

EXTREMAL RESULTS ON INTERSECTION GRAPHS OF BOXES IN \mathbb{R}^d

A. MARTÍNEZ-PÉREZ, L. MONTEJANO AND D. OLIVEROS

Dedicated to Tudor Zamfirescu.

ABSTRACT. The main purpose of this paper is to study extremal results on the intersection graphs of boxes in \mathbb{R}^d . We calculate exactly the maximal number of intersecting pairs in a family \mathcal{F} of n boxes in \mathbb{R}^d with the property that no $k + 1$ boxes in \mathcal{F} have a point in common. This allows us to improve the known bounds for the fractional Helly theorem for boxes. We also use the Fox–Gromov–Lafforgue–Naor–Pach results to derive a fractional Erdős–Stone theorem for semi-algebraic graphs in order to obtain a second proof of the fractional Helly theorem for boxes.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

In [1], the authors studied the fractional behavior of the intersection structure of finite families of *axis-parallel boxes*, or *boxes* for short, in \mathbb{R}^d . Their aim was to prove the following statement similar to the Fractional Helly Theorem [4]: “Let \mathcal{F} be a family of n axis-parallel boxes in \mathbb{R}^d and $\alpha \in (1 - 1/d, 1]$ a real number. There exists a real number $\beta(\alpha) > 0$ such that if there are $\alpha \binom{n}{2}$ intersecting pairs in \mathcal{F} , then \mathcal{F} contains an intersecting subfamily of size βn .” A simple example shows that this statement is best possible in the sense that if $\alpha \leq 1 - 1/d$, there may be no point in \mathbb{R}^d that belongs to more than d elements of \mathcal{F} .

A key idea for tackling this problem is the following notion: let $n \geq k \geq d$ and let $T(n, k, d)$ denote the maximal number of intersecting pairs in a family \mathcal{F} of n boxes in \mathbb{R}^d with the property that no $k + 1$ boxes in \mathcal{F} have a point in common. The following bound was also obtained in [1]:

$$T(n, k, d) < \frac{d-1}{2d}n^2 + \frac{2k+d}{2d}n. \quad (1)$$

It is not difficult to determine $T(n, k, d)$ precisely when $d = 1$:

$$T(n, k, 1) = \binom{n}{2} - \binom{n-k+1}{2}. \quad (2)$$

In fact, the graph with n vertices that is the complement of the complete graph with $n - k + 1$ vertices is the extremal graph which is the intersection graph of a collection of $k - 1$ copies of one interval and $n - k + 1$ disjoint intervals on top, and has $T(n, k, 1) = \binom{n}{2} - \binom{n-k+1}{2}$ edges.

The purpose of this paper is to determine $T(n, k, d)$ precisely, and show the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *For every $n \geq k > d \geq 1$,*

$$T(n, k, d) = t(n - k + d, d) + T(n, k - d + 1, 1),$$

where $t(n, m)$ denotes the number of edges of the Turán graph $\mathcal{T}(n, m)$.

For every $n \geq k > d \geq 1$, we shall describe a family \mathcal{F} of n boxes in \mathbb{R}^d with the property that no $k + 1$ boxes in \mathcal{F} have a point in common but the number of intersecting pairs is $t(n - k + d, d) + T(n, k - d + 1, 1)$. In fact, we shall precisely describe an intersection graph of this family which is an extremal graph of this problem.

Corollary 1. *For every $n \geq k > d \geq 1$,*

$$T(n, k, d) \leq \frac{d-1}{2d}n^2 + \left(\frac{k}{d} - 1\right)n + \frac{k}{2}\left(1 - \frac{k}{d}\right) < \frac{d-1}{2d}n^2 + \frac{2k+d}{2d}n,$$

and

$$\left| T(n, k, d) - \left(\frac{d-1}{2d}n^2 + \left(\frac{k}{d} - 1\right)n\right) \right|$$

as function of n is bounded by a constant that only depends on k and d .

This corollary allows us to obtain the best Helly Fractional Theorem for boxes, although if no importance is given to the constants, a very interesting approach, using the work of Fox–Gromov–Lafforgue–Naor–Pach [5] for semi-algebraic graphs [6], is given in Section 4.

2. TECHNICAL PROPOSITIONS

In this section we will give some definitions and basic technical propositions.

For two given integers $n \geq m \geq 1$, the Turán graph $\mathcal{T}(n, m)$ is a complete m -partite graph on n vertices in which the cardinalities of the m vertex classes are as close to each other as possible. Let $t(n, m)$ denote the number of edges of the Turán graph $\mathcal{T}(n, m)$. It is known that $t(n, m) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)\frac{n^2}{2}$, and equality holds if m divides n . In fact,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t(n, m)}{\frac{n^2}{2}} = 1 - \frac{1}{m}. \quad (3)$$

For completeness define $t(n, 1) = 0$. For more information on the properties of Turán graphs see, for example, the book of Diestel [3].

