

Investigation of 2β Decay of ^{116}Cd with the Help of Enriched $^{116}\text{CdWO}_4$ Crystal Scintillators

O.G. Polischuk^{1,a)}, A.S. Barabash², P. Belli^{3,4}, R. Bernabei^{3,4}, F. Cappella⁵,
V. Caracciolo⁶, R. Cerulli^{3,4}, D.M. Chernyak^{1,7}, F.A. Danevich^{1,8}, S. d'Angelo^{3,4,b)},
A. Incicchitti^{5,9}, D.V. Kasperovych¹, V.V. Kobychiev¹, S.I. Konovalov²,
M. Laubenstein⁶, V.M. Mokina^{1,5}, D.V. Poda^{1,8}, V.N. Shlegel¹⁰, V.I. Tretyak¹,
V.I. Umatov² and Ya.V. Vasiliev¹⁰

¹*Institute for Nuclear Research, 03028 Kyiv, Ukraine*

²*National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, 117218 Moscow, Russia*

³*INFN, sezione di Roma "Tor Vergata", I-00133 Rome, Italy*

⁴*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma "Tor Vergata", I-00133 Rome, Italy*

⁵*INFN, sezione di Roma, I-00185 Rome, Italy*

⁶*INFN, Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, I-67100 Assergi (AQ), Italy*

⁷*Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, 277-8583, Japan*

⁸*CSNSM, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, 91405 Orsay, France*

⁹*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma "La Sapienza", I-00185 Rome, Italy*

¹⁰*Nikolaev Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia*

^{a)}Corresponding author: polischuk@kinr.kiev.ua

^{b)}Deceased

Abstract. Double beta decay of ^{116}Cd has been investigated with the help of radiopure enriched $^{116}\text{CdWO}_4$ crystal scintillators in the experiment Aurora. The half-life of ^{116}Cd relatively to the $2\nu 2\beta$ decay of ^{116}Cd to the ground level of ^{116}Sn is measured with the highest up-to-date accuracy as $T_{1/2} = [2.69 \pm 0.02 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.14 \text{ (syst.)}] \times 10^{19}$ yr. A new improved limit on the $0\nu 2\beta$ decay of ^{116}Cd to the ground state of ^{116}Sn is set as $T_{1/2} \geq 2.4 \times 10^{23}$ yr at 90% C.L., that corresponds to the effective Majorana neutrino mass limit in the range $\langle m_\nu \rangle \leq (1.1 - 1.6)$ eV, depending on the nuclear matrix elements used in the estimations. New improved limits on other 2β processes in ^{116}Cd (decays with majoron emission, transitions to excited levels of ^{116}Sn) were set at the level of $T_{1/2} \geq 10^{21} - 10^{22}$ yr.

INTRODUCTION

Many neutrino experiments give clear evidence for the neutrino oscillations that can be explained by mixing of neutrinos of different flavors. Taking into account this observation, searches for neutrinoless double beta ($0\nu 2\beta$) decay become very important experiments to investigate the nature of neutrino whether being a Dirac or Majorana particle, the lepton number violation, an absolute scale of neutrino mass and the neutrino mass hierarchy. Moreover, the $0\nu 2\beta$ decay is a powerful tool to test the Standard Model of particle physics (SM), since the decay can be mediated by many effects beyond the SM [1, 2, 3, 4]. The $0\nu 2\beta$ decay is still not observed, the most sensitive experiments give only limits on the decay half-lives for several nuclei at the level of $\lim T_{1/2} \sim 10^{24} - 10^{26}$ yr (see, e.g., reviews [5, 6, 7] and recent results [8, 9, 10, 11, 12]). Investigations of the allowed in the SM two neutrino (2ν) mode of 2β decay, already detected in several nuclei with the half-lives in the range of $T_{1/2} \sim 10^{18} - 10^{24}$ yr (see, e.g., [13, 14]), give possibility to examine theoretical calculations of the nuclear matrix elements. The isotope ^{116}Cd is one of the most favorable candidates for $0\nu 2\beta$ experiments thanks to the high energy of decay ($Q_{2\beta} = 2813.49(13)$ keV [15]), promising

estimations of the decay probability [16, 17, 18, 19], relatively large isotopic abundance ($\delta = 7.512(54)\%$ [20]) and possibility of enrichment by ultra-centrifugation in large amount.

