

Covariant Worldline Numerics for Charge Motion with Radiation Reaction

Chris Harvey

Department of Physics, Umeå University, SE-901 87 Umeå, Sweden

Thomas Heinzl, Nicola Iji, and Kurt Langfeld

School of Computing and Mathematics, University of Plymouth, Plymouth PL4 8AA, UK

We develop a numerical formulation to calculate the classical motion of charges in strong electromagnetic fields, such as those occurring in high-intensity laser beams. By reformulating the dynamics in terms of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ matrices representing the Lorentz group, our formulation maintains explicit covariance, in particular the mass-shell condition. Considering an electromagnetic plane wave field where the analytic solution is known as a test case, we demonstrate the effectiveness of the method for solving both the Lorentz force and the Landau-Lifshitz equations. The latter, a second order reduction of the Lorentz-Abraham-Dirac equation, describes radiation reaction without the usual pathologies.

I. INTRODUCTION

The issue of radiation reaction by now has a history spanning more than a century. Building upon the pioneering work of Lorentz [1] and Abraham [2] the equation of motion for an accelerated charge subject to an external field which is, at the same time, changed by the backreaction of the emitted *bremsstrahlung*, has been cast into its final covariant form by Dirac [3]. The result is a *third-order* equation for the particle trajectory, $x = x(\tau)$, and is now aptly called the Lorentz-Abraham-Dirac (LAD) equation. It is impossible to give a comprehensive list of references discussing this equation, so suffice it to refer to the contemporary texts [4, 5].

There has been a renewed interest in this problem due to (at least) two recent developments. First, it has been shown [6] that the unphysical features of the LAD equation, such as pre-acceleration and the existence of runaway solutions, are absent if one eliminates the triple derivative term by iteration resulting in the Landau-Lifshitz (LL) equation [7]. Second, progress in light amplification technology [8] has led to new laser systems working at ultra-high intensities above 10^{22} W/cm² implying field strengths in excess of 10^{14} V/m (or 10^5 T). Accelerating charges in fields of such extreme magnitudes suggests that radiative reaction (which normally is a tiny effect) may become physically relevant and, hence, experimentally observable [9].

Any discussion of backreaction and its consequences in a high-intensity context has to accommodate the following physics. First, one expects electrons in ultra-intense beams to become relativistic. Second, depending on the experimental setting (particle acceleration, scattering etc.) one has different frames of reference to consider such as the electron rest frame or various lab frames. Hence, for the benefit of conceptual and practical simplicity, it seems mandatory to develop an explicitly covariant formalism based on four-vectors. In this case all equations will be valid in any frame, and it will be straightforward to specialise to any of those at any point of the calculation. Valuable discussions of this type have appeared recently [10–13]. In addition, to achieve a realistic and accurate picture of the physics one would like to have (i) a powerful numerical formalism that (ii) respects covariance, and, ideally, *exactly* so. It turns out that such a formalism does indeed exist as will be shown in detail below.

Before going into *medias res* let us briefly discuss the physics in terms of a few relevant parameters. Laser intensity is traditionally measured in terms of the dimensionless amplitude¹

$$a_0 = \frac{eE\lambda}{mc^2}, \quad (1)$$

¹ We use Heaviside-Lorentz units where E and B have the same physical dimensions and the Coulomb potential between electrons is $\hbar c\alpha/r$ with fine structure constant $\alpha = e^2/4\pi\hbar c = 1/137$.

which is the energy gain of a probe electron (charge e , mass m) upon traversing a laser wavelength $\lambda = c/\omega$, in units of the electron rest energy, evaluated in the lab frame where the r.m.s. electric field and laser frequency are measured to be E and ω , respectively. A manifestly Lorentz and gauge invariant definition will be given further below (see also [14]). Note that a_0 is a purely classical parameter as it does not contain \hbar . When a_0 exceeds unity the rapid quiver motion of the electron in the laser beam becomes relativistic. In the near future one expects to achieve a_0 values of the order of 10^3 , corresponding to the ultra-relativistic regime [16, 17].

To estimate the radiation loss we use Larmor's formula which expresses the radiated power P in terms of the acceleration $a = eE/m$ [18],

$$P = \frac{2}{3} \frac{e^2}{4\pi c^3} a^2 = \frac{2}{3} \hbar \alpha \omega^2 a_0^2. \quad (2)$$

Thus, the energy radiated per time is proportional to a_0^2 and can be made dimensionless upon dividing by ωmc^2 . Introducing the dimensionless energy variable

$$\nu \equiv \frac{\hbar \omega}{mc^2}, \quad (3)$$

we obtain the energy loss per laser cycle in units of mc^2 ,

$$R \equiv \frac{P}{\omega mc^2} = \frac{2}{3} \alpha \nu a_0^2, \quad (4)$$

which is precisely the parameter used in [9–11]. The authors of [11] state that one enters the “radiation dominated regime”, where radiation damping can no longer be neglected, when R exceeds unity. According to (4) this amounts to an energy loss larger than mc^2 per laser cycle. However, one should also take into account the energy gain per cycle as measured by a_0 , cf. (1). To this end we define the energy balance parameter

$$\kappa \equiv R/a_0 = \frac{2}{3} \alpha \nu a_0. \quad (5)$$

which is just the ratio of energy loss and gain. Thus, when κ becomes of order unity the radiation loss equals the typical kinetic energy of the accelerated charge. This has been stated before in [15].

This paper is organised as follows. In Section II we recall the LAD equation and its reduction to the LL equation. We review the analytic solution of the latter before we introduce our new numerical scheme in Section III. In Section IV we then utilise it for solving the equation of motion of a charge in a pulsed plane wave, both without and with radiative reaction. The analytic solutions are reproduced to a high accuracy. Conclusions are finally presented in Section V.

