Recent Results from Polycrystalline CVD Diamond Detectors

THE RD42 COLLABORATION

L. BÄNI, ^{[24](#page-0-0),*} A. ALEXOPOULOS,^{[3](#page-0-2)} M. ARTUSO, ^{[20](#page-0-3)} F. BACHMAIR, ²⁴ M. BARTOSIK, ³ H. BECK, ^{[23](#page-0-4)} V. BELLINI,^{[2](#page-0-5)} V. BELYAEV,^{[12](#page-0-6)} B. BENTELE,^{[19](#page-0-7)} A. BES,^{[27](#page-0-8)} J.-M. BROM,^{[7](#page-0-9)} M. BRUZZI,^{[4](#page-0-10)} G. CHIODINI, 26 26 26 D. CHREN, 18 18 18 V. CINDRO, 9 9 9 G. CLAUS, 7 7 7 J. COLLOT, 27 27 27 J. CUMALAT, 19 19 19 A. DABROWSKI,^{[3](#page-0-2)} R. D'ALESSANDRO,^{[4](#page-0-10)} D. DAUVERGNE,^{[27](#page-0-8)} W. DE BOER,^{[10](#page-0-14)} C. DORFER,^{[24](#page-0-0)} M. DÜNSER, 3 3 G. EIGEN, 30 30 30 V. EREMIN, 6 6 G. FORCOLIN, 22 22 22 J. FORNERIS, 15 15 15 L. GALLIN-MARTEL,^{[27](#page-0-8)} M.-L. GALLIN-MARTEL,²⁷ K.K. GAN,^{[13](#page-0-19)} M. GASTAL,^{[3](#page-0-2)} M. GOFFE,^{[7](#page-0-9)} J. GOLDSTEIN,^{[17](#page-0-20)} A. GOLUBEV,^{[8](#page-0-21)} A. GORIŠEK,^{[9](#page-0-13)} E. GRIGORIEV,⁸ J. GROSSE-KNETTER,^{[23](#page-0-4)} A. GRUMMER,^{[21](#page-0-22)} M. GUTHOFF,^{[3](#page-0-2)} B. HITI,^{[9](#page-0-13)} D. HITS,^{[24](#page-0-0)} M. HOEFERKAMP,²¹ T. HOFMANN,³ J. HOSSELET.^{[7](#page-0-9)} F. HÜGGING.^{[1](#page-0-23)} C. HUTTON.^{[17](#page-0-20)} J. JANSSEN.¹ H. KAGAN.^{[13](#page-0-19)} K. KANXHERI.^{[28](#page-0-24)} R. KASS,^{[13](#page-0-19)} M. KIS,^{[5](#page-0-25)} G. KRAMBERGER,^{[9](#page-0-13)} S. KULESHOV,^{[8](#page-0-21)} A. LACOSTE,^{[27](#page-0-8)} S. LAGOMARSINO,^{[4](#page-0-10)} A. LO GIUDICE^{[15](#page-0-18)} I. LÓPEZ PAZ^{[22](#page-0-17)} E. LUKOSI^{[25](#page-0-26)} C. MAAZOUZI^{[7](#page-0-9)} I. MANDIĆ.^{[9](#page-0-13)} C. MATHIEU.⁷ M. MENICHELLI,^{[28](#page-0-24)} M. MIKUŽ,^{[9](#page-0-13)} A. MOROZZI,²⁸ J. MOSS,^{[29](#page-0-27)} R. MOUNTAIN,^{[20](#page-0-3)} A. Oh,^{[22](#page-0-17)} P. OLIVERO,^{[15](#page-0-18)} D. PASSERI,^{[28](#page-0-24)} H. PERNEGGER,^{[3](#page-0-2)} R. PERRINO,^{[26](#page-0-11)} F. PICOLLO,¹⁵ M. POMORSKI,^{[11](#page-0-28)} R. POTENZA,^{[2](#page-0-5)} A. QUADT,^{[23](#page-0-4)} F. RARBI,^{[27](#page-0-8)} A. RE,^{[15](#page-0-18)} M. REICHMANN,^{[24](#page-0-0)} S. ROE,^{[3](#page-0-2)} D.A. SANZ BECERRA,^{[24](#page-0-0)} M. SCARINGELLA,^{[4](#page-0-10)} C.J. SCHMIDT,^{[5](#page-0-25)} S. SCHNETZER,^{[14](#page-0-29)} E. SCHIOPPA,^{[3](#page-0-2)} S. SCIORTINO,^{[4](#page-0-10)} A. SCORZONI,^{[28](#page-0-24)} S. SEIDEL,^{[21](#page-0-22)} L. SERVOLI,²⁸ D.S. SMITH,^{[13](#page-0-19)} B. SOPKO,^{[18](#page-0-12)} V. SOPKO,¹⁸ S. SPAGNOLO,^{[26](#page-0-11)} S. SPANIER,^{[25](#page-0-26)} K. STENSON,^{[19](#page-0-7)} R. STONE,^{[14](#page-0-29)} B. STUGU, 30 C. SUTERA, 2 M. Traeger, 5 W. Trischuk, 16 M. Truccato, 15 C. Tuvè, 2 J. VELTHUIS,^{[17](#page-0-20)} N. VENTURI,^{[3](#page-0-2)} S. WAGNER,^{[19](#page-0-7)} R. WALLNY,^{[24](#page-0-0)} J.C. WANG,^{[20](#page-0-3)} N. WERMES,^{[1](#page-0-23)} M. YAMOUNI, 27 27 27 J. ZALIECKAS, 30 30 30 AND M. ZAVRTANIK 9 9 9

