (pc)	145	14.6 \times 13.4 ($''$)	725	2
DQ Her	73.15+26.44	slow	1934 Dec	501_{-6}^{+6} (pc)	220	32.0 \times 24.2 ($''$)	370	3
V533 Her	69.19+24.27	slow	1963 Feb	1200_{-40}^{+50} (pc)	495	16.8 \times 15.2 ($''$)	850	4
FH Ser	32.91+5.79	slow	1970 Feb	1060_{-70}^{+110} (pc)	105	12.4 \times 10.6 ($''$)	490 \times 385	4

NOTE— Distances were obtained from *Gaia* DR2 (Schaefer 2018). References for spectroscopic expansion velocities.— (1) Cohen, & Rosenthal (1983), (2) Duerbeck (1987), (3) Vaytet et al. (2007), (4) Gill & O’Brien (2000).

angular momentum, which can produce noticeable effects on the structure of nova shells (Porter et al. 1998).

The late expansion of nova shells can help us gain insights into the plasma physics and shock phenomena associated with the blast produced by the interaction of hydrogen-poor, metal-rich ejecta with the circumstellar environment and to investigate the ingestion of this ejecta by the ISM. The complete dynamical evolution of a nova occurs in time scales comparable to that of human life, and thus it provides a first class comparison to investigate the much slower evolution of planetary nebulae (PNe) or the processes involved in the evolution of the much rare supernova remnants (SNR). The time scale for a nova dispersal is an important parameter to assess the duration of the different stages of hibernation between a CN eruption and their parents cataclysmic variables (Shara et al. 2017).

Very little attention has been paid to the late expansion of nova shells, however. Duerbeck (1987) conducted a heroic investigation of the angular expansion of nova shells using images of limited quality and concluded that they have mean half-time of 75 years, noting that this deceleration is most noticeable for novae with higher expansion velocities. Since then, very few detailed studies of the angular expansion of nova shells have been carried out, including those of GK Per, perhaps the most studied nova shell (Liimets et al. 2012; Takei et al. 2015; Harvey et al. 2016), DQ Her (Herbig, & Smak 1992; Vaytet et al. 2007; Harrison et al. 2013), FH Ser (della Valle et al. 1997; Esenoglu 1997), and recently IPHASX J210204.7+471015 (Santamaría et al. 2019). The multiple knots in GK Per expand isotropically at an angular velocity of $0''.3\text{--}0''.5 \text{ yr}^{-1}$, which has been kept unchanged since their ejection a century ago (Shara et al. 2012b; Liimets et al. 2012). This is somehow surprising, because detailed analyses of individual knots reveal the notable interaction with each other and with the ISM (Harvey et al. 2016). On the other hand, a noticeable deceleration of a bow-shock component of

the nova IPHASX J210204.7+471015 has been recently reported (Santamaría et al. 2019).

The lack of agreement between these results most likely implies that the expansion of a nova shell depends on the details of the nova outburst and the local properties of the ISM. The availability of high-quality archival images of nova shells allows a precise investigation of the expansion of a meaningful sample of sources. Using the sample of images presented by Slavin et al. (1995), we have selected five nova shells with multi-epoch high-quality images, namely DQ Her, FH Ser, T Aur, V476 Cyg, and V533 Her, to carry out a pilot study of the investigation of the angular expansion of nova shells. Basic information on these novae, including their Galactic coordinates, type, outburst date, distance (as adapted from Schaefer 2018), height over the Galactic Plane, and expansion velocity derived from spectroscopic observations, is compiled in Table 1. The latter is provided for the major and minor axes of FH Ser.

2. IMAGING

2.1. Contemporary Imaging

Present day (2016-2019) images of the nova shells in Table 1 were obtained using the Alhambra Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera (ALFOSC) at the 2.5m Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT) of the Roque de los Muchachos Observatory (ORM) in La Palma, Spain. The E2V 231-42 2k \times 2k CCD was used with pixel size $15.0 \mu\text{m}$, providing a plate scale of $0''.211 \text{ pix}^{-1}$ and a field of view (FoV) of $7'.2$ arcmin. The images used to investigate the angular expansion of these novae were obtained through $\text{H}\alpha$ filters with FWHM of 33 \AA for the 2016 run of T Aur and 13 \AA for the others. Total exposure times and spatial resolutions, as determined from the FWHM of field stars, are listed in Table 2.

