

Mimicking interacting relativistic theories with stationary pulses of light

Dimitris G. Angelakis,^{1,2,*} MingXia Huo,² Darrick Chang,³ Leong Chuan Kwek,^{2,4} and Vladimir Korepin⁵

¹*Science Department, Technical University of Crete, Chania, Crete, Greece, 73100*

²*Centre for Quantum Technologies, National University of Singapore, 3 Science Drive 2, Singapore 117543*

³*ICFO, Institute of Photonic Sciences, Barcelona, Spain 08860*

⁴*Institute of Advanced Studies (IAS) and National Institute of Education,
Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 639673*

⁵*C. N. Yang Institute for Theoretical Physics, State University of New York at Stony Brook, NY 11794-3840, USA*

(Dated: December 12, 2021)

One of the most well known relativistic field theory models is the Thirring model (TM). Its realization can demonstrate the famous prediction for the renormalization of mass due to interactions. However, experimental verification of the latter requires complex accelerator experiments whereas analytical solutions of the model can be extremely cumbersome to obtain. In this work, following Feynman's original proposal, we propose an alternative quantum system as a simulator of the TM dynamics. Here the relativistic particles are mimicked, counter-intuitively, by polarized photons in a quantum nonlinear medium. We show that the entire set of regimes of the Thirring model – bosonic or fermionic, and massless or massive – can be faithfully reproduced using coherent light trapping techniques. The sought after correlations' scalings can be extracted by simple probing of the coherence functions of the light using standard optical techniques.

Introduction

The Thirring model is the simplest relativistic quantum field theory that can describe the self interaction of a Dirac field in 1+1 dimensions [1]. Various techniques have been developed to predict its correlations function behaviour with conclusive results only for the massless fermionic case [2, 3]. For the opposite case of fermions with mass, approximations involving the form factors approach have been developed for the n-point correlations whereas a full analytical solution still evades the efforts of seminal theoretical physicists [4–8]. The theory of the bosonic regime and the correlation function behavior is less developed with efforts mainly concentrated in the solving the classical case [9, 10].

The possibility to physically simulate the Thirring model dynamics in a different controllable quantum system is thus extremely interesting. An ideal quantum simulation should be capable of reproducing on demand the bosonic or the fermionic cases with tunable interactions and mass terms. In addition direct access to the correlation function behaviour will be an advantage. Such a system would not only shed light in the unknown parts of the Thirring model itself, but motivate works for probing of the behaviour of other relativistic quantum field theories which are beyond the realm of numerical and experimental techniques. In this work we describe exactly such a setup where the TM is generated counter-intuitively in a nonlinear optical system. Here polarized photons are mimicking the behaviour of the relativistic fermions where interactions and the mass terms are generated and controlled using quantum slow light techniques.

Recent works in quantum simulations of relativistic theories focused on free fermion simulations using cold

atoms and ion systems [11–13]. An interacting fields proposal is described in a recent seminal work where fermions on an optical lattice are used [14] and more recently a connection between a variational simulation of fields to a generic cavity QED setup was made [15]. The present work differs in many aspects to all previous quantum simulations. First and foremost it is not based on cold atoms or particles with mass in general, but on a fundamentally different and somewhat counter intuitive approach using photons in a nonlinear optical medium. In addition, our optical setup being a natural continuum system, has the ability to reproduce the continuous Thirring model directly without resorting to a lattice approach. Finally, both the bosonic and the fermionic cases can be generated by controlling the relevant optical interactions, and in both massless and massive regimes. In our proposal the relativistic dynamics (linear dispersion) and the necessary strong interactions are generated and controlled by employing electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) techniques [16–18]. The scalings of the correlation functions of the TM, for any regime of interactions, could be simply probed by analyzing the quantum optical coherence functions of the outgoing photons as they exit the medium.

We start our analysis by showing the possibility to generate a nonlinear Dirac type of Hamiltonian using stationary polarized pulses of light. We then analyze the parameter regime to tune the system to a hardcore regime reproducing the FTM dynamics and continue by showing that both the massless and massive cases are reproducible. We conclude by discussing how one could probe the scaling of correlation functions for any regime of interactions and mass values using standard quantum optical measurements. In this last part, we calculate analytically for demonstration purposes the correlation func-

tion behaviour for the case of the massless fermionic TM where an exact solution is known. This could be used to gauge or first test our simulator before it is used in the territory of theories without known solutions.