II. COUPLED CHARGE-FIELD DYNAMICS

The kinematics of a relativistic particle are encoded in its trajectory (or worldline) $x^\mu(\tau)$, its four-velocity $u^\mu(\tau)$ and its four acceleration $a^\mu(\tau)$ (and possibly higher derivatives [19]). All these are conveniently parameterised by proper time τ . The trajectory is found by solving the equations of motion for $x^\mu(\tau)$. As is well known, the resulting LAD equation provides an example of a higher-derivative theory including a third derivative (or ‘jerk’) term [19].

A. Equations of Motion

The LAD equation follows from the usual action principle, the action being obtained by minimally coupling a relativistic point particle to the classical Maxwell field [7, 18],

$$S = -mc^2 \int d\tau - \frac{e}{c} \int dx^\mu A_\mu - \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}, \quad dx^\mu = u^\mu d\tau. \quad (6)$$

Naturally, the action depends on Lorentz scalars² only and hence is relativistically invariant. Note that particle and field aspects are manifest in the different integration measures, $d\tau$ and d^4x , respectively. In this context, the second term describing the interaction of charged particle and field is somewhat of a ‘‘hybrid’’. It can be rewritten with a ‘‘field theoretic’’ measure as follows. A particle moving along the trajectory $x^\mu(\tau)$ amounts to a four-current

$$j^\mu(x) = \int d\tau u^\mu(\tau) \delta^4(x - y(\tau)). \quad (7)$$

Plugging this into (6) we obtain the alternative representation

$$S = -mc^2 \int d\tau - \frac{e}{c} \int d^4x j^\mu A_\mu - \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}. \quad (8)$$

Written either way, the action is invariant under gauge transformations, $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \chi$ with an arbitrary scalar function χ .

Varying the action with respect to A_μ and x_μ yields a coupled system consisting of Maxwell’s equations,

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = j^\nu, \quad (9)$$

and the relativistic generalisation of Newton’s second law,

$$m \dot{u}_\mu = \frac{e}{c} F_{\mu\nu} u^\nu \equiv F_\mu, \quad (10)$$

² Our metric is $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ implying a Minkowski scalar product $a \cdot b = g_{\mu\nu} a^\mu b^\nu = a^0 b^0 - \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}$.

where the Lorentz four-force F_μ appears on the right-hand side. Following Dirac [3] one eliminates $F_{\mu\nu}$ from (10) according to

$$F^{\mu\nu} = F_{\text{in}}^{\mu\nu} + F_{\text{rad}}^{\mu\nu}, \quad (11)$$

where the homogeneous and inhomogeneous solutions, $F_{\text{in}}^{\mu\nu}$ and $F_{\text{rad}}^{\mu\nu}$, of the wave equation (9) represent the prescribed external field (or “in-field”) and the radiation field, respectively. The calculation of $F_{\text{rad}}^{\mu\nu}$ is somewhat tedious. As the radiation field diverges on the particle world-line one encounters a short-distance singularity which is removed by mass renormalisation (albeit in a classical context) [3]. A nice exposition may be found in Coleman’s paper [20] (in particular Sect. 6). For the sake of simplicity we will henceforth use the same letter m to denote the renormalised (observable) electron mass.

The final upshot is the celebrated LAD equation,

$$m\dot{u}^\mu = \frac{e}{c}F_{\text{in}}^{\mu\nu}u_\nu - \frac{2}{3}\frac{e^2}{4\pi c^5}(u^\mu\ddot{u}^\nu - u^\nu\ddot{u}^\mu)u_\nu, \quad (12)$$

in the form first presented by Dirac [3]. Note that the tensor multiplying u_ν is manifestly antisymmetric in μ and ν . Thus, $u \cdot \dot{u}$ remains zero as is required by the space-like nature of acceleration, $\dot{u}^2 < 0$. Taking the second proper-time derivative of $u^2 = c^2$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2u^2}{d\tau^2} = \ddot{u} \cdot u + \dot{u} \cdot \dot{u} = 0, \quad (13)$$

we may equivalently write (12) (henceforth omitting the subscript “in”) as

$$m\dot{u}^\mu = \frac{e}{c}F^{\mu\nu}u_\nu + \frac{2}{3}\frac{e^2}{4\pi c^3}(\ddot{u}^\mu + \dot{u}^2 u^\mu/c^2), \quad (14)$$

where the antisymmetry on the right-hand side is no longer manifest. The appearance of the notorious \ddot{u} term in (12) and (14) leads to pathologies such as runaway solutions and/or pre-acceleration which have been discussed in the literature for decades (see e.g. the texts [4, 5]). An elegant (and consistent!) way to remove the unwanted features is to replace \ddot{u}^μ and \dot{u}^2 with the help of the leading (i.e., Lorentz) term in the equation of motion (12) thus “reducing the order” [21] to obtain the Landau-Lifshitz (LL) equation [7],

$$m\dot{u}^\mu = \frac{e}{c}F^{\mu\nu}u_\nu + \frac{2}{3}\frac{e^2}{4\pi c^3}\left\{\frac{e}{mc}\dot{F}^{\mu\nu}u_\nu + \frac{e^2}{m^2c^2}F^{\mu\alpha}F_\alpha{}^\nu u_\nu - \frac{e^2}{m^2c^4}u_\alpha F^{\alpha\nu}F_\nu{}^\beta u_\beta u^\mu\right\}. \quad (15)$$

This equation is much “better behaved” than (12) and (14) as the right-hand side only involves velocities and no higher derivatives. It has recently been rederived using rigorous geometric perturbation theory (or classical renormalisation group flow) in [6] and by a sophisticated limiting

procedure (which also produces electric and magnetic moment contributions) in [21]. A promising analysis using the language of effective field theory has been presented in [22]

For what follows it is useful to adopt a notation in terms of dimensionless variables choosing appropriate units. These will also come in handy for the numerical approach of the next section.