EXPERIMENT, RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Investigations of 2β decay of ^{116}Cd have been realized with two $^{116}\text{CdWO}_4$ crystal scintillators (580 g and 582 g, enriched in ^{116}Cd to 82% [21]) in the low background DAMA/R&D set-up installed deep underground (≈ 3600 m w.e.) at the Gran Sasso underground laboratory of INFN (Italy). The scintillators were fixed inside polytetrafluoroethylene containers filled with ultra-pure liquid scintillator, and viewed through low-radioactive quartz light guides ($\varnothing 7 \times 40$ cm) by 3 inches low radioactive photomultiplier tubes (Hamamatsu R6233MOD). The passive shield was made of high purity copper (10 cm), low radioactive lead (15 cm), cadmium (1.5 mm) and polyethylene/paraffin (4 to 10 cm) to reduce the external background. The whole set-up was contained inside a plexiglas box and continuously flushed by high purity nitrogen gas to remove environmental radon. An event-by-event data acquisition system based on a 1 GS/s 8 bit transient digitizer (Acqiris DC270) recorded the amplitude, the arrival time and the pulse shape of each event. The energy scale and the energy resolution of the detectors were checked periodically with ^{22}Na , ^{60}Co , ^{133}Ba , ^{137}Cs , and ^{228}Th γ sources. The energy resolution of the $^{116}\text{CdWO}_4$ detectors for 2615 keV quanta of ^{208}Tl was FWHM $\approx 5\%$.

The pulse profiles of the events were analyzed by using the optimal filter method [22, 23] to select γ quanta (β particles) and α particles. The pulse-shape discrimination was applied to reduce background and to estimate (together with the time-amplitude analysis [24]) the radioactive contamination of the $^{116}\text{CdWO}_4$ crystals. The front edge analysis was also used to reject the fast chains of decays $^{212}\text{Bi} - ^{212}\text{Po}$ from the ^{232}Th family. The $^{116}\text{CdWO}_4$ crystal scintillators appeared highly radiopure (~ 0.02 mBq/kg of ^{228}Th , < 0.005 mBq/kg of ^{226}Ra , < 0.2 mBq/kg of ^{40}K , the total α activity of U/Th is 2.3(1) mBq/kg). The energy spectrum of $\gamma(\beta)$ events selected from the data accumulated over 25037 h with the $^{116}\text{CdWO}_4$ detectors is shown in Fig. 1. The spectrum was fitted in the energy interval 660–3300 keV by the model built from the $2\nu 2\beta$ of ^{116}Cd , the internal contamination by ^{40}K , ^{232}Th and ^{238}U , and the contribution from external γ quanta. The model functions were Monte Carlo simulated with the EGS4 package [25], the initial kinematics of the particles emitted in the decays was given by an event generator DECAY0 [26]. The fit gives the half-life of ^{116}Cd relatively to the $2\nu 2\beta$ decay to the ground state of ^{116}Sn as $T_{1/2} = [2.69 \pm 0.02$ (stat.) ± 0.14 (syst.) $\times 10^{19}$ yr. The main contribution to the systematic error comes from the ambiguity of the radioactive contamination of the $^{116}\text{CdWO}_4$ crystals by ^{238}U , since the β spectrum of ^{234m}Pa (daughter of ^{238}U) competes with the $2\nu 2\beta$ spectrum of ^{116}Cd .




FIGURE 1. The energy spectrum of $\gamma(\beta)$ events accumulated over 25037 h with the $^{116}\text{CdWO}_4$ detectors together with the main components of the background model: the $2\nu 2\beta$ decay of ^{116}Cd (" $2\nu 2\beta$ "), internal contaminations of the $^{116}\text{CdWO}_4$ crystals by U/Th, K (" int. U ", " int. Th ", " ^{40}K "), and contributions from external γ quanta (" $\text{ext. } \gamma$ ").

