

INTRODUCTION TO \mathcal{A} -CALCULUS

James S. Cook

jcook4@liberty.edu

Liberty University

Department of Mathematics

August 15, 2017

Abstract

Let \mathcal{A} denote an n -dimensional associative algebra over \mathbb{R} . This paper gives an introductory exposition of calculus over \mathcal{A} . An \mathcal{A} -differentiable function $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is one for which the differential is right- \mathcal{A} -linear. The basis-dependent correspondence between right- \mathcal{A} -linear maps and the regular representation of real matrices is discussed in detail. The requirement that the Jacobian matrix of a function fall in the regular representation of \mathcal{A} gives $n^2 - n$ generalized \mathcal{A} -CR equations. In contrast, some authors use a deleted-difference quotient to describe differentiability over an algebra. We compare these concepts of differentiability over an algebra and prove they are equivalent in the semisimple commutative case. We also show how difference quotients are ill-equipped to study calculus over a nilpotent algebra.

Cauchy Riemann equations are elegantly captured by the Wirtinger calculus as $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0$. We generalize this to any commutative unital associative algebra. Instead of one conjugate, we need $n - 1$ conjugate variables. Our construction modifies that given by Alvarez-Parrilla, Frías-Armenta, López-González and Yee-Romero in [16].

We derive Taylor's Theorem over an algebra. Following Wagner, we show how Generalized Laplace equations are naturally seen from the multiplication table of an algebra. We show how d'Alembert's solution to the wave-equation can be derived from the function theory of an appropriate algebra. The inverse problem of \mathcal{A} -calculus is introduced and we show how the Tableau for an \mathcal{A} -differentiable function has a rather special form. The integral over an algebra is also studied. We find the usual elementary topological results about closed and exact forms generalize nicely to our integral. Generalizations of the First and Second Fundamental Theorems of Calculus are given.

This paper should be accessible to undergraduates with a firm foundation in linear algebra and calculus. Some background in complex analysis would also be helpful.

1 Introduction and overview

We use \mathcal{A} to denote a real associative algebra of finite dimension. Elements of \mathcal{A} are known as \mathcal{A} -numbers. Our program of study is to describe a calculus where real numbers have been replaced by \mathcal{A} -numbers. The resulting calculus we refer to as \mathcal{A} -calculus. The goal of this paper is to explain the basic differential and integral features of \mathcal{A} -calculus. We hope this paper serves as a primer for those who wish to investigate the many open questions in \mathcal{A} -calculus.

In Section 2 we give an overview of the literature which is connected to \mathcal{A} -calculus. The basic ideas of this research have been known since around 1890. However, the development is largely disconnected. As Waterhouse puts it on page 353-354 of [52]:

The theory has been developed only in fits and starts, and some of the simple results seem not to be on record at all, while others are only recorded in a language that is now hard to understand.

Indeed, one major goal of this work is to provide some updates on proofs which were given without the benefit of modern linear algebra.

Section 3 introduces the calculus of real normed linear spaces. You can consult [11], [10] or [54] for further details.

An introduction to associative algebras is given in Section 4. We explain how the algebra \mathcal{A} is naturally interchanged with linear transformations on \mathcal{A} which are right- \mathcal{A} -linear. In addition, given a choice of basis β for \mathcal{A} we explain how the regular representation $M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$ gives another object which is isomorphic to \mathcal{A} . Isomorphism here requires we preserve both the structure of addition and multiplication. We use the isomorphism of \mathcal{A} and $M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$ to find a number of interesting results about zero-divisors and units in the algebra. In particular, we argue that an invertible basis exists in any unital associative algebra. We also note the group of units \mathcal{A}^{\times} form a dense subset of \mathcal{A} .

In Section 5 we discuss how the norm on \mathcal{A} is generally *submultiplicative*. So far as we know, the estimate derived in Equation 40 has not appeared elsewhere in the generality we offer here. The \mathcal{A} -differentiability of a function is defined via the representation theory developed in Section 4. We prove the derivative over \mathcal{A} enjoys many of the same elementary properties as the usual derivative in \mathbb{R} . For example, $\frac{d}{d\zeta}\zeta^n = n\zeta^{n-1}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The sum, difference, scalar produce and suitably qualified composite of \mathcal{A} -differentiable functions are once more \mathcal{A} -differentiable. In the case \mathcal{A} is commutative the \mathcal{A} -differentiability of f and g imply $f \star g$ is likewise \mathcal{A} -differentiable. However, in the noncommutative case it is possible the product of \mathcal{A} -differentiable functions is not once more \mathcal{A} -differentiable. Example 5.11 provides functions f and g for which $f \star g$ is \mathcal{A} -differentiable and yet $g \star f$ is not \mathcal{A} -differentiable. Finally, we conclude the section by studying differentiable functions over isomorphic algebras are connected. We later use that result to derive d'Alembert's solution to the wave equation.

The generalized Cauchy-Riemann Equations are studied in detail in Section 6. There are several ways to understand the \mathcal{A} -CR Equations: if \mathcal{A} is unital and $\mathbf{1}$ is the first basis element of $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ then suppose U is open and $f : U \subseteq \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ we have f is \mathcal{A} -differentiable on U if f is \mathbb{R} -differentiable on U and for each point in U :

- (i.) the Jacobian matrix fits in the regular representation; $J_f \in M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$
- (ii.) the generalized \mathcal{A} -equations hold; $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \star v_j$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n$.
- (iii.) $f = f(\zeta)$ or f is \mathcal{A} -holomorphic; $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \zeta_j} = 0$ for $j = 2, \dots, n$.

We spend some effort to developing (iii.). The catalyst for our construction was given by Alvarez-Parrilla, Frías-Armenta, López-González and Yee-Romero in [16]. However, we have to differ with [16] in their construction of $\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}$. Our Theorem 6.4 is clear evidence that our construction of the Wirtinger calculus over \mathcal{A} should be preferred to that in [16]. Example 6.10 illustrates how \mathcal{A} -differentiable functions provide natural solutions to the analog of Laplace's equation for \mathcal{A} all in the language of the Wirtinger calculus. Finally, we give a roadmap towards converting a problem of real calculus to one of \mathcal{A} -calculus. The problem of knowing which \mathcal{A} will *work* is a difficult and open problem.

Section 7 provides a bridge to the other main definition used to define differentiability over an algebra. In particular, many authors use a limiting process on difference quotients on the algebra. However, the limit is done modulo the zero divisors of the algebra so we might call it a **deleted-difference-quotient** (D1). In contrast, we define differentiability on the algebra through the differential with the healthy backdrop of representation theory in Section 5(D2). Naturally, we wonder if there is a distinction between these approaches. At a point they are inequivalent. On an open set, our D2 approach is more general than the deleted-difference quotient. Example 7.7 gives an example which is everywhere D2 yet nowhere D1 differentiable. It is no accident that Example 7.7 concerns a nilpotent algebra. We prove in Theorem 7.8 that D1 and D2 are equivalent characterizations of differentiability on an open set for a commutative semisimple algebra of finite dimension. As far as we know, the results we present here are original. That said, we must give credit to [14] and [33] whose work provided much inspiration for this Section.

Section 8 contains a story which seems too good to be true. In particular, we find yet another isomorphism with \mathcal{A} which allows us to circumvent all the usual symmetric-multilinear algebra which is required for higher derivatives of real maps on \mathbb{R}^n . In particular, this leads to Theorem 8.6 where we learn:

$$\frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_{i_1} \partial x_{i_2} \cdots \partial x_{i_k}} = \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_1^k} \star v_{i_1} \star v_{i_2} \star \cdots \star v_{i_k}. \quad (1)$$

Again, in the context of \mathcal{A} -differentiable functions we find this curious identity which allows us to trade partial derivatives of various coordinates for the one coordinate which is paired with the unity in \mathcal{A} . It is then a simple matter to reproduce the wonderful result

of Wagner from 1948 which says an equation in the algebra corresponds naturally to a generalized Laplace equation. We hope our proof is an improvement to that which exists in the current literature. To illustrate the beauty of Wagner's result we show how the wave equation appears as the generalized Laplace equation of an appropriate algebra. We then derive d'Alambert's solution through an explicit isomorphism to the direct product algebra. Finally, we find a relatively effortless derivation of Taylor's Theorem for \mathcal{A} -calculus which follows almost as a Corollary to Equation 1.

The eventual goal of Section 9 is recognizing when and how \mathcal{A} -calculus can be used to gain deeper insight into existing problems of real calculus. It is mostly an invitation to think on the problem for future researchers. Example 9.1 is given as an inverse to the inverse problem. We can easily create more such examples. It is not difficult to solve a problem in \mathcal{A} -calculus then convert it to a corresponding problem of real calculus. The problem we would like to gain further insight in future work is the inverse problem; given a problem of real calculus, can we convert it to an more lucid problem of \mathcal{A} -calculus? We hope Theorem 9.2 takes us a step closer to solving the inverse problem. Perhaps the reader will be amused that once more Equation 1 is the core of the proof for Theorem 9.2.

Integration over an commutative unital algebra of finite dimension over \mathbb{R} is studied in Section 10. Our integral is a natural generalization of that which is studied in the usual complex analysis. Essentially the same integral can be found in the 1928 Thesis of Ketchum. If C is a curve of length L and f is an \mathcal{A} -differentiable function bounded by $M > 0$ on C then Theorem 10.4 states:

$$\left\| \int_C f(\zeta) \star d\zeta \right\| \leq m_{\mathcal{A}} M L. \quad (2)$$

Here $m_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the constant¹ for which $\|v \star w\| \leq m_{\mathcal{A}} \|v\| \|w\|$ for all $v, w \in \mathcal{A}$. The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus part II is generalized in Theorem 10.8. Theorem 10.9 provides the equivalence of path-independence, trivial closed integrals and the existence of an antiderivative in a connected subset of \mathcal{A} . Theorem 10.10 shows \mathcal{A} -differentiability of f implies exactness of $f \star d\zeta$. We obtain Cauchy's Integral Theorem for \mathcal{A} as Corollary 10.11. An analog to The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus part I is given in Theorem 10.12. Finally, we indicate a logarithm in \mathcal{A} can be define via the integral in Example 10.13.

In our final Section we indicate some directions for future work as well as the unpublished work in preparation by D. Freese and N. BeDell.

2 History

Any history of generalized calculus is necessarily incomplete. Here we mention primarily those authors whose work seems to precede our own, or, descends directly from our closest mathematical relatives.

¹derived in Theorem 5.1

Scheffers, a student of Lie, is usually credited with initiating the program of hypercomplex analysis in [40]. Then, Segre [41], Hausdorff [19], Spaminato [42], Ringleb [38] and Ketchum [22] joined in Scheffer's program of analysis. See [48] for additional references of early authors in hypercomplex analysis. In [22] we find many of the usual theorems of complex function theory set forth for a general commutative algebra over \mathbb{C} . Ketchum's later work on polygenic functions in [23] and [24] deal with an infinite dimensional algebra which provides a function theory for the three dimensional wave equation. Several decades pass until Synder's 1958 thesis [43] continues Ketchum's investigations and then Kunz' [29] gives further insight.

It seems most of the work before Ward [48] focused on calculus over and algebra with a complex base field. Ward, a student of MacDuffee at the University of Wisconsin, used matrix arguments and some of the insight brought from Jacobson's enveloping algebra to define *analytic* functions over a noncommutative algebra in his 1939 thesis [49]. However, some of Ward's theorems are given for functions whose derivative falls inside the regular representation. Wagner, also a student of MacDuffee, was successful in refining Ward's work in the specific context of commutative algebras. Wagner found matrix arguments which showed how to produce *generalized Laplace equations* [47]. After serving in World War II, Ward produced [50] in 1952 which echoed the improvements of Wagner. Ward showed in that given a particular system of PDEs, there exists an algebra for which the system of PDEs form the generalized Cauchy Riemann equations of the constructed algebra. Ward and Wagner's works are a joy. Unfortunately, they are given in a *matric* language which probably obscures their logic for most modern readers. Most of their work involves explicit manipulation of structure constants and component equations. To understand their work one has to understand that C_{ijk} is either the components of the first regular representation R_i , or second regular representation S_j or the paraisotropic matrix Q_k . In some sense, much of what we present in this paper is logically implicit in [48] and [47]. The works of Ward and Wagner are impressive in that they were able to see as much as they did without the benefit of modern linear algebra. We hope that we can exposit Ward and Wagner's results in a language which exposes how simple and natural they truly are.

Our work is probably more inline with that of Wagner to be honest. Ward's analytic functions for noncommutative algebras have been studied by Trampus [45] and Rineheart [37].

However, as a point of history, the author only found Ward and Wagner's works after much of the theory of \mathcal{A} -calculus was independently uncovered through conversations with Nguyen, Leslie and Zhang in the Fall 2012 Semester at Liberty University. In fact, Vladimirov and Volovich's work in [46] served as the catalyst for this whole project.

It may be useful to demarcate two major directions in generalized calculus over an algebra:

- (1.) function theory of generalized complex numbers
- (2.) function theory of generalized hyperbolic numbers

For (1.), we have the continuation of Scheffer's work on algebras with a complex base field. These take inspiration from Segre's 1892 introduction of the bicomplex numbers.

More recently, Price's text *Multicomplex Functions and Spaces* discusses the integral and differential calculus of bicomplex and multicomplex numbers [33]. M.E. Luna-Elizarrarás, M. Shapiro, D.C. Struppa and A. Vajiac provide an update to Price's work on the bicomplex numbers in [12]. Bicomplex numbers are also related to the *complicated numbers* studied by Good and clarified by Waterhouse [51]. Good noticed primes of the form $8n + 1$ have a particular connection with complicated numbers. In [52] Waterhouse gives a reasonably lucid derivation of Wagner's generalized Laplace equations and he gives some evidence that \mathcal{A} is well-suited to bring new insights into the analysis of a PDE. Pedersen [34] [35] and Jonasson [21] applied Waterhouse's calculus to study polynomial solutions to a large class of PDEs. Particular algebras have been studied in great depth, for example, see [31] for the state of the art on calculus with Cayley numbers. Plaksa and Pukhtaievych show in [36] the Cauchy integral formula, Morera's theorem and Cauchy's integral formula generalize to certain algebras over \mathbb{C} . I should once more emphasize the paper by Ketchum in 1928 is very related to all the works above as Ketchum explains the basic differential and integral calculus over a complex algebra in [22]

For (2.), it seems hyperbolic numbers were introduced as the *real tessarines* by James Cockle in 1848 [5]. However, over the years these are discovered or invented by numerous disconnected authors. This reality is reflected in the abundance of names which have been given to hyperbolic numbers:

| | | |
|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| hyperbolic numbers | (real) tessarines, | split-complex numbers |
| algebraic motors | bireal numbers, | approximate numbers |
| countercomplex numbers | double numbers | anormal-complex numbers |
| perplex numbers | Lorentz numbers | paracomplex numbers |
| semi-complex numbers | split binarions | spacetime numbers |
| Study numbers | twocomplex numbers | |

Fox's 1949 thesis [13] on the bireal numbers gives some interesting pictures to illustrate the analog of conformal mapping in the case of hyperbolic numbers. In 1998, Motter and Rosa study hyperbolic integral analysis and provide some discussion of the analog of a Riemann surface for hyperbolic calculus [32]. In 1999, Konderak used Lorentz numbers in [26] to prove a result about immersed Lorentz surfaces in \mathbb{R}_1^3 . The 2003 careful analysis by Gadea, Grifone and Masqué in [14] on double numbers is very helpful towards understanding the distinction between differentiability defined with or without the concept of a difference quotient, although, the paper is largely concerned with the construction of manifolds over the double numbers. In 2005, Khrennikov and Segre give a broad introduction to hyperbolic analysis which includes an analysis of how hyperbolic analysis fits into Clifford analysis [25]. In 2008, Kravchenko et. al. show in [27] and [28] how hyperbolic numbers to analyze certain solutions to the Klein-Gordon equation. In 2014, Terlizzi, Konderak and Lacirasella provide many explicit formulae for functions over Lorentz numbers which they use to study manifolds modelled over Lorentz numbers [44].

In contrast, this paper is written in the tradition of Ward and Wagner which is not specific to a particular algebra. Our general approach also allows some nilpotent examples not widely

considered elsewhere. Gadea and Masqué's work in [15] is also quite general, they give some picture of how to build manifolds which locally support an \mathcal{A} -calculus. Rosenfeld studied differentiability for many noncommutative algebras in [39]. Rosenfeld showed there were very few differentiable functions for a large class of simple algebras, in contrast, we show there are algebras with nilpotent elements which support many differentiable functions². Lorch's work [30] is also interesting. Lorch's concept of differentiation over an algebra was foundational for the recent papers [16] and [17] where it is shown how to solve certain ordinary differential equations via an algebra substitution. In particular, the concept of multiple conjugates as shown in [16] is very helpful and it was the inspiration for the generalized Wirtinger calculus we present in this paper.

We apologize to the authors we have inadvertently slighted. Please see [4] to see some other directions which are currently under investigation. In particular, quaternionic analysis continues to be a source of interesting questions.

3 Calculus on a normed linear space

Let V and W be finite dimensional normed linear spaces over \mathbb{R} . We denote the norm of $x \in V$ by $\|x\|$. If $F : U \subset V \rightarrow W$ is a function then we say F is differentiable at p if there exists a \mathbb{R} -linear map $d_p F : V \rightarrow W$ for which

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(p+h) - F(p) - d_p F(h)}{\|h\|} = 0. \quad (3)$$

Likewise, if F is differentiable for each $p \in U$ then we say F is **differentiable on** U . If $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis for V with coordinate functions x_1, \dots, x_n then we define

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(p) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(p + tv_i) - F(p)}{t}. \quad (4)$$

If the map $p \rightarrow \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(p)$ is continuous at p for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ then we say F is **continuously differentiable at** p and write $F \in C^1(p)$. Let U be an open set. If F is continuously differentiable on U then F differentiable on U and we write $F \in C^1(U)$. If $F \in C^1(U)$ then we are free to construct the differential of F at p from the partial derivatives of F at p ; for $h = h_1 v_1 + \dots + h_n v_n$,

$$d_p F(h) = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(p) \quad \text{or, as is often useful,} \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(p) = d_p F(v_i). \quad (5)$$

The differential $d_p F : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation of vector spaces hence we can associate, given a choice of bases β for V and γ for W , a matrix $[d_p F]_{\beta, \gamma} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. In particular, the **Jacobian matrix** for $F \in C^1(p)$ is given by:

$$[d_p F]_{\beta, \gamma} = [[d_p F(v_1)]_{\gamma}] \cdots [[d_p F(v_n)]_{\gamma}] = \left[\left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} \right]_{\gamma} \mid \cdots \mid \left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n} \right]_{\gamma} \right] \quad (6)$$

²the author is thankful to Robert Bryant for his comment at mathoverflow question 191088 and private correspondence which helped clarify this point.

where $[y_1w_1 + \cdots + y_mw_m]_\gamma = (y_1, \dots, y_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the usual γ -coordinate map. In many of the applications we study the vector spaces V and W are \mathbb{R}^n and it is our custom to use e_1, \dots, e_n to denote the **standard basis** where $(e_i)_j = \delta_{ij}$. In this special case, we note the Jacobian matrix simply by the standard matrix of the differential;

$$[d_p F] = [d_p F(e_1) | \cdots | d_p F(e_n)] = \left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial x^1} \middle| \cdots \middle| \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^n} \right]. \quad (7)$$

4 Real linear associative algebras

A vector space paired with a multiplication forms an *algebra*.

Definition 4.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a finite-dimensional real vector space paired with a function $\star : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ which is called **multiplication**. In particular, the multiplication map satisfies the properties below:

- (i.) **bilinear:** $(cx + y) \star z = c(x \star z) + y \star z$ and $x \star (cy + z) = c(x \star y) + x \star z$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (ii.) **associative:** for which $x \star (y \star z) = (x \star y) \star z$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$ and,
- (iii.) **unital:** there exists $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $\mathbf{1} \star x = x$ and $x \star \mathbf{1} = x$.

We say $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is an **\mathcal{A} -number**. If $x \star y = y \star x$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ then \mathcal{A} is **commutative**.

When there is no ambiguity we use $1 = \mathbf{1}$ and we replace \star with juxtaposition; $xy = x \star y$. We assume \mathcal{A} is an associative algebra of finite dimension over \mathbb{R} throughout the remainder of this paper. In the commutative case there is no need to distinguish between *left* and *right* properties. However, we allow the possibility that \mathcal{A} be **noncommutative** at this point in our development.

If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ then $\ell_\alpha(x) = \alpha \star x$ is a **left-multiplication** map on \mathcal{A} . It is a **right- \mathcal{A} -linear** as:

$$\ell_\alpha(x \star y) = \alpha \star (x \star y) = (\alpha \star x) \star y = \ell_\alpha(x) \star y. \quad (8)$$

Likewise, $r_\alpha(x) = x \star \alpha$ is a **right-multiplication** map on \mathcal{A} . It is a **left- \mathcal{A} -linear** as:

$$r_\alpha(x \star y) = (x \star y) \star \alpha = x \star (y \star \alpha) = x \star r_\alpha(y). \quad (9)$$

Notice, associativity of \star is given by $\ell_\alpha \circ r_\beta = r_\beta \circ \ell_\alpha$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}$. From Equation 8 we see that every left-multiplication map is right- \mathcal{A} -linear. In fact, if $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{A}$ then every right- \mathcal{A} -linear map on \mathcal{A} is a left multiplication by a particular element of \mathcal{A} .

Theorem 4.2. If $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a right- \mathcal{A} -linear map then there exists a unique $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $T = \ell_\alpha$.