Lemma 1. For $1 \leq r \leq d$,

$$t(d, r) - r \leq t(d, d) - d.$$

Proof. If $d/2 \leq r \leq d$, then the Turán graph $\mathcal{T}(d, r)$ is the complement of the graph with d vertices and $d - r$ pairwise non-intersecting edges. So, since $t(d, d) = \binom{d}{2}$, we have that $t(d, d) - t(d, r) = d - r$.

If $1 \leq r \leq d/2$, then

$$t(d, r) - r \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \frac{d^2}{2} - r \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{d}\right) \frac{d^2}{2} - d. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2. For $1 \leq d \leq n$,

$$t(n + d, d) - t(n, d) = (d - 1)n + \binom{d}{2}.$$

Proof. Simply note that the complete d -partite graph $\mathcal{T}(n + d, d)$ is obtained from the complete d -partite graph $\mathcal{T}(n, d)$ by adding one vertex to every vertex class. \square

Furthermore,

Observation 1. For $n \geq k$ and $d \geq 1$,

$$T(n + d, k, 1) - T(n, k, 1) = d(k - 1).$$

To simplify the notation let us define, for $n \geq k > d \geq 1$,

$$\Psi(n, k, d) = t(n - k + d, d) + T(n, k - d + 1, 1). \quad (4)$$

Observation 2. For every $n \geq k > d \geq 1$,

$$\Psi(n + d, k, d) - \Psi(n, k, d) = (d - 1)n + k + \binom{d}{2} - d.$$

Proof. $\Psi(n + d, k, d) - \Psi(n, k, d) = t((n + d - k) + d, d) - t(n - k + d, d) + T(n + d, k - d + 1, 1) - T(n, k - d + 1, 1)$. So, by Lemma 2 and Observation 1, $\Psi(n + d, k, d) - \Psi(n, k, d) = (d - 1)(n - k + d) + \binom{d}{2} + d(k - d) = (d - 1)n + k + \binom{d}{2} - d$. \square

Proposition 1. For every $k > d \geq 1$ and $0 \leq s < d$,

$$\Psi(k + s, k, d) = t(k + s, k) = \binom{k + s}{2} - s.$$

Proof. By definition, $\Psi(k+s, k, d) = t(s+d, d) + T(k+s, k-d+1, 1) = t(s+d, d) + \binom{k+s}{2} - \binom{s+d}{2}$, but $t(s+d, d)$ is the number of edges of the Turán graph $\mathcal{T}(s+d, d)$ which is the complement of the graph with $s+d$ vertices and s pairwise non-intersecting edges (since $0 \leq s \leq d$). So, $t(s+d, d) = \binom{s+d}{2} - s$. Thus $\Psi(k+s, k, d) = \binom{k+s}{2} - s = t(k+s, k)$. \square

3. THE EXTREMAL RESULT

In this section we will prove our main theorem. We start by proving the following proposition.

Proposition 2. *For $n \geq k > d \geq 1$,*

$$T(n, k, d) \leq \Psi(n, k, d).$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on n . If $n = k+s$ with $0 \leq s < d$, then it is clear that $T(n, k, d) \leq t(n, k)$. Then by Proposition 1, $t(n, k) = \Psi(n, k, d)$ and we have that our proposition is true when $k \leq n < k+d$.

Suppose the proposition is true for $n \geq k$. We shall prove it for $n+d$. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of $n+d$ boxes in \mathbb{R}^d with the property that no $k+1$ boxes in \mathcal{F} have a point in common, $n \geq k$ and $d \geq 1$. Let $G_{\mathcal{F}}$ be the intersection graph of \mathcal{F} .

We shall prove that $|E(G_{\mathcal{F}})| \leq \Psi(n+d, k, d)$.

Let $B \in \mathcal{F}$. Then B is of the form $B = ((a_1(B), b_1(B)) \times \cdots \times (a_d(B), b_d(B)))$. We may assume by standard arguments that all numbers $(a_i(B), b_i(B))$ ($B \in \mathcal{F}$) are distinct.

Next we will define d distinct boxes $B_1, \dots, B_d \in \mathcal{F}$ in the following way. Set

$$c_1 = \min\{b_1(B) : B \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

and define B_1 via $c_1 = b_1(B_1)$. The box B_1 is uniquely determined, as all $b_1(B)$ are distinct numbers. Assume now that $i < d$ and that the numbers c_1, \dots, c_{i-1} , and boxes B_1, \dots, B_{i-1} have been defined. Set

$$c_i = \min\{b_i(B) : B \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{B_1, \dots, B_{i-1}\}\}$$

and define B_i via $c_i = b_i(B_i)$ which, again, is unique.

