

Characterizations of centralizable mappings on algebras of locally measurable operators

Guangyu An¹, Jun He^{2*} and Jiankui Li³

¹*Department of Mathematics, Shaanxi University of Science and Technology*

Xi'an 710021, China

²*Department of Mathematics, Anhui Polytechnic University*

Wuhu 241000, China

³*Department of Mathematics, East China University of Science and Technology*

Shanghai 200237, China

Abstract

A linear mapping ϕ from an algebra \mathcal{A} into its bimodule \mathcal{M} is called a centralizable mapping at $G \in \mathcal{A}$ if $\phi(AB) = \phi(A)B = A\phi(B)$ for each A and B in \mathcal{A} with $AB = G$. In this paper, we prove that if \mathcal{M} is a von Neumann algebra without direct summands of type I₁ and type II, \mathcal{A} is a $*$ -subalgebra with $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \subseteq LS(\mathcal{M})$ and G is a fixed element in \mathcal{A} , then every continuous (with respect to the local measure topology $t(\mathcal{M})$) centralizable mapping at G from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} is a centralizer.

Keywords: centralizable mapping, centralizer, von Neumann algebra, locally measurable operator

Mathematics Subject Classification(2010):46L57; 47L35; 46L50

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be an associative algebra over the complex field \mathbb{C} , \mathcal{M} be an \mathcal{A} -bimodule, and $L(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ be the set of all linear mappings from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} . If $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}$, then denote $L(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ by $L(\mathcal{A})$. A linear mapping ϕ in $L(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ is called a *centralizer* if

*Corresponding author. E-mail address: hejun_12@163.com

$\phi(AB) = \phi(A)B = A\phi(B)$ for each A and B in \mathcal{A} . In particular, if \mathcal{A} is a unital algebra with a unit element I , then ϕ is a centralizer if and only if $\phi(A) = \phi(I)A = A\phi(I)$ for every A in \mathcal{A} .

Let G be a fixed element in \mathcal{A} . A linear mapping ϕ in $L(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ is called a *centralizable mapping at G* if $\phi(AB) = \phi(A)B = A\phi(B)$ for each A and B in \mathcal{A} with $AB = G$. Moreover, we say that G is a *full-centralizable point of $L(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$* if every centralizable mapping at G from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} is a centralizer.

Suppose that \mathcal{R} is a prime ring with a nontrivial idempotent, in [5], M. Brešar shows that zero is a full-centralizable point of $L(\mathcal{R})$; and in [13], X. Qi shows that every nontrivial idempotent in \mathcal{R} is a full-centralizable point of $L(\mathcal{R})$. In [16], W. Xu, R. An and J. Hou prove that if \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space with $\dim \mathcal{H} \geq 2$, then every element G in $B(\mathcal{H})$ is a full-centralizable point of $L(B(\mathcal{H}))$. In [8], J. He, J. Li and W. Qian prove that if \mathcal{M} is a von Neumann algebra, then every element G in \mathcal{M} is a full-centralizable point of $L(\mathcal{M})$.

Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space and $B(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . Suppose that \mathcal{M} is a von Neumann algebra on \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{M}'$ is the center of \mathcal{M} , where $\mathcal{M}' = \{A \in B(\mathcal{H}) : AB = BA \text{ for every } B \text{ in } \mathcal{M}\}$. Denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) = \{P \in \mathcal{M} : P = P^* = P^2\}$ the lattice of all projections in \mathcal{M} and by $\mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathcal{M})$ the set of all finite projections in \mathcal{M} .

Let T be a closed densely defined linear operator on \mathcal{H} with the domain $\mathcal{D}(T)$, where $\mathcal{D}(T)$ is a linear subspace of \mathcal{H} . T is said to be *affiliated* with \mathcal{M} , denote by $T\eta\mathcal{M}$, if $U^*TU = T$ for every unitary element U in \mathcal{M}' .

A linear operator T affiliated with \mathcal{M} is said to be *measurable* with respect to \mathcal{M} , if there exists a sequence $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ such that $P_n \uparrow 1$, $P_n(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{D}(T)$ and $P_n^\perp = I - P_n \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathcal{M})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where \mathbb{N} is the set of all natural numbers. Denote by $S(\mathcal{M})$ the set of all measurable operators affiliated with the von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} .

A linear operator T affiliated with \mathcal{M} is said to be *locally measurable* with respect to \mathcal{M} , if there exists a sequence $\{Z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}))$ such that $Z_n \uparrow I$ and $Z_n T \in S(\mathcal{M})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote by $LS(\mathcal{M})$ the set of all locally measurable operators affiliated with the von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} .