Proof: let $T \in \mathcal{R}_\mathcal{A}$ and consider $T(x) = T(\mathbf{1} \star x) = T(\mathbf{1}) \star x$ for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $T = \ell_{T(\mathbf{1})}$. \square

The simple calculation above is key to understanding generalized Cauchy Riemann equations.

Definition 4.3. Let $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ define the set of all right- \mathcal{A} -linear transformations on \mathcal{A} . If $T \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ then $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a \mathbb{R} -linear transformation for which $T(x \star y) = T(x) \star y$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$

Recall the sum, scalar multiple and composition of endomorphisms is once more an endomorphism. Moreover, addition, scalar multiplication and composition of transformations are known to be bilinear and associative. Furthermore, if $Id(x) = x$ for all $x \in V$ then observe $Id = \mathbb{1}$ for the operation of composition. In summary, $gl(V) = \{T : V \rightarrow V \mid T \text{ linear transformation}\}$ forms the **general linear algebra on V** . In the context of $V = \mathcal{A}$, the subset $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq gl(\mathcal{A})$ is special:

Theorem 4.4. The set $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a subalgebra of $gl(\mathcal{A})$; that is, $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}} \leq gl(\mathcal{A})$ ³.

Proof: notice that $Id(x \star y) = x \star y = Id(x) \star y$ hence $Id \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$. To show $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a subalgebra of $gl(\mathcal{A})$ it remains to show the sum, scalar multiple and composite of right- \mathcal{A} -linear maps in once again in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and consider:

$$\begin{aligned} (cT_1 + T_2)(x \star y) &= c(T_1)(x \star y) + T_2(x \star y) \\ &= cT_1(x) \star y + T_2(x) \star y \\ &= (cT_1(x) + T_2(x)) \star y \\ &= (cT_1 + T_2)(x) \star y. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Likewise, applying right-linearity of T_2 then T_1 yields:

$$(T_1 \circ T_2)(x \star y) = T_1(T_2(x \star y)) = T_1(T_2(x) \star y) = T_1(T_2(x)) \star y = (T_1 \circ T_2)(x) \star y. \tag{11}$$

Hence $T_1 \circ T_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$. \square

The result above shows that for any algebra \mathcal{A} we immediately obtain a related algebra of linear transformations. Given a choice of basis, we also can trade \mathcal{A} for a particular set of matrices known as the **regular representation**.

Definition 4.5. Let \mathcal{A} have basis β then the **regular representation** with respect to β is

$$M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta) = \{[T]_{\beta, \beta} \mid T \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}\}.$$

In the case $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}^n$ we may forego the β notation and write

$$M_{\mathcal{A}} = \{[T] \mid T \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}\}$$

for the **regular representation** of \mathcal{A} .

The regular representation of $gl(V)$ is simply $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ matrices given $\dim(V) = n$. This is immediate from the fact that any matrix may appear as the matrix of an endomorphism. Just as $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}} \leq gl(\mathcal{A})$ we likewise find $M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta) \leq \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. In $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ the algebra multiplication is simply matrix multiplication and $\mathbb{1}$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

Theorem 4.6. For any choice of β , the set $M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

³we intend the notation $\mathcal{A} \leq \mathcal{B}$ to indicate \mathcal{A} is a **subalgebra** of \mathcal{B} .

Proof: since $[Id]_{\beta,\beta} = I$ it follows that $\mathbb{1} \in M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$. It remains to show that $M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$ is closed under addition, scalar multiplication and matrix multiplication. Assume $A, B \in M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Observe, by definition, there exist $S, T \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ for which $A = [S]_{\beta,\beta}$ and $B = [T]_{\beta,\beta}$. Since the matrix of a linear combination of operators is the linear combination of the matrices of said operators we have:

$$cA + B = c[S]_{\beta,\beta} + [T]_{\beta,\beta} = [cS + T]_{\beta,\beta} \quad (12)$$

but, we know $S, T \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ hence by Theorem 4.4 $cS + T \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ which shows $[cS + T]_{\beta,\beta} \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$ and thus $cA + B \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$. Finally, recall matrix multiplication was defined precisely so the identity below holds true:

$$AB = [S]_{\beta,\beta}[T]_{\beta,\beta} = [S \circ T]_{\beta,\beta}. \quad (13)$$

Observe, Theorem 4.4 indicates that $S, T \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ implies $S \circ T \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Therefore, $AB \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$ and we conclude $M_{\mathcal{A}}$ forms a subalgebra of $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. \square

Different basis choices give different **matrix** regular representations. We use the change of basis theorem from linear algebra to relate the representations:

Theorem 4.7. *If β, γ are bases for \mathcal{A} then $M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$ and $M_{\mathcal{A}}(\gamma)$ are conjugate subalgebras.*

Proof: Let β, γ be basis for \mathcal{A} . If $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a linear transformation then we know from linear algebra that there exists an invertible change of basis matrix P for which $[T]_{\beta,\beta} = P^{-1}[T]_{\gamma,\gamma}P$. Thus, if $A \in M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$ then $A = [T]_{\beta,\beta} = P^{-1}[T]_{\gamma,\gamma}P \in P^{-1}M_{\mathcal{A}}(\gamma)P$. In other words, $M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$ is the image of $M_{\mathcal{A}}(\gamma)$ under conjugation by P . \square

For a given algebra \mathcal{A} and basis β we are free to use transformations in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ or matrices in $M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$ to capture the same structure. These isomorphisms are of fundamental importance to the study of \mathcal{A} -calculus. Notice, we say (\mathcal{A}, \star) and $(\mathcal{B}, *)$ are **isomorphic** as real associative algebras and write $\mathcal{A} \approx \mathcal{B}$ if there exists an invertible \mathbb{R} -linear transformation $\Psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $\Psi(x \star y) = \Psi(x) * \Psi(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 4.8. *If β is a basis for \mathcal{A} then $\mathcal{A} \approx \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}} \approx M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$*

Proof: suppose $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis for \mathcal{A} . Define $\Psi(\alpha) = \ell_{\alpha}$ as was studied in Equation 8. Notice $\Psi(c\alpha + \beta) = \ell_{c\alpha + \beta} = c\ell_{\alpha} + \ell_{\beta} = c\Psi(\alpha) + \Psi(\beta)$ hence Ψ is a linear transformation. Further, as \mathcal{A} is unital there exists a multiplicative identity $\mathbb{1} \in \mathcal{A}$. If $T \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ then $T(\mathbb{1}) \in \mathcal{A}$ and for $x \in \mathcal{A}$ we calculate:

$$(\Psi(T(\mathbb{1}))) (x) = \ell_{T(\mathbb{1})}(x) = T(\mathbb{1}) \star x = T(x). \quad (14)$$

Thus $\Psi(T(\mathbb{1})) = T$ which shows Ψ is a surjection. Suppose $\Psi(\alpha) = 0$ hence $\ell_{\alpha}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Set $x = \mathbb{1}$ to calculate $\ell_{\alpha}(\mathbb{1}) = \alpha \star \mathbb{1} = \alpha = 0$. Therefore $\text{Ker}(\Psi) = \{0\}$ and we find Ψ is an injection. Hence Ψ is an isomorphism of vector spaces. It remains to show Ψ preserves the algebra multiplication. We need associativity here:

$$\Psi(\alpha \star \beta)(x) = \ell_{\alpha \star \beta}(x) = (\alpha \star \beta) \star x = \alpha \star (\beta \star x) = \ell_{\alpha}(\ell_{\beta}(x)) = \ell_{\alpha} \circ \ell_{\beta}(x). \quad (15)$$

Therefore, Ψ gives the isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \approx \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Next, define $\mathbf{M} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$ by

$$\mathbf{M}(\alpha) = [\ell_{\alpha}]_{\beta,\beta} = [[\alpha \star v_1]_{\beta} | \cdots | [\alpha \star v_n]_{\beta}]. \quad (16)$$

If $A \in M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$ then there exists $T \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ for which $[T]_{\beta,\beta} = A$. Use Equation 14 to see:

$$\mathbf{M}(T(\mathbf{1})) = [\ell_{T(\mathbf{1})}]_{\beta,\beta} = [T]_{\beta,\beta} = A. \quad (17)$$

Hence $\mathbf{M} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$ is surjective. Suppose $\mathbf{M}(\alpha) = 0$ then $[\ell_{\alpha}]_{\beta,\beta} = 0$ from which we find $\ell_{\alpha} = 0$. Thus, $\ell_{\alpha}(\mathbf{1}) = \alpha \star \mathbf{1} = \alpha = 0$. We find $\text{Ker}(\mathbf{M}) = \{0\}$ and thus \mathbf{M} is injective. Finally, we verify \mathbf{M} preserves the algebra multiplication: we use the middle of Equation 15 in the second equality:

$$\mathbf{M}(x \star y) = [\ell_{x \star y}]_{\beta,\beta} = [\ell_x \circ \ell_y]_{\beta,\beta} = [\ell_x]_{\beta,\beta} [\ell_y]_{\beta,\beta} = \mathbf{M}(x) \mathbf{M}(y). \quad (18)$$

Thus \mathbf{M} provides the isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \approx M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$. \square

It is convenient to set some notation for the inverse of the Ψ map. For each number $x \in \mathcal{A}$ the map Ψ assigns a linear transformation $\Psi(x) \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$. It seems natural⁴ to call the inverse of Ψ the **number map**. For convenience of notation, we also use $\#$ for the inverse of \mathbf{M} :

Definition 4.9. *The number map $\# : \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is defined by $\#(T) = T(\mathbf{1})$ when the context demands. Likewise, given β a basis for \mathcal{A} we define $\# : M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and when the context demands $\#[[T]_{\beta,\beta}] = T(\mathbf{1})$.*

The number map is easiest to understand when $\mathbf{1} = v_1 = e_1$ where $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}^n$, however, we have taken care to allow for other possibilities⁵.

Theorem 4.10. *If $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis for \mathcal{A} where $v_1 = \mathbf{1}$ then*

$$\mathbf{M}(x) = [[x]_{\beta} | [x \star v_2]_{\beta} | \cdots | [x \star v_n]_{\beta}]$$

and $\#(A) = \Phi_{\beta}^{-1}(\mathbf{col}_1(A))$ where $\Phi_{\beta}(x) = [x]_{\beta}$ is the coordinate map.

Proof: we define $\mathbf{M} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$ as in the proof of Theorem 4.8; $\mathbf{M}(x) = [\ell_x]_{\beta,\beta}$. The j -th column in $[\ell_x]_{\beta,\beta}$ is $[\ell_x(v_j)]_{\beta} = [x \star v_j]_{\beta}$. Therefore, as $v_1 = \mathbf{1}$ we find $\mathbf{M}(x) = [[x]_{\beta} | [x \star v_2]_{\beta} | \cdots | [x \star v_n]_{\beta}]$. Let $A = \mathbf{M}(x)$, we wish to solve for x . Notice, $\mathbf{col}_1(A) = [x]_{\beta}$ from which we derive $x = \Phi_{\beta}^{-1}(\mathbf{col}_1(A))$ hence $\#(A) = \Phi_{\beta}^{-1}(\mathbf{col}_1(A))$. \square

In almost all applications of the Theorem 4.10 we consider the case $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\beta = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ the usual standard basis such that $e_1 = \mathbf{1}$. Given these special choices we obtain much improved formulae⁶

⁴this is **not** a hash-tag

⁵Note, $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ we have $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1)$ and in $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ we have $\mathbf{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ thus the usual standard bases for \mathbb{R}^2 or $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ do not include the identity of the algebra.

⁶ when $\beta = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ we drop β from the notation and simply write $M_{\mathcal{A}}$ in the place of $M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$

Corollary 4.11. *If $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{1} = e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ then for $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $A \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$,*

$$\mathbf{M}(x) = [x|x \star e_2 | \dots | x \star e_n] \quad \& \quad \#(A) = \mathbf{col}_1(A), \quad \#\mathbf{M}(x) = x.$$

Notice that the first column of $A \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$ determines the rest through the structure of the multiplication of \mathcal{A} . Setting aside the special context of the corollary, if $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a non-standard basis with $v_j = \mathbf{1}$ then the j -th column of $\mathbf{M}(x)$ will fix the remaining columns according to the multiplication on \mathcal{A} . On the other hand, if $\mathbf{1} \notin \beta$ then there need not be a single column of each matrix in $M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$ which fixes the remaining columns. We see this phenomenon explicitly in Examples 4.26 and 4.31.

Definition 4.12. *We say $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is a **unit** if there exists $y \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $x \star y = y \star x = \mathbf{1}$. The set of all units is known as the **group of units** and we denote this by \mathcal{A}^\times . We say $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is a **zero-divisor** if $a \neq 0$ and there exists $b \neq 0$ for which $a \star b = 0$ or $b \star a = 0$. Let $\mathbf{zd}(\mathcal{A}) = \{x \in \mathcal{A} \mid x = 0 \text{ or } x \text{ is a zero-divisor}\}$*

Isomorphisms transfer both units and zero-divisors.

Theorem 4.13. *Suppose (\mathcal{A}, \star) and (\mathcal{B}, \star) are real associative algebras and $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an isomorphism. Then,*

- (i.) $\Phi(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}$,
- (ii.) for $x \in \mathcal{A}^\times$, $\Phi(x^{-1}) = \Phi(x)^{-1}$; that is, $\Phi(\mathcal{A}^\times) = \mathcal{B}^\times$,
- (iii.) if $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \star y = 0$ then $\Phi(x) \star \Phi(y) = 0$; that is, $\Phi(\mathbf{zd}(\mathcal{A})) = \mathbf{zd}(\mathcal{B})$.

Proof: to prove (i.) simply note for each $y \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists $x \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $y = \Phi(x) = \Phi(x \star \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}) = \Phi(x) \star \Phi(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}) = y \star \Phi(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}})$. Likewise, as $x = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \star x$ we have $y = \Phi(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}) \star y$. Thus, $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}} = \Phi(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}})$. As $\Phi(0) = 0$, the proofs of (ii.) and (iii.) are immediate from the definition of inverse and zero-divisor since $\Phi(x \star y) = \Phi(x) \star \Phi(y)$. \square

Since $\mathcal{A} \approx M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta) \approx \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ we are free to focus our initial effort where is most convenient. When considering the characterization of $\mathbf{zd}(\mathcal{A})$ the representation $M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$ is useful due to the theory of determinants.

Theorem 4.14. *Let $M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$ be the regular representation of \mathcal{A} with respect to basis β . If $A \in M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$ then either A is zero, a unit, or a zero-divisor.*

Proof: if $A \in M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$ then by definition there exists $S \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ for which $[S]_{\beta, \beta} = A$. Observe that either $\det(A) = 0$ or $\det(A) \neq 0$.

In the case $\det(A) \neq 0$ we know $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \text{adj}(A)^T$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. It remains⁷ to show $A^{-1} \in M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$. Linear algebra provides the existence of $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $[T]_{\beta, \beta} = A^{-1}$. Note,

$$[T]_{\beta, \beta} [S]_{\beta, \beta} = I \quad \Rightarrow \quad T \circ S = Id. \quad (19)$$

⁷in principle, you could worry the inverse exists in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ yet is not inside the regular representation of \mathcal{A} , the argument to follow shows this worry is needless.

Suppose $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and observe by right- \mathcal{A} -linearity of S we derive:

$$T(S(x) \star y) = T(S(x \star y)) = x \star y = T(S(x)) \star y. \quad (20)$$

Since S is a surjection the calculation above shows T is right- \mathcal{A} -linear hence $[T]_{\beta, \beta} = A^{-1} \in M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$. Thus A is a unit in $M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$.

On the other hand, if $\det(A) = 0$ then the constant term in the minimal polynomial $m(t)$ of A is zero. Thus, either $A = 0$ or there exists some $k \geq 2$ for which $m(t) = t^k + c_{k-1}t^{k-1} + \dots + c_1t$ for some $c_1, \dots, c_{k-1} \in \mathbb{R}$. From the theory of the minimal polynomial we know $m(A) = 0$ hence as A factors either to the left or right:

$$0 = m(A) = A(A^{k-1} + c_{k-1}A^{k-2} + \dots + c_1I) = (A^{k-1} + c_{k-1}A^{k-2} + \dots + c_1I)A. \quad (21)$$

Thus $B = A^{k-1} + c_{k-1}A^{k-2} + \dots + c_1I$ gives $AB = 0 = BA$. Furthermore, since $M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$ is an algebra and $A \in M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$ it is clear that $B \in M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$. Thus in the case $\det(A) = 0$ either $A = 0$ or A is a zero-divisor. \square

The minimal polynomial argument used in the zero-divisor case could also have been used to show the inverse of a unit A in $M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$ is formed from a suitable polynomial in A .

Corollary 4.15. *Every element of \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is either zero, a unit, or a zero-divisor.*

Proof: combine Theorem 4.13 and Theorem 4.14. \square

We study finite dimensional real associative algebras in this work. If $\dim(\mathcal{A}) = n$ then geometrically \mathcal{A} is essentially just \mathbb{R}^n . For $M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$ we have an n -dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. The set $\mathbf{zd}(M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta))$ is the solution set of $\det(A) = 0$. This is an n -th order polynomial equation in the components of A which includes $A = 0$ and at most an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional space of zero-divisors. For example, if we consider the complex numbers $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}$ then $\mathbf{zd}(\mathcal{A}) = \{0\}$. On the other hand \mathbb{R}^2 with the standard direct product given by $(a, b) \star (c, d) = (ac, bd)$ has $\mathbf{zd}(\mathbb{R}^2) = (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}) \cup (\mathbb{R} \times \{0\})$. We observe that $\mathbf{zd}(\mathcal{A})$ is formed by a union of subspaces of \mathcal{A} . This is natural given the following:

Theorem 4.16. *The set $\mathbf{zd}(\mathcal{A})$ is fixed under negation.*

Proof: suppose $x \in \mathbf{zd}(\mathcal{A})$ then there exists $y \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $x \star y = 0$. Thus $-x \star y = 0$ and we find $-x \in \mathbf{zd}(\mathcal{A})$. \square

Theorem 4.17. *Let \mathcal{A} be an n -dimensional real associative unital algebra. There exists an invertible basis $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}^\times$.*

Proof: Let $\gamma = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ be a basis for \mathcal{A} . Suppose that a basis element w_i is a zero divisor. Recall that the zero divisors in an n dimensional algebra can be at most $n - 1$ dimensional. Hence, there exist c_1, \dots, c_n with $c_i \neq 0$ (since this restriction only removes another $n - 1$ dimensional subspace of the algebra) such that $\{w_1, \dots, c_1w_1 + \dots + c_iw_i + \dots + c_nw_n, \dots, w_n\}$ is a basis for \mathcal{A} where the i -th component is now a unit, since the transformation $w_i \mapsto c_1w_1 + \dots + c_iw_i + \dots + c_nw_n$ preserves linear independence of the basis so long as

$c_i \neq 0$, and we know by linear algebra that the span of the vectors must also be preserved, since we have n linearly independent vectors in an n dimensional vector space. Therefore, applying this procedure iteratively to w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n yields a basis $\beta = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ for \mathcal{A} where $\beta^* \subset \mathcal{A}^\times \square$

It is easy to see the argument above also allows the following result:

Corollary 4.18. *Let \mathcal{A} be an n -dimensional real associative unital algebra. There exists an invertible basis of the special form $\beta = \{\mathbb{1}, v_2, \dots, v_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}^\times$.*

Finally, we make the following observation that any open ball about a point in \mathcal{A} necessarily intersects infinitely many points in \mathcal{A}^\times . This observation should be geometrically evident since $\mathbf{zd}(\mathcal{A})$ is a space of smaller dimension than \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{A} - \mathbf{zd}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}^\times$.

Theorem 4.19. *Let \mathcal{A} be an n -dimensional real associative unital algebra. The group of units \mathcal{A}^\times is a dense subset of \mathcal{A} .*

4.1 Examples

To explain the structure of complex numbers it suffices to say $i^2 = -1$ and then just add and multiply $a + bi, c + di$ as usual. Of course, we can be more explicit in our construction if the audience knows about field extensions or group algebras, but, as a starting point it is convenient to provide definitions of algebras which are accessible to every level of student.

Example 4.20. *The real numbers with their usual addition and multiplication is an associative algebra over \mathbb{R} . If $a \in \mathbb{R}$ then $[a] \in M_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 1}$ is its left regular representation. Usually we will not distinguish between a and $[a]$.*

Example 4.21. *The complex numbers are defined by $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R} \oplus i\mathbb{R}$ where $i^2 = -1$. If $a + ib, c + id \in \mathbb{C}$ then $(a + ib)(c + id) = ac + iad + ibc + i^2bd = ac - bd + i(ad + bc)$. Note every nonzero complex number $a + ib$ has multiplicative inverse $\frac{a-ib}{a^2+b^2}$ hence \mathbb{C} is a field. Note*

$\mathbf{M}(a + ib) = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$ and the set of all such matrices is denoted $M_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Example 4.22. *The hyperbolic numbers are given by $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R} \oplus j\mathbb{R}$ where $j^2 = 1$. If $a + jb, c + jd \in \mathcal{H}$ then $(a + jb)(c + jd) = ac + adj + jbc + j^2bd = ac + bd + j(ad + bc)$. Observe*

$\mathbf{M}(a + bj) = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \in M_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathbf{zd}(\mathcal{H}) = \{a + bj \mid a^2 = b^2\}$ whereas $\mathcal{H}^\times = \{a + bj \mid a^2 \neq b^2\}$.