We partition \mathcal{F} into three parts. First, let $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{B_1, \dots, B_d\}$; second, let \mathcal{F}_1 be the set of all boxes of $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_0$ that intersect every B_i . Third, let $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{F} \setminus (\mathcal{F}_0 \cup \mathcal{F}_1)$.

First note that the intersection graph $\langle \mathcal{F}_1 \rangle$ (the generated subgraph of \mathcal{F}_1) is a complete subgraph of $G_{\mathcal{F}}$ because every box of \mathcal{F}_1 contains the point $(c_1, \dots, c_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Let $S = \{e \in E(G_{\mathcal{F}}) \mid e = \{x, y\}, x \in \mathcal{F}_0\}$. We shall prove that $|S| \leq (d-1)n + k + \binom{d}{2} - d$. For this purpose, observe that $S = E(\langle \mathcal{F}_0 \rangle) \cup E(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1) \cup E(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_2)$.

- 1) $|E(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1)| \leq d|V(\langle F_1 \rangle)|$, because $|V(\langle F_0 \rangle)| = d$.
- 2) $|E(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_2)| \leq (d-1)|V(\langle F_2 \rangle)|$, because a point $v \in \mathcal{F}_2$ can not be adjacent to every point of \mathcal{F}_0 .

Finally, let $r = \omega(\langle F_0 \rangle)$, the clique number of $\langle F_0 \rangle$. Then

- 3) $|E(\langle F_0 \rangle)| \leq t(d, r)$, by the Turan Theorem.

Therefore

$$|S| \leq t(d, r) + d|V(\langle F_1 \rangle)| + (d-1)|V(\langle F_2 \rangle)|.$$

Since $|V(\langle F_2 \rangle)| = n - |V(\langle F_1 \rangle)|$, we have that

$$|S| \leq (d-1)n + (|V(\langle F_1 \rangle)| + r) + t(d, r) - r.$$

Remember that $\langle F_1 \rangle$ is a complete subgraph. Hence, since $r = \omega(\langle F_0 \rangle)$ and the fact that that no $k+1$ boxes in \mathcal{F} have a point in common, we have that $|V(\langle F_1 \rangle)| + r \leq k$, and hence by Lemma 1 that:

$$|S| \leq (d-1)n + k + t(d, d) - d = \Psi(n + d, k, d) - \Psi(n, k, d).$$

The family $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_0$ has n boxes, and no $k+1$ of them have a point in common; hence by induction $|E(\langle \mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_0 \rangle)| \leq \Psi(n, k, d)$. Then $|E(G_{\mathcal{F}})| \leq |S| + |E(\langle \mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_0 \rangle)| \leq \Psi(n + d, k, d)$ as we wish. \square

Proposition 3. For $n \geq k > d \geq 1$,

$$\Psi(n, k, d) \leq T(n, k, d).$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Q}^d = [-1, 1]^d$ be the standard d -dimensional cube in \mathbb{R}^d . For $1 \leq i \leq d$ and $-1 \leq t \leq 1$ let $\mathcal{Q}_{i,t}^d \subset \mathcal{Q}^d$ be a $(d-1)$ -dimensional box defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{i,t}^d = \{x \in \mathcal{Q}^d \mid \text{if } x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d), x_i = t\}.$$

Observe that $\mathcal{Q}_{i,t_k}^d \cap \mathcal{Q}_{i,t_l}^d = \emptyset$ if $t_k \neq t_l$, both parallel to each other and perpendicular to the i -axis.

Next, consider integer numbers q_1, q_2, \dots, q_d such that $q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_d = n - k + d$ and such that $|q_i - q_j| \leq 1$ for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$.

We define $\mathcal{F}_{q_i} := \mathcal{Q}_{i,t_{i_1}}^d \cup \mathcal{Q}_{i,t_{i_2}}^d \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{Q}_{i,t_{i_{q_i}}}^d$ where $t_{i_k} \neq t_{i_j}$ for every $k, j \in \{1, \dots, q_i\}$ and define \mathcal{F}_0 as the union of $k-d$ copies of \mathcal{Q}^d . Then

$$\mathcal{F}_1 := \mathcal{F}_{q_1} \cup \mathcal{F}_{q_2} \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{F}_{q_d}.$$

Observe that \mathcal{F}_1 is a family of $n - k + d$ boxes in \mathbb{R}^d where every element in \mathcal{F}_{q_i} intersects every element on \mathcal{F}_{q_j} if $i \neq j$. Then the intersection graph $G_{\mathcal{F}_1}$ is a complete d -partite graph which is the Turán

graph $\mathcal{T}(n - k + d, d)$, and thus the number of intersecting pairs in \mathcal{F}_1 is $t(n - k + d, d)$. Thus

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_0$$

is a family of n boxes where no $k + 1$ of them have a point in common. Furthermore, every element in \mathcal{F}_0 intersects every element in \mathcal{F}_1 , so the intersection graph of \mathcal{F} , $G_{\mathcal{F}}$ has $t(n - k + d, d) + T(n, k - d + 1, 1)$ edges. \square

We are ready now for our main theorem.

