

Mini-Proceedings, 17th meeting of the Working Group on Radiative Corrections and MC Generators for Low Energies

20th - 21st April, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Italy

Editors

Henryk Czyż (Katowice), Pere Masjuan (Mainz), Graziano Venanzoni (Frascati)

ABSTRACT

The mini-proceedings of the 17th Meeting of the "Working Group on Radiative Corrections and MonteCarlo Generators for Low Energies" held in Frascati, 20th - 21st April, are presented. These meetings, started in 2006, have as aim to bring together experimentalists and theoreticians working in the fields of meson transition form factors, hadronic contributions to the anomalous magnetic moment of the leptons, and the effective fine structure constant. The development of MonteCarlo generators and Radiative Corrections for precision e^+e^- and τ -lepton physics are also covered, with emphasis on meson production. Heavy quark masses were covered as well in this edition.

The web page of the conference:

<https://agenda.infn.it/conferenceDisplay.py?ovw=True&confId=9287>

contains the presentations.

We acknowledge the support and hospitality of the Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati.

Contents

1	Introduction to the 17th Radio Montecarlo Working Group meeting	3
	<i>H. Czyż and G. Venanzoni</i>	
2	Summaries of the talks	5
2.1	Recent Results from VEPP-2000: Data and Generators	5
	<i>S. Eidelman</i>	
2.2	Improving the luminosity measurement at BESIII using the Bhabha event generator Babayaga@NLO	7
	<i>A. Hafner</i>	
2.3	Measurement of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ cross section using initial state radiation at BESIII	9
	<i>C.F. Redmer</i>	
2.4	Primary Monte-Carlo generator of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow f_0(1370)\rho(770)$ for the CMD-3 experiment	11
	<i>P.A. Lukin</i>	
2.5	Event Generators at Belle II	13
	<i>T. Ferber</i>	
2.6	Heavy quark masses from QCD Sum Rules	15
	<i>P. Masjuan</i>	
2.7	Current status of <code>carlomat_3.0</code> , an automatic tool for low energetic electron- positron annihilation into hadrons	18
	<i>K. Kolodziej</i>	
2.8	A first glance towards a dispersive formalism for $\gamma^*\gamma \rightarrow \pi\pi$	20
	<i>P. Masjuan</i>	
2.9	Current status of two and three pion decay modes within TAUOLA	23
	<i>O. Shekhovtsova</i>	
2.10	A new approach to evaluate the leading hadronic corrections to the muon $g-2$	26
	<i>G. Venanzoni</i>	
3	List of participants	28

1 Introduction to the 17th Radio Montecarlo Working Group meeting

H. Czyż¹ and G. Venanzoni²

¹Institute of Physics, University of Silesia, 40007 Katowice, Poland

²Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati dell'INFN, 00044 Frascati, Italy

The importance of continuous and close collaboration between the experimental and theoretical groups is crucial in the quest for precision in hadronic physics. This is the reason why the Working Group on “Radiative Corrections and Monte Carlo Generators for Low Energies” (Radio MonteCarlo) was formed a few years ago bringing together experts (theorists and experimentalists) working in the field of low-energy e^+e^- physics and partly also the τ community. Its main motivation was to understand the status and the precision of the Monte Carlo generators (MC) used to analyze the hadronic cross section measurements obtained as well with energy scans as with radiative return, to determine luminosities, and whatever possible to perform tuned comparisons, *i.e.* comparisons of MC generators with a common set of input parameters and experimental cuts. This main effort was summarized in a report published in 2010 [1]. During the years the WG structure has been enriched of more physics items and now it includes seven subgroups: Luminosity, R-measurement, ISR, Hadronic VP $g - 2$ and Delta alpha, gamma-gamma physics, FSR models, tau decays.

During the workshop the last achievements of each subgroups have been presented. The present accuracy and the future prospects of MC generators for e^+e^- into leptonic, $\gamma\gamma$, hadronic and tau final states have been reviewed. Recent proposals on the hadronic LO contribution to the $g - 2$ of the muon in the space-like region and on a dispersive formalism for $\gamma^*\gamma \rightarrow \pi\pi$ have been discussed. A new method for extracting heavy quark masses from BES and CELLO data was as well discussed. New results from CMD3 and BESIII experiments have been presented, together with new Monte Carlo generator developments.

The present workshop, being its 17th edition, was held from the 20th to the 21st April 2015, at the Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati dell'INFN, Italy.

Webpage of the conference is

<https://agenda.infn.it/conferenceDisplay.py?ovw=True&confId=9287>

where detailed program and talks can be found.

All the information on the WG can be found at the web page:

<http://www.lnf.infn.it/wg/sighad/>

References

- [1] S. Actis *et al.* [Working Group on Radiative Corrections and Monte Carlo Generators for Low Energies Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C **66** (2010) 585 [arXiv:0912.0749 [hep-ph]].

- [2] P. Masjuan, G. Venanzoni, H. Czyż, A. Denig, M. Vanderhaeghen, G. Venanzoni, A. Denig and S. Eidelman *et al.*, arXiv:1306.2045 [hep-ph].
- [3] H. Czyż, S. Eidelman, G. V. Fedotovitch, A. Korobov, S. E. Mller, A. Nyffeler, P. Roig and O. Shekhovtsova *et al.*, arXiv:1312.0454 [hep-ph].
- [4] J. J. van der Bij, H. Czyż, S. Eidelman, G. Fedotovitch, T. Ferber, V. Ivanov, A. Korobov and Z. Liu *et al.*, arXiv:1406.4639 [hep-ph].
- [5] C. M. Carloni *et al.*, arXiv:1412.7714 [hep-ph].

2 Summaries of the talks

2.1 Recent Results from VEPP-2000: Data and Generators

S. Eidelman

Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics SB RAS, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia,
Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

Two detectors at the VEPP-2000 e^+e^- collider in Novosibirsk, CMD-3 and SND, continued data processing of an integrated luminosity of $\sim 60 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ collected by each in 2011 — 2013 in the center-of-mass (c.m.) energy range 320 — 2000 MeV. Lately analysis has been mainly focused on the c.m. energies above the ϕ meson. In particular, both groups made an attempt to improve the precision of various cross sections important for the muon $g-2$ problem [1].

Measurements of an integrated luminosity at CMD-3 use two processes, $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, allowing a precision of $\sim 1\%$ [2]. At SND, events of large-angle Bhabha scattering are used to determine an integrated luminosity with a systematic accuracy of 2% [3].

Both detectors continued analysis of the collected data samples and reported measurements of cross sections for various processes with pions and η mesons. SND published final results on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ [4] and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ [3]. CMD-3 is close to obtaining final values of $\sigma(2\pi^+2\pi^-2\pi^0)$ [5]. Analysis of dynamics should be performed simultaneously with a study of the $3\pi^+3\pi^-$ final state, which cross section had been reported before [6].

CMD-3 continued studies of various processes with kaons in the final state using good K/π separation based on measuring dE/dx in the drift chamber. In addition to the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$ [7], they reported close to final results on the cross section and dynamics of the $K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$ and $K^+K^-\eta$ final states. They have also presented their first results on the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$.

SND has already published their measurements of the processes with only neutral particles in the final state — $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$ [8] and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$ [9].

A study of the nucleon form factors near threshold was continued. SND significantly improved the precision of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow n\bar{n})$ [10] compared to the previous results from FENICE [11]. CMD-3 measured the cross section of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ and made an attempt to extract the ratio of the electric and magnetic form factors based on the angular distribution of the final nucleons [12].