Images were also acquired in other filters as described in the caption of Figure 1 to obtain colour-composite




Figure 1. From top to bottom, multi-epoch H α images (left and middle panels) of T Aur, V476 Cyg, DQ Her, V533 Her, and FH Ser (see Table 2 for details) and RGB composite colour pictures (right panel) combining NOT ALFOSC images in the broadband g' SDSS $\lambda 4800$ (blue) and narrowband H α $\lambda 6563$ (green) and [N II] $\lambda 6583$ (red) filters, but for V476 Cyg, whose colour picture was obtained using an r' SDSS $\lambda 6180$ filter for the red colour.

pictures of these novae. All images were processed using standard IRAF routines.

2.2. Archival Imaging

Archival CCD images of the novae in Table 1 have been obtained using different telescopes and instruments as listed in Table 2. The images were downloaded from the European Southern Observatory (ESO) Science Archive Facility the Isaac Newton Group (ING) data archive and the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST) and Hubble Legacy Archive (HLA) at the Space Telescope Science Institute. The ESO images were obtained using Super Seeing Instrument (SUSI) and ESO Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera 2 (EFOSC2) at the 3.5m New Technology Telescope (NTT) of the ESO’s La Silla Observatory. The ING images were acquired using the Auxiliary-port Camera (ACAM) of the 4.2m William Herschel Telescope (WHT) and Jacobus Kapteyn Telescope (JKT).

The *HST* images were obtained using the Wide Field and Planetary Camera 2 (WFPC2 Instrument Handbook, Biretta, & Lim 2009) under programs ID 6770 (PI O’Brien) and 6060 (PI Shara). The filters, exposure times, pixel scales, and spatial resolutions of these images are listed in Table 2.

Ancient images were acquired using photographic plates. The 1956 image of T Aur was taken by Walter Baade at the Palomar Observatory (Mustel, & Boyarchuk 1970), whereas that of 1978 was obtained at Kitt Peak National Observatory (KPNO) using the 4m telescope (Gallagher et al. 1980). The oldest image of V 476 Cyg was acquired in 1944 at Mount Wilson Observatory (for more details, see Adams 1944; Boyarchuk 1970). The 1977 image of DQ Her was obtained with the Steward Observatory 2.3m telescope using an ITT 40 mm tube (Williams et al. 1978).

3. RESULTS

We present in the left and middle columns of Figure 1 archival and present day images of the nova shells in our sample, respectively. These images reveal all nova shells in our sample to have elliptical morphologies with different degree of ellipticity. T Aur and DQ Her have similar knotty morphologies, with cometary knots showing remarkable tails mainly along the major axis. V476 Cyg also seems to have a broken, clumpy morphology, whereas the shells of FH Ser and V533 Her have smoother appearance. The comparison of present day images (Fig. 1 middle column) with representative archival images (Fig. 1 left column) unveils clear expansion patterns. A careful examination of multi-epoch images also discloses small-scale morphological variations,




Figure 2. Expansion of the semi-minor axis of nova shells. The angular size of the semi-minor axis measured in the images has been converted into linear size in pc using the *Gaia* DR2 distance to each nova as listed in Table 1, whereas the epoch of each measurement is referred to the time since the nova outburst. The error bars correspond to the dispersion of the individual values obtained for each epoch, which is smaller than the symbol size in a few cases. The expansion of all novae is consistent with free expansion. The slope of the linear fits has been converted to expansion velocity in the common units of km s^{-1} .

including changes in the size and distribution of clumps. A detailed study is deferred to a subsequent work (Santamaría et al., in preparation).

To investigate and quantify the expansion of these nova shells, radial spatial profiles across individual discrete features have been extracted from the images at the different epochs listed in Table 2. The distance of these features to the central star has been determined by measuring their position using Gaussian fits. The angular sizes along different directions have then been normalized to the minor axis using an elliptical fit to the shape of the nova shell, and an averaged value for the size of the minor axis and its 1σ dispersion derived for each epoch. These are shown in Figure 2, together with linear fits for all the nova shells in our sample, where the time is computed from the nova outburst date and the angular size of the semi-minor axis has been converted to linear size using the nova distance. The increase of the size of these nova shells with time can be described by linear fits (Figure 2) with correlation coefficients ≥ 0.98 , implying *t*-test significance probabilities $\geq 98\%$ for V533 Her and V476 Cyg, $>99\%$ for FH Ser, and $>99.9\%$ for DQ Her and T Aur. The slope of these fits correspond to the angular expansion rates along the minor axis of these nova shells (column 2 of Table 1).

