

Nonregularity via Ordinal Extensions

Jack H. Lutz * and Giora Slutzki

Department of Computer Science
Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA
{lutz,slutzki}@iastate.edu

Abstract. We present a simple new method for proving that languages are not regular. We prove the correctness of the method, illustrate the ease of using the method on well-known examples of nonregular languages, and prove two additional theorems on the power and limitations of the method.

Keywords: Finite automata · Formal language theory · Regular languages.

1 Introduction

One of the most fundamental questions that can arise about a language (i.e., decision problem) $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is whether A is *regular*, that is, whether membership in A can be decided by a finite-state automaton. In the years since Kleene [3] introduced regular languages, several methods for proving that languages are not regular have been developed. Such methods, which have recently been surveyed by Frishberg and Gasarch [1], are of both scientific and pedagogical interest.

This paper presents the *ordinal extension nonregularity method*, an extremely simple method for proving that a language $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is nonregular. The term “ordinal” here is used as in ordinary language (“first”, “second”, “third”, etc.) and not as in transfinite set theory. Specifically, for a language $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$ and each positive integer $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let $A^{(j)}$ be the set of all j th A -extensions. That is, a string $y \in \Sigma^*$ is an element of $A^{(j)}$ if there exists a string $x \in \Sigma^*$ such that y is, in a standard ordering of Σ^* , the j th string such that $xy \in A$. We say that A has *bounded ordinal extensions* if there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $|A^{(j)}| \leq m$. Otherwise, A has *unbounded ordinal extensions*. We say that A has *infinite ordinal extensions* if there exists $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $|A^{(j)}| = \infty$. Every language with infinite ordinal extensions clearly has unbounded ordinal extensions.

Our main theorem is the *ordinal extension nonregularity theorem*, which says that, if a language $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$ has unbounded ordinal extensions, then A is not regular.

We prove our main theorem in section 2. In section 3 we demonstrate the ease of using the ordinal extension nonregularity method by applying it to several

*Research supported in part by National Science Foundation grants 1545028 and 1900716

well-known examples of nonregular languages A . In many of these cases, it turns out to be very easy to show that $|A^{(j)}| = \infty$ for some small value of j . In section 4 we exhibit a nonregular language $A \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ that has bounded ordinal extensions, thereby showing that the ordinal extension nonregularity method is not directly applicable to every nonregular language. In section 5 we exhibit a language $A \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ that has unbounded ordinal extensions but not infinite ordinal extensions. We also give a lemma that sometimes enables an easy proof that a given language does not have infinite ordinal extensions. In section 6 we mention a few of the many open questions raised by our results.

2 Ordinal Extension Nonregularity Theorem

This section presents our main theorem. Let Σ be a nonempty, finite alphabet with a fixed ordering of elements. Let strings in Σ^* be ordered first by length and, within each length, lexicographically according to the fixed ordering of Σ . For each set $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$ and each positive integer $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ not exceeding $|A|$, the j^{th} element of A is thus unambiguously defined. We write λ for the empty string, the 1st element of Σ^* .

For each $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$ and $x \in \Sigma^*$, we use the standard notation

$$A_x = \{y \in \Sigma^* \mid xy \in A\}$$

for the set of all A -extensions of x . For each $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$, $x \in \Sigma^*$, and $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, define the set $A_x^{(j)}$ as follows. If $j \leq |A_x|$, then $A_x^{(j)} = \{y\}$, where y is the j^{th} element of A_x . If $j > |A_x|$, then $A_x^{(j)} = \emptyset$. Note that $|A_x^{(j)}| \leq 1$ in any case.

For each $A, B \subseteq \Sigma^*$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let

$$A_B^{(j)} = \bigcup_{x \in B} A_x^{(j)}$$

be the set of all j^{th} A -extensions of elements of B , and let

$$A^{(j)} = A_B^{(j)}$$

be the set of all j^{th} A -extensions.

We say that a language $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$ has *bounded ordinal extensions* if there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $|A^{(j)}| \leq m$. Otherwise, we say that A has *unbounded ordinal extensions*.