Both detectors used an original method of Ref. [13] to measure the partial width of a strongly suppressed $\eta' \rightarrow e^+e^-$ decay using the inverse process. CMD-3 reported an upper limit of $\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow e^+e^-) < 0.0024 \text{ eV}$ at 90% CL based on 2.69 pb^{-1} and one mode of η' decay [14]. SND used 2.9 pb^{-1} and five modes of η' decay to improve it to $< 0.0020 \text{ eV}$. Finally, they combine the data samples of CMD-3 and SND to find $\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow e^+e^-) < 0.0011 \text{ eV}$ at 90% CL [15] which is still about two orders of magnitude below the unitary bound. SND has also performed a feasibility study for a search for $\eta \rightarrow e^+e^-$ via $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta$ and

concluded that the only promising decay mode for that is $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$. A dedicated two-week run with the luminosity expected at the c.m.energy around the η meson mass will allow to improve the existing limit [16].

A need of high-precision measurements of hadronic cross sections and studies of rich resonance dynamics demand Monte Carlo (MC) generators, which properly take into account interference effects and all symmetries. Progress in development of the generic MC generator of $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons used by CMD-3 for background studies was described [17]. A generator for production of three pseudoscalars in e^+e^- annihilation found further applications [18]. Intensively discussed was an important question about radiative corrections included in these and similar MC generators.

References

- [1] M.N. Achasov et al. (CMD-3 and SND Collaborations), *Int.J.Mod.Phys.Conf.Ser.* **35**, 1460388 (2014).
- [2] R.R. Akhmetshin et al. (CMD-3 Collaboration), *JINST* **9**, C09003 (2014).
- [3] V.M. Aulchenko et al. (SND Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 052013 (2015).
- [4] V.M. Aulchenko et al. (SND Collaboration), *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **121**, 34 (2015).
- [5] P.A.Lukin et al. (CMD-3 Collaboration), *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **78**, 353 (2015).
- [6] R.R. Akhmetshin et al. (CMD-3 Collaboration), *Phys. Lett. B* **723**, 82 (2013).
- [7] E.A. Kozyrev et al. (CMD-3 Collaboration), *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **78**, 358 (2015).
- [8] M.N. Achasov et al. (SND Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 054013 (2013).
- [9] M.N. Achasov et al. (SND Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 032002 (2014).
- [10] M.N. Achasov et al. (SND Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 112007 (2014).
- [11] A. Antonelli et al. (FENICE Collaboration), *Nucl. Phys. B* **517**, 3 (1998).
- [12] R.R. Akhmetshin et al. (CMD-3 Collaboration), *Int.J.Mod.Phys.Conf.Ser.* **35**, 1460457 (2014).
- [13] P. Vorobev et al. (ND Collaboration), *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **48**, 273 (1988).
- [14] R.R. Akhmetshin et al. (CMD-3 Collaboration), *Phys. Lett. B* **740**, 273 (2015).
- [15] M.N. Achasov et al. (SND Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 092010 (2015).
- [16] G. Agakishiev et al. (HADES Collaboration), *Phys. Lett. B* **731**, 265 (2014).
- [17] H. Czyż et al., arXiv:1312.0454.
- [18] J.J. van der Bij et al., arXiv:1406.4639.

2.2 Improving the luminosity measurement at BESIII using the Bhabha event generator Babayaga@NLO

A. Hafner

Institut für Kernphysik, Johannes Gutenberg Universität Mainz,
J.-J.-Becher-Weg 45, 55099 Mainz, Deutschland.

There is a long standing discrepancy between the experimental measurement of the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon a_μ^{exp} [1] and its theoretical prediction within the Standard Model of particle physics. This discrepancy amounts to 3-4 standard deviations [2, 3]. New experimental measurements [4, 5] will reduce the experimental uncertainty by approximately a factor of 4 within this decade. The dominant uncertainty on the theoretical prediction of the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon a_μ^{theo} stems from the contribution of hadronic Vacuum Polarization (VP), $a_\mu^{VP} = (692.3 \pm 4.2) \cdot 10^{-10}$ [2]. From causality and analyticity of the VP amplitude a dispersion relation for the VP contribution to a_μ^{theo} can be derived [6]. This relation requires the inclusive hadronic cross section as input. The largest weight is given to low energy contributions. Therefore, the reaction $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$ contributes with approximately 75%.

The standard experimental approach is to measure the required hadronic cross sections exclusively at e^+e^- energy scan experiments. Since the last decade, the method of Initial State Radiation (ISR) is used as an alternative approach to measure cross sections of exclusive final states at high luminosity flavor factories, running at a fixed center-of-mass energy. The emitance of a high energy photon from initial state opens the window to low energy hadron physics. KLOE, running on the ϕ resonance, measured the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ final state [7] with a precision of better than 1% in the peak region. *BABAR*, running on the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance, has an extensive ISR-scan program with various final states up to six hadrons from energy threshold up to 4.5 GeV [8]. The *BABAR* measurement with a precision of 0.5% of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ final state shows a discrepancy in and above the ρ region of up to 2-3 standard deviations to the KLOE measurement. Due to this difference, the resulting uncertainty for a_μ^{theo} is similar to the uncertainties of the individual measurements.

Energy scan measurements of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ cross section by CMD-3 and SND experiments are expected in the near future with an aimed uncertainty of below 1% and 0.5% respectively. In addition, a new ISR measurement at BESIII, running in the charmonium region and below, is currently performed. The data is statistically and systematically competitive to *BABAR* and KLOE. In a first step, the aim is a precision of 1% in the ρ -resonance region using a data sample at the $\psi(3770)$.

The dominating source of systematic uncertainty of this cross section at BESIII stems from the uncertainty of the luminosity measurement, which at present by itself amounts to 1% [9]. The precision was achieved by measuring Bhabha events and using theoretical input

of event generators. Thus, in this measurement, the main contributions to the uncertainty stem from the uncertainty due to the event generator Babayaga.3.5 [10] of 0.5% and a very conservative approach for the uncertainty due to the polar angular requirement of 0.7%. The uncertainty of the event generator can be reduced to 0.1% by using the successor of the event generator, Babayaga@NLO [11]. First studies of the polar angular distribution clearly indicate, that the uncertainty due to the corresponding requirement can also be significantly reduced. Effects due to VP uncertainties on the Bhabha cross section, and thus the luminosity measurement, have been taken into account and are shown to be negligible for the rather broad $\psi(3770)$ resonance.

With Babayaga@NLO and the additional systematic studies, the uncertainty for the luminosity will be significantly reduced, allowing the cross section measurement $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$ at BESIII to reach a precision of 1% and below.

I want to thank the organization committee of the 17th Radio Monte Carlo working group meeting for the warm hospitality in Frascati and the participants for the fruitful discussions.

References

- [1] G.W. Bennett *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 072003 (2006).
- [2] M. Davier *et al.*, Europ. Phys. J. C **71**, 1515 (2011).
- [3] K. Hagiwara *et al.*, J.Phys. G **38**, 085003 (2011).
- [4] G. Venanzoni, (Fermilab E989 Coll.), Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **225-227**, 277-281 (2012).
- [5] T. Mibe, (J-PARC g-2 Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **218**, 242-246 (2011).
- [6] S.J. Brodsky and E. de Rafael, Phys. Rev. **168**, 1620 (1968).
- [7] F. Ambrosino *et al.* (KLOE Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **670**, 285 (2009), Phys.Lett. B **700**, 102-110 (2011), Phys.Lett. B **720**, 336-343 (2013).
- [8] B. Aubert *et al.* (BABAR Collaboration), PRD **70**, 072004 (2004), PRD **71**, 052001 (2005), PRD **73**, 052003 (2006), PRD **73**, 012005 (2006), PRD **76**, 092006 (2007), PRD **76**, 092005 (2007), PRD **76**, 012008 (2007), PRD **77**, 092002 (2008), PRL **103**, 231801 (2009), PRD **85**, 112009 (2012), PRD **86**, 032013 (2012), PRD **88**, 032013 (2013), PRD **89**, 092002 (2014).
- [9] Chinese Physics CPC **37**, 123001 (2013).
- [10] Babayaga.3.5: Information at <http://www.lnf.infn.it/wg/sighad/>.
- [11] Babayaga@NLO: G. Balossini, C. M. Carloni Calame, G. Montagna, O. Nicosini and F. Piccinini, Nucl. Phys. B **758**, 227 (2006).