In [10], M. Muratov and V. Chilin prove that $S(\mathcal{M})$ and $LS(\mathcal{M})$ are both unital $*$ -algebras and $\mathcal{M} \subset S(\mathcal{M}) \subset LS(\mathcal{M})$; the authors also show that if \mathcal{M} is a finite von Neumann algebra or $\dim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})) < \infty$, then $S(\mathcal{M}) = LS(\mathcal{M})$; if \mathcal{M} is a type III von Neumann algebra and $\dim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})) = \infty$, then $S(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}$ and $LS(\mathcal{M}) \neq \mathcal{M}$.

In [14], I. Segal shows that the algebraic and topological properties of the measurable operators algebra $S(\mathcal{M})$ are similar to the von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} . If \mathcal{M} is a commutative von Neumann algebra, then \mathcal{M} is $*$ -isomorphic to the algebra $L^\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ of all essentially bounded measurable complex functions on a measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) ;

and $S(\mathcal{M})$ is $*$ -isomorphic to the algebra $L^0(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ of all measurable almost everywhere finite complex-valued functions on (Ω, Σ, μ) . In [4], A. Ber, V. Chilin and F. Sukochev show that there exists a derivation on $L^0(0, 1)$ is not an inner derivation, and the derivation is discontinuous in the measure topology. This result means that the properties of derivations on $S(\mathcal{M})$ are different from the derivations on \mathcal{M} .

So far, there are no papers on the study of the centralizable mappings on algebras of locally measurable operators. This paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we suppose that \mathcal{M} is a von Neumann algebra and recall the definition of local measurable topology $t(\mathcal{M})$ on $LS(\mathcal{M})$.

Let \mathcal{A} be a subalgebra of $LS(\mathcal{M})$. Denote by $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}, LS(\mathcal{M}))$ the set of all continuous linear mappings with respect to the local measure topology $t(\mathcal{M})$ from \mathcal{A} into $LS(\mathcal{M})$. Suppose that G is a fixed element in \mathcal{A} , we say that G is a full-centralizable point of $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}, LS(\mathcal{M}))$ if every continuous (with respect to the local measure topology $t(\mathcal{M})$) centralizable mapping at G from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} is a centralizer.

In Section 3, we show that if \mathcal{M} is a von Neumann algebra without direct summands of type I₁ and type II, and \mathcal{A} is a $*$ -subalgebra with $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \subseteq LS(\mathcal{M})$, then every element G in \mathcal{A} is a full-centralizable point of $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}, LS(\mathcal{M}))$.

2 Preliminaries

Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space and \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra on \mathcal{H} . Suppose that T is a closed operator with a dense domain $\mathcal{D}(T)$ in \mathcal{H} . Let $T = U|T|$ be the polar decomposition of T , where $|T| = (T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and U is a partial isometry in $B(\mathcal{H})$. Denote by $l(T) = UU^*$ the *left support* of T and by $r(T) = U^*U$ the *right support* of T , clearly, $l(T) \sim u(T)$. In [10], M. Muratov and V. Chilin show that $T \in S(\mathcal{M})$ (resp. $T \in LS(\mathcal{M})$) if and only if $|T| \in S(\mathcal{M})$ (resp. $|T| \in LS(\mathcal{M})$) and $U \in \mathcal{M}$.

In the following, we recall the definition of the local measure topology. Let \mathcal{M} be a commutative von Neumann algebra, in [15], M. Takesaki proves that there exists a $*$ -isomorphism from \mathcal{M} onto the $*$ -algebra $L^\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$, where μ is a measure satisfying the direct sum property. The direct sum property means that the Boolean algebra of all projections in $L^\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ is total order, and for every non-zero projection p in \mathcal{M} , there exists a non-zero projection $q \leq p$ with $\mu(q) < \infty$. Consider $LS(\mathcal{M}) = S(\mathcal{M}) = L^0(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ of all measurable almost everywhere finite complex-valued functions on (Ω, Σ, μ) . Define the local measure topology $t(L^\infty(\Omega))$ on $L^0(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$, that is, the Hausdorff vector topology, whose base of neighborhoods of zero is given by

$$W(B, \varepsilon, \delta) = \{f \in L^0(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu) : \text{there exists a set } E \in \Sigma \text{ such that} \\ E \subset B, \mu(B \setminus E) \leq \delta, f_{\chi_E} \in L^\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu), \|f_{\chi_E}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)} \leq \varepsilon\},$$

where $\varepsilon, \delta > 0, B \in \Sigma, \mu(B) < \infty$ and $\chi_E(\omega) = 1$ when $\omega \in E$, $\chi_E(\omega) = 0$ when $\omega \notin E$. Suppose that $\{f_\alpha\} \subset L^0(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ and $f \in L^0(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$, if $f_\alpha \chi_B \rightarrow f \chi_B$ in the measure μ for every $B \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(B) < \infty$, then we denote by $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{t(L^\infty(\Omega))} f$. In [17], Yeadon show the topology $t(L^\infty(\Omega))$ dose not change if the measure μ is replaced with an equivalent measure.