B. Dimensionless variables

We assume that our laser beam is described by a light-like wave vector $k = (\omega/c, \mathbf{k})$, $k^2 = \omega^2/c^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 = 0$, with ω and \mathbf{k} being lab frame coordinates. To combine this with the electron motion we follow Wald [23] and define a frequency by dotting k into the initial velocity, u_0 ,

$$\Omega_0 \equiv k \cdot u_0 . \quad (16)$$

The rationale here is the that in an experiment one expects to be in control of initial conditions such as the initial velocity, u_0 . If the particle is initially at rest (defining the ‘‘initial rest frame’’ or IRF) we have $u_0^\mu = c\delta^\mu_0$ and $\Omega_0 = \omega_0$, with ω_0 denoting the laser frequency in the IRF.

We also define a dimensionless proper time, $s \equiv \Omega_0\tau$, and adopt natural units, $\hbar = c = 1$, unless otherwise stated. To avoid clumsy notation, we will henceforth denote s -derivatives by an over-dot. Finally, we rescale $eF^{\mu\nu}/me\Omega_0 \rightarrow F^{\mu\nu}$ which also renders $F^{\mu\nu}$ dimensionless. In this new notation the parameters of the introduction may be given invariant definitions according to

$$a_0^2 = u_{0\mu} \langle F^{\mu\alpha} F_\alpha{}^\nu \rangle u_{0\nu} , \quad (17)$$

$$\nu_0 \equiv \frac{\Omega_0}{m} . \quad (18)$$

The brackets $\langle \dots \rangle$ at this point denote a *typical* value such as the root-mean-square (proper time average) or the amplitude (cycle maximum). This implies that $F^{\mu\nu}$ is proportional to a_0 which will be made explicit later on. It is useful to introduce a (dimensionless) energy density

$$w(u) \equiv u_\mu F^{\mu\alpha} F_\alpha{}^\nu u_\nu , \quad (19)$$

and $w_0 \equiv w(u_0)$ such that $a_0^2 = \langle w_0 \rangle$. Finally, we define the effective coupling parameter

$$r_0 \equiv \frac{2}{3} \alpha \nu_0 , \quad (20)$$

which will appear repeatedly, such that $\kappa = r_0 a_0$ and $R = r_0 a_0^2$, cf. (4) and (5).

With these prerequisites, the LAD and LL equations may be compactly written as

$$\dot{u}^\mu = F^{\mu\nu} u_\nu + r_0 (\ddot{u}^\mu + \dot{u}^2 u^\mu) , \quad (21)$$

$$\dot{u}^\mu = F^{\mu\nu} u_\nu + r_0 (\dot{F}^{\mu\nu} + F^{\mu\alpha} F_\alpha{}^\nu - w g^{\mu\nu}) u_\nu . \quad (22)$$

For our numerical approach it will be important to have manifestly antisymmetric tensors on the right-hand side, so we provide these forms as well,

$$\dot{u}^\mu = \left\{ F^{\mu\nu} + r_0(\ddot{u}^\mu u^\nu - \ddot{u}^\nu u^\mu) \right\} u_\nu , \quad (23)$$

$$\dot{u}^\mu = \left\{ F^{\mu\nu} + r_0 \left[(\dot{F}^{\mu\beta} + F^{\mu\alpha} F_\alpha{}^\beta) u_\beta u^\nu - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \right] \right\} u_\nu . \quad (24)$$

It is crucial to note that the LL equation is an expansion in powers of r_0 (or α), with coefficients being proportional to powers of field strength, hence a_0 . Obviously, the leading order (r_0^0) is the Lorentz term while the LL term is $O(r_0)$.

C. Analytic Solution for a Pulsed Plane Wave

Things simplify further upon modelling the laser beam by a plane wave. In this case the field strength solely depends on the invariant phase, $F^{\mu\nu} = F^{\mu\nu}(k \cdot x) \equiv F^{\mu\nu}(\phi)$, and is assumed to be transverse,

$$k_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (25)$$

Most importantly, plane wave fields are *null fields* [24, 25] which are characterised by peculiar Lorentz properties. The standard field invariants vanish,

$$F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0 , \quad (26)$$

where $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$ denotes the dual field strength. This implies that the energy momentum tensor is just the (matrix) square of $F^{\mu\nu}$,

$$T^{\mu\nu} = F^{\mu\alpha} F_\alpha{}^\nu , \quad (27)$$

implying, for instance, that $w = u_\mu T^{\mu\nu} u_\nu$ which shows that w is indeed the energy density of the wave as measured in the instantaneous electron rest frame. In addition, we see that the LL equation in the form (22) or (24) depends explicitly on $T^{\mu\nu}$. The matrix cube of $F^{\mu\nu}$, and hence all higher powers, vanish. This will become important later on. It turns out that for plane wave a solution for the particle trajectory can be found in terms of a few integrals (which are then evaluated numerically).

All these features make the case of a plane wave an ideal testing ground for our new numerical method which is detailed in the next section. To be specific, we introduce the null vector $n^\mu = k^\mu/\Omega_0$ (implying $n \cdot u_0 = 1$) and two space-like polarisation vectors, ε_i^μ , with the scalar products

$$n^2 = 0 , \quad n \cdot \varepsilon_i = 0 , \quad \varepsilon_i \cdot \varepsilon_j = -\delta_{ij} . \quad (28)$$

It is convenient to write the field strength in terms of profile functions $f_i(\phi)$ and elementary tensors, $f_i^{\mu\nu}$, multiplying the strength parameter a_0 ,

$$F^{\mu\nu}(\phi) = a_0 f_i(\phi) f_i^{\mu\nu}, \quad f_i^{\mu\nu} = n^\mu \varepsilon_i^\nu - n^\nu \varepsilon_i^\mu. \quad (29)$$

For simplicity, we immediately specialise to linear polarisation,

$$f_2 = 0, \quad f_1 \equiv f, \quad n^\mu = (1, \hat{\mathbf{z}}), \quad \varepsilon_1^\mu = (0, \hat{\mathbf{x}}), \quad (30)$$

with the choice of n^μ corresponding to a particle initially at rest ($\Omega_0 = \omega_0$). In addition, we choose a pulse with a Gaussian envelope,

$$f(\phi) \equiv -\exp\left\{-\frac{(\phi - \phi_0)^2}{N^2}\right\} \sin(\phi), \quad (31)$$

where, obviously, ϕ_0 denotes the centre of the pulse while N counts the number of cycles within.