2.3 Measurement of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ cross section using initial state radiation at BESIII

C. F. Redmer, B. Kloss, and A. Denig

Institut für Kernphysik, Johannes Gutenberg Universität Mainz,
J.-J.-Becher-Weg 45, 55099 Mainz, Deutschland.

Precise measurements of hadronic cross sections at e^+e^- colliders are an important input to the Standard Model prediction of the muon anomaly a_μ . Currently, the accuracy of this prediction is entirely limited by the understanding of the hadronic contributions to a_μ [1]. The largest of these contributions stems from the hadronic vacuum polarization contribution. It is handled within a dispersive framework, which requires $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$ as experimental input [2]. The cross section $\sigma_{\pi\pi} = \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$ contributes more than 70% to this dispersion relation and is, hence, by far the most important exclusive hadronic channel in the endeavor of improving the knowledge on the hadronic contributions to a_μ .

To date, the two most accurate measurements of $\sigma_{\pi\pi}$ have been obtained by the KLOE [3], and the BABAR [4] collaborations. Both experiments claim a precision of better than 1% in the energy range below 1 GeV, however, a discrepancy of approximately 3% on the peak region of the $\rho(770)$ resonance is observed. The discrepancy is even increasing towards higher energies and has a large impact on the SM prediction of a_μ [2]. Thus, an independent measurement of competitive accuracy, i.e. in the order of 1%, is required to settle the issue.

This measurement has been performed at the BESIII experiment [5], operated at the symmetric e^+e^- collider BEPCII in Beijing, China. Based on 2.9 fb^{-1} of data [6], taken at a center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 3.773 \text{ GeV}$, the method of initial state radiation (ISR) has been exploited, measuring events of the type $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$. In this way, the mass range between 600 and 900 MeV/ c^2 , which corresponds to the important ρ peak region, was studied.

The production of muon pairs constitutes the main background contribution in this analysis. To suppress events of the kind $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$, an artificial neural network [7] has been trained and tested. Monte Carlo (MC) simulations of the signal and background final states, $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ and $\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$, have been used as input. The Phokhara generator [8] has been used to generate signal and background samples. Possible discrepancies between data and MC simulation due to imperfections in the detector simulation, have to be considered. To accomplish a high precision analysis, track-based data-MC correction factors were obtained, comparing the analysis of nearly background free pion and muon samples in data with MC simulations.

Two independent normalization methods can be used to extract $\sigma_{\pi\pi}$. On the one hand, the efficiency corrected number of $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ events $N_{\pi\pi\gamma}$ can be normalized to the luminosity and the radiator function [9]. On the other hand, the R ratio can be determined, i.e. $N_{\pi\pi}$ is normalized to the number of $\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ events. Both methods have been used in the analysis, and agree within the errors. However, the final result has been obtained based on the first

method, since the second one is limited by the $\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ statistics.

The extracted cross section can be used to calculate the two-pion contribution to a_μ . The preliminary result in the mass range between 600 and 900 MeV is found to be $a_\mu^{\pi\pi,LO} = 374.4 \pm 2.6_{stat} \pm 4.9_{sys}$. Compared to the values published by the BaBar and KLOE collaborations for the same energy range, the BESIII result almost coincides with their average value and agrees with both results within errors. The preliminary estimated systematic uncertainty of 1.3% of the BESIII measurement is dominated by the uncertainty of the luminosity measurement of 1% [6]. We are confident to reduce this uncertainty by thoroughly re-evaluating the luminosity measurement [10]. Nevertheless, this result confirms the discrepancy in a_μ between SM and experiment on the level of 3 to 4 standard deviations.

References

- [1] F. Jegerlehner and A. Nyffeler, Phys. Rept. **477**, 1-110 (2009).
- [2] M. Davier *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. **C 71**, 1515 (2011).
- [3] F. Ambrosino *et al.*, [KLOE Collaboration], Phys. Lett. **B 670**, 285 (2009).
F. Ambrosino *et al.*, [KLOE Collaboration], Phys. Lett. **B 700**, 102-110 (2011).
D. Babusci *et al.*, [KLOE Collaboration], Phys. Lett. **B 720**, 336-343 (2013).
- [4] B. Aubert *et al.*, [BaBar Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 231801 (2009). J. P. Lees *et al.*, [BaBar Collaboration], Phys. Rev. **D 86**, 032013 (2012)
- [5] M. Ablikim *et al.*, [BESIII Collaboration], Nucl. Instr. Meth. **A 614**, 345 (2010).
D. M. Asner *et al.*, [BESIII Collaboration], Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 24**, S1 (2009).
- [6] M. Ablikim *et al.*, [BESIII Collaboration], Chin. Phys. **C 37**, 123001 (2013).
- [7] A. Hoecker, P. Speckmayer, J. Stelzer, J. Therhaag, E. Von Toerne and H. Voss, PoS ACAT 040 (2007).
- [8] G. Rodrigo, H. Czyż, J. H. Kuhn, M. Szopa, Eur. Phys. J. **C 24**, 71 (2002).
H. Czyz, J. H. Kuhn and A. Wapientnik, Phys. Rev. **D 77**, 114005 (2008).
- [9] V. Druzhinin, S. Eidelman, S. Serebnyakov and E. Solodov, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 1545 (2011).
- [10] A. Hafner, Contribution to these proceedings.

2.4 Primary Monte-Carlo generator of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow f_0(1370)\rho(770)$ for the CMD-3 experiment

P.A. Lukin¹, V.E. Lyubovitskij²

¹ Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics and Novosibirsk State University

² Tuebingen University, Tomsk State University, Tomsk Polytechnic University

Electron-positron collider VEPP-2000 [1] has been operating in Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics since 2010. Center-of-mass energy ($E_{c.m.}$) range covered by the collider is from threshold of hadron production and up to 2 GeV. Special optics, so called “round beams”, used in the collider construction, allowed to obtain luminosity $2 \times 10^{31} \text{ cm}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ at $E_{c.m.} = 1.8 \text{ GeV}$.

The general purpose detector CMD-3 has been described in detail elsewhere [2]. Its tracking system consists of a cylindrical drift chamber (DC) [3] and double-layer multiwire proportional Z-chamber, both also used for a trigger, and both inside a thin ($0.2 X_0$) superconducting solenoid with a field of 1.3 T. The liquid xenon (LXe) barrel calorimeter with $5.4 X_0$ thickness has fine electrode structure, providing 1-2 mm spatial resolution [4], and shares the cryostat vacuum volume with the superconducting solenoid. The barrel CsI crystal calorimeter with thickness of $8.1 X_0$ is placed outside the LXe calorimeter, and the end-cap BGO calorimeter with a thickness of $13.4 X_0$ is placed inside the solenoid [5]. The luminosity is measured using events of Bhabha scattering at large angles [6].