Photons as interacting Dirac fermions: The system

Our proposal is based on exploiting the available huge photonic nonlinearities that can be generated in specific quantum optical setups. More specifically, we envisage the use of a highly nonlinear waveguide where the necessary nonlinearity will emerge through the strong interaction of the propagating photons to existing emitters in the waveguide. Recent efforts have developed two similar setups in this direction, both capable of implementing our proposal with either current or near future platforms. In these experiments, cold atomic ensembles are brought close to the surface of a tapered fiber [19] or are loaded inside the core of a hollow-core waveguide [20] as shown in Fig. 1 (a). The available huge photon nonlinearities due to the (EIT) effect can be used to create situations where the trapped photons obey TM dynamics.

We start by assuming two probe quantum fields of few photons each, labeled as $E_s, E_{s'}$, are tuned to propagate in the medium. $s, s' = \uparrow, \downarrow$ will denote their different polarizations (later to be representing the spins of the simulated relativistic particles). The waveguide can also be illuminated by two pairs of counter-propagating control lasers with Rabi-frequencies $\Omega_{s,\pm}$ with \pm denoting right- and left-moving directions. The atoms placed inside or close to the surface of the waveguide (depends on the implementation) have a ground state $|a\rangle$ and excited states $|b, s\rangle$ and $|d, s, s'\rangle$. Each of the probe pulses is paired with an appropriate classical field and is tuned to an atomic transition such that a typical Λ (EIT) configuration is setup (see Fig. 1(c) and Methods).

The process to generate the TM dynamics in our photonic system is as follows: First, the laser-cooled atoms are moved into position so they will interact strongly with incident quantum light fields as done in [19, 20]. Initially, resonant to the corresponding transitions, the classical optical pulses with opposite polarizations are sent in from one direction, say the left side. They are injected into the waveguide with the co-propagating classical control fields $\Omega_{\uparrow,+}(t)$ and $\Omega_{\downarrow,+}(t)$ initially turned on. As soon as the two quantum pulses completely enter into the waveguide, the classical fields are adiabatically turned off, converting the quantum probes into coherent atomic excitations as done routinely in slow-light experiments. We then adiabatically switch on both $\Omega_{s,+}$ and $\Omega_{s,-}$ from two sides. The probe pulses become trapped due to the effective Bragg scattering from the stationary classical waves as analyzed in [18, 21, 22]. At this stage the pulses are non-interacting with the photons expanding freely due to the dispersion. By slowly shifting the d -levels we show that




FIG. 1: An illustration of the possible realization of our proposal. The quantum pulses $\hat{E}_{\uparrow,+}$ and $\hat{E}_{\downarrow,+}$ enter the nonlinear waveguide with different polarizations where they are trapped and made to strongly interact. $\Omega_{\uparrow,\pm}$ and $\Omega_{\downarrow,\pm}$ are two pairs of classical lasers helping to trap and control the pulses. In this regime, the polarized pulses can be made to mimic interacting fermions of opposite spins following the TM dynamics. The scheme is based in engineering the photons' interaction with atoms in the waveguide using EIT techniques. The appropriate relativistic dispersion relation and the relevant TM mass and interaction terms, are fully tunable by tuning optical parameters such as atom-photon detunings and laser strengths. Once the strongly interacting regime is reached, the pulses can be released with all correlations established during the interaction period coherently transferred over to outgoing photon pulses. The latter can be measured using standard quantum optics techniques. At the insets the atomic level structure and a possible implementation using hollow-core fiber or tapered fibers interacting with cold atomic gases.

the effective masses of the excitations can be kept constant whereas the effective intra- and interspecies repulsions are increased. This drives the system into a strongly interacting regime. This dynamic evolution is possible by keeping for example the corresponding photon detunings Δ_s constant while shifting the d -level. Once this correlated state is achieved, the counter-propagating control fields $\Omega_{s,-}$ responsible for trapping the polaritons are slowly turned off. This will release the corresponding quasi-particles by turning them to propagating photons which will then exit the fiber. As all correlations established in the previous step are retained, the propagating wave packets will carry the correlation of the TM dynamics taking place in the medium outside, where they can be probed by appropriately placed photon detectors.