It turns out that the null-plane properties of plane waves are sufficiently strong to still allow for an analytic solution [10, 13], the basic technical reason being the decoupling of the light-cone component $u_{\parallel} \equiv n \cdot u$ in the LL equation. We briefly recapitulate the main steps in our condensed notation (29), which we plug into (22) to obtain

$$\dot{u}^\mu = [a_0 f f^\mu{}_\nu + r_0 a_0 u_{\parallel} \{f' f^\mu{}_\nu + a_0 f^2 (n^\mu u_\nu - n_\nu u^\mu)\}] u^\nu, \quad (32)$$

the prime denoting derivatives with respect to the invariant phase, ϕ . Dotting in n , and using transversality, $n_\mu f^\mu{}_\nu = 0$, see (25) and (28), we indeed find that the dynamics of the longitudinal (or light-cone) component $u_{\parallel} = n \cdot u = \dot{\phi}$ decouples,

$$\dot{u}_{\parallel} = -r_0 a_0^2 f^2(\phi) u_{\parallel}^3. \quad (33)$$

The latter equation is easily integrated by separating of variables,

$$u_{\parallel}(\phi) = \frac{1}{1 + r_0 I(\phi)}, \quad I(\phi) \equiv a_0^2 \int_0^\phi f^2(\varphi) d\varphi, \quad (34)$$

where we have taken into account the initial conditions $u_{\parallel} = 1$. Note that $\dot{\phi} = u_{\parallel}(\phi) > 0$ implying a monotonic relation between ϕ and (rescaled) proper time s ,

$$s(\phi) = \int_0^\phi d\varphi [1 + r_0 I(\varphi)]. \quad (35)$$

This may be used to trade proper time s for invariant phase ϕ , $\dot{h} = h' u_{\parallel}$ for any function h of $s = s(\phi)$. Following [10], we introduce a rescaled velocity v^μ by

$$u^\mu(s) = u_{\parallel}(\phi) v^\mu(\phi), \quad (36)$$

such that the acceleration \dot{u} turns into

$$\dot{u}^\mu = -r_0 a_0^2 f^2(\phi) u_\parallel^3 v^\mu(\phi) + u_\parallel^2(\phi) v'^\mu. \quad (37)$$

Inserting this into (32), we find

$$v'^\mu = \left[\frac{a_0 f(\phi)}{u_\parallel(\phi)} + r_0 a_0 f'(\phi) \right] f^\mu{}_\nu v^\nu + \frac{r_0 a_0^2 f^2(\phi)}{u_\parallel(\phi)} n^\mu. \quad (38)$$

Due to transversality (25) the inhomogeneous longitudinal term is in the kernel of $f^\mu{}_\nu$ so that the solution of (38) is provided by the ansatz

$$v^\mu(\phi) = [\exp(I_1(\phi) f)]^\mu{}_\nu v_0^\nu + I_2(\phi) n^\mu. \quad (39)$$

The “shape integrals”,

$$I_1(\phi) = \int_0^\phi d\varphi \left[\frac{a_0 f(\varphi)}{u_\parallel(\varphi)} + r_0 a_0 f'(\varphi) \right], \quad I_2(\phi) = \int_0^\phi d\varphi \frac{r_0 a_0^2 f^2(\varphi)}{u_\parallel(\varphi)}, \quad (40)$$

have been chosen such that the initial condition $v(0) = v_0 = u_0$ is maintained. The null field properties encoded in (28) lead to

$$(f^2)^\mu{}_\nu = n^\mu n_\nu, \quad (f^n)^\mu{}_\nu = 0, \quad n \geq 3,$$

and greatly simplify the evaluation of exponential in (39),

$$v^\mu(\phi) = v_0^\mu + I_1(\phi) f^\mu{}_\nu v_0^\nu + \left[I_2(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} I_1^2(\phi) \right] n^\mu. \quad (41)$$

In summary, we find the compact solution for the four velocity

$$u^\mu(s) = \frac{v^\mu(\phi)}{1 + r_0 I(\phi)}, \quad (42)$$

with v^μ given in (41) and proper time $s = s(\phi)$ according to (35).

III. NUMERICAL STUDIES OF THE PARTICLE MOTION

A. Rationale

A typical numerical approach to solving the equation of motion (10), an ordinary differential equation, would be a finite difference scheme where proper time is discretised into intervals of length ds . In this case, one generically expects a violation of the on-shell condition, $u^2 = 1$, of power law form,

$$\frac{d}{ds} u^2 = 2 u \cdot \dot{u} = \mathcal{O}(ds^p) \neq 0, \quad p > 0, \quad (43)$$

where the power p increases with the order of the scheme. This may be viewed as yet another instance of the violation of the product (Leibniz) rule upon discretising derivatives. At best, the induced error can be viewed as a mass shift which, however, depends on proper time,

$$p^2 = m^2 u^2 \rightarrow m^2(1 + K ds^p), \quad (44)$$

with some constant K . Obviously, this error is going to interfere with the exact dynamics, for instance, according to (43), $u \cdot \dot{u} \neq 0$ and the acceleration \dot{u} will no longer be space-like.

To avoid such Lorentz violations we will develop a numerical scheme which is manifestly covariant and which exactly incorporates the on-shell condition, $u^2 = 1$.

B. Matrix Dynamics

Our numerical approach is to some extent inspired by lattice gauge theory where dynamical variables, say x , with values in a Lie algebra are traded for *group* valued degrees of freedom, symbolically denoted by $X = \exp(ix)$.