If \mathcal{M} is an arbitrary von Neumann algebra and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$ is the center of \mathcal{M} , then there exists a $*$ -isomorphism φ from $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$ onto the $*$ -algebra $L^\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$, where μ is a measure satisfying the direct sum property. Denote by $L^+(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ the set of all measurable real-valued positive functions on (Ω, Σ, μ) . In [14], Segal shows that there exists a mapping Δ from $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ into $L^+(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (\mathbb{D}_1) $\Delta(P) \in L^0_+(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ if and only if $P \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathcal{M})$;
- (\mathbb{D}_2) $\Delta(P \vee Q) = \Delta(P) + \Delta(Q)$ if $PQ = 0$;
- (\mathbb{D}_3) $\Delta(U^*U) = \Delta(UU^*)$ for every partial isometry $U \in \mathcal{M}$;
- (\mathbb{D}_4) $\Delta(ZP) = \varphi(Z)\Delta(P)$ for every $Z \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}))$ and every $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$;
- (\mathbb{D}_5) if $P_\alpha, P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}), \alpha \in \Gamma$ and $P_\alpha \uparrow P$, then $\Delta(P) = \sup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \Delta(P_\alpha)$.

In addition, Δ is called a *dimension function* on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ and Δ also satisfies the following two conditions:

- (\mathbb{D}_6) if $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$, then $\Delta(\sup_{n \geq 1} P_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \Delta(P_n)$; moreover, if $P_n P_m = 0$ when $n \neq m$, then $\Delta(\sup_{n \geq 1} P_n) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \Delta(P_n)$;
- (\mathbb{D}_7) if $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ and $P_n \downarrow 0$, then $\Delta(P_n) \rightarrow 0$ almost everywhere.

For arbitrary scalars $\varepsilon, \gamma > 0$ and a set $B \in \Sigma, \mu(B) < \infty$, we let

$$V(B, \varepsilon, \gamma) = \{T \in LS(\mathcal{M}) : \text{there exist } P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \text{ and } Z \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})) \text{ such that} \\ TP \in \mathcal{M}, \|TP\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \varepsilon, \varphi(Z^\perp) \in W(B, \varepsilon, \gamma) \text{ and } \Delta(ZP^\perp) \leq \varepsilon\varphi(Z)\},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the C^* -norm on \mathcal{M} . In [17], Yeadon shows that the system of sets

$$\{T + V(B, \varepsilon, \gamma) : T \in LS(\mathcal{M}), \varepsilon, \gamma > 0, B \in \Sigma \text{ and } \mu(B) < \infty\}$$

defines a Hausdorff vector topology $t(\mathcal{M})$ on $LS(\mathcal{M})$ and the sets

$$\{T + V(B, \varepsilon, \gamma), \varepsilon, \gamma > 0, B \in \Sigma \text{ and } \mu(B) < \infty\}$$

form a neighborhood base of a local measurable operator x in $LS(\mathcal{M})$. In [17], Yeadon also proves that $(LS(\mathcal{M}), t(\mathcal{M}))$ is a complete topological $*$ -algebra, and the topology $t(\mathcal{M})$ does not depend on the choices of dimension function Δ and $*$ -isomorphism φ . The topology $t(\mathcal{M})$ on $LS(\mathcal{M})$ is called the *local measure topology*. Moreover, if $\mathcal{M} = B(\mathcal{H})$, then $LS(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}$ and the local measure topology topology $t(\mathcal{M})$ coincides with the uniform topology $\|\cdot\|_{B(\mathcal{H})}$.

The following lemma will be used repeatedly.

Lemma 2.1. [11] *Suppose that \mathcal{M} is a von Neumann algebra without direct summand of type II. For every A in $LS(\mathcal{M})$, there exists a sequence $\{Z_i\}$ of mutually orthogonal*

central projections in \mathcal{M} with $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i = I$, such that $A = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i A$ and $Z_i A \in \mathcal{M}$ for every i .

3 Centralizable mappings on algebras of locally measurable operators

The following theorem is the main result in this paper.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that \mathcal{M} is a von Neumann algebra without direct summands of type I₁ and type II, \mathcal{A} is a *-subalgebra of $LS(\mathcal{M})$ containing \mathcal{M} . Then every element G in \mathcal{A} is a full-centralizable point of $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}, LS(\mathcal{M}))$.*

To prove Theorem 3.1, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. *Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra and Z be a central projection in $P(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}))$. Then we have that $LS(Z\mathcal{M}) = ZLS(\mathcal{M})$.*