We say that a language $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$ has *infinite ordinal extensions* if there exists $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $|A^{(j)}| = \infty$. Clearly, a language with infinite ordinal extensions must have unbounded ordinal extensions.

Our main theorem may be proven in several ways. We first proved it using the Kolmogorov complexity nonregularity method of Li and Vitanyi [5,6]. Here we prefer to prove it using the famous Myhill-Nerode theorem [7,4], because this proof is quantitatively more informative. To this end, recall the following notation and terminology for an equivalence relation \approx on Σ^* .

1. The *quotient* of Σ^* by \approx is the set Σ^*/\approx of all \approx -equivalence classes.
2. \approx is *right-invariant* if, for all $x, y, z \in \Sigma^*$, $x \approx y$ implies $xz \approx yz$.
3. A language $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$ *respects* \approx if, for all $x, y \in \Sigma^*$, $x \approx y$ implies that $x \in A \Leftrightarrow y \in A$.

The Myhill-Nerode theorem implies that a language $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is regular if and only if A respects some right-invariant equivalence relation \approx on Σ^* with $|\Sigma^*/\approx| < \infty$. The following lemma is the crux of the proof of our main theorem.

Lemma 2.1. *Let \approx be a right-invariant equivalence relation on Σ^* , and let $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$. If A respects \approx , then, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,*

$$|A^{(j)}| \leq |\Sigma^*/\approx|. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Assume the hypothesis, and let $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. For all $w, x \in \Sigma^*$, we have

$$w \approx x \implies A_w = A_x \implies A_w^{(j)} = A_x^{(j)},$$

the first implication holding because \approx is right-invariant and A respects \approx . Hence, for each \approx -equivalence class $C \in \Sigma^*/\approx$ and each $x \in C$,

$$A_C^{(j)} = A_x^{(j)}.$$

This implies that

$$|A_C^{(j)}| \leq 1 \quad (2.2)$$

for each $C \in \Sigma^*/\approx$. We now have

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(j)} &= \bigcup_{x \in \Sigma^*} A_x^{(j)} \\ &= \bigcup_{C \in \Sigma^*/\approx} \bigcup_{x \in C} A_x^{(j)} \\ &= \bigcup_{C \in \Sigma^*/\approx} A_C^{(j)} \end{aligned}$$

It follows by (2.2) that (2.1) holds. \square

We now present our main theorem.

Theorem 2.2. (*ordinal extension nonregularity theorem*). *If a language $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$ has unbounded ordinal extensions, then A is not regular.*

Proof. Let $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$ have unbounded ordinal extensions. To see that A is not regular, let \approx be a right-invariant equivalence relation on Σ^* that is respected by A . By the Myhill-Nerode theorem, it suffices to show that

$$|\Sigma^*/\approx| = \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Since A has unbounded ordinal extensions, there exists $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $|A^{(j)}| > m$. It follows by Lemma 2.1 that $|\Sigma^*/\approx| > m$. Since m is arbitrary here, this proves (2.3). \square

3 Example Applications

This section applies the ordinal extension nonregularity method to some well-known nonregular languages A , all of which appear in the survey [1]. In each of these cases, the method is easily applied by showing that $|A^{(j)}| = \infty$ for some small value of j .

Example 3.1. The language $B = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has no infinite regular subset.

Proof. Let A be an infinite subset of B . Then $\{1^n \mid 0^n 1^n \in A\} \subseteq A^{(1)}$ (because each such 1^n is the first A -extension of 0^n), so A is not regular. \square

Example 3.2. The set $A = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid x^R = x\}$ of all binary palindromes is not regular. (Here, x^R is the *reverse* of x , i.e., x written backwards.)

Proof. Each 0^n is the first A -extension of $0^n 1$, so $0^* \subseteq A^{(1)}$. \square

Example 3.3. For each infinite set $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and each $n \in I$, let n^I be the least element of I that is greater than n , and let

$$\text{GAPS}_I = \{n^I - n \mid n \in I\}.$$

If GAPS_I is infinite, then the language $B_I = \{0^n \mid n \in I\}$ has no infinite regular subset.