In a first step we employ the well known equivalence (modulo $\mathbb{Z}(2)$) of the Lorentz group with the group $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ of complex 2-by-2 matrices with unit determinant (see e.g. [26], Ch. 2.7). Introducing the matrix basis $\sigma_\mu \equiv (\mathbb{I}, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ with $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ denoting the three Pauli matrices, we may associate the four velocity u^μ with the hermitian matrix

$$U \equiv u^\mu \sigma_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} u^+ & v_- \\ v_+ & u^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad u^\pm = u^0 \pm u^3, \quad v_\pm \equiv u^1 \pm iu^2 = v_\mp^*. \quad (45)$$

The invariant square of u then becomes

$$u^2 = \det(U) = u^+ u^- - v_+ v_- = 1. \quad (46)$$

A Lorentz transformation of u , $u^\mu \rightarrow \lambda^\mu{}_\nu u^\nu$, may then be implemented as an $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ conjugation,

$$U \rightarrow \Lambda U \Lambda^\dagger = (\lambda^\mu{}_\nu u^\nu) \sigma_\mu, \quad \det(\Lambda) = 1. \quad (47)$$

The condition of unimodularity guarantees the invariance of $u^2 = \det(U)$. The significance of this for our problem is the following. For constant fields, $F_{\mu\nu} = \text{const}$, the Lorentz equation ($r_0 = 0$) may be written as

$$\dot{u}_\mu = F_{\mu\nu} u^\nu \equiv \omega_{\mu\nu} u^\nu, \quad \omega_{\mu\nu} = -\omega_{\nu\mu}. \quad (48)$$

But the right-hand side is just an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation ($\omega_{\mu\nu}$ being antisymmetric, and hence in the Lie algebra of the Lorentz group). In other words the associated trajectory defines

a symmetry orbit in Minkowski space as first noted (and classified) by Taub [27]. Hence, (48) is solved by a (finite) Lorentz transformation,

$$u^\mu(s) = \lambda^\mu{}_\nu(s) u_0^\nu, \quad \lambda(s) = \exp(\omega s), \quad (49)$$

where u_0^μ denotes the initial four-velocity. We need to translate this into $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ language. For constant fields this is discussed in Ch. 1 of [28] without maintaining explicit covariance. In what follows we present a derivation that (i) preserves manifest covariance at any stage and (ii) is valid for arbitrary (i.e. nonconstant) field strengths, $F_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu}(x, u)$.

Our method makes use of the concept of electromagnetic duality which, among other things, is the basic tool for an algebraic characterisation of electromagnetic fields [25] such as null fields. Recall that the dual field strength is given by a contraction with the totally antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor,

$$\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma}. \quad (50)$$

We may therefore decompose any field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ into its selfdual and antiselfdual components,

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(F_{\mu\nu} + i\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{2}(F_{\mu\nu} - i\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}) \equiv \Phi_{\mu\nu} + \Phi_{\mu\nu}^*, \quad (51)$$

where self-duality in Minkowski space means [25]

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu\nu} = -i\Phi_{\mu\nu}. \quad (52)$$

The selfdual tensor $\Phi_{\mu\nu}$ may alternatively be written in terms of (a Minkowski version of) ‘t Hooft’s symbols [29–31]

$$\eta_{a\mu\nu} = -i\epsilon_{0a\mu\nu} + g_{\mu a} g_{\nu 0} - g_{\mu 0} g_{\nu a}, \quad a = 1, 2, 3, \quad (53)$$

which yields the compact expression

$$\Phi_{\mu\nu} \equiv F^a \eta_{a\mu\nu}, \quad F^a = \frac{1}{2}(E^a - iB^a). \quad (54)$$

To proceed we insert the decomposition (51) into the equation of motion (10) and contract with σ^μ . This yields

$$\dot{U} = F^a \eta_{a\mu\nu} \sigma^\mu u^\nu + h.c., \quad (55)$$

“*h.c.*” denoting the hermitean conjugate. The matrix identity,

$$\eta_{a\mu\nu} \sigma^\mu u^\nu = \sigma_\nu \sigma_a u^\nu = U \sigma_a, \quad (56)$$

together with the abbreviation $\mathbb{E} \equiv F^a \sigma_a \in su(2)$ finally yields the desired $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ equation of motion,

$$\dot{U} = \mathbb{E}^\dagger U + U \mathbb{E} . \quad (57)$$

The appearance of *two* terms on the right-hand side reflects the fact that Lie algebra of the Lorentz group decomposes into *two* $su(2)$ subalgebras³.

Note that, in general, \mathbb{E} (or $F_{\mu\nu}$) will depend on s , $u^\mu(s)$ and $x^\mu(s)$. If there is only explicit s -dependence, $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{E}(s)$, then (57) is similar to a (linear) Schrödinger equation with time-dependent Hamiltonian. Hence, it may be solved by introducing an evolution operator, i.e., the time-ordered product

$$L(s) \equiv \mathcal{T} \exp \left\{ \int_0^s ds' \mathbb{E}^\dagger(s') \right\} \in SL(2, \mathbb{C}) . \quad (58)$$

The solution of (57) is then given by conjugation with L ,

$$U(s) = L(s) U(0) L^\dagger(s) , \quad (59)$$

which generalises the solution (49) in terms of a Lorentz transformation.

C. Numerical Implementation

If $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{E}(s; x(s), u(s))$ the equation of motion (even in the absence of backreaction) becomes nonlinear. Nevertheless, an iterative scheme based on the above can still be expected to work. Note that the solution (59) is ideally suited for the required numerical computations. To see this, introduce a discrete set of $n + 1$ equally spaced proper time values s_k , $k = 0 \dots n$, such that

$$s_0 = 0 , \quad s_k = k ds , \quad s_n = s , \quad \mathbb{E}_k := \mathbb{E}(x(s_k)) . \quad (60)$$

We then approximate (with an error of order ds^2)

$$L \approx \exp\{\mathbb{E}_n^\dagger ds\} \times \dots \times \exp\{\mathbb{E}_1^\dagger ds\} =: L_n , \quad (61)$$

where “ \times ” denotes matrix multiplication. For the solution (59) this implies

$$U(s) = U_n(s) + \mathcal{O}(ds) , \quad \text{where} \quad U_n(s) = L_n U(0) L_n^\dagger , \quad (62)$$

which is the statement that our method corresponds to a first-order scheme.

