

Geometric descriptions of entangled states by auxiliaries varieties

Frédéric Holweck*, Jean-Gabriel Luque† and Jean-Yves Thibon‡

September 8, 2018

Abstract

The aim of the paper is to propose geometric descriptions of multipartite entangled states using algebraic geometry. In the context of this paper, geometric means each stratum of the Hilbert space, corresponding to an entangled state, is an open subset of an algebraic variety built by classical geometric constructions (tangent lines, secant lines) from the set of separable states. In this setting we describe well-known classifications of multipartite entanglement such as $2 \times 2 \times (n+1)$, for $n \geq 1$, quantum systems and a new description with the $2 \times 3 \times 3$ quantum system. Our results complete the approach of A. Miyake and makes stronger connections with recent work of algebraic geometers. Moreover for the quantum systems detailed in this paper we propose an algorithm, based on the classical theory of invariants, to decide to which subvariety of the Hilbert space a given state belongs.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^{n_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_k}$ be the Hilbert space of states of k particles. Denote by $|j_i\rangle$ a basis of \mathbb{C}^{n_i} with $0 \leq j_i \leq n_i - 1$. Any state $|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ can be written as

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \sum_{0 \leq j_i \leq n_i - 1} A_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k} |j_1\rangle \otimes \dots \otimes |j_k\rangle$$

where $|j_1\rangle \otimes \dots \otimes |j_k\rangle$ is the standard basis of \mathcal{H} . That basis will be denoted latter on by $|j_1 \dots j_k\rangle$. The Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is an irreducible representation (for its natural action [13]) of the semi-simple Lie group $G = SL(n_1, \mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times SL(n_k, \mathbb{C})$. In the framework of Quantum Information Theory (QIT), G is the group of reversible stochastic local quantum operations assisted by classical communication (SLOCC, see [38]), and two states will be considered as SLOCC equivalent if they are interconvertible by the action of G ,

$$|\Psi\rangle \sim_{\text{SLOCC}} |\Phi\rangle \Leftrightarrow |\Psi\rangle = g|\Phi\rangle, |\Phi\rangle = g^{-1}|\Psi\rangle, \text{ with } g = (g_1, \dots, g_k) \in SL(n_1, \mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times SL(n_k, \mathbb{C})$$

Nonzero scalar multiplication has no incidence on a state $|\Psi\rangle$, therefore we can consider states as points in the projective space $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ and SLOCC equivalent states will correspond to points in the same G -orbit. The representation \mathcal{H} of G has a unique highest weight vector which can be chosen to be $v = |0 \dots 0\rangle$ (it corresponds to a choice of orientation for the weight lattice see [13]). The orbit $G.v \subset \mathcal{H}$ is the unique closed orbit for the action of G on \mathcal{H} and it defines a smooth algebraic variety after projectivization $X = \mathbb{P}(G.v) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$. This variety X is known as the Segre embedding of the product of the projective spaces \mathbb{P}^{n_i-1} , and is the image of the map (see [15]):

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n_1}) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n_2}) \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n_k}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_k}) \\ ([v_1], [v_2], \dots, [v_k]) &\mapsto [v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k] \end{aligned}$$

*frederic.holweck@utbm.fr, Laboratoire M3M, Université de Technologie de Belfort-Montbéliard.

†jean-gabriel.luque@univ-rouen.fr, Université de Rouen, Laboratoire d'Informatique, du Traitement de l'Information et des Systèmes (LITIS), Avenue de l'Université - BP 8 6801 Saint-étienne-du-Rouvray Cedex, FR

‡jean-yves.thibon@univ-mlv.fr, Institut Gaspard Monge Université de Marne-la-vallée, 77454 Marne-la-Vallée Cedex 2

where v_i is a vector of \mathbb{C}^{n_i} and $[v_i]$ the corresponding point in $\mathbb{P}^{n_i-1} = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n_i})$. The variety $X = \mathbb{P}(G.v) = \phi(\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n_1}) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n_2}) \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n_k}))$ will be denoted by

$$X = \mathbb{P}^{n_1-1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_k-1} \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$$

From the QIT point of view [2, 16], the variety X is the set of separable states in $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

In this paper we cover some examples ($2 \times 2 \times (n+1)$, with $n \geq 1$, and $2 \times 3 \times 3$ quantum systems) of classifications of multipartite entanglement. We describe the entangled states by auxiliary varieties, i.e. varieties obtained from X by geometric constructions and we propose an algorithm to distinguish between the different states. The constructions of auxiliary varieties by secant and tangent lines are explained in Section 2 and some classical results of algebraic geometry on the dimension of those varieties are recalled. In Section 3 we give the geometric descriptions of the orbit closures for the quantum systems $2 \times 2 \times (n+1)$, $n \geq 1$, (Theorem 2) and $2 \times 3 \times 3$ (Theorem 3). The description of the (projectivized) Hilbert space by different classes of entanglement corresponds to a stratification of the ambient space by algebraic varieties with natural geometric inclusions among those varieties (the ‘‘onion like’’ structure of [37]). Those inclusions are detailed in Figures 1, 2, 3 and 4. The proofs of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 are based on dimension counts to identify the algebraic (auxiliary) varieties with the corresponding orbit closures. Those orbits are known from their representatives [41]. A geometric description of $2 \times 2 \times (n+1)$, with $n \geq 1$, quantum systems was already established in [39] using the concepts of projective duality, hyperdeterminants and singular locus of hyperdeterminants. In Section 4 we recover the results of [39] directly from Theorem 2. We prove that Theorem 2 and the results of [39] are dual to each other and thanks to Theorem 2 we obtain more details in the geometric description of the singular locus of the dual variety. In Section 5 we introduce classical invariant theory in the context of hypermatrices. We obtain an algorithm to decide to which strata (variety) of the ambient space belongs a given state $|\Psi\rangle$. In other words our method allows us to identify the orbit of a given state $|\Psi\rangle$. This section is mainly based on classical invariant theory techniques but we relate part of the information obtained by those techniques with geometric descriptions. Finally we mention recent works of algebraic geometers which we believe should help to provide deeper understanding of multipartite entanglement.

Notations

We work throughout with algebraic varieties over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers. In particular we denote by V a complex vector space of dimension $N+1$ and $X^n \subset \mathbb{P}(V) = \mathbb{P}^N$ is a complex projective nondegenerate variety (i.e. not contained in a hyperplane) of dimension n . Given x a smooth point of X , we denote by $T_x X$ the intrinsic tangent space, $\tilde{T}_x X$ the embedded tangent space, of X at x (see [21]). The notation $\hat{X} \subset V$ (resp. $\hat{T}_x X$) will denote the cone over X (resp. over $\tilde{T}_x X$) and $[v] \in \mathbb{P}(V)$ will denote the projectivization of a vector $v \in V$. The dimension of the variety, $\dim(X)$, is the dimension of the tangent space at a smooth point. We say $x \in X$ is a *general point* of X in the sense of the Zariski topology. The locus of smooth points of X is denoted by X_{smooth} and the locus of singular points by X_{sing} .

2 Join varieties

Let x and y be two points of \mathbb{P}^N , the secant line \mathbb{P}_{xy}^1 is the unique line in \mathbb{P}^N containing x and y . We define the join of two varieties X and Y to be the (Zariski) closure of the union of the secant lines with $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$:

$$J(X, Y) = \overline{\bigcup_{x \in X, y \in Y, x \neq y} \mathbb{P}_{xy}^1}$$

Suppose $Y \subset X$ and let T_{X,Y,y_0}^* denote the union of \mathbb{P}_*^1 's where \mathbb{P}_*^1 is the limit of \mathbb{P}_{xy}^1 with $x \in X$, $y \in Y$ and $x, y \rightarrow y_0 \in Y$. The union of the T_{X,Y,y_0}^* is defined as the variety of relative tangent stars of X with respect to

Y (see [53]):

$$T(Y, X) = \bigcup_{y \in Y} T_{X, Y, y}^*$$

The following result due to F. Zak ([53] Chapter I Theorem 1.4) will be useful to analyse the stratification of the ambient space by auxiliary varieties:

Theorem 1. *Any arbitrary irreducible subvariety $Y^n \subset X^m, n \geq 0$ satisfies one of the following two conditions:*

- a) $\dim(J(X, Y)) = n + m + 1$ and $\dim(T(X, Y)) = n + m$;
- b) $J(X, Y) = T(X, Y)$.

Remark 2.1. The expected dimension of $J(X, Y)$ is $n + m + 1$: there are n degree of freedom for the choice of $y \in Y$, m degree of freedom for the choice of $x \in X$ and 1 degree of freedom for the choice of the point on \mathbb{P}_{xy}^1 . Therefore the previous theorem says that if $J(X, Y)$ has the expected dimension then $T(X, Y)$ has also the expected dimension and is distinct from $J(X, Y)$. We will see that dimension calculations will be used later to prove the existence of certain statras.

The dimension of $J(X, Y)$ will be calculated with the following famous lemma (see [21] Chapter III).

Lemma 1. [Teracini's Lemma] *If $z \in J(X, Y)_{smooth}$ with $z = x + y$ such that $x \in X_{smooth}, y \in Y_{smooth}$, then*

$$\widehat{T}_z J(X, Y) = \widehat{T}_x X + \widehat{T}_y Y$$

Intersting particular cases arise when $Y = X$. The s -secant variety of a projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is the variety $\sigma_s(X)$ defined to be the closure of the union of the linear span of s -tuples points of X

$$\sigma_s(X) = \overline{\bigcup_{x_1, \dots, x_s \in X} \mathbb{P}_{x_1 \dots x_s}^{s-1}}$$

where $\mathbb{P}_{x_1 \dots x_s}^{s-1}$ is a projective space of dimension $s - 1$ passing through x_1, \dots, x_s . In other words $\sigma_s(X) = J(X, \sigma_{s-1}(X))$ with $\sigma_1(X) = X$. The variety $\sigma_2(X)$ is often called the secant variety. For the variety of relative tangent stars we obtain for $Y = X$ the usual tangential variety:

$$\tau(X) = T(X, X) = \bigcup_{x \in X_{smooth}} \tilde{T}_x X$$

Example 2.1. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^{m+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, and $X = \mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^n \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ be the Segre product of two projective spaces, i.e. the unique closed orbit for the action of $SL_{m+1} \times SL_{n+1}$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$. The variety X corresponds to the projectivization of rank one matrices in the projectivization of the space of matrices of size $(m + 1) \times (n + 1)$. Therefore the s -secant variety $\sigma_s(X)$ is the projectivization of the set of rank less than s matrices (sum of s matrices of rank one). As noticed in [16] the stratification of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ by secant varieties of $\mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^n$ is the stratification by local rank for bipartite quantum systems :

$$\mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^n \subset \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^n) \subset \dots \subset \sigma_{\min(m, n)-1}(\mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^n) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$$

Remark 2.2. As noticed in [2], a projective line \mathbb{P}_{xy}^1 in the Hilbert space $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ represents all possible superpositions of the states $\hat{x}, \hat{y} \in \mathcal{H}$.

Definition 2.1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ be an irreducible variety of dimension n . The s -secant variety $\sigma_s(X)$ is said to be nondefective if either $\dim(\sigma_s(X)) = sn + s - 1$ or $\sigma_s(X) = \mathbb{P}(V)$.*

A direct consequence of Theorem 1 is the following proposition :

Proposition 2.1. *Let $X^n \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ be a nondegenerate variety. Let us assume that the k -th secant variety is nondefective and does not fill the ambient space. Then we have the following filtration with the given dimensions:*

$$\underbrace{X}_{\dim=n} \subset \underbrace{\tau(X)}_{=2n} \subset \underbrace{\sigma_2(X)}_{=2n+1} \subset \underbrace{T(X, \sigma_2(X))}_{=3n+1} \subset \underbrace{\sigma_3(X)}_{=3n+2} \subset \underbrace{T(X, \sigma_3(X))}_{=4n+2} \subset \cdots \subset \underbrace{\sigma_k(X)}_{=kn+k-1} \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$$

Proof. If $\sigma_k(X)$ is nondefective, i.e. is of dimension $kn + k - 1$ then one knows from Theorem 1 that $T(X, \sigma_{k-1}(X))$ is of dimension $kn + k - 2$. Moreover Theorem 1 ensures us in this case $\dim(\sigma_k(X)) = \dim(\sigma_{k-1}(X)) + \dim(X) + 1$, thus $\dim(\sigma_{k-1}(X)) = (k - 1)n + (k - 1) - 1$, i.e. $\sigma_{k-1}(X)$ is nondefective and we apply the same argument inductively \square .

Remark 2.3. Proposition 2.1 gives *a priori* a filtration by secant and tangential varieties and explain part of the “onion like” structure described in [37]. As X is a Segre product of projective spaces, Definition 2.2 shows that there are others intermediate auxiliary varieties which will appear in the filtration of the ambient space.

We will need the following definition to identify subvarieties of $\sigma_2(X)$ when X is a Segre product of irreducible varieties. In Section 4 Definition 2.2 will also be used to describe the singular locus of the dual variety.