Universität Bonn, Bonn, Germany ² INFN/University of Catania, Catania, Italy CERN, Geneva, Switzerland INFN/University of Florence, Florence, Italy GSI, Darmstadt, Germany Ioffe Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia IPHC, Strasbourg, France ITEP, Moscow, Russia 9 Jožef Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia Universität Karlsruhe, Karlsruhe, Germany CEA-LIST Technologies Avancées, Saclay, France MEPHI Institute, Moscow, Russia ¹³The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ, USA University of Torino, Torino, Italy

¹⁶ University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada 17 University of Bristol, Bristol, UK 18 Czech Technical University, Prague, Czech Republic 19 University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA ²⁰Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY, USA 21 University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, USA 22 University of Manchester, Manchester, UK 23 Universität Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany 24 ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland 25 University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA ²⁶INFN-Lecce, Lecce, Italy ²⁷LPSC-Grenoble, Grenoble, France ²⁸INFN-Perugia, Perugia, Italy ²⁹California State University, Sacramento, CA, USA 30 University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

Abstract: Diamond is a material in use at many nuclear and high energy facilities due to its inherent radiation tolerance and ease of use. We have characterized detectors based on chemical vapor deposition (CVD) diamond before and after proton irradiation. We present preliminary results of the spatial resolution of unirradiated and irradiated CVD diamond strip sensors. In addition, we measured the pulse height versus particle rate of unirradiated and irradiated polycrystalline CVD (pCVD) diamond pad detectors up to a particle flux of 20 MHz/cm^2 and a fluence up to $4 \times 10^{15} n/cm^2$.

Talk presented at the 2019 Meeting of the Division of Particles and Fields of the American Physical Society (DPF2019), July 29–August 2, 2019, Northeastern University, Boston, C1907293.

[∗]Speaker, Email: lukas.baeni@cern.ch

1 Introduction

As high energy experiments are upgraded to operate at higher energies and larger intensities, more radiation tolerant detector concepts are necessary to resist the increased radiation dose. Due to its large displacement energy [\[1\]](#page-4-0), sensors based on diamond feature an inherent radiation tolerance enabling their use in nuclear and high energy physics experiments [\[2–](#page-4-1)[5\]](#page-4-2). During the last two decades, the RD42 collaboration has developed and characterized chemical vapor deposition (CVD) diamond as a sensor material for high radiation experiments [\[6,](#page-4-3) [7\]](#page-4-4).

Previous to this work, the RD42 collaboration has measured the radiation tolerance of CVD diamond against protons and neutrons of various energies [\[8,](#page-4-5) [9\]](#page-4-6) and studied the pulse height uniformity of CVD diamond-based detectors as a function of particle fluence [\[8\]](#page-4-5). In this article, we present preliminary measurements of the spatial resolution of CVD diamond strip detectors as a function of particle fluence.