A Thirring model of polarized photons: In the trapped regime the dark-state polaritons emerge in the form $\Psi_{s,+} = \frac{\sqrt{n_z g}}{\Omega_{s,+}} E_{s,+}$ and $\Psi_{s,-} = \frac{\sqrt{n_z g}}{\Omega_{s,-}} E_{s,-}$, which are also propagating in both directions (see Methods). The symmetric combination $\Psi_s = \alpha_{s,+} \Psi_{s,+} + \alpha_{s,-} \Psi_{s,-}$ with

$\alpha_{s,\pm} = \frac{\Omega_{s,\pm}^2}{\Omega_{s,+}^2 + \Omega_{s,-}^2}$ forms a stationary wave obeying the following tunable nonlinear dynamics (see Methods)

$$i\hbar\partial_t\Psi_s = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_{nr,s}}\partial_z^2\Psi_s + i\hbar\eta_s\partial_z\Psi_s + \hbar\Omega_0\Psi_{\bar{s}} + \chi_{ss}\Psi_s^\dagger\Psi_s^2 + \chi_{s\bar{s}}\Psi_s^\dagger\Psi_{\bar{s}}\Psi_s + \text{noise} \quad (1)$$

where the optically tunable inter- and intra- species interactions are given by

$$\chi_{ss} = 4\hbar\bar{\Omega}_s^2/(\Delta_{ss}n_z) \quad (2)$$

$$\chi_{s\bar{s}} = 2\hbar\bar{\Omega}_s^2[2 + \cos(\varphi_{\bar{s}} - \varphi_s)]/(\Delta_{s\bar{s}}n_z) \quad (3)$$

The mass of the particles in the relativistic regime is $m_{0,s} = -\hbar\Omega_0/\eta_s^2$ and $\eta_s = -2v_s \cos 2\varphi_s$. We note here that the above mass is different from the “dressed” mass due to the interactions.

The quadratic dispersion term corresponding to the “classical” kinetic energy can be ignored in the regime of interactions we operate (Methods). In this case, if we define a spinor field $\Psi = (\Psi_\uparrow, \Psi_\downarrow)^T$, the Hamiltonian generating the last equation becomes the bosonic Thirring model

$$H = \int dz[-i\hbar|\eta|\gamma_1\partial_z + m_0\eta^2]\Psi + \sum_s \frac{\chi_{ss}}{2}\Psi_s^\dagger\Psi_s^\dagger\Psi_s\Psi_s + \frac{\chi}{2}\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu\Psi\bar{\Psi}\gamma_\mu\Psi, \quad (4)$$

where $\bar{\Psi} = \Psi^\dagger\gamma_0$ and the spinor fields satisfy the commutation relations $[\Psi_s(z), \Psi_{s'}(z')] = 0$, $[\Psi_s(z), \Psi_{s'}^\dagger(z')] = \delta(z-z')\delta_{ss'}$. The gamma matrices γ_μ for $\mu = 0, 1$ are chosen as $\gamma_0 = \sigma_x$, $\gamma_1 = i\sigma_y$ with covariant gamma matrices $\gamma^0 = \gamma_0$, $\gamma^1 = -\gamma_1$, and an additional matrix $\gamma_5 = \gamma_0\gamma_1$.

A fermionic TM with tunable mass: The Hamiltonian in Eq.(4) describes bosonic particles made of stationary light-matter excitations. In order to realize the FTM we will tune to the hardcore regime where the same species interaction is much larger than all other interaction terms. In Fig. 2a we calculate and plot the ratio of their strength as a function of the controllable single photon detunings. We see that by appropriate tuning from the corresponding upper atomic states (tuning the laser towards or away from the corresponding states) the system enters a hardcore regime where the same species interaction is much larger than any other term in the Hamiltonian. The particles will then behave as effective fermions in all aspects-identical density density correlations[23, 24] and spectra but different first order correlations due to the sign issue. We note here that as we will discuss later, our optical simulator will be naturally probing intensity-intensity correlations which nicely fits with the above point.

For this regime, we also calculate and plot the individual interaction strengths, denoted β_{ss}^i ($\beta_{s\bar{s}}^i$), as a function




FIG. 2: Fig 2a: The ratio of inter- to intra species repulsion as a function of the relevant single photon detunings and the relevant “bosonic” and “fermionic” regimes; Fig 2b(c): The ratio of intra(inter) species interaction to kinetic energy, denoted by β_{ss}^i ($\beta_{s\bar{s}}^i$), as a function of the corresponding single photon detuning

of the kinetic energy of the particles for the same values of the tunable optical detunings (Fig. 2b and 2c). We see that when the system is optically tuned to the hardcore regime, the intra-species repulsion is much larger not only the inter-species interaction (Fig2 a) but the kinetic energy as well (Fig2b,c). In plotting (Fig2 a) we have set $m_0 = 0$ with Ω_0 turned off. We note here the simple way of tuning the rest mass of the particles by simply controlling the “connecting” laser.