³ Denoting rotation and boost generators by L^a and K^a , respectively, the two algebras are generated by the linear combinations $L^a \pm iK^a$ which correspond to F^a and its complex conjugate above, cf. (54).

It is now straightforward to verify that the on-shell condition, $u^2 = 1$, is exactly maintained by the approximate solution. To this end, note that (61) represents a decomposition of the matrix L_n into a product of unimodular matrices $\exp(\mathbb{E}_k^\dagger ds)$. So L_n is unimodular as well, $\det(L_n) = 1$, hence $L_n \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. This finally yields

$$\det U_n(\tau) = \det U(0) = 1. \quad (63)$$

and establishes that, our discretisation notwithstanding, the on-shell condition is exactly preserved.

IV. APPLICATIONS

A. Pulsed Plane Wave without Radiative Reaction

The Lorentz equation for a charge in a plane wave background, $F_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu}(k \cdot x)$, was first solved by Taub in 1948 [27]. His solution is easily rederived from our general result of Subsection II C. All we have to do is switch off radiation reaction by setting $r_0 = 0$. In this case, the relationship (35) implies equality of (rescaled) proper time and invariant phase,

$$s = \phi = k \cdot x, \quad (64)$$

as well as constancy of u_{\parallel} ,

$$u_{\parallel} = n \cdot u = n \cdot u_0 = 1. \quad (65)$$

The velocity is obtained from (41) and (42),

$$u^\mu(s) = u_0^\mu + I_1(s) f^\mu{}_\nu u_0^\nu + \frac{1}{2} I_1^2(s) n^\mu, \quad I_1(s) = a_0 \int_0^s d\varphi f(\varphi). \quad (66)$$

Choosing initial conditions according to (30),

$$u_0^\mu = (1, \mathbf{0}), \quad n^\mu = (1, \hat{\mathbf{z}}), \quad \varepsilon_1^\mu = (0, \hat{\mathbf{x}}), \quad (67)$$

yields the explicit solutions for the velocity components

$$u^0 = 1 + \frac{1}{2} I_1^2, \quad u^1 = -I_1, \quad u^2 = 0, \quad u^3 = \frac{1}{2} I_1^2. \quad (68)$$

The conservation law (65) is seen explicitly by calculating the longitudinal (or light-cone component) $u_{\parallel} = u^0 - u^3 = 1$.

For a numerical solution, we evaluate (62) iteratively: the approximate solution in terms of the matrix $U_n(s)$ can be calculated as long as the matrix fields \mathbb{E}_k are known. Those fields, however,

depend on the particle position. Assume that we have already determined the approximate values $u(s_i)$ for the four-velocity. We then use the trapezium rule to calculate the position of the particle,

$$\bar{x}(s_i) \approx \bar{x}(s_{i-1}) + \frac{ds}{2} (u(s_i) + u(s_{i-1})). \quad (69)$$

Inserting the particle positions in the expression for the fields then yields refined values for the \mathbb{E}_k , and an improved set $u(s_i)$ of four-velocities via (62). This procedure is iterated until the particle positions and velocities at the given proper times s_i do not change within given error margins. To start the iteration we use $u(s_i) = u_0$, for all $i = 0, \dots, n$.




FIG. 1. *Left:* Laser pulse function f from (31) as a function of invariant phase, ϕ . *Right:* Velocity components u_0 and u_1 from (68) as a function of invariant phase, $\phi = s$.

Figure 1 shows our laser pulse (left panel) and the numerical results for velocity components u^0 and u^1 as functions of the invariant phase ϕ . Recall from (64) that in the absence of radiation reaction, ϕ coincides with rescaled proper time, s . The latter has been chosen from the range $[0, 100]$ together with a step size $ds = 0.125$ and parameter values $s_0 = 50$, $N = 10$ and $a_0 = 1$. Upon comparing with the analytic results (68) the numerical errors turn out to be less than the width of the plot lines. In order to study the numerical error on a more quantitative level, we compare the numerical solution $u(s)$ to the exact solution, denoted $u_{\text{ex}}(s)$. Since the numerical errors are very small when the particle is located in the tails of the pulse, the error can be reduced by increasing the *relevant* width Δs of the total profile function $f(s)$. To properly characterise our

pulsed plane wave and its width Δs we define a distribution function

$$\rho(s) \equiv f^2(s) / \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds f^2(s) , \quad (70)$$

and the associated moments

$$s_k \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds s^k \rho(s) . \quad (71)$$

The width Δs is then taken to be twice the standard deviation,

$$\Delta s \equiv 2 (s_2 - s_1^2)^{1/2} . \quad (72)$$

We then measure errors using both the Euclidean norm

$$\epsilon_{\text{eucl}} = \frac{1}{\Delta s} \int_{s_0 - \Delta s}^{s_0 + \Delta s} ds \rho(s) \sum_{\mu=0}^4 [u^\mu(s) - u_{\text{ex}}^\mu(s)]^2 , \quad (73)$$

and a maximum norm,

$$\epsilon_{\text{max}} = \max_{s, \mu} |u^\mu(s) - u_{\text{ex}}^\mu(s)| . \quad (74)$$

Both errors (73) and (74) are presented in Figure 2 as a function of discretisation step size ds . They are well fitted by

$$\epsilon_{\text{eucl}} \approx 0.32(1) ds, \quad \epsilon_{\text{max}} \approx 0.50(1) ds$$

and, as expected, grow linearly with ds .