Another essential characteristic of position sensitive detectors for future high energy experiments is their capability to operate at high particle rates, up to $\mathcal{O}(\text{GHz/cm}^2)$. Previously, the RD42 collaboration has conducted a series of beam tests to characterize the pulse height dependence in diamond detectors on particle flux [\[10,](#page-5-0) [11\]](#page-5-1). No dependence of the signal response of polycrystalline CVD (pCVD) diamond pad detectors, which were irradiated up to a neutron fluence of $5 \times 10^{14} n/cm^2$, on the particle flux up to 10 MHz/cm^2 was observed [\[11\]](#page-5-1). This study was extended and pCVD diamond samples were irradiated up to a larger neutron fluence [\[12\]](#page-5-2). Preliminary results of this study up to a neutron fluence of $4 \times 10^{15} n/cm^2$ are presented in this article.

Over the last four years, the RD42 collaboration has developed and characterized CVD diamond detectors with a 3D electrode geometry in order to enhance the radiation tolerance of diamond even further [\[13,](#page-5-3) [14\]](#page-5-4). Recent developments in the fabrication process have yielded improved devices. The new devices with a pixel readout were recently tested [\[12\]](#page-5-2).

2 Radiation Tolerance

The RD42 collaboration has recently published [\[8\]](#page-4-5) the results of a series of single-crystalline CVD (scCVD) and pCVD diamonds irradiated with 800 MeV and 24 GeV protons. For these results strip detector devices were fabricated on the diamond material and characterized in beam tests. The shape of the signal response distribution and the material uniformity as a function of particle fluence were measured [\[8\]](#page-4-5).

For the preliminary measurements presented here, the general aspects of the published result were followed. Strip detectors with 25 μ m strips and a 50 μ m pitch width were manufactured on each diamond. The devices were characterized in a beam of $120 \,\text{GeV}/c$ hadrons at a secondary beam line of the Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS) at CERN. To reconstruct particle trajectories and measure the spatial resolution of the device under test (DUT) a high precision telescope [\[15\]](#page-5-5) was used. After a track selection based on the quality of the fitted track, the hit position in the plane of the DUT was predicted with a precision of roughly 1.3 μ m [\[8\]](#page-4-5). The signal response at the predicted position of the DUT was measured independent of the DUT information from the five highest adjacent strips within ten strips around the predicted track position. Figure [1](#page-2-0) shows the signal response distribution of an scCVD diamond sensor before and after various 800 MeV proton irradiations. With increasing particle fluence the mean of the distribution decreases and the width becomes narrower. To compare the shape of the signal response distribution as function of particle fluence between scCVD and pCVD diamond material, the full width at half maximum (FWHM) normalized by the most probable (MP) pulse height was studied. The ratio FWHM/MP was observed to

Figure 1: Pulse height distribution before and after various 800 MeV proton fluences at an electric bias field of [\(a\)](#page-2-0) $-2 \mathrm{V}/\mathrm{\mu m}$ and [\(b\)](#page-2-0) $+2 \mathrm{V}/\mathrm{\mu m}$ [\[8\]](#page-4-5).

decrease with particle fluence for pCVD diamond material, while for scCVD diamond material a smaller initial ratio was measured and no dependence on the particle fluence was observed [\[8\]](#page-4-5). The ratio FWHM/MP is related to the uniformity of the material, indicating pCVD diamond becomes more uniform with particle fluence [\[8\]](#page-4-5). Since charge sharing and thus the spatial resolution should be connected to the uniformity of the material, the two-strip spatial resolution was studied as a function of particle fluence.