Definition 2.2. *Let $Y_i \subset \mathbb{P}^{n_i}$, with $1 \leq i \leq m$ be m nondegenerate varieties and let us consider $X = Y_1 \times Y_2 \times \cdots \times Y_m \subset \mathbb{P}^{(n_1+1)(n_2+1)\cdots(n_m+1)-1}$ the corresponding Segre product. For $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$, a J -pair of points of X will be a pair $(x, y) \in X \times X$ such that $x = [v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes v_{j_1+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_k} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_m]$ and $y = [w_1 \otimes w_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes w_{j_1+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_k} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_m]$, i.e. the tensors \hat{x} and \hat{y} have the same components for the indices in J .*

The J -subsecant variety of $\sigma_2(X)$ denoted by $\sigma_2(Y_1 \times \cdots \times \underline{Y}_{j_1} \times \cdots \times \underline{Y}_{j_k} \times \cdots \times Y_m) \times Y_{j_1} \times Y_{j_2} \times \cdots \times Y_{j_k}$ is the closure of the union of line \mathbb{P}_{xy}^1 with (x, y) a J -pair of point:

$$\sigma_2(Y_1 \times \cdots \times \underline{Y}_{j_1} \times \cdots \times \underline{Y}_{j_k} \times \cdots \times Y_m) \times Y_{j_1} \times Y_{j_2} \times \cdots \times Y_{j_k} = \overline{\cup_{(x,y) \in X \times X, (x,y) J\text{-pair of points}} \mathbb{P}_{xy}^1}$$

Remark 2.4. The underlined varieties in the notation of the J -subsecant varieties correspond to the common components for the points which define a J -pair. Roughly speaking those components are the “common factor” of x and y in the decomposition of $z = x + y \in \sigma_2(Y_1 \times \cdots \times \underline{Y}_{j_1} \times \cdots \times \underline{Y}_{j_k} \times \cdots \times Y_m) \times Y_{j_1} \times Y_{j_2} \times \cdots \times Y_{j_k}$. For instance when we consider the $\{1\}$ -subsecant (respectively the $\{m\}$ -subsecant) variety we can indeed factorize the first (respectively the last) component and we have the equality $\sigma_2(\underline{Y}_1 \times Y_2 \times \cdots \times Y_m) \times Y_1 = Y_1 \times \sigma_2(Y_2 \times \cdots \times Y_m)$.

Remark 2.5. For $J = \emptyset$, the J -subsecant variety is $\sigma_2(X)$.

3 Stratification of the multipartite entangled states by the tangential, secant and join varieties

We now state with Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 our geometric descriptions of entangled states for quantum systems of type $2 \times 2 \times (n + 1)$, $n \geq 1$, and $2 \times 3 \times 3$ by join, secant and tangential varieties. The orbit closures will be denoted $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_*$ where the subscript is either a representative or a roman number to identify the orbit.

Theorem 2. *For quantum systems of type $2 \times 2 \times (n + 1)$, there are 6 ($n = 1$), 8 ($n = 2$) and 9 ($n \geq 3$) SLOCC entangled classes. Each entangled state corresponds to an open subspace (smooth points) of an algebraic variety build up from $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n$, the unique closed orbit for the action of $G = SL_2 \times SL_2 \times SL_{n+1}$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n+1})$ by join and tangential varieties. The identifications of those algebraic varieties are given in Tables 1, 2 and 3 and the partial order among them is represented in Figures 1, 2, 4.*

Orbit closure	Normal form (representative)	Variety	Dimension
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VI}$	$ 000\rangle + 111\rangle$	\mathbb{P}^7	7
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_V$	$ 100\rangle + 010\rangle + 001\rangle$	$\tau(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$	6
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}$	$ 001\rangle + 111\rangle$	$\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \times \mathbb{P}^1$	4
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{III}$	$ 100\rangle + 111\rangle$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$	4
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}$	$ 010\rangle + 111\rangle$	$\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \times \mathbb{P}^1$	4
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_I$	$ 000\rangle$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$	3

Table 1: Identification of orbit closures and varieties for the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ quatum system

Orbit closure	Normal form (representative)	Variety	Dimension
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VIII}$	$ 000\rangle + 011\rangle + 101\rangle + 112\rangle$	\mathbb{P}^{11}	11
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VII}$	$ 000\rangle + 011\rangle + 102\rangle$	$J(X, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV})$	10
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VI}$	$ 000\rangle + 111\rangle$	$\sigma_2(X)$	9
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_V$	$ 000\rangle + 011\rangle + 101\rangle$	$\tau(X)$	8
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}$	$ 000\rangle + 011\rangle$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^5$	6
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{III}$	$ 000\rangle + 101\rangle$	$\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^1$	6
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}$	$ 000\rangle + 110\rangle$	$\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \times \mathbb{P}^2 \simeq \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^2$	5
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_I$	$ 000\rangle$	$X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$	4

Table 2: Identification of orbit closures and varieties for the $2 \times 2 \times 3$ quatum system

Orbit closure	Normal form (representative)	Variety	Dimension
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IX}$	$ 000\rangle + 011\rangle + 102\rangle + 113\rangle$	\mathbb{P}^{4n+3}	$4n + 3$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VIII}$	$ 000\rangle + 011\rangle + 101\rangle + 112\rangle$	$\sigma_3(X)$	$3n + 5$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VII}$	$ 000\rangle + 011\rangle + 102\rangle$	$J(X, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV})$	$3n + 4$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VI}$	$ 000\rangle + 111\rangle$	$\sigma_2(X)$	$2n + 5$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_V$	$ 000\rangle + 011\rangle + 101\rangle$	$\tau(X)$	$2n + 4$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}$	$ 000\rangle + 011\rangle$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$	$2n + 2$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{III}$	$ 000\rangle + 101\rangle$	$\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n) \times \mathbb{P}^1$	$2n + 2$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}$	$ 000\rangle + 110\rangle$	$\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \times \mathbb{P}^n \simeq \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^n$	$n + 3$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_I$	$ 000\rangle$	$X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n$	$n + 2$

Table 3: Identification of orbit closures and varieties for $2 \times 2 \times (n + 1)$ quatum systems ($n \geq 3$)

Remark 3.1. After [12] the classification of entangled states of the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ quantum system received a lot of attention because it showed for the first time that three qubits could be entangled in different inequivalent states. The existence of the so-called GHZ-state and W-state for a 3-qubit system proved in [12] is equivalent in our description to the existence of the (nondefective) secant and tangential varieties of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ which is a classical result of algebraic geometry.

Remark 3.2. In [3] different geometric characterizations of the 3-qubit system are given. The authors consider the intersection of the variety of pure state X with the three plane of symmetric tensors (symmetric states). That intersection reduces to the twisted cubic $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\text{sym}}^3$ and allows them to characterize the GHZ-states and W-state in terms of that curve.

Remark 3.3. The geometric classification of entangled states for $2 \times 2 \times (n + 1)$, $n \geq 2$, systems was proposed in [37, 39] but with a different geometric perspective (i.e. by dual varieties). Not all stratas are geometrically




Figure 1: Stratification of the ambient space for the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ quantum system




Figure 2: Stratification of the ambient space for the $2 \times 2 \times 3$ quantum system

described in the paper. We will make the connection between our identifications and the classifications of [37, 39] in Section 4.

The next theorem provides a geometrical description for $2 \times 3 \times 3$ quantum system.

Theorem 3. *For a quantum system of type $2 \times 3 \times 3$ there are 17 different SLOCC entangled classes. Each entangled state corresponds to an open subspace of an algebraic variety constructed from $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, the unique closed orbit for the action of $G = SL_2 \times SL_3 \times SL_3$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3)$, by join and tangential varieties. The identifications of those algebraic varieties are given in Table 4 and the partial order among them is represented in Figure 4.*




Figure 3: Stratification of the ambient space for $2 \times 2 \times (n + 1)$, $n \geq 3$, quantum system

Remark 3.4. The geometric inclusions between the varieties of Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4 are represented in Figures 1, 2, 3 and 4. Those inclusions can be deduced from the relations between the representatives of each orbit, e.g. in Table 4 it is clear that $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IX}$ is a subvariety of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XIII}$. But it could also be deduced from geometric considerations, e.g. the tangential varieties are included in the secant varieties (i.e. $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_V \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VI}$). The geometry of the auxiliary varieties reflects how inequivalent entangled states are partially ordered under local actions. This was emphasized in [37, 39] and it becomes very natural in our description by auxiliary varieties.

Remark 3.5. As we point it out in Section 5 a lot of classifications of entanglement in quantum systems can be deduced from older results from representation theory and invariant theory. For instance for the $2 \times 3 \times 3$ system, the classification was first established in QIT context in [10] but can be deduced from [41]. It is interesting to notice that the classifications of tripartite entangled states of [10, 37, 38, 39] can be obtained from a classification theorem on trilinear forms of type $2 \times n \times n$ proved by Camille Jordan in 1907 [22].

Proofs of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3

We now prove the identifications of the orbit closures with algebraic varieties constructed from the unique closed orbit under the action of $G = SL_p \times SL_q \times SL_r$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^p \otimes \mathbb{C}^q \otimes \mathbb{C}^r)$ for

$$(p, q, r) = \begin{cases} 2 \times 2 \times 2 & \text{Table 1} \\ 2 \times 2 \times 3 & \text{Table 2} \\ 2 \times 2 \times (n + 1), n \geq 3 & \text{Table 3} \\ 2 \times 3 \times 3 & \text{Table 4} \end{cases}$$

Orbit closure	Normal form (representative)	Variety	Dimension
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XVII}$	$ 000\rangle + 011\rangle + 100\rangle + 122\rangle$	\mathbb{P}^{17}	17
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XVI}$	$ 000\rangle + 011\rangle + 101\rangle + 122\rangle$	$J(X, \tau(X))$	16
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XV}$	$ 000\rangle + 011\rangle + 022\rangle + 101\rangle + 112\rangle$	$T(X, \tau(X))$	15
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XIV}$	$ 000\rangle + 011\rangle + 122\rangle$	$J(X, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2))$	14
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XIII}$	$ 000\rangle + 011\rangle + 022\rangle + 101\rangle$	$T(X, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2))$	13
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XII}$	$ 000\rangle + 011\rangle + 101\rangle + 112\rangle$	$\sigma_2(\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2)$	13
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XI}$	$ 000\rangle + 011\rangle + 121\rangle + 102\rangle$	$J(\mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathbb{P}^2, \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2)$	13
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_X$	$ 000\rangle + 011\rangle + 102\rangle$	$J(X, \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2)$	12
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IX}$	$ 000\rangle + 011\rangle + 022\rangle$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_3(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^8$	9
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VIII}$	$ 000\rangle + 011\rangle + 110\rangle + 121\rangle$	$\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$	13
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VII}$	$ 000\rangle + 011\rangle + 120\rangle$	$J(X, \mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$	12
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VI}$	$ 000\rangle + 111\rangle$	$\sigma_2(X)$	11
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_V$	$ 000\rangle + 011\rangle + 101\rangle$	$\tau(X)$	10
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}$	$ 000\rangle + 011\rangle$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$	8
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{III}$	$ 000\rangle + 101\rangle$	$\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2$	7
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}$	$ 000\rangle + 110\rangle$	$\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2 \simeq \mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathbb{P}^2$	7
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_I$	$ 000\rangle$	$X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$	5

Table 4: Identification of orbit closures and varieties for $2 \times 3 \times 3$ quantum system

The normal forms (representatives) of the orbits under the action of G are given in [41] and there is a finite number of them. We express those normal forms in the tables with the bracket notation by the convention of the introduction $|ijk\rangle = e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e_k$ where $(e_t)_{0 \leq t \leq s-1}$ stands for a basis of \mathbb{C}^s . The first step in our proof is to calculate the dimension of each orbit closure. It can be done directly using the following two facts:

- Let $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_x = \mathbb{P}(\overline{G \cdot x}) \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ be the closure of a G -orbit with representative x , for G a semi-simple Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Then $\widehat{T}_x \mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{g} \cdot x$. The notation $\mathfrak{g} \cdot x$ represents the action of \mathfrak{g} on the representation V ([13]). Moreover if $x = u + v$ one has $\widehat{T}_x \mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{g} \cdot x = \mathfrak{g} \cdot u + \mathfrak{g} \cdot v$.
- Consider the Segre product $X = \mathbb{P}(G \cdot (e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_m)) = Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_m \subset \mathbb{P}(V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_m)$ with G a semi-simple Lie group, then we have $\widehat{T}_{e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_m} X = \widehat{T}_{e_1} Y_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_m + e_1 \otimes \widehat{T}_{e_2} Y_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_m + \cdots + e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{T}_{e_m} Y_m$. In particular for $X = \mathbb{P}^{p-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{q-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ and $e \otimes f \otimes g \in \widehat{X}$, we have $\widehat{T}_{e \otimes f \otimes g} = \mathbb{C}^p \otimes f \otimes g + e \otimes \mathbb{C}^q \otimes g + e \otimes f \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$.

Those remarks allow us to calculate the dimension for any orbit from the normal form. For instance for the orbit closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VI}$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{T}_{|000\rangle + |111\rangle} G \cdot (|000\rangle + |111\rangle) &= \widehat{T}_{|000\rangle} G \cdot |000\rangle + \widehat{T}_{|111\rangle} G \cdot |111\rangle \\
&= \underbrace{\mathbb{C}^p \otimes e_0 \otimes e_0 + e_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}^q \otimes e_0 + e_0 \otimes e_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}^r + \mathbb{C}^p \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1 + e_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}^q \otimes e_1 + e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}^r}_{\dim=2(p+q+r)-4} \quad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

After projectivization $\dim(\overline{G \cdot (|000\rangle + |111\rangle)}) = 2(p+q+r) - 5$. That orbit is clearly a subvariety of $\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^{p-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{q-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1})$ and $\dim(\sigma_2(X)) \leq 2(p-1+q-1+r-1) + 1$. The variety $\sigma_2(X)$ is irreducible because X is. Thus we have $\overline{G \cdot (|000\rangle + |111\rangle)} \subset \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^{p-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{q-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1})$ with equality of dimensions which proves the equality $\overline{G \cdot (|000\rangle + |111\rangle)} = \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^{p-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{q-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1})$. The secant variety is of maximal dimension then one deduces from Proposition 2.1 that the tangential variety $\tau(\mathbb{P}^{p-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{q-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1})$ is of dimension $2(p+q+r) - 6$




Figure 4: Stratification of the ambient space for the $2 \times 3 \times 3$ quantum system

and we identify it with the orbit $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_V$ which is the only one of dimension $2(p + q + r) - 6$. For the orbits $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}$ one notices that $|000\rangle + |011\rangle = e_0 \otimes (e_0 \otimes e_0 + e_1 \otimes e_1)$ and $|000\rangle + |110\rangle = (e_0 \otimes e_0 + e_1 \otimes e_1) \otimes e_0$

which allows us to identify those orbit closures with $\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^{p-1} \otimes \mathbb{P}^{q-1}) \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{p-1} \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^{q-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1})$ after a dimension count (again the orbit is clearly a subvariety of the $\{1\}$ -subsecant or the $\{3\}$ -subsecant and has the same dimension). The orbit closure $\mathbb{P}(\overline{G.(|010\rangle + |111\rangle)})$ is the orbit of the line $\mathbb{P}_{e_0 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_0, e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1}^1$ defined by the $\{2\}$ -pair of points $(e_0 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_0, e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1)$. The corresponding orbit closure is the $\{2\}$ -subsecant variety $\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \times \mathbb{P}^1$. This completes the identifications of Table 1.