Proof. Since the unit element of $LS(Z\mathcal{M})$ is Z , we have that

$$LS(Z\mathcal{M}) = ZLS(Z\mathcal{M}) \subseteq ZLS(\mathcal{M}).$$

Similarly, we can obtain that $LS((I - Z)\mathcal{M}) \subseteq (I - Z)LS(\mathcal{M})$. Clearly, $\mathcal{M} = Z\mathcal{M} \oplus (I - Z)\mathcal{M}$, it follows that

$$ZLS(\mathcal{M}) = ZLS(Z\mathcal{M}) \oplus ZLS((I - Z)\mathcal{M}).$$

By $LS((I - Z)\mathcal{M}) \subseteq (I - Z)LS(\mathcal{M})$, we know that $ZLS((I - Z)\mathcal{M}) = 0$. It means that $ZLS(\mathcal{M}) = ZLS(Z\mathcal{M}) = LS(Z\mathcal{M})$. \square

In the following, we always assume that \mathcal{M} is a von Neumann algebra without direct summands of type I₁ and type II on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{A} is a *-subalgebra of $LS(\mathcal{M})$ containing \mathcal{M} .

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that G is a fixed element in \mathcal{A} and $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a family of mutually orthogonal central projections in \mathcal{M} with sum I . If $Q_i G$ is a full centralizable point of $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(Q_i \mathcal{A}, Q_i LS(\mathcal{M}))$ for every $i \in \overline{1, n}$, then G is a full centralizable point of $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}, LS(\mathcal{M}))$*

Proof. Let ϕ be in $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}, LS(\mathcal{M}))$ centralizable at G .

Firstly, we show that $\phi(Q_i \mathcal{A}) \subseteq Q_i LS(\mathcal{M})$. Let A_i be an invertible element in $Q_i \mathcal{M}$, and t be an arbitrary nonzero element in \mathbb{C} . It is easy to show that

$$(I - Q_i + t^{-1} G A_i^{-1})((I - Q_i)G + t A_i) = G.$$

Thus we have that

$$(I - Q_i + t^{-1}GA_i^{-1})\phi((I - Q_i)G + tA_i) = \phi(G).$$

Considering the coefficient of t , since t is arbitrarily chosen, we know that $(I - Q_i)\phi(A_i) = 0$. It follows that $\phi(A_i) = Q_i\phi(A_i) \in Q_iLS(\mathcal{M})$ for every invertible element A_i in $Q_i\mathcal{M}$. Clearly, $Q_i\mathcal{M}$ is a von Neumann algebra and every element in $Q_i\mathcal{M}$ can be written into the sum of two invertible elements in $Q_i\mathcal{M}$. Hence we have that $\phi(A_i) \in Q_iLS(\mathcal{M})$ for every A_i in $Q_i\mathcal{M}$.

For every A_i in $Q_i\mathcal{A}$, by Lemma 2.1, we know that there exists a sequence $\{A_i^n\}$ in $Q_i\mathcal{M}$ converging to A_i with respect to the local measure topology $t(\mathcal{M})$. By Lemma 3.1, we know that $Q_iLS(\mathcal{M}) = LS(Q_i\mathcal{M})$, since $\phi(A_i^n) \in Q_iLS(\mathcal{M}) = LS(Q_i\mathcal{M})$ and ϕ is continuous with respect to the local measure topology $t(\mathcal{M})$, we have that $\phi(A_i) \in Q_iLS(\mathcal{M})$ for every A_i in $Q_i\mathcal{A}$.

In the following we show that ϕ is a centralizer from \mathcal{A} into $LS(\mathcal{M})$.

Suppose that A and B are two elements in \mathcal{A} with $AB = G$. Since $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a family of mutually orthogonal central projections in \mathcal{A} with sum I , we know that there exist some elements A_i, B_i and G_i in $Q_i\mathcal{A}$ with $A = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i, B = \sum_{i=1}^n B_i$ and $G = \sum_{i=1}^n G_i$. Moreover, we have that $A_iB_i = G_i$.

Denote the restriction of ϕ in $Q_i\mathcal{A}$ by ϕ_i . By $\phi(A_i) \in Q_iLS(\mathcal{M})$, it implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \phi(G_i) = \phi(G) = \phi(A)B = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(A_i) \sum_{i=1}^n B_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(A_i)B_i.$$

Hence we can obtain that $\phi_i(G_i) = \phi_i(A_i)B_i$. Similarly, we have that $\phi_i(G_i) = A_i\phi_i(B_i)$. By assumption, G_i is a full-centralizable point of $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(Q_i\mathcal{A}, Q_iLS(\mathcal{M}))$ for every $i \in \overline{1, n}$, that is ϕ_i is a centralizer from $Q_i\mathcal{A}$ into $Q_iLS(\mathcal{M})$. It follows that

$$\phi(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(Q_i)A_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(Q_i) \sum_{i=1}^n A_i = \phi(I)A.$$

Similarly, we can prove $\phi(A) = A\phi(I)$. It means that G is a full-centralizable point of $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}, LS(\mathcal{M}))$. \square

For a unital algebra \mathcal{A} and a unital left \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} , we call an element A in \mathcal{A} a *right separating point* of \mathcal{M} if $MA = 0$ implies $M = 0$ for every $M \in \mathcal{M}$. It is easy to see that every right invertible element in \mathcal{A} is a right separating point of \mathcal{M} .