The reciprocal of an element in \mathcal{H}^\times is simply

$$\frac{1}{a + bj} = \frac{a - bj}{a^2 - b^2} \quad (22)$$

this follows from the identity $(a + bj)(a - bj) = a^2 - b^2$ given $a^2 - b^2 \neq 0$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $(a, b)(c, d) = (ac, bd)$ for all $(a, b), (c, d) \in \mathcal{B}$. We can show that

$$\Psi(a, b) = a \left(\frac{1+j}{2} \right) + b \left(\frac{1-j}{2} \right) \quad \& \quad \Psi^{-1}(x + jy) = (x + y, x - y) \quad (23)$$

provide an isomorphism of \mathcal{H} and $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. We can use this isomorphism to transfer problems from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{B} and vice-versa. For example, to solve $z^2 + Bz + C = 0$ in the hyperbolic numbers we note

$$z^2 + Bz + C = 0 \Rightarrow \Psi^{-1}(z)^2 + \Psi^{-1}(B)\Psi^{-1}(z) + \Psi^{-1}(C) = 0 \quad (24)$$

Setting $\Psi^{-1}(B) = (b_1, b_2)$ and $\Psi^{-1}(C) = (c_1, c_2)$ and $\Psi^{-1}(z) = (x, y)$ we arrive at

$$(x, y)^2 + (b_1, b_2)(x, y) + (c_1, c_2) = 0 \quad (25)$$

which reduces to

$$(x^2 + b_1x + c_1, y^2 + b_2y + c_2) = (0, 0). \quad (26)$$

Of course, these are just quadratic equations in \mathbb{R} so we can solve them and transfer back the result to the general solution of $z^2 + Bz + C = 0$ in \mathcal{H} . Given this correspondence, we deduce there are either zero, two or four solutions to the quadratic hyperbolic equation.

Example 4.23. The dual numbers are given by $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \epsilon\mathbb{R}$ where $\epsilon^2 = 0$. If $a + \epsilon b, c + \epsilon d \in \mathcal{N}$ then

$$(a + \epsilon b)(c + \epsilon d) = ac + a\epsilon d + b\epsilon c + \epsilon^2 bd = ac + (ad + bc)\epsilon. \quad (27)$$

Observe $\mathbf{M}(a + \epsilon b) = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \in M_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\mathbf{zd}(\mathcal{N}) = \{a + \epsilon b \mid a^2 = 0\} = \epsilon\mathbb{R}$. The units in the dual numbers are of the form $a + \epsilon b$ where $a \neq 0$. Note $(a + \epsilon b)(a - \epsilon b) = a^2$ hence $\frac{1}{a + \epsilon b} = \frac{a - \epsilon b}{a^2}$ provided $a \neq 0$.

For higher dimensional algebras the multiplicative inverse of a general element can be calculated by computing the inverse of the element's regular representation.

Example 4.24. The n -th order dual numbers are given by $\mathcal{N}_n = \mathbb{R} \oplus \epsilon^1\mathbb{R} \oplus \dots \oplus \epsilon^{n-1}\mathbb{R}$ where $\epsilon^n = 0$ and $\epsilon^k \neq 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. The regular representation is formed by lower triangular matrices of a particular type:

$$\mathbf{M}(a_1 + a_2\epsilon + \dots + a_n\epsilon^{n-1}) = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & a_1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n-1} & a_{n-2} & \dots & a_1 & 0 \\ a_n & a_{n-1} & \dots & a_2 & a_1 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{\mathcal{N}_n} \quad (28)$$

Notice $a_1 + a_2\epsilon + \dots + a_n\epsilon^{n-1} \in \mathbf{zd}(\mathcal{N}_n)$ only if $a_1 = 0$.

Example 4.25. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R} \oplus j\mathbb{R} \oplus j^2\mathbb{R}$ where $j^3 = 1$. The matrix representatives of these

numbers have an interesting shape; note: $A \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$ implies $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & c & b \\ b & a & c \\ c & b & a \end{bmatrix}$. We note an

isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \approx \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$ is given by mapping j to $(1, \omega)$ where ω is a third root of unity.

Example 4.26. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{H}$ where $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1 + 0j)$. Let $\beta = \{(1, 0), (0, 1), (0, j)\}$ gives block-diagonal $A \in M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$;

$$\mathbf{M}_{\beta}((a, b + cj)) = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & c \\ 0 & c & b \end{bmatrix}. \quad (29)$$

This algebra is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \star (b_1, b_2, b_3) = (a_1b_1, a_2b_2, a_3b_3)$.

Example 4.27. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R} \oplus j\mathbb{R} \oplus j^2\mathbb{R} \oplus j^3\mathbb{R}$ where $j^4 = 1$. Observe,

$$\mathbf{M}(a + bj + cj^2 + dj^3) = \begin{bmatrix} a & d & c & b \\ b & a & d & c \\ c & b & a & d \\ d & c & b & a \end{bmatrix}. \quad (30)$$

This algebra is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ which is clearly isomorphic to $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Example 4.28. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ where $\mathbf{1} = (1 + 0j, 1 + 0j)$. This means $(1, 1)$ is naturally represented by the identity matrix. Set $\beta = \{(1, 0), (j, 0), (0, 1), (0, j)\}$ and observe

$$\mathbf{M}_\beta((a + bj, c + dj)) = \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} a & b & 0 & 0 \\ b & a & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & c & d \\ 0 & 0 & d & c \end{array} \right]. \quad (31)$$

This algebra is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with the Hadamard product $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) * (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) = (a_1b_1, a_2b_2, a_3b_3, a_4b_4)$.

Example 4.29. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ where $\mathbf{1} = (1 + 0i, 1 + 0i)$. Here we study the problem of two complex variables. In this algebra $(1 + 0i, 1 + 0i)$ corresponds to the identity and hence $(1, 1)$ is naturally represented by the identity matrix. In total we have once more a block-

diagonal representation: $A \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$ implies $A = \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} a & -b & 0 & 0 \\ b & a & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & c & -d \\ 0 & 0 & d & c \end{array} \right]$ and this matrix represents $(a + bi, c + di)$.

Example 4.30. Let $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R} \oplus i\mathbb{R} \oplus j\mathbb{R} \oplus k\mathbb{R}$ where $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$ and $ij = k$. These are Hamilton's famed **quaternions**. We can show $ij = -ji$ hence these are not commutative. With respect to the natural basis $e_1 = 1, e_2 = i, e_3 = j, e_4 = k$ we find the matrix representative of $a + ib + cj + dk$ is as follows:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b & -c & -d \\ b & a & -d & c \\ c & d & a & -b \\ d & -c & b & a \end{bmatrix} \in M_{\mathbb{H}}. \quad (32)$$

Example 4.31. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}_2$ with the multiplication \star induced from the multiplication of 2×2 matrices. This again forms a noncommutative algebra. In particular, this multiplication is induced in the natural manner:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t & x \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} at + by & ax + bz \\ ct + dy & cx + dz \end{bmatrix}. \quad (33)$$

It follows that $(a, b, c, d) \star (t, x, y, z) = (at + by, ax + bz, ct + dy, cx + dz)$. We can read from this multiplication that the representative of $(a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{R}_2$ is given by

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} a & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & b \\ \hline c & 0 & d & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 & d \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} aI & bI \\ \hline cI & dI \end{array} \right] \in M_{\mathcal{A}}. \quad (34)$$

Note, the basis $\beta = \{E_{11}, E_{12}, E_{21}, E_{22}\}$ does not contain the multiplicative identity $I = E_{11} + E_{22}$. We define $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{R}^4$ by

$$(a, b, c, d) \star (w, x, y, z) = (aw + by, ax + bz, cw + dy, cx + dz) \quad (35)$$

Of course, $(1, 0, 1, 0) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and \mathcal{B} is really just another notation for the 2×2 matrix algebra. In fact, $\Psi \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = (a, b, c, d)$ defines an isomorphism of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} . The reader will verify that $\Psi(AB) = \Psi(A) \star \Psi(B)$.

Example 4.32. Z in $\mathbb{H}^{2 \times 2}$ is a \mathcal{A} -number. There is a natural injection $\Psi : \mathbb{H}^{2 \times 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{8 \times 8}$ induced from $\mathbf{M} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ from Example 4.30,

$$\Psi \left(\begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}(x) & \mathbf{M}(y) \\ \mathbf{M}(z) & \mathbf{M}(w) \end{bmatrix} \quad (36)$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{H}$. The matrices in $\Psi(\mathbb{H}^{2 \times 2})$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}^{2 \times 2}$.

Example 4.33. Let G be a finite multiplicative group; $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ then we define

$$\mathcal{A}_G = g_1\mathbb{R} \oplus \dots \oplus g_n\mathbb{R} \quad (37)$$

with natural multiplication inherited from G . For example, for all $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(ag_1 + bg_2)(cg_3 + dg_4) = acg_1g_3 + adg_1g_4 + bcg_2g_3 + bdg_2g_4. \quad (38)$$

The group algebra allows us to multiply \mathbb{R} -linear combinations of group elements by extending the group multiplication linearly. By construction, $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ serves as a basis for \mathcal{A}_G . As G is a group we know for each $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ there exists $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ for which $g_i g_j = g_k$. If we define structure constants C_{ijk} by $g_i g_j = \sum_l C_{ijl} g_l$ then $g_i g_j = g_k$ implies $C_{ijl} = \delta_{kl}$.

Example 4.34. The cyclic group of order n in multiplicative notation has the form $G = \{e, g, g^2, \dots, g^{n-1}\}$. The group algebra $\mathcal{A}_G = e\mathbb{R} \oplus g\mathbb{R} \oplus \dots \oplus g^{n-1}\mathbb{R}$. We usually call this algebra the n -hyperbolic numbers.

5 \mathcal{A} -differentiable functions

Given \mathcal{A} with basis $\beta = \{\mathbf{1}, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ we may define an inner-product on \mathcal{A} by bilinearly extending $g(v_i, v_j) = \delta_{ij}$. The g -induced norm $\|x\| = \sqrt{g(x, x)}$ has $\|v_i\| = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In this construction we have β is g -orthonormal and

$$\|x_1 v_1 + x_2 v_2 + \dots + x_n v_n\|^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2. \quad (39)$$

hence $\|\zeta\| = \|\bar{\zeta}_j\|$ for $j = 2, \dots, n$.

We should caution, there are examples where the length of $\mathbf{1}$ is not 1 in the natural norm for the example. For example, \mathbb{R}^n with $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$ has length $\|\mathbf{1}\| = \sqrt{n}$ in the usual

Euclidean metric.

The multiplicative structure of the absolute value on \mathbb{R} , the modulus on \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{H} are very special. It is not typically the case that we have $\|x \star y\| = \|x\| \|y\|$, however, we can always find a norm which is submultiplicative over \mathcal{A} .

Theorem 5.1. *If \mathcal{A} is an associative n -dimensional algebra over \mathbb{R} then there exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ for \mathcal{A} and $m_{\mathcal{A}} > 0$ for which $\|x \star y\| \leq m_{\mathcal{A}} \|x\| \|y\|$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, for this norm we find $m_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbf{C}(n^2 - n + 1)\sqrt{n}$ where $\mathbf{C} = \max\{C_{ijk} \mid 1 \leq i, j, k \leq n\}$.*

Proof: suppose $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis for \mathcal{A} for which $\|x_1 v_1 + \dots + x_n v_n\|^2 = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$ for each $x_1 v_1 + \dots + x_n v_n \in \mathcal{A}$. It is always possible to construct such a norm as we described at the outset of this section. Next, suppose $v_i \star v_j = \sum_{i,j,k} C_{ijk} v_k$ and define $\mathbf{C} = \max\{C_{ijk} \mid 1 \leq i, j, k \leq n\}$. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ where $x = \sum_i x_i v_i$ and $y = \sum_j y_j v_j$. Calculate,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x \star y\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_i x_i v_i \star \sum_j y_j v_j \right\|^2 & (40) \\
&= \left\| \sum_{i,j,k} x_i v_j C_{ijk} v_k \right\|^2 \\
&= \sum_k \left(\sum_{i,j} C_{ijk} x_i y_j \right)^2 \\
&\leq \sum_k \left(\mathbf{C} \sum_{i,j} |x_i| |y_j| \right)^2 \\
&= n \mathbf{C}^2 \left(\sum_{i,j} |x_i| |y_j| \right)^2 \\
&= n \mathbf{C}^2 \left(\sum_i |x_i| \right)^2 \left(\sum_j |y_j| \right)^2 \\
&= n \mathbf{C}^2 \left(\sum_i |x_i|^2 + \sum_{k \neq l} |x_k| |x_l| \right) \left(\sum_j |y_j|^2 + \sum_{k \neq l} |y_k| |y_l| \right) \\
&\leq n \mathbf{C}^2 \left(\|x\|^2 + \sum_{k \neq l} \|x\|^2 \right) \left(\|y\|^2 + \sum_{k \neq l} \|y\|^2 \right) \\
&= n(n^2 - n + 1)^2 \mathbf{C}^2 \|x\|^2 \|y\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, set $m_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbf{C}(n^2 - n + 1)\sqrt{n}$ as to obtain $\|x \star y\| \leq m_{\mathcal{A}} \|x\| \|y\|$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. \square

Typical applications have $\mathbf{C} = 1$ and we find the bound found in the proof above can usually be sharpened for a particular algebra.

Example 5.2. *In the hyperbolic numbers $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R} \oplus j\mathbb{R}$ it can be shown geometrically $\|z \star w\| \leq \sqrt{2} \|z\| \|w\|$ for all $z, w \in \mathcal{H}$ where $\|x + jy\| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ defines the Euclidean norm. In fact, this bound cannot be made smaller. Consider $(1 + j)(1 + j) = 2 + 2j$ and $\|1 + j\| = \sqrt{2}$*

whereas $\|2 + 2j\| = \sqrt{8} = \sqrt{2}\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2}$ hence $\|(1 + j)(1 + j)\| = \sqrt{2}\|1 + j\| \cdot \|1 + j\|$. In this case the structure constants take values of 0 or 1 in all cases thus $\mathbf{C} = 1$ and as $n = 2$ we find $m_{\mathcal{A}} = (4 - 2 + 1)\sqrt{2} = 3\sqrt{2}$. Thus $m_{\mathcal{A}}$ is not sharp.

Also, beware that $\|a/b\| = \|a\|/\|b\|$ in general⁸. However, we can say something productive:

Corollary 5.3. *Suppose $m_{\mathcal{A}} > 0$ is a real constant such that $\|x \star y\| \leq m_{\mathcal{A}}\|x\|\|y\|$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. If $b \in \mathcal{A}^\times$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$ then*

$$\frac{\|a\|}{\|b\|} \leq m_{\mathcal{A}} \left\| \frac{a}{b} \right\|. \quad (41)$$

Proof: Theorem 5.1 provides $m_{\mathcal{A}} > 0$ for which $\|x \star y\| \leq m_{\mathcal{A}}\|x\|\|y\|$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Consider, if $b \in \mathcal{A}^\times$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$ then $a/b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $a = b \star (a/b)$ thus $\|a\| = \|b \star (a/b)\| \leq m_{\mathcal{A}}\|b\|\|a/b\|$ and we deduce $\frac{\|a\|}{\|b\|} \leq m_{\mathcal{A}}\|a/b\|$. \square

In what follows we assume \mathcal{A} has a norm denoted $\|\cdot\|$. For a given \mathcal{A} there may be many choices for the norm, however, these all produce the same topology as \mathcal{A} is a finite dimensional real vector space.

Definition 5.4. *Let $U \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be an open set containing p . If $f : U \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a function then we say f is \mathcal{A} -differentiable at p if there exists a linear function $d_p f \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that*

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(p+h) - f(p) - d_p f(h)}{\|h\|} = 0. \quad (42)$$

Recall, $d_p f \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ implies $d_p f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is \mathbb{R} -linear mapping on \mathcal{A} and $d_p f(v \star w) = d_p f(v) \star w$ for all $v, w \in \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 5.5. *If f is \mathcal{A} differentiable at p then f is \mathbb{R} -differentiable at p .*

Proof: if f is \mathcal{A} -differentiable at p then we know $d_p f \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ satisfies the Frechet limit given in Equation 42. Moreover, $d_p f$ is \mathbb{R} -linear. \square

There are \mathbb{R} -differentiable functions which are not \mathcal{A} -differentiable. The condition $d_p f \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is not met by all functions on \mathcal{A} .

Example 5.6. *Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra of dimension $n \geq 2$ and $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ an invertible basis with $v_1 = \mathbf{1}$ and coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n then we have $\zeta = x_1 + \dots + x_n v_n$ and $\bar{\zeta}_2 = x_1 - v_2 x_2 + \dots + x_n v_n$. The function $f(\zeta) = \bar{\zeta}_2$ is everywhere real differentiable and nowhere \mathcal{A} -differentiable. These observations are most easily verified using the tools of Section 6 which culminate in Theorem 6.6.*

If f is \mathcal{A} -differentiable at each $p \in V$ then f is \mathcal{A} -differentiable on V and we write $f \in C_{\mathcal{A}}(V)$. If there exists an open set containing p on which f is \mathcal{A} -differentiable then we say f is \mathcal{A} -differentiable near p and write $f \in C_{\mathcal{A}}(p)$. There are several ways to characterize \mathcal{A} -differentiability at a point. These all follow from the isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \approx \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}} \approx M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$.

⁸we assume \mathcal{A} is commutative and thus denote both $a \star b^{-1}$ and $b^{-1} \star a$ by a/b .

Theorem 5.7. *Let $U \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $p \in U$. Let $f : U \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a \mathbb{R} -differentiable function at p . The following are equivalent*

- (i.) $d_p f(v \star w) = d_p f(v) \star w$ for all $v, w \in \mathcal{A}$,
- (ii.) there exists $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $d_p f(v) = \lambda \star v$ for each $v \in \mathcal{A}$,
- (iii.) for any basis β of \mathcal{A} , $[d_p f]_{\beta, \beta} \in M_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta)$.

Proof: If f is a function on \mathcal{A} which is \mathbb{R} -differentiable at p then there exists an \mathbb{R} -linear function $d_p f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ which satisfies the Frechet quotient condition set-forth in Equation 3. Notice condition (i.) is true iff $d_p f \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Therefore, (i.) is equivalent to (iii.) in view of the definition of the regular representation built from β (see Definition 4.5). Suppose (i.) is true. Since \mathcal{A} is unital we have $v = \mathbf{1} \star v$,

$$d_p f(v) = d_p f(\mathbf{1} \star v) = d_p f(\mathbf{1}) \star v \quad (43)$$

hence (ii.) follows with $d_p f(\mathbf{1}) = \lambda$. Suppose (ii.) is true and let β be a basis for \mathcal{A} . Let $v, w \in \mathcal{A}$ and consider

$$d_p f(v \star w) = \lambda \star (v \star w) = (\lambda \star v) \star w = d_p f(v) \star w. \quad (44)$$

thus (i.) is true. Since (i.) \Leftrightarrow (ii.) and (i.) \Leftrightarrow (iii.) we have (i.) \Leftrightarrow (ii.) \Leftrightarrow (iii.). \square

The \mathcal{A} -number λ which appears above in (ii.) is known as the **derivative** of f at p . Notice: if $d_p f(v) = \lambda \star v$ for each $v \in \mathcal{A}$ then $\#(d_p f) = \lambda$. We should appreciate the importance of the isomorphism of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and \mathcal{A} as it allows derivatives of functions on \mathcal{A} to be viewed once more as functions on \mathcal{A} . This is a great simplification as derivatives of \mathbb{R} -differentiable maps are not usually objects of the same type. The following quote⁹ is from Dieudonné in [10]

...on a one-dimensional vector space, there is a one-to-one correspondence between linear forms and numbers, and therefore the derivative at a point is defined as a number instead of a linear form.

Dieudonné says this to encourage students to place linear transformations at the center stage of their analysis. In contrast, we find the correspondence of right- \mathcal{A} -linear transformations, or later k -linear transformations on \mathcal{A}^{10} , with \mathcal{A} itself allows us to perform calculations in \mathcal{A} -calculus in nearly the same fashion as introductory real calculus. In other words, since there is also a natural correspondence between \mathcal{A} -linear transformations and \mathcal{A} we escape the sophistication which Dieudonné could not avoid.

Definition 5.8. *Let $U \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be an open set and $f : U \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ an \mathcal{A} -differentiable function on U then we define $f' : U \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by $f'(p) = \#(d_p f)$ for each $p \in U$.*

Equivalently, we could write $f'(p) = d_p f(\mathbf{1})$ since $\#(T) = T(\mathbf{1})$ for each $T \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Many theorems of calculus hold for \mathcal{A} -differentiable functions.

⁹from the introduction to Dieudonné's chapter on differentiation in Modern Analysis Chapter VIII

¹⁰in particular, see Theorem 8.2

Theorem 5.9. *If $f, g \in C_{\mathcal{A}}(p)$ and $c \in \mathcal{A}$ and we define $f + g$ by the usual rule and $(c \star f)(x) = c \star f(x)$ for each $x \in \text{dom}(f)$. Then*

(i.) $f + g \in C_{\mathcal{A}}(p)$ and $(f + g)'(p) = f'(p) + g'(p)$,

(ii.) $c \star f \in C_{\mathcal{A}}(p)$ and $(c \star f)'(p) = c \star f'(p)$.

Proof (i.): suppose $f, g \in C_{\mathcal{A}}(p)$ and $c \in \mathcal{A}$. Recall from the advanced calculus of normed linear spaces that real differentiability of f, g at p implies real-differentiability at p of $f + g$ and $d_p(f + g) = d_p f + d_p g$. But, we assume $f, g \in C_{\mathcal{A}}(p)$ hence $d_p f, d_p g \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and by Theorem 4.4 we deduce $d_p(f + g) \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and thus $f + g \in C_{\mathcal{A}}(p)$. The number map is linear hence $d_p(f + g) = d_p f + d_p g$ implies $\#(d_p(f + g)) = \#(d_p f) + \#(d_p g)$ which gives $(f + g)'(p) = f'(p) + g'(p)$ which proves (i.).