For Tables 2 and 3 we observe, after a dimension calculation similar to (1), that the dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VII}$ is equal to $3n + 4$ ($n = 2$ for Table 2 and $n \geq 3$ for Table 3). Moreover it is clear from the representatives that $J(X, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}) \supset \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VII}$. That last assertion comes from

$$x_{VII} = \underbrace{|000\rangle + |011\rangle}_{\in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}} + \underbrace{|102\rangle}_{\in X} \in J(X, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV})$$

We also know that $\dim(J(X, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV})) \leq \dim(X) + \dim(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}) + 1 = 3n + 5$. Thus we have $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VII} \subset J(X, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV})$ and $3n + 4 = \dim(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VII}) \leq \dim(J(X, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV})) \leq 3n + 5$. We now prove with Teracini's Lemma that $J(X, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV})$ is in fact of dimension $3n + 4$. Let $x = e \otimes f \otimes g + h \otimes (m \otimes n + p \otimes q)$ be a smooth point of $J(X, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV})$. Teracini's Lemma says that the tangent space of the join is given by $\widehat{T}_x J(X, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}) = \widehat{T}_{e \otimes f \otimes g} X + \widehat{T}_{h \otimes (m \otimes n + p \otimes q)} \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}$ with $\widehat{T}_{e \otimes f \otimes g} X = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes f \otimes g + e \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes g + e \otimes f \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and $\widehat{T}_{h \otimes (m \otimes n + p \otimes q)} \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV} = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes (m \otimes n + p \otimes q) + h \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2n+2}$. When we look at the intersection of the tangent spaces we have $h \otimes f \otimes g \in \widehat{T}_{e \otimes f \otimes g} X \cap \widehat{T}_{h \otimes (m \otimes n + p \otimes q)} \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}$, thus the intersection does not reduce to $\{0\}$ and therefore the join is not of maximal dimension, i.e. $\dim(J(X, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV})) \leq 3n + 4$. We conclude that $\dim(J(X, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV})) = 3n + 4$ and corresponds to $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VII}$. The orbit $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VIII}$ is of dimension 11 for Table 2 and corresponds to the ambient space \mathbb{P}^{11} . The orbit $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VIII}$ is of dimension $3n + 5$ for Table 3 but it is known, [7], that $\sigma_3(\mathbb{P}^{p-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{q-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1})$ is of dimension $3p + 3q + 3r - 7$ and therefore we can state that $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VIII} = \sigma_3(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n)$.

The last identifications concern Table 4: with Teracini's Lemma one shows that $J(X, \tau(X))$ has the expected dimension, i.e. 16. Then the orbit closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XVI}$, which is the unique orbit of dimension 16, corresponds to $J(X, \tau(X))$ (indeed we have $J(X, \tau(X)) = T(X, \sigma_2(X))$ because here $\sigma_3(X)$ fills the ambient space). One deduces there exists an orbit closure of dimension 15 which corresponds to $T(X, \tau(X))$. This has to be the orbit closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XV}$ (the only orbit of dimension 15). It is clear from the normal form that $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XIV}$ is included in $J(X, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2))$. But the expected dimension of $J(X, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2))$ is 14 which is the dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XIV}$. Thus we conclude to the equality between $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XIV}$ and $J(X, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2))$. As $J(X, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2))$ has the expected dimension one knows there exists a variety of dimension 13 corresponding to $T(X, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2))$. But according to [41] there is only one orbit of dimension 13 in $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XIV}$ and that is \mathcal{O}_{XIII} . Thus the orbit closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XIII}$ corresponds to $T(X, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2))$. The varieties $\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$ is of dimension 13 (that's the projectivization of the set of rank at least 2 matrices in the projectivization of the space of 6×3 matrices). But there are three others orbits of dimension 13 which are orbits \mathcal{O}_{VIII} , \mathcal{O}_{XI} and \mathcal{O}_{XII} . From the normal forms we can affirm that orbit \mathcal{O}_{XII} is contained in $\sigma_2(\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2)$ and the orbit \mathcal{O}_{VIII} is contained in $\sigma_2(\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2) = \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$ because

$$x_{XII} = |000\rangle + |011\rangle + |101\rangle + |112\rangle = \underbrace{|000\rangle + |101\rangle}_{\in \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2} + \underbrace{|011\rangle + |112\rangle}_{\in \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2}$$

$$x_{VIII} = |000\rangle + |011\rangle + |110\rangle + |121\rangle = \underbrace{|000\rangle + |011\rangle}_{\in \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2} + \underbrace{|110\rangle + |121\rangle}_{\in \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2}$$

That leads to the equalities $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XII} = \sigma_2(\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VIII} = \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$. The last orbit closure of dimension 13, namely $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XI}$, is a subvariety of $J(\mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathbb{P}^2, \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2)$:

$$x_{XI} = \underbrace{|000\rangle + |102\rangle}_{\in \sigma(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2} + \underbrace{|011\rangle + |121\rangle}_{\in \mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathbb{P}^2}$$

By Teracini's Lemma one obtains that $J(\mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathbb{P}^2, \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2)$ is of dimension less than 13. Indeed let $u = (a \otimes b + c \otimes d) \otimes e \in \mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, then $\widehat{T}_u \mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{C}^6 \otimes e + (a \otimes b + c \otimes d) \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$ and $v = f \otimes g \otimes h + k \otimes g \otimes l \in \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2$, then $\widehat{T}_v \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes g \otimes \mathbb{C}^3 + (f \otimes \underline{g} \otimes h + k \otimes \underline{g} \otimes l) \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$. Thus $(\widehat{T}_u \mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \cap (\widehat{T}_v \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2) \supset \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes g \otimes e$, i.e. the dimension of the join variety is at most $15 - 2 = 13$ and therefore we have $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XI} = J(\mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathbb{P}^2, \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2)$.

The same argument holds for orbits \mathcal{O}_{VII} and \mathcal{O}_X . One first shows that $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VII} \subset J(X, \mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_X \subset J(X, \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2)$:

$$x_{VII} = \underbrace{|000\rangle + |120\rangle}_{\in \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2} + \underbrace{|011\rangle}_{\in X}$$

$$x_X = \underbrace{|000\rangle + |102\rangle}_{\in \sigma(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2} + \underbrace{|011\rangle}_{\in X}$$

Then Teracini's Lemma allows us to prove that the join varieties are of dimension less than 12 and we conclude to the equality.

The last orbit to identify is \mathcal{O}_{IX} which is of dimension 9. From the normal form this orbit is clearly included in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_3(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^8$ and the identification follows because of the equality of dimensions. \square

4 Back to Miyake's geometric description by dual varieties

Since G acts with a finite number of orbits on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$, the orbit structure of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^*)$ is identical to the orbit structure of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$. In this section we identify the orbit closures in $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^*)$ with duals of varieties of the stratification given by Theorem 2. We then recover Miyake's geometric descriptions of entangled states by dual varieties for the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ and $2 \times 2 \times (n+1)$ quantum systems.

The dual variety and its singular locus

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ be a projective variety and let $\tilde{T}_x X$ denote the embedded tangent space of X at x , a smooth point of X . Define the *dual variety* X^* by

$$X^* = \overline{\{H \in \mathbb{P}(V^*) \mid \exists x \in X_{smooth} \text{ such that } \tilde{T}_x X \subset H\}} \subset \mathbb{P}(V^*)$$

The biduality theorem $(X^*)^* = X$, true in characteristic zero, implies that the original variety can be reconstructed from its dual variety. The dual varieties have been studied intensively by algebraic geometers ([46]). In the case of the variety $X = \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{(n+1)^2-1}$ (projectivization of rank one matrices) it is well known that the dual variety can be identified with the variety of matrices of rank at most n . Thus, up to multiplication by a nonzero scalar the equation defining X^* is the determinant. This leads to a higher dimensional generalization of the determinant, called hyperdeterminant, which was first introduced by Cayley and rediscovered by Gelfand, Kapranov and Zelevinsky [14]. The hyperdeterminant in the sense of [14] is the defining equation of the dual of $X = \mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_k}$ when X^* is a hypersurface.

In [37] A. Miyake uses this notion of hyperdeterminant to classify multipartite entangled states for $2 \times 2 \times n$ quantum systems. In the bipartite case, the dual of the set of separable states, $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{(n+1)^2-1}$, is isomorphic to the projectivization of the set of matrices of rank less than n . The generic entangled state, in the bipartite case, corresponds to matrices of maximal rank and therefore corresponds to $\mathbb{P}^{(n+1)^2-1} \setminus X^*$. Following the analogy with the 2-dimensional case Miyake proposes to use the stratification of $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$ by X^* and its subvarieties to distinguish the states of entanglement. The variety of separable states X being SLOCC invariant so is X^* and its singular locus. The dual variety and its singularities induce a filtration of the (dual) ambient space:

$$X_{sing}^* \subset X^* \subset \mathbb{P}(V^*)$$

In order to explain what the singular locus of the dual variety is, we need to look at the tangent hyperplanes of the variety X . When X^* is a hypersurface, a smooth hyperplane $H \in X^*$ is a hyperplane tangent to X with a unique singular point which is a nondegenerate quadric. In other words the restriction to X of the linear form which defines H is a quadric of full rank (a hypersurface with a A_1 singular point). Thus when X^* is a hypersurface there are two ways for a hyperplane to not be a smooth point, either by having more than one point of tangency or by defining a degenerate quadric [20, 52].

Definition 4.1. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ and X^* be its dual variety, which we assume to be a hypersurface. We define X_{node}^* , the node component of X^* to be the set of hyperplanes having more than one point of tangency :

$$X_{node}^* = \{H \in X^*, \exists(x, y) \in X \times X, x \neq y, \tilde{T}_x X \subset H, \tilde{T}_y X \subset H\}$$

We define the cusp component X_{cusp}^* , to be the set of hyperplanes defining a singular hyperplane section with degenerate quadratic part :

$$X_{cusp}^* = \{H \in X^*, \exists x \in X, \tilde{T}_x X \subset H, (X \cap H, x) \not\sim A_1\}$$

Following [14] we can decompose X_{node}^* into irreducible components :

Definition 4.2. Let $X = Y^{n_1} \times \dots \times Y^{n_m} \subset \mathbb{P}^{(n_1+1)\dots(n_m+1)-1}$ be the Segre product of m nondegenerate varieties and $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$

$$X_{node}^*(J) = \overline{\{H \in \mathbb{P}(V^*), \exists(x, y) \text{ a } J\text{-pair of point of } X \times X, \tilde{T}_x X \subset H, \tilde{T}_y X \subset H\}}$$

We now prove a proposition which describes for Segre products the node components in terms of the dual of the subsecant varieties.

Proposition 4.1. Let $X = Y_1 \times \dots \times Y_m \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ be the Segre product of m nondegenerate varieties and let X^* be its dual variety. The J -node component is the dual of the J -subsecant variety, i.e. for $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$:

$$X_{node}^*(J) = (\sigma_2(Y_1 \times \dots \times \underline{Y}_{j_1} \times \dots \times \underline{Y}_{j_k} \times \dots \times Y_m) \times Y_{j_1} \times Y_{j_2} \times \dots \times Y_{j_k})^*$$

Proof. It is a consequence of Teracini's Lemma. Let us denote by Z the J -subsecant variety $Z = \sigma_2(Y_1 \times \dots \times \underline{Y}_{j_1} \times \dots \times \underline{Y}_{j_k} \times \dots \times Y_m) \times Y_{j_1} \times Y_{j_2} \times \dots \times Y_{j_k}$ and $z = x + y \in Z$ a general point of Z . By definition (x, y) is a J -pair of point. According to the Teracini's Lemma we have $\hat{T}_x X + \hat{T}_y X = \hat{T}_z Z$. Thus if $H \in Z^*$ is tangent to Z at z it means $\hat{H} \supset \hat{T}_x X$ and $\hat{H} \supset \hat{T}_y X$ i.e. $H \in X_{node}^*(J)$ because (x, y) is a J -pair of point. On the other hand if $H \in X_{node}^*(J)$ then there exists a J -pair of point (x, y) such that $\hat{H} \supset \hat{T}_x X$ and $\hat{H} \supset \hat{T}_y X$ i.e. H is tangent to Z at the point $z = x + y$, i.e. $H \in Z^*$. \square .

Remark 4.1. This proposition shows in particular that $X_{node}^*(\emptyset) = \sigma_2(X)^*$. This equality is used in [20] to study the dimension of the singular locus of the duals of Grassmannians.

Stratification by the dual variety and its singular locus

We now recover Miyake's classifications [37, 39] by dual varieties by establishing the isomorphisms between the varieties of Theorem 2, and the varieties in the dual space. Moreover the components of the singular locus of the dual variety are described in terms of the duals of auxiliary varieties.