Table 2. Details of the Imaging Observations

Object	Date	Telescope and Instrument	Filter	Exposure Time (s)	Pixel Scale (" pix ⁻¹)	Spatial Resolution (")
T Aur	1956 Dec	PO & 103aE	Red	...	1.7	...
	1978 Mar	KPNO & ISIT	H α	1800
	1989 Nov 22	POSS2	Red RG610	4800	1.0	...
	1998 Nov 2	<i>HST</i> & WFPC2	F656N	5400	0.05	0.2
	2016 Nov 28	NOT & ALFOSC	NOT #21 H α	1800	0.21	0.6
	2018 Jan 03	NTT & EFOSC2	H α	1440	0.12	0.5
	2019 Oct 11	NOT & ALFOSC	OSN H01 H α	2700	0.21	0.9
V476 Cyg	1944 Jan-Jun	MW & 100-inch	H α
	1993 Sep 12	WHT & Aux. Port	H α 6569	900	0.25	1.2
	2018 Jun 08	NOT & ALFOSC	OSN H01 H α	2700	0.21	0.7
DQ Her	1977 May 15	BokT & ITT 40mm	H α
	1993 Jul 31	JKT & AGBX	H α	7200	0.33	2.0
	1995 Sep 04	<i>HST</i> & WFPC2	F656N	2000	0.05	0.1
	1997 Oct 25	WHT & Aux. Port	H α 656	1200	0.11	0.4
	2012 Aug 15	WHT & ACAM	T6565	40	0.25	0.7
	2017 May 27	NOT & ALFOSC	OSN H01 H α	2700	0.21	0.8
	2018 Jun 05	NOT & ALFOSC	OSN H01 H α	2700	0.21	0.8
V533 Her	1993 Sep 11	WHT & Aux. Port	H α 6569	1800	0.25	1.0
	1997 Sep 03	<i>HST</i> & WFPC2	F656N	2600	0.05	0.2
	2018 Jun 06	NOT & ALFOSC	OSN H01 H α	4800	0.21	0.6
FH Ser	1996 Mar 18	NTT & SUSI	H α	720	0.13	0.9
	1997 May 11	<i>HST</i> & WFPC2	F656N	4800	0.05	0.1
	2017 May 29	NOT & ALFOSC	OSN H01 H α	2700	0.21	0.6
	2018 Jun 06	NOT & ALFOSC	OSN H01 H α	3600	0.21	0.7

We note that the angular expansion rates derived from these fits are consistent with those previously reported.

Vaytet et al. (2007) derived angular expansion rates of $0''.205 \pm 0''.014 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and $0''.165 \pm 0''.012 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ along the major and minor axes of DQ Her, respectively, whereas angular expansion rates of $0''.128 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Seitter, & Duerbeck 1987), $0''.136 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Duerbeck 1992), and $0''.104\text{--}0''.146 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (della Valle et al. 1997) have been reported for FH Ser. Similarly, Harvey (2018) provides angular expansion rates $\approx 0''.12 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and $\approx 0''.088 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ along the major and minor axes of T Aur, respectively, and $\approx 0''.075 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for V476 Cyg.

4. DISCUSSION

The main result from the investigation of the angular expansion of this sample of nova shells is their linear expansion with time (Fig. 2). This linear increase of size with time implies a free expansion, where the initial velocity of the ejecta remains the same since the nova event with no sign of deceleration. Thus, we should expect the expansion velocity of a nova shell derived from its angular expansion rate and distance (\bar{v}_{exp} , column

3 of Table 3), which is the averaged expansion velocity of the ejecta since the nova outburst projected on the plane of the sky, to be consistent with the expansion velocity derived from spectroscopic observations ($v_{\text{exp}}^{\text{sp}}$, column 8 of Table 1), which is the expansion velocity along the line of sight at the time of the observation¹. These two expansion velocities are found to be in excellent agreement for DQ Her and V533 Her, and within the uncertainties for FH Ser, whose $v_{\text{exp}}^{\text{sp}}$ have been derived from spatiokinematic models. Remarkable discrepancies are found, however, for T Aur and V476 Cyg, whose spectroscopic observations are of low quality (Cohen, & Rosenthal 1983; Duerbeck 1987).