To estimate a limit on the $0\nu2\beta$ decay of ^{116}Cd we included in the analysis also the data from the previous stage of the experiment with a similar background counting rate of ≈ 0.1 counts/(keV kg yr) in the region of interest (ROI) (see Fig. 2). Furthermore, the background in the ROI was reduced to ≈ 0.07 counts/(keV kg yr) by selection of the following chain of decays: ^{212}Bi ($Q_\alpha = 6207$ keV) \rightarrow ^{208}Tl ($Q_\beta = 4999$ keV, $T_{1/2} = 3.053$ min). The obtained energy spectrum was approximated in the energy interval 2.5 – 3.2 MeV by the background model constructed from the distributions of the $0\nu2\beta$ (effect searched for) and $2\nu2\beta$ decays of ^{116}Cd , the internal contamination of the crystals by ^{228}Th , and the contribution from external γ quanta. The fit gives an area of the expected peak $S = -3.2 \pm 10.7$ counts, that is no evidence of the effect. In accordance with [27], 14.6 counts can be excluded at 90% confidence level (C.L.), that leads to the new limit on the $0\nu2\beta$ decay of ^{116}Cd to the ground state of ^{116}Sn : $T_{1/2} \geq 2.4 \times 10^{23}$ yr. The half-life limit corresponds to the effective neutrino mass limit $\langle m_\nu \rangle \leq (1.1 - 1.6)$ eV, obtained by using the recent nuclear matrix elements reported in [16, 17, 18, 19], the phase space factor from [28] and the value of the axial vector coupling constant $g_A = 1.27$.




FIGURE 2. The energy spectrum of $\gamma(\beta)$ events accumulated over 28888 h with the $^{116}\text{CdWO}_4$ detectors in the region of interest together with the background model: the $2\nu2\beta$ decay of ^{116}Cd (" $2\nu2\beta$ "), the internal contamination of the $^{116}\text{CdWO}_4$ crystals by ^{228}Th ("int. Th"), and the contribution from external γ quanta ("ext. Th"). A peak of the $0\nu2\beta$ decay of ^{116}Cd excluded at 90% C.L. is shown too.

TABLE 1. The results of the 2β experiment with ^{116}Cd . The most stringent limits obtained in the previous experiments are given for comparison at 90% C.L., except the limits [30] given at 68% C.L.

Decay mode	Transition, Level of ^{116}Sn (keV)	$T_{1/2}$ (yr)	Previous results
0ν	g.s	$\geq 2.4 \times 10^{23}$	$\geq 1.7 \times 10^{23}$ [29]
0ν	2^+ (1294)	$\geq 6.2 \times 10^{22}$	$\geq 2.9 \times 10^{22}$ [29]
0ν	0^+ (1757)	$\geq 6.3 \times 10^{22}$	$\geq 1.4 \times 10^{22}$ [29]
0ν	0^+ (2027)	$\geq 4.5 \times 10^{22}$	$\geq 0.6 \times 10^{22}$ [29]
0ν	2^+ (2112)	$\geq 3.6 \times 10^{22}$	$\geq 1.7 \times 10^{20}$ [30]
0ν	2^+ (2225)	$\geq 4.1 \times 10^{22}$	$\geq 1.0 \times 10^{20}$ [30]
$0\nu M1$	g.s.	$\geq 1.1 \times 10^{22}$	$\geq 8.0 \times 10^{21}$ [29]
$0\nu M2$	g.s.	$\geq 2.1 \times 10^{21}$	$\geq 0.8 \times 10^{21}$ [29]
$0\nu M3$	g.s.	$\geq 0.9 \times 10^{21}$	$\geq 1.7 \times 10^{21}$ [29]
2ν	g.s	$[2.69 \pm 0.02(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.14(\text{syst.})] \times 10^{19}$	see Table 2 in [31] and work [32]
2ν	2^+ (1294)	$\geq 0.9 \times 10^{21}$	$\geq 2.3 \times 10^{21}$ [33]
2ν	0^+ (1757)	$\geq 1.0 \times 10^{21}$	$\geq 2.0 \times 10^{21}$ [33]
2ν	0^+ (2027)	$\geq 1.1 \times 10^{21}$	$\geq 2.0 \times 10^{21}$ [33]
2ν	2^+ (2112)	$\geq 2.3 \times 10^{21}$	$\geq 1.7 \times 10^{20}$ [30]
2ν	2^+ (2225)	$\geq 2.5 \times 10^{21}$	$\geq 1.0 \times 10^{20}$ [30]

Limits on other 2β processes in ^{116}Cd were derived in a similar way. The results are reported in Table 1.