Physics program of the CMD-3 experiment includes the study of the multi-hadron production. The cross section measurement of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ process in $E_{c.m.} = 1.5 - 2.0 \text{ GeV}$ has been already published [7]. Preliminary results the study $2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ final state has been reported [8].

The study of intermediate states which lead to $2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ final state is essential to correctly describe the angular correlations between the particles and determine the registration efficiency of the process under study. As it was reported at [9] the intermediate states $\omega(782)3\pi$, $\omega(782)\eta(545)$ and $\rho(770)(4\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}$ allow satisfactorily describe mass and angular distributions of the $2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ production in $E_{c.m.} = 1.5 - 1.7 \text{ GeV}$.

For higher $E_{c.m.}$ it was found possible to describe $\eta(545)$ signal, seen in three-pion mass distribution of the $2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$, by the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow a_0(980)\rho(770)$ with dominant decay of $a_0(980)$ into $\eta(545)\pi$ as it is described in [10]. The primary Monte-Carlo generator for the process has been created out and implemented into the CMD-3 experiment Monte-Carlo simulation package. Using the generator the signal of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow a_0(980)\rho(770)$ process has been observed in the experimental data for $2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ final state.

In the present study, it was made an attempt to describe 2π - 3π and 4π mass distributions as well as angular correlations for $2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ final state at $E_{c.m.} > 1.8 \text{ GeV}$ by contributions of $\omega(782)3\pi$, $a_0(980)\rho(770)$ and $f_0(1370)\rho(770)$ intermediate states. Two decay channels of $f_0(1370)$ have been studied $f_0 \rightarrow \rho^+(770)\rho^-(770)$ and $f_0(1370) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$. It was found that process $e^+e^- \rightarrow f_0(1370)\rho^0(770)$ with subsequent decay $f_0 \rightarrow \rho^+(770)\rho^-(770)$ reasonably describe both masses and angular distributions of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ process

at $E_{c.m.} = 2.0$ GeV. And we could not described masses and angular distributions of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ process at $E_{c.m.} = 1.8$ GeV by neither $f_0(1370) \rightarrow \rho^+(770)\rho^-(770)$ nor by $f_0(1370) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$ decays.

References

- [1] V.V. Danilov *et al.*, Proceedings of EPAC96, Barcelona, p.1593 (1996).
I.A. Koop, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **181-182**, 371 (2008).
- [2] B.I. Khazin, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **181-182**, 376 (2008).
- [3] F. Grancagnolo *et al.*, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A **623**, 114 (2010).
- [4] A.V. Anisyonkov *et al.*, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A **598**, 266 (2009)
- [5] D. Epifanov (CMD-3 Collaboration), J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **293**, 012009 (2011).
- [6] R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **225-227**, 69 (2012).
- [7] R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, Phys.Lett. B**723** 82 (2013).
- [8] P.A. Lukin *et al.*, EPJ Web Conf. **81** 02010 (2014).
- [9] J.J. van der Bij *et al.*, arXiv:1406.4639 [hep-ph].
- [10] C.M. Carloni, *et al.*, arXiv:1412.7714 [hep-ph].

2.5 Event Generators at Belle II

T. Ferber

DESY, D-22607 Hamburg, Germany

The next generation B–factory Belle II at the upgraded KEKB accelerator, SuperKEKB, is aiming to start data taking in 2017. The broad physics program covers e.g. physics with B and D mesons, μ and τ leptons as well as measurements using the method of radiative returns and direct searches for new physics. The expected dataset will exceed the one collected by the predecessor Belle by a factor of 50 and imposes high precision requirements on the used event generators and requires a flexible and powerful software framework. The bulk of the data will be collected at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance, but it is planned to collect sizable data sets also off–resonance and at energies around the narrow resonances $\Upsilon(1S)$, $\Upsilon(2S)$ and $\Upsilon(3S)$.

Belle II uses a single software framework, basf2 [1, 2], for all data processing tasks which runs on standard Linux systems. It is based on a user–defined chain of individual modules where each subsequent module can read data from the preceding modules from a so-called data store. Event generators usually serve as specialized modules that provide four vectors to be fed into the subsequent GEANT4 based detector simulation as well as precision cross section calculations used for normalization. If available from the generator, all mother–daughter relations of both unstable particles and radiative photons are stored and used in Monte Carlo truth matching during analysis. FORTRAN–based generators are interfaced using extern “C” functions where the user inputs and generator outputs are provided as FORTRAN common blocks and global C/C++ extern structs of the same name. All generators use a random generator provided by the basf2 framework. The original input interfaces are replaced by Python steering scripts that provide access to the generator options in a uniform and user–friendly way.

The available physics generators include BABAYAGA.NLO [3], BHWIDE [4], KKMC4.19 [5, 6], PHOKHARA9.1b [7], KORALW1.51 [8], AAFH [9], BBREM¹ and EvtGen [11]. TAUOLA [12] and PHOTOS [13] are used by EvtGen and KKMC to handle τ decays and radiative corrections in decays. MadEvent [14] is used to simulate New Physics processes. Light quark continuum is modeled using KKMC (hard interaction), PYTHIA8 (fragmentation) [15] and EvtGen (decays). Standardized HepEvt or Les Houches event (LHE) format can be read by dedicated input modules. The data from the data store can be obtained at any stage of the module–chain in ROOT or HepEvt file format.

In conclusion, all basic event generators are available in basf2 and ready for physics and trigger studies. Future projects will focus on the precision validation and improvements needed to match the demanding precision requirements for luminosity measurements and

¹C++ implementation based on the original FORTRAN code [10].

low multiplicity physics especially at the narrow resonances. A semi-automatic framework to check EvtGen models is under development. Work has started to use the Belle datasets taken off-resonance and at the $\Upsilon(1S)$ to tune PYTHIA8 within the basf2 framework.

References

- [1] D.Y. Kim, To appear in proceedings of the 37th International Conference on High Energy Physics (ICHEP 2014).
- [2] T. Schlueter, To appear in proceedings of the 23rd International Workshop on Vertex Detectors (VERTEX 2014).
- [3] G. Balossini et al., Nucl. Phys. B758, 227-253, 2006.
- [4] S. Jadach et al., Phys. Lett. B390 (1997) 298.
- [5] S. Jadach et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 130 (2000) 260.
- [6] S. Jadach et al., Phys. Rev. D63 (2001) 113009.
- [7] H. Czyz, JHEP 1308 (2013) 110.
- [8] S. Jadach et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 140 (2001) 475
- [9] F. A. Berends et al., Nucl. Phys. 40, B253, 441-463 (1985).
- [10] R. Kleiss et al., Comput.Phys.Commun. 81 (1994) 372-380.
- [11] D. J. Lange, Nucl.Instrum.Meth. A462 (2001) 152-155.
- [12] <http://tauolapp.web.cern.ch/tauolapp/>
- [13] <http://photospp.web.cern.ch/photospp/>
- [14] <http://http://madgraph.hep.uiuc.edu/>
- [15] T. Sjstrand, S. Mrenna and P. Skands, JHEP05 (2006) 026, Comput. Phys. Comm. 178 (2008) 852.

2.6 Heavy quark masses form QCD Sum Rules

P. Masjuan

PRISMA Cluster of Excellence, Institut für Kernphysik and Helmholtz Institut Mainz, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, D-55099 Mainz, Germany

Heavy quark masses are interesting not only for being fundamental parameters not predictable within the Standard Model (SM), but for their implications on many phenomenological scenarios as well. Being a free parameter in the SM, their values should be extracted from experimental information which already demands an important synergy between both experiment and theory. Beyond that, the heavy quark mass enters into decays, from Higgs (where the mass enters squared) to B decays (where enters with the 5th exponential). For precision physics, an accurate knowledge of that important input is mandatory, specially if one foresees Higgs branching ratios at the per mil level.