Proof(ii.): If $f \in C_{\mathcal{A}}(p)$ then $d_p f \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ which means $d_p f(v \star w) = d_p f(v) \star w$. Let $c \in \mathcal{A}$ and define $g(p) = c \star f(p)$. Let $L(h) = c \star d_p f(h)$ for each $h \in \mathcal{A}$. If $v, w \in \mathcal{A}$ then

$$L(v \star w) = c \star d_p f(v \star w) = c \star d_p f(v) \star w = L(v) \star w. \quad (45)$$

thus $L \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$. It remains to show g is differentiable with $d_p g = L$. If $h \neq 0$ let $\mathcal{F}_f, \mathcal{F}_g$ denote the Frechet quotients of f, g respective. Since f is differentiable at p means $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{F}_f = 0$. Calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_g &= \frac{g(p+h) - g(p) - L(h)}{\|h\|} = \frac{c \star f(p+h) - c \star f(p) - c \star d_p f(h)}{\|h\|} \\ &= c \star \frac{f(p+h) - f(p) - d_p f(h)}{\|h\|} \\ &= c \star \mathcal{F}_f. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Thus, by Theorem 5.1 we find $\|\mathcal{F}_g\| = \|c \star \mathcal{F}_f\| \leq m_{\mathcal{A}} \|c\| \|\mathcal{F}_f\|$. Since $\|\mathcal{F}_f\| \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ it follows $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{F}_g = 0$. Hence, g is \mathbb{R} -differentiable with $d_p g = L$. Thus $c \star f \in C_{\mathcal{A}}(p)$ with $d_p(c \star f) = c \star d_p f$. Note

$$d_p(c \star f)(\mathbb{1}) = c \star d_p f(\mathbb{1}) = c \star f'(p) \quad (47)$$

Consequently, $\#(d_p(c \star f)) = c \star f'(p)$ and we conclude $(c \star f)'(p) = c \star f'(p)$. \square

The product of two \mathcal{A} -differentiable functions is not necessarily \mathcal{A} -differentiable in the case that \mathcal{A} is a noncommutative algebra. However, the product of two \mathcal{A} -differentiable functions is always \mathbb{R} -differentiable and we have the following result:

Theorem 5.10. *Suppose f, g are \mathcal{A} -differentiable at p then*

$$d_p(f \star g)(v) = d_p f(v) \star g(p) + f(p) \star d_p g(v)$$

for each $v \in \mathcal{A}$. Furthermore, if \mathcal{A} is commutative then $f \star g$ is \mathcal{A} -differentiable at p and

$$(f \star g)'(p) = f'(p) \star g(p) + f(p) \star g'(p).$$

Proof: suppose f, g are \mathcal{A} differentiable at p then f, g are \mathbb{R} -differentiable at p with differentials $d_p f, d_p g \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Furthermore, $f(p+h) = f(p) + d_p f(h) + \eta_f$ where the Frechet quotient $\mathcal{F}_f = \eta_f / \|h\| \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. Likewise, $g(p+h) = g(p) + d_p g(h) + \eta_g$ where the Frechet quotient $\mathcal{F}_g = \eta_g / \|h\| \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. Calculate,

$$\begin{aligned} f(p+h) \star g(p+h) &= (f(p) + d_p f(h) + \eta_f) \star (g(p) + d_p g(h) + \eta_g) \\ &= f(p) \star g(p) + \underbrace{f(p) \star d_p g(h) + d_p f(h) \star g(p)}_{L(h)} + \eta_{f \star g} \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where $\eta_{f \star g} = f(p) \star \eta_g + \eta_f \star g(p) + \eta_f \star \eta_g$. For $h \neq 0$ we define, then simplify

$$\mathcal{F}_{f \star g} = \frac{f(p+h) \star g(p+h) - f(p) \star g(p) - L(h)}{\|h\|} = \frac{\eta_{f \star g}}{\|h\|} \quad (49)$$

Thus, by the triangle inequality and submultiplicativity of $\|\cdot\|$

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{f \star g}\| \leq m_{\mathcal{A}} \left(\|f(p)\| \left\| \frac{\eta_g}{\|h\|} \right\| + \left\| \frac{\eta_f}{\|h\|} \right\| \|g(p)\| + \frac{\|\eta_f\| \|\eta_g\|}{\|h\|} \right) \quad (50)$$

Note, for $h \neq 0$ we have $\|h\| \neq 0$ and $\frac{\|\eta_f\| \|\eta_g\|}{\|h\|} = \|h\| \frac{\|\eta_f\|}{\|h\|} \frac{\|\eta_g\|}{\|h\|} = \|h\| \|\mathcal{F}_f\| \|\mathcal{F}_g\|$ where $\mathcal{F}_f, \mathcal{F}_g$ denote the Frechet quotients of f, g respectively. In summary,

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{f \star g}\| \leq m_{\mathcal{A}} (\|f(p)\| \|\mathcal{F}_g\| + \|\mathcal{F}_f\| \|g(p)\| + \|h\| \|\mathcal{F}_f\| \|\mathcal{F}_g\|) \quad (51)$$

thus $\|\mathcal{F}_{f \star g}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. We defined $L = f(p) \star d_p g(h) + d_p f(h) \star g(p)$ hence $L(cv+w) = cL(v) + L(w)$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $v, w \in \mathcal{A}$. The real linearity of L follows from linearity of $d_p f$ and $d_p g$ as well as the structure of the \star -multiplication. Therefore, $f \star g$ is real-differentiable at p with $d_p(f \star g)(v) = d_p f(v) \star g(p) + f(p) \star d_p g(v)$.

Next, suppose \mathcal{A} is commutative. If $v, w \in \mathcal{A}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} d_p(f \star g)(v \star w) &= d_p f(v \star w) \star g(p) + f(p) \star d_p g(v \star w) \\ &= d_p f(v) \star w \star g(p) + f(p) \star d_p g(v) \star w \\ &= (d_p f(v) \star g(p) + f(p) \star d_p g(v)) \star w \\ &= d_p(f \star g)(v) \star w \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Thus $d_p(f \star g) \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and we have shown $f \star g$ is \mathcal{A} -differentiable at p . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} (f \star g)'(p) &= d_p(f \star g)(\mathbf{1}) \\ &= d_p f(\mathbf{1}) \star g(p) + f(p) \star d_p g(\mathbf{1}) \\ &= f'(p) \star g(p) + f(p) \star g'(p). \quad \square \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

If \mathcal{A} is not commutative then it is possible

$$d_p f(v) \star w \star g(p) \neq d_p f(v) \star g(p) \star w \quad (54)$$

If $f \star g$ is to be \mathcal{A} differentiable at p then we need that

$$d_p f(v) \star w \star g(p) - d_p f(v) \star g(p) \star w = 0 \quad (55)$$

for all $v, w \in \mathcal{A}$. Equivalently,

$$d_p f(v) \star (w \star g(p) - g(p) \star w) = 0 \quad (56)$$

For example, if $f(p) = c$ is the constant function then $f \star g$ is differentiable as we already saw in Theorem 5.9 part (ii.). For a less trivial example, we could seek a function g for which

$$w \star g(p) - g(p) \star w = 0 \quad (57)$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{A}$ and some p . The **center** of \mathcal{A} is $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{A}) = \{x \in \mathcal{A} \mid x \star y = y \star x \text{ for all } y \in \mathcal{A}\}$. The center forms an ideal of \mathcal{A} . We say \mathcal{A} is a simple algebra if it has no ideals except $\{0\}$ and \mathcal{A} . If $g(p) \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ and $g(p) \neq 0$ then we find \mathcal{A} is not a simple algebra. Another aspect to this result is the nonexistence of higher than first-order \mathcal{A} -polynomials. In particular, Rosenfeld shows in [39] that there are only linear \mathcal{A} -differentiable functions over simple associative or alternative algebras. Simple algebras aside, there are algebras with nontrivial centers which in turn support nontrivial functions which meet the criteria of Equation 57.

Example 5.11. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}^6$ with the following noncommutative multiplication:

$$(a, b, c, d, e, f) \star (x, y, z, u, v, w) = (ax, by, cz, au + dy, bv + ez, aw + dv + fz) \quad (58)$$

The regular representation of \mathcal{A} has typical element

$$\mathbf{M}(a, b, c, d, e, f) = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d & 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & f & 0 & d & a \end{bmatrix} \quad (59)$$

Suppose \mathcal{A} has variables $\zeta = (x_1, \dots, x_6)$ and define $f(\zeta) = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, x_3^2)$ and define $g(\zeta) = (0, 0, 0, x_2, 0, x_5)$. Calculate $(f \star g)(\zeta) = (0, 0, 0, x_2, 0, x_5)$. We calculate,

$$\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2x_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \& \quad \left[\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \right] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (60)$$

Observe f and g are \mathcal{A} -differentiable and $f \star g = g$ is likewise \mathcal{A} -differentiable. In contrast, $(g \star f)(\zeta) = (0, 0, 0, x_2, 0, x_2 + x_5)$ is not \mathcal{A} -differentiable as its Jacobian matrix is nonzero

in the $(2,6)$ -entry and hence is not¹¹ in $M_{\mathcal{A}}$. Recall Equation 56 showed we need $d_p f$ to annihilate the center of the algebra in order that $f \star g$ be \mathcal{A} -differentiable at p . Likewise, to have $g \star f$ differentiable over \mathcal{A} at p we need $d_p g$ to annihilate the center of \mathcal{A} . This is the distinction between f and g in this example, only f has $d_p f$ annihilating the center of \mathcal{A} .

Theorem 5.12. *Suppose $U, V \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ are open sets and $g : U \rightarrow V$ and $f : V \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are \mathcal{A} -differentiable functions. If $p \in U$ then*

$$(f \circ g)'(p) = f'(g(p)) \star g'(p).$$

Proof: if $f \in C_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$ and $g \in C_{\mathcal{A}}(V)$ then f and g are \mathbb{R} -differentiable on U, V respective. Moreover, by the usual real calculus of a normed linear space, if the composite $f \circ g$ is defined at p we have an elegant chain rule in terms of differentials: $d_p(f \circ g) = d_{g(p)}f \circ d_p g$. Let $v, w \in \mathcal{A}$ and consider

$$\begin{aligned} d_p(f \circ g)(v \star w) &= (d_{g(p)}f \circ d_p g)(v \star w) && : \text{real chain rule} && (61) \\ &= d_{g(p)}f(d_p g(v \star w)) && : \text{def. of composite} \\ &= d_{g(p)}f(d_p g(v) \star w) && : \text{as } g \in C_{\mathcal{A}}(p) \\ &= d_{g(p)}f(d_p g(v)) \star w && : \text{as } f \in C_{\mathcal{A}}(g(p)) \\ &= d_p(f \circ g)(v) \star w && : \text{real chain rule} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $d_p(f \circ g) \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ which shows $f \circ g$ is \mathcal{A} -differentiable at p . Moreover, as $f \in C_{\mathcal{A}}(g(p))$ implies $d_{g(p)}f(w) = f'(g(p)) \star w$ and $g \in C_{\mathcal{A}}(p)$ implies $d_p g(v) = g'(p) \star v$ we derive

$$d_p(f \circ g)(v) = d_{g(p)}f(d_p g(v)) = f'(g(p)) \star d_p g(v) = f'(g(p)) \star g'(p) \star v \quad (62)$$

for each $v \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $(f \circ g)'(p) = f'(g(p)) \star g'(p)$. \square

Example 5.13. Claim: *Let $f(\zeta) = \zeta^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $f'(\zeta) = n\zeta^{n-1}$.*

We proceed by induction on n . If $n = 1$ then $f(\zeta) = \zeta$ which is to say $f = Id$ and hence $d_p f = Id$ for each $p \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover,

$$d_p f(x \star y) = Id(x \star y) = x \star y = Id(x) \star y = d_p f(x) \star y \quad (63)$$

which shows f is \mathcal{A} -differentiable on \mathcal{A} . We calculate $\#(d_p f) = \#(Id) = Id(\mathbb{1}) = \mathbb{1} = 1\zeta^0$ hence the claim is true for $n = 1$. Suppose the claim holds for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $f(\zeta) = \zeta^n$ and $g(\zeta) = \zeta$. We have $f'(\zeta) = n\zeta^{n-1}$ by the induction hypothesis and we already argued $g'(\zeta) = 1$. Thus, Theorem 5.10 applies to calculate $\zeta^{n+1} = f(\zeta) \star g(\zeta)$:

$$(f \star g)'(\zeta) = n\zeta^{n-1} \star \zeta + \zeta^n \star \mathbb{1} = (n+1)\zeta^{(n+1)-1} \quad (64)$$

thus the claim is true for $n+1$ and we conclude $\frac{d}{d\zeta}(\zeta^n) = n\zeta^{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

¹¹The algebra in Example 5.11 is isomorphic to the algebra formed by upper triangular matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$. The center of the upper triangular matrices is formed by the strictly upper triangular matrices. The element

$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ annihilates everything in the center of the triangular matrices. This is the reason that

$f \star g$ is differentiable whereas $g \star f$ is not; $d_p f$ corresponds to A whereas $d_p g$ does not annihilate the center of the algebra.

Admittedly, we just introduced a new notation; if f is an \mathcal{A} -differentiable function and ζ denotes an \mathcal{A} variable then we write

$$f'(\zeta) = \frac{df}{d\zeta}(\zeta) = \frac{d}{d\zeta}(f(\zeta)) \quad \& \quad f' = \frac{df}{d\zeta} \quad (65)$$

If the \mathcal{A} -differentiability of $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is not certain then we may still meaningfully calculate $\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}$ as a particular \mathcal{A} -linear combination of real partial derivatives. In other words, we are able to find an \mathcal{A} -generalization of Wirtinger's calculus. Details are given in the next section.

If $\mathcal{A} \approx \mathcal{B}$ then \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} differentiable functions are related through the isomorphism.

Theorem 5.14. *Let $\Psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an isomorphism of unital, associative finite dimensional algebras over \mathbb{R} . If f is \mathcal{A} differentiable at p then $g = \Psi \circ f \circ \Psi^{-1}$ is \mathcal{B} -differentiable at $\Psi(p)$. Moreover, $g'(p) = (\Psi \circ f' \circ \Psi^{-1})(p)$.*

Proof: Let (\mathcal{A}, \star) and $(\mathcal{B}, *)$ be finite dimensional isomorphic unital associative algebras via the isomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. In particular, Ψ is a linear bijection and $\Psi(v \star w) = \Psi(v) * \Psi(w)$ for all $v, w \in \mathcal{A}$. Since Ψ and Ψ^{-1} are linear maps on normed linear spaces of finite dimension we know these are smooth real maps with $d_p \Psi = \Psi$ for each $p \in \mathcal{A}$ and $d_q \Psi^{-1} = \Psi^{-1}$ for each $q \in \mathcal{B}$. If f is \mathcal{A} differentiable at p then $d_p f \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Define $g = \Psi \circ f \circ \Psi^{-1}$ and notice $d_q g$ exists as g is formed from the composite of differentiable maps. The chain rule¹² provides,

$$dg = d\Psi \circ df \circ d\Psi^{-1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad dg = \Psi \circ df \circ \Psi^{-1} \quad (66)$$

as Ψ, Ψ^{-1} are linear maps. We seek to show $d_q g$ is right- \mathcal{B} -linear at $q = \Psi(p)$. Calculate,

$$\begin{aligned} d_q g(v * w) &= \Psi(d_p f(\Psi^{-1}(v * w))) \\ &= \Psi(d_p f(\Psi^{-1}(v) \star \Psi^{-1}(w))) \\ &= \Psi(d_p f(\Psi^{-1}(v)) \star \Psi^{-1}(w)) \\ &= \Psi(d_p f(\Psi^{-1}(v))) * \Psi(\Psi^{-1}(w)) \\ &= d_q g(v) * w. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

Thus $d_q g \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and we find g is \mathcal{B} -differentiable at $q = \Psi(p)$ as claimed. \square

Isomorphic algebras have algebra-differentiable functions which naturally correspond:

Corollary 5.15. *If $\Psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an isomorphism of unital, associative finite dimensional algebras over \mathbb{R} and $U \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ an open set then each $f \in C_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$ can be written as a composite $f = \Psi^{-1} \circ g \circ \Psi$ for some $g \in C_{\mathcal{B}}(\Psi(U))$*

Proof: Observe $g = \Psi \circ f \circ \Psi^{-1}$ satisfies $f = \Psi^{-1} \circ g \circ \Psi$. Moreover, \mathcal{B} -differentiability of g at $\Psi(p) \in \Psi(U)$ is naturally derived from the given \mathcal{A} -differentiability of f at $\Psi(p) \in \Psi(U)$ via the result of Theorem 5.14. \square

¹²explicitly $d_q g = d_{f(\Psi^{-1}(q))} \Psi \circ d_{\Psi^{-1}(q)} f \circ d_q \Psi^{-1}$

6 \mathcal{A} -Cauchy Riemann equations

Our first goal in this section is to describe a Wirtinger calculus for \mathcal{A} . In particular, we define the partial derivatives of an algebra variable and its conjugate variables. We should caution, the term *conjugate* ought not be taken too literally. These conjugates generally do not form automorphisms of the algebra. Their utility is made manifest that they play much the same role as the usual conjugate in complex analysis. We follow the construction of Alvarez-Parrilla, Frías-Armenta, López-González and Yee-Romero directly for the definition below (this is Equation 4.3 of [16]):

Definition 6.1. *Suppose \mathcal{A} has an invertible basis which begins with the multiplicative identity of the algebra. In particular, $\beta = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis for \mathcal{A} with $v_1 = \mathbf{1}$. If $\zeta = x_1v_1 + x_2v_2 + \dots + x_nv_n$ then we define the j -th conjugate of ζ as follows:*

$$\bar{\zeta}_j = \zeta - 2x_jv_j = x_1\mathbf{1} + \dots + x_{j-1}v_{j-1} - x_jv_j + x_{j+1}v_{j+1} + \dots + x_nv_n$$

for $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$.

In some sense, the variables $\zeta, \bar{\zeta}_2, \dots, \bar{\zeta}_n$ are simply an algebra notation for n real variables. Given a function of x_1, \dots, x_n we are free to express the function in terms of the algebra variables $\zeta, \bar{\zeta}_2, \dots, \bar{\zeta}_n$.

Theorem 6.2. *Suppose \mathcal{A} has invertible basis $\beta = \{\mathbf{1}, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and $\zeta = \sum_{i=1}^n x_iv_i$ and $\bar{\zeta}_j = \zeta - 2x_jv_j$ for $j = 2, \dots, n$ then using $\frac{1}{v_j}$ to denote v_j^{-1} and omit $\mathbf{1}$ we find:*

$$(i.) \quad x_j = \frac{1}{2v_j}(\zeta - \bar{\zeta}_j) \text{ for } j = 2, \dots, n.$$

$$(ii.) \quad x_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left((3-n)\zeta + \sum_{j=2}^n \bar{\zeta}_j \right).$$

Proof: to obtain (i.) simply solve $\bar{\zeta}_j = \zeta - 2x_jv_j$ for x_j . Then to derive (ii.) we solve $\zeta = x_1\mathbf{1} + x_2v_2 + \dots + x_nv_n$ for $x_1\mathbf{1}$:

$$x_1\mathbf{1} = \zeta - \sum_{j=2}^n x_jv_j = \zeta - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^n (\zeta - \bar{\zeta}_j) = \frac{1}{2} \left[(3-n)\zeta + \sum_{j=2}^n \bar{\zeta}_j \right] \quad (68)$$

finally, omit $\mathbf{1}$ to obtain (ii.) \square

It may be helpful to review the usual results of the Wirtinger's [53] calculus for complex analysis. Consider $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}$ where $z = x + iy$ and $\bar{z} = x - iy$ hence $x = \frac{1}{2}(z + \bar{z})$ and $y = \frac{i}{2}(\bar{z} - z)$. Hence, formally,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial z} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial z} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \quad \& \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right). \quad (69)$$

Furthermore, $\partial_x = \partial_z + \partial_{\bar{z}}$ and $\partial_y = i(\partial_z - \partial_{\bar{z}})$. We seek similar formulas for \mathcal{A} . Hence, consider Theorem 6.2 shows that if \mathcal{A} has invertible basis $\beta = \{\mathbf{1}, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and $\zeta = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i v_i$ and $\bar{\zeta}_j = \zeta - 2x_j v_j$ for $j = 2, \dots, n$ then

$$x_j = \frac{1}{2v_j}(\zeta - \bar{\zeta}_j) \quad \& \quad x_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left((3-n)\zeta + \sum_{j=2}^n \bar{\zeta}_j \right). \quad (70)$$

Formally, $\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \zeta} = \frac{1}{2v_j}$ for $j = 2, \dots, n$ and $\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial \zeta} = \frac{3-n}{2}$ hence we suspect

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left((3-n) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{1}{v_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{v_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right) \quad (71)$$

whereas $\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_k} = \frac{-1}{2v_j} \delta_{jk}$ and $\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_k} = \frac{1}{2}$ thus we speculate:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_k} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \frac{1}{v_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right). \quad (72)$$

The calculations above convinced us to make the definition below (which is slightly different than the definition offered in [16] where $\partial/\partial\zeta$ is defined differently in their Equation 4.7).

Definition 6.3. Suppose $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is \mathbb{R} -differentiable. Furthermore, suppose $\beta = \{\mathbf{1}, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ is an invertible basis and $\zeta = x_1 \mathbf{1} + x_2 v_2 + \dots + x_n v_n$. We define

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} = \frac{1}{2} \left((3-n) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{1}{v_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{v_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right) \quad \& \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_k} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \frac{1}{v_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right)$$

for $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$.