CONCLUSIONS

The Aurora experiment to investigate 2β processes in ^{116}Cd with enriched $^{116}\text{CdWO}_4$ scintillators (1.16 kg) is finished after 33737 h (total time) of measurements at the Gran Sasso underground laboratory of INFN (Italy). The half-life of ^{116}Cd relatively to the $2\nu 2\beta$ decay to the ground state of ^{116}Sn is measured with the highest up-to-date accuracy: $T_{1/2} = [2.69 \pm 0.02 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.14 \text{ (syst.)}] \times 10^{19}$ yr. A new $0\nu 2\beta$ half-life limit is set as $T_{1/2} \geq 2.4 \times 10^{23}$ yr at 90% C.L., that corresponds to the effective Majorana neutrino mass limits $\langle m_\nu \rangle \leq (1.1 - 1.6)$ eV, depending on the nuclear matrix elements used in the analysis. Other 2β processes in ^{116}Cd are restricted at the level of $T_{1/2} > (0.1 - 6.3) \times 10^{22}$ yr.

REFERENCES

- [1] F.F. Deppisch, M. Hirsch, H. Päs, *J. Phys. G* **39**, 124007 (2012).
- [2] J.D. Vergados, H. Ejiri, F. Simkovic, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* **25**, 1630007 (2016).
- [3] S. Dell’Oro, S. Marcocci, M. Viel, F. Vissani, *AHEP* **2015**, 2162659 (2016).
- [4] S.M. Bilenky, C. Giunti, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **30**, 1530001 (2015).
- [5] O. Cremonesi, M. Pavan, *AHEP* **2014**, 951432 (2014).
- [6] J.J. Gómez-Cadenas, J. Martín-Albo, *Proc. of Sci. (GSSI14)*, 004 (2015).
- [7] X. Sarazin, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **593**, 012006 (2015).
- [8] M. Agostini et al., *Nature* **544**, 47 (2017).
- [9] J.B. Albert et al., *Nature* **510**, 229 (2014).
- [10] K. Alfonso et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 102502 (2015).
- [11] R. Arnold et al., *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 072011 (2015).
- [12] A. Gando et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **117**, 082503 (2017).
- [13] R. Saakyan, *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **63**, 503 (2013).
- [14] A.S. Barabash, *Nucl. Phys. A* **935**, 52 (2015).
- [15] M. Wang et al., *Chin. Phys. C* **41**, 030003 (2017).
- [16] T.R. Rodríguez, G. Martínez-Pinedo, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 252503 (2010).
- [17] F. Šimkovic, V. Rodin, A. Faessler, P. Vogel, *Phys. Rev. C* **87**, 045501 (2013).
- [18] J. Hyvärinen, J. Suhonen, *Phys. Rev. C* **91**, 024613 (2015).
- [19] J. Barea, J. Kotila, F. Iachello, *Phys. Rev. C* **91**, 034304 (2015).
- [20] J. Meija et al., *Pure Appl. Chem.* **88**, 293 (2016).
- [21] A.S. Barabash et al., *JINST* **6**, P08011 (2011).
- [22] E. Gatti, F. De Martini, Nuclear Electronics II, Proceedings of the Conference on Nuclear Electronics. V. II, International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, 1962.
- [23] L. Bardelli et al., *Nucl. Instr. Meth. A* **569**, 743 (2006).
- [24] F.A. Danevich et al., *Nucl. Phys. A* **694**, 375 (2001).
- [25] W.R. Nelson et al., SLAC-Report-265, Stanford, 1985.
- [26] O.A. Ponkratenko et al., *Phys. At. Nucl.* **63**, 1282 (2000); V.I. Tretyak, to be published.
- [27] G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins, *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 3873 (1998).
- [28] J. Kotila, F. Iachello, *Phys. Rev. C* **85**, 034316 (2012).
- [29] F.A. Danevich et al., *Phys. Rev. C* **67**, 014310 (2003).
- [30] A.S. Barabash, A.V. Kopylov, V.I. Cherehovskiy, *Phys. Lett. B* **249**, 186 (1990).
- [31] O.G. Polischuk et al., *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1686**, 020017 (2015).
- [32] R. Arnold et al., *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 012007 (2017).
- [33] A. Piepke et al., *Nucl. Phys. A* **577**, 493 (1994).