Theorem 4. For $2 \times 2 \times (n + 1)$ ($n \geq 1$) quantum systems the duality between the orbit closures are given in Tables 5, 6 and 7

Orbits	Varieties
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_V \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_I^*$	$\tau(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)^*$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}^*$	$(\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \times \mathbb{P}^1) \simeq (\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \times \mathbb{P}^1)^* = X_{node}^*(\{3\})$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{III} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{III}^*$	$(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1))^* = X_{node}^*(\{1\})$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}^*$	$(\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \underline{\mathbb{P}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \times \mathbb{P}^1) \simeq (\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \underline{\mathbb{P}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \times \mathbb{P}^1)^* = X_{node}^*(\{2\})$

Table 5: Duality between orbit closures for the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ quantum system

Orbits	Varieties
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VII} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_I^*$	$J(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2)) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2)^*$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VI} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}^*$	$\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \simeq (\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \times \mathbb{P}^2)^* \simeq (\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^2)^* = X_{node}^*(\{3\})$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_V \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_V^*$	$\tau(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \simeq \tau(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2)^*$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}^*$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2))^* = X_{node}^*(\{1\})$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{III} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{III}^*$	$\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \underline{\mathbb{P}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^1 \simeq (\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \underline{\mathbb{P}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^1)^* = X_{node}^*(\{2\})$

Table 6: Duality between the orbit closures for the $2 \times 2 \times 3$ quantum system

Orbits	Varieties
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VIII} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}^*$	$\sigma_3(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n) \simeq (\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \times \mathbb{P}^n)^* \simeq (\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^n)^*$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VII} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_I^*$	$J(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n)) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n)^*$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VI} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VI}^*$	$\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n) \simeq \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n)^*$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_V \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_V^*$	$\tau(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n) \simeq \tau(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n)^*$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}^*$	$(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n)) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{2n+1} \simeq (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{2n+1})^* \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times (\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n))^*$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{III} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{III}^*$	$\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \underline{\mathbb{P}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n) \times \mathbb{P}^1 \simeq (\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \underline{\mathbb{P}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n) \times \mathbb{P}^1)^*$

Table 7: Duality between the orbit closures for the $2 \times 2 \times (n+1)$ quantum systems, $n \geq 3$

Proof. Most of the identifications follow from calculation of the dimension of the dual of each variety of Theorem 2.

- Table 5: it is well known ([26]) the dual of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is a hypersurface and therefore corresponds to the closure of the unique orbit of dimension 6, i.e. $(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)^* \simeq \tau(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$.
The $\{j\}$ -subsecant varieties are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. But $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is the projectivization of rank one 4×2 matrices. The set of rank one 4×2 matrices is equal to the set of degenerate 4×2 matrices (the generic 4×2 matrices are of rank 2 and the degenerate ones of rank strictly less than 2). It means $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is self dual, i.e. $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \simeq (\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^1)^*$ and therefore so are the $\{j\}$ -subsecant varieties.
- Table 6: here again the dual of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ is a hypersurface and thus according to Theorem 2 one gets $(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2)^* \simeq J(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2))$ (the unique hypersurface). The orbit closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. But the projectivization of the set of rank one 4×3 matrices is dual to the (projectivization of the) set of rank at most 2 matrices which is of dimension 9 (after projectivization). Thus we identify $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}^*$ and the unique orbit closure of dimension 9, which is $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VI}$. The orbit closures $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{III}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}$ are self-dual because isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^5$. The remaining variety $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_V$ has to be self-dual.
- Table 7: in this table the dual of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) is no longer a hypersurface. A dimension count, using Katz's dimension formula ([24]), shows that $(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n)^*$ is of dimension $3n+4$ and therefore is isomorphic to $J(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n))$ according to Theorem 2. The orbit $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}$ is isomorphic to

$\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^n$ the projectivization of rank one $4 \times (n+1)$ matrices. Its dual variety is the projectivization of the $4 \times (n+1)$ matrices of rank less than 3. But the projectivization of $4 \times (n+1)$ matrices of rank less than 3 is of dimension $3n+5$ and therefore $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}^* \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VIII}$. The orbit closures $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{III}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ and therefore are self dual. To conclude one calculates the dimension of $\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n)^*$ using techniques proposed in [20] where the dimension of $\sigma_2(X)^*$ has been studied. Those calculations lead to $\dim(\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n)^*) = 2n+5$ and therefore the secant variety is self-dual. It forces the tangential variety to be also self-dual. \square

Theorem 4 allows us to recover Miyake's classification of $2 \times 2 \times (n+1)$ quantum systems for $n \geq 1$. For instance a direct consequence of Table 5 and Figure 1 is Figure 5 which is the geometric description developed in [37].




Figure 5: Stratification by the dual variety and its singular locus

Moreover with Proposition 4.1 the node components are identified. Those node components turn out to be self-dual varieties and are geometrically described. The same comment is true for the $2 \times 2 \times 3$ system. For $2 \times 2 \times (n+1)$ with $n \geq 4$ the interpretation of the node components is less obvious as the dual variety of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n$ is not a hypersurface.

5 Algorithms

In this section, we describe an algorithmic method to identify the orbit of a given state $|\Psi\rangle$. Consider G a semi-simple Lie group and V a representation of G . We know from Kac's classification [23] which pairs (G, V) have a finite number of orbits. A method to classify those orbits is proposed by Vinberg [50, 51] and leads to the determination of normal forms. Let $V_i = \mathbb{C}^{n_i}$, with $1 \leq i \leq k$, be k complex vector spaces. The classification of the orbits is well known when G is a product of linear groups, $G = GL(V_1) \times \cdots \times GL(V_k)$, acting on the tensor space $\mathcal{H} := V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_k$ with finitely many orbits (or equivalently, $SL(V_1) \times \cdots \times SL(V_k)$ acting on the projective space $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$). This is the case when $k = 2$ (it reduces to the classification of matrices according to their ranks, see example 2.1) and $k = 3$ with $n_1 \times n_2 \times n_3 = 2 \times 2 \times n$ and $n_1 \times n_2 \times n_3 = 2 \times 3 \times n$. The normal forms are given in [41] and in the previous sections we took advantage of the knowledge of those normal forms to describe the orbit closures. When G acts with an infinite number of orbits, some special cases where the normal forms depend on parameters are solved, see for instance the $3 \times 3 \times 3$ case in [40].

That same problem of classification of orbits under the product of linear groups has been studied from the point of view of classical invariant theory up to about 1940 (see e.g. [17, 18, 19, 43, 47, 48]) and suscited quite recently a regain of interest, motivated by potential application to quantum computing (see e.g. [5, 6, 25, 37, 39, 38]). The classical invariant theory approach has the advantage to produce polynomials (invariants, covariants,

concomitants) which can be used to separate the orbits. For instance the case $2 \times 2 \times 2$ goes back to 1881 with the work of Le Paige [31] where a complete list of covariants is described. That list of covariants allows us to distinguish the orbits. The $2 \times 2 \times 2$ case was also treated into a more precise form by Elise Schwartz [43] and independently by Saddler [42]. The result is reproduced in Sokolov's book [44] where the state of the knowledge up to 1960 is summarized. The classification for the $3 \times 3 \times 3$ case, following the same techniques, can be found in [47]. Very little is known about other cases in terms of invariants, covariants and concomittants.

For our purpose a state

$$|\Psi\rangle := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \sum_{0 \leq j_i \leq n_i - 1} A_{j_1, \dots, j_k} |j_1 \dots j_k\rangle$$

will be assimilated to the hypermatrix $\mathcal{A} = (A_{j_1, \dots, j_k})_{0 \leq j_i \leq n_i - 1, \forall i=1 \dots k}$ and the first covariant of the hypermatrix will be the multilinear form (also called the ground form):

$$A(x, y, \dots, z) := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \sum_{0 \leq j_i \leq n_i - 1} A_{j_1, \dots, j_k} x_{j_1} y_{j_2} \dots z_{j_k}$$

In principle the classification of the orbits can be obtained from the knowledge of the invariants, covariants and other concomitants (in the sense of classical invariant theory) of hypermatrices. This is a non-trivial task, and the result would allow in particular to write down explicit equations for the orbits closures, i.e. for the corresponding algebraic varieties.

We will mainly make use of the method of Schur functions, introduced in invariant theory by D.E. Littlewood [32]. Our strategy will be to find rigorously, or by a guess from numerical data, a generating function for the number of covariants of any given type (the number of general concomitants is more difficult to compute), and then, guided by the series, to try to construct explicitly first the covariant polynomials and hence some other concomitants by all possible methods.

Once the concomitants are obtained, the classification of the orbit closures can be recovered by testing the nullity of the concomitants. The description of the algebra of concomitants is a very tedious process which needs (even for simple cases; for general case this is unrealizable) several hours of computations on a computer algebra system. Nevertheless, once the polynomials are obtained and written in an appropriate way, the test is very efficient since this amounts to evaluate the polynomials on representatives of the orbits.

5.1 General method

When it is possible, we first determine the Hilbert series. The Hilbert series is easier to obtain than the description of the algebra and it will allow us to guide the calculations.

The sets of all invariants and covariants of hypermatrices of a given size are algebras $\text{Inv} = S(\mathcal{H})^G$ and $\text{Cov} = [S(\mathcal{H}) \otimes S(V_1^* \oplus \dots \oplus V_k^*)]^G$ which can be graded according to the degree d . The action of G on the space Cov provides an additional information: the weight of a covariant regarded as a relative invariant. More precisely, the weight of an invariant $F \in S^d(\mathcal{H})$ is the vector $\ell = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k)$ such that for any $g = (g_1, \dots, g_k) \in G$

$$g.F = (\det g_1)^{\ell_1} \dots (\det g_k)^{\ell_k} F,$$

where $g.F$ means the image of F under the natural representation of G on $S^d(\mathcal{H})$. Similarly a covariant of degree $d = (d_0, d_1, \dots, d_k)$ is a relative invariant of $S(\mathcal{H}) \otimes S(V_1^* \oplus \dots \oplus V_k^*)$. So the algebra of the invariants and covariants can be graded according to both the degree d and the weight ℓ .

For simplicity we will consider only the space $\text{Inv}(d_0)$ (resp. $\text{Cov}(d)$) of the (resp. multi) homogeneous polynomials of fixed degree d_0 (resp. multi-degree d) and we study the multivariate Hilbert series

$$H_{\text{Cov}}(t; u) := \sum \dim \text{Cov}(d) t^{d_0} u_1^{d_1} \dots u_k^{d_k}.$$

We show (see appendix A for details) the Hilbert series can be written using a Cauchy function:

$$\Pi_t[S] = \prod_m \left(\frac{1}{1 - mt} \right)^{\alpha_m}$$

where $S = \sum_m \alpha_m m$ is a (potentially infinite) linear combination of certain elements m . For instance :

$$\Pi_t[-u^{-1} + 2v + \frac{3}{2}uv] = \frac{1 - tu^{-1}}{(1 - tv)^2(1 - tuv)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

We have:

$$H_{Cov}(t; u) = \text{CT}_{v_1} \Omega_{\geq}^{u_1} \dots \text{CT}_{v_n} \Omega_{\geq}^{u_n} B_{i_1}(u_1, v_1) \dots B_{i_n}(u_n, v_n) \Pi_t [A_{i_1}(u_1, v_1) \cdots A_{i_n}(u_n, v_n)] \quad (2)$$

where $\text{CT}_v f(v)$ denotes the constant terms of the Laurent series $f(v)$, Ω_v is the Macmahon operator [36] which sends the negative power of v to 0, $A_2(u, v) = u + \frac{1}{u}$, $B_2(u, v) = 1 - \frac{1}{u^2}$ for binary variables and

$$A_2(u, v) = u + v + \frac{1}{vu}, B_3(u, v) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{vu^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{v^2u}\right) \left(1 - \frac{v}{u}\right)$$

for ternary variables.

Note using equation (14) to compute a closed form for the Hilbert series is not straightforward. The main strategy consists in decomposing the Laurent series into simple fractions, sending the fractions which contributes negative powers of each u to 0. This is a very tedious calculation which can be performed only for the simplest cases.

Now, our method of construction consists in generating concomitants and testing if the dimensions of the graded spaces spanned by these coincide with the dimensions predicted by the Hilbert series.

Once constructed, we use the covariants to identify the orbit of a given form. Indeed, if P is a concomitant then the assertion $P = 0$ is invariant on an orbit. So the goal is to compute sufficiently many concomitants in order to distinguish the orbits. Since in our case the classification is already known, we do not need to describe completely the algebra.

5.2 The case $2 \times 2 \times 2$

To illustrate the method, we first apply it to the (well known) simplest non-trivial case: $k = 3$ and $V_i = \mathbb{C}^2$. The generating series of the algebra of covariants is known :

$$\frac{1 - t^6 u_1^2 u_2^2 u_3^2}{(1 - tu_1 u_2 u_3)(1 - t^2 u_1^2)(1 - t^2 u_2^2)(1 - t^2 u_3^2)(1 - t^3 u_1 u_2 u_3)(1 - t^4)}.$$

This suggests that the algebra is generated by a trilinear covariant of degree 1, three quadratic covariants of degree 2, a trilinear covariant of degree 3 and a degree 4 invariant. Note also that the numerator suggests a triquadratic syzygy in degree 6. The complete system of covariant polynomials was found by Le Paige in [31]. The simplest covariants is the ground form A . The three quadratic forms are

$$B_x(x) = \det \left(\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial y_i \partial z_j} \right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq 1},$$

$$B_y(y) = \det \left(\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial x_i \partial z_j} \right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq 1}$$

and

$$B_z(z) = \det \left(\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial x_i \partial y_j} \right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq 1}.$$

To obtain the trilinear form, one computes anyone of the three Jacobians of A with one of the quadratic forms, which turn out to be the same

$$C(x, y, z) = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_0} & \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial x_0} & \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial x_1} \end{vmatrix}.$$

The three quadratic forms B_x , B_y and B_z have the same discriminant Δ which is also the hyperdeterminant [14] of the form. Furthermore the syzygy is

$$C^2 + \frac{1}{2}B_x B_y B_z + \Delta A^2 = 0.$$

With each form A , we associate the vector $v_A := \langle [B_x], [B_y], [B_z], [C], [\Delta] \rangle$ where $[P] = 0$ if $P = 0$ and $[P] = 1$ if $P \neq 0$. The evaluation of v_A allows us to distinguish the different orbits (see Table 8).