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that G is a fixed element in \mathcal{A} . If G is injective and the range of G is dense in \mathcal{H} , then G is a full-centralizable point of $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}, LS(\mathcal{M}))$.*

Proof. Firstly, we show that G is a right separating point of $LS(\mathcal{M})$. Let A be in $LS(\mathcal{M})$ with $AG = 0$, by Lemma 2.1, we know that there exists a sequence $\{Z_i\}$

of mutually orthogonal central projections in \mathcal{M} with $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i = I$, such that $A = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i A$ and $Z_i A \in \mathcal{M}$ for every i .

By $AG = 0$, we have that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i AG = 0$. Since $\{Z_i\}$ are mutually orthogonal projections, it follows that $Z_i AG = 0$. By the range of G is dense in \mathcal{H} and $Z_i A \in \mathcal{M}$ for every i , it is easy to show that $Z_i A = 0$ for every i . It means that $A = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i A = 0$.

Let ϕ be in $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}, LS(\mathcal{M}))$ centralizable at G and A be an invertible element in \mathcal{M} . It follows that

$$\phi(I)G = \phi(G) = \phi(AA^{-1}G) = \phi(A)A^{-1}G.$$

Since G is a right separating point of $LS(\mathcal{M})$, we have $\phi(I) = \phi(A)A^{-1}$. That is $\phi(A) = \phi(I)A$ for every invertible element A in \mathcal{M} . It follows that $\phi(A) = \phi(I)A$ for every A in \mathcal{M} . Since ϕ is continuous with respect to the local measure topology $t(\mathcal{M})$, we know that $\phi(A) = \phi(I)A$ for every A in \mathcal{A} .

Similarly, by $\ker(G) = \{0\}$, we can obtain that $\phi(A) = A\phi(I)$ for every A in \mathcal{A} . It means that ϕ is a centralizer from \mathcal{A} into $LS(\mathcal{M})$. \square

Lemma 3.5. $G = 0$ is a full-centralizable point of $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}, LS(\mathcal{M}))$.

Proof. Since \mathcal{M} is a von Neumann algebra without direct summand of type I_1 , it is well known that \mathcal{M} is generated algebraically by all idempotents in \mathcal{M} .

Let ϕ be in $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}, LS(\mathcal{M}))$ centralizable at G . Define a bilinear mapping φ from $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ into $LS(\mathcal{M})$ by $\varphi(A, B) = \phi(A)B$ for each A, B in \mathcal{M} . By assumption we have that $\phi(A)B = 0$ for each A, B in \mathcal{M} with $AB = 0$. It follows that $AB = 0$ implies $\varphi(A, B) = 0$. By [7, Theorem 4.1], we can obtain that $\varphi(A, I) = \varphi(I, A)$, it implies that $\phi(A) = \phi(I)A$ for every A in \mathcal{M} . It also holds for every A in \mathcal{A} , since ϕ is continuous with respect to the local measure topology $t(\mathcal{M})$.

Similarly, we can prove that $\phi(A) = A\phi(I)$ for every A in \mathcal{A} . It means that ϕ is a centralizer from \mathcal{A} into $LS(\mathcal{M})$. \square

Let A be an element in \mathcal{A} . The central carrier $\mathcal{C}(A)$ of A in a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} is the projection $I - P$, where P is the union of all central projections P_α in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$ such that $P_\alpha A = 0$.

Lemma 3.6. Suppose that G is a fixed element in \mathcal{A} . If $\mathcal{C}(P) = \mathcal{C}(I - P) = I$, where P is the range projection of G , then G is a full-centralizable point of $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}, LS(\mathcal{M}))$.

Proof. Let $P_1 = P$, $P_2 = I - P$ and denote $P_i A P_j$ and $P_i LS(\mathcal{M}) P_j$ by \mathcal{A}_{ij} and \mathcal{B}_{ij} , respectively, $i, j = 1, 2$. For every A in \mathcal{A} , denote $P_i A P_j$ by A_{ij} .

Firstly, we claim that for every element A in $LS(\mathcal{M})$, the condition $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}_{ij} = 0$ implies $\mathcal{A}P_i = 0$ and $\mathcal{A}_{ij}A = 0$ implies $P_j A = 0$.

Indeed, since $\mathcal{C}(P_j) = I$, by [9, Proposition 5.5.2] and $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, we know that the range of $\mathcal{A}P_j$ is dense in \mathcal{H} . Thus $AP_i\mathcal{A}P_j = 0$ implies $AP_i = 0$. On the other hand, if $\mathcal{A}_{ij}A = 0$, then $A^*\mathcal{A}_{ji} = 0$. Hence $A^*P_j = 0$ and $P_jA = 0$.