The merit of Definition 6.3 is seen in the theorem below¹³:

Theorem 6.4. Given the notation of Definition 6.3,

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \zeta} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j}{\partial \zeta} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_k} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j} = 0$$

for all $j = 2, \dots, n$ and $k \neq j$.

Proof: simple calculation. Consider:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \zeta} &= \frac{1}{2} \left((3-n) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{1}{v_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{v_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right) (x_1 + \dots + x_n v_n) \\ &= \frac{(3-n) + n - 1}{2} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

¹³compare with Equation 4.9 in [16]

For $j = 2, \dots, n$ we calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j}{\partial \zeta} &= \frac{1}{2} \left((3-n) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{1}{v_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{v_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right) (x_1 + \dots - x_j v_j + \dots + x_n v_n) \\ &= \frac{3-n+n-3}{2} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \frac{1}{v_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) (x_1 + \dots - x_j v_j + \dots + x_n v_n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{v_j} v_j \right) = 1, \quad (75)$$

and for $k \neq j$,

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_k} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \frac{1}{v_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right) (x_1 + \dots - x_j v_j + \dots + x_n v_n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{v_k} v_k \right) = 0. \quad (76)$$

and finally

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \frac{1}{v_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) (x_1 + \dots + x_j v_j + \dots + x_n v_n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{v_j} v_j \right) = 0. \quad (77)$$

In summary, the derivatives above show we may think of ζ and $\bar{\zeta}_j$ as independent variables. \square

We should connect the formal derivatives above with \mathcal{A} -differentiability of a function. In the context of complex analysis, you may recall that complex differentiability is also characterized by the equation $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0$. For \mathcal{A} , we expect additional conditions which make use of the $(n-1)$ -conjugates. Notice $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j} = 0$ for $j = 2, \dots, n$ is a likely generalization. Count $n-1$ algebra equations which decomposes into $n^2 - n$ real equations which are necessarily solved by the components of an \mathcal{A} -differentiable function.

Theorem 6.5. *Suppose \mathcal{A} is an associative unital algebra of finite dimension over \mathbb{R} with basis $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. If $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is \mathcal{A} -differentiable at p then*

(i.) *given $C_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{R}$ for which $v_i \star v_j = \sum_k C_{ij}^k v_k$ we have $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \star v_j = \sum_k C_{ij}^k \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}$*

(ii.) *given basis β has $v_1 = \mathbf{1}$ we find $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \star v_j$ for $j = 2, \dots, n$.*

(iii.) *if \mathcal{A} is commutative then $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \star v_j = v_i \star \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}$ for all $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.*

Proof: suppose f is \mathcal{A} -differentiable at p then $d_p f \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Partial derivatives with respect to the basis β in \mathcal{A} were given by Equation 5; $d_p f(v_k) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}$. To derive (i.) suppose $v_i \star v_j = \sum_k C_{ij}^k v_k$ and calculate:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \star v_j = d_p f(v_i) \star v_j = d_p f(v_i \star v_j) = d_p f \left(\sum_k C_{ij}^k v_k \right) = \sum_k C_{ij}^k d_p f(v_k) = \sum_k C_{ij}^k \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}. \quad (78)$$

Likewise, (ii.) follows as

$$d_p f(v_j) = d_p f(\mathbb{1} \star v_j) = d_p f(\mathbb{1}) \star v_j \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \star v_j \quad (79)$$

for $j = 2, \dots, n$. Finally, in the case \mathcal{A} is commutative we derive (iii.) as follows:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \star v_j = d_p f(v_i) \star v_j = d_p f(v_i \star v_j) = d_p f(v_j \star v_i) = d_p f(v_j) \star v_i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \star v_i. \quad (80)$$

Thus, once more using commutativity of \mathcal{A} , $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \star v_j = v_i \star \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}$. \square

The (i.), (ii.) or (iii.) equations above are known as *generalized Cauchy Riemann Equations* by many authors. We prefer to call them the \mathcal{A} -CR-equations as to be specific. Both (i.) and (ii.) are suitable sets of equations when \mathcal{A} is noncommutative. If $\mathbb{1}$ is conveniently presented in a basis for \mathcal{A} then (ii.) is the convenient description of \mathcal{A} -differentiable functions.

Theorem 6.6. *Let $\beta = \{\mathbb{1}, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be an invertible basis for the commutative algebra \mathcal{A} . If $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is \mathcal{A} -differentiable at p then $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j} = 0$ for $j = 2, \dots, n$.*

Proof: following Definition 6.3

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_k} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \frac{1}{v_k} \star \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \frac{1}{v_k} \star \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \star v_k \right) = 0 \quad (81)$$

as \mathcal{A} is assumed commutative and $\frac{1}{v_k} \star v_k = 1$. \square

The usual additive and product rules hold for $\partial/\partial\zeta$ and $\partial/\partial\bar{\zeta}_j$.

Theorem 6.7. *Using the notation of Definition 6.3, if $f, g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are differentiable then*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}(f + g) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \zeta} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial \zeta} \quad \& \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j}(f + g) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j}$$

for $j = 2, \dots, n$. Likewise,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}(f \star g) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \zeta} \star g + f \star \frac{\partial g}{\partial \zeta} \quad \& \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j}(f \star g) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j} \star g + f \star \frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j}$$

Proof: suppose f and g are real differentiable functions on \mathcal{A} ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \frac{1}{v_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right) (f + g) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \frac{1}{v_k} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1} - \frac{1}{v_k} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_k} \right) \quad (82)$$

thus $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j}(f + g) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j}$. Using the structure constants C_{ijk} we express $f \star g = \sum_{ijk} C_{ijk} f_i g_j v_k$ and it follows $\partial_j(f \star g) = \partial_j f \star g + f \star \partial_j g$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \frac{1}{v_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right) (f \star g) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \star g + f \star \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1} - \frac{1}{v_k} \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k} \star g + f \star \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_k} \right] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} + -\frac{1}{v_k} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k} \right) \star g + f \star \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1} + -\frac{1}{v_k} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_k} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

Hence $\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_j}(f \star g) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \zeta_j} \star g + f \star \frac{\partial g}{\partial \zeta_j}$. The identities for $\partial/\partial \zeta$ follow from similar calculations. \square

The following example can be constructed in nearly every \mathcal{A} .

Example 6.8. Suppose $\dim(\mathcal{A}) \geq 2$. Let $f(\zeta) = \zeta \bar{\zeta}_2$ where $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ then

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \zeta} = \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \zeta} \bar{\zeta}_2 + \zeta \frac{\partial \bar{\zeta}_2}{\partial \zeta} = \bar{\zeta}_2 \quad \& \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_2} = \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_2} \bar{\zeta}_2 + \zeta \frac{\partial \bar{\zeta}_2}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_2} = \zeta$$

This function is only \mathcal{A} -differentiable at the origin. In the usual complex analysis it is simply the square of the modulus; $f(z) = z\bar{z} = x^2 + y^2$ where $z = x + iy$ has $\bar{z}_2 = x - iy$.

Inverting Definition 6.3 for $\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n$ in terms of $\partial/\partial \zeta, \dots, \partial/\partial \bar{\zeta}_n$ yields:

Theorem 6.9. Using the notation of Definition 6.3,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + \frac{\partial}{\bar{\zeta}_2} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\bar{\zeta}_n} \quad \& \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} = v_k \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + \frac{\partial}{\bar{\zeta}_2} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\bar{\zeta}_n} - 2 \frac{\partial}{\bar{\zeta}_k} \right)$$

Proof: Begin with Definition 6.3 and note the identity for $\partial/\partial x_1$ follows immediately from summing $\partial/\partial \zeta$ with the $n - 1$ conjugate derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_n}$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + \frac{\partial}{\bar{\zeta}_2} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\bar{\zeta}_n} = \frac{3-n}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{n-1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}. \quad (84)$$

Following Definition 6.3 we substitute Equation 84 into the definition of $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_k}$ to obtain:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_k} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + \frac{\partial}{\bar{\zeta}_2} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\bar{\zeta}_n} - \frac{1}{v_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right) \quad (85)$$

It is now clear we can solve for $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$ to obtain the desired result. \square

In principle we can take a given PDE in x_1, \dots, x_n and convert it to an \mathcal{A} differential equation in $\zeta, \bar{\zeta}_1, \dots, \bar{\zeta}_n$. If we assume a solution for which all the conjugate derivative vanish then the PDE simplifies to an ordinary \mathcal{A} -differential equation. For some PDEs the corresponding \mathcal{A} -ODE may be solvable using elementary calculus. See Example 9.1 for a demonstration.

Example 6.10. Consider $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R} \oplus j\mathbb{R} \oplus j^2\mathbb{R}$ where $j^3 = 1$. We consider the algebra variable $\zeta = x + jy + zj^2$ and conjugate variables

$$\bar{\zeta}_2 = x - jy + j^2z \quad \& \quad \bar{\zeta}_3 = x + jy - j^2z \quad (86)$$

In our current notation $\{1, j, j^2\}$ forms an invertible basis with $v_2 = j$ and $v_3 = j^2$. Note $1/v_2 = j^2$ and $1/v_3 = j$. It follows we have derivatives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} = \frac{1}{2} \left[j \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + j^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right] \quad \& \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - j^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right] \quad \& \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_3} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - j \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right]. \quad (87)$$

Thus, by Theorem 6.9, or direct calculation, we find:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_3}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_3} \right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = j^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_3} \right) \quad (88)$$

From the formulas above we can derive the following differential identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} &= 2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_3} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_2^2} \right) \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &= 2j^2 \left(-2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_3} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_3} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_3^2} \right) \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} &= 2j \left(-2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_3} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_3} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_2^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

If $f = u + vj + j^2w$ is an \mathcal{A} -differentiable function then $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_2} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_3} = 0$. Therefore, f is annihilated by the operators $\partial_x^2 - \partial_y \partial_z$, $\partial_y^2 - \partial_z \partial_x$ and $\partial_z^2 - \partial_x \partial_y$. It follows that the component functions of f must solve the corresponding PDEs:

$$\Phi_{xx} - \Phi_{yz} = 0, \quad \Phi_{yy} - \Phi_{zx} = 0, \quad \Phi_{zz} - \Phi_{xy} = 0.$$

These are known as the **generalized Laplace Equations** for the 3-hyperbolic numbers.

Generalized \mathcal{A} -Laplace Equations are differential consequences of the \mathcal{A} -CR equations. When system of PDEs happens to be the \mathcal{A} -Laplace equations we find any \mathcal{A} -differentiable function provides solutions to system. One may also wonder when a given system is consistent with the \mathcal{A} -Laplace equations. In the event a given system of PDEs was consistent then we may impose the \mathcal{A} -CR equations and their differential consequences on the given system of PDEs as to find a special subclass of \mathcal{A} -differentiable solutions. Computationally this section provides a roadmap for this procedure:

- (1.) given a PDE in real independent variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n choose an algebra \mathcal{A} of dimension n to study in conjunction with the system.
- (2.) convert the derivatives in the PDE with respect to x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n to derivatives with respect to the algebra variables $\zeta, \bar{\zeta}_2, \dots, \bar{\zeta}_n$
- (3.) impose that the derivatives with respect to $\bar{\zeta}_2, \dots, \bar{\zeta}_n$ vanish, study the resulting ordinary differential equation in ζ . If possible, solve the \mathcal{A} -ODE which results.

The possibility that the technique above may produce novel solutions to particular systems of PDEs is one of the major motivations of this work.

7 Deleted difference quotients

There are several popular definitions of differentiability with respect to an algebra. Either we can follow the path of first semester calculus and use a difference quotient¹⁴ or we can

¹⁴suitably modified to avoid zero-divisors

follow something involving a Frechet quotient¹⁵. To see a rather detailed exposition of how these are related in the particular context of bicomplex or multi-complex numbers see [33].

The general concept this section is an adaptation and generalization of the arguments given in [14] for the context of the hyperbolic numbers. We show how some introductory results in [14] generalize to any commutative semisimple algebra of finite dimension over \mathbb{R} . Ultimately the section demonstrates why we prefer the definition of \mathcal{A} -differentiability given in Definition 5.4 as opposed to the deleted-difference quotient definition. It is helpful to have a precise and abbreviated terminology for the discussion which follows:

Definition 7.1. *Let $f : \text{dom}(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a function where $\text{dom}(f)$ is open and $p \in \text{dom}(f)$.*

(1.) *If f is \mathcal{A} -differentiable at p then f is D_1 at p .*

(2.) *If $\lim_{\mathcal{A}^\times \ni \zeta \rightarrow p} \frac{f(\zeta) - f(p)}{\zeta - p}$ exists then f is D_2 at p .*

If f is $D_1(D_2)$ for each $p \in U$ then f is $D_1(D_2)$ on U .

If we fix our attention to a point then the class of D_1 and D_2 functions at p are inequivalent.

Example 7.2. *In the spirit of Dirichlet we define $f(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \zeta \in \mathcal{A}^\times \cup \{0\} \\ 1 & \text{if } \zeta \in \mathbf{zd}(\mathcal{A}) - \{0\} \end{cases}$. Since*

$f(\zeta) = 0$ for all $\zeta \in \mathcal{A}^\times$ we find $\frac{f(\zeta) - f(0)}{\zeta} = 0$ for all $\zeta \in \mathcal{A}^\times$. Thus f is D_2 -differentiable over \mathcal{A} at $p = 0$. Notice, \mathcal{A} -differentiability implies real differentiability and thus continuity. Clearly f is not continuous at $p = 0$ thus f is not D_1 differentiable at $p = 0$.

In fact, D_1 on \mathcal{A} at a point need not imply D_2 at the given point in \mathcal{A} . For an explicit demonstration of this in the case of $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{H}$ see Example 2.2 part (2) of [14].

Theorem 7.3. *Let f be a function on \mathcal{A} with $\zeta_o \in \text{dom}(f)$ where $\text{dom}(f)$ is open in \mathcal{A} . If f is D_2 differentiable at ζ_o then*

$$\lim_{\substack{\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_o \\ \zeta - \zeta_o \in \mathcal{A}^\times}} \frac{\|f(\zeta) - f(\zeta_o) - \lambda \star (\zeta - \zeta_o)\|}{\|\zeta - \zeta_o\|} = 0 \quad (90)$$

Proof: since f is D_2 differentiable at ζ_o there exists $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$ to which the deleted-difference quotient of f converges at ζ_o . In particular, for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ for which $\zeta - \zeta_o \in \mathcal{A}^\times$ with $0 < \|\zeta - \zeta_o\| < \delta$ implies

$$\left\| \frac{f(\zeta) - f(\zeta_o)}{\zeta - \zeta_o} - \lambda \right\| < \epsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left\| \frac{f(\zeta) - f(\zeta_o) - \lambda \star (\zeta - \zeta_o)}{\zeta - \zeta_o} \right\| < \epsilon \quad (91)$$

Apply Corollary 5.3,

$$\frac{\|f(\zeta) - f(\zeta_o) - \lambda \star (\zeta - \zeta_o)\|}{\|\zeta - \zeta_o\|} \leq m_{\mathcal{A}} \left\| \frac{f(\zeta) - f(\zeta_o) - \lambda \star (\zeta - \zeta_o)}{\zeta - \zeta_o} \right\| < m_{\mathcal{A}} \epsilon \quad (92)$$

¹⁵where \mathcal{A} -differentiability is imposed by an algebraic condition on the differential

Thus $\frac{\|f(\zeta) - f(\zeta_o) - \lambda \star (\zeta - \zeta_o)\|}{\|\zeta - \zeta_o\|} \rightarrow 0$ as $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_o$ for $\zeta - \zeta_o \in \mathcal{A}^\times$. \square

The deleted limit in Equation 90 almost provides D_1 -differentiability at ζ_o . To overcome the difficulty of Example 7.2 it suffices to assume continuity of f near ζ_o .

Theorem 7.4. *Let f be a function on \mathcal{A} which is continuous in some open set containing ζ_o . If f is D_2 differentiable at ζ_o then f is D_1 differentiable at ζ_o .*

Proof: let $\epsilon > 0$ and use Theorem 7.3 to choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\zeta - \zeta_o \in \mathcal{A}^\times$ and $\|\zeta - \zeta_o\| < \delta$ implies $\|f(\zeta) - f(\zeta_o) - \lambda \star (\zeta - \zeta_o)\| < \epsilon \|\zeta - \zeta_o\|$. It remains to show $\|f(\zeta) - f(\zeta_o) - \lambda \star (\zeta - \zeta_o)\| < \epsilon \|\zeta - \zeta_o\|$ for $\zeta - \zeta_o \notin \mathcal{A}^\times$. We begin by making δ smaller (if necessary) such that f is continuous on $U = \{\zeta \in \text{dom}(f) \mid \|\zeta - \zeta_o\| < \delta\}$. Theorem 4.19 implies $U \cap \mathcal{A}^\times$ is dense in U . Consequently, if $\zeta_1 - \zeta_o \in \mathbf{zd}(\mathcal{A}) \cap U$ then $\zeta_1 - \zeta_o$ is a limit point of $U \cap \mathcal{A}^\times$. Hence there exists a sequence of points $\zeta_n - \zeta_o \in U \cap \mathcal{A}^\times$ for which $\zeta_n - \zeta_o \rightarrow \zeta_1 - \zeta_o$. Hence, $\zeta_n \rightarrow \zeta_1$ and by continuity of f near ζ_o we find $f(\zeta_n) \rightarrow f(\zeta_1)$. Observe, as $\zeta_n - \zeta_o \in U \cap \mathcal{A}^\times$ we have the estimate $\|f(\zeta_n) - f(\zeta_o) - \lambda \star (\zeta_n - \zeta_o)\| < \epsilon \|\zeta_n - \zeta_o\|$. Hence, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we find $\|f(\zeta_1) - f(\zeta_o) - \lambda \star (\zeta_1 - \zeta_o)\| < \epsilon \|\zeta_1 - \zeta_o\|$. But, as ζ_1 was an arbitrary zero-divisor near ζ_o we find $\lim_{\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_o} \frac{\|f(\zeta) - f(\zeta_o) - \lambda \star (\zeta - \zeta_o)\|}{\|\zeta - \zeta_o\|} = 0$. Thus the Frechet derivative of f at ζ_o exists and the differential $d_{\zeta_o} f \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ since $d_{\zeta_o} f(h) = \lambda \star h$. We conclude f is D_1 at ζ_o . \square

Theorem 7.5. *Let $U \subset \mathcal{A}$ be open. If f is D_2 at each point in U then f is continuous on U*

Proof: Suppose f is D_2 at each point of the open set U . Let $\zeta_o \in U$. By Theorem 7.3

$$\lim_{\substack{\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_o \\ \zeta - \zeta_o \in \mathcal{A}^\times}} \frac{\|f(\zeta) - f(\zeta_o) - \lambda \star (\zeta - \zeta_o)\|}{\|\zeta - \zeta_o\|} = 0 \quad (93)$$

But, $\lim_{\substack{\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_o \\ \zeta - \zeta_o \in \mathcal{A}^\times}} \|\zeta - \zeta_o\| = 0$ and $\lim_{\substack{\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_o \\ \zeta - \zeta_o \in \mathcal{A}^\times}} \|\lambda \star (\zeta - \zeta_o)\| = 0$ hence we deduce

$$\lim_{\substack{\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_o \\ \zeta - \zeta_o \in \mathcal{A}^\times}} \|f(\zeta) - f(\zeta_o)\| = 0. \quad (94)$$

It remains to show ζ for which $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_o$ with $\zeta - \zeta_o \in \mathbf{zd}(\mathcal{A})$ also have $\|f(\zeta) - f(\zeta_o)\| \rightarrow 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose $\delta > 0$ with $\{\zeta \mid \|\zeta - \zeta_o\| < \delta\} \subset U$ and for which $\zeta - \zeta_o \in \mathcal{A}^\times$ and $\|\zeta - \zeta_o\| < \delta$ imply $\|f(\zeta) - f(\zeta_o)\| < \epsilon/2$. Selection of such $\delta > 0$ is possible by Equation 94. Form a triangle with vertices $\zeta_o, \zeta_2, \zeta_1$ where $\|\zeta_2 - \zeta_o\| < \|\zeta_1 - \zeta_o\| < \delta$. By construction $\zeta_1 \in U$ thus f is D_2 at ζ_1 . Hence, following the thought behind Equation 94 once more, we find there exists $\delta' > 0$ for which $\|\zeta_2 - \zeta_1\| < \delta'$ and $\zeta_2 - \zeta_1 \in \mathcal{A}^\times$ imply $\|f(\zeta_2) - f(\zeta_1)\| < \epsilon/2$. Since \mathcal{A}^\times is dense in \mathcal{A} we are free to move ζ_2 as close as we wish to ζ_1 while maintaining $\zeta_2 - \zeta_1 \in \mathcal{A}^\times$ and $\zeta_2 - \zeta_o \in \mathcal{A}^\times$. Hence, for ζ_1 such that $\zeta_1 - \zeta_o \in \mathbf{zd}(\mathcal{A})$ with $\|\zeta_1 - \zeta_o\| < \delta$ we find

$$\|f(\zeta_1) - f(\zeta_o)\| \leq \|f(\zeta_1) - f(\zeta_2)\| + \|f(\zeta_2) - f(\zeta_o)\| < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon. \quad (95)$$

Therefore, f is continuous at ζ_o and hence f is continuous on U . \square

Given Theorem 7.5 and Theorem 7.4 we obtain the main result of this section:

Theorem 7.6. *Let U be an open set in \mathcal{A} . If f is D_2 at each point in U then f is D_1 on U .*

In other words, functions which are D_2 -holomorphic are necessarily D_1 -holomorphic. We will see the converse need not be true. There are functions which are D_1 on an open set and yet fail to be D_2 at even a single point in the set.