Probing the TM correlation scalings with photon detectors

In the following we describe how one could probe the scaling behaviour of the correlation functions and from that infer the model’s properties and the fascinating renormalization of mass due to the interactions. We use the case of the massless fermionic TM as an example to illustrate our approach. Of course in this case the spectrum of the model and the scattering matrix was explicitly evaluated by the Bethe Ansatz [4] and is known. Nev-

ertheless, this regime serves as an excellent check for our quantum simulator. Ultimately, one would like to move to the relatively unexplored regimes of massive or bosonic TM as well as other interesting relativistic theories[25]

In the massless FTM the long-scaled n -point correlation as a function of the distance $z - z'$ and interaction strength $\chi/|\eta|$ was calculated by Coleman in [2] and reads:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle 0 | \prod_{i=1}^n \Psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(z_i) \Psi_{\downarrow}(z_i) \Psi_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}(z'_i) \Psi_{\uparrow}(z'_i) | 0 \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n} \frac{\Lambda^2 \prod_{i>j} [(z_i - z_j)^2 (z'_i - z'_j)^2 M^4]^{[1+\frac{\chi}{\pi|\eta|}]^{-1}}}{\prod_{i,j} [\Lambda^2 (z_i - z'_j)^2]^{[1+\frac{\chi}{\pi|\eta|}]^{-1}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

One can extract the two-point correlation with ($n = 1$):

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle 0 | \Psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(z_1) \Psi_{\downarrow}(z_1) \Psi_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}(z'_1) \Psi_{\uparrow}(z'_1) | 0 \rangle \\ &= \frac{\Lambda^2}{4} \left[\Lambda^2 (z_1 - z'_1)^2 \right]^{-[1+\frac{\chi}{\pi|\eta|}]^{-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Λ is the momentum cutoff, which we can estimate for our finite size waveguide of length L containing N interacting particles, to be $\Lambda = \pi n_{\text{ph}} \sin(\chi/|\eta|) / (\chi/|\eta|)$, where $n_{\text{ph}} = N/L$ [4]. In Fig. 3(a) we plot the dependance of the cutoff on the ratio of interactions to kinetic energy for the regime $0 < \chi/|\eta| < \pi$ where modes with unphysically high energy can be excluded. This regime of interactions corresponds to our optical simulator in single photon detuning $\Delta_{ss'}$ ranging up to a few Γ (which is within the required conditions for our slow light setup dynamics [16, 17]). In Fig. 3(b), we numerically calculate and plot the two-point correlation as expressed in Eq. (6) as a function of the distance normalized to the inverse of the photonic density for maximum interactions. In these plots, as also in the previous one in Fig.2, we have assumed the typical values of the optical parameters for the slow light setup, ie. $|\cos 2\varphi_s| = 0.004$, $n_z = 10^7 \text{ m}^{-1}$, $\Gamma_{\text{1D}} = 0.2\Gamma$, and $\bar{\Omega}_s \simeq 1.5\Gamma$, $0 < \chi/|\eta| < \pi$

To detect the above correlation in our optical proposal, is enough to observe that it is in fact an effective transverse spin-spin correlation function $\langle S^+(z_1) S^-(z'_1) \rangle$ with $S^+(z_1) = \Psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(z_1) \Psi_{\downarrow}(z_1)$ and $S^-(z'_1) = \Psi_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}(z'_1) \Psi_{\uparrow}(z'_1)$. In our case, one would release and map the polaritons to photons by turning off $\Omega_{s,-}$, and then using polarization wave plates to convert Ψ_s into their superpositions $\Psi_{x,\pm}$ and $\Psi_{y,\pm}$ as the pulses exit the medium which then can be measured by probing intensity-intensity correlations (see Methods). The latter could be done using standard Hanbury-Brown and Twiss type of techniques for different times as time correlations for the propagating photons here map to space of the interacting particles.




FIG. 3: The momentum cutoff Λ in unit of πn_{ph} (a) and log-scaled two-point correlations (b) of the massive FTM. As the distances $z - z'$ in (b) increases, the correlations decrease. We simulate the system that each initial quantum pulse contains 10 photons and spreads over after completely entering into 1cm-length fiber.