From the different methods to extract the quark mass from experimental data, we follow in Ref. [1] the Sum Rule's one [2, 3, 4, 5]. The method relates, thanks to the optical theorem, the transverse part of the correlator of two heavy-quark vector currents denoted by $\hat{\Pi}_q(t)$ —where the caret indicates $\overline{\text{MS}}$ subtraction— with integrals over the measured ratio R , the ratio between the $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$ over the $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$.

The $\hat{\Pi}_q(t)$ can be calculated order by order within perturbative QCD as an expansion of the strong coupling $\alpha(s)$, and obeys the subtracted dispersion relation [6]

$$12\pi^2 \frac{\hat{\Pi}_q(0) - \hat{\Pi}_q(-t)}{t} = \int_{4m_q^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s} \frac{R_q(s)}{s+t}, \quad (1)$$

where $R_q(s) = 12\pi \text{Im} \hat{\Pi}_q(s)$, and m_q is the mass of the heavy quark that we want to determine. In the limit $t \rightarrow 0$, Eq. (1) coincides with the first moment, \mathcal{M}_1 , of $\hat{\Pi}_q(t)$. In general, there is a sum rule for each higher moment as well [2, 3, 4, 5]:

$$\mathcal{M}_n := \frac{12\pi^2}{n!} \left. \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \hat{\Pi}_q(t) \right|_{t=0} = \int_{4m_q^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s^{n+1}} R_q(s). \quad (2)$$

Taking the opposite limit, i.e. $t \rightarrow \infty$, of Eq. (1) after multiplying with t and with a proper regularization, one can define a sum rule for the 0^{th} moment [6]. At a given, fixed order of pQCD, the required regularization can be obtained by subtracting the zero-mass limit of R_q , which we denote $3Q_q^2 \lambda_1^q(s)$ with Q_q the quark charge. $\lambda_1^q(s)$ is known up to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$ [7].

By the optical theorem, R_q can be related to the measurable cross section for heavy-quark production in e^+e^- annihilation. We assume that below the threshold, the cross section is determined by a small number of narrow Breit-Wigner resonances [2]

$$R_q^{\text{Res}}(s) = \frac{9\pi}{\alpha_{\text{em}}^2(M_R)} M_R \Gamma_R^e \delta(s - M_R^2). \quad (3)$$

The masses M_R and electronic widths Γ_R^e of the resonances are collected from the PDG [8] and $\alpha_{\text{em}}(M_R)$ is the running fine structure constant at the resonance. Then, $R_q(s) = R_q^{\text{Res}}(s) + R_q^{\text{cont}}(s)$, with $R_q^{\text{cont}}(s)$ accounting for the continuum production region.

Invoking global quark-hadron duality, we also assume that continuum production can be described on average by the simple ansatz [6] (which coincides with the reconstruction of the correlator at the given order [9, 10, 11])

$$R_q^{\text{cont}}(s) = 3Q_q^2 \lambda_1^q(s) \sqrt{1 - \frac{4\hat{m}_q^2(2M)}{s'}} \left[1 + \lambda_3^q \frac{2\hat{m}_q^2(2M)}{s'} \right] \quad (4)$$

where $s' = s + 4(\hat{m}_q^2(2M) - M^2)$ and \hat{m}_q is the running $\overline{\text{MS}}$ heavy-quark mass, evaluated at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$ [12] at the scale $2M$, M taken as mass of the lightest pseudoscalar heavy meson. λ_3^q is a constant to be determined. $R_q^{\text{cont}}(s)$ interpolates smoothly between the threshold and the onset of open heavy-quark pair production. It coincides asymptotically with the prediction of pQCD for massive quarks. Two parameters are free to be determine after fits to the experimental data by BES [13, 14, 15, 16, 17], and CLEO [18] on the open charm region: λ_3 and \hat{m}_q , for which two different sum rules will be used, the *0th* and the *2nd*. In Ref. [1], not only the central values of the heavy masses are given, but also an exhaustive scrutiny of the many error sources is also presented.

In this talk, we presented our preliminary results on the study of the charm quark mass value within the framework of QCD Sum Rules at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$. Our final numbers are still preliminary and are not reported in the present discussion. We profit from the discussion on our results with our experimental colleagues present in this workshop. We are thankful to the organizers for providing such an excellent environment for that kind of interactions.

References

- [1] J. Erler, P. Masjuan, and H. Spiesberger, *in Preparation*.
- [2] V. A. Novikov et al. *Phys. Rept.*, 41:1–133, 1978.
- [3] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov. *Nucl. Phys.*, B147:385–447, 1979.
- [4] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov. *Nucl. Phys.*, B147:448–518, 1979.
- [5] J. H. Kuhn, M. Steinhauser, and C. Sturm. *Nucl. Phys.*, B778:192–215, 2007.
- [6] J. Erler and M.-X. Luo. *Phys. Lett.*, B558:125–131, 2003.
- [7] K. G. Chetyrkin, R. V. Harlander, and J. H. Kuhn. Quartic mass corrections to R(had) at order $\alpha^3(s)$. *Nucl. Phys.*, B586:56–72, 2000.
- [8] K. A. Olive et al. *Chin. Phys.*, C38:090001, 2014.
- [9] Y. Kiyo, A. Maier, P. Maierhofer, and P. Marquard. *Nucl. Phys.*, B823:269–287, 2009.
- [10] A. H. Hoang, V. Mateu, and S. Mohammad Zebarjad. *Nucl. Phys.*, B813:349–369, 2009.

- [11] D. Greynat, P. Masjuan and S. Peris, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 054008 [arXiv:1104.3425 [hep-ph]].
- [12] J. A. M. Vermaseren, S. A. Larin, and T. van Ritbergen. *Phys. Lett.*, B405:327–333, 1997.
- [13] J. Z. Bai et al. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 84:594–597, 2000.
- [14] J. Z. Bai et al. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 88:101802, 2002.
- [15] M. Ablikim, J. Z. Bai, Y. Ban, J. G. Bian, X. Cai, et al. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 97:262001, 2006.
- [16] M. Ablikim et al. *Phys. Lett.*, B641:145–155, 2006.
- [17] M. Ablikim et al. *Phys. Lett.*, B677:239–245, 2009.
- [18] D. Cronin-Hennessy et al. *Phys. Rev.*, D80:072001, 2009.

2.7 Current status of `carlomat_3.0`, an automatic tool for low energetic electron-positron annihilation into hadrons

K. Kołodziej

Institute of Physics, University of Silesia, ul. Uniwersytecka 4, PL-40 007 Katowice, Poland

In the energy range below the J/ψ threshold, processes of e^+e^- -annihilation to hadrons cannot be described in the framework of perturbative QCD. The scalar electrodynamics (sQED) which was implemented in `carlomat_2.0` [1] does not describe them in a satisfactory manner either. The theoretical frameworks usually used for the description of such processes are the Resonance Chiral Theory ($R\chi T$) or Hidden Local Symmetry (HLS) model which were proven to be equivalent in this context [2]. Both the $R\chi T$ and HLS model involve, among others, the photon–vector meson mixing and a substantial number of vertices of a rather complicated Lorentz tensor structure that is present neither in the Standard Model (SM) nor sQED. Even at low energies, the final state of $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons may consist of several particles, such as pions, kaons, or nucleons with one or more photons, or light fermion pairs such as e^+e^- , or $\mu^+\mu^-$ receive contribution of a big number of the Feynman diagrams. A new version of a program `carlomat` [3], tagged with index 3.0 [4], is just dedicated to the description of such multiparticle processes involving hadrons in a fully automatic way.