B. Pulsed Plane Wave with Radiative Reaction

Having gained confidence in our new method it is due time to extend its application to include radiative backreaction. As before, we assume linear polarisation, i.e. $F^{\mu\nu}(\phi) = a_0 f(\phi) f^{\mu\nu}$, cf. (29). We then write the LL equation (24) in terms of an *effective* field strength tensor $G^\mu{}_\nu$,

$$\dot{u}^\mu = G^\mu{}_\nu u^\nu \quad (75)$$

$$G^\mu{}_\nu = a_0 f f^\mu{}_\nu + r_0 a_0 u_\parallel \{ f' f^\mu{}_\nu + a_0 f^2 (n^\mu u_\nu - n_\nu u^\mu) \} . \quad (76)$$

Obviously, the tensor $G^\mu{}_\nu$ also depends on the 4-velocity of the particle, $G^\mu{}_\nu = G^\mu{}_\nu(\phi; u)$. However, this does not prevent us from defining an $su(2)$ matrix

$$\mathbb{G} \equiv G^a \sigma_a \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(G^{0a} + \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{abc} G^{bc} \right) \sigma_a , \quad (77)$$




FIG. 2. Numerical errors (73) and (74) as a function of the proper time discretisation step ds for a linearly polarised laser pulse.

such that the LL equation can be rewritten as

$$\dot{U} = \mathbb{G}^\dagger U + U \mathbb{G}, \quad (78)$$

in complete analogy with the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ Lorentz equation (57). Hence, replacing $\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ we can again apply the iterative approach discussed in Subsection III C. As long as r_0 is a small parameter the iteration again converges rapidly.

In Fig. 3 we present our results for the velocity component $u^0(s) = \gamma(s)$, the instantaneous gamma factor of the particle which measures its instantaneous energy in units of mc^2 . We have adopted parameter values $a_0 = 3 \times 10^3$ and $\nu_0 = 10^{-6}$ (left panel) as well as $a_0 = 10$ and $\nu_0 = 10^{-3}$ (right panel). These roughly correspond to an optical laser of the 100 PW class envisaged for ELI [17], and the final stage x-ray free electron laser (XFEL) at DESY, respectively. It is clearly seen that radiative damping has a significant effect only for *optical* lasers at ultra-high intensity. Interestingly, in the lab frame where the electron is at rest initially, the radiation reaction leads to an increase of the energy amplitude. This is corroborated by the analytical solution, (41) and (42). The sign of the effect is consistent with the observation in [7], Ch. 76, that the world line integral of the reaction force is the negative of the radiated total four-momentum. For a head-on collision of a charge and an infinite plane wave, the situation is different: the energy decreases in the lab frame [13].

In order to quantify the effect of radiation damping we view the four-velocity as a function of




FIG. 3. The γ factor u^0 of the particle as a function of the (rescaled) proper time s without and with radiative damping. *Left:* $a_0 = 3 \times 10^3$ and $\nu_0 = 10^{-6}$ (optical laser). *Right:* $a_0 = 10$ and $\nu_0 = 10^{-3}$ (XFEL).

both s and the fine structure constant $\alpha = 1/137$, $u = u(s, \alpha)$. Thus, $u(s, \alpha)$ and $u(s, 0)$ represent the solutions with and without radiation reaction (i.e. of LL and Lorentz equation), respectively. Denoting their difference by $\Delta u(s) \equiv u(s, \alpha) - u(s, 0)$ we define the maximum norm

$$\delta \equiv \frac{1}{N} \max_{s, \mu} |\Delta u^\mu(s)|, \quad s \in [s_0 - \Delta s, s_0 + \Delta s], \quad (79)$$

$$N \equiv u^{\mu_{\max}}(s_{\max}, 0), \quad (80)$$

where s_{\max} and μ_{\max} are the arguments for which $|\Delta u^\mu(s)|$ becomes maximal. Clearly, the deviation δ is the maximum relative difference between the velocities with and without radiative back-reaction. It is displayed in Figure 4 as a function of the invariant laser intensity a_0 for the case of an optical laser ($\nu_0 = 10^{-6}$) and the XFEL ($\nu_0 = 10^{-3}$). The magnitude of the deviation suggests that it is controlled by the parameter $R = r_0 a_0^2$ which is 5×10^{-2} (5×10^{-4}) for the optical (X-ray) laser of Figs 3 and 4.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In this paper we have presented a novel numerical formulation for calculating the motion of classical charges in electromagnetic fields, with a view to studying the behaviour of electrons in high-intensity laser beams. Since such dynamical systems are relativistic, one desires a formulation that is fully covariant. Our method employs the fact that motion in constant electromagnetic fields proceeds along Lorentz transformation orbits. Representing the analogue Lorentz group




FIG. 4. The deviation δ from (79) measuring the difference between the 4-velocities with and without radiative damping as a function of a_0 for the linearly polarised laser pulse. For an optical laser: $\nu_0 = 10^{-6}$; for an XFEL: $\nu_0 = 10^{-3}$.

by *space-time dependent* $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ matrices we are able to numerically describe the motion in arbitrary fields by iterative methods. As a result, we maintain explicit covariance and, in particular, precisely preserve the on-shell condition, $u^2 = c^2$. We stress that the latter holds notwithstanding the discretisation of proper time, which is required for any kind of differential equation solver. Conventional finite difference schemes, however, introduce discretisation errors that violate Lorentz covariance. Of particular importance is the fact that our matrix formalism, by iteration, is capable of including the radiative back-reaction on the particle motion. To this end we have incorporated the radiative correction terms into an effective field strength tensor, and solved the Landau-Lifshitz equation for the test case of a pulsed plane wave. The known analytic solution [10, 13] is reproduced to a high accuracy. The errors scale linearly with the discretisation step size, as one would expect for a first order method. Our results show that radiation reaction plays an important role in an optical laser set-up at $a_0 \sim \mathcal{O}(10^3)$ (while being negligible for an XFEL).

We are now in a position to study more complex field configurations such as standing waves or more realistic models of laser beams with nontrivial transverse intensity profiles. In particular, one may study the effects of the laser induced mass shift [32, 33] without having to worry about contaminations due to discretisation errors. This requires an appropriate (possibly numerical) definition for proper time averages in pulses to continue the study of finite size effects on processes

such as nonlinear Thomson/Compton scattering [34, 35] or laser induced pair production [36]. There the question arises whether the classical backreaction has a quantum counterpart [37]. A closely related issue is the analysis of electromagnetic photon and pair cascades [38–41] the details (and possibly occurrence) of which may depend sensitively on the magnitude of radiation reaction. The discussion of all this will have to be postponed to forthcoming publications.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Anton Ilderton, Martin Lavelle, Mattias Marklund, David McMullan, Hartmut Ruhl and Thomas Stöhlker for fruitful discussions. C.H. and N.I. acknowledge financial support through an EPSRC DTG Scholarship. The bulk of this work has been done while C.H. was a research assistant at the University of Plymouth.