¹ Spatiokinematic models of nova shells found them to be described as prolate ellipsoid with axial ratios ≤ 1.3 (e.g., FH Ser Gill & O’Brien 2000). Since the angular expansion along the minor axis probes the equatorial expansion of such a prolate ellipsoid, the spectroscopic velocity can be expected to be at most 1.3 times larger than the latter in the most favorable case of pole-on ellipsoids.

Table 3. Flux and mass of the nova shells

Object	Angular Expansion (" yr ⁻¹)	\bar{v}_{exp} (km s ⁻¹)	$M_{\text{swept}} \times (\frac{n_{\text{ISM}}}{1 \text{ cm}^{-3}})$ (M_{\odot})	$M_{\text{shell}} \times (\frac{\epsilon}{0.1})^{0.5}$ (M_{\odot})	$F_{\text{H}\alpha}$ (erg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	E_{kin} (erg)
T Aur	(0.097±0.004)×(0.072±0.001)	(410±40)×(315±22)	1.3×10 ⁻⁵	3.6×10 ⁻⁴	2×10 ⁻¹³	4.2×10 ⁴⁴
V476 Cyg	(0.073±0.008)×(0.067±0.007)	(230±60)×(200±50)	1.7×10 ⁻⁶	2.2×10 ⁻⁵	1×10 ⁻¹⁴	1.1×10 ⁴³
DQ Her	(0.188±0.008)×(0.139±0.005)	(460±25)×(325±16)	5.2×10 ⁻⁶	2.3×10 ⁻⁴	7×10 ⁻¹³	3.3×10 ⁴⁴
V533 Her	(0.152±0.006)×(0.139±0.007)	(850±70)×(770±70)	1.3×10 ⁻⁵	9.0×10 ⁻⁵	7×10 ⁻¹⁵	5.9×10 ⁴⁴
FH Ser	(0.125±0.002)×(0.109±0.002)	(630±80)×(540±70)	3.5×10 ⁻⁶	1.4×10 ⁻⁴	9×10 ⁻¹⁴	4.7×10 ⁴⁴

An orientation of the major axis of these nova shells close to the line of sight cannot explain the much larger spectroscopic velocities of T Aur and V476 Cyg than the expansion velocities on the plane of the sky along the minor axis. We note that recent high-dispersion spectra of T Aur imply expansion velocities similar to those found here (Harvey 2018).

The linear expansion with time of the nova shells in this sample strengthens the idea that the ejecta has kept expanding at its initial velocity since the nova event. This result confirms previous results presented for T Aur and V476 Cyg, but also for V1500 Cyg and V4362 Sgr (Harvey 2018). Apparently, the circumstellar medium around these novae has not been able to slow down their expansion, which can be expected if the mass of the ISM material swept up by the nova shell is much smaller than the mass of the nova ejecta. This can be tested by computing their values. Assuming an ISM density²

$n_{\text{ISM}}=1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, the volume evacuated by the nova shell implies swept-up masses of 10^{-6} – $10^{-5} M_{\odot}$ (column 4 in Table 3). These can be compared with the nova masses 2×10^{-5} – $3 \times 10^{-4} M_{\odot}$ (column 5 in Table 3). The latter have been derived following Mustel, & Boyarchuk (1970), using the unabsorbed H α fluxes listed in column 6 and assuming a filling factor $\epsilon=0.1$. The H α fluxes are computed from our H α narrow-band images, using intermediate-dispersion flux-calibrated spectra of DQ Her to derive a count-to-flux conversion factor and corrected for absorption using the extinction values given by Slavin et al. (1995) for T Aur and V476 Cyg, Selvelli, & Gilmozzi (2013) for DQ Her and V533 Her, and Gill & O’Brien (2000) for FH Ser. The masses of the nova ejecta are indeed much greater than the masses of the swept up ISM, by factors from 7 to 45, which is consistent with their free expansion. At their present expansion rates, the free expansion can be expected to last from $\simeq 100$ yr for V533 Her up to $\simeq 400$ yr for T Aur until the time when the swept up ISM mass equals that

of the nova ejecta. Since the nova ejecta is not slowed down, it reduces the time for dispersal of nova shells into the ISM.