Theorem 2 (Main Theorem). *For every $n \geq k > d \geq 1$,*

$$T(n, k, d) = t(n - k + d, d) + T(n, k - d + 1, 1),$$

and for $n \geq k$, $d \geq 1$ and $k \leq d$,

$$T(n, k, d) = t(n, k).$$

Proof. The first part follows immediately from Propositions 2 and 3. The second part follows from the fact that $k < d$, and that the Turán graph $\mathcal{T}(n, k)$ is the intersection graph of a family of boxes in \mathbb{R}^d . \square

4. SEMI-ALGEBRAIC GRAPHS

Definition. A graph G is *semi-algebraic* if its vertices are represented by a set of points in $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and its edges are defined as pairs of points $(p, q) \in P \times P$ that satisfy a Boolean combination of a fixed number of polynomial equations and inequalities in $2d$ -coordinates. For example, the intersection graph of a finite family of boxes in \mathbb{R}^d is semi-algebraic.

An *equipartition* of a finite set is a partition of the set into subsets whose sizes differ by at most one.

Theorem 3 (Fox–Gromov–Lafforgue–Naor–Pach [5]). *Given $\epsilon > 0$, there is $K(\epsilon)$ such that if $k \geq K(\epsilon)$, the following statement is true. For any n -vertex semi-algebraic graph G , there is an equipartition of the set of vertices $V(G)$ into k classes such that, with the exception of at most a fraction ϵ of all pairs of classes, any two classes are either completely connected in G or no edge of G runs between them.*

As a corollary we obtain a “fractional Erdős–Stone theorem” (see [3]) for the family of semi-algebraic graphs. That is;

Theorem 4. *Given $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\beta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that if G is a semi-algebraic graph with n vertices and more than $(1 - \frac{1}{d} + \epsilon)\frac{n^2}{2}$ edges, G contains a complete $(d + 1)$ -partite subgraph, with each class being almost the same size $\beta(\epsilon)n$ (a Turán graph).*

Proof. Construct a “super-graph” G' whose vertices are the k classes of the partition given by Theorem 3, two classes being joined by an edge of G' if all possible edges between them belong to G . Note that by our assumption, if k is big enough, we can apply Turán’s theorem to the graph G' to conclude that it contains a complete graph of $d + 1$ vertices. This means that there is a complete $(d + 1)$ -partite subgraph of G , with each class being almost the same size $\frac{1}{k}n$. \square

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 4 we have the “fractional Helly theorem” for boxes.

Corollary 2. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of n axis-parallel boxes in \mathbb{R}^d and $\alpha \in (1 - 1/d, 1]$ a real number. There exists a real number $\beta(\alpha) > 0$ such that if there are $\alpha \frac{n^2}{2}$ intersecting pairs in \mathcal{F} , then \mathcal{F} contains an intersecting subfamily of size βn .*

Proof. Let $K_{2,d}$ be the complete d -partite graph with two vertices in each color class. The corollary follows immediately from the following well known property [6]: if G is the intersection graph of a family of boxes in \mathbb{R}^d , then G does not contain an induced $K_{2,d+1}$. \square

We thank Janos Pach for drawing this new approach to our attention.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The second and third author wish to acknowledge support by CONA-CyT under project 166306, and the support of PAPIIT under project IN112614 and IN101912 respectively. The first author was partially supported by MTM 2012-30719.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Bárány, F. Fodor, A. Martínez Perez, L. Montejano, D. Oliveros and A. Pór. A fractional Helly theorem for boxes. *Computational Geometry* 48 No. 3 (2015), 221–224.
- [2] L. Danzer, B. Grünbaum and V. Klee. Helly’s theorem and its relatives, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, Vol. VII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. (1963), 101–180.
- [3] R. Diestel. *Graph Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 173, Springer, Heidelberg, 2010.
- [4] M. Katchalski and A.C. Liu, A problem in geometry in R^n . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 75 (1979) 284–288.
- [5] J. Fox, M. Gromov, V. Lafforgue, A. Naor, and J. Pach. Overlap properties of geometric expanders. To appear in *Journal für die reine angewandte Mathematik*. arXiv:1005.1392 [math.CO]
- [6] J. Fox, J. Pach, A. Sheffer, A. Suk and J. Zahl. A semi-algebraic version of Zarankiewicz’s problem. arXiv:1406.5705v1 [math.CO].

- [7] J.S. Roberts. On the boxicity and cubicity of a graph. In: W.T. Tutte (ed.) *Recent Progress in Combinatorics*. Academic Press (1969), 301–310.