Proof. Assume that GAPS_I is infinite, and let A be an infinite subset of B_I . Then $A = \{0^n \mid n \in J\}$ for some infinite $J \subseteq I$. Now $\{0^k \mid k \in \text{GAPS}_J\} = \{0^{n^I - n} \mid n \in J\} \subseteq A^{(2)}$ (because each such $0^{n^I - n}$ is the second A -extension of 0^n , λ being the first), and GAPS_J is infinite, so $|A^{(2)}| = \infty$. \square

Corollary 3.4. *The set $B = \{0^p \mid p \text{ is prime}\}$ has no infinite regular subset.*

Example 3.5. The set $A = \{xx^R y \mid x, y \in \{0, 1\}^+\}$ is not regular. (Here $\{0, 1\}^+ = \{0, 1\}^* \setminus \{\lambda\}$.)

Proof. $A^{(1)} \supseteq \{(10)^n 0 \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, because each $(10)^n 0$ is the first A -extension of $(01)^n$. \square

Example 3.6. The language

$$A = \{0^m 1^n \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ and } \gcd(m, n) = 1\}$$

is not regular.

Proof. For prime p , 1^p is the second A -extension of $0^{(p-1)!}$ (1 being the first), so $\{1^p \mid p \text{ is prime}\} \subseteq A^{(2)}$. \square

4 Incompleteness of the Method

In this section we exhibit a nonregular language $A \subseteq \{0\}^*$ that has bounded ordinal extensions. This proves that the converse of the ordinal extension nonregularity theorem does not hold, whence the ordinal extension nonregularity method is not directly applicable to every nonregular language.

Construction 4.1. For each set $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, let

$$I' = \{3i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{3i + 1 \mid i \in I\} \cup \{3i + 2 \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I\},$$

and let

$$A = A[I] = \{0^n \mid n \in I'\}.$$

Throughout this section, I' and A are defined from I as in Construction 4.1. Our main technical lemma concerning this construction is the following.

Lemma 4.2. For all $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$|A^{(j)}| \leq 3. \quad (4.1)$$

Before proving Lemma 4.2, we use it to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.3. There is a nonregular language that has bounded ordinal extensions.

Proof. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be undecidable. Then $A = A[I]$ is clearly not regular. By Lemma 4.2, A has bounded ordinal extensions.

The rest of this section is devoted to proving Lemma 4.2. Our proof uses a two-parameter family of sets defined as follows.

Construction 4.4. For each $m \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, define the set $B_m^j \subseteq \{0\}^*$ by the following three recursions on j .

(0)

$$B_0^1 = \{\lambda\} \quad (4.2)$$

$$B_0^j = \begin{cases} B_0^{j-1} \cdot \{0, 0^2\} & \text{if } j > 1 \text{ is even} \\ B_0^{j-2} \cdot \{0^3\} & \text{if } j > 1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

(1)

$$B_1^1 = \{\lambda, 0\}; \quad B_1^2 = \{0^2\} \quad (4.4)$$

$$B_1^j = \begin{cases} B_1^{j-2} \cdot \{0^3\} & \text{if } j > 2 \text{ is even} \\ B_1^{j-1} \cdot \{0, 0^2\} & \text{if } j > 2 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

(2)

$$B_2^1 = \{\lambda, 0\}; \quad B_2^2 = \{0, 0^2, 0^3\} \quad (4.6)$$

$$B_2^j = \begin{cases} B_2^{j-1} \cdot \{0^2\} & \text{if } j > 2 \text{ is even} \\ B_2^{j-1} \cdot \{0\} & \text{if } j > 2 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

Finally, for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let

$$B^{(j)} = B_2^j. \quad (4.8)$$

It is clear by inspection that

$$|B^{(j)}| \leq 3 \quad (4.9)$$

holds for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Hence, to prove Lemma 4.2, it suffices to prove the following.

Lemma 4.5. *For all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $A^{(j)} \subseteq B^{(j)}$.*

The proof of Lemma 4.5 appears in the Optional Technical Appendix.