Besides, since $P_1 = P$ is the range projection of G , we have that $P_1G = G$. Moreover, for every element A in $LS(\mathcal{M})$, $AG = 0$ if and only if $AP_1 = 0$.

Let ϕ be in $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}, LS(\mathcal{M}))$ centralizable at G . In the following, we show that $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{ij}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{ij}$, respectively, $i, j = 1, 2$. Suppose that A_{11} is an invertible element in \mathcal{A}_{11} , and A_{12}, A_{21}, A_{22} are arbitrary elements in $\mathcal{A}_{12}, \mathcal{A}_{21}, \mathcal{A}_{22}$, respectively. Let t be an arbitrary nonzero element in \mathbb{C} .

Claim 1: $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{12}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{12}$.

By $(P_1 + tA_{12})G = G$, we have that $\phi(G) = \phi(P_1 + tA_{12})G$. It implies that $\phi(A_{12})G = 0$. Hence $\phi(A_{12})P_1 = 0$.

By $(P_1 + tA_{12})G = G$, we have that $\phi(G) = (P_1 + tA_{12})\phi(G)$. It follows that $A_{12}\phi(G) = A_{12}\phi(P_1)G = 0$. Thus $A_{12}\phi(P_1)P_1 = 0$ and $P_2\phi(P_1)P_1 = 0$.

By $(A_{11} + tA_{11}A_{12})(A_{11}^{-1}G - A_{12}A_{22} + t^{-1}A_{22}) = G$, we have that

$$\phi(A_{11} + tA_{11}A_{12})(A_{11}^{-1}G - A_{12}A_{22} + t^{-1}A_{22}) = \phi(G). \quad (3.1)$$

Since t is arbitrarily chosen in (3.1), we can obtain that

$$\phi(A_{11})(A_{11}^{-1}G - A_{12}A_{22}) + \phi(A_{11}A_{12})A_{22} = \phi(G).$$

Since A_{12} is also arbitrarily chosen, we can obtain $\phi(A_{11})A_{12}A_{22} = \phi(A_{11}A_{12})A_{22}$. Taking $A_{22} = P_2$, since $\phi(A_{12})P_1 = 0$, we have

$$\phi(A_{11}A_{12}) = \phi(A_{11})A_{12}. \quad (3.2)$$

Taking $A_{11} = P_1$, by $P_2\phi(P_1)P_1 = 0$, it implies that

$$P_2\phi(A_{12}) = P_2\phi(P_1)A_{12} = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Thus we can obtain that

$$\phi(A_{12}) = \phi(A_{12})P_1 + P_1\phi(A_{12})P_2 + P_2\phi(A_{12})P_2 = P_1\phi(A_{12})P_2 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{12}.$$

Claim 2 $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{11}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{11}$.

Considering the coefficient of t^{-1} in (3.1), we have that $\phi(A_{11})A_{22} = 0$. Thus $\phi(A_{11})P_2 = 0$. By (3.2), we obtain that $P_2\phi(A_{11})A_{12} = P_2\phi(A_{11}A_{12}) = 0$. It follows that $P_2\phi(A_{11})P_1 = 0$. Therefore, $\phi(A_{11}) = P_1\phi(A_{11})P_1 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{11}$ for every invertible element A_{11} in \mathcal{A}_{11} . Since ϕ is continuous with respect to the local measure topology $t(\mathcal{M})$, it implies that $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{11}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{11}$ for every A_{11} in \mathcal{A}_{11} .

Claim 3 $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{22}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{22}$.

By $(A_{11} + tA_{11}A_{12})(A_{11}^{-1}G - A_{12}A_{22} + t^{-1}A_{22}) = G$, we can obtain that

$$(A_{11} + tA_{11}A_{12})\phi(A_{11}^{-1}G - A_{12}A_{22} + t^{-1}A_{22}) = \phi(G).$$

Through a similar discussion for equation (3.1), we can show that

$$P_1\phi(A_{22}) = 0 \text{ and } \phi(A_{12}A_{22}) = A_{12}\phi(A_{22}). \quad (3.4)$$

Thus $A_{12}\phi(A_{22})P_1 = \phi(A_{12}A_{22})P_1 = 0$. It follows that $P_2\phi(A_{22})P_1 = 0$. Therefore, $\phi(A_{22}) = P_2\phi(A_{22})P_2 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{22}$.

Claim 4 $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{21}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{21}$.