Implementation of the photon–vector meson mixing required substantial modification of the code generation part of `carlomat`. Further changes in that part of the program were needed in order to incorporate calls to new subroutines for computation of the helicity amplitudes of the building blocks and complete Feynman diagrams which contain new interaction vertices and mixing terms. Moreover, a number of new subroutines for computation of the helicity amplitudes involving the Feynman interaction vertices of the $R\chi T$ or HLS model [5] with the Lorentz tensor structures, which are not present either in the SM or in the effective models implemented in the former version of the program, were written and many subroutines have been modified in order to incorporate the q^2 -dependent couplings and vector meson widths.

In order to give the user a better control of the implemented effective models, a number of new options have been introduced in the Monte Carlo computation part of the program. They include a possibility of choosing different formulae for the q^2 -dependent couplings, where the four momentum transfer q is determined automatically at the stage of code generation from the four momentum conservation in the corresponding interaction vertex, or for the s -dependent widths. It is also possible to modify weights and phases of each of the photon–vector meson mixing terms implemented in the program, which should help to find out the dominant production mechanisms of different hadronic channels. Another important option offers a possibility to test the electromagnetic gauge invariance for processes involving one or more photons.

`carlomat_3.0` can be downloaded from CPC Program Library or from the web page: <http://kk.us.edu.pl/carlomat.html>.

Acknowledgement: This project was supported in part with financial resources of the

Polish National Science Centre (NCN) under grant decision No. DEC-2011/03/B/ST6/01615. The author is grateful to Fred Jegerlehner for providing the Feynman rules of the HLS model.

References

- [1] K. Kołodziej, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **185** (2014) 323, [arXiv:1305.5096].
- [2] G. Ecker, J. Gasser, H. Leutwyler, A. Pich, E. de Rafael, *Phys. Lett. B* 223 (1989) 425.
- [3] K. Kołodziej, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **180** (2009) 1671, [arXiv:0903.3334].
- [4] K. Kołodziej, `carlomat_3.0`, an automatic tool for the electron–positron annihilation into hadrons at low energies, *Computer Physics Communications* (2015), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2015.06.013> [arXiv:1504.05915 [hep-ph]].
- [5] M. Benayoun, P. David, L. DelBuono, F. Jegerlehner, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 72 (2012) 1848 [arXiv:1106.1315].

2.8 A first glance towards a dispersive formalism for $\gamma^*\gamma \rightarrow \pi\pi$

P. Masjuan

PRISMA Cluster of Excellence, Institut für Kernphysik and Helmholtz Institut Mainz, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, D-55099 Mainz, Germany

We present a first step towards a dispersive formalism for the $\gamma^*\gamma \rightarrow \pi\pi$ process. This process is not only interesting by its own, as it will be measured for both one- and two-virtual photons in BESIII and Belle with high precision and encodes at once several interesting theoretical aspects (gauge invariance, final-state interactions, form factors), but also for its potential relation to the hadronic light-by-light scattering (see [1, 2, 3] and references therein) and the generalized pion polarizabilities.

Our goal is to provide a friendly useful MonteCarlo parameterization based on dispersion relations [4, 5, 6, 7, 8] while keeping its essential ingredients [9]. This demands identifying the crucial pieces of information that allow for a reliable, albeit not complete, description of the current [10, 11] and forthcoming data at BESIII. The analysis of the recent works [6, 7, 8], and taking into account only the $\pi\pi$ channel (neglecting then any inelasticity up to almost 1 GeV), suggests to neglect the $K\bar{K}$ channel, while keeping left-hand cut contributions beyond the one-pion exchange in a manageable way [12].

With this ingredients at hand, we proceed to describe an unsubtracted dispersion relation (DR) as in Ref. [5] but with both S- and D-waves unitarized using, thanks to the Fermi-Watson theorem, the corresponding $\pi\pi$ phase-shifts, solutions of which are taken from Ref. [13] for both isospin $I = 0, 2$ (the only accessible with two incoming photons). Since, however, we want to consider the elastic $\pi\pi$ channel only, we should modify the phase shift around the KK threshold for emulating, without employing a coupled channel formalism, the amplitude's phase. The coupled channel is known [6, 8] but difficult to implement with virtual photons [12], and hard to simplify for a user friendly MonteCarlo generator. For that we use the proposal of Ref. [12] to define a piecewise function. The high energy behavior of the phase-shift, beyond the parameterization provided in [13], is matched to the Regge behavior [8] using the latest Regge analysis of the PDG data [14].

Virtual photons imply extending the DR for $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi\pi$, which has two independent helicity amplitudes, to three (one-virtual photon) and five (two-virtual photons) independent amplitudes. For the one-virtual photon discussed in this talk, the new amplitude yields an enhancement on the cross section around the threshold of the same order as the transversal amplitudes. Such effect helps to compensate the decrease of the cross section due to the flux factor suppression in presence of photon virtualities together with the $\gamma - \pi^0$ form factor (and the soft-photon limits [12]).

As we just said, as an ingredient we need the coupling of the virtual photon with the pion (given by the vector form factor). We use a data driven parameterization specially suited for low energies from Ref. [15]. Around the $f_2(1275)$ tensor resonance, which shows up in the the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi\pi$ process around 1.3GeV, the amplitude can be worked out with the help of a Breit-Wigner model for the f_2 [5], or using the appropriate $\pi\pi$ phase-shifts

together with the left-hand-cuts of the amplitudes (i.e, vector and axial-vector contributions in the t -channel exchange). Even though we are concerned with the low-energy sector of the process, we also include the effects of the f_2 in our formalism since they spread all over the cross section. Within the former approach, the f_2 coupling to the virtual photon can be parameterized in terms of vector meson dominance ideas [16], using the η and η' form factors [17]. For the later, the coupling of the virtual vector with the pion and the (left-hand) vector/axial-vector, in terms of meson dominance [16, 12]. For a photon virtuality around $Q^2 = 0.5 \text{ GeV}^2$, the typical intensity of the integrated cross section is of around 30 nb [9], measurable at BESIII.

References

- [1] P. Masjuan, Nucl. Part. Phys. Proc. **260** (2015) 111 [arXiv:1411.6397 [hep-ph]].
- [2] P. Adlarson *et al.*, arXiv:1412.5451 [nucl-ex].
- [3] M. Benayoun *et al.*, arXiv:1407.4021 [hep-ph].
- [4] D. Morgan and M.R. Pennington, Z. Phys. **C37** (1988) 431; D. Morgan and M.R. Pennington, Z. Phys. **C48** (1990) 623.
- [5] D. Drechsel, M. Gorchtein, B. Pasquini and M. Vanderhaeghen, Phys. Rev. C **61** (1999) 015204 [hep-ph/9904290].
- [6] R. Garcia-Martin and B. Moussallam, Eur. Phys. J. C **70** (2010) 155 [arXiv:1006.5373 [hep-ph]].
- [7] M. Hoferichter, D. R. Phillips and C. Schat, Eur. Phys. J. C **71** (2011) 1743 [arXiv:1106.4147 [hep-ph]].
- [8] L. -Y. Dai and M. R. Pennington, arXiv:1404.7524 [hep-ph].
- [9] P. Masjuan, P. Sanchez-Puertas, and M. Vanderhaeghen, *in Preparation*.
- [10] T. Mori *et al.* [Belle], Phys. Rev. **D75** (2007) 051101, arXiv:0610038 [hep-ex], J. Phys. Soc. Jap. **76** (2007) 074102, arXiv:0704.3538 [hep-ph].
- [11] K. Abe *et al.* [Belle], arXiv:0711.1926 [hep-ex]; S. Uehara *et al.* [Belle], Phys. Rev. **D78** (2008) 052004, arXiv:0805.3387 [hep-ex]; S. Uehara *et al.* [Belle], Phys. Rev. **D79** (2009) 052009, arXiv:0903.3697 [hep-ex].
- [12] B. Moussallam, Eur. Phys. J. C **73** (2013) 2539 [arXiv:1305.3143 [hep-ph]].
- [13] R. Garcia-Martin, R. Kaminski, J. R. Pelaez, J. Ruiz de Elvira and F. J. Yndurain, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 074004 [arXiv:1102.2183 [hep-ph]].
- [14] P. Masjuan, E. Ruiz Arriola and W. Broniowski, Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 094006 [arXiv:1203.4782 [hep-ph]].