5 Unbounded versus Infinite Ordinal Extensions

The main objective of this section is to establish the existence of languages that have unbounded, but not infinite, ordinal extensions.

Construction 5.1. *For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let*

$$t(j) = \sum_{k=1}^j k = \binom{j+1}{2}$$

be the j^{th} triangular number. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let $t^{-1}(n)$ be the unique $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$t(j-1) < n \leq t(j). \quad (5.1)$$

For each $n, m, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, define the strings

$$x_n = 0^n 1, \quad y(m, k) = x_m x_k.$$

Define the languages

$$B = \{x_n y(t^{-1}(n), n - t(t^{-1}(n) - 1)) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\},$$

$$C = \{x_n y(m, 1) \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ and } m < t^{-1}(n)\},$$

and let

$$A = B \cup C.$$

Theorem 5.2. *The language A of Construction 5.1 has unbounded ordinal extensions, but not infinite ordinal extensions.*

Proof. Throughout the proof we use the fact that

$$X = \{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$$

is a prefix set, i.e., that no element of X is a prefix of another element of X .

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, if we let $j = t^{-1}(n)$, then the A -extensions of x_n , in order, are

$$y(1, 1), \dots, y(j-1, 1), y(j, n-t(j-1)). \quad (5.2)$$

(The first $j-1$ of these are C -extensions of x_n and the last is a B -extension of x_n .)

To see that A has unbounded ordinal extensions, let $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. For each $1 \leq i \leq j$, (5.2) tells us that $y(j, i)$ is the j^{th} A -extension of x_n where $n = t(j-1) + i$. Since $y(j, 1), \dots, y(j, j)$ are distinct, it follows that $|A^{(j)}| \geq j$. Since j is arbitrary here, this confirms that A has unbounded ordinal extensions.

To see that A does not have infinite ordinal extensions, let $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. It suffices to show that

$$|A^{(j)}| < \infty. \quad (5.3)$$

Recall that $X = \{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$, and define the sets

$$Y = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid \text{there exist } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ and } w \in A \text{ such that } x_n \sqsubset x \sqsubset w\},$$

$$Z = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid A_x = \emptyset\}.$$

It is clear that

$$\{0\}^* \cup A \cup X \cup Y \cup Z = \{0, 1\}^*,$$

whence

$$A^{(j)} = A_{\{0\}^*}^{(j)} \cup A_A^{(j)} \cup A_X^{(j)} \cup A_Y^{(j)} \cup A_Z^{(j)}. \quad (5.4)$$

We examine the five sets in this union in turn.

(i) The j^{th} extension of *any* string $x \in \{0\}^*$ is $0^{j-1}1y(1, 1)$, so

$$A_{\{0\}^*}^{(j)} = \{0^{j-1}1y(1, 1)\}. \quad (5.5)$$

(ii)

$$A_A^{(j)} = \begin{cases} \{\lambda\} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \emptyset & \text{if } j > 1. \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

(iii) By (5.2) we have

$$A_X^{(j)} = \{y(j, i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq j\}. \quad (5.7)$$

(iv) It is clear that

$$A_Y^{(j)} \subseteq D, \quad (5.8)$$

where D is the set of all $u \in \{0, 1\}^*$ for which there exist $r, s \in \{1, \dots, j\}$ such that $\lambda \sqsubset u \sqsubset x_r x_s$.

(v) Trivially,

$$A_Z^{(j)} = \emptyset. \quad (5.9)$$

Since the sets on the right-hand sides of (5.5), (5.6), (5.7), (5.8), and (5.9) are all finite, (5.4) tells us that (5.3) holds. \square

We conclude this section with a lemma that sometimes enables an easy proof that a given language does not have infinite ordinal extensions.