Example 7.7. *Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \epsilon\mathbb{R}$ where $\epsilon^2 = 0$. In this algebra, $(a + b\epsilon)\epsilon = a\epsilon$ hence a typical matrix in $M_{\mathcal{A}}$ has the form $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$. If $f = u + \epsilon v : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is \mathcal{A} -differentiable in the D_1 sense then $u_x = v_y$ and $u_y = 0$. Conversely, if u, v are continuously differentiable on \mathcal{A} and satisfy $u_x = v_y$ and $u_y = 0$ then $f = u + \epsilon v$ is \mathcal{A} -differentiable in the D_1 sense on \mathcal{A} . Observe $u = c_1$ and $v = c_2 + y \frac{dc_1}{dx}$ where both c_1 and c_2 are real-valued functions of x alone describe the general form of a \mathcal{A} -differentiable function in the D_1 sense.*

For example, setting $c_1 = x$ and $c_2 = 0$ provides the function $f(x + \epsilon y) = x + y\epsilon$. The D_1 derivative is simply the constant function $f' = 1$ on \mathcal{A} . Let us study the D_2 differentiability of f at $z_o = x_o + y_o\epsilon$. First, note $(a - b\epsilon/a)(a + b\epsilon) = a^2$ hence for $a \neq 0$

$$\frac{1}{a + b\epsilon} = \frac{a - b\epsilon/a}{a^2}. \quad (96)$$

We use this identity to begin the calculation below: for $x \neq x_o$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(x + y\epsilon) - f(x_o + y_o\epsilon)}{(x - x_o) + \epsilon(y - y_o)} &= \frac{[x - x_o + (y - y_o)\epsilon][x - x_o - (y - y_o)\epsilon/(x - x_o)]}{(x - x_o)^2} \\ &= 1 + \epsilon \left[\frac{y - y_o}{x - x_o} - \frac{y - y_o}{(x - x_o)^2} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

Notice Equation 96 shows $(\mathbb{R} \oplus \epsilon\mathbb{R})^\times = \{x + y\epsilon \mid x \neq 0\}$. Thus, we study how the difference quotient of f behaves as $x + y\epsilon \rightarrow x_o + \epsilon y_o$ for $x \neq x_o$. Observe the 1 agrees with the D_1 derivative. However, the remaining terms do not converge in the deleted limit hence f is not D_2 at $x_o + \epsilon y_o$. But, $x_o + y_o\epsilon$ is arbitrary so we have shown f is **nowhere** D_2 .

It seems for general finite dimensional commutative unital algebras over \mathbb{R} it may be difficult or even impossible to obtain nontrivial functions of D_2 type. Fortunately, we are free to study D_1 -differentiability as it includes D_2 -functions when they exist.

Much of the literature on hypercomplex variables is largely centered on semisimple algebras. Upto isomorphism in the commutative case we face $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m$. In such a context, it can be shown the set of D_1 and D_2 differentiable functions on an open set coincide.

Theorem 7.8. *Let U be an open set in a commutative semisimple finite dimensional real algebra \mathcal{A} . The set of D_1 functions on U coincides with the set of D_2 functions on U .*

Proof: Suppose \mathcal{A} is a commutative semisimple finite dimensional real algebra and $U \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is open. In Theorem 7.6 we showed that the set of D_2 -differentiable functions on U are a subset of the D_1 -differentiable functions on U . It remains to show D_1 functions on U are necessarily D_2 functions on U . Our proof involves several steps. First, we show the results

hold for the direct product algebra \mathbb{R}^n . Second, we show the result holds for the direct product \mathbb{C}^m . Third, Wedderburn's Theorem tells us $\mathcal{A} \approx \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m$ and we show how our result filters naturally through the isomorphism to complete the proof.

Consider $\mathcal{A}_R = \mathbb{R}^n$ with U_R open in \mathcal{A}_R . Suppose $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is D_1 -differentiable on \mathcal{A}_R . Then f is differentiable on U_R and as the regular representation of \mathcal{A}_R is formed by diagonal matrix we find the Cauchy Riemann equations simply indicate that f_j is a function of x_j alone¹⁶. In total,

$$f(x) = (f_1(x_1), f_2(x_2), \dots, f_n(x_n)). \quad (98)$$

Moreover, differentiability on U_R implies the partial derivatives of f likewise exist on U_R hence f_j is a real-differentiable function of x_j for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Notice, $\mathbb{1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has the explicit form $\mathbb{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and it follows for $h = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n) \neq 0$

$$\frac{1}{h} = \left(\frac{1}{h_1}, \frac{1}{h_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{h_n} \right). \quad (99)$$

Consider the difference quotient at $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$. Define $\Delta_j f = f_j(p_j + h_j) - f_j(p_j)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(p+h) - f(p)}{h} &= \left(\frac{1}{h_1}, \frac{1}{h_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{h_n} \right) (\Delta_1 f, \Delta_2 f, \dots, \Delta_n f) \\ &= \left(\frac{\Delta_1 f}{h_1}, \frac{\Delta_2 f}{h_2}, \dots, \frac{\Delta_n f}{h_n} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (100)$$

To prove f is D_2 at p we must show the limit of the difference quotient exists as $h \rightarrow 0$ for $h \in \mathcal{A}_R^\times$. The condition $h \in \mathcal{A}_R^\times$ simply requires $h_j \neq 0$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Differentiability of f_j at p_j gives

$$\lim_{h_j \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta_j f}{h_j} = \lim_{h_j \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_j(p_j + h_j) - f_j(p_j)}{h_j} = f'_j(p_j). \quad (101)$$

Notice, the condition that $h_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ has no bearing on the limit above. Hence,

$$\lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ h \in \mathcal{A}_R^\times}} \frac{\Delta_j f}{h_j} = f'_j(p_j). \quad (102)$$

Since this holds for each component of $\Delta f/h$ we find

$$\lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ h \in \mathcal{A}_R^\times}} \frac{f(p+h) - f(p)}{h} = (f'_1(p_1), f'_2(p_2), \dots, f'_n(p_n)) \quad (103)$$

Therefore, f is D_2 at $p \in U_R$. But, p was arbitrary hence f is D_2 on U_R .

Next, if $\mathcal{A}_C = \mathbb{C}^m$ and U_C is open in \mathcal{A}_C we consider g which is D_1 on U_C . Extending the result seen in Example 4.29 we find the Jacobian matrix of g will be block-diagonal with m -blocks of the form $\begin{bmatrix} a_j & -b_j \\ b_j & a_j \end{bmatrix}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. The j -th diagonal block serves to give

¹⁶as is often notated $f_j = f(x_j)$.

the ordinary Cauchy Riemann equations for g_j . The zero blocks in for g_j serve to indicate g_j is a function of z_j alone. Here we use (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) as the variable on \mathcal{A}_C . In summary, $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m)$ where g_j is a complex differentiable function of z_j alone. Moreover, we may follow the arguments for \mathcal{A}_R simply replacing real with complex limits. We find,

$$\lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ h \in \mathcal{A}_C^\times}} \frac{g(p+h) - g(p)}{h} = (g'_1(p_1), g'_2(p_2), \dots, g'_m(p_m)) \quad (104)$$

where $g'_j = \frac{dg_j}{dz_j}$ are complex derivatives.

If $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m$ then we can fit together our result for \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{C}^m if we make the usual identification that $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$ gives $(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m$. Notice $(x, z) \in \mathcal{B}^\times$ only if $x \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^\times$ and $z \in (\mathbb{C}^m)^\times$. Moreover,

$$\frac{1}{(x, z)} = \left(\frac{1}{x}, \frac{1}{z} \right) = \left(\frac{1}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{x_n}, \frac{1}{z_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{z_m} \right). \quad (105)$$

It follows that if (f, g) is D_1 differentiable on U open in \mathcal{B} then (f, g) is D_2 differentiable with $(f, g)' = (f'_1, \dots, f'_n, g'_1, \dots, g'_m)$ on U .

Finally, if \mathcal{A} is commutative and semisimple associative algebra over \mathbb{R} then Wedderburn's Theorem¹⁷ provides an isomorphism of \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $\Psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ provides the isomorphism. If U is open in \mathcal{A} then $\Psi(U) = U'$ is open in \mathcal{B} . Furthermore, suppose F is D_1 with respect to \mathcal{A} on U . Apply Theorem 5.14 at each point in U to find that $G = \Psi \circ f \circ \Psi^{-1}$ is D_1 with respect to \mathcal{B} at each point in U' . Therefore, G is D_2 differentiable on U' as we have already shown D_1 implies D_2 for an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m$. Theorem 4.13 provides that Ψ preserves differences and multiplicative inverses and $\Psi \circ f = G \circ \Psi$ thus:

$$\Psi \left(\frac{f(p+h) - f(p)}{h} \right) = \frac{\Psi(f(p+h)) - \Psi(f(p))}{\Psi(h)} = \frac{G(\Psi(p+h)) - G(\Psi(p))}{\Psi(h)}. \quad (106)$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{f(p+h) - f(p)}{h} = \Psi^{-1} \left(\frac{G(\Psi(p) + \Psi(h)) - G(\Psi(p))}{\Psi(h)} \right) \quad (107)$$

If $p \in U$ then $\Psi(p) \in U'$ where G is D_2 differentiable. Note $h \rightarrow 0$ with $h \in \mathcal{A}^\times$ implies $\Psi(h) \rightarrow 0$ with $\Psi(h) \in \mathcal{B}^\times$. Using continuity of Ψ^{-1} and that G is D_2 at $\Psi(p)$ we find

$$\lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ h \in \mathcal{A}^\times}} \frac{f(p+h) - f(p)}{h} = \Psi^{-1} \left(\lim_{\substack{\Psi(h) \rightarrow 0 \\ \Psi(h) \in \mathcal{B}^\times}} \frac{G(\Psi(p) + \Psi(h)) - G(\Psi(p))}{\Psi(h)} \right) = \Psi^{-1}(G'(\Psi(p))). \quad (108)$$

Therefore, f is D_2 at p with $f'(p) = \Psi^{-1}(G'(\Psi(p)))$. \square

¹⁷see Dummit and Foote page 854-855, Theorem 4 part (5.) in [9]

In conclusion, the distinction between D_1 and D_2 differentiability is lost in the commutative semisimple case. This is reflected in Definition 2.11 of [14]. However, if we drop the semisimple condition and just consider general real associative algebras then we argue from Theorem 7.6 and Example 7.7 that D_1 -differentiability provides a more general concept of differentiation over an algebra. For these reasons we take Definition 5.4 as primary.

8 Higher \mathcal{A} -derivatives

The calculus of higher derivatives for functions on \mathbb{R}^n requires the study of symmetric multilinear maps¹⁸. However, in \mathcal{A} -calculus this is avoided due to a fortunate isomorphism between \mathcal{A} and symmetric multi- \mathcal{A} -linear mappings of \mathcal{A} . Let us begin by generalizing $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ to its multilinear analog:

Definition 8.1. We say $T : \underbrace{\mathcal{A} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a k -linear map on \mathcal{A} if T is right- \mathcal{A} -linear in each of its arguments. That is, T is additive in each entry and $T(z_1, \dots, z_j \star w, \dots, z_n) = T(z_1, \dots, z_j, \dots, z_n) \star w$. for all $z_1, \dots, z_n, w \in \mathcal{A}$.

If $T(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(k)}) = T(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ for all permutations σ then T is **symmetric**. We continue to assume \mathcal{A} is a unital, associative and finite-dimensional algebra over \mathbb{R} .

Theorem 8.2. The set of symmetric k -linear maps on \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to \mathcal{A} .

Proof: the sum and scalar multiple of symmetric k -linear map is once more k -linear and symmetric. Since $v_j = \mathbb{1} \star v_j$ we find:

$$T(v_1, \dots, v_k) = T(\mathbb{1}, \dots, \mathbb{1}) \star v_1 \star \cdots \star v_k. \quad (109)$$

thus T is uniquely fixed by k -linearity on \mathcal{A} together with its value on $(\mathbb{1}, \dots, \mathbb{1})$. \square

We already saw this argument in Equation 14 where we proved the $k = 1$ case $\mathcal{A} \approx \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Definition 8.3. Suppose f is a function on \mathcal{A} for which the derivative function f' is \mathcal{A} -differentiable at p then we define $f''(p) = (f')'(p)$. Furthermore, supposing the derivatives exist, we define $f^{(k)}(p) = (f^{(k-1)})'(p)$ for $k = 2, 3, \dots$

Naturally we define functions $f'', f''', \dots, f^{(k)}$ in the natural pointwise fashion for as many points as the derivatives exist. Furthermore, with respect to $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ where $v_1 = \mathbb{1}$, we have $f'(p) = d_p f(\mathbb{1}) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(p)$. Thus, $f' = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}$. Suppose $f''(p)$ exists. Note,

$$f''(p) = (f')'(p) = \#(d_p f'(\mathbb{1})) = \frac{\partial f'}{\partial x_1}(p) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2}(p). \quad (110)$$

Thus, $f'' = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2}$. By induction, we find the following theorem:

¹⁸see, for example, Zorich, Mathematical Analysis II, see Section 10.5 pages 80-87. The results we claim without proof from advanced calculus can all be found in [54].

Theorem 8.4. *If $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $\beta = \{\mathbb{1}, \dots, v_n\}$ a basis, and $f^{(k)}$ exists then $f^{(k)} = \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_1^k}$.*

The algebra derivatives naturally dovetail with the iterated-symmetric-Frechet differentials which are used to describe higher derivatives of a map on normed linear spaces¹⁹.

Theorem 8.5. *Suppose $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a function for which $f^{(k)}(p)$ exists. Then the iterated k -th Frechet differential exists and is related to the k -th \mathcal{A} derivative as follows:*

$$d_p^k f(v_1, \dots, v_k) = f^{(k)}(p) \star v_1 \star \dots \star v_k.$$

for all $v_1, \dots, v_k \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof: Suppose $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a function for which $f^{(k)}(p)$ exists. The existence of the iterated \mathcal{A} -derivatives implies that f is also k -fold \mathbb{R} -differentiable and thus $d_p^k f : \mathcal{A} \times \dots \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ exists and is a real symmetric k -linear map. Let $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ with $v_1 = \mathbb{1}$ be a basis for \mathcal{A} with coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n . The iterated k -th Frechet differential and iterated partial derivatives are related by:

$$d_p^k f(v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_k}) = \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_{i_1} \partial x_{i_2} \dots \partial x_{i_k}}. \quad (111)$$

Differentiating the \mathcal{A} -CR equations $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \star v_j$ with respect to x_i yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \star v_j \right] \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right] \star v_j \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} v_i \star v_j \end{aligned} \quad (112)$$

Apply Equation 112 repeatedly as to exchange partial derivatives with respect to x_{i_j} for partial derivatives with respect to x_1 and multiplication by v_{i_j} obtain:

$$\frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_{i_1} \partial x_{i_2} \dots \partial x_{i_k}} = \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_1^k} \star v_{i_1} \star v_{i_2} \star \dots \star v_{i_k} = f^{(k)} \star v_{i_1} \star v_{i_2} \star \dots \star v_{i_k} \quad (113)$$

We used Theorem 8.4 in the last step. Compare Equations 111 and 113 to conclude the proof. \square

In fact, the first equality in Equation 113 should be emphasized:

Theorem 8.6. *If $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is k -times \mathcal{A} -differentiable then*

$$\frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_{i_1} \partial x_{i_2} \dots \partial x_{i_k}} = \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_1^k} \star v_{i_1} \star v_{i_2} \star \dots \star v_{i_k}.$$

Theorem 8.5 and 8.6 provide the basis for both the formulation of an \mathcal{A} -variable Taylor Theorem as well as a lucid derivation of generalized Laplace Equations.

¹⁹The iterated-differentials are developed in many advanced calculus texts. See [54] where the theory of real higher derivatives is developed in Section 10.5 pages 80-87.

8.1 The \mathcal{A} -harmonic equations

In the case of complex analysis the second order differential consequences of the Cauchy Riemann equations include the Laplace equations. It is interesting to determine what equations form the analog to Laplace's Equation for \mathcal{A} . In 1948 Wagner derived **generalized Laplace Equations** in [47] via calculations performed through the lens of the *paraisotropic matrix*. Then, in 1992, Waterhouse derived the same results by using the trace on a Frobenius algebra [52]. In both cases, the argument is essentially a pairing of the commutativity of mixed real partial derivatives and the generalized Cauchy Riemann equations.

Theorem 8.7. *Let U be open in \mathcal{A} and suppose $f : U \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is twice \mathcal{A} -differentiable on U . If there exist $B_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ for which $\sum_{i,j} B_{ij} v_i \star v_j = 0$ then $\sum_{i,j} B_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = 0$.*

Proof: suppose f is twice continuously \mathcal{A} -differentiable on $U \subset \mathcal{A}$ and suppose there exist $B_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ for which $\sum_{i,j} B_{ij} v_i \star v_j = 0$. Multiply the given equation by $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2}$ to obtain:

$$\sum_{i,j} B_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} \star v_i \star v_j = 0. \quad (114)$$

Then, by Equation 113 we deduce $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} \star v_i \star v_j = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$. Therefore, $\sum_{i,j} B_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = 0$. \square

Theorem 8.7 essentially says that a quadratic equation in \mathcal{A} yields a corresponding Laplace-type equation for \mathcal{A} -differentiable functions. Hence we find:

Corollary 8.8. *Generalized Laplace equations can be assembled by mimicking patterns in the multiplication table for \mathcal{A} to matching patterns in the Hessian matrix. Moreover, each component of an \mathcal{A} -differentiable function is a solution to the generalized Laplace equations.*

This result was given by Wagner in [47].

Example 8.9. *Consider $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R} \oplus j\mathbb{R} \oplus j^2\mathbb{R}$ where $j^3 = 1$. Notice, we have multiplication table and Hessian matrix*

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} & 1 & j & j^2 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & j & j^2 \\ \hline j & j & j^2 & 1 \\ \hline j^2 & j^2 & 1 & j \end{array} \quad \& \quad \begin{array}{c|c|c|c} & x & y & z \\ \hline x & f_{xx} & f_{xy} & f_{xz} \\ \hline y & f_{yx} & f_{yy} & f_{yz} \\ \hline z & f_{zx} & f_{yz} & f_{zz} \end{array} \quad (115)$$

Theorem 8.8 allows us to find the following generalized Laplace equations by inspection of the tables above:

$$f_{xx} = f_{yz}, \quad f_{xy} = f_{zz}, \quad f_{xz} = f_{yy} \quad (116)$$

You might recognize these from Example 6.10.

Example 8.10. *Consider $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R} \oplus i\mathbb{R}$ where $i^2 = -1$. Notice, we have multiplication table and Hessian matrix*

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c} & 1 & i \\ \hline 1 & 1 & i \\ \hline i & i & -1 \end{array} \quad \& \quad \begin{array}{c|c|c} & x & y \\ \hline x & f_{xx} & f_{xy} \\ \hline y & f_{yx} & f_{yy} \end{array} \quad (117)$$

Theorem 8.8 allows us to find the Laplace equations by inspection of the tables above: if $f = u + iv$ then

$$f_{xx} = -f_{yy}, \Rightarrow u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0 \quad \& \quad v_{xx} + v_{yy} = 0. \quad (118)$$

If we consider the component formulation of the Cauchy Riemann equations then differentiation of these equations will produce second order homogeneous PDEs which include the generalized Laplace Equations and other less elegant equations coupling distinct components. The elegance of the generalized Laplace equations is seen in the fact that **every** component of an \mathcal{A} -differentiable function is what we may call \mathcal{A} -harmonic. In other words, the algebra \mathcal{A} provides a natural function theory to study \mathcal{A} -harmonic functions. Notice, the concept of \mathcal{A} -harmonicity involves solving a system of PDEs. When is it possible to find an \mathcal{A} for which a given system of real PDEs for the \mathcal{A} -harmonic equations for \mathcal{A} ? Theorem 8.8 gives at least a partial answer. If we replicate patterns imposed on the Hessian matrix to produce a multiplication table then we can test if the table is a possible multiplication table for an algebra. It is interesting to note that Ward already solved the corresponding problem for generalized Cauchy Riemann equations in 1952. In particular, Ward showed in [50] how to construct an algebra \mathcal{A} which takes a given set of $n^2 - n$ independent PDEs as its generalized \mathcal{A} -CR equations.

The next example was inspired by Example 4.6 in [52]. It demonstrates how algebraic insight can be wielded to produce solutions to PDEs.