The free expansion is supported by the large kinetic energy ($\frac{1}{2} M_{\text{shell}} v_{\text{exp}}^2$) of the nova shells, which have been computed adopting a weighted expansion velocity among the polar and equatorial velocities. The kinetic energies listed in column 7 of Table 3 are in the range of a few times 10^{44} erg, but for V476 Cyg, which is 1×10^{43} erg.

The free expansion of the nova shells in our sample is in sharp contrast with the conclusions drawn by Duerbeck (1987), who proposed that the expansion velocity of a nova shell reduces to half every 75 years. This is particularly shocking for DQ Her and V476 Cyg (this work), and GK Per (Shara et al. 2012b; Liimets et al. 2012), which were proposed to have deceleration half-times of 67, 117, and 58 years, respectively. The free expansion of nova shells applies to different nebular morphologies, from the smooth elliptical morphology of FH Ser, V476 Cyg, and V533 Her, the mildly broken elliptical structure of T Aur and DQ Her, and the knotty morphology of GK Per. Only the faint bow-shock structural component of IPHASX J210204.7+471015 seems to have experienced a notable braking in its interaction with the ISM (Guerrero et al. 2018; Santamaría et al. 2019).

5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The comparison between multi-epoch suitable broadband and narrowband images of the nova shells DQ Her, FH Ser, T Aur, V476 Cyg, and V533 Her has been used to derive their angular expansion rates. This is found to be unchanged since the nova event, i.e., the nova shells in this sample are still in a free expansion phase. This can be expected, as the mass of the ejecta is 7–45 times larger than the mass of the swept-up circumstellar medium.

The images of the nova shells in our sample cover a time lapse since the nova event from 20 to 130 yrs.

Given the large ratio between the mass of the ejecta and that of the swept-up circumstellar medium, the free expansion phase can be expected to last for a few hun-

² The averaged density of the ISM along the path towards these novae is in the range from 0.1 to 2 cm^{-3} (HI4PI Collaboration et al. 2016).

dred years, during most (if not all) their whole visible phase.

E.S. G.R. and J.A.Q.M. acknowledges support from CONACyT and Universidad de Guadalajara. M.A.G. and E.S. acknowledge financial support by grants AYA 2014-57280-P and PGC 2018-102184-B-I00, co-funded with FEDER funds. M.A.G. acknowledges support from the State Agency for Research of the Spanish MCIU through the “Center of Excellence Severo Ochoa” award for the Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (SEV-2017-0709). E.S. acknowledges the hospitality of the IAA during a short-term visit. G.R.L. acknowledges support from CONACyT and PRODEP (Mexico). L.S. acknowledges support from UNAM DGAPA PAPIIT project IN101819. M.A.G. and J.A.T. acknowledge support from the UNAM DGAPA PAPIIT project IA 100318. We appreciate the valuable comments of the referee, Dr Nye Evans. Finally, we thank Alessandro Ederoclite for useful discussion and comments. The

data presented here were obtained in part with AL-FOSC, which is provided by the Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (IAA) under a joint agreement with the University of Copenhagen and NOTSA. This research made use of IRAF, distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA) under a cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation. We acknowledge the use of The ESO Science Archive Facility developed in partnership with the Space Telescope European Coordinating Facility (ST-ECF). Also, the ING archive, maintained as part of the CASU Astronomical Data Centre at the Institute of Astronomy, Cambridge and finally, the STScI, operated by the Association of Universities for research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-26555.