Conclusions: We have shown how to physically simulate the Thirring model dynamics in a photonic setup where fermions are mimicked by stationary polarized pulses of light in a strongly nonlinear EIT medium. We showed in detail how using coherent light trapping techniques, the photons' dispersion relation can be tuned to the relativistic regime and how to create optically tunable interactions and mass terms. The capability to naturally probe the scaling behaviour of the TM correlation functions using standard optical measurements on the emitted pulses, makes our approach complementary to alternative simulations based in cold atom setups. The possibility to first test the device in regimes where analytical solutions are known (massless regime) and then probe regimes with unknown solution (massive FTM and bosonic TM) or other interacting relativistic QFTs makes this extremely interesting in our opinion. Finally, the open nature of our setup and the interaction with the environment through photon loss and driving, should allow for the possibility to investigate QFT theories at finite temperature, an extremely fascinating and well unexplored area of research. We hope that the above characteristics combined with the simplicity of our approach will inspire further experimental and theoretical investigations in using photonic systems for quantum simulations of exotic theories.

Acknowledgements: We would like to acknowledge financial support by the National Research Foundation & Ministry of Education, Singapore. DEC acknowledges support from Fundacio Privada Cellex Barcelona and VK from NSF DMS 1205422

METHODS

Nonlinear dynamics of relativistic stationary polaritons

The Hamiltonian of the system reads $H = -\hbar \int n_z dz (h_\Delta + h_Q + h_C)$, where

$$h_\Delta = \sum_s \Delta_s \sigma_{b,s;b,s} + \sum_{s,s'} \Delta_{ss'} \sigma_{d,s,s';d,s,s'}, \quad (7)$$

$$h_Q = \sum_s (g_s \sigma_{b,s;a} + \sum_{s'} g_{ss'} \sigma_{d,s,s';c,s}) E_s + \text{h.c.}, \quad (8)$$

$$h_C = \sum_s \sigma_{c,s;b,s} \Omega_s + \Omega_0 \sigma_{c,\uparrow;c,\downarrow} + \text{h.c.} \quad (9)$$

Here, the quantum field is composed of two counter-propagating components, $E_s = E_{s,+}(z,t)e^{ik_{Q,s}z} + E_{s,-}(z,t)e^{-ik_{Q,s}z}$. Similarly, control fields $\Omega_s = \Omega_{s,+}(z,t)e^{ik_{C,s}z} + \Omega_{s,-}(z,t)e^{-ik_{C,s}z}$, where $\Omega_{s,\pm}$ are slowly varying parameters of z and t . $k_{Q,s}$ and $k_{C,s}$ denote the wave vectors corresponding to central frequencies $\omega_{Q,s}$ and $\omega_{C,s}$ of $E_{s,\pm}$ and $\Omega_{s,\pm}$, respectively. The collective and continuous operators $\sigma_{\mu;\nu} \equiv \sigma_{\mu;\nu}(z,t)$ describe the averages of the flip operators $|\mu\rangle\langle\nu|$ over atoms in a small region around z . n_z is the atomic density. g_s and $g_{ss'}$ are coupling strengths between the quantum fields and atoms, while Δ_s and $\Delta_{ss'}$ are one-photon detunings for corresponding transitions. For simplicity, we assume that $g_s = g_{ss'} = g$.

As done usually in slow light works[17, 18], we consider that atoms are initialized in the ground state $|a\rangle$. In the trapped regime the dark-state polaritons emerge in the form $\Psi_{s,+} = \frac{\sqrt{n_z g}}{\Omega_{s,+}} E_{s,+}$ and $\Psi_{s,-} = \frac{\sqrt{n_z g}}{\Omega_{s,-}} E_{s,-}$, which are also propagating in both directions. Here, we have considered the slow-light limit where the control fields are tuned to be very weak and the polaritons are mostly spin excitations. The imbalance between two counter-propagating components of control fields is described by $\tan^2 \varphi_s = \Omega_{s,-}^2 / \Omega_{s,+}^2$. Another angular parameter is introduced as $\tan^2 \theta_s = g^2 n_z / \bar{\Omega}_s^2$ with $\bar{\Omega}_s^2 = (\Omega_{s,+}^2 + \Omega_{s,-}^2) / 2$. The reduced light velocity in the nonlinear medium is $v_s = v / (\pi \tan^2 \theta_s)$, where $v = \omega_{Q,s} / k_{Q,s}$ is the light speed in the empty medium. The dark-state polaritons dynamics is determined by the Maxwell-Bloch equations of quantum field

$$(\partial_t \pm v \partial_z) E_{s,\pm} = i n_z g (\sigma_{a;b,s;\pm} + \sum_{s'} \sigma_{c,s;d,s,s';\pm}) \quad (10)$$

Substituting the dark-state operators $\Psi_{s,\pm}$ and adiabatically eliminating the fast decaying atomic operators and ignoring high-frequency oscillation terms, the Maxwell-Bloch equations become