By $(A_{11} + tA_{11}A_{12})(A_{11}^{-1}G - A_{12}A_{21} + t^{-1}A_{21}) = G$, we have that

$$(A_{11} + tA_{11}A_{12})\phi(A_{11}^{-1}G - A_{12}A_{21} + t^{-1}A_{21}) = \phi(G).$$

According to this equation, we can similarly obtain that $P_1\phi(A_{21}) = 0$ and

$$A_{12}\phi(A_{21}) = \phi(A_{12}A_{21}). \quad (3.5)$$

Hence $A_{12}\phi(A_{21})P_2 = \phi(A_{12}A_{21})P_2 = 0$. It follows that $P_2\phi(A_{21})P_2 = 0$. Therefore, $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{21}) = P_2\phi(A_{21})P_1 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{21}$.

Claim 5 $\phi(A_{ij}) = \phi(P_i)A_{ij} = A_{ij}\phi(P_j)$ for each $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.

By taking $A_{11} = P_1$ in (3.2), we have that $\phi(A_{12}) = \phi(P_1)A_{12}$. By taking $A_{22} = P_2$ in (3.4), we have that $\phi(A_{12}) = A_{12}\phi(P_2)$.

By (3.2), we have $\phi(A_{11})A_{12} = \phi(A_{11}A_{12}) = \phi(P_1)A_{11}A_{12}$. It follows that $\phi(A_{11}) = \phi(P_1)A_{11}$. On the other hand, $\phi(A_{11})A_{12} = \phi(A_{11}A_{12}) = A_{11}A_{12}\phi(P_2) = A_{11}\phi(A_{12}) = A_{11}\phi(P_1)A_{12}$. It follows that $\phi(A_{11}) = A_{11}\phi(P_1)$ for every invertible element A_{11} and so for all elements in \mathcal{A}_{11} .

By (3.4) and (3.5), through a similar discussion as above, we can obtain that $\phi(A_{22}) = A_{22}\phi(P_2) = \phi(P_2)A_{22}$ and $\phi(A_{21}) = A_{21}\phi(P_1) = \phi(P_2)A_{21}$.

Now we have proved that $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{ij}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{ij}$ and $\phi(A_{ij}) = \phi(P_i)A_{ij} = A_{ij}\phi(P_j)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(A) &= \phi(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) \\ &= \phi(P_1)(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) + \phi(P_2)(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) \\ &= \phi(P_1 + P_2)(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) \\ &= \phi(I)A. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove that $\phi(A) = A\phi(I)$. □

In the following, we give the proof of our main result.

Proof of the Theorem 3.1. Let $Q_1 = I - \mathcal{C}(I - P)$, $Q_2 = I - \mathcal{C}(P)$, and $Q_3 = I - Q_1 - Q_2$, where P is the range projection of G . Obviously, $Q_1 \leq P$ and $Q_2 \leq I - P$, it follows that $\{Q_i\}_{i=1,2,3}$ are mutually orthogonal central projections with sum I . Thus we have that $\mathcal{A} = \sum_{i=1}^3 (Q_i \mathcal{A})$. Denote $Q_i \mathcal{A}$ by \mathcal{A}_i . For every element A in \mathcal{A} , we can write $A = \sum_{i=1}^3 A_i = \sum_{i=1}^3 Q_i A$.

Next we divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1: Suppose that G is injective, that is $\ker(G) = \{0\}$.

Since $Q_1 \leq P$, we have that $\overline{\text{ran}G_1} = \overline{\text{ran}Q_1G} = Q_1\mathcal{H}$. By assumption we know that $G_1 = Q_1G$ is injective on $Q_1\mathcal{H}$. By Lemma 3.4, we know that G_1 is a full-centralizable point of $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}_1, LS(Q_1\mathcal{M}))$.

Since $Q_2 \leq I - P$, we have that $G_2 = Q_2G = 0$. By Lemma 3.5, we know that G_2 is a full-centralizable point of $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}_2, LS(Q_2\mathcal{M}))$.

Since P is the range projection of G , it follows that $\overline{\text{ran}G_3} = \overline{\text{ran}Q_3G} = Q_3P = P_3$. Denote the central carrier of P_3 in \mathcal{A}_3 by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}_3}(P_3)$. We have that

$$Q_3 - \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}_3}(P_3) \leq Q_3 - P_3 = Q_3(I - P) \leq I - P.$$

Obviously, $Q_3 - \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}_3}(P_3)$ is a central projection orthogonal to Q_2 . Thus

$$Q_3 - \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}_3}(P_3) + I - \mathcal{C}(P) \leq I - P.$$

It implies that $Q_3 - \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}_3}(P_3) + P \leq \mathcal{C}(P)$. Hence we have that $Q_3 - \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}_3}(P_3) = 0$, that is $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}_3}(P_3) = Q_3$. Similarly, we can show that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}_3}(Q_3 - P_3) = Q_3$. By Lemma 3.6, we know that G_3 is a full-centralizable point of $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}_3, LS(Q_3\mathcal{M}))$.