Example 8.11. Consider the wave equation $c^2 u_{xx} = u_{tt}$ where c is a positive constant which characterizes the speed of the transverse waves modelled by this PDE. Let us find an algebra \mathcal{W}_c which takes the speed- c wave equation as its generalized Laplace Equation. Let $(x, t) = x + kt$ form a typical point in the algebra. What rule should we give to k ? Following Corollary 8.8 we should consider the correspondence:

$$c^2 u_{xx} = u_{tt} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad c^2 = k^2 \quad (119)$$

thus set $k^2 = c^2$. The algebra $\mathcal{W}_c = \mathbb{R} \oplus k\mathbb{R}$ with $k^2 = c^2$ has \mathcal{W}_c -differentiable functions $f = u + kv$ for which $c^2 u_{xx} = u_{tt}$. Observe $\Gamma : \mathcal{W}_c \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined by $\Gamma(x + kt) = x + cjt$ serves as an isomorphism of \mathcal{W}_c and the hyperbolic numbers of Example 4.22. Combine $\Psi^{-1}(x + jy) = (x + y, x - y)$ of Example 4.22 with Γ to construct the isomorphism $\Phi = \Psi^{-1} \circ \Gamma$ from \mathcal{W}_c to $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. In particular,

$$\Phi(x + kt) = \Psi^{-1}(\Gamma(x + kt)) = \Psi^{-1}(x + cjt) = (x + ct, x - ct) \quad (120)$$

Following the insight of Theorem 5.14 we associate to each \mathcal{W}_c -differentiable function $f : \mathcal{W}_c \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_c$ a corresponding $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ differentiable function F as follows:

$$f = \Phi^{-1} \circ F \circ \Phi \quad (121)$$

where $F : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. The structure of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ -differentiable functions is rather simple;

$F(a, b) = (F_1(a), F_2(b))$ where F_1, F_2 are differentiable functions on \mathbb{R} . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + kt) &= \Phi^{-1}(F(\Phi(x + kt))) \\ &= \Phi^{-1}(F((x + ct, x - ct))) \\ &= \Phi^{-1}(F_1(x + ct), F_2(x - ct)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(F_1(x + ct) + F_2(x - ct)) + \frac{k}{2c}(F_1(x + ct) - F_2(x - ct)) \end{aligned} \quad (122)$$

We have shown that \mathcal{A} -differentiable functions $f = u + kv$ have (using $x + kt = (x, t)$ to make the formulas more recognizable)

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2}(F_1(x + ct) + F_2(x - ct)) \quad \& \quad v(x, t) = \frac{k}{2}(F_1(x + ct) - F_2(x - ct)). \quad (123)$$

We've shown how d'Alembert's solution to the wave-equation appears naturally in the function theory of \mathcal{W}_c .

Naturally, there are higher order versions of the Laplace Equations.

Theorem 8.12. *Let U be open in \mathcal{A} and suppose $f : U \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is k -times \mathcal{A} -differentiable. If there exist $B_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} \in \mathbb{R}$ for which $\sum_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} B_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} v_{i_1} \star v_{i_2} \star \dots \star v_{i_k} = 0$ then*

$$\sum_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} B_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_{i_1} \partial x_{i_2} \dots \partial x_{i_k}} = 0.$$

Proof: multiply the assumed relation by $\frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_1^k}$ and apply Theorem 8.6. \square

8.2 \mathcal{A} -variate Taylor's Theorem

If we are given that $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is smooth in the sense of real analysis then it is simple to show that the existence of the first \mathcal{A} -derivative implies the existence of all higher \mathcal{A} -derivatives.

Theorem 8.13. *Let \mathcal{A} be a commutative unital finite dimensional algebra over \mathbb{R} . Suppose $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ has arbitrarily many continuous real derivatives at p and suppose f is once \mathcal{A} -differentiable at p then $f^{(k)}(p)$ exists for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof: suppose f is smooth and once \mathcal{A} -differentiable at p . We assume \mathcal{A} is a commutative unital algebra over \mathbb{R} with basis $\beta = \{\mathbb{1}, \dots, v_n\}$. Assume inductively that $f^{(k)}(p)$ exists hence Theorem 8.4 provides $f^{(k)}(p) = \frac{\partial^k f(p)}{\partial x_1^k}$. Consider, omitting p to reduce clutter,

$$\frac{\partial f^{(k)}}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[\frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_1^k} \right] = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x_1^k} \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right] = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x_1^k} \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \right] \star v_j = \frac{\partial f^{(k)}}{\partial x_1} \star v_j. \quad (124)$$

Thus $f^{(k)}$ is \mathcal{A} -differentiable at p which proves $f^{(k+1)}(p)$ exists. \square

In [54] a multivariate Taylor's Theorem over a finite dimensional normed linear space is given. In particular, if $f : V \rightarrow V$ is **real analytic** then f is represented by its multivariate Taylor series on some open set containing p . The multivariate Taylor series of f centered at p is given, for h sufficiently small, by the convergent series²⁰:

$$f(p+h) = f(p) + d_p f(h) + \frac{1}{2} d_p^2 f(h, h) + \frac{1}{3!} d_p^3 f(h, h, h) + \cdots \quad (125)$$

Notice, for $(h, \dots, h) \in \mathcal{A}^k$ we may expand $h = \sum_{i_j} h_{i_j} v_{i_j}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$,

$$d_p^k f(h, \dots, h) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} h_{i_1} h_{i_2} \cdots h_{i_k} d_p^k f(v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_k}) \quad (126)$$

Compare the formulas above to the form of the k -term in the Taylor expansion for an \mathcal{A} -differentiable function given below. This simplification has Theorem 8.2 at its root.

Theorem 8.14. *Let \mathcal{A} be a commutative, unital, associative algebra over \mathbb{R} . If f is real analytic at $p \in \mathcal{A}$ then*

$$f(p+h) = f(p) + f'(p) \star h + \frac{1}{2} f''(p) \star h^2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{k!} f^{(k)}(p) \star h^k + \cdots$$

where $h^2 = h \star h$ and $h^{k+1} = h^k \star h$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof: Suppose f is real analytic and \mathcal{A} -differentiable. Since real analytic implies f is smooth over \mathbb{R} we apply Theorem 8.13 to see f is smooth over \mathcal{A} . Therefore, we may follow the proof of Theorem 8.5 and obtain:

$$d_p^k f(v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_k}) = f^{(k)}(p) \star v_{i_1} \star v_{i_2} \star \cdots \star v_{i_k}. \quad (127)$$

Observe the k -th power of $h \in \mathcal{A}$ is given by

$$h^k = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} h_{i_1} h_{i_2} \cdots h_{i_k} v_{i_1} \star v_{i_2} \star \cdots \star v_{i_k}. \quad (128)$$

Therefore, combining Equations 126, 127, and 128 we find

$$\begin{aligned} d_p^k f(h, \dots, h) &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} h_{i_1} h_{i_2} \cdots h_{i_k} d_p^k f(v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_k}) \\ &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} h_{i_1} h_{i_2} \cdots h_{i_k} f^{(k)}(p) \star v_{i_1} \star v_{i_2} \star \cdots \star v_{i_k}. \\ &= f^{(k)}(p) \star \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} h_{i_1} h_{i_2} \cdots h_{i_k} v_{i_1} \star v_{i_2} \star \cdots \star v_{i_k} \\ &= f^{(k)}(p) \star h^k. \end{aligned} \quad (129)$$

We conclude, $f(p+h) = f(p) + f'(p) \star h + \frac{1}{2} f''(p) \star h^2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{k!} f^{(k)}(p) \star h^k + \cdots$. \square

We study the theory of convergence series in \mathcal{A} in the sequel to this paper which is a joint work with Daniel Freese [7].

²⁰equivalently, $f(p+h) = f(p) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^k f(p)}{\partial x_{i_1} \partial x_{i_2} \cdots \partial x_{i_k}} h_{i_1} h_{i_2} \cdots h_{i_k}$.

9 An approach to the inverse problem

The inverse problem of \mathcal{A} -calculus is roughly this:

When can we translate a problem of real calculus to a corresponding problem of \mathcal{A} -calculus ?

Naturally, this raises a host of questions. What kind of real calculus problems? How do we choose \mathcal{A} ? In [16] and [17] the authors study how to modify certain ODEs in terms of \mathcal{A} -calculus. In contrast, our study on the inverse problem has centered around systems of PDEs. In particular, we seek to answer the following question:

When can we find solutions to a system of PDEs which are simultaneously solutions to the generalized Laplace equations of some algebra \mathcal{A} ?

Our hope is that if the answer to the question above is affirmative then it may be possible to rewrite the system of PDEs in an \mathcal{A} -based notation where the PDE in many variables simply becomes an \mathcal{A} -ODE in a single algebra variable. Of course, this is just an initial conjecture, there are many directions we could explore at the level of algebra-based differential equations²¹. Let us examine a simple example of how an \mathcal{A} -ODE can replace a system of PDEs.

Example 9.1. Let $z = x + jy$ denote an independent hyperbolic variable and $w = u + jv$ the solution of $\frac{dw}{dz} = w^2$. Separating variables gives $\frac{dw}{w^2} = dz$ hence $\frac{-1}{w} = z + c$ where $c = c_1 + jc_2$ is a hyperbolic constant. Thus, $w = \frac{-1}{z+c}$ is the solution. What does this mean at the level of real calculus? Note,

$$\frac{dw}{dz} = w^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u_x + jv_x = (u + jv)^2 = u^2 + v^2 + 2juv \quad (130)$$

In other words, the \mathcal{A} -ODE is the nonlinear system of PDEs

$$u_x = u^2 + v^2, \quad \& \quad v_x = 2uv \quad (131)$$

paired with the \mathcal{A} -CR equations $u_x = v_y$ and $u_y = v_x$. We have the solution already from direct calculus on \mathcal{A} ,

$$w = \frac{-1}{z+c} \quad \Rightarrow \quad u + jv = -\frac{x+c_1 - j(y+c_2)}{(x+c_1)^2 - (y+c_2)^2} \quad (132)$$

Thus, $u = -\frac{x+c_1}{(x+c_1)^2 - (y+c_2)^2}$ and $v = \frac{y+c_2}{(x+c_1)^2 - (y+c_2)^2}$ are the real solutions to 131 and you can check that $u_x = v_y$ and $u_y = v_x$ as well.

²¹In fact at the time this paper is prepared the author has already shown how to solve many \mathcal{A} -ODEs. The joint work [8] with Nathan BeDell is currently under preparation. Nathan BeDell has three other papers [1],[2],[3] in preparation which discuss zero-divisors and basic algebra, the construction of logarithms, and identities for generalized trigonometric functions and many other algebraic preliminaries.

Our first goal in understanding the inverse problem was to decide when it is possible to pair a system like 131 with the \mathcal{A} -CR equations of an appropriate algebra \mathcal{A} . Essentially, our first concern is whether there is at least an algebra whose \mathcal{A} -CR equations and their *differential consequences* are not inconsistent with a given system of PDEs.

We construct the **generic tableau** $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{T}_1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{T}_k \oplus \cdots$

$$\mathcal{T}_k = \text{span}\{dx^{i_1} \otimes dx^{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes dx^{i_k} \otimes v_j \mid 1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_k \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n\} \quad (133)$$

We use these spaces to account for dependencies amongst variables and their derivatives. In particular, we focus our attention on PDEs which are formed from n -dependent and n -independent variables. Notice, we only need increasing indices since these symbols represent partial derivatives which we can commute to be in increasing order²². The **Gauss map** of a function $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ into the generic tableau is formed as follows: if $f = \sum u^j v_j$

$$\gamma(f) = f \oplus \left(\sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x_i} v_j \otimes dx^i \right) \oplus \left(\sum_{i_1 \leq i_2} \sum_j \frac{\partial^2 u^j}{\partial x_{i_1} \partial x_{i_2}} v_j \otimes dx^{i_1} \otimes dx^{i_2} \right) \oplus \cdots \quad (134)$$

For the k -th term,

$$\gamma(f) = \cdots \oplus \left(\sum_{i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_k} \sum_j \frac{\partial^k u^j}{\partial x_{i_1} \partial x_{i_2} \cdots \partial x_{i_k}} v_j \otimes dx^{i_1} \otimes dx^{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes dx^{i_k} \right) \oplus \cdots \quad (135)$$

Or, more concisely,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(f) = f + \sum_i (\partial_i f) dx^i + \sum_{i_1 \leq i_2} (\partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} f) dx^{i_1} \otimes dx^{i_2} + \\ \cdots + \sum_{i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_k} (\partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_k} f) dx^{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes dx^{i_k} + \cdots \end{aligned} \quad (136)$$

We seek to represent systems of PDEs as particular subspaces inside \mathcal{T} . The PDEs we study have finite order and hence the calculation ultimately amounts to a question of finite dimensional linear algebra. We should note the subspace of \mathcal{T} which is given by the \mathcal{A} -CR equations is particularly simple.

Theorem 9.2. *Let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \mathcal{T}$ denote the subspace of \mathcal{T} generated by infinitely \mathcal{A} -differentiable functions then $\gamma \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}}$ has the form*

$$\gamma = \alpha_o + \alpha_1 \star \sum_i v_i \otimes dx^i + \alpha_2 \star \sum_{i_1 \leq i_2} v_{i_1} \star v_{i_2} \otimes dx^{i_1} \otimes dx^{i_2} + \cdots + \alpha_k \star \sum_{|I|} v_I \otimes dx^I + \cdots$$

where $|I|$ indicates the sum over increasing k -tuples of indices taken from $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $v_I = v_{i_1} \star v_{i_2} \star \cdots \star v_{i_k}$ and $dx^I = dx^{i_1} \otimes dx^{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes dx^{i_k}$.

²²this construction was inspired by a more sophisticated, but similar, construction in Chapter 4 of [20]

Proof: If f has arbitrarily many \mathcal{A} -derivatives then we find

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_i f &= (\partial_1 f) \star v_i, \\ \partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} f &= (\partial_1^2 f) \star v_{i_1} \star v_{i_2} \\ \partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} \cdots \partial_{i_k} f &= (\partial_1^k f) \star v_{i_1} \star v_{i_2} \star \cdots \star v_{i_k}.\end{aligned}\tag{137}$$

Then by Equation 136 we find γ_f has the form given in the Theorem. \square

10 Integration

Let U be a connected open subset of \mathbb{C} . If f is complex differentiable on U then Goursat's Theorem shows that the derivative function f' is continuous. Furthermore, Cauchy's integral formula shows that $f^{(k)}$ exists for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In summary, if f is once complex differentiable on U then f is infinitely many times complex differentiable. This well-known result stands in marked contrast to the structure of real differentiable functions. Naturally, we wish to understand to what extent this story may be replicated for an associative, unital, finite dimensional algebra over \mathbb{R} .

If $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}$ then know a function can be once \mathcal{A} -differentiable and not \mathcal{A} -smooth. In addition, even when $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathbb{R}$, Cauchy's integral formula does not hold for an arbitrary \mathcal{A} . For example, both [25] and [32] show that Cauchy's integral formula holds for hyperbolic numbers.

In this Section we assume \mathcal{A} is an associative, unital, commutative algebra of finite dimension over \mathbb{R} with basis $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ where $v_1 = \mathbf{1}$.

Definition 10.1. Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is continuous function with $f = u_1 v_1 + \cdots + u_n v_n$ where $u_j : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We define

$$\int_a^b f dt = \left(\int_a^b u_1 dt \right) v_1 + \cdots + \left(\int_a^b u_n dt \right) v_n.$$

This integral has the expected linearity properties:

Theorem 10.2. Let $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and be continuous and $c \in \mathcal{A}$ then

- (i.) $\int_a^b (f + g) dt = \int_a^b f dt + \int_a^b g dt$
- (ii.) $\int_a^b \alpha \star f dt = \alpha \star \int_a^b f dt$
- (iii.) for F differentiable, $\int_a^b \frac{dF}{dt} dt = F(b) - F(a)$

Proof: the proof of (i.) is straightforward. To see (ii.) notice if $c_o \in \mathbb{R}$ then

$$\int_a^b c_o f dt = \sum_i \left(\int_a^b c_o f_i dt \right) v_i = c_o \sum_i \left(\int_a^b f_i dt \right) v_i = c_o \int_a^b f dt.\tag{138}$$

Recall the structure constants $C_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{R}$ for which $v_i \star v_j = \sum_k C_{ij}^k v_k$ allow us to express the product of $\alpha = \sum_i \alpha_i v_i$ and $f = \sum_j f_j v_j$ as $\alpha \star f = \sum_{i,j,k} \alpha_i f_j C_{ij}^k v_k$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b (\alpha \star f) dt &= \sum_k \left(\int_a^b \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i f_j C_{ij}^k dt \right) v_k \\ &= \sum_k \left(\sum_{i,j} C_{ij}^k \alpha_i \int_a^b f_j dt \right) v_k \\ &= \alpha \star \int_a^b f dt \end{aligned} \tag{139}$$

which proves (ii.). Suppose $F = \sum_i F_i v_i$ is differentiable on $[a, b]$ then by applying the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus on each component we find:

$$\int_a^b \frac{dF}{dt} dt = \sum_i \left(\int_a^b \frac{dF_i}{dt} dt \right) v_i = \sum_i (F_i(b) - F_i(a)) v_i = F(b) - F(a). \tag{140}$$

This proves (iii.). \square

There are at least two natural ways to define the integral of a function on \mathcal{A} along a curve. We focus our attention to smooth curve. If faced with a piecewise smooth curve then we agree to form the integral by taking the sum over smooth pieces.

Definition 10.3. *Suppose f is a function on \mathcal{A} which is continuous near a rectifiable curve C which begins at P and terminates at Q . Let $\zeta_o = P$ and $\zeta_m = Q$. Denote the line-segment from ζ_i to ζ_j by $[\zeta_i, \zeta_j]$. If we assume each $\zeta_i \in C$ then the concatenation of $[\zeta_o, \zeta_1], [\zeta_1, \zeta_2], \dots, [\zeta_{m-1}, \zeta_m]$ forms a broken line segment which tends to C as $m \rightarrow \infty$. We define,*

$$\int_C f(\zeta) \star d\zeta = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^m f(\zeta_i) \star \Delta\zeta_i \text{ where } \Delta\zeta_i = \zeta_i - \zeta_{i-1} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Pragmatically, this definition is not of much direct use. However, we begin here as this is a natural generalization of the usual Riemann integral. In addition, it allows an economical proof of the theorem below.

Theorem 10.4. *Let C be a rectifiable curve with arclength L . Suppose $\|f(\zeta)\| \leq M$ for each $\zeta \in C$ and suppose f is continuous near C . Then*

$$\left\| \int_C f(\zeta) \star d\zeta \right\| \leq m_{\mathcal{A}} M L$$

where $m_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a constant such that $\|z \star w\| \leq m_{\mathcal{A}} \|z\| \|w\|$ for all $z, w \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof: assume the notation and conditions of the theorem and Definition 10.3. Observe,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m f(\zeta_i) \star \Delta\zeta_i \right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \|f(\zeta_i) \star \Delta\zeta_i\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m m_{\mathcal{A}} \|f(\zeta_i)\| \|\Delta\zeta_i\| \leq m_{\mathcal{A}} M \sum_{i=1}^m \|\Delta\zeta_i\|. \tag{141}$$

Notice, as $m \rightarrow \infty$ we find $\sum_{i=1}^m \|\Delta\zeta_i\|$ tends to the arclength L of C . Since the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is continuous, we may pass the limit inside the norm and the theorem follows. \square

If $\zeta = x_1v_1 + \cdots + x_nv_n$ is differentiable for t in an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ then each component function x_i is differentiable. Furthermore, by the mean value theorem on $[t_{k-1}, t_k] \subseteq I$ we have $x_i(t_k) - x_i(t_{k-1}) = \frac{dx_i}{dt}(t_{k_i}^*)(t_k - t_{k-1})$ for some $t_{k_i}^* \in [t_{k-1}, t_k]$. If $\zeta_j = \zeta(t_j)$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$ then notice

$$\Delta\zeta_j = \sum_i (x_i(t_j) - x_i(t_{j-1}))v_i = \sum_i \frac{dx_i}{dt}(t_j^*)(t_j - t_{j-1})v_i = \Delta t_j \sum_i \frac{dx_i}{dt}(t_j^*)v_i \quad (142)$$

where $\Delta t_j = t_j - t_{j-1}$ and $t_{j_i}^* \in [t_{j-1}, t_j]$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=1}^m f(\zeta_j) \star \Delta\zeta_j = \sum_{j=1}^m f(\zeta_j) \star \left(\sum_i \frac{dx_i}{dt}(t_{j_i}^*)v_i \right) \Delta t_j. \quad (143)$$

As $m \rightarrow \infty$ we derive the following:

Theorem 10.5. *If $\zeta : [t_o, t_1] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is differentiable parametrization of a curve C and f is continuous near C then $\int_C f(\zeta) \star d\zeta = \int_{t_o}^{t_f} f(\zeta(t)) \star \frac{d\zeta}{dt} dt$.*

We could have used the formula in Theorem 10.5 in the place of Definition 10.3.

Example 10.6. *For $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R} \oplus j\mathbb{R}$ with $j^2 = -1$ and $\zeta = x + jy$ and $f = u + jv$ then*

$$f \frac{d\zeta}{dt} = (u + jv) \left(\frac{dx}{dt} + j \frac{dy}{dt} \right) = u \frac{dx}{dt} + v \frac{dy}{dt} + j \left(v \frac{dx}{dt} + u \frac{dy}{dt} \right) \quad (144)$$

Thus $\int_C f d\zeta = \int_C u dx + v dy + j \int_C v dy + u dx$.

Formally, this integral is the natural generalization of the complex integral. We need to interconnect the real calculus of paths and the \mathcal{A} -calculus in a natural fashion to make further progress.

Theorem 10.7. *Let $f = \sum_i f_i v_i$ be \mathcal{A} -differentiable on a curve C which is parametrized by $\zeta : I \rightarrow C$ where $\zeta = \sum_i x_i v_i$ for some interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ (hence $x_i : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$). Then,*

$$\frac{d}{dt} f(\zeta(t)) = f'(\zeta(t)) \star \frac{d\zeta}{dt}$$

where $\frac{d\zeta}{dt} = \sum_i \frac{dx_i}{dt} v_i$.

Proof: given basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ where $v_1 = \mathbb{1}$ we know the \mathcal{A} -CR equations read $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \star v_i$ for each $i = 2, \dots, n$. Begin by applying the chain rule from real multivariate calculus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}f(\zeta(t)) &= \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\zeta(t)) \frac{dx_i}{dt} \\
&= \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(\zeta(t)) \star v_i \frac{dx_i}{dt} \\
&= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(\zeta(t)) \star \left(\sum_i \frac{dx_i}{dt} v_i \right) \\
&= f'(\zeta(t)) \star \frac{d\zeta}{dt}. \quad \square
\end{aligned} \tag{145}$$

There is a fundamental theorem of calculus for \mathcal{A} -integrals.