In order to determine the spatial resolution, a two-strip cluster algorithm was used in the telescope planes to determine the predicted track location in the diamond detector under test. The track position in the DUT was determined independently of the predicted track location. To accomplish this, in every event, the strip in the DUT with the largest pulse height and the adjacent strip with the next largest pulse height were used in a two-strip η algorithm to determine the DUT hit position. To avoid inefficient events due to extra telescope hits leading to mis-tracking, multiple scattering leading to incorrect DUT position, or noise in the DUT, the strip with the largest signal was required to be larger than five standard deviations above the noise on that strip. This represented a minimum signal size of approximately $400 e$. Using this requirement, all DUTs were greater than 99 % efficient. In addition, to check that the hit strips in the DUT were related to the tracks in the telescope, the digital residual, defined as the distance of the center of the strip with the highest signal to the predicted hit position, was measured. The digital residual distribution is expected to be uniformly distributed with FWHM equal to the strip pitch. Verifying proper functionality of the DUT, the FWHM of the digital residual distribution of all devices was measured to fall within (49.7 ± 0.5) µm. Next, in order to get the best reconstruction of the hit position in the DUT a two-strip η algorithm from the charge deposition in the two adjacent strips with the highest signals was used [\[16\]](#page-5-6). This algorithm assumes that the efficiency is near one which was the case for these detectors. The spatial resolution was measured to be the standard deviation of the full residual spectrum of the distance between predicted hit position of the telescope and measured hit position with the DUT. Details on the analysis procedure may be found in Ref. [\[17,](#page-5-7) [18\]](#page-5-8).

For unirradiated scCVD diamond a spatial resolution of (7.6 ± 1.0) µm was observed while for unirradiated pCVD diamond a spatial resolution of (15.0 ± 1.5) µm was measured. One possibility for this difference may be due to grain boundaries allowing the charge to move laterally. After a 24 GeV proton fluence of $18.0 \times 10^{15} p/cm^2$ the spatial resolution of a pCVD diamond device was found to be (7.6 ± 0.8) µm. After an 800 MeV proton fluence of 12.6×10^{15} p/cm² (equivalent to a 24 GeV proton fluence of $21.1 \times 10^{15} p/cm^2$ [\[9\]](#page-4-6)) a spatial resolution of (8.6 ± 0.9) µm was measured with a pCVD diamond device. The spatial resolution of scCVD diamond was observed to be roughly flat as a function of particle fluence while the spatial resolution of pCVD diamond devices improves to very close to the scCVD diamond result consistent with the uniformity change [\[8\]](#page-4-5).

3 Signal Dependence on Particle Flux

To characterize the signal response of diamond sensors as a function of particle flux, devices were tested in the πM1 beam line at the High Intensity Proton Accelerator (HIPA) at the Paul Scherrer Institut (PSI). The particle flux of this beam line is controllable from 1 kHz/cm^2 to 20 MHz/cm^2 . For these studies, the beam line was tuned to a $260 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c \pi^+$ beam.

The diamond samples were irradiated with fast reactor neutrons at the TRIGA reactor of the Joef Stefan Institute (JSI) [\[19\]](#page-5-9). Before and after each irradiation step, a single pad detector was manufactured from each diamond. A single pad electrode was metallized on both sides of the diamond and connected to a fast amplifier with low electronic noise capable of handling the high particle rates. A detailed description of the setup and the analysis can be found in Ref. [\[17\]](#page-5-7).

The average signal response of the device was measured at different particle rates to study the signal as a function of particle flux. A measurement cycle included several rate scans each with negative and positive bias field applied to the detector under test. Preliminary results of single rate scans for both negative and positive bias fields are shown in Figure [2](#page-3-0) before and after multiple neutron irradiations. The signal response was normalized to the average of each rate scan. The data indicates less than a 2 % variation with rate for all fluences tested.

Figure 2: Relative pulse height as a function of particle flux before and after fast reactor neutron irradiation at a bias voltage of [\(a\)](#page-3-0) -1000 V [\[12\]](#page-5-2) and [\(b\)](#page-3-0) $+1000 \text{ V}$. The pulse height was normalized to the average pulse height of each rate scan.

4 Summary

Preliminary results of the spatial resolution of CVD diamond strip detectors were presented. The spatial resolution of pCVD diamond devices was found to improve and reach a similar value as scCVD diamond devices. The signal response of pCVD diamond detectors was measured as a function of particle flux before and after several irradiation with fast reactor neutrons up to a total neutron fluence of $4 \times 10^{15} n/cm^2$. No rate dependence was observed at the $\langle 2 \rangle$ level up to a particle flux of 20 MHz/cm^2 .

Acknowledgements

The RD42 collaboration gratefully acknowledges the staff at CERN and PSI for test beam time and their help in setting up the beam conditions. The research leading to these results received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No. 654168. This work was also partially supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation grant 20FL20 154216 and 20020 134939, ETH grant 51 15-1, Swiss Government Excellence Scholarship ESKAS No. 2015.0808, UK Science and Technology Facilities Council grant ST/M003965/1, and the U.S. Department of Energy through grant DE-SC0011726.