By Lemma 3.2, we can obtain that $Q_i LS(\mathcal{M}) = LS(Q_i\mathcal{M})$. Hence G_i is a full-centralizable point of $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}_i, Q_i LS(\mathcal{M}))$ for each $i = 1, 2, 3$.

By Lemma 3.3, it follows that G is a full-centralizable point of $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}, LS(\mathcal{M}))$.

Case 2: Suppose that $\ker(G) \neq \{0\}$.

In this case, G_2 and G_3 are still full-centralizable points of $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}_2, LS(Q_2\mathcal{M}))$ and $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}_3, LS(Q_3\mathcal{M}))$, respectively.

Since $\overline{\text{ran}G_1} = Q_1\mathcal{H}$, we have that $\ker(G_1^*) = \{0\}$. By Case 1, we know that G_1^* is a full-centralizable point of $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}_1, LS(Q_1\mathcal{M}))$. Next we show that G_1 is also a full-centralizable point of $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}_1, LS(Q_1\mathcal{M}))$.

In fact, let ϕ_1 be in $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}_1, LS(Q_1\mathcal{M}))$ centralizable at G_1 . Define a linear mapping $\widetilde{\phi}_1$ from \mathcal{A}_1 into $LS(Q_1\mathcal{M})$ by $\widetilde{\phi}_1(A) = (\phi_1(A^*))^*$ for every A in \mathcal{A}_1 . Suppose that A and B are two elements in \mathcal{A}_1 with $AB = G_1$, we have that $B^*A^* = G_1^*$. It follows that

$$\phi_1(G) = A\phi_1(B) = \phi_1(A)B.$$

By the definition of $\widetilde{\phi}_1$, we can obtain that

$$\widetilde{\phi}_1(G^*) = B^*\widetilde{\phi}_1(A^*) = \widetilde{\phi}_1(B^*)A^*.$$

Since G^* is a full-centralizable point of $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}_1, LS(Q_1\mathcal{M}))$, we have that $\widetilde{\phi}_1$ is a centralizer. Thus ϕ_1 is also a centralizer. It means that G_1 is a full-centralizable point of $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}_1, LS(Q_1\mathcal{M}))$.

By Lemma 3.3, we know G is a full-centralizable point of $L_{t(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}, LS(Q\mathcal{M}))$. \square

References

- [1] S. Albeverio, S. Ayupov, K. Kudaybergenov. Derivations on the algebra of measurable operators affiliated with a type I von Neumann algebra. *Siberian Adv. Math.*, 2008, 18: 86–94.
- [2] S. Albeverio, S. Ayupov, K. Kudaybergenov. Structure of derivations on various algebras of measurable operators for type I von Neumann algebras. *J. Func. Anal.*, 2009, 256: 2917–2943.
- [3] G. An, J. Li. Characterizations of linear mappings through zero products or zero Jordan products. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 2016, 31: 408–424.
- [4] A. Ber, V. Chilin, F. Sukochev. Non-trivial derivation on commutative regular algebras. *Extracta Math.*, 2006, 21: 107–147.
- [5] M. Brešar. Characterizing homomorphisms, derivations and multipliers in rings with idempotents. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, Sect. A*, 2007, 137: 9–21.
- [6] M. Brešar, E. Kissin, S. Shulman. Lie ideals: from pure algebra to C^* -algebras. *J. reine angew. Math.*, 2008, 623: 73–121.
- [7] M. Brešar. Multiplication algebra and maps determined by zero products. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 2012, 60: 763–768.
- [8] J. He, J. Li, W. Qian. Characterizations of centralizers and derivations on some algebras. *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, 2017, 54: 685–696.
- [9] R. Kadison, J. Ringrose. *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras*. Academic Press Inc, 1983.
- [10] M. Muratov, V. Chilin. Algebras of measurable and locally measurable operators. *Kyiv, Pratsė In-ty matematiki NAN ukraini*, 2007, 69: 390, (Russian).
- [11] M. Muratov, V. Chilin. Central extensions of $*$ -algebras of measurable operators. *Reports of the National Academy of Science of Ukraine*, 2009, 7: 24–28, (Russian).
- [12] X. Qi, J. Hou. Characterizing centralizers and generalized derivations on triangular algebras by acting on zero product. *Acta Math. Sinica (Engl. Ser.)* 2013, 29: 1245–1256.
- [13] X. Qi. Characterization of centralizers on rings and operator algebras. *Acta Math. Sinica*, 2013, 56: 459–468.

- [14] I. Segal. A non-commutative extension of abstract integration. *Ann, Math.*, 1953, 57: 401–457.
- [15] M. Takesaki. *Theory of operator algebras I*, New York, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [16] W. Xu, R. An, J. Hou. Equivalent characterization of centralizers on $B(\mathcal{H})$. *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, 2016, 32: 1113–1120.
- [17] F. Yeadon. Convergence of measurable operators. *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 1973, 74: 257-268.