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \Psi_s + v \partial_z (\alpha_{s,+} \Psi_s - \alpha_{s,-} \Psi_s + 2\alpha_{s,+} \alpha_{s,-} A_s) \\ & \simeq -\frac{\tan^2 \theta_s}{2} \partial_t \Psi_s + i \frac{\tan^2 \theta_s}{2} \Omega_0 \Psi_{\bar{s}} - i \frac{2g^2}{\Delta_{s\bar{s}}} \Psi_s^\dagger \Psi_{\bar{s}} \Psi_s \\ & - i \frac{g^2}{\Delta_{s\bar{s}}} [2 + \cos(\varphi_{\bar{s}} - \varphi_s)] \Psi_{\bar{s}}^\dagger \Psi_{\bar{s}} \Psi_s, \\ & \partial_t A_s + v \partial_z (2\Psi_s + \alpha_{s,-} A_s - \alpha_{s,+} A_s) \\ & \simeq -i \frac{n_z g^2}{\Delta_s} A_s - \frac{ig^2}{\Delta_{ss}} \Psi_s^\dagger \Psi_s A_s - \frac{ig^2}{\Delta_{s\bar{s}}} \Psi_{\bar{s}}^\dagger \Psi_{\bar{s}} A_s \\ & - \frac{ig^2 \Omega_{\bar{s},+}}{\Delta_{s\bar{s}} \Omega_{s,+}} \Psi_{\bar{s}}^\dagger \Psi_s A_{\bar{s}}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Here $s, \bar{s} = \uparrow, \downarrow$ and $s \neq \bar{s}$. We keep the symmetric combination $\Psi_s = \alpha_{s,+} \Psi_{s,+} + \alpha_{s,-} \Psi_{s,-}$ with $\alpha_{s,\pm} = \frac{\Omega_{s,\pm}^2}{\Omega_{s,+}^2 + \Omega_{s,-}^2}$ while the antisymmetric combination A_s proportional to $\Psi_{s,+} - \Psi_{s,-}$ can be adiabatically eliminated in the limit of large optical depth since the pulse matching phenomenon gives $\Psi_{s,+} - \Psi_{s,-} \rightarrow 0$ [18].

The evolution equations for dark-state polaritons then become

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \partial_t \Psi_s &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_{\text{nr},s}} \partial_z^2 \Psi_s + i\hbar \eta_s \partial_z \Psi_s + \hbar \Omega_0 \Psi_s \\ &+ \chi_{ss} \Psi_s^\dagger \Psi_s^2 + \chi_{s\bar{s}} \Psi_{\bar{s}}^\dagger \Psi_{\bar{s}} \Psi_s + \text{noise} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

with interspecies interaction strength given by $\chi_{ss} = 4\hbar \bar{\Omega}_s^2 / (\Delta_{ss} n_z)$, while the intraspecies interaction strength is $\chi_{s\bar{s}} = 2\hbar \bar{\Omega}_s^2 [2 + \cos(\varphi_{\bar{s}} - \varphi_s)] / (\Delta_{s\bar{s}} n_z)$. $m_{\text{nr},s} = -\hbar \bar{\Omega}_s^2 / [4 \sin^2(2\varphi_s) v_s^2 \Delta_s]$ is the polariton mass. The mass of the particles in the relativistic regime is $m_{0,s} = -\hbar \Omega_0 / \eta_s^2$, which is different from the non-relativistic polariton mass $m_{\text{nr},s}$, and $\eta_s = -2v_s \cos 2\varphi_s$. Note that the above is different from the ‘‘dressed’’ mass due to the interactions.

The bosonic Thirring model

We will now show that we can tune our system such that the first term on the r.h.s. of the equation, the quadratic kinetic energy term, to be much smaller than the linear relativistic one (second term). For this we first set $\eta_\uparrow = -\eta_\downarrow = \eta$ to simplify the expressions. The latter is possible by tuning $\theta_\uparrow = \theta_\downarrow$ through the slow light velocities for each species $v_\uparrow = v_\downarrow$ and $\cos 2\varphi_\uparrow = -\cos 2\varphi_\downarrow$. These correspond to different ratio Rabi frequencies of the classical lasers $\Omega_{s,+}^2$ and $\Omega_{s,-}^2$ set by $\tan^2 \varphi_s$. The non-relativistic kinetic energy reads

$$E_{\text{nr},s} = (P_s)^2 / (2m_{\text{nr},s}) = 2\hbar \sin^2(2\varphi_s) v_s^2 |\Delta_s| / [\bar{\Omega}_s^2(z_s)^2] \quad (13)$$