Given a language $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$, call a string $y \in \Sigma^*$ a *universal A -extension*, and write $y \in \text{UE}(A)$, if, for every $x \in \Sigma^*$, $xy \in A$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. If $|\text{UE}(A)| \geq j$, then $|A^{(j)}| < \infty$.*

Proof. Assume the hypothesis. Let z be the j^{th} element of $\text{UE}(A)$, and let S be the set of all strings in Σ^* up to and including z . It suffices to show that $A^{(j)} \subseteq S$. For this, let $y \in A^{(j)}$. Then there exists $x \in \Sigma^*$ such that y is the j^{th} A -extension of x . Since S contains at least j A -extensions of x (namely, the first j universal A -extensions), it follows that $y \in S$. \square

Corollary 5.4. *Let $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$. If $\text{UE}(A)$ is infinite, then A does not have infinite ordinal extensions.*

The language A of the following example was introduced by Kamae and Weiss [2] in connection with the theory of normal numbers.

Example 5.5. The language

$$A = \{u110^n10^n \mid u \in \{0,1\}^* \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$$

does not have infinite ordinal extensions.

Proof. For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $(11010)^n \in \text{UE}(A)$, so this follows immediately from Corollary 5.4.

6 Conclusion

We have shown that the ordinal extension nonregularity theorem gives a very convenient method for proving the nonregularity of many languages. In the first author's experience, students have been more successful at giving rigorous proofs of nonregularity using this method than using other methods such as the pumping lemma. It would be interesting to see a systematic study comparing the pedagogical efficacies of such methods.

Pedagogical matters aside, our work suggests a number of open questions. We discuss just a few of them here.

The Kamae-Weiss language A of Example 5.5 is nonregular and does not have infinite ordinal extensions. It is thus *either* a language of the type shown to exist by Theorem 4.3 *or* a language of the type shown to exist by Theorem

5.2. At the time of this writing, we do not know which of these two alternatives is the case.

Define the *ordinal extension spectrum* of a language $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$ to be the function $\text{sp}_A : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ defined by

$$\text{sp}_A(j) = |A^{(j)}|$$

for $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Which functions $f : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ are ordinal extension spectra of languages?

Most importantly, can variants of the ordinal extension nonregularity method be developed to prove that languages lie outside of other significant classes such as CFLs or DCFLs?

References

1. Frishberg, D., Gasarch, W.: Open Problems Column. Different ways to prove a language is not regular. SIGACT News **49**(1), 40–54 (Mar 2018). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3197406.3197413>
2. Kamae, T., Weiss, B.: Normal numbers and selection rules. Israel Journal of Mathematics **21**(2-3), 101–110 (1975)
3. Kleene, S.C.: Representation of events in nerve nets and finite automata. In: Shannon, C.E., McCarthy, J. (eds.) Automata Studies, chap. 1, pp. 3–41. Princeton University Press (1956)
4. Kozen, D.: Automata and Computability. Springer (1997)
5. Li, M., Vitányi, P.: A new approach to formal language theory by Kolmogorov complexity. SIAM Journal on Computing **24**(2), 398–410 (1995)
6. Li, M., Vitányi, P.: An Introduction to Kolmogorov Complexity and Its Applications (Fourth Edition). Springer (2019)
7. Nerode, A.: Linear automaton transformations. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **9**(4), 541–544 (1958)

A Optional Technical Appendix

This appendix is devoted to proving Lemma 4.5. For this we first develop useful properties of Construction 4.4.

Lemma A.1.

$$1. \text{ For all } j \in \mathbb{Z}^+, B_0^j \cdot \{0^3\} = B_0^{j+2}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$2. \text{ For all } j \in \mathbb{Z}^+, B_1^j \cdot \{0^3\} = B_1^{j+2}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$3. \text{ For all } 2 \leq j \in \mathbb{Z}^+, B_2^j \cdot \{0^3\} = B_2^{j+2}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Proof. 1. If j is odd then (A.1) follows immediately from (4.3). If j is even, then three applications of (4.3) tell us that

$$B_0^{j+2} = B_0^{j+1} \cdot \{0, 0^2\} = B_0^{j-1} \cdot \{0^4, 0^5\} = B_0^j \cdot \{0^3\}.$$

2. This is exactly like 1 above, but using (4.4) and (4.5) in place of (4.3).

3. If j is odd, then two applications of (4.7) tell us that

$$B_2^{j+2} = B_2^{j+1} \cdot \{0\} = B_2^j \cdot \{0^3\}.$$

□

Lemma A.2. For all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$B_0^j \cup B_1^j \subseteq B_2^j.$$

Proof. We prove the inclusions $B_0^j \subseteq B_2^j$ and $B_1^j \subseteq B_2^j$ by separate inductions on j .