References

- [1] J. Koike et al., Displacement threshold energy for type IIa diamond, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.107267) 60 [\(1992\) 1450.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.107267)
- [2] A. J. Edwards et al., Radiation monitoring with diamond sensors in BABAR, [IEEE Trans.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TNS.2004.832634) Nucl. Sci. 51 [\(2004\) 1808.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TNS.2004.832634)
- [3] P. Dong et al., Beam condition monitoring with diamonds at CDF, [IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TNS.2007.913492) 55 [\(2008\) 328.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TNS.2007.913492)
- [4] V. Cindro et al., The ATLAS beam conditions monitor, JINST 3 [\(2008\) P02004.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/02/P02004)
- [5] A. Bell et al., Fast beam conditions monitor BCM1F for the CMS experiment, [Nucl. Instr.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2009.12.056) [and Meth. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2009.12.056) 614 (2010) 433.
- [6] M. H. Nazaré et al. [RD42 collaboration], Development of diamond tracking detectors for high luminosity experiments at the LHC, [R&D Proposal CERN/DRDC 94-21, DRDC/P56,](http://cds.cern.ch/record/293000) CERN, Geneva, 1994.
- [7] H. Kagan et al. [RD42 collaboration], Development of diamond tracking detectors for high luminosity experiments at the LHC, HL-LHC and beyond, [Tech. Rep.](http://cds.cern.ch/record/2320382) [CERN-LHCC-2018-015, LHCC-SR-005,](http://cds.cern.ch/record/2320382) CERN, Geneva, 2018.
- [8] L. Bäni et al. [RD42 collaboration], A study of the radiation tolerance of poly-crystalline and single-crystalline CVD diamond to $800 \, MeV$ and $24 \, GeV$ protons, [J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6463/ab37c6) 52 [\(2019\) 465103.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6463/ab37c6)
- [9] L. Bäni et al. [RD42 collaboration], Latest results on the radiation tolerance of diamond detectors, PoS [LeptonPhoton2019](https://pos.sissa.it/cgi-bin/reader/contribution.cgi?id=PoS(LeptonPhoton2019)079) (2019) 079.
- [10] R. Wallny et al. [RD42 collaboration], Beam test results of the dependence of signal size on incident particle flux in diamond pixel and pad detectors, JINST 10 [\(2015\) C07009.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/10/07/C07009)
- [11] R. Wallny et al. [RD42 collaboration], Recent results from beam tests of 3D and pad pCVD diamond detectors, PoS [ICHEP2016](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.282.0276) (2017) 276.
- [12] M. Reichmann et al. [RD42 collaboration], New test beam results of 3D and pad detectors constructed with poly-crystalline CVD diamond, [Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2019.162675) (2019) 162675.
- [13] F. Bachmair et al. [RD42 collaboration], A 3D diamond detector for particle tracking, [Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2015.03.033) [Instr. and Meth. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2015.03.033) 786 (2015) 97.
- [14] H. Kagan et al. [RD42 collaboration], Diamond detector technology: status and perspectives, PoS [Vertex 2016](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.287.0027) (2017) 027.
- [15] C. Colledani et al., A submicron precision silicon telescope for beam test purposes, [Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002(95)01414-4) [Instr. and Meth. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002(95)01414-4) 372 (1996) 379.
- [16] E. Belau et al., Charge collection in silicon strip detectors, [Nucl. Instr. and Meth.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-5087(83)90591-4) 214 (1983) [253.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-5087(83)90591-4)
- [17] F. Bachmair, CVD Diamond Sensors In Detectors For High Energy Physics, Ph.D. thesis, ETH Zürich, 2016. [10.3929/ethz-a-010748643.](https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-a-010748643)
- [18] L. Bäni, Top Quarks and Diamonds, Ph.D. thesis, ETH Zürich, 2017. [10.3929/ethz-b-000222412.](https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000222412)
- [19] L. Snoj et al., Computational analysis of irradiation facilities at the JSI TRIGA reactor, [Appl. Radiat. Isot.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2011.11.042) 70 (2011) 483.