Theorem 10.8. *Suppose $f = \frac{dF}{d\zeta}$ near a curve C which begins at P and ends at Q then*

$$\int_C f(\zeta) \star d\zeta = F(Q) - F(P).$$

Proof: Let $\gamma : [t_o, t_1] \rightarrow C$ parametrize C . If $f = \frac{dF}{d\zeta}$ then $\frac{d}{dt}F(\gamma(t)) = F'(\gamma(t)) \star \frac{d\gamma}{dt} = f(\gamma(t)) \star \frac{d\gamma}{dt}$ by Theorem 10.7. Thus, applying Theorems 10.5 and part (iii.) of 10.2 we derive:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_C f(\zeta) \star d\zeta &= \int_{t_o}^{t_1} f(\gamma(t)) \star \frac{d\gamma}{dt} dt \\
&= \int_{t_o}^{t_1} \frac{d}{dt} [F(\gamma(t))] dt \\
&= F(\gamma(t_1)) - F(\gamma(t_o)) \\
&= F(Q) - F(P). \quad \square
\end{aligned} \tag{146}$$

In other words, there is a *Fundamental Theorem of Calculus II* (FTC II) for \mathcal{A} -calculus. We conclude this Section with the analog of *FTC I* for \mathcal{A} -calculus.

Theorem 10.9. *Let $f : U \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a function where U is a connected subset of \mathcal{A} then the following are equivalent:*

- (i.) $\int_{C_1} f \star d\zeta = \int_{C_2} f \star d\zeta$ for all curves C_1, C_2 in U beginning and ending at the same points,
- (ii.) $\int_C f \star d\zeta = 0$ for all loops in U ,
- (iii.) f has an antiderivative F for which $\frac{dF}{d\zeta} = f$ on U .

Proof: to prove (i.) equivalent to (ii.) we need only observe $\int_{-C} f \star d\zeta = -\int_C f \star d\zeta$ and note the clear geometric connection between a loop and a pair of curves with matching end points. To prove (iii.) implies (i.) we simply assume the existence of a primitive F for f

and make use of Theorem 10.8. In particular, if $f(\zeta) = F'(\zeta)$ and if C_1 and C_2 both begin at P and terminate at Q then

$$\int_{C_1} f \star d\zeta = F(Q) - F(P) = \int_{C_2} f \star d\zeta. \quad (147)$$

To prove (i.) implies (iii.), assume path-independence of the algebra integral. Fix $\zeta_o \in U$ and define, using path independence to avoid ambiguity,

$$F(\zeta) = \int_{\zeta_o}^{\zeta} f \star d\eta. \quad (148)$$

Formally, if $f = u_1v_1 + \cdots + u_nv_n$ and $d\eta = dx_1 + dx_2v_2 + \cdots + dx_nv_n$ we have

$$f \star d\eta = f dx_1 + (f \star v_2)dx_2 + \cdots + (f \star v_n)dx_n. \quad (149)$$

Following the usual argument, consider a path from ζ_o to ζ which is along the v_j - direction near ζ . Using this path we derive

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j} = f \star v_j \quad (150)$$

Therefore, as we assume $v_1 = \mathbb{1}$,

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} = f \star v_1 = f \Rightarrow \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j} = f \star v_j = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} \star v_j. \quad (151)$$

Hence²³, F satisfies the \mathcal{A} -CR equations with $\frac{dF}{d\zeta} = f$. \square

Remark: If we added the assumption that f was smooth in the real sense to the Theorem above then it follows F is also real smooth and once \mathcal{A} -differentiable hence Theorem 8.13 provides the existence of arbitrarily many algebra derivatives of F . More to the point, from $\frac{dF}{d\zeta} = f$ we find $\frac{df}{d\zeta} = \frac{d^2F}{d\zeta^2}$ hence f is \mathcal{A} -differentiable on U .

The exterior derivative of an \mathcal{A} -valued one form $\alpha = \sum_j \alpha_j v_j$ is given by component-wise exterior differentiation; $d\alpha = \sum_j d\alpha_j v_j$. Likewise, if $f = \sum_j u_j v_j$ then $df = \sum_j du_j v_j$. We say α is **exact** if $\alpha = df$ for some function f whereas α is **closed** if $d\alpha = 0$. Recall, for a simply connected subset of \mathbb{R}^n , Poincare's Lemma states a closed form is necessarily exact. The identity $d^2 = 0$ holds for \mathcal{A} -valued forms hence every exact form is closed.

Theorem 10.10. *Let $f : U \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a function where U is a simply connected subset of \mathcal{A} and suppose f is continuously differentiable in the real Frechet sense. The \mathcal{A} -valued one-form $f \star d\zeta$ is exact if and only if f is \mathcal{A} -differentiable.*

²³we are using part (ii.) of Theorem 6.5 to characterize the \mathcal{A} -CR equations

Proof: let $d\zeta = v_1 dx_1 + \cdots + v_n dx_n$ and note $v_i \star v_j = v_j \star v_i$ whereas $dx_i \wedge dx_j = -dx_j \wedge dx_i$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ hence:

$$\begin{aligned}
d\zeta \wedge d\zeta &= (v_1 dx_1 + \cdots + v_n dx_n) \wedge (v_1 dx_1 + \cdots + v_n dx_n) \\
&= \sum_{i=j} v_i \star v_j dx_i \wedge dx_j + \sum_{i<j} v_i \star v_j dx_i \wedge dx_j + \sum_{i>j} v_i \star v_j dx_i \wedge dx_j \\
&= \sum_{i<j} (v_i \star v_j dx_i \wedge dx_j + v_j \star v_i dx_j \wedge dx_i) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{152}$$

If $f = \sum_j u_j v_j$ is \mathcal{A} -differentiable then $\partial_j f = \partial_1 f \star v_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Calculate,

$$\begin{aligned}
d(f \star d\zeta) &= df \wedge d\zeta \\
&= (\partial_1 f dx_1 + \partial_2 f dx_2 + \cdots + \partial_n f dx_n) \wedge d\zeta \\
&= (\partial_1 f dx_1 + \partial_1 f \star v_2 dx_2 + \cdots + \partial_1 f \star v_n dx_n) \wedge d\zeta \\
&= \partial_1 f \star d\zeta \wedge d\zeta \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{153}$$

Thus $f \star d\zeta$ is exact by Poincaré's Lemma. Conversely, if $f \star d\zeta$ is exact then there exists $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ for which $d\phi = f \star d\zeta$. However,

$$f \star d\zeta = f \star (v_1 dx_1 + \cdots + v_n dx_n) = (f \star v_1) dx_1 + \cdots + (f \star v_n) dx_n \tag{154}$$

However, $d\phi = \partial_1 \phi dx_1 + \partial_2 \phi dx_2 + \cdots + \partial_n \phi dx_n$ thus from $d\phi = f \star d\zeta$ we find $f \star v_j = \partial_j \phi$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Since $v_1 = \mathbb{1}$ this gives $f = \partial_1 \phi$ and

$$\partial_j f = \partial_j \partial_1 \phi = \partial_1 \partial_j \phi = \partial_1 (f \star v_j) = (\partial_1 f) \star v_j. \tag{155}$$

Therefore, f is \mathcal{A} differentiable on U as it is a continuously differentiable function which satisfies the \mathcal{A} -CR equations on U . \square

Finally, we arrive at the analog of Cauchy's Integral Theorem for \mathcal{A} :

Corollary 10.11. *If $U \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is simply connected then $\int_C f \star d\zeta = 0$ for all loops C in U if and only if f is \mathcal{A} -differentiable on U .*

Proof: let U be simply connected. Observe $\int_C f \star d\zeta = 0$ for all loops C in U is equivalent to the existence of F on U for which $\frac{dF}{d\zeta} = f$ by Theorem 10.9. However,

$$\begin{aligned}
dF &= \partial_1 F dx_1 + \partial_2 F dx_2 + \cdots + \partial_n F dx_n \\
&= \partial_1 F dx_1 + \partial_1 F \star v_2 dx_2 + \cdots + \partial_1 F \star v_n dx_n \\
&= \partial_1 F \star (dx_1 + v_2 dx_2 + \cdots + v_n dx_n) \\
&= f \star d\zeta
\end{aligned} \tag{156}$$

whence we see $f \star d\zeta$ is exact on U . Then the Corollary follows by Theorem 10.10. \square

In the case of complex analysis, Goursat's Theorem allows us to show that a function which is complex differentiable is necessarily continuously-complex-differentiable. In other words, Goursat's Theorem allows proof that the map $z \rightarrow f'(z)$ is continuous provided $f'(z)$ exists over some domain. The proof of Goursat's Theorem transfers nicely to the context of \mathcal{A} -differentiable functions. However, we omit the proof in this paper.

Theorem 10.12. *Let C be a differentiable curve from ζ_o to ζ in $U \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ where U is an open simply connected subset of \mathcal{A} . Assume f is \mathcal{A} differentiable on U then*

$$\frac{d}{d\zeta} \int_C f(\eta) \star d\eta = f(\zeta).$$

Proof: If U is simply connected and f is \mathcal{A} -differentiable on U then Corollary 10.11 provides $\oint_L f \star d\eta = 0$ for any loop in U . Thus, using the equivalence of (ii.) and (iii.) in Theorem 10.9 we find there exists an \mathcal{A} -differentiable function F on U for which $f = \frac{dF}{d\zeta}$. Let C be a differentiable curve from ζ_o to ζ and note by Theorem 10.8 with $P = \zeta_o$ and $Q = \zeta$

$$\int_C f(\eta) \star d\eta = F(\zeta) - F(\zeta_o). \quad (157)$$

Therefore, as ζ_o is a constant and $f = \frac{dF}{d\zeta}$ we derive $\frac{d}{d\zeta} \int_C f(\eta) \star d\eta = f(\zeta)$. \square

Example 10.13. *Suppose \mathcal{A} is a commutative, unital algebra and let U be an open simply connected subset of \mathcal{A}^\times which contains $\mathbf{1}$. We can meaningfully write $g(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbf{1}}^{\zeta} \frac{d\eta}{\eta}$ and by Theorem 10.12 we have $\frac{dg}{d\zeta} = \frac{1}{\zeta}$ on U . This discussion is continued in [2] where it is shown g defines a natural inverse function to the exponential on \mathcal{A} . We also recommend [7] for details on how the exponential can be defined over many algebras.*

11 Conclusions and future work

This paper primarily contains results which are analogs to the usual topics covered in first and second semester calculus. We found how to differentiate once or multiple times and we saw how integration is defined for functions over an algebra. Most of our results are quite general though, we have not discussed the exponential function, sine, cosine or their hyperbolic counterparts.

In the sequel to this paper with Daniel Freese [7] we study the theory of power series over an algebra. Series give a natural method to generalize the usual elementary functions to an algebra. Then, we continue past calculus II to study differential equations over \mathcal{A} with Nathan BeDell in [8] where we prove the essential theory to frame linear \mathcal{A} -ODEs and we exhibit novel solution techniques which solve **any** nondegenerate \mathcal{A} -ODE.

I should also mention, Nathan BeDell provided three independent papers to support the study of \mathcal{A} -Calculus. In particular, in [1] he studies zero-divisors and algebraic preliminaries, in [2]

he develops logarithms over many algebras and in [3] he attempts to find an analog of polar form for an algebra. Moreover, [3] also continues the study of the N -Pythagorean Theorem found in [7].

Another direction would be to further explore problems such as Examples 8.11 and 9.1. While we sketched a program for converting a real PDE into an \mathcal{A} -ODE we did not attempt to answer the most interesting and challenging question: *which \mathcal{A} should guide the conversion?* It might be interesting to understand how the algebra substitution ties into the symmetries of a given PDE.

We also do not understand the complete connection between the \mathcal{A} -Laplacian introduced by W.S. Leslie in [6] and the generalized \mathcal{A} -Laplace equations of Wagner. It might be possible to prove something interesting in terms of the Wirtinger calculus.

Our work here and in the sequels to this paper are by no means complete. Many theorems of complex analysis must have some analog in the \mathcal{A} -calculus. On the other hand, the exposition of calculus for just one choice of \mathcal{A} has filled entire volumes already. The directions for future work here are endless.

12 Acknowledgements

The author is thankful to R.O. Fulp for helpful comments on a rough draft of this article. The author is also thankful for many conversations with M. L. Nguyen, W.S. Leslie, B. Zhang, D. Freese and N. BeDell which laid the foundation for this work.

References

- [1] N. BeDell, *Doing Algebra in Associative Algebras*, in preparation.
- [2] N. BeDell, *Logarithms over Associative Algebras*, in preparation.
- [3] N. BeDell, *Generalized Trigonometric Functions over Associative Algebras*, in preparation.
- [4] S. Bernstein, U. Kähler, I. Sabadini, F. Sommen (Editors), *Hypercomplex Analysis: New Perspectives and Applications*, Trends in Mathematics 1-18, Springer International Publishing Switzerland (2014)
- [5] J. Cockle, *on a new Imaginary in Algebra*, The London, Edinburgh and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science, Vol. XXXIII, pp 435-439 (1848)
- [6] J. S. Cook, W. S. Leslie, M. L. Nguyen, B. Zhang, *Laplace Equations for Real Semisimple Associative Algebras of Dimension 2, 3 or 4*, Topics from the 8th Annual UNCG Regional Mathematics and Statistics Conference, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics Vol. 64, pp 67-83 (2013)

- [7] J. S. Cook, D. Freese, *Theory of Series in the A -calculus and the N -Pythagorean Theorem*, in preparation.
- [8] J. S. Cook, N. BeDell, *Introduction to the Theory of A -ODEs*, in preparation.
- [9] D.S. Dummit, R.M. Foote, *Abstract Algebra*, 3rd ed., John Wiley and Sons, Inc. (2004)
- [10] J. Dieudonné, *Foundations of Modern Analysis*, Academic Press Inc. (1960)
- [11] C.H. Edwards, *Advanced Calculus of Several Variables*, Dover reprint of Academic Press, New York edition. (1973)
- [12] M.E. Luna-Elizarrarás, M. Shapiro, D.C. Struppa, A. Vajiac, *Bicomplex Holomorphic Functions The Algebra, Geometry and Analysis of Bicomplex Numbers*, Springer International Publishing Switzerland (2015)
- [13] G. E. N. Fox, *The Elementary Function Theory of an Hypercomplex Variable and the Theory of Conformal Mapping in the Hyperbolic Plane*, Thesis for Master of Arts at The University of British Columbia (1949)
- [14] P. M. Gadea, J. Grifone, J. Muñoz Masqué, *Manifolds modelled over free modules over the double numbers*, Acta Mathematica Hungarica, Volume 100, Issue 3, pp 187203 (2003)
- [15] P. M. Gadea, J. Muñoz Masqué, *A -Differentiability and A -Analyticity*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 124, No. 5, (1996)
- [16] A. Alvarez-Parrilla, M. E. Frías-Armenta, E. López-González, C. Yee-Romero, *On Solving Systems of Autonomous Ordinary Differential Equations by Reduction to a Variable of an Algebra*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences Volume 2012, Article ID 753916, 21 pages (2012)
- [17] M. A. Alcorta-García, M. E. Frías-Armenta, M. E. Grimaldo-Reyna, E. López-González, *Algebrization of Nonautonomous Differential Equations*, Journal of Applied Mathematics Volume 2015, Article ID 632150, 10 pages (2015)
- [18] I.J. Good, *A simple generalization of analytic function theory*, Expositiones Mathematicae, Vol. 6, 289-311 (1988)
- [19] F. Hausdorff, *Zur Theorie der Systeme komplexer Zahlen*, Berichte über die Verhandlungen der Sächsischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Leipzig. Mathematisch-physikalische Klasse. **52**, 43–61 (1900)
- [20] T. A. Ivey, J.M. Landsberg, *Cartan For Beginners: Differential Geometry via Moving Frames and Exterior Differential Systems*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 61 (2003)
- [21] J. Jonasson, *Multiplication for solutions of the equation $\text{grad}f = M \text{grad}g$* , arXiv:0803.2797v1 (2008)

- [22] P. W. Ketchum, *Analytic Functions of Hypercomplex variables*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society. **30**, 641–667 (1928)
- [23] P. W. Ketchum, T. Martin, *Polygenic Functions of Hypercomplex Variables*, 66-74 (1929)
- [24] P. W. Ketchum, *Solution of Partial Differential Equations by Means of Hypervariables*, American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 54, No. 2, 253-264 (1932)
- [25] A. Khrennikov, G. Segre, *An Introduction to Hyperbolic Analysis*, arXiv:math-ph/0507053v2 (2005)
- [26] J. J. Konderak, *A Weierstrass Representation Theorem for Lorentz Surfaces*, Complex Variables, Theory And Application: An International Journal Vol. 50 , Iss. 5, 319-332 (2005)
- [27] V. V. Kravchenko, D. Rochon and S. Tremblay, *On the Klein-Gordon equation and hyperbolic pseudoanalytic function theory*, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical, Vol. 41, No. 6, 065205 (2008)
- [28] V. G. Kravchenko, V. V. Kravchenko and S. Tremblay, *Zakharov-Shabat system and hyperbolic pseudoanalytic function theory*, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, Volume 33, Issue 4 15, pp 448-453 (2010)
- [29] K.S. Kunz, *Application of an Algebraic Technique to the Solution of Laplace's Equation in Three Dimensions*, Siam Journal Applied Mathematics, Vol. 21, No. 3, 425-441 (1971)
- [30] E. R. Lorch, *The Theory of Analytic Functions in Normed Abelian Vector Rings*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 54, pp. 414-425 (1943)
- [31] S. V. Ludkovsky, *Differentiable functions of Cayley-Dickson numbers and line integration*, Springer. Journal of Mathematical Sciences. **141**(3), 1231–1298 (2007)
- [32] A. E. Motter, M. A. F. Rosa, *Hyperbolic calculus*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras, 8, 1, 109-128 (1998).
- [33] G.B. Price, *An Introduction to Multicomplex Spaces and Functions*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 140, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York (1991)
- [34] P. S. Pedersen, *A function theory for finding all polynomial solutions to a linear constant coefficient PDE's of homogeneous order*, J. Complex Variables 24, 79-87 (1993).
- [35] P. S. Pedersen, *Cauchy's Integral Theorem on a Finitely Generated, Real, Commutative, and Associative Algebra*, Advances in Mathematics 131, Article No. AI971671, 344-356 (1997)
- [36] S. A. Plaksa and R. P. Pukhtaievych, *Monogenic functions in a finite-dimensional semi-simple commutative algebra*, Versita, Vol. 22 (1), pp 221-235 (2014)

- [37] R.F. Rinehart, *Extension of the Derivative Concept for Functions of Matrices*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 8, pp. 329-335 (1957)
- [38] Friedrich Ringleb, *Beitrage zur Funktionentheorie in hyperkomplexen Systemen I.*, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo. **57**, 311–340 (1933)
- [39] Boris Rosenfeld, *Differentiable functions in associative and alternative algebras and smooth surfaces in projective spaces over these algebras*, Publications De L'institut Mathematique. Nouvelle serie. **62**(82), 67-71 (2000)
- [40] G. Scheffers, *Verallgemeinerung der Grundlagen der gewöhnlich komplexen Funktionen, I, II*, Berichte über die Verhandlungen der Sächsischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Leipzig. Mathematisch-physikalische Klasse. **46**, 120–134 (1894)
- [41] C. Segre, *Le rappresentazioni reali delle forme complesse e gli enti iperalgebrici (The real representation of complex elements and hyperalgebraic entities)*, Mathematische Annalen, 40: 413-467, doi:10.1007/bf01443559. (see especially pages 455-67)(1892)
- [42] N. Spampinato, *Sulla Rappresentazione delle funzioni di variabile bicomplessa totalmente derivabili*, Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata. **14**(4), 305–325 (1936)
- [43] H. H. Snyder, *A hypercomplex function-theory associated with La-Place's equation*, Berlin, Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften VEB (1968)
- [44] L. D. Terlizzi, J. J. Konderak, I. Lacirasella, *On differentiable functions over Lorentz numbers and their geometric applications*, Differential Geometry - Dynamical Systems, Vol.16, pp. 113-139 (2014)
- [45] A. Trampus, *Differentiability and Analyticity of Functions in Linear Algebras*, Duke Mathematical Journal, Volume 27, No. 4, 431-444 (1960)
- [46] V. S. Vladimirov, I. V. Volovich. *Superanalysis*, V. A. Steklov Mathematics Institute. USSR Academy of Sciences. **59**(1), 3–27 (1984)
- [47] R. D. Wagner, *The generalized Laplace equations in a function theory for commutative algebras*, Duke Mathematical Journal. **15**, 455-461 (1948)
- [48] J. A. Ward, *A theory of analytic functions in linear associative algebras*, Duke Mathematical Journal. **7**, 233-248 (1940)
- [49] J. A. Ward, *A Theory of Analytic Functions in Linear Associative Algebras*, Doctoral Dissertation. University of Wisconsin (1939)
- [50] J. A. Ward, *From Generalized Cauchy-Riemann Equations to Linear Algebras*, AMS Journal. American Mathematical Society. **4**(3), 456-461 (1953)
- [51] W. C. Waterhouse, *Analyzing some generalized analytic functions*, Exposition. Math., 10, 183-192 (1992)

- [52] W. C. Waterhouse, *Differentiable functions on algebras and the equation $\text{grad}(w) = M \text{grad}(v)$* , Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, Sect. A, 122, 353-361 (1992)
- [53] W. Wirtinger, *Zur formalen Theorie der Funktionen von mehr komplexen Veränderlichen*, Mathematische Annalen (in German), 97 pp. 357-375 (1926)
- [54] V.A. Zorich, *Mathematical Analysis II*, Springer Universitext (2004)