By (4.2) and (4.6), $B_0^1 \subseteq B_2^1$. By (4.3), (4.2), and (4.6), $B_0^2 \subseteq B_2^2$. Assume that $B_0^k \subseteq B_2^k$ holds for all $1 \leq k < j$, where $j \geq 3$. Then, by (A.1), the induction hypothesis, and (A.3), we have

$$B_0^j = B_0^{j-2} \cdot \{0^3\} \subseteq B_2^{j-2} \cdot \{0^3\} = B_2^j.$$

This completes the proof that $B_0^j \subseteq B_2^j$ holds for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

By (4.4) and (4.6), we have $B_1^1 = B_2^1$ and $B_1^2 \subseteq B_2^2$. Assume that $B_1^k \subseteq B_2^k$ holds for all $1 \leq k < j$, where $k \geq 3$. Then, by (A.2), the induction hypothesis, and (A.3), we have

$$B_1^j = B_1^{j-2} \cdot \{0^3\} \subseteq B_2^{j-2} \cdot \{0^3\} = B_2^j.$$

This completes the proof that $B_1^j \subseteq B_2^j$ holds for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

□

For each set $B \subseteq \{0\}^*$, let

$$B/0 = \{y \in \{0\}^* \mid y0 \in B\}.$$

Lemma A.3.

1. For all even $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $B_1^j \cdot \{0\} \subseteq B_2^j$. (A.4)

2. For all $2 \leq j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $B_1^j/0 \subseteq B_2^j$. (A.5)

2. For all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $B_1^j \cdot \{0\} \subseteq B_2^j$. (A.6)

Proof. All three parts of this proof use induction on j .

1. By (4.4) and (4.6), $B_1^2 \cdot \{0\} \subseteq B_2^2$. Assume that $B_1^j \cdot \{0\} \subseteq B_2^j$, where $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ is even. Then (A.2), the induction hypothesis, and (A.3) tell us that

$$\begin{aligned} B_1^{j+2} \cdot \{0\} &= B_1^j \cdot \{0^4\} \\ &\subseteq B_2^j \cdot \{0^3\} \\ &= B_2^{j+2}. \end{aligned}$$

2. By (4.4) and (4.6), $B_1^2/0 \subseteq B_2^2$. By (4.5), (4.6), and (4.7),

$$\begin{aligned} B_1^3/0 &= B_1^2 \cdot \{\lambda, 0\} \\ &\subseteq B_2^2 \cdot \{0\} \\ &\subseteq B_2^3. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $B_1^k/0 \subseteq B_2^k$ holds for all $2 \leq k < j$, where $j \geq 4$. Then (A.2), the induction hypothesis, and (A.3) tell us that

$$\begin{aligned} B_1^j/0 &= (B_1^{j-2} \cdot \{0^3\})/0 \\ &= (B_1^{j-2}/0) \cdot \{0\} \\ &\subseteq B_2^{j-2} \cdot \{0^3\} \\ &= B_2^j. \end{aligned}$$

3. By (4.2) and (4.6), $B_0^1 \cdot \{0\} = B_2^1$. By (4.3), (4.2), and (4.6), $B_0^2 \cdot \{0\} \subseteq B_2^2$. Assume that $B_0^k \cdot \{0\} \subseteq B_2^k$ holds for all $1 \leq k < j$, where $j \geq 3$. Then (A.1), the induction hypothesis, and (A.3) tell us that

$$B_0^j \cdot \{0\} = B_0^{j-2} \cdot \{0^4\} \subseteq B_2^{j-2} \cdot \{0^3\} = B_2^j.$$

□

With the above results on Construction 4.4 in hand, we return to the set A of Construction 4.1.