- [15] P. Masjuan, S. Peris and J. J. Sanz-Cillero, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 074028 (2008) [arXiv:0807.4893 [hep-ph]].
- [16] P. Masjuan, E. Ruiz Arriola and W. Broniowski, Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) 1, 014005 [arXiv:1210.0760 [hep-ph]].
- [17] R. Escribano, P. Masjuan and P. Sanchez-Puertas, Phys. Rev. D **89** (2014) 034014 [arXiv:1307.2061 [hep-ph]];

2.9 Current status of two and three pion decay modes within TAUOLA

O. Shekhovtsova

Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN ul. Radzikowskiego 152 31-342 Krakow, Poland
Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology 61108, Akademicheskaya,1, Kharkov, Ukraine

Since 90' years Tauola is the main Monte Carlo generator to simulate tau-lepton decays [1]. It has been used by the collaborations Aleph [2], Cleo [3], at both B-factories (BaBar [4] and Belle [5]) as well at LHC [6] experiments for tau decay data analysis. In view of the forthcoming Belle-II project [7] it is important to revise the Tauola context in details. In this notes we discuss the status of the predominant hadronic tau-lepton decay modes: two pion ($Br \simeq 25.52\%$) and three pion ($Br \simeq 18.67\%$) decay channels.

In the general case, a hadronic current of two-meson tau-lepton decay mode depends on both vector and scalar form factors. However, in the isospin symmetry limit, $m_{\pi^-} = m_{\pi^0}$, the scalar form factor vanishes for two-pion decay mode and the current is described by the vector form-factor only. Currently Tauola has four parameterizations for the vector form-factor:

- Kuhn-Santamaria (KS) parametrization [8];
- Gounaris-Sakurai (GS) parametrization used by Belle [5], Aleph and Cleo collaborations;
- parametrization based on the Resonance Chiral Lagrangian (RChL) [9];
- combined parametrization (combRChL) that applies dispersive approximation at low energy and modified RChL result at high energy [10].

In all cases, except for the RChL parametrization, the pion form factor is given by interfering amplitudes from the known isovector meson resonances $\rho(770)$, $\rho'(1450)$ and $\rho''(1700)$ with relative strengths 1, β and γ . Although one could expect from the quark model that β and γ are real, their phases are left free in the fits. In the case of the RChL parametrization only the $\rho(700)$ and $\rho'(1450)$ contributions are included, with the relative ρ' strength being a real parameter. For the energy-dependence of $\rho(770)$ two-pion and two kaon loop contributions are included for RChL and combRChL parameterizations, whereas in the case of KS and GS the ρ width is approximated by the two pion loops only. The ρ' and ρ'' widths include two-pion loops only for all parameterizations.

Results of the fit to the Belle data [5] are presented in Fig. 1. The best fit is within the GS pion form-factor and the worst one is within the RChL one. To check the influence of the $\rho''(1700)$ on the RChL result, the ρ'' resonance has been included in the same way as it was done for ρ' ; however, this inclusion has not improved the result. Therefore, we conclude that missing loop contributions could be responsible for the disagreement and that




Figure 1: The pion form factor fit to Belle data [5]: the GS parametrization (left panel), the RChL parametrization (at centre), right panel is with the combRChL parametrization (right panel).

the phases of β and γ might mimic the missing loop contribution. This idea will be checked by adding, first, a four-pion loop contributions.

When the τ lepton decays into three hadrons and a neutrino, the predominant decay mode involves three pions. In the general case Lorentz invariance determines the decomposition of the hadronic current for a three hadron final state in terms of five Lorentz invariant structures [11] multiplied by hadronic form factors. Among the three hadronic form factors which correspond to the axial-vector part of the hadronic tensor, only two are independent. In Tauola the following three pion form factors are available

- CPC version [1], that includes only the dominant $a_1 \rightarrow \rho\pi$ mechanism production
- Cleo parametrization with equal currents for $\pi^0\pi^0\pi^-$ and $\pi^-\pi^-\pi^+$ modes based on [3]
- Cleo parametrization with the $\pi^0\pi^0\pi^-$ current [3] and the $\pi^-\pi^-\pi^+$ current from the unpublished Cleo analysis [12] (the currents coincide for vector and axial-vector intermediate states and are not equal when the scalar and tensor resonances are included)
- modified RChL parametrization [13]

The Cleo parametrization results have not yet been compared with the BaBar preliminary data [4] and it will be a task of future work. Whereas two- and three-pion spectra calculated on the base of the modified RChL parametrization have been fitted to the BaBar preliminary results. Discrepancy between theoretical spectra and experimental data can be explained by missing resonances in the model, such as the axial-vector resonance $a_1'(1600)$, the scalar resonance $f_0(980)$ and the tensor resonance $f_2(1270)$. Inclusion of these resonances in the RChL framework will be a future task.

Comparison of the $\pi^-\pi^-\pi^+$ current in the framework of the modified RChL with the ChPT result has demonstrated that the scalar resonance contribution has to be corrected to reproduce the low energy ChPT limit. The corresponding calculation is under work. The same type of comparison has to be done for both version of the Cleo $\pi^-\pi^-\pi^+$ currents described above.

This research was supported in part from funds of Foundation of Polish Science grant POMOST/2013-7/12, that is co-financed from European Union, Regional Development Fund, and by Polish National Science Centre under decisions DEC-2011/03/B/ST2/00107.

References

- [1] S. Jadach, Z. Was, R. Decker and J. H. Kuhn, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **76** (1993) 361.
- [2] D. Buskulic *et al.* [ALEPH Collaboration], *Z. Phys. C* **70** (1996) 579.
- [3] D. M. Asner *et al.* [CLEO Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. D* **61** (2000) 012002 [hep-ex/9902022].
- [4] I. M. Nugent [BaBar Collaboration], *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **253-255** (2014) 38 [arXiv:1301.7105 [hep-ex]].
- [5] M. Fujikawa *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 072006 [arXiv:0805.3773 [hep-ex]].
- [6] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], *Phys. Lett. B* **716** (2012) 1 [arXiv:1207.7214 [hep-ex]].
- [7] T. Abe *et al.* [Belle-II Collaboration], arXiv:1011.0352 [physics.ins-det].
- [8] J. H. Kuhn and A. Santamaria, *Z. Phys. C* **48** (1990) 445.
- [9] J. J. Sanz-Cillero and A. Pich, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **27** (2003) 587 [hep-ph/0208199].
- [10] D. Gmez Dumm and P. Roig, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 8, 2528 [arXiv:1301.6973 [hep-ph]].
- [11] O. Shekhovtsova, T. Przedzinski, P. Roig and Z. Was, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 113008 [arXiv:1203.3955 [hep-ph]].
- [12] E. I. Shibata [CLEO Collaboration], eConf C **0209101** (2002) TU05 [Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **123** (2003) 40] [hep-ex/0210039].
- [13] I. M. Nugent, T. Przedzinski, P. Roig, O. Shekhovtsova and Z. Was, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013) 9, 093012 [arXiv:1310.1053 [hep-ph]].