Orbits	Representatives	v_A
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VI}$	$ 000\rangle + 111\rangle$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_V$	$ 001\rangle + 010\rangle + 100\rangle$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}$	$ 111\rangle + 001\rangle$	$\langle 0, 0, 1, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{III}$	$ 111\rangle + 100\rangle$	$\langle 1, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}$	$ 111\rangle + 010\rangle$	$\langle 0, 1, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_I$	$ 111\rangle$	$\langle 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$

Table 8: The case $2 \times 2 \times 2$: evaluation of v_A on the orbits.

Remark 5.1. Let us compare the orbits described in Table 1 and the covariants of Table 8. Recall that in the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ case, the variety of separable states, corresponding to $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_I$ is $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. The invariant Δ is the equation of the dual variety X^* , the so-called Cayley hyperdeterminant but it is also the defining equation of the tangential variety $\tau(X)$ according to Table 1. Its singular locus corresponds to hypermatrices $|\Psi\rangle$ such that $C(|\Psi\rangle) = 0$.

The syzygy restricted to hypermatrices $|\Psi\rangle$ which belongs to $\tau(X)$ becomes $C^2 = -\frac{1}{2}B_x B_y B_z$. It tells us that the locus defined by $C = 0$ is not irreducible but will be made of three components corresponding to the vanishing of one of the covariants B_x, B_y, B_z . To get a better understanding of the covariant B_x let us consider, for a given state $|\Psi\rangle$, the projective map $\psi_x : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ defined by $\hat{\psi}_x : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ with

$$\hat{\psi}_x(v) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{000}x_0 + A_{100}x_1 & A_{001}x_0 + A_{101}x_1 \\ A_{010}x_0 + A_{110}x_1 & A_{011}x_0 + A_{111}x_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } v = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the hypersurface defined by $\det = 0$ (the projectivization of the set of matrices of rank one in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$). The definition of the covariant B_x implies that $B_x(|\Psi\rangle) = 0$ if and only if $\psi_x(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is contained in Σ . The image $\psi_x(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is either a point or a line. If it is a point then $\hat{\psi}_x(\mathbb{C}^2) = f \otimes g$ and $|\Psi\rangle = [e \otimes f \otimes g] \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. If $\psi_x(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is a line then either $\psi_x(\mathbb{P}^1) = \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes g)$ or $\psi_x(\mathbb{P}^1) = \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}(f \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$ (the variety Σ is ruled by two families of lines). The first solution implies $|\Psi\rangle \in \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \times \mathbb{P}^1$, i.e. $|\Psi\rangle \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}$, the second solution gives $|\Psi\rangle \in \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \underline{\mathbb{P}^1} \times \mathbb{P}^1) \times \mathbb{P}^1$, i.e. $|\Psi\rangle \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}$. Therefore we recover geometrically that $B_x(|\Psi\rangle) = 0 \Leftrightarrow |\Psi\rangle \in (\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \underline{\mathbb{P}^1} \times \mathbb{P}^1) \times \mathbb{P}^1) \cup (\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \times \mathbb{P}^1)$. Similarly it can be shown geometrically that B_y will vanish if and only if $|\Psi\rangle \in (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)) \cup (\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ and B_z will vanish if and only if $|\Psi\rangle \in (\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \underline{\mathbb{P}^1} \times \mathbb{P}^1) \times \mathbb{P}^1) \cup (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1))$.

Remark 5.2. We also give an interpretation in terms of pencils of circles in appendix B.

5.3 The case $2 \times 2 \times 3$

From (14) we find that the Hilbert series of the algebra of covariants is

$$\Omega_{\geq}^{u_1} \Omega_{\geq}^{u_2} \text{CT}_{v_3} \Omega_{\geq}^{u_3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{u_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{u_2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{v_3 u_3}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{v_3^2 u_3}\right) \left(1 - \frac{v_3}{u_3}\right) \times \\ \times \Pi_t \left[\left(u_1 + \frac{1}{u_1}\right) \left(u_2 + \frac{1}{u_2}\right) \left(u_3 + v_3 + \frac{1}{v_3 u_3}\right) \right].$$

The extraction of the positive part is a very tedious process which can be performed by expanding in simple fractions and erasing the fractions whose expansion as series are not Taylor. The Hilbert series of the algebra of the covariants can be explicitly computed :

$$\frac{1 - t^8 u_1^2 u_2^2 u_3^2}{(1 - t u_1 u_2 u_3)(1 - t^2 u_3^2)(1 - t^3 u_1 u_2)(1 - t^4 u_1 u_2^2)(1 - t^4 u_1 u_3^2)(1 - t^6)}. \quad (3)$$

This suggests that there are 6 generators of degree 1, 2, 3, 4, 4 and 6 with only one invariant in degree 6 and a syzygy in degree 8.

The covariant of degree 1 is just the ground form

$$A = \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j,k=0}^2 a_{i,j,k} x_i y_j z_k.$$

The covariant of degree 2 is obtained from A by elimination of variables x and y :

$$B := \det \left(\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial x_i \partial y_j} \right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq 1}.$$

The covariant of degree 3 is the bilinear form

$$C := \begin{vmatrix} a_{000} & a_{100} & a_{010} & a_{110} \\ a_{001} & a_{101} & a_{011} & a_{111} \\ a_{002} & a_{102} & a_{012} & a_{112} \\ x_1 y_1 & -x_0 y_1 & -x_1 y_0 & x_0 y_0 \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{i,j=0}^1 \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_3} a_{i,j,\sigma(1)-1} (a_{0,0,\sigma(2)-1}, a_{1,1,\sigma(3)-1} - a_{1,0,\sigma(2)-1} a_{0,1,\sigma(3)-1}) \right) x_i y_j.$$

The unique invariant generator is the determinant of C seen as a 2×2 matrix:

$$\Delta := \det \left(\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x_i \partial y_j} \right)$$

To describe the two covariants in degree 4, we recall the definition of the transvection of two multi-binary forms on the binary variables $x^{(1)} = (x_0^{(1)}, x_1^{(1)}), \dots, x^{(p)} = (x_0^{(p)}, x_1^{(p)})$:

$$(f, g)_{i_1, \dots, i_p} = \text{tr} \Omega_{x^{(1)}}^{i_1} \dots \Omega_{x^{(p)}}^{i_p} f(x'^{(1)}, \dots, x'^{(p)}) g(x''^{(1)}, \dots, x''^{(p)}),$$

where Ω is the Cayley operator

$$\Omega_x = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0''} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1''} \end{vmatrix}$$

and tr sends each variables x', x'' on x (erases $'$ and $''$).

The covariant A and C are two bilinear forms on the binary variables (x_0, x_1) and (y_0, y_1) . So we can apply the transvection operators and obtains two covariants in degree 4:

$$D_x = (A, C)_{01} \text{ and } D_y = (A, C)_{10}.$$

The evaluation of the vector $v_A := \langle [B], [C], [D_x], [D_y], [\Delta] \rangle$ on the different orbits is reproduced in Table 9. Note that the covariants D_x and D_y have no role and that v_A has the same evaluation for each orbit in $\{\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VI}, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_V, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}\}$ and for each orbit in $\{\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{III}, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_I\}$. So the knowledge of the covariant polynomials does not allow us to decide to which orbit a given state belongs.

<i>Orbit</i>	v_A
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VIII}$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VII}$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VI}$	$\langle 1, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_V$	$\langle 1, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}$	$\langle 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{III}$	$\langle 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}$	$\langle 1, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_I$	$\langle 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$

Table 9: The case $2 \times 2 \times 3$: Evaluation of v_A on the orbits.

We need to compute more concomitant polynomials. For ternary variables, one has to consider a ternary contravariant variable $\zeta = (\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2)$ and use an adapted version of the transvection:

$$(f, g, h)_{i,j,k}^\ell = \text{tr} \Omega_x^i \Omega_y^j \Omega_z^k \Omega_\zeta^\ell f(x', y', z', \xi') g(x'', y'', z'', \zeta'') h(x''', y''', z''', \zeta''')$$

where

$$\Omega_p = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial p'_0} & \frac{\partial}{\partial p''_0} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial p'_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial p''_1} \end{vmatrix}$$

for $p = x$, or $p = y$,

$$\Omega_p = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial p'_0} & \frac{\partial}{\partial p''_0} & \frac{\partial}{\partial p'''_0} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial p'_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial p''_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial p'''_1} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial p'_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial p''_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial p'''_2} \end{vmatrix}$$

if $p = z$ or $p = \zeta$ and tr is the mapping which erases the symbol $'$, $''$ and $'''$, as previously. We define three concomitants:

$$B_{x\zeta} := (A, A, P_\zeta)_{1,0,1}^0, B_{y\zeta} := (A, A, P_\zeta)_{0,1,1}^0 \text{ and } D_\zeta := (B, B, P_\zeta)_{2,0,0}^0,$$

where

$$P_\zeta := \sum_{i=0}^2 z_i \zeta_i.$$

Let $w_A = \langle [B], [B_{x\zeta}], [B_{y\zeta}], [C], [\Delta], [D_\zeta] \rangle$, we resume the evaluation of w_A on the various orbits in Table 10.

<i>Orbits</i>	w_A
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VIII}$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VII}$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VI}$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_V$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}$	$\langle 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{III}$	$\langle 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}$	$\langle 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_I$	$\langle 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$

Table 10: The case $2 \times 2 \times 3$: evaluation of w_A on the orbits.

Remark 5.3. In the $2 \times 2 \times 3$ case, the orbit \mathcal{O}_I is the Segre product $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. The invariant Δ is the hyperdeterminant in the sense of [14] of format $2 \times 2 \times 3$, i.e. the equation of the dual variety X^* . According to Table 2, the invariant Δ can also be interpreted as the equation of $J(X, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV})$. Like in the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ case, the covariant C vanishes on the suborbits of the hypersurface defined by $\Delta = 0$, i.e. $C(|\Psi\rangle) = 0$ means $|\Psi\rangle$ is a singular point of $\Delta = 0$. The covariant C admits also the following interpretation in term of secant varieties:

$$C(|\Psi\rangle) = 0 \Leftrightarrow |\Psi\rangle \in \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$$

To prove this assertion, let us consider $|\Psi\rangle$ as a projective map $\psi : \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ defined by the linear map $\widehat{\psi} : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ given by $\widehat{\psi}(v) = M_0 \otimes e_0^*(v) + M_1 \otimes e_1^*(v) + M_2 \otimes e_2^*(v)$, with $M_k = (A_{ijk})_{0 \leq i, j \leq 1} \in \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ for $k = 0, 1, 2$ and e_i^* the dual basis of \mathbb{C}^2 (see remark 5.1). The linear map $\widehat{\psi}$ is of rank 3 precisely when $C \neq 0$. But the rank of $\widehat{|\Psi\rangle} \in \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$ and the rank of $\widehat{\psi}$ satisfy by construction

$$\text{rank}(\widehat{|\Psi\rangle}) \geq \text{rank}(\widehat{\psi})$$

If $|\Psi\rangle$ is a limit of (the projectivization of) tensors of rank less than 2, by continuity of C we have $C(|\Psi\rangle) = 0$, i.e. $|\Psi\rangle \in \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$ implies $C(|\Psi\rangle) = 0$.

On the other hand if $C(|\Psi\rangle) = 0$ we can assume without loss of generality that $\widehat{\psi} = M_0 \otimes e_0^* + M_1 \otimes e_1^*$. If $M_0 = \lambda M_1$ we can write the map $\widehat{\psi}$ as $\widehat{\psi} = M_0 \otimes e_0^*$, i.e. $|\Psi\rangle = (e_0 \otimes e_0 + e_1 \otimes e_1) \otimes e_0$ and $|\Psi\rangle$ is a point of $\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \times \mathbb{P}^2$. If M_0 and M_1 are not colinear, these two matrices define a line after projectivization, i.e. $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}(\lambda M_0 + \mu M_1) \subset \mathbb{P}^3 = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$. Again consider $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ the hypersurface of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$ defined by $\det = 0$. If $\det(\lambda M_0 + \mu M_1) = 0$, i.e. the projectivized line defined by M_0 and M_1 is contained in Σ , then we can assume either $M_0 = e_0 \otimes e_0$ and $M_1 = e_0 \otimes e_1$ or $M_0 = e_0 \otimes e_0$ and $M_1 = e_1 \otimes e_0$ (both matrices are of rank 1 and their linear combination is of rank 1) and therefore either $\widehat{|\Psi\rangle} = e_0 \otimes e_0 \otimes e_0 + e_0 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1$ and $|\Psi\rangle$ belongs to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$ or $\widehat{|\Psi\rangle} = e_0 \otimes e_0 \otimes e_0 + e_1 \otimes e_0 \otimes e_1$ and $|\Psi\rangle$ belongs to $\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \underline{\mathbb{P}^1} \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^1$ (like in example 5.1 the two possibilities correspond to the two families of lines of $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$).

If $\det(\lambda M_1 + \mu M_2) \neq 0$ for some values λ, μ , i.e. the line intersects Σ , then we can assume M_0 is a 2×2 matrix of rank 2 and M_1 a matrix of rank 1. There are two cases to consider:

- The line is tangent to Σ and we can assume $M_0 = e_0 \otimes e_1 + e_1 \otimes e_0$ and $M_1 = e_0 \otimes e_0$. Then $\widehat{|\Psi\rangle} = (e_0 \otimes e_1 + e_1 \otimes e_0) \otimes e_0 + e_0 \otimes e_0 \otimes e_1 = e_0 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_0 + e_1 \otimes e_0 \otimes e_0 + e_0 \otimes e_0 \otimes e_1 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(-e_0 \otimes e_0 \otimes e_0 + (e_0 + \varepsilon e_1) \otimes (e_0 + \varepsilon e_1) \otimes (e_0 + \varepsilon e_1))$. From $\widehat{|\Psi\rangle}_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(-e_0 \otimes e_0 \otimes e_0 + (e_0 + \varepsilon e_1) \otimes (e_0 + \varepsilon e_1) \otimes (e_0 + \varepsilon e_1))$ we see that $\widehat{|\Psi\rangle}$ is a limit of tensor of rank 2 when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, i.e. $|\Psi\rangle$ is in the closure of $\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$.
- The line is secant to Σ and we can assume $M_0 = e_0 \otimes e_0 + e_1 \otimes e_1$ and $M_2 = e_0 \otimes e_0$. Then $\widehat{|\Psi\rangle} = e_0 \otimes e_0 \otimes e_0 + e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1$, i.e. $|\Psi\rangle \in \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$.