Since A is an infinite subset of $\{0\}^*$, every string in $\{0\}^*$ has infinitely many A -extensions. This implies that $|A_{0^n}^{(j)}| = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. For each such n and j , then, let $a_n^{(j)}$ be the (unique) element of $A_{0^n}^{(j)}$, i.e., the j^{th} A -extension of 0^n . Note that, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$A^{(j)} = \{a_n^{(j)} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \tag{A.7}$$

Recall the set I' of Construction 4.1.

Notation. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 3$, let

$$\Delta(n) = \{k \in I' \mid n-3 \leq k < n\}$$

and

$$\delta(n) = |\Delta(n)|,$$

noting that $\delta(n) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ in any case.

The following holds by routine inspection of Construction 4.1.

Observation A.4. Let $3 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

$$1. \text{ If } \delta(n) = 1, \text{ then } a_n^{(j)}0 = a_{n-1}^{(j)}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$2. \text{ If } \delta(n) = 2, \text{ then } a_n^{(j)}0^3 = a_{n-3}^{(j+2)}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$3. \text{ If } \delta(n) = 3, \text{ then } a_n^{(j)}0^2 = a_{n-2}^{(j+2)}. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Lemma A.5. For all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$a_0^{(j)} \in B_0^j. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Proof. Note that each $a_0^{(j)}$ is simply the j^{th} element of A . We proceed by induction on j .

By (4.2), $a_0^{(1)} = \lambda \in B_0^1$. By (4.3), $a_0^{(2)} \in \{0, 0^2\} = B_0^2$. Hence (A.11) holds for $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Assume that $a_0^{(k)} \in B_0^k$ holds for all $1 \leq k \leq j$, where $j \geq 2$. It suffices to show that

$$a_0^{(j+1)} \in B_0^{j+1}. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

We have two cases.

Case 1: $j+1$ is even. Then $a_0^{(j+1)}$ is $a_0^{(j)}0$ or $a_0^{(j)}0^2$. Either way the induction hypothesis and (4.3) tell us that

$$a_0^{(j+1)} \in B_0^j \cdot \{0, 0^2\} = B_0^{j+1},$$

i.e., that (A.12) holds.

Case 2: $j+1$ is odd. Then either

$$a_0^{(j+1)} = a_0^{(j)}0 = a_0^{(j-1)}0^3$$

or

$$a_0^{(j+1)} = a_0^{(j)}0^2 = a_0^{(j-1)}0^3,$$

so the induction hypothesis and (A.1) tell us that

$$a_0^{(j+1)} \in B_0^{j-1} \cdot \{0^3\} = B_0^{j+1},$$

i.e., that (A.12) holds. □

Lemma A.6. For all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$a_1^{(j)} \in B_1^j. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Proof. (by induction on j). By (4.4), $a_1^{(1)} \in \{\lambda, 0\} = B_1^1$ and $a_1^{(2)} = 0^2 \in B_1^2$. Hence (A.13) holds for $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Assume that $a_1^{(k)} \in B_1^k$ holds for $1 \leq k \leq j$, where $j \geq 2$. It suffices to show that

$$a_1^{(j+1)} \in B_1^{j+1}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

We have two cases.

Case 1: $j + 1$ is even. Then, by Construction 4.1, the induction hypothesis, and (A.2),

$$a_1^{(j+1)} = a_1^{(j-1)}0^3 \in B_1^{j-1} \cdot \{0^3\} = B_1^{j+1}.$$

Case 2: $j + 1$ is odd. Then, by Construction 4.1, $a_1^{(j+1)} \in \{a_1^j 0, a_1^{(j)} 0^2\}$. It follows by the induction hypothesis and (4.5) that

$$a_1^{(j+1)} \in B_1^j \cdot \{0, 0^2\} = B_1^{j+1}.$$

In either case, (A.14) holds. \square

Lemma A.7. For all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$B_1^{(j+1)} \subseteq B_2^j \cdot \{0\}. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Proof. Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. We have two cases.

Case 1: j is even. Then Lemma A.2 and (4.7) tell us that

$$B_1^{j+1} \subseteq B_2^{j+1} = B_2^j \cdot \{0\},$$

so (A.15) holds.