-
- [1] H.A. Lorentz, *The Theory of Electrons*, B.G. Teubner, Leipzig, 1906; reprinted by Dover Publications, New York, 1952 and Cosimo, New York, 2007.
 - [2] M. Abraham, *Theorie der Elektrizität*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1905.
 - [3] P.A.M. Dirac, *Classical Theory of Radiating Electrons*, Proc. Roy. Soc. A **167**, 148-169 (1938).
 - [4] H. Spohn, *Dynamics of Charged Particles and their Radiation Field*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004
 - [5] F. Rohrlich, *Classical Charged Particles*, 3rd ed., World Scientific, Singapore, 2007.
 - [6] H. Spohn, *The Critical manifold of the Lorentz-Dirac equation*, Europhys. Lett. **49**, 287 (2000) [arXiv:physics/9911027].
 - [7] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields (Course of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 2)*, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 1987.
 - [8] A.D. Strickland and G. Mourou, Opt. Commun. **56**, 219 (1985).
 - [9] J. Koga, T.Zh. Esirkepov and S.V. Bulanov, Phys. Plasmas **12**, 093106 (2005).
 - [10] A. Di Piazza, Lett. Math. Phys. **83**, 305 (2008).
 - [11] A. Di Piazza, K. Z. Hatsagortsyan and C. H. Keitel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 254802 (2009) [arXiv:0810.1703 [physics.class-ph]].
 - [12] I. V. Sokolov, J. A. Nees, V. P. Yanovsky, N. M. Naumova and G. A. Mourou, Phys. Rev. E **81**, 036412 (2010) [arXiv:1003.0806 [physics.plasm-ph]].
 - [13] Y. Hadad, L. Labun, J. Rafelski, N. Elkina, C. Klier and H. Ruhl, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 096012 (2010) [arXiv:1005.3980 [hep-ph]].
 - [14] T. Heinzl and A. Ilderton, Opt. Commun. **282**, 1879 (2009)

- [15] K.T. McDonald, *Limits on the Applicability of Classical Electromagnetic Fields as Inferred from the Radiation Reaction*, unpublished preprint, available from <http://www.hep.princeton.edu/~mcdonald/examples/radreact.pdf>.
- [16] The Vulcan 10 Petawatt Project:
<http://www.clf.rl.ac.uk/New+Initiatives/The+Vulcan+10+Petawatt+Project/14684.aspx>
- [17] The Extreme Light Infrastructure (ELI) project: <http://www.extreme-light-infrastructure.eu>
- [18] J.D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 3rd ed., Wiley & Sons, New York, 1999.
- [19] J. G. Russo and P. K. Townsend, *J. Phys. A* **42**, 445402 (2009) [arXiv:0902.4243 [hep-th]].
- [20] S. Coleman, in: *Electromagnetism, Paths to Research*, D. Teplitz, ed., Plenum, New York, 1982, Ch. 6, p. 183.
- [21] S. E. Gralla, A. I. Harte and R. M. Wald, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 024031 (2009) [arXiv:0905.2391 [gr-qc]].
- [22] C. R. Galley, A. K. Leibovich and I. Z. Rothstein, arXiv:1005.2617 [gr-qc].
- [23] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity*, University of Chicago Press, 1984, in particular Sect. 4.2.
- [24] J. L. Synge, University of Toronto Applied Mathematics Series, No. 1 (Univ. of Toronto Press, 1935).
- [25] H. Stephani, *Relativity: An Introduction to Special and General Relativity*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [26] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields. Vol. 1: Foundations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [27] A.H. Taub, *Phys. Rev.* **73**, 786 (1948).
- [28] C. Itzykson and J. B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980.
- [29] G. 't Hooft, *Phys. Rev. D* **14** (1976) 3432; [Erratum-ibid. *D* **18** (1978) 2199].
- [30] T. Schäfer and E. V. Shuryak, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **70**, 323 (1998) [arXiv:hep-ph/9610451].
- [31] A. V. Belitsky, S. Vandoren and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **17** (2000) 3521 [arXiv:hep-th/0004186].
- [32] N. Sengupta, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* **44**, 175 (1952).
- [33] T. W. B. Kibble, *Phys. Rev.* **138**, B740 (1965).
- [34] C. Harvey, T. Heinzl and A. Ilderton, *Phys. Rev. A* **79**, 063407 (2009) [arXiv:0903.4151 [hep-ph]].
- [35] T. Heinzl, D. Seipt and B. Kämpfer, *Phys. Rev. A* **81**, 022125 (2010) [arXiv:0911.1622 [hep-ph]].
- [36] T. Heinzl, A. Ilderton and M. Marklund, *Phys. Lett. B* **692**, 250 (2010) [arXiv:1002.4018 [hep-ph]].
- [37] A. Di Piazza, K. Z. Hatsagortsyan and C. H. Keitel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 220403 (2010) [arXiv:1007.4914 [hep-ph]].
- [38] A. R. Bell and J. G. Kirk, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 200403 (2008).
- [39] A. M. Fedotov, N. B. Narozhny, G. Mourou and G. Korn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 080402 (2010) [arXiv:1004.5398 [hep-ph]].
- [40] I. V. Sokolov, N. M. Naumova, J. A. Nees and G. A. Mourou, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 195005 (2010) [arXiv:1009.0703 [physics.plasm-ph]].

- [41] N. V. Elkina, A. M. Fedotov, I. Y. Kostyukov, M. V. Legkov, N. B. Narozhny, E. N. Nerush and H. Ruhl, arXiv:1010.4528 [hep-ph].