The covariant B is the analogue of the covariants B_x, B_y, B_z in the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ case, i.e. $B(|\Psi\rangle) = \det(\widehat{\psi}(\mathbb{C}^2))$. The vanishing of $B(|\Psi\rangle)$ implies $\psi(\mathbb{P}^2)$ belongs to Σ . In particular one sees that B vanishes only if $C = 0$ ($C \neq 0$ implies $\psi(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is a plane and therefore can not be contained in Σ). Like in the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ case there will be three cases corresponding to $B = 0$ which are:

- $\psi(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is a point of Σ (orbit $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_I = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$),
- $\psi(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is a line of Σ , i.e.
 - either $\psi(\mathbb{P}^2) = \mathbb{P}(f \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$ (orbit $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV} = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$)
 - or $\psi(\mathbb{P}^2) = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes g)$ (orbit $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{III} = \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \underline{\mathbb{P}^1} \times \mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^1$).

Those different cases are distinguished by the concomitants $B_{x\zeta}$ and $B_{y\zeta}$. If $C = 0$ and $B \neq 0$, then there are three different cases

- $\psi(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is a point and $\psi(\mathbb{P}^2) \notin \Sigma$ (orbit $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}$)
- $\psi(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is a line tangent to Σ (orbit $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_V$)
- $\psi(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is a line secant to Σ (orbit $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VI}$)

Finally when $C \neq 0$ then $\psi(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is a plane which could be tangent to Σ , $\Delta = 0$ (orbit $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VII}$) or secant, $\Delta \neq 0$ (orbit $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VIII}$).

Remark 5.4. In appendix B we give an interpretation in terms of linear complex of circles.

5.4 The case $2 \times 3 \times 3$

Again we start by computing the multivariate Hilbert series:

$$\Omega_{\geq}^{u_1} \text{CT}_{v_2} \Omega_{\geq}^{u_2} \text{CT}_{v_3} \Omega_{\geq}^{u_3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{u_1^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{v_2 u_2^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{v_3 u_3^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{u_2 v_2^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{u_3 v_3^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{v_2}{u_2}\right) \times \\ \times \left(1 - \frac{v_3}{u_3}\right) \Pi_t \left[\left(u_1 + \frac{1}{u_1}\right) \left(u_2 + v_2 + \frac{1}{v_2 u_2}\right) \left(u_3 + v_3 + \frac{1}{v_3 u_3}\right) \right].$$

We find

$$\frac{P(t; u_1, u_2, u_3)}{(1 - tu_1 u_2 u_3)(1 - u_1^3 t^3)(1 - u_2 u_3 t^4)(1 - u_2^3 t^6)(1 - u_3^3 t^6)(1 - u_2^3 u_3^3 t^6)(1 - u_1^2 t^6)((1 - t^{12})} \quad (4)$$

with

$$P(t; u_1, u_2, u_3) = -t^{26} u_1^4 u_2^5 u_3^5 - t^{22} u_1^2 u_2^4 u_3^4 - t^{21} u_1^3 u_2^3 u_3^3 - t^{19} u_1^3 u_2^4 u_3^4 - t^{18} u_1^4 u_2^3 u_3^3 \\ - t^{17} u_1 u_2^5 u_3^5 - (u_1 - 1)(u_1 + 1) t^{16} u_3^4 u_2^4 - (u_1 - 1)(u_1 + 1) t^{15} u_3^3 u_2^3 u_1 \\ - (u_1 - 1)(u_1 + 1) t^{11} u_3^2 u_2^2 u_1 - (u_1 - 1)(u_1 + 1) t^{10} u_3 u_2 u_1^2 \\ + t^9 u_1^3 + t^8 u_3^2 u_2^2 + t^7 u_3 u_2 u_1 + t^5 u_3^2 u_2^2 u_1 + t^4 u_3 u_2 u_1^2 + 1.$$

This suggests a very complicated description of the algebra. Nevertheless, as in the other cases, we will use only a part of the concomitant polynomials. The degree 3 generator is a cubic binary form obtained from the ground form $A := \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j,k=0}^2 a_{ijk} x_i y_j z_k$ by:

$$C_x := \det \left(\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial y_j \partial z_j} \right)_{j,k=0..2}.$$

For a cubic binary form, the algebra of covariants is well known. Its Hilbert series is

$$\frac{1 - u_1^6 t^6}{(1 - tu_1^3)(1 - u_1^2 t^2)(1 - u_1^3 t^3)(1 - t^4)}.$$

Considering a generic cubic binary form

$$a := a_0 x_0^3 + a_1 x_1 x_0^2 + a_2 x_1^2 x_0 + a_3 x_1^3,$$

we compute a covariant of degree 2, the Hessian:

$$b := \det \left(\frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq 1} \\ = (3a_0 a_2 - a_1^2) x_0^2 + (9a_0 a_3 - a_1 a_2) x_1 x_0 + (3a_1 a_3 - a_2)^2 x_1^2. \quad (5)$$

The discriminant of this quadratic form is the only invariant generator:

$$d := 4(3a_0 a_2 - a_1^2)(3a_1 a_3 - a_2^2) - (9a_0 a_3 - a_1 a_2)^2. \quad (6)$$

We need also to compute the covariant of degree 3:

$$c := \begin{aligned} & (6 z_0 a_0 a_2 - 2 z_0 a_1^2 - a_1 z_1 a_2 + 9 a_0 a_3 z_1) (a_1 z_0^2 + 2 a_2 z_1 z_0 + 3 a_3 z_1^2) \\ & - (-z_0 a_1 a_2 + 9 z_0 a_0 a_3 + 6 z_1 a_1 a_3 - 2 z_1 a_2^2) (3 a_0 z_0^2 + 2 a_1 z_1 z_0 + a_2 z_1^2). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Note that we have the syzygy: $9b + 512c + 128da^2 = 0$.

Replacing each a_i by the coefficient of $x_0^i x_1^{3-i}$ in C_x in eq. (5,6,7), that is,

$$a_0 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{000} & a_{001} & a_{002} \\ a_{010} & a_{011} & a_{012} \\ a_{020} & a_{021} & a_{022} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$a_1 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{000} & a_{001} & a_{002} \\ a_{010} & a_{011} & a_{012} \\ a_{120} & a_{121} & a_{122} \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} a_{000} & a_{001} & a_{002} \\ a_{110} & a_{111} & a_{112} \\ a_{020} & a_{021} & a_{022} \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} a_{100} & a_{101} & a_{102} \\ a_{010} & a_{011} & a_{012} \\ a_{020} & a_{021} & a_{022} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$a_2 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{000} & a_{001} & a_{002} \\ a_{110} & a_{111} & a_{112} \\ a_{120} & a_{121} & a_{122} \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} a_{100} & a_{101} & a_{102} \\ a_{110} & a_{111} & a_{112} \\ a_{020} & a_{021} & a_{022} \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} a_{100} & a_{101} & a_{102} \\ a_{010} & a_{011} & a_{012} \\ a_{120} & a_{121} & a_{122} \end{vmatrix}$$

and

$$a_3 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{100} & a_{101} & a_{102} \\ a_{110} & a_{111} & a_{112} \\ a_{120} & a_{121} & a_{122} \end{vmatrix};$$

we obtain one quadratic covariant F_x in degree 6, a cubic covariant I_x in degree 9 and the invariant Δ in degree 12.

We observe that $F_x = 0$ if and only if $I_x = 0$, so we have only to evaluate the vector $v_A = \langle [C_x], [F_x], [\Delta] \rangle$ on the representative of the different orbits. These covariants are not sufficient to discriminate between the orbits but they allow us to partition the set of the orbits into 4 sets (see Table 11). The other covariant polynomials

Orbits	v_A
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XVII}$	$\langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XVI}, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XIV}$	$\langle 1, 1, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XV}, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XIII}, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IX}$	$\langle 1, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_I, \dots, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VIII}, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_X, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XI}, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XII}$	$\langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$

Table 11: Evaluation of v_A on the orbits: the case $2 \times 3 \times 3$.

are more complicated to understand in an algebraic way. For instance, we will use the two following degree 4 covariants:

$$D_{xyz} := (C_x, A)^{100}$$

and

$$D_{yz} := \text{tr} (\Omega_x \text{tr}'_x \Omega'_x \Omega_z \Omega_y A(x', y', z')^2 A(x'', y'', z'') A(x''', y''', z'''))$$

where $\Omega'_x := \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x''_0} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x''''_0} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x''_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x''''_1} \end{vmatrix}$ and tr'_x sends x''_i and x''''_i to x''_i . The covariants D_{yz} and D_{xyz} are both bilinear in the ternary variables $y = \{y_0, y_1, y_2\}$ and $z = \{z_0, z_1, z_2\}$ and D_{xyz} is quadratic in the binary variable $x = \{x_0, x_1\}$. These covariants are used to separate the orbits $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XV}$, $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XIII}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IX}$ (see Table 12). Define also

$$F_y := \text{tr} \Omega_x \Omega_z A(x', y', z') A(x'', y'', z'') D_{yz}(y''', z''').$$

<i>Orbits</i>	$\langle [D_{yz}], [D_{xyz}] \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XV}$	$\langle 1, 1 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XIII}$	$\langle 0, 1 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IX}$	$\langle 0, 0 \rangle$

Table 12: Evaluation of $\langle [D_{yz}], [D_{xyz}] \rangle$ on the orbits $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XV}$, $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XIII}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IX}$.

This polynomial vanishes on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XIV}$ but not on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XVI}$. It remains to separate the orbits $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_I, \dots, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VIII}, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_X, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XI}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XII}$.

We need to introduce some covariant polynomials:

$$B_{z\eta} := \text{tr} \Omega_y \Omega_x A(x', y', z') A(x'', y'', z'') P(y''', \eta'''),$$

and

$$B_{y\zeta} := \text{tr} \Omega_z \Omega_x A(x', y', z') A(x'', y'', z'') P(z''', \zeta''').$$

These polynomials allows to discriminate between the orbits $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_I$, $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{III}$ (see Table 13). In degree 2, we

<i>Orbits</i>	$\langle [B_{y\zeta}], [B_{z\eta}] \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{III}$	$\langle 0, 1 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}$	$\langle 1, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_I$	$\langle 0, 0 \rangle$

Table 13: Evaluation of $\langle [B_{y\zeta}], [B_{z\eta}] \rangle$ on the orbits $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_I$, $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{III}$.

define also the concomitant:

$$B_{x\eta\zeta} := (A, A, P(y, \eta) P(z, \zeta))^{011}.$$

In degree 4:

$$D_{\eta\zeta} := (B_{x\eta\zeta}, B_{x\eta\zeta})^{200},$$

and

$$D_{yz\eta\zeta} := \text{tr} \Omega_y \Omega_z (\Omega_x A(x', y', z') A(x'', y'', z''))^2 P(y''', \eta''') P(z''', \zeta''').$$

Also in degree 6:

$$F_\eta := (B_{z\eta}, B_{z\eta}, B_{z\eta})^{002},$$

$$F_\zeta := (B_{y\zeta}, B_{y\zeta}, B_{y\zeta})^{002}.$$

Finally, in degree 8:

$$H_{xy\zeta\eta} := \text{tr} \Omega_\zeta D_{yz\eta\zeta} (y', z', \eta', \zeta') B_{z\eta} (z'', \eta'') B_{x\eta\zeta} (x''', \eta''', \zeta''')$$

and

$$H_{xy\zeta} := \text{tr} \Omega_\eta D_{yz\eta\zeta} (y', z', \eta', \zeta') B_{y\zeta} (y'', \zeta'') B_{x\eta\zeta} (x''', \eta''', \zeta''')$$

We define $v'_A := \langle [D_{yz\eta\zeta}], [B_{x\eta\zeta}], [D_{\eta\zeta}], [D_{yz}], [F_\eta], [F_\zeta], [H_{xy\zeta\eta}], [H_{xy\zeta}] \rangle$. Table 14 gives the evaluation of v'_A on the remaining orbits.

We summarize the results of this section in Table 15, setting

$$w_A := \langle [D_{yz\eta\zeta}], [B_{x\eta\zeta}], [B_{y\zeta}], [B_{z\eta}], [C_x], [D_{\eta\zeta}], [D_{yz}], [F_y], [F_x], [F_\eta], [F_\zeta], [H_{xy\zeta\eta}], [H_{xy\zeta}], [\Delta] \rangle.$$

Orbits	v'_A
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XII}$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XI}$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_X$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VIII}$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VII}$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VI}$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_V$	$\langle 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}$	$\langle 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$

Table 14: Evaluation of v'_A on the orbits $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}, \dots, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VIII}, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_X, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XI}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XII}$.

Orbits	w_A
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XVII}$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XVI}$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XV}$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XIV}$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XIII}$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XII}$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XI}$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_X$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IX}$	$\langle 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VIII}$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VII}$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VI}$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_V$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}$	$\langle 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{III}$	$\langle 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}$	$\langle 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$
$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_I$	$\langle 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$

Table 15: Case $2 \times 3 \times 3$: Evaluation of w_A on the orbits.

Remark 5.5. The comparison between varieties of Table 4 and 15 give the following interpretations for Δ , C_x , $B_{y\zeta}$, $B_{z\eta}$:

Again the only invariant polynomial Δ can be considered as the equation of the dual of $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ or as the equation of the join $J(X, \tau(X))$.