Facilities: HST(STIS), NOT:2.5m

Software: astropy (Astropy Collaboration, et al. 2013), IRAF (Tody 1986)

REFERENCES

- Adams, W. S. 1944, Mount Wilson Observatory Annual Report, 16, 1
- Astropy Collaboration, et al. 2013, A&A, 558, A33
- Biretta, J., & Lim, P. L. 2009, Space Telescope WFPC2 Instrument Science Report
- Bode, M. F., & Evans, A. 1989, Classical Novae, 163
- Bode, M. F., & Evans, A. 2008, Classical Novae
- Bode, M. F. 2010, Astronomische Nachrichten, 331, 160
- Boyarchuk, A. A. 1970, Interstellar Gas Dynamics, 281
- Cohen, J. G., & Rosenthal, A. J. 1983, ApJ, 268, 689
- della Valle, M., Gilmozzi, R., Bianchini, A., et al. 1997, A&A, 325, 1151
- Duerbeck, H.W. 1992, Acta Astronomica, 42, 85
- Duerbeck, H. W. 1987, Astrophysics and Space Science, 131, 461
- Esenoglu, H. 1997, PASP, 109, 1285
- Ferland, G. J., Williams, R. E., Lambert, D. L., et al. 1984, ApJ, 281, 194
- Gallagher, J. S., Hege, E. K., Kopriva, D. A., et al. 1980, ApJ, 237, 55
- Gehrz, R. D., Truran, J. W., Williams, R. E., et al. 1998, PASP, 110, 3
- Gill, C. D., & O’Brien, T. J. 2000, MNRAS, 314, 175
- Guerrero, M. A., Sabin, L., Tovmassian, G., et al. 2018, ApJ, 857, 80
- Harvey, E., Redman, M. P., Boumis, P., et al. 2016, A&A, 595, A64
- Harvey, E., PhD thesis, National University of Ireland Galway, Ireland 2018
- Harrison, T. E., Bornak, J., McArthur, B. E., et al. 2013, ApJ, 767, 7
- Herbig, G. H., & Smak, J. I. 1992, AcA, 42, 17
- HI4PI Collaboration, Ben Bekhti, N., Fler, L., et al. 2016, A&A, 594, A116
- Liimets, T., Corradi, R. L. M., Santander-García, M., et al. 2012, ApJ, 761, 34
- Livio, M., Shankar, A., Burkert, A., et al. 1990, ApJ, 356, 250
- Lloyd, H. M., O’Brien, T. J., & Bode, M. F. 1997, MNRAS, 284, 137
- Mustel, E. R., & Boyarchuk, A. A. 1970, Ap&SS, 6, 183
- O’Brien, T. J., & Lloyd, H. M. 1994, Ap&SS, 216, 167
- Porter, J. M., O’Brien, T. J., & Bode, M. F. 1998, MNRAS, 296, 943
- Sahman, D. I., Dhillon, V. S., Knigge, C., et al. 2015, MNRAS, 451, 2863
- Santamaría, E., Guerrero, M. A., Ramos-Larios, G., et al. 2019, MNRAS, 483, 3773
- Schaefer, B. E. 2018, MNRAS, 481, 3033
- Schwarz, G. J., Ness, J.-U., Osborne, J. P., et al. 2011, ApJS, 197, 31
- Seitter, W.C., & Duerbeck, H. 1987, in RS Oph 1985 and the Recurrent Nova Phenomenon. ed. M.F. Bode (VNU Science Press, Utrecht), 71

- Selvelli, P., & Gilmozzi, R. 2013, *A&A*, 560, A49
- Shankar, A., Livio, M., & Truran, J. W. 1991, *ApJ*, 374, 623
- Shara, M. M., Mizusawa, T., Wehinger, P., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 758, 121
- Shara, M. M., Zurek, D., De Marco, O., et al. 2012, *AJ*, 143, 143
- Shara, M. M., Drissen, L., Martin, T., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, 465, 739
- Slavin, A. J., O'Brien, T. J., & Dunlop, J. S. 1995, *MNRAS*, 276, 353
- Starrfield, S., Iliadis, C., & Hix, W. R. 2016, *PASP*, 128, 51001
- Takei, D., Drake, J. J., Yamaguchi, H., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 801, 92
- Tody D., 1986, *SPIE*, 733, *SPIE*..627
- Vaytet, N. M. H., O'Brien, T. J., & Rushton, A. P. 2007, *MNRAS*, 380, 175
- Warner, B. 1995, *Cambridge Astrophysics Series*, 28
- Williams, R. E., Woolf, N. J., Hege, E. K., et al. 1978, *ApJ*, 224, 171