2.10 A new approach to evaluate the leading hadronic corrections to the muon $g-2$

C. M. Carloni Calame¹, M. Passera², L. Trentadue³, G. Venanzoni⁴

¹ Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

² INFN, Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy

³ Dipartimento di Fisica e Scienze della Terra “M. Melloni”

Università di Parma, Parma, Italy and

INFN, Sezione di Milano Bicocca, Milano, Italy

⁴ Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati dell’INFN, 00044 Frascati, Italy

The long-standing discrepancy between experiment and the Standard Model (SM) prediction of a_μ , the muon anomalous magnetic moment, has kept the hadronic corrections under close scrutiny for several years [1, 2, 3, 4]. In fact, the hadronic uncertainty dominates that of the SM value and is comparable with the experimental one. When the new results from the $g-2$ experiments at Fermilab and J-PARC will reach the unprecedented precision of 0.14 parts per million (or better) [5, 6, 7], the uncertainty of the hadronic corrections will become the main limitation of this formidable test of the SM.

An intense research program is under way to improve the evaluation of the leading order (LO) hadronic contribution to a_μ , due to the hadronic vacuum polarization correction to the one-loop diagram [8, 9], as well as the next-to-leading order (NLO) hadronic one. The latter is further divided into the $O(\alpha^3)$ contribution of diagrams containing hadronic vacuum polarization insertions [10], and the leading hadronic light-by-light term, also of $O(\alpha^3)$ [2, 11, 12]. Very recently, even the next-to-next-to leading order (NNLO) hadronic contributions have been studied: insertions of hadronic vacuum polarizations were computed in [13], while hadronic light-by-light corrections have been estimated in [14].

The evaluation of the hadronic LO contribution a_μ^{HLO} involves long-distance QCD for which perturbation theory cannot be employed. However, using analyticity and unitarity, it was shown long ago that this term can be computed via a dispersion integral using the cross section for low-energy hadronic e^+e^- annihilation [15]. At low energy this cross-section is highly fluctuating due to resonances and particle production threshold effects.

An alternative determination of a_μ^{HLO} can be obtained measuring the effective electromagnetic coupling in the space-like region extracted from Bhabha ($e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$) scattering data [16]. As vacuum polarization in the space-like region is a smooth function of the squared momentum transfer, the accuracy of its determination is only limited by the statistics and by the control of the systematics of the experiment. Also, as at flavor factories the Bhabha cross section is strongly enhanced in the forward region, we will argue that a space-like determination of a_μ^{HLO} may not be limited by statistics and, although challenging, may become competitive with standard results obtained with the dispersive approach via time-like data.

References

- [1] G.W. Bennett *et al.* [Muon $g-2$ Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006) 072003.

- [2] F. Jegerlehner, A. Nyffeler, Phys. Rept. **477** (2009) 1.
- [3] T. Blum *et al.*, arXiv:1311.2198 [hep-ph]; K. Melnikov, A. Vainshtein, Springer Tracts Mod. Phys. **216** (2006) 1; M. Davier, W.J. Marciano, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **54** (2004) 115; M. Passera, J. Phys. G **31** (2005) R75; M. Knecht, Lect. Notes Phys. **629** (2004) 37.
- [4] F. Jegerlehner, “The anomalous magnetic moment of the muon,” Springer Tracts Mod. Phys. **226**, 2008.
- [5] J. Grange *et al.* [Muon g-2 Collaboration], arXiv:1501.06858 [physics.ins-det].
- [6] G. Venanzoni [Muon g-2 Collaboration], arXiv:1411.2555 [physics.ins-det].
- [7] N. Saito [J-PARC g-2/EDM Collaboration], AIP Conf. Proc. **1467** (2012) 45.
- [8] G. Venanzoni, Nuovo Cim. C **037** (2014) 02, 165; G. Venanzoni, Frascati Phys. Ser. **54** (2012) 52.
- [9] G.V. Fedotovitch [CMD-2 Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **181-182** (2008) 146.
- [10] B. Krause, Phys. Lett. B **390** (1997) 392.
- [11] M. Knecht, A. Nyffeler, Phys. Rev. D **65** (2002) 073034; K. Melnikov, A. Vainshtein, Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) 113006; J. Prades, E. de Rafael, A. Vainshtein, arXiv:0901.0306 [hep-ph].
- [12] G. Colangelo, M. Hoferichter, M. Procura, P. Stoffer, JHEP **1409** (2014) 091; G. Colangelo, M. Hoferichter, B. Kubis, M. Procura, P. Stoffer, Phys. Lett. B **738** (2014) 6; V. Pauk, M. Vanderhaeghen, Phys. Rev. D **90** (2014) 11, 113012; T. Blum, S. Chowdhury, M. Hayakawa, T. Izubuchi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114** (2015) 1, 012001.
- [13] A. Kurz, T. Liu, P. Marquard, M. Steinhauser, Phys. Lett. B **734** (2014) 144.
- [14] G. Colangelo, M. Hoferichter, A. Nyffeler, M. Passera, P. Stoffer, Phys. Lett. B **735** (2014) 90.
- [15] C. Bouchiat, L. Michel, J. Phys. Radium **22** (1961) 121; L. Durand, Phys. Rev. **128** (1962) 441 [Erratum-ibid. **129** (1963) 2835]; M. Gourdin, E. De Rafael, Nucl. Phys. B **10** (1969) 667.
- [16] C. M. Carloni Calame, M. Passera, L. Trentadue and G. Venanzoni, Phys. Lett. B **746** (2015) 325 [arXiv:1504.02228 [hep-ph]].

3 List of participants

- Carlo M. Carloni Calame, University of Pavia, `carlo.carloni.calame@pv.infn.it`
- Francesca Curciarello, University of Messina, `fcurciarello@unime.it`
- Henryk Czyż, University of Silesia, `henryk.czyz@us.edu.pl`
- Veronica de Leo, INFN Sezione de Roma 3, `veronica.deleo@roma3.infn.it`
- Simon Eidelman, BINP, Novosibirsk State University, `eidelman@mail.cern.ch`
- Torben Ferber, DESY, `torben.ferber@desy.de`
- Andreas Hafner, `hafner@slac.stanford.edu`
- Wolfgang Kluge, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, `wolfgang.kluge@partner.kit.edu`
- Karol Kołodziej, University of Silesia, `karol.kolodziej@us.edu.pl`
- Andrzej Kupsc, University of Uppsala, `Andrzej.Kupsc@physics.uu.se`
- Peter Lukin, BINP and NSU, `P.A.Lukin@inp.nsk.su`
- Giuseppe Mandaglio, University of Messina, `gmandaglio@unime.it`
- Pere Masjuan, Universtät Mainz, `masjuan@kph.uni-mainz.de`
- Federico Nguyen, ENEA - Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, `federico.nguyen@enea.it`
- Benjamin Oberhof, LNF, `benjamin.oberhof@lnf.infn.it`
- Chirsoph Florian Redmer, Universtät Mainz, `redmer@kph.uni-mainz.de`
- Olga Shekhovtsova, IFJ PAN, `Olga.Shekhovtsova@lnf.infn.it`
- Graziano Venanzoni, LNF, `Graziano.Venanzoni@lnf.infn.it`
- Ping Wang, Institute of High-energy Physics, Beijing, `wangp@ihep.ac.cn`