The covariant C_x is defined by $C_x(|\Psi\rangle) = \det(\widehat{\psi}_x(\mathbb{C}^2))$ where $\widehat{\psi}_x : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$, is given by $\widehat{\psi}_x = e_0^* \otimes M_0 + e_1^* \otimes M_1$, with M_i 3×3 matrices. Thus $C_x(|\Psi\rangle) \neq 0$ means $\psi_x(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is not contained in $\Sigma = \sigma(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \subset \mathbb{P}^8$, the hypersurface defined by $\det = 0$. This is the case when $|\Psi\rangle$ is a general point of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IX} = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^8$. Thus C_x does not vanish on all orbits containing $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IX}$, i.e. orbits $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XIII}, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XIV}, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XV}, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XVI}, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XVII}$ (see Figure 4). On the other hand when $C_x(|\Psi\rangle) = 0$, then $\psi_x(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is a subset of $\Sigma = \sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \subset \mathbb{P}^8$.

The image $\psi_x(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is either a point or a line. It is a point when $B_{y\zeta}(|\Psi\rangle) = 0$ and $B_{z\eta}(|\Psi\rangle) = 0$ i.e. the matrices M_0 and M_1 which define ψ_x are colinear. The discussion on C_x and $B_{y\zeta}$, $B_{z\eta}$ gives the following possibilities:

- if $B_{y\zeta}(|\Psi\rangle) = B_{z\eta}(|\Psi\rangle) = 0$ ($\psi_x(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is a point of \mathbb{P}^8)
 - then $C_x(|\Psi\rangle) = 0$ (i.e. $\psi(\mathbb{P}^1) \in \Sigma$), gives two possibilities:

- * $\psi_x(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is a point of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ (orbit $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_I$)
- * $\psi_x(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is a point of Σ (orbit $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IV}$)
- and $C_x(|\Psi\rangle) \neq 0$ (i.e. $\psi(\mathbb{P}^1) \notin \Sigma$), gives one possibility:
 - * $\psi_x(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is a general point of $\mathbb{P}^8 \setminus \Sigma$ (orbit $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{IX}$).
- if $B_{y\zeta}(|\Psi\rangle) \neq 0$ or $B_{z\eta}(|\Psi\rangle) \neq 0$ ($\psi_x(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is line in \mathbb{P}^8)
 - then $C_x(|\Psi\rangle) = 0$ (i.e. $\psi(\mathbb{P}^1) \subset \Sigma$), gives 9 possibilities:
 - * $\psi_x(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is a line of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ (orbits $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{II}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{III}$)
 - * $\psi_x(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is a line tangent to $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ (orbit $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_V$)
 - * $\psi_x(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is a line secant to $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ (orbit $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VI}$)
 - * $\psi_x(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is a line of Σ (orbits $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{VIII}$, $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_X$, $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XI}$, $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XII}$)
 - and $C_x(|\Psi\rangle) \neq 0$ (i.e. $\psi(\mathbb{P}^1) \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$), gives 5 possibilities:
 - * $\psi_x(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is a line of $\mathbb{P}^8 \setminus \Sigma$ (orbit $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XIII}$, $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XIV}$, $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XV}$, $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XVI}$, $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{XVII}$).

The covariants and concomitants allow us to distinguish the different positions of the lines but a priori geometric interpretations of those polynomials are far from being obvious.

Note Table 15 have been computed using Maple programs.

The sources are available at <http://www-igm.univ-mlv.fr/~luque/form233.txt>.

6 Conclusion

In this paper we proposed an alternative approach to the geometric descriptions of entanglement given by Miyake in [37, 39]. The idea was to use auxiliary varieties such as join, tangent and secant varieties instead of a description by dual varieties. The introduction of the secant and tangential varieties brought a more precise description of the singular locus of the dual varieties as we were able to interpret the singular components of X^* as dual varieties of the stratification by join and tangential varieties. We also detailed the geometric description of the entangled states for the $2 \times 3 \times 3$ quantum system. Both descriptions of entanglement, by join and tangential varieties or dual varieties, are equivalent as long as we deal with group actions with finitely many orbits. However challenging problems in QIT start with quantum systems with infinitely many orbits. For example in the case of the $3 \times 3 \times 3$ system or the $2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2$ system there does not seem to be a complete consensus on what an entangled state is mathematically (different papers announce different numbers of entangled states under different definitions [49, 1, 27]). We believe that the approach by secant and tangential varieties could bring interesting perspectives for the geometric description of entanglement in QIT. For instance the recent work of Buczyński and Landsberg on the third secant varieties of the Segre product of three projective spaces provides useful results to describe the points lying in the closure of $\sigma_3(\mathbb{P}^{k_1} \times \mathbb{P}^{k_2} \times \mathbb{P}^{k_3})$. But smooth points of $\sigma_3(\mathbb{P}^{k_1} \times \mathbb{P}^{k_2} \times \mathbb{P}^{k_3})$ correspond to a state of type $|000\rangle + |111\rangle + |222\rangle$, i.e. generalize the GHZ state. As noticed in [16] the results of [8] on the geometry of $\sigma_s(\underbrace{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^1}_{n \text{ times}})$ should lead to a better

understanding of the quantification of entanglement for n -qubits.

Another way to study mathematically entanglement is to look for invariant polynomials under the SLOCC group actions [4, 34]. These polynomials allow one to distinguish the different states as we did in Section 5 where we introduced an algorithmic method to identify the orbit of a given state for the quantum systems of this paper. In this sense the dual varieties approach provides also invariant polynomials as the hyperdeterminant, in the sense [14], is SLOCC invariant. But the study of the defining equations of the secant and tangential varieties is also a topic of interest for algebraic geometers [9, 28]. Looking for geometric interpretations of the covariants we made some connection between the vanishing of some these polynomials and the defining equations of the secant variety. We believe that the geometric understanding of the polynomials produced by classical invariant theory

techniques in the context of auxiliary varieties needs to be better understood. The study of the geometry of secant and tangent varieties of Segre varieties should therefore help to understand the structure of multipartite entanglement both from the orbit stratifications point of view and from the invariant polynomials perspective.

A Hilbert series

In this section, we use extensively symmetric functions (and in particular Schur functions) and their applications to the representation theory of the linear groups (see *e.g.* [35]).

The characters of the irreducible polynomial representations of the product group G are product of Schur functions

$$S_{\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(k)}} = s_{\lambda^{(1)}}(X_1) \dots s_{\lambda^{(k)}}(X_k)$$

where the $\lambda^{(i)}$ are partitions and each $X_i = \{x_{i1}, \dots, x_{in_i}\}$ is a set of n_i variables. The character of the one-dimensional representation

$$\det(g)^\ell = \det(g_1)^{\ell_1} \dots \det(g_k)^{\ell_k}.$$

is the product of rectangular Schur functions $s_{\ell_1^{n_1}}(X_1) \dots s_{\ell_k^{n_k}}(X_k)$, whilst the character of G is $s_d(X_1 \dots X_k)$. Hence, the dimension of the space of invariants of degree d and weight ℓ , which is also the multiplicity of the one dimensional character \det^ℓ in $S^d(\mathcal{H})$, is given by the scalar product

$$\dim \text{Inv}(d, \ell) = \langle s_d(X_1 \dots X_k), s_{\ell_1^{n_1}}(X_1) \dots s_{\ell_k^{n_k}}(X_k) \rangle_G$$

of characters of G . To evaluate this scalar product, we can replace the X_i by an infinite set of independent variables, and compute in the tensor product $Sym^{\otimes k}$ of k copies of the algebra of symmetric functions Sym . The results will be the same, since in both cases the orthonormal basis is given by tensor products of Schur functions, S_λ being identified with $s_{\lambda^{(1)}} \otimes \dots \otimes s_{\lambda^{(k)}}$. Under this identification, the operation $\delta(f) = f(XY)$ corresponds to a comultiplication in Sym , which is known to be the adjoint of the internal product \star of symmetric functions. Note the value of ℓ_i depends on those of d and n_i ($\ell_i n_i = d_i$). Hence,

$$\dim \text{Inv}(d) = \langle s_d, s_{\ell_1^{n_1}} \star \dots \star s_{\ell_k^{n_k}} \rangle_{Sym} \quad (8)$$

A similar reasoning gives

$$\dim \text{Cov}(d_0, \dots, d_k) = \langle s_{d_0}, (s_{\ell_1^{n_1}} s_{d_1}) \star \dots \star (s_{\ell_k^{n_k}} s_{d_k}) \rangle_{Sym}, \quad (9)$$

again the value of each ℓ_i is obtained by $d_i + \ell_i n_i = d_0$.

In order to compute the Hilbert series we need to introduce the Cauchy function Π_t which is a very powerful tool for the manipulation of symmetric functions (see *e.g.* [29]):

$$\Pi_t(\mathbb{X}) = \prod_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \frac{1}{1 - xt} = \exp \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 1} t^n \frac{p_n}{n} \right\} = \sum_{n \geq 0} s_n t^n$$

where $p_n = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{X}} x^n$ denotes a power sum symmetric function. Consider also the operator

$$\check{\partial}_t := \exp \left\{ - \sum_{n \geq 1} (-t)^n \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n} \right\}$$

and the vertex operator [30]:

$$\Gamma_t := \Pi_t \check{\partial}_{-\frac{1}{t}},$$

The operator $\check{\partial}_t$ acts by shifting the power sum

$$\check{\partial}_t f(p_1, p_2, \dots) = f(p_1 + t, p_2 - t^2, \dots). \quad (10)$$

The definition of Schur function can be naturally extended to the compositions with negative parts [29]

$$s_v := \begin{vmatrix} s_{v_1} & s_{v_1+1} & \dots & s_{v_1+n-1} \\ s_{v_2} & s_{v_2+1} & \dots & s_{v_2+n-1} \\ \vdots & \dots & & \vdots \\ s_{v_n} & s_{v_n+1} & \dots & s_{v_n+n-1} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

with $s_n = 0$ if $n < 0$. Using equalities (10) and (11) we find

$$\Gamma_z s_v = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^n s_{nv}.$$

And we use it iteratively to show

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \lambda_n \in \mathbb{N}}} y_1^{\lambda_1} \dots y_n^{\lambda_n} s_\lambda = \prod_i y_i^{i-n} \prod_{i < j} (y_i - y_j) \Pi_1(YX), \quad (12)$$

where $YX = \{y_i x : i = 1 \dots n, x \in X\}$.

On the other hand the dimension formula (9) allows us to write the Hilbert series as a scalar product involving Cauchy functions. Let us denote by $[\]$ the plethysm operation (see *e.g.* [35]). Remarking that for an alphabet of size k we have:

$$\sum_{l,d} s_{l^k} s_d = \sum_n s_n [s_1 + s_{1^k}],$$

we obtain

$$H_{Cov}(t; u) = \sum_{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n} \dim Cov(d_0, \dots, d_k) t^{d_0} u_1^{d_1} \dots u_n^{d_n} = \langle \Pi_1[\alpha_{i_1}(t, u_1)], \Pi_1[\alpha_{i_2}(1, u_2)] \dots \Pi_1[\alpha_{i_k}(1, u_n)] \rangle \quad (13)$$

where $\alpha_k(t, u) = t u s_1 + t^k s_{1^k}$ for k -ary alphabet. Note we have

$$\Pi_1[us_1 + s_{1^k}] = \sum_{\substack{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \\ \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k}} u^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} s_\lambda;$$

this is a consequence of

$$s_n [s_{1^k}] = \sum_{\substack{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p) \\ \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k = n}} s_{\lambda_1^k \dots \lambda_p^k}$$

and of the Pieri formula. Hence, for an alphabet of size k we have

$$\Pi_1[us_1 + s_{1^k}] = \sum_{\substack{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \\ \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k}} u^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} s_\lambda.$$

These series can be obtained using a combination of vertex operators and Omega operators of Macmahon Ω_{\geq}^u [36] which send the monomials with a negative power of u to 0. Indeed, from (12) we obtained for an alphabet of size 2 (binary case)

$$\sum_{\ell(\lambda) \leq 2} u^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} s_\lambda = \Omega_{\geq}^u \left(1 - \frac{1}{u^2} \right) \Pi_t \left[\left(u + \frac{1}{u} \right) \mathbb{X} \right]$$

and for an alphabet of size 3 (ternary case), by setting $y_1 = u$, $y_2 = \frac{1}{vu}$ and $y_3 = v$ in (12)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\lambda=(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3 \\ \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2}} u^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} s_\lambda &= \text{CT}_v \Omega_{\geq}^u \sum_{\substack{\lambda=(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \\ \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{N}}} u^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} v^{\lambda_2 - \lambda_3} s_\lambda \\ &= \text{CT}_v \Omega_{\geq}^u \left(1 - \frac{1}{vu^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{v}{u}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{v^2u}\right) \Pi_t \left[\left(u + \frac{1}{vu} + v\right) \mathbb{X} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where CT_v means the constant term.

Combining this with equality (13) this gives the Hilbert series as an Omega

$$H_{Cov}(t; u) = \text{CT}_{v_1} \Omega_{\geq}^{u_1} \dots \text{CT}_{v_n} \Omega_{\geq}^{u_n} B_{i_1}(u_1, v_1) \dots B_{i_n}(u_n, v_n) \Pi_t [A_{i_1}(u_1, v_1) \dots A_{i_n}(u_n, v_n)] \quad (14)$$

where $A_2(u, v) = u + \frac{1}{u}$, $B_2(u, v) = 1 - \frac{1}{u^2}$ for binary variables and

$$A_3(u, v) = u + v + \frac{1}{vu}, \quad B_3(u, v) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{vu^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{v^2u}\right) \left(1 - \frac{v}{u}\right)$$

for ternary variables.

B Interpretations in terms of annalagmatic geometry

Let us recall first the principle of tetracyclic coordinates [11].