and corresponds to the first quadratic dispersion relation term above. The relativistic one (second term in

r.h.s. of Eq. 6) is, $E_{r,s} = |\eta_s|P_s$, where P_s is the characteristic momentum of the polaritons. One can estimate $P_s \sim \hbar/z_s$ based on the typical spatial extent of the polaritons z_s , which can range between L for linear or weakly interacting photons to $L/N_{ph,s}$ in the Tonks gas regime. The latter ranges from L to $L/N_{ph,s}$ (weak or linear to Tonks gas regime) with $N_{ph,s}$ is the s -type photon number. Setting their ratio to β_s^k , we can easily see that the latter is simple tunable function of one-photon detuning:

$$\beta_s^k = \frac{E_{nr,s}}{E_{r,s}} = \frac{\sin^2(2\varphi_s)v_s|\Delta_s|}{|\cos 2\varphi_s|z_s\bar{\Omega}_s^2}, \quad (14)$$

Fixing the mixing angle $|\cos 2\varphi_s| = 0.004$, the atomic and photonic densities $n_{ph,s} = n_{ph} = 10^3\text{m}^{-3}$, the cooperativity factor $\Gamma_{1D} = 0.2\Gamma$, $v_s = 100\text{m/s}$ and $\bar{\Omega}_s \simeq 1.5\Gamma$, $\beta_{k,s}$; we see that the ratio can be as small as 5% for similar values of photon detuning Δ_s/Γ from 0.05 to 0.08, which allows us to neglect the contribution of $E_{nr,s}$ in the Hamiltonian Eq. (15). The nonlinear equation then becomes the bosonic TM:

$$H = \int dz[-i\hbar|\eta|\gamma_1\partial_z + m_0\eta^2]\Psi + \sum_s \frac{\chi_{ss}}{2}\Psi_s^\dagger\Psi_s^\dagger\Psi_s\Psi_s + \frac{\chi}{2}\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu\Psi\bar{\Psi}\gamma_\mu\Psi, \quad (15)$$

where $\bar{\Psi} = \Psi^\dagger\gamma_0$ and $\Psi = (\Psi_\uparrow, \Psi_\downarrow)^T$ with the spinor fields satisfying the commutation relations $[\Psi_s(z), \Psi_{s'}(z')] = 0$, $[\Psi_s(z), \Psi_{s'}^\dagger(z')] = \delta(z-z')\delta_{ss'}$. The gamma matrices γ_μ for $\mu = 0, 1$ are chosen as $\gamma_0 = \sigma_x$, $\gamma_1 = i\sigma_y$ with covariant gamma matrices $\gamma^0 = \gamma_0$, $\gamma^1 = -\gamma_1$, and an additional matrix $\gamma_5 = \gamma_0\gamma_1$. We have also set $m_0 = m_{0,\uparrow} = m_{0,\downarrow}$, which is achievable by tuning $\eta_\uparrow = -\eta_\downarrow$. Furthermore we can also have $m_{nr,\uparrow} = m_{nr,\downarrow} = m_{nr}$ and $2\chi_{\uparrow\downarrow} = 2\chi_{\downarrow\uparrow} = \chi$ by appropriately tuning the single and two photon detunings.

Probing of the TM correlations optically

The two-point correlation function of massless FTM is an effective transverse spin-spin correlation function $\langle S^+(z_1)S^-(z'_1) \rangle$ with $S^+(z_1) = \Psi_\uparrow^\dagger(z_1)\Psi_\downarrow(z_1)$ and $S^-(z'_1) = \Psi_\downarrow^\dagger(z'_1)\Psi_\uparrow(z'_1)$. We could probe this by measuring correlations between the two polaritons species densities $\rho_{x,\pm} = \Psi_{x,\pm}^\dagger\Psi_{x,\pm}$ and $\rho_{y,\pm} = \Psi_{y,\pm}^\dagger\Psi_{y,\pm}$ with $\Psi_{x,\pm} = (\Psi_\uparrow \pm \Psi_\downarrow)/\sqrt{2}$ and $\Psi_{y,\pm} = (\Psi_\uparrow \mp i\Psi_\downarrow)/\sqrt{2}$. We can express $S^+ = \Psi_\uparrow^\dagger\Psi_\downarrow = [(\rho_{x,+} - \rho_{x,-}) + i(\rho_{y,+} - \rho_{y,-})]/2$ and $S^- = \Psi_\downarrow^\dagger\Psi_\uparrow = [(\rho_{x,+} - \rho_{x,-}) - i(\rho_{y,+} - \rho_{y,-})]/2$, which indicates the correlation function $\langle S^+(z_1)S^-(z'_1) \rangle$ of massless FTM as a combination of density-density correlations $\langle \rho_{\alpha,\pm}(z_1)\rho_{\alpha',\pm}(z'_1) \rangle$ with $\alpha, \alpha' = x, y$.