Case 2: j is odd. Then (A.2), (A.4), and (4.7) tell us that

$$\begin{aligned} B_1^{j+1} &= B_1^{j-1} \cdot \{0^3\} \\ &\subseteq B_2^{j-1} \cdot \{0^2\} \\ &= B_2^j \cdot \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

so (A.15) again holds. \square

Lemma A.8. For all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$a_2^{(j)} \in B_2^j \quad (\text{A.16})$$

Proof. (by induction on j). By (4.6), $a_2^{(1)} \in \{\lambda, 0\} = B_2^1$ and $a_2^{(2)} \in \{0, 0^2, 0^3\} = B_2^2$. Hence (A.16) holds for $j \in \{1, 2\}$.

Assume that $a_2^{(k)} \in B_2^k$ holds for $1 \leq k < j$, where $j \geq 2$. It suffices to show that

$$a_2^{(j+1)} \in B_2^{j+1} \quad (\text{A.17})$$

By Constructions 4.1 and 4.4, we have $a_2^{(j+1)}0 \in B_1^{j+1} \cup B_1^{j+2}$, whence

$$a^{(j+1)} \in (B_1^{j+1}/0) \cup (B_1^{j+2}/0). \quad (\text{A.18})$$

By Lemma A.3,

$$B_1^{j+1}/0 \subseteq B_2^{j+1}. \quad (\text{A.19})$$

By Lemma A.7

$$B_1^{j+2}/0 \subseteq B_2^{j+1}. \quad (\text{A.20})$$

By (A.18),(A.19), and (A.20), we have (A.17). \square

Proof of Lemma 4.5 Our main objective is to prove that, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a_n^{(j)} \in B_{n \bmod 3}^j. \quad (\text{A.21})$$

This suffices, because by (A.7), Lemma A.2, and (4.8), it implies that

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(j)} &= \{a_n^{(j)} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &\subseteq \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n \bmod 3}^j \\ &= B_0^j \cup B_1^j \cup B_2^j \\ &= B_2^j \\ &= B^{(j)}, \end{aligned}$$

affirming the lemma.

Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. We prove (A.21) by induction on n . Lemmas A.5, A.6, and A.8 tell us that (A.21) holds for $n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

Assume that $a_m^{(j)} \in B_{m \bmod 3}^j$ holds for all $0 \leq m < n$, whence $n \geq 3$. It suffices to show that

$$a_n^{(j)} \in B_{n \bmod 3}^j. \quad (\text{A.22})$$

We have three cases.

Case 1: $\delta(n) = 1$. Then $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, so (A.8), the induction hypothesis, and (A.5) tell us that

$$\begin{aligned} a_n^{(j)}0 &= a_{n-1}^{(j)} \\ &\in B_{(n-1) \bmod 3}^j \\ &= B_1^j \\ &= (B_1^j/0) \cdot \{0\} \\ &\subseteq B_2^j \cdot \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

whence $a_n^{(j)} \in B_2^j = B_{n \bmod 3}^j$.

Case 2: $\delta(n) = 2$. Then (A.9), the induction hypothesis, and (A.3) tell us that

$$\begin{aligned} a_n^{(j)}0^3 &= a_{n-3}^{(j+2)} \\ &\in B_{(n-3) \bmod 3}^{j+2} \\ &= B_{n \bmod 3}^{j+2} \\ &= B_{n \bmod 3}^j \cdot \{0^3\}, \end{aligned}$$

whence $a_n^{(j)} \in B_{n \bmod 3}^j$.

Case 3: $\delta(n) = 3$. Then (A.10), the induction hypothesis, (A.2), and (A.6) tell us that

$$\begin{aligned} a_n^{(j)} 0^2 &= a_{n-2}^{(j+2)} \\ &\in B_{(n-2) \bmod 3}^{j+2} \\ &= B_0^{j+2} \\ &= (B_0^j) \cdot \{0\} \cdot \{0^2\} \\ &\subseteq B_2^j \cdot \{0^2\}, \end{aligned}$$

whence $a_n^{(j)} \in B_2^j = B_{n \bmod 3}^j$.

In any case, then, (A.22) holds. □