One starts with the equation of a circle in the real Euclidean plane with coordinates (x, y) . Introducing homogenous coordinates $(X : Y : Z)$, on the complexified plane, the equation of our circle C can be written in the form

$$x_0 \cdot i(X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2) + x_1 \cdot (X^2 + Y^2 - Z^2) + x_2 \cdot 2XZ + x_3 \cdot 2YZ = 0, \quad (15)$$

where $(x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3)$ are called the (homogeneous) coordinates of C . The quantities $y_0 = i(X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2)$, $y_1 = X^2 + Y^2 - Z^2$, $y_2 = 2XZ$, $y_3 = 2YZ$, are called the *special tetracyclic coordinates* of the point $(X : Y : Z)$ of \mathbb{P}^2 . They satisfy

$$(yy) = 0, \quad (16)$$

where

$$(xy) = \sum_{i=0}^3 x_i y_i \quad (17)$$

is the fundamental quadratic form in the geometry of circles. Any other nondegenerate quadratic form can be taken instead of (xy) . We shall set for a circle

$$x_0 = a + d, \quad x_1 = i(a - d), \quad x_2 = b - c, \quad x_3 = i(b + c), \quad (18)$$

so that

$$(xx) = 2(ad - bc) = 2 \det M \quad (xx') = ad' + a'd - bc' - b'c \quad (19)$$

where M is the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

The tetracyclic coordinates of a point is now given by a rank 1 matrix which can be written in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_2 z_2 & -y_2 z_1 \\ -y_1 z_2 & y_1 z_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

In this picture, finite points of the plane are represented by points on the quadric Σ : $\det M = 0$, and the coordinates of a point are given in terms of the parameters (y_1, y_2) and (z_1, z_2) of the two generatrices of the quadric intersecting in it. The finite points are those for which $ix_0 + x_1 = -2Z^2 \neq 0$. In matrix coordinates, this reads $a \neq 0$. Hence, the elements at infinity of this geometry consist of the two intersecting generatrices $b = 0$ and $c = 0$ of Σ . We are working with a compactification of the complexified Euclidean plane \mathbb{C}^2 which is not isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 but to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. The generatrices of Σ correspond to two families of lines of the affine plane, called *minimal lines*.

Turning back to the multilinear form $2 \times 2 \times 2$, we can now interpret the equation $\sum_{i,j=0}^1 a_{ij} x_i y_j = 0$ as that of a circle, whose coordinates form the matrix (a_{ij}) . Hence, the equation $A = 0$ can be understood as describing a pencil of circles. To do this, we have to single out one variable, say z , viewed as a projective parameter, the other two ones being minimal line coordinates on a tetracyclic plane. In this setting, the orbit classification and the normal forms are almost immediate. Let \mathcal{C}_z be the point in \mathbb{P}^3 representing the circle of the pencil with parameter z . Then, the set $\ell = \{\mathcal{C}_z | z \in \mathbb{C}^2\}$ can be either a proper line (the generic case) or be degenerated into a single point. The rest of the discussion will depend on the relative position of this line or point with respect to the non-singular quadric Σ of null circles. Let us first consider the case where ℓ is a proper line. Generically, it will intersect Σ into two distinct points $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2$ (the base points of the pencil). These points can be mapped by a circular transformation to the origin of the affine plane, and to the intersection of the two isotropic lines at infinity, which have respectively as matrix coordinates

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (22)$$

whence the normal form associated to \mathcal{O}_{VI} in this case. The test for this case is $\Delta \neq 0$, since $B_z = 0$ gives the parameters for the two null circles of the pencil. We note on the normal forms that $C = 0$ is a circle of the same pencil. It is the unique such circle which is orthogonal to \mathcal{C}_z . Let us remark that since we are working over \mathbb{C} , we do not distinguish between intersecting pencils and pencils with limit points, but it would be easy to refine the discussion in order to obtain the classification over \mathbb{R} , which can be found in Sokolov's book [44].

The next case is when ℓ is tangent to Σ in exactly one point. Here, $\Delta = 0$, and there is exactly one null circle \mathcal{C}_z . By a circular transformation, we can arrange that this null circle becomes the origin, and that the radical axis becomes a coordinate axis, say the y axis whose equation in matrix tetracyclic coordinates is $b - c = 0$, $d = 0$, whence the normal form associated to \mathcal{O}_V for this case. All the circles of the pencil have its null circle as a common point. Its equation is given by $C = 0$.

By a further degeneracy, ℓ can become a generatrix of Σ . The two systems constitute then two orbits, with respective normal forms associated to \mathcal{O}_{II} and \mathcal{O}_{III} .

Finally, ℓ can be a single point m . If it is not on Σ , we can transform it into any proper circle, e.g., the one with matrix coordinates

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which yields the normal form associated to \mathcal{O}_{IV} . If m is on Σ , it is a null circle, and the normal form can obviously be taken as those associated to \mathcal{O}_I .

For the case $2 \times 2 \times 3$: the equation $A = 0$ represents then a linear complex (or *net*) of circles \mathcal{C}_z , i.e. a plane of the \mathbb{P}^3 of circles, which may degenerate into a line or a point. Let, as above, Π_x be the linear variety formed by the representative points of all these circles. The ground form, identified with the matrix $M_z = (a_{ij0}z_0 + a_{ij1}z_1 + a_{ij2}z_2)_{i,j=0,1} = \sum_i M_i z_i$, is then the matrix of circle coordinates of \mathcal{C}_z , and $B = \det(M_z) = 0$ when \mathcal{C}_z is a null circle.

In the generic case, M_1, M_2, M_3 are linearly independent, and we have a proper net. This case is recognized from the covariant C , which does not vanish identically. The net is then formed by the collection of circles orthogonal to a fixed circle, whose equation is $C = 0$. By a circular transformation, this circle can be mapped to a coordinate axis, say $0z$, whence a simple normal form (the net of circles centered on $0z$). This is not anymore possible if $\det C = 0$. The net is then formed by all circles having a common point, which we may take as the origin of coordinates.

If C vanishes identically, the net reduces to a pencil or to a point, and the normal forms can be inferred from the previous discussion of trilinear forms.

To conclude, let us remark that $D_x D_y = 0$ gives the minimal lines through the common points of $A = 0$ and $C = 0$. Also, the hyperdeterminant Δ is proportional to the discriminant of B , whose determinantal expression is recognized as the condition that the three circles with coordinates M_i have a common point.

Acknowledgments This paper is partially supported by the ANR project PhysComb, ANR-08-BLAN-0243-04.

References

- [1] L. Borsten, D. Dahanayake, M. J. Duff, A. Marrani, W. Rubens *Four-Qubit Entanglement Classification from String Theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett., vol 107 (2010)
- [2] D.C. Brody, L. P. Hughston *Geometric quantum mechanics* Journal of Geometry and Physics, vol. 38, 19-53 (2001)
- [3] D.C. Brody, A.C.T. Gustavsson, L.P. Hughston *Entanglement of three-qubit geometry* J. Phys. Conf. Ser. vol. 67, 012044 (2007)
- [4] E. Briand, J.-G. Luque, J.-Y Thibon, F. Verstraete *The moduli space of three qutrit states* J. Math. Phys. vol 45 (2004)
- [5] J.-L. Brylinski, *Algebraic measures of entanglement*, Mathematics of quantum computation, 3-23, Comput. Math. Ser., Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2002.
- [6] J.-L. Brylinski, R. Brylinski, *Invariant polynomial functions on k qudits*, Comput. Math. Ser., Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2002.
- [7] J. Buczyński, J.M. Landsberg *On the third secant variety*, arXiv 1111.7005v1
- [8] M.V. Catalisano, A. Geramita, A. Gimigliano *Secant varieties $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^1$ (n -times) are not defective for $n \geq 5$* , J. Algebraic. Geom., vol 20 (2011), 295-327
- [9] M.V. Catalisano, A. Geramita, A. Gimigliano *On the ideals of secant varieties to certain rational varieties*, Journal of Algebra, vol 319 (5) (2008), 1913-1931
- [10] L. Chen, Y. -X Chen, Y. -X Mei *Classification of multipartite entanglement containing infinitely many kinds of states*, Phys. Rev. A, vol 74 (2006)
- [11] J.L. Coolidge, *A treatise on the circle and the sphere*, Oxford Clarendon Press (1916).
- [12] W. Dür, G. Vidal, J. I. Cirac *Three qubits can be entangled in 2 inequivalent ways*, Phys. Rev. A, vol 62 (2000)
- [13] W. Fulton, J. Harris, *Representation Theory*, Graduate Text in Mathematics, Springer 1991.
- [14] I.M Gelfand M.M Kapranov A.V. Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, Resultants and Multidimensional Determinants*, Birkhäuser 1994.
- [15] J. Harris, *Algebraic Geometry: a first course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **133** Springer 1992.
- [16] H. Heydari, *Geometrical Structure of Entangled States and the Secant Variety*, Quantum Information Processing, vol 7, (1) (2008)
- [17] F.L. Hitchcock, *A new method in the theory of quantics*, J. Math. and Phys. 8 (1929), 81-105.

- [18] F.L. Hitchcock, *The expression of a tensor or polyadic as a sum of products*, J. Math. and Phys. 6 (1927), 164-189.
- [19] F.L. Hitchcock, *Multiple invariants and generalized rank of a p-way matrix or tensor*, J. Math. and Phys. 7 (1927), 40-79.
- [20] F. Holweck, *Singularities of the duals of Grassmannians*, Journal of Algebra, 337 (2011), 369-384
- [21] T. Ivey, J.M. Landsberg, *Cartan for beginners: Differential Geometry via Moving Frames and Exterior Differential Systems*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **61** 2003.
- [22] C. Jordan, *Réduction d'un réseau de formes quadratiques ou bilinéaires (deuxième partie)*, Journal de mathématiques pures et appliquées 6ème série, tome 3 (1907), 5-51.
- [23] V. G. Kac, *Some remarks on nilpotent orbits*, J. Algebra **64** (1980), no. 1, 190-213
- [24] N. Katz, *Pinceaux de Lefschetz; Théorème d'existence*, in SGA 7, Lecture Note in Math., **340**, 212-253.
- [25] A.A. Klyachko, *Coherent states, entanglement, and geometric invariant theory*, arXiv quant-ph/0206012
- [26] F. Knop, G. Menzel *Duale Varietäten von Fahnenvarietäten*, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici **62** (1987), 38-61
- [27] L. Lamata, J. León, D. Salgado, E. Solano *Inductive entanglement classification of four qubits under stochastic local operations and classical communication*, Phys. Rev. A., vol 72 (2007)
- [28] J. M. Landsberg, L. Manivel *On the ideals of secant varieties of Segre varieties*, Found. Compt. Math., vol 4 (4) (2004), 397-422
- [29] A Lascoux, *Symmetric function and combinatorial operators on polynomials*, CBMS 99, American Mathematical Society, 2001.
- [30] A. Lascoux, J.-Y. Thibon, *Vertex operators and the class algebras of symmetric groups*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) 283, Teor. Predst. Din. Sist. Komb. i Algoritm. Metody 6, 156-177, 261, 2001.
- [31] C. Le Paige, *Sur la théorie des formes binaires à plusieurs séries de variables*, Bull. Acad. Roy. Sci. Belgique (3), bf 2 (1881), 40-53.
- [32] D.E. Littlewood, *A university algebra*, William Heinemann Ltd., 1958
- [33] J.-G. Luque, *Invariants des Hypermatrices*, Université de Rouen, PhD (2007).
- [34] J.-G. Luque, J.-Y. Thibon *The polynomial invariants of four qubits*, Phys. Rev. A, vol 67, (2003)
- [35] I.G. Macdonald, *Symmetric function and Hall polynomial*, 2nd ed, Oxford university press, 1995.
- [36] P.A. Macmahon, *Combinatorial Analysis*, Cambridge, 1915.
- [37] A. Miyake, *Classification of multipartite entangled states by multidimensional determinants*, Phys. Rev. A, vol 67 (2003)
- [38] A. Miyake *Multipartite Entanglement under Stochastic Local Operations and Classical Communication*, Phys. Rev. A, vol 74 (2) (2004)
- [39] A. Miyake, F. Verstraete *Multipartite entanglement in $2 \times 2 \times n$ quantum systems*, Phys. Rev. A, vol 69 (2004)

- [40] A. G. Nurmiev *Orbits and invariants of cubic matrices of order three*, Matematicheskii Sbornik **191**:5 101-108
- [41] P.G. Pavenov, *Tensor products with finitely many orbits*, Russian Maths Surveys, 53:635-636, (1998)
- [42] W. Saddler, *Triple binary forms; the complete system for a single (1, 1, 1) form with its geometrical interpretation*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 22 (1925), 688-693.
- [43] E. Schwartz, *Ueber binäre trilineare Formen*, Math. Zeitschr. 12 (1922), 18-35.
- [44] N.P. Sokolov, *Spatial matrices and their applications (in Russian)*, Gosudarstv. Izdat. Fiz.-Mat. Lit., Moscow, 1960.
- [45] C. Stephanos, *Mémoire sur la représentation des homographies binaires, etc.*, Math. Ann 22 (1883), 299-??.
- [46] E. A. Tevelev, *Projectively Dual Varieties*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences, **117**, 6 (2003)
- [47] R.M. Thrall and J.H. Chanler, *Ternary trilinear forms in the field of complex numbers*, Duke Math. J. 4 (1938), 678-690.
- [48] R.M. Thrall, *On projective equivalence of trilinear forms*, Ann. Math. 42 (1941), 469-485.
- [49] F. Verstraete, J. Dehaene, B. De Moor, H. Verschelde *Four qubits can be entangled in nine different ways* Phys. Rev.. A, vol 65 (5) (2002)
- [50] È. B. Vinberg *The Weyl group of a graded Lie algebra*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **40** (1976), no 3, 488-526
- [51] È. B. Vinberg *Classification of homogeneous nilpotent elements of a semisimple graded Lie algebra*, Trudy Sem. Vektor. Tenzor. Anal. (1979), no. 19, 155-177
- [52] J. Weyman, A. Zelevinsky, *Singularities of Hyperdeterminants*, Annales de l'Institut Fourier **46** (1996), 591-644.
- [53] F. Zak, *Tangents and Secants of Algebraic Varieties*, AMS Translations of mathematical monographs **127** 1993.