Losses

The losses mainly due to the spontaneous emission from the atomic upper levels can be estimated by adding the imaginary parts as $\vec{\chi}_{ss} = 8\hbar\bar{\Omega}_s^2/[n_z(2|\Delta_{ss}| + i\Gamma)]$ and $\vec{\chi}_{s\bar{s}} = 4\hbar[2 + \cos(\varphi_{\bar{s}} - \varphi_s)]\bar{\Omega}_s^2/[n_z(2\Delta_{s\bar{s}} + i\Gamma)]$, which leads to the total loss rate $\kappa = \sum_s(\kappa_{ss} + \kappa_{s\bar{s}})$ with $\kappa_{ss} = 8n_{ph,s}\bar{\Omega}_s^2\Gamma/[n_z(4\Delta_{ss}^2 + \Gamma^2)]$ and $\kappa_{s\bar{s}} = 4(2 + \cos(\varphi_{\bar{s}} - \varphi_s))n_{ph,s}\bar{\Omega}_s^2\Gamma/[n_z(4\Delta_{s\bar{s}}^2 + \Gamma^2)]$. With the smallest detunings $\Delta_{ss'} \simeq 4\Gamma$ used in the plotting of Fig. 2 for example, the shortest coherence time $1/\kappa$ is $400\mu\text{s}$. For larger detunings, the coherence time will be longer.

* Electronic address: dimitris.angelakis@gmail.org

- [1] W. Thirring, *Annals Phys.* **3**, 91 (1958).
- [2] S. Coleman, *Phys. Rev. D* **11**, 2088-2097 (1975).
- [3] B. Klaiber, *Lectures in theoretical physics*, edited by A. Barut and W. Brittin, Vol. X (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1968) part A.
- [4] V.E. Korepin, N.M. Bogoliubov, and A.G. Izergin, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions* (Cambridge University 1993).
- [5] V.Fateev, et al., *Nucl.Phys.* **B** 540 587 (1999).
- [6] F.A. Smirnov, *Form-factors in completely integrable models of quantum field theory*, Singapore, World Scientific 1992.
- [7] J. Cardy, G. Mussardo *Nucl. Phys. B* **410** 451 (1993).
- [8] A. Fring, G. Mussardo and P. Simonetti **393** 413, (1993).
- [9] *Teoreticheskaya i Matematicheskaya Fizika*, **30**, 303, (1977)
- [10] T. Bhattacharya, *J. Math. Phys.* **46**, 012301 (2005).
- [11] M. Lewenstein, A. Sanpera, and V. Ahufinger, *Ultracold Atoms in Optical Lattices: Simulating quantum many-body systems*, Oxford University Press, (2012).
- [12] I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and S. Nascimbene, *Nat. Phys.* **8**, 267 (2012).
- [13] R. Blatt and C. F. Ross, *Nat. Phys.* **8**, 277 (2012).
- [14] J.I. Cirac, P. Maraner and J.K. Pachos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 190403 (2010).
- [15] S. Barrett, et al., arXiv:1206.4988.
- [16] M. D. Lukin and A. Imamoglu *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 1419 (2000)
- [17] Fleischhauer M and Lukin M D 2000 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84** 5094-7
- [18] Bajcsy M *et al* 2009 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** 203902
- [19] Vetsch E, Reitz D, Sague G, Schmidt R, Dawkins S T, and Rauschenbeutel A 2010 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104** 203603
- [20] M. Bajcsy, S. Hofferberth, T. Peyronel, V. Balic, Q. Liang, A. S. Zibrov, V. Vuletic, and M. D. Lukin, *Phys. Rev. A* **83**, 063830 (2011).
- [21] D.E. Chang *et al.*, *Nat. Phys.* **4**, 884 (2008).
- [22] D.G. Angelakis *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 153601 (2011).
- [23] L. Tonks, *Phys. Rev.* **50**, 955-963 (1936).
- [24] M. Girardeau, *J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.)* **1**, 516-523 (1960).
- [25] We note here that to our knowledge although the inte-

grability of BTM has been investigated in several works connected with the NSE and the sine-Gordon (sG) model

[10], evaluating its correlation